well like

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

1000 RIO BRAZOS ROAD AZTEC, NEW MEXICO

January 27, 1965

Mr. Walter Kilgo J. Glenn Turner 1900 Mercantile Dallas Building Dallas 1, Texas

Re: Herrin #1-13, L-13-29N-10W

Dear Mr. Kilgo:

Order R-333-F does not provide an administrative procedure for an extension to the 60-day requirement of Chapter 1, Section 1, Paragraph B. The producing hazards were considered when the testing time was increased from 45 days to 60 days. The procedure for relief is by notice and hearing.

The dave of first allowable for the above-captioned well chall be November 20, 1964, sixty days prior to January 18, 1965.

The deliverability test procedure was established such that each well would be tested while producing at a sustained production rate. The required 21 days flow was considered as a minimum. The flow status prior to the beginning of the conditioning period is not considered.

The request for retest because of flow prior to the conditioning period is hereby denied.

If we can be of assistance, please contact us.

Yours very truly

A. R. Kendrick
Engineer, District #3

ARK: ks

GAL GONSERVATION GOMMISSION : 1000 RIG BRAZ IS ROAU

IDDO RIG BRAZ IS ROA AZTED, NEW MEKOD

Company of the second section of the second section of the second second

USA SELECTION SELECTION OF THE SELECTION

And the second

Andrew Control of the Control of the

and the second of the second o

The state of the s

and the second of the companies of the c

Committee Committee (1985) And Committee (1985)

en de la Companya de

J. GLENN TURNER

OIL AND GAS-INVESTMENTS
1900 MERCANTILE DALLAS BUILDING
DALLAS I, TEXAS

January 14, 1965

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission 1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, New Mexico

Gen:lemen:

Enclosed herewith are three copies of the initial deliverability test on the J. Glann Turner Herrin No. 1-13 well.

The Herrin No. 1-13 well was connected to the pipe line for sales on November 12, 1964. The initial deliverability test was begin as normal, however, it was interrupted by a pipe line tie-in for a period of twelve hours during the third week of the test, which is the test period. The test was considered to be broken and rerun so that the test period ended on December 16, 1964. The interruption of the test caused delays in filing this test within the sixty day period, which were no fault of the operators. I, therefore, request that this well be given its full allowable and not be penalized because of the filing date of the test exceeding sixty days beyond the date of the connection.

In addition, I feel that the break in the test caused this well to have a lower flow rate on the test than it would have had the test not been broken. This, as you know, will result in penalities in allowable for this well. It would seem that the purchasing pipe line could have arranged their tie-in so as not to interfere with the testing of this well. I, therefore, request your approval to rerun the initial deliverability test on the Herrin No. 1-13 at some date to be arranged in the immediate future. I further request that this test, since it will be run in the year 1965, he used as the annual deliverability test for 1965.

If the foregoing meets with your approval, I will make the necessary arrangements with El Paso Natural Gas Company

Yours very truly

Jalter M. Kilgo

WMK:lc Encl.