P.O. Box 1936, Hobbs, NM O. Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
Distriction
P.O. Down PD. Annie, MM 1711
P.O. Down PD. Annie, MM 1711
REPUBLIC GAS INSPECTOR
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION 1000 Rio Brazos Rd. DEC 0 341896

State of New Mexico

SUBMIT 1 COPY TO APPROPRIATE DISTRICT OFFICE AND 1 COPY TO SANTA FE OFFICE

P.O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2088

PIT REMEDIATION AND CLOSURE REPORT

11/1/200		
Operator:	Amoco Production Company	Telephone: (505) - 326-9200
Address:	200 Amoco Court, Farmingt	on, New Mexico 87401
Facility Or:	BARNES LS 4A	
Location: Unit	or Qtr/Qtr Sec	Sec 26 T 32 N R [[W county SAN JUAN
Pit Type: Sepan	cator $X$ Dehydrator $X$	Other TANK
Land Type: BL	1, State, Fee	, OtherCom. AGMT.
(Attach diagram)	Reference: wellhead	
domestic water so	e from easonal ion of	Less than 50 feet (20 points) 50 feet to 99 feet (10 points) Greater than 100 feet (0 Points)  OFFICE FOR SERVICE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF
Distance To Su: (Horizontal dista lakes, ponds, riv irrigation canals	nce to perennial ers, streams, creeks,	Less than 200 feet (20 points) 200 feet to 1000 feet (10 points) Greater than 1000 feet (0 points)  RANKING SCORE (TOTAL POINTS):
		<del></del>

Dace Remediation St	arted:	Date * Completed:	2-13-95
Remediation Method:	Excavation $X$	Approx. cubic yards	560
(Check all appropriate sections)	Landfarmed $X$	Insitu Bioremediation	
	Other		
	·		
Remediation Locatio (ie. landfarmed onsite, name and location of offsite facility)	<b>n:</b> Onsite $X$	Offsite	-
General Description	Of Remedial Ac	tion:	**
Excavation	on As Possible	- EPUILMENT LINUS LATERAL	EXCAUTTON.
Ground Water Encoun	tered: No _	Yes Depth	:
Final Pit: Closure Sampling: (if multiple samples,	Sample location	nsee Attached Documents	
attach sample results and diagram of sample	Sample depth _	6'	
locations and depths)	1777	2-13-95 Sample time	
	Sample Results		
		pm)	
	Total BTE	X(ppm)	
	Field head	dspace(ppm) 1221	
	TPH 10,40	oo ppm	
Ground Water Sample	: Yes No	o $X$ (If yes, attach sample	results)
T HEREBY CERTIFY THAT OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND		ION ABOVE IS TRUE AND COMPLET	TE TO THE BEST
DATE 2-23-95 SIGNATURE BAS	PRIN'	TED NAME BUILD S TITLE ENVIRONMENTAL	haw Poordinator

P.O. BOX 87. BLOOMFIELD, NM 87413	ON NO: <u>80218</u>			
FIELD REPORT: PIT CLOSURE VERIFICATION				
LOCATION: NAME: BARNES LS WELL #: 4A PIT: DENTY/SO/ THATZ DATE STAFF QUAD/UNIT: C SEC: 26 TWP: 32 N RNG: 11W BM: NM CNTY: SJ ST: NM  DATE FINIS	RTED: 2~ 3-95 SHED:			
QTR/FOOTAGE: 1018' FNL , 1770'F& CONTRACTOR: MOSS ENVIRONME SPECIALIST	NTAL REST			
EXCAVATION APPROX. 45 FT. x 45 FT. x 10 FT. DEEP. CUBIC YARDS	s: <u>560</u>			
DISPOSAL FACILITY: ON SITE REMEDIATION METHOD: LA				
LAND USE: RANGE LEASE: SF-078039 FORMATION:	PC/MV			
FIELD NOTES & REMARKS: PIT LOCATED APPROXIMATELY 35 FEET 545° U DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: >1000' NEAREST WATER SOURCE: >1000' NEAREST SURFACE WATER: _				
NMOCD RANKING SCORE: NMOCD TPH CLOSURE STD: 5000 PPM	7,000			
SOIL AND EXCAVATION DESCRIPTION: PIT DISPOSITION: ASMACD				
MOIST, BROWN, SAMSY, SILTY, CLAY- SILTSTAM BOTTOM. EXCAUATED TO HARD COTTOM. ODOR + CLEHT STAW REMAINS IN SIDEWALL	LS.			
ERUIPMENT + PIPELLIES PREVENTS PLETTER LATERAL EXCHATION.				
FIELD 418:1 CALCULATIONS  SAMPLE I.D. LAB No: WEIGHT (g) mL. FREON DILUTION READING CALC. ppm	CONDITIONAL			
NS-6 1369 10.0 20.0 10 520 10,4 00				
SCALE				
O lo 20 FT OVM	'			
PIT PERIMETER RESULTS PIT PROF	'ILE			
SAMPLE FIELD HEADSPACE PID (ppm)  1 NS - 6' 12 Z				
1 N 2 ES - 6' 1114 355 - 6' 974				
1 4 ws - 6' 1071 5 cs - 10' 111				
	o´			
1 (3) (4) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
LAB SAMPLES				
3				
- L PIPELINE	,			
TRAVEL NOTES: CALLOUT: 2-12-95 ONSITE: Z-13-95 0830				

5 86-55

## **BLAGG ENGINEERING, INC.**

P.O. Box 87, Bloomfield, New Mexico 87413 Phone: (505)632-1199 Fax: (505)632-3903

## FIELD MODIFIED EPA METHOD 418.1 TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS

Client: Sample ID: Project Location Laboratory Nu		LS 4A	Project #: Date Analyzed: Date Reported: Sample Matrix:	2-13-95 2-13-95 Soil
Parameter		Result, mg/kg	Detect Limit,	
Total Recover Petroleum Hyd		10,400		100
ND = Not De	etectable at stated c	letection limits.		
QA/QC:		/QC Sample TPH mg/kg	Duplicate TPH mg/kg	% *Diff.
	*Administrative Accept	4,760 tance limits set at 30%.	4,400	٤
Method:	Modified Method Recoverable, Ch USEPA Storet No	418.1, Petroleum Hyd emical Analysis of Wa 5.4551, 1978	drocarbons, Total ater and Waste,	
Comments:	Separator/Dehyd	lrator/Tank Pit - B02	18	
R. E. C.	onall		Melson U Review	ZY

Well Name:
Well Site location:
Pit Type:
Producing Formation:
Pit Category:
Horizonal Distance to Surface Water:
Vicinity Groundwater Depth:

Barnes LS #4A
Unit C, Sec. 26, T32N, R11W
Dehydrator/Separator/Tank pit
Mesaverde
Area III
> 1000 ft.
> 100 ft.

## RISK ASSESSMENT

Pit remediation activities were terminated when trackhoe encountered sandstone bedrock at 10 feet below grade.

No past or future threat to surface water or groundwater is likely based on the following considerations:

- 1. Past production fluids were contained locally by a relatively shallow sandstone bedrock located 10 feet below grade. Groundwater levels located on or close to the well pad are estimated to be at a much greater depth below sandstone bedrock.
- 2. Topographic information does not indicate off site lateral fluid migration near the earthen pit.
- 3. Daily discharge into the earthen pit has been terminated (double sidewall steel tank installed). Prior discharge into the pit is believed to be under 5 barrels per day.
- 4. Field headspace readings (OVM/PID) on Mesaverde type locations do not reflect direct correlation to total BTEX per USEPA Method 8020 concentrations. Listed below are a few typical AMOCO Mesaverde pit soil analyses comparing headspace to Benzene and total BTEX results.

LOCATION	HEADSPACE (ppm)	BENZENE (ppm)	TOTAL BTEX (ppm)
L.C. Kelly #6A	833	0.033	2.857
Johnston LS 7	998	0.017	24.985
Neil LS 7A	819	0.282	0.440

The comparisons listed above demonstrates that headspace testing is not an accurate measurement to Benzene or total BTEX concentrations when above standards for Mesaverde type pits.

Based upon the information given, we conclude that the subsurface lateral impact from the earthen pit is very limited and that the sandstone bottom creates enough of a permeable barrier as to subdue impact to groundwater below it (please refer to AMOCO's report "Post Excavation Pit Closure Investigation Summary, July, 1995", with cover letter dated November 30, 1995). AMOCO requests pit closure approval on this location.

And the second of the second o