District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

## State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Form C-141 Revised April 3, 2017

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

TD 1	
Release Notification and Corrective Action	
	OPERATOR Initial Report Final Report
Name of Company OWL SWD OPERATING LLC	Contact Phillip Sanders
Address 8214 Westchester Dr. #850 Dallas, TX 75225	Telephone No. 210-906-3551
Facility Name N/A	Facility Type N/A
Surface Owner BLM Mineral Ow	ner RIM
AITINO.	
	TON OF RELEASE
P 5 268 34E Feet from the	North/South Line   Feet from the   East/West Line   County
2 3 200 34E	Lea
Latitude 32.065369	Longitudo _102 495674 NIATOO
TADOS	
NATURE OF RELEASE	
Type of Release Produced Water Source of Release Underground Pipeline	Volume of Release 4,190 BBLS Volume Recovered 320 BBLS
Was Immediate Notice Given?	Date and Hour of Occurrence 0920 Date and Hour of Discovery01/25/18 9 0920
X Yes No Not Requ	If YES, To Whom?
By Whom? KJ Environmental Management, Inc.	21 21, 302 Environmental Specialist
Was a Watercourse Reached?	Date and Hour 01/25/18 @ 1130
Yes X No	If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.
If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.*	
The state of the s	DECEIVED
	RECEIVED
	By Olivia Yu at 8:34 am, Feb 12, 2018
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.*	2) 31111d 1d dt 0101 dini, 1 00 12, 2010
A release occurred at the tie in point for the OWL main trunk line over	
cease flow at the tie in point and constructed earthen berms to prevent the spill from migrating. An emergency	
"one call" was placed to expose the line for damages and repair, after which the pipeline was excavated by OWL.	
Describe Asso Afficial 101	
Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.*  Approximately 0.54 acres was affected by the company of the	
Approximately 0.54 acres was affected by the release with approximately up to 12 inches of standing water. Vacuum trucks were brought on site to recover fluids. OWL removed 3-4 inches of affected surface soil and placed the soil into a 20 millimeter poly-lined berm.  Additional 20 millimeter poly sheeting was placed over affected soil to recover the soil into a 20 millimeter poly-lined berm.	
Additional 20 millimeter poly sheeting was placed over affected soil to prevent rainfall from causing further migration and orange	
TOTAL OCD & BLIT.	
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release port footing.	
should their operations have failed to adequately investigated.	by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability
or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 repo	diate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health ort does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other
federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.	are does not reneve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other
	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
Signature:	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
	J 19
Printed Name: PHILLIP SANDERS	Approved by Environmental Specialist:
TH SAFET! A - 15	2/12/2018
Title: SAFETY DIRECTOR	Approval Date: Z/12/2016 Expiration Date:
E-mail Address: psanders @ oilfield water 105151165.co	Conditions of Approval:
	Attached   \ /
Date: 2-8-18 Phone: 210-906-3551	see attached directive
Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary	
<u></u> -	1RP-4963 nOY1804331810

fOY1804331611

pOY1804332243

## Operator/Responsible Party,

The OCD has received the form C-141 you provided on \_2/8/2018\_ regarding an unauthorized release. The information contained on that form has been entered into our incident database and remediation case number \_1RP-4963\_\_ has been assigned. Please refer to this case number in all future correspondence.

It is the Division's obligation under both the Oil & Gas Act and Water Quality Act to provide for the protection of public health and the environment. Our regulations (19.15.29.11 NMAC) state the following,

The responsible person shall complete <u>division-approved corrective action</u> for releases that endanger public health or the environment. The responsible person shall address releases in accordance with a remediation plan submitted to and approved by the division or with an abatement plan submitted in accordance with 19.15.30 NMAC. [emphasis added]

Release characterization is the first phase of corrective action unless the release is ongoing or is of limited volume and all impacts can be immediately addressed. Proper and cost-effective remediation typically cannot occur without adequate characterization of the impacts of any release. Furthermore, the Division has the ability to impose reasonable conditions upon the efforts it oversees. As such, the Division is requiring a workplan for the characterization of impacts associated with this release be submitted to the OCD District \_1\_ office in \_\_Hobbs\_\_\_\_ on or before \_3/12/2018\_. If and when the release characterization workplan is approved, there will be an associated deadline for submittal of the resultant investigation report. Modest extensions of time to these deadlines may be granted, but only with acceptable justification.

The goals of a characterization effort are: 1) determination of the lateral and vertical extents along with the magnitude of soil contamination. 2) determine if groundwater or surface waters have been impacted. 3) If groundwater or surface waters have been impacted, what are the extents and magnitude of that impact. 4) The characterization of any other adverse impacts that may have occurred (examples: impacts on vegetation, impacts on wildlife, air quality, loss of use of property, etc.). To meet these goals as quickly as possible, the following items must, at a minimum, be addressed in the release characterization workplan and subsequent reporting:

- Horizontal delineation of soil impacts in each of the four cardinal compass directions. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C<sub>6</sub> thru C<sub>36</sub>), and for chloride by Method 300. This is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants. Analyzed parameters should be modified based on the nature of the released substance(s). Soil sampling must be both within the impacted area and beyond.
- Vertical delineation of soil impacts. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C<sub>6</sub> thru C<sub>36</sub>), and for chloride by Method 300. As above, this is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants and can be modified. Vertical characterization samples should be taken at depth intervals no greater than five feet apart. Lithologic description of encountered soils must also be provided. At least ten vertical feet of soils with contaminant concentrations at or below these values must be demonstrated as existing above the water table.
- Nominal detection limits for field and laboratory analyses must be provided.
- Composite sampling is not generally allowed.
- Field screening and assessment techniques are acceptable (headspace, titration, EC [include algorithm for validation purposes], EM, etc.), but the sampling and assay procedures must be clearly defined. Copies of field notes are highly desirable. A statistically significant set of split samples must be submitted for confirmatory laboratory analysis, including the laterally farthest and vertically deepest sets of soil samples. Make sure there are at least two soil samples submitted

for laboratory analysis from each borehole or test pit (highest observed contamination and deepest depth investigated). Copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

- •Probable depth to shallowest protectable groundwater and lateral distance to nearest surface water. If there is an estimate of groundwater depth, the information used to arrive at that estimate must be provided. If there is a reasonable assumption that the depth to protectable water is 50 feet or less, the responsible party should anticipate the need for at least one groundwater monitoring well to be installed in the area of likely maximum contamination.
- If groundwater contamination is encountered, an additional investigation workplan may be required to determine the extents of that contamination. Groundwater and/or surface water samples, if any, must be analyzed by a competent laboratory for volatile organic hydrocarbons (typically Method 8260 full list), total dissolved solids, pH, major anions and cations including chloride and sulfate, dissolved iron, and dissolved manganese. The investigation workplan must provide the groundwater sampling method(s) and sample handling protocols. To the fullest extent possible, aqueous analyses must be undertaken using nominal method detection limits. As with the soil analyses, copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.
- Accurately scaled and well-drafted site maps must be provided providing the location of borings, test pits, monitoring wells, potentially impacted areas, and significant surface features including roads and site infrastructure that might limit either the release characterization or remedial efforts. Field sketches may be included in subsequent reporting, but should not be considered stand-alone documentation of the site's layout. Digital photographic documentation of the location and fieldwork is recommended, especially if unusual circumstances are encountered.

Nothing herein should be interpreted to preclude emergency response actions or to imply immediate remediation by removal cannot proceed as warranted. Nonetheless, characterization of impacts and confirmation of the effectiveness of remedial efforts must still be provided to the OCD before any release incident will be closed.

## Jim Griswold

OCD Environmental Bureau Chief 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 505-476-3465 jim.griswold@state.nm.us