Ĭ

ъ.					
RECEIVED:	REVIEWER:	TYPE:	APP NO:		
		al & Engineering	<b>ATION DIVISION</b> g Bureau –		
	ADMINISTR			Contrast of the	
THIS CI	HECKLIST IS MANDATORY FOR ALL	ADMINISTRATIVE APPLIC			
plicant: Vista Dispo	osal Solutions, LLC		OGRI	D Number: <u>329051</u>	
Il Name: Justin Fe			API:		
ol: SWD; DEVONIAN				Code: 97869	
SUBMIT ACCURA		ORMATION REQU	IRED TO PROCESS 1	THE TYPE OF APPLIC	ATION
		INDICATED BELC	W		
	CATION: Check those w - Spacing Unit – Simulto SL INSP (PRO	aneous Dedicatio		SD	
[   ] Comn [] [    ] Inject	e only for [1] or [1] ningling – Storage – Me DHC CTB PLu ion – Disposal – Pressur WFX PMX SS	e Increase – Enh	anced Oil Recove	FOR OCD (	DNLY
A. Offset of B. Royalty C. Applic D. Notifico E. Notifico F. Surfaco G. For all	<b>REQUIRED TO:</b> Check the operators or lease hold y, overriding royalty ow ation requires publishe ation and/or concurrent ation and/or concurrent of the above, proof of ice required	lers mers, revenue ov d notice nt approval by SI nt approval by BI	vners _O _M	Notice Com Application Content Complete	_
administrative understand the	: I hereby certify that the approval is <b>accurate</b> a at <b>no action</b> will be take e submitted to the Divi	and <b>complete</b> to t en on this applice	the best of my kno	wledge. I also	s, LLC
Not	e: Statement must be complete	ed by an individual with	n managerial and/or sup	ervisory capacity.	10NS
m Arthur, P.E., SPEC		4	8/12/2019 Date		e# 20803 SOLUTIONS, LLC 2 2010
int or Type Name	A STATE	Series	918-382-7581 Phone Number		OCD Case# 20803 VISTA DISPOSAL SOLUTIC
nature	30 ESSIONAL	CHERTER	darthur@all-llc.com e-mail Address	ກ	VISTA I

#### STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

#### Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

#### APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO INJECT

I.	PURPOSE:       Secondary Recovery       Pressure Maintenance       X       Disposal         Storage Application qualifies for administrative approval?       X       Yes       No
II.	OPERATOR: Vista Disposal Solutions, LLC
	ADDRESS: 12444 NM 10th St., Building G, Suite 202-512, Yukon, OK 73099
	CONTACT PARTY Nate Alleman PHONE: 918-382-7581
III,	WELL DATA: Complete the data required on the reverse side of this form for each well proposed for injection. Additional sheets may be attached if necessary.
IV.	Is this an expansion of an existing project? Yes X No If yes, give the Division order number authorizing the project:
V.	Attach a map that identifies all wells and leases within two miles of any proposed injection well with a one-half mile radius circle drawn around each proposed injection well. This circle identifies the well's area of review.
VI.	Attach a tabulation of data on all wells of public record within the area of review which penetrate the proposed injection zone. Such data shall include a description of each well's type, construction, date drilled, location, depth, record of completion, and a schematic of any plugged well illustrating all plugging detail.
VII.	Attach data on the proposed operation, including:
	<ol> <li>Proposed average and maximum daily rate and volume of fluids to be injected;</li> <li>Whether the system is open or closed;</li> <li>Proposed average and maximum injection pressure;</li> <li>Sources and an appropriate analysis of injection fluid and compatibility with the receiving formation if other than reinjected produced water; and,</li> <li>If injection is for disposal purposes into a zone not productive of oil or gas at or within one mile of the proposed well, attach a chemical analysis of the disposal zone formation water (may be measured or inferred from existing literature, studies, nearby wells, etc.).</li> </ol>
*VIII.	Attach appropriate geologic data on the injection zone including appropriate lithologic detail, geologic name, thickness, and depth. Give the geologic name, and depth to bottom of all underground sources of drinking water (aquifers containing waters with total dissolved solids concentrations of 10,000 mg/l or less) overlying the proposed injection zone as well as any such sources known to be immediately underlying the injection interval.
IX.	Describe the proposed stimulation program, if any.
*X.	Attach appropriate logging and test data on the well. (If well logs have been filed with the Division, they need not be resubmitted).
*XI.	Attach a chemical analysis of fresh water from two or more fresh water wells (if available and producing) within one mile of any injection or disposal well showing location of wells and dates samples were taken.
XII,	Applicants for disposal wells must make an affirmative statement that they have examined available geologic and engineering data and find no evidence of open faults or any other hydrologic connection between the disposal zone and any underground sources of drinking water.
XIII.	Applicants must complete the "Proof of Notice" section on the reverse side of this form.
XIV.	Certification: I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.
	NAME: Dan Arthur, P.E., SPEC
	SIGNATURE: J. a. Ontan (2026/19) DATE: 8/12/2019
	E-MAIL ADDRESS: darthur@all-llc.com
*	If the information required under Sections VI VIII X and XI shows have been providually submitted it need not be resubmitted

\* If the information required under Sections VI, VIII, X, and XI above has been previously submitted, it need not be resubmitted. Please show the date and circumstances of the earlier submittal:

#### III. WELL DATA

- A. The following well data must be submitted for each injection well covered by this application. The data must be both in tabular and schematic form and shall include:
  - (1) Lease name; Well No.; Location by Section, Township and Range; and footage location within the section.
  - (2) Each casing string used with its size, setting depth, sacks of cement used, hole size, top of cement, and how such top was determined.
  - (3) A description of the tubing to be used including its size, lining material, and setting depth.
  - (4) The name, model, and setting depth of the packer used or a description of any other seal system or assembly used.

Division District Offices have supplies of Well Data Sheets which may be used or which may be used as models for this purpose. Applicants for several identical wells may submit a "typical data sheet" rather than submitting the data for each well.

- B. The following must be submitted for each injection well covered by this application. All items must be addressed for the initial well. Responses for additional wells need be shown only when different. Information shown on schematics need not be repeated.
  - (1) The name of the injection formation and, if applicable, the field or pool name.
  - (2) The injection interval and whether it is perforated or open-hole.
  - (3) State if the well was drilled for injection or, if not, the original purpose of the well.
  - (4) Give the depths of any other perforated intervals and detail on the sacks of cement or bridge plugs used to seal off such perforations.
  - (5) Give the depth to and the name of the next higher and next lower oil or gas zone in the area of the well, if any.

#### XIV\* PROOF OF NOTICE

All applicants must furnish proof that a copy of the application has been furnished, by certified or registered mail, to the owner of the surface of the land on which the well is to be located and to each leasehold operator within one-half mile of the well location.

Where an application is subject to administrative approval, a proof of publication must be submitted. Such proof shall consist of a copy of the legal advertisement which was published in the county in which the well is located. The contents of such advertisement must include:

- (1) The name, address, phone number, and contact party for the applicant;
- (2) The intended purpose of the injection well; with the exact location of single wells or the Section, Township, and Range location of multiple wells;
- (3) The formation name and depth with expected maximum injection rates and pressures; and,

(4) A notation that interested parties must file objections or requests for hearing with the Oil Conservation Division, 1220 South St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505, within 15 days.

#### NO ACTION WILL BE TAKEN ON THE APPLICATION UNTIL PROPER PROOF OF NOTICE HAS BEEN SUBMITTED.

NOTICE: Surface owners or offset operators must file any objections or requests for hearing of administrative applications within 15 days from the date this application was mailed to them.

Application for Authorization to Inject Well Name: Justin Federal SWD #1

#### **III – Well Data** (The Wellbore Diagram is included as **Attachment 1**) A.

#### (1) General Well Information:

Operator: Vista Disposal Solutions, LLC (OGRID No. 329051) Lease Name & Well Number: Justin Federal SWD #1 Location Footage Calls: 2,401' FNL & 194' FEL Legal Location: Unit Letter H, S25 T25S R34E Ground Elevation: 3,354' Proposed Injection Interval: 18,120' – 19,300' County: Lea

#### (2) Casing Information:

Туре	Hole Size	Casing Size	Casing Weight	Setting Depth	Sacks of Cement	Estimated TOC	Method Determined
Surface	24"	20"	133.0 lb/ft	925'	940	Surface	Circulation
Intermediate 1	14-3/4"	13-3/8"	68.0 lb/ft	5,400'	1,200	Surface	Circulation
Intermediate 2	12-1/4"	9-5/8″	53.5 lb/ft	14,800'	4,910	Surface	Circulation
Liner	8-1/2″	7-5/8″	39.0 lb/ft	18,120	285	14,600(TOL)	CBL

#### (3) Tubing Information:

4-1/2" (composite weight string) of fiberglass-coated tubing with setting depth of 18,100'

(4) Packer Information: Lok-set or equivalent packer set at 18,100'

Β.

9

- (1) Injection Formation Name: Devonian and Silurian-Fusselman formations Pool Name: SWD; DEVONIAN - SILURIAN Pool Code: 97869
- (2) Injection Interval: Open-hole injection between 18,120' 19,300'
- (3) Drilling Purpose: New Drill for Salt Water Disposal
- (4) Other Perforated Intervals: No other perforated intervals exist.
- (5) Overlying Oil and Gas Zones: Below are the approximate formation tops for known oil and gas producing zones in the area.
  - Delaware (5,400')
  - Bone Springs (10,000')
  - Wolfcamp (12,400')
  - Atoka (14,950')
  - Morrow (15,900')

Underlying Oil and Gas Zones: No underlying oil and gas zones exist.

#### V – Well and Lease Maps

1

The following maps are included in *Attachment 2*:

- 2-mile Oil & Gas Well Map
- 2-mile Lease Map
- 1.5-mile Deep SWD Map (Devonian/Silurian SWDs)
- 1-mile Well Detail List
- Potash Lease Map

#### VI – AOR Well List

There are no wells within the 1-mile AOR that penetrate the proposed injection zone.

A list of the wells within the 1-mile AOR is included in Attachment 2.

#### **VII – Proposed Operation**

- (1) Proposed Maximum Injection Rate: 30,000 bpd Proposed Average Injection Rate: 15,000 bpd
- (2) A closed system will be used.
- (3) Proposed Maximum Injection Pressure: 3,624 psi (surface) Proposed Average Injection Pressure: approximately 1,500 – 2,000 psi (surface)
- (4) Source Water Analysis: It is expected that the injectate will consist of produced water from production wells completed in the Wolfcamp and Bone Springs formations. Analysis of water from these formations is included in *Attachment 3*.
- (5) Injection Formation Water Analysis: The proposed SWD will be injecting water into the Devonian and Silurian-Fusselman formations which is a non-productive zone known to be compatible with formation water from the Wolfcamp and Bone Springs formations. Water analyses from the Devonian-Silurian formation in the area are included in *Attachment 4*.

#### **VIII – Geologic Description**

The proposed injection interval includes the Devonian and Silurian-Fusselman formations from 18,120 – 19,300 feet. These formations consist of carbonates including light colored dolomite and chert intervals interspersed with some tight limestone intervals. Several thick sections of porous dolomite capable of taking water are present within the subject formations in the area.

The freshwater formation is the Rustler at a depth of approximately 900 feet. Water well depths in the area range from approximately 230 - 260 feet below ground surface.

#### **IX – Proposed Stimulation Program**

A small cleanup acid job may be used to remove mud and drill cuttings from the formation. However, no other formation stimulation is currently planned.

#### X – Logging and Test Data

1

Logs will be submitted to the Division upon completion of the well.

#### XI – Fresh Groundwater Samples

Based on a review of data from the New Mexico Office of the State Engineer, no groundwater wells are located within 1-mile of the proposed SWD location; therefore, no groundwater samples were collected in association with this application.

A water well map of the area is included in Attachment 5.

#### XII – No Hydrologic Connection Statement

No faulting is present in the area that would provide a hydrologic connection between the injection interval and overlying USDWs. Additionally, the casing program has been designed to ensure there will be no hydrologic connection between the injection interval and overlying USDWs. A letter from a knowledgeable and qualified expert stating that there is a low risk of seismic activity from the proposed injection activities is included in **Attachment 6**.

#### XIII – Proof of Notice

A Public Notice was filed with the Hobbs News-Sun newspaper and an affidavit is included in *Attachment 7*.

A copy of the application was mailed to the OCD District Office, landowner, and leasehold operators within 1-mile of the proposed SWD location. A list of the recipients, as well as delivery confirmations, are included in *Attachment 7*.

Attachment 1: Wellbore Diagram

×.

 $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ 

Attachment 2: Area of Review Information:

- 2-mile Oil & Gas Well Map
- 2-mile Lease Map
- 1.5-mile Deep SWD Map (Devonian/Silurian SWDs)
- 1-mile Well Detail List
- Potash Lease Map

Attachment 3: Source Water Analyses

Attachment 4: Injection Formation Water Analyses

Attachment 5: Water Well Map and Well Data

Attachment 6: Induced Seismicity Assessment Letter

Attachment 7: Public Notice Affidavit and Notice of Application Confirmations

к ,

Wellbore Diagram



 $\circ$ 

# A-3 and AL-2 LOK-SET Retrievable Casing Packers

#### Product Family No. H64630 and H64628

#### APPLICATION

The A-3<sup>™</sup> LOK-SET<sup>™</sup> packer combines advantages of a retrievable packer with the features of a permanent packer. An ability to lock down tubing forces makes the A-3 suitable for a broad range of applications, including production, injection, zone isolation, and remedial operations. The AL-2<sup>™</sup> LOK-SET packer is similar to the A-3, and has a larger bore.

#### Advantages

- Holds pressure from above and below, without relying on set-down weight, tubing tension, or hydraulic hold down
- Provides tubing anchoring with tension applied, suitable for pumping wells or injection, controlling tubing forces related to change fluid temperatures
- Opposed, non-transferring, dovetail slips prevent packer movement associated with changing differential pressures, while allowing the landing of the tubing in tension, neutral or compression
- Right-hand tubing rotation controls setting and releasing
- Packing element compression locks in by ratcheting action of lock segments, which restricts rotation to one direction

#### Accessories

To provide a simple and reliable injection system for retrieving an injection string without having to unseat the packer:

L-10 or L-316 on-off sealing connectors, Product Family Nos. H68420 and H68422. Baker Hughes blanking plug can be used in the seating nipple profile of the on-off sealing connector to provide a means of plugging the lower zone while the tubing is being pulled.



	Casing				Packer		
0	)	Weight •	Size	Nom	1D	Max G Ring	
in.	100	ID/IT		in.	mm	in.	ma
4	101.6	9.5-12.9	41A2	1.500	38.1	3.244	82.4
4-1/2	144.3	21.6-23.6	41A2	1.500	38.1	3.244	82.4
4	101.6	9.5	41A4	1.500	38.1	3.423	112.4
		18.8	41A4	1.500	38.1	3.423	112.4
		13.5-17.7	418	1.500	30.1	3.578	90.9
4-1/2	114.3	11.6-13.5	43A2	1.070	50.2	3.786	96.2
		9.5-10.5	43A4	1.978	30.2	3.786	96.2
		15-18	438	4.070	50.2	4.140	105.2
5	127.0	11.5-15	430	1.978	50.2	4.265	108.3
		26	43C			4.265	108.3
		20-23	45A2	1 070	50.2	4.515	114.7
5-1/2	139.7	15.5-20	45A4	1.978	50.2	4.658	118.3
		13-15.5	458	1		4.796	121.8
		26	45B			4.796	121.8
6	152.4	20-23	45C	1.978	50.2	5.078	129.0
v		15-18	45D	1		5.171	131.3
		34	45E	1.070	50.0	5.421	137.7
		24-32	45F	1.978	50.2	5.499	139.7
6-5/8	168.3	24	47A2	2.441	62.0	5.671	144.0
040		17-24	45G	1.978	50.2	5.796	147.2
		17-20	47A4	2.441	62.0	5.827	148.0
		38	47A2	1		5.671	144.0
		32-35	47A4	1		5.827	148.0
7	177.8	26-29	47B2	2.441	62.0	5.983	152.0
	1	23-26	47B4			6.093	154.8
		17-20	47C2	1		6.281	159.5
		33.7-39	4704			6.468	164.3
7-5/8	193.7	24-29.7	47D2	2.441	62.0	6.687	169.9
		20-24	47D4			6.827	173.4
		44-49	49A2			7.327	186.1
8-5/8	219.1	32-40	49A4	3.500	88.9	7.546	191.1
		20-28	498			7.796	198.0
		47-53.5	51A2			8.234	209.
9-5/8	244.5	40-47	51A4	3.500	88.9	8.452	214.7
		29.3-36	518			8.608	218.

#### SPECIFICATION GUIDES A-3<sup>~</sup> LOK-SET Retrievable Casing Packer, Product Family No. H64630

#### AL-2" Large Bore LOK-SET Retrievable Gasing Packer Product Family No. H64628

Cas	ling				Pa	okaar			
0	0	Weight •	Size	Non	110	Max Gog	Ring OD	Mex Dian Compressed	
in.	(1903)	Bh/R	1	In.	min	in.	กก	in.	mm
		20	45A2 x 2-3/8			4.562	115.9	4.592	116.6
5-1/2	139,7	15.5-17	45A4 x 2-3/8	2.375	60.3	4.656	118.3	4.750	120.7
		13	458 x 2-3/8			4.796	121.8	4.902	124.5
6	152.4	26	458 x 2-3/8	2.375	60.3	4.796	121.8	4.902	124.5

When selecting a packer for a casing weight common to two weight ranges (same OD), choose the packer size shown for the lighter of the two weight
ranges. Example: for 7-in. (177.8 mm) OD 26 lb/ft casing use packer size 47B4. Under certain circumstances the other packer size may be run, such
as when running in mixed casing strings.

Repair kits, including such items as packing elements, seal rings, etc., are available for redressing Baker Retrievable Packers. Contact your Baker Hughes representative. Use only Baker Hughes repair parts.

Area of Review Information:

- 2-mile Oil & Gas Well Map
- 2-mile Lease Map
- 1.5-mile Deep SWD Map (Devonian/Silurian SWDs)
- 1-mile Well Detail List
- Potash Lease Map



ж.





	AOF	<b>AOR Tabulation for J</b>	for Justin Federal SWD #1 (Top of Injection Interval: 18,120')	jection Inte	rval: 18,120')	1911 - TANK - S	13.0
Well Name	API#	Well Type	Operator	Spud Date	Spud Date Location (Sec., Tn., Rng.)	Total Vertical Depth (feet)	Penetrate Inj. Zone?
PITCHBLENDE 19 30 FEDERAL #458H 30-025-45663	30-025-45663	0	ENERGEN RESOURCES CORPORATION	Not Drilled	A-19-25S-35E	Proposed (12,465)	No
PRE-ONGARD WELL #001	30-025-21685	Plugged	PRE-ONGARD WELL OPERATOR (Pauley Petroleum Inc.)	3/6/1966	C-36-25S-34E	Plugged (5,795)	No
Notes: No wells within the 1-mile AOR penetrate the injection interval.	penetrate the inj	ection interval.					



ж ж

Source Water Analyses



2708 West County Road, Hobbs NM 88240

### Water Analysis

Date: 23-Aug-11

Company		Vell Name	Draw 1th	ounty	State
Company		BD		Lear	New Mexico
Sample Source	Swab Sa	mple	Sample #	ddy	1-265-29
Formation			Depth		
Specific Gravity	1.170		SG @	60 °F	1.172
pН	6.30		S	ulfides	Absent
Temperature (*F)	70		Reducing A	Agents	
Cations					
Sodium (Calc)		in Mg/L	77,962	in PPM	66,520
Calcium		in Mg/L	4,000	in PPM	3,413
Magnesium		in Mg/L	1,200	in PPM	1,024
Soluable Iron (FE2)		in Mg/L	10.0	in PPM	9
Anions					
Chlarides		in Mg/L	130,000	in PPM	110,922
Suttates		in Mg/L	250	in PPM	213
Bicarbonetes		in Mg/L	127	in PPM	108
Total Hardness (as CaCO	)3)	in Mg/L	15,000	in PPM	12,799
Total Dissolved Solids (Ci	alc)	in Mg/L	213,549	in PPM	182,209
Equivalent NaCl Concenti	ation	in Mg/L	182,868	in PPM	156,031
caling Tendencies					
Calcium Carbonate Index					507,520
8 eigw 500,00	0 Remote / 500,	000-1,000,000	Possible / Abuve 1.	.000,000 Probabi	•
Calcium Sulfate (Gyp) Ind	ex				1,000,000
			Pozable / Above 10		
his Calculation is only an app adment.	roximation and	i is only valid L	efore treatment of	'a weli or eevera	l weaks after

Report # 3188

Sec 22, T25, S, R28E

Bone Spring

· · ·

North Permian Basin Region P.O. Box 740 Sundown, TX 79372-0740 (806) 229-8121 Lab Team Leader - Shells Hernandez (432) 495-7240

20

### Water Analysis Report by Baker Petrolite

Company:		Sales RDT:	33514.1
Region:	PERMIAN BASIN	Account Manager:	TONY HERNANDEZ (575) 910-7135
Area:	ARTESIA, NM	Sample #:	534665
Lease/Platform:	PINOCHLE 'BPN' STATE COM	Analysis ID #:	106795
Entity (or well #):	2 H	Analysis Cost:	\$90.00
Formation:	UNKNOWN		
Sample Point:	WELLHEAD		

Sempling Date: 03/10/11 Anions Analysis Date: 03/18/11 Chioride: Analyst: SANDRA GOMEZ Bicarbonate: Carbonate:	mg/i 109618.0 2135.0	meq/i 3091.92	Cations	mg/l	Npem
Anelyst: SANDRA GOMEZ Bioarbonate: Carbonate:		3091.92	dia di sass		
Carbonate:	2135.0		Sodium;	70275.7	3056.82
Carbonate:	£149.4	34.99	Negnesium:	195.0	18.04
	0.0	0.	Calcium:	844.0	42.12
TDS (mg/l or g/m3): 184911.1 Sulfate:	747.0	15.55	Strontium:	220.0	5.02
Density (g/cm3, tonne/m3): 1.113 Phosphale:			Barlum:	0.8	0.01
Anion/Cation Ratio: 1 Borate:			Iron:	6.5	0.23
Silicale:		1	Polassium:	889.0	22.22
			Aluminum:		
Cerbon Dioxide: 0 50 PPM Hydrogen Sullide	•:	0 PPM	Chromium:		
Oxygen:		-	Сорраг		
Comments:	mpiing:	· · / ·	Lead:		
pH at time of an	alyais:		Manganese:	0.100	0.
pH used in Cald	culation:	7	Nickel:		

Cond	ltions		Values C	alculated	at the Give	n Conditi	ions - Amol	ints of Sc	ale in Ib/10	00 <b>bbi</b>		
Temp	Gauge Press.	•	alcite CaCO <sub>3</sub>		aum 042H2 0		nydrite IaSO4		estite rSO <sub>4</sub>	-	rite ISO <sub>4</sub>	CO2 Press
Ŧ	psi	Index	Amount	Index	Amount	Index	Amount	Index	Amount	Index	Amount	pel
80	0	1.08	188.52	-1.20	0.00	-1.18	0.00	-0.11	0.00	0.58	0.29	1.72
100	0	1.10	206.05	-1.29	0.00	-1.20	0.00	-0.15	0.00	0.35	0.29	2.35
120	0	1.12	224.17	-1.38	0.00	-1.19	0.00	-0.17	0.00	0,16	0.00	3.17
140	0	1.13	243.17	-1.42	0.00	-1.18	0 00	-0.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	4,21

Note 1: When assessing the envertity of the scale problem, both the estumition index (31) and amount of scale must be considered.

Note 2: Precipitation of each scale is considered separately. Total scale will be test than the sum of the amounts of the five scales.

Note 3: The reported CO2 pressure is notusity the calculated CO2 fugacity. It is usually nearly the same as the CO2 partial pressure.

ж а

Injection Formation Water Analyses

					1.				infection roundton water shares		Support State					I
A REAL PROPERTY OF A REAL PROPER	and an and an		Contraction of	and a second	Vista	Disposal So	Vista Disposal Solutions, LLC - Devonian and Silurian-Fusselman Formations	Devonian al	Id Silurian-	-usselman F	ormations		0	and and the factor	and a set of the set of	
Wellname	Idv	Latitude	Longitude	Section Towns!	ship Range	Unit	Ftgns	Figew (	County	State Cor	Company Field	Formation	Tds_mgt	Chloride_mgl_ E	Chloride_mgL  Bicarbonate_mgL  Sulfate_mg	tte mgL
STATE 8 COM #001	3002509716	32.179405	3002509716 32.179405 -103.2212524	36 245	36E	U	600N 1	1880W  LEA	A NM		CUSTER	DEVONIAN	176234	107400	128	1004
FARNSWORTH FEDERAL #006	3002511950	32.077725	3002511950 32.077725 -103.162468		37E	A	660N 9	990E LEA	A NM	-	CROSBY	DEVONIAN	31931	1 20450	302	591
ARNOTT RAMSAY NCT-B #003	3002511863	32.092228	3002511863 32.092228 -103.1784439	32 255	37E	A	660N 6	660E LEA	A NM	*	CROSBY	DEVONIAN		100382	476	
ARNOTT RAMSAY NCT-B #003	3002511863	32.092228	3002511863 32.092228 -103.1784439	32 255	37E	A	660N 6	660E LEA	A NM	-	CROSBY	DEVONIAN	158761			
COPPER #001	3002511818	32.099484	3002511818 32.099484 -103.1656723	28 255	37E	-	19805 1	1981E LEA	A NM		CROSBY	DEVONIAN	27506	15270	1089	1079
STATE NJ A #003	3002511398	32,164749	3002511398 32,164749 -103,1273346		37E	4	663N 6	660E LEA	A NM		JUSTIS NORTH	DEVONIAN	105350	00265 0	660	4950
WESTATES FEDERAL #004	3002511389	32.161129	3002511389 32.151129 -103.1241226	tel.	37E	w	-		A NM		JUSTIS NORTH	FUSSELMAN	80880	46200	340	3050
WESTATES FEDERAL #004	3002511389	32.161129	3002511389 32.161129 -103.1241226	1 255	37E	w		330W  LEA	A NM		JUSTIS NORTH	FUSSELMAN	84900	48600	840	2650
WESTATES FEDERAL #004	3002511389	32.161129	3002511389 32 161129 -103 1241226		37E	w		330W LEA	A NM		JUSTIS NORTH	FUSSELMAN	72200	41000	370	2960
WESTATES FEDERAL #004	3002511389	32.161129	3002511389 32.161129 -103.1241226		37E	w	1980N 3	330W LEA	A NM		JUSTIS NORTH	FUSSELMAN	00608	46200	340	3050
WESTATES FEDERAL #004	3002511389	32.161129	3002511389 32.161129 -103.1241226	1 255	37E		1980N 3	330W LEA	A NM	*	JUSTIS NORTH	FUSSELMAN	77600	44000	550	3240
WESTATES FEDERAL #004	3002511389	32.161129	3002511389 32.161129 -103.1241226		37E	w	1980N 3	330W LEA	A NM	*	JUSTIS NORTH	FUSSELMAN	135000	77000	059	5810
WESTATES FEDERAL #004	3002511389	32.161129	3002511389 32.161129 -103.1241226		37E	u	1980N 3	330W LEA	A NM	*	JUSTIS NORTH	FUSSELMAN	114000	65000	280	5110
WESTATES FEDERAL #004	3002511389	32.161129	3002511389 32.161129 -103.1241226	325	37E		1980N	330W LEA	A NM		HTRON SITSUL	FUSSELMAN	135000	77000	500	5320
WESTATES FEDERAL #008	3002511393	32.162121	3002511393 32.162121 -103.1241226		37E	w	1620N 3	330W LEA	A NM		HTRON SITSUL	FUSSELMAN	91058	51020	376	4783
WESTATES FEDERAL #008	3002511393	32.162121	3002511393 32.162121 -103.1241226	1 255	37E	u	1	330W LEA	A NM		JUSTIS NORTH	FUSSELMAN	86847	7 50450	363	2544
STATE Y #009	3002511777		32.10582 -103.1113434	R	37E	4		990E LE	LEA. NM		JUSTIS	FUSSELMAN	219570	00001 0000	960	4630
STATE Y #009	3002511777		32.10582 -103.1113434	25 255	37E	A	6 N066	990E LEA	A NM		JUSTIS	FUSSELMAN	163430	00096 0	290	3780
SOUTH JUSTIS UNIT #023C	3002511760	32.106728	3002511760 32.106728 -103.1184616		37E	U	Ĩ	2080W  LEA	A NM		JUSTIS	FUSSELMAN	63817	7 35870	360	3442
CARLSON A #002	3002511764	32.100384	3002511764 32.100384 -103.1113434		37E	-	23105 9	990E LEA	A NM		JUSTIS	FUSSELMAN	208280	124000	510	3400
CARLSON B 25 #004	3007511784	32.096756	3007511784 32 096756 -103 1113434		375	4	Ĩ				STIS	FUSSEL MAN	184030	112900	681	1806

(a)

Water Well Map and Well Data



			Water Well S	Water Well Sampling Rationale			
			Vista Disposal Solutions	/ista Disposal Solutions, LLC - Justin Federal SWD #1			
SWD	Water Wells	Owner	Available Contact Information	Use	Sampling Required	Notes	
Note: No water wells	Vo water wells are present within 1 r	1 mile of the proposed SWI	VD location.				

•

w 16

Induced Seismicity Assessment Letter



July 16, 2019

Mr. Phillip Goetze, P.G. NM EMNRD – Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, NM 87505

Subject: Induced Seismicity Potential Statement for the Justin Federal SWD #1

Dear Mr. Goetze,

This letter provides information regarding the seismic potential associated with injection operations associated with Vista Disposal Solutions, LLC (Vista), proposed Justin Federal SWD #1, hereinafter referred to as the "Subject Well."

As outlined herein, based on my experience as an expert on the issue of induced seismicity, it is my opinion that the potential for the proposed injection well to cause injection-induced seismicity is expected to be minimal, at best. This conclusion is based on (1) the lack of historic seismic activity and faulting in the area, (2) the low fault slip potential (FSP) of Precambrian faults in the area, (3) the presence of confining layers, and (4) the overall vertical distance between the proposed injection zone and basement rock.

The Subject Well, is located 2,401 FNL & 194 FEL of Section 25, in T25-S and R34-E of Lea County, New Mexico. Historically, the Eddy and Lea Counties area has experienced very limited recorded seismic activity (per the U.S. Geological Survey [USGS] earthquake catalog database). There has been one known seismic event located within a 25-mile radius of the proposed Subject Well. The closest recorded seismic event was a M2.9 that occurred on December 4<sup>th</sup>, 1984, and was located approximately 14.0 miles northwest of the Subject Well (See Exhibit 1). The closest Class IID well injecting into the same formations (Devonian-Silurian) of the Subject Well is approximately 2.9 miles to the northwest (See Exhibit 1).

Vista does not own either 2D or 3D seismic reflection data in the area of the Subject Well. Fault data from USGS indicates that the closest known fault is approximately 2.9 miles east of the Subject Well (See Exhibit 1).

In a recent paper written by Snee and Zoback (2018) entitled "State of Stress in the Permian Basin, Texas and New Mexico: Implications for Induced Seismicity,", the authors found that large groups of mostly north-south striking Precambrian basement faults, predominantly located along the Central Basin Platform, the western Delaware Basin, and large parts of the Northwest Shelf (which includes Eddy and Lea counties, New Mexico) have low FSP at the modeled fluid-pressure

perturbation. The map in Exhibit 2 depicts the low probability risk of FSP for the Delaware Basin and Northwest Shelf areas (Snee and Zoback 2018).

Geologic analysis indicates that the proposed Devonian-Silurian injection zone is overlain by approximately 200 to 400 feet of Woodford Shale, which is the upper confining zone and will serve as a barrier for upward injection fluid migration. Additionally, the Simpson Group that lies directly below the Montoya Formation will act as a lower confining zone to prohibit fluids from migrating downward into the underlying Ellenberger Formation and Precambrian basement rock. See the stratigraphic column for the Delaware Basin included in Exhibit 3.

In the Eddy and Lea Counties area of New Mexico, the Simpson Group is comprised of a series of Middle to Upper Ordovician carbonates, several sandstones, and sandy shales that range from approximately 350 to 650 feet thick (Jones 2008). This group of rocks is capped by the limestones of the Bromide Formation, which is approximately 200 feet thick in this area (Jones 2008). The closest deep well drilled into the Precambrian basement was completed by the Skelly Oil Company in 1975. This well is located in Section 17, Range 36E, Township 25S of Lea County (API No.30-025-25046) and encountered 602 feet of Ellenburger Formation before reaching the top of the Precambrian granite at a depth of 18,920 feet. Based on the estimated thickness of the Simpson Group and Ellenburger Formation in this area, the Precambrian basement should be approximately 1,000 to 1,200 feet below the bottom of the proposed injection zones in the Subject Well.

#### Conclusion

As an expert on the issue of induced seismicity, it is my opinion that the potential for the proposed injection well to cause injection-induced seismicity is expected to be minimal, at best. This conclusion is based on (1) the lack of historic seismic activity and faulting in the area, (2) the low FSP of Precambrian faults in the area, (3) the presence of confining layers, and (4) the overall vertical distance between the proposed injection zone and basement rock.

Sincerely, ALL Consulting

J. Daniel Arthur, P.E., SPEC President and Chief Engineer

Enclosures References Exhibits

ie.

### References

Ball, Mahlon M. 1995. "Permian Basin Province (044)." In *National Assessment of United States Oil and Gas Resources—Results, Methodology, and Supporting Data*. U.S. Geological Survey. https://certmapper.cr.usgs.gov/data/noga95/prov44/text/prov44.pdf (accessed June 18, 2018).

Green, G.N., and G.E. Jones. 1997. "The Digital Geologic Map of New Mexico in ARC/INFO Format." U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 97-0052. https://mrdata.usgs.gov/geology/state/state.php?state=NM (accessed June 14, 2018).

Jones, Rebecca H. 2008. "The Middle-Upper Ordovician Simpson Group of the Permian Basin: Deposition, Diagenesis, and Reservoir Development." <u>http://www.beg.utexas.edu/resprog/permianbasin/PBGSP\_members/writ\_synth/Simpson.pdf</u> (accessed June 19, 2018).

Snee, Jens-Erik Lund, and Mark D. Zoback. 2018. "State of Stress in the Permian Basin, Texas and New Mexico: Implications for Induced Seismicity." *The Leading Edge* 37, no. 2 (February 2018): 127-34.

U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). No date. Earthquakes Hazard Program: Earthquake Catalog. <u>https://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/search/</u> (accessed June 14, 2018).

÷

### **E**xhibits

,



Exhibit 1. Map Showing the Distances from Known and Inferred Faults, Seismic Event, and Closest Deep Injection Well



Exhibit 2. Results of the Snee and Zoback (2018) Probabilistic FSP Analysis Across the Permian Basin



Exhibit 3. Delaware Basin Stratigraphic Chart (Ball 1995)

30. 300

Public Notice Affidavit and Notice of Application Confirmations

### Affidavit of Publication

STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF LEA

I, Daniel Russell, Publisher of the Hobbs News-Sun, a newspaper published at Hobbs, New Mexico, solemnly swear that the clipping attached hereto was published in the regular and entire issue of said newspaper, and not a supplement thereof for a period of 1 issue(s).

> Beginning with the issue dated July 11, 2019 and ending with the issue dated July 11, 2019.

Publisher

Sworn and subscribed to before me this 11th day of July 2019.

ssie Black

**Business Manager** 



This newspaper is duly qualified to publish legal notices or advertisements within the meaning of Section 3, Chapter 167, Laws of 1937 and payment of fees for said

LEGAL LEGAL

### LEGAL NOTICE JULY 11, 2019

APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO INJECT

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN: That Vista Disposal Solutions, LLC, 12444 NW 10th St., Building G, Suite 202-512, Yukon, OK 73099, is requesting that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division administratively approve the APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO INJECT as follows.

PURPOSE: The intended purpose of the injection well is to dispose of salt water produced from permitted oil and gas

WELL NAME AND LOCATION: Justin Federal SWD #1 SE ¼ NE ¼, Section 25, Township 255, Range 34E 2,401 ' FNL & 194' FEL Lea County, NM

NAME AND DEPTH OF DISPOSAL ZONE: Devonian -Silurian (18,120 - 19,300) EXPECTED MAXIMUM INJECTION RATE: 30,000

Bbis/day EXPECTED MAXIMUM INJECTION PRESSURE: 3.624 pai

(surface)

Objections or requests for hearing must be filed with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division within fifteen (15) days. Any objection or request for hearing should be mailed to the Oil Conservation Division, 1220 South St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505.

Additional information may be obtained by contacting Nate Alleman at 918-382-7581. #34421

67115320

00230672

DANIEL ARTHUR ALL CONSULTING 1718 S. CHEYENNE AVE. **TULSA, OK 74119**
Justin Federal S	WD #1 - Notice of Application Recipients			
Entity	Address	City	State	Zip Code
La	ndowner & Mineral Owner	Server and server	1.2.5	
New Mexico BLM	620 E Greene St.	Carlsbad	NM	88220
	OCD District			1. 11
NMOCD District 1	1625 N. French Drive	Hobbs	NM	88240
	Leasehold Operators	0		
Bank of America	Corporate Trust Administration	Los Angeles CA 9007		90071
(BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. ESTATE OF FRED LUTHY)	550 S. Hope St., Suite 500			50071
COG Operating, LLC (COG OPERATING LLC)	600 W. Illinois Ave.	Midland	TX	79701
COG Production, LLC (COG PRODUCTION LLC)	600 W. Illinois Ave.	Midland	TX	79701
Commision of Public Lands - State Land Office	310 Old Santa Fe Trail	Santa Fe	NM	87501
Energen Resources Corporation (ENERGEN RESOURCES CO)	2010 Afton Pl.	Farmington	NM	87401
EOG Resources, Inc. (EOG RESOURCES INC)	4000 N. Big Spring St, Suite 500	Midland	TX	79705
Estate of Fred Luthy	P.O. Box 2546	Fort Worth	ТΧ	76113
Notes: The table above shows the Entities who were identified	as parties of interest requiring notification	n on either the 1-mile	e well detail	list
(Attachment 2) or on the 2-mile Mineral Lease Map (Attachme	nt 2). The names listed above in parenthes	is, are the abbreviate	ed entity na	mes used on
either the 1-mile well detail list (Attachment 2) or on the 2-mile	e Mineral Lease Map (Attachment 2).			

Š

-4

 $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{W}$ 





8

For best results, feed this sheet through your printer as few times as possible. To purchase or for printing instructions go to **www.stamps.com/3610.** 





Place label at top of the center of theenvelope and fold at dotted line.



**\$5.60**⁰

US POSTAGE

FIRST-CLASS

FROM 74119

AUG 12 2019

stamps

ALL Consulting 1718 S. Cheyenne Ave. Tulsa, OK 74119

Place label at top of the center of the envelope and fold at dotted line.





9414 8118 9956 1523 3140 62

EOG Resources, Inc. 4000 N. Big Spring St Suite 500 Midland TX 79705-4630



©ERTIFIED MAIL®

CERTIFIED MAIL®

9414 8118 9956 1523 3140 48

Estate of Fred Luthy P.O. Box 2546 Fort Worth TX 76113-2546



For best results, feed this sheet through your printer as few times as possible. To purchase or for printing instructions go to **www.stamps.com/3610**.



For best results, feed this sheet through your printer as few times as possible. To purchase or for printing instructions go to **www.stamps.com/3610**.

Covered by and/or for use with U.S. Patents 6,244,763, 6,868,406, 7,216,110, 7,236,956, 7,236,970, 7,343,357, 7,490,065, 7,567,940, 7,613,639, 7,743,043, 7,882,094, 8,027,926, 8,027,937, 8,041,644, and 8,046,823 8,103,647 8,195,579, 8,301,572, 8,392,391 8,498,943.





## Vista Disposal Solutions, LLC

Fault Slip Potential Analysis



A

4 (M)

OCD Case# 20803 VISTA DISPOSAL SOLUTIONS, LLC October 3, 2019 Ex#3

## FSP Methodology

- 2 FSP areas (100 square miles each) in southeast New Mexico located to include all proposed Vista SWDs.
- Exact geologic conditions of the FSP areas are unknown.
- Two scenarios modeled for each FSP area using range of possible geologic conditions based on nearby geophysical logs.
- Scenario 1 uses low end of possible geologic conditions.
- Scenario 2 uses high end of possible geologic conditions.
- Each scenario modeled over 25 years. Stress gradients and pore pressure gradients derived from published papers (Snee and Zoback 2018).
- Reference depth, injection interval thickness, porosity, and permeability derived from nearby geophysical logs penetrating the injection interval (New Mexico OCD 2019, see appendix).
- No mapped or known sedimentary or Precambrian faults in the 100 square mile area of review for FSP area 1. Two mapped Precambrian faults in the 100 square mile area of review for FSP area 2. (USGS 2019, Ruppel et al 2005, and Wilson 2018).
- Random faults generated for FSP area 1 using strike and dip consistent with known high-angle normal faulting regime in southeast New Mexico (USGS 2019, Snee and Zoback 2018).
- Advanced geological parameters derived from well logs and confirmed with previous expert testimony in the region (Reynolds 2019).



(2)

# **Parameters**

Parameter	Value	Source
Vertical Stress Gradient (psi/ft)	1.1	Snee and Zoback (2018)
Horizontal Stress Direction (degrees azimuth)	75	Snee and Zoback (2018)
Reference Depth (ft)	17,500-17,900	Well Logs NMOCD (2019)
Initial Reservoir Pressure Gradient (psi/ft)	0.44	Snee and Zoback (2018)
A Phi	0.7 (normal faulting)	Snee and Zoback (2018)
Friction Coefficient	0.7	Snee and Zoback (2018)
Thickness with High Porosity (ft)	100-250	Well Logs NMOCD (2019)
Porosity (%)	5-10	Well Logs NMOCD (2019)
Permeability (mD)	10-100	Well Logs NMOCD (2019)
Fault Strike Minimum (degrees)	140	Snee and Zoback (2018)
Fault Strike Maximum (degrees)	190	Snee and Zoback (2018)
Fault Dip Minimum (degrees)	50	Snee and Zoback (2018)
Fault Dip Maximum (degrees)	90	Snee and Zoback (2018)
Density (kg/m^3)	1000	ALL Research and Reynolds (2019)
Dynamic Viscosity (Pa*s)	0.0003	ALL Research and Reynolds (2019)
Fluid Compressibility (Pa^-1)	4.70E-10	ALL Research and Reynolds (2019)
Rock Compressibility (Pa^-1)	8.70E-10	ALL Research and Reynolds (2019)



## **Injection** Data

- 5 deep class II injection wells active in 2019 within 2 areas of review (see appendix).
- No active deep class II injection wells within included Texas region (TX RRC 2019).
- Monthly average injection rates calculated from injection start-date through July 2019 (see appendix).
- 8 proposed Vista SWDs within 2 areas of review.
- Proposed Vista SWDs assumed to inject at proposed maximum rate of 30,000 bpd.



- 5 E

004



## **FSP** Areas

6

ŝ

÷ 10

5

## FSP After 25 Years - Area 1 - Scenario 1

 $\{i\} = \{i\}$ 



## FSP After 25 Years - Area 1 - Scenario 2



### FSP After 25 Years - Area 2 - Scenario 1

 $\sim 20$ 



### FSP After 25 Years - Area 2 - Scenario 2

3

. 9



## **Conclusions**

- There are two mapped Precambrian faults in the 100 square mile review of FSP area 2, which each show FSP of 0.00 over 25 years in both high and low geologic scenarios.
- Faults generated for FSP area 1, consistent with known high-angle normal faulting regime in southeast New Mexico, all show FSP of 0.00 over 25 years in both high and low geologic scenarios.
- Known faults in southeast New Mexico do not align with the horizontal stress field and are not likely to slip.
- FSP modeling through 25 years, with injection rates that are likely overestimated, shows no risk of potential fault slip in the areas of review.
- These areas present little to no risk for injection induced seismicity.



1

10

## **References**

U.S. Geological Survey. "Information by Region-New Mexico." <u>https://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/byregion/newmexico.php</u> (Accessed September 17, 2019)

U.S. Geological Survey. "Faults." https://earthquake.usgs.gov/hazards/qfaults/ (Accessed June 24, 2019)

U.S. Geological Survey. "Geologic Database of Texas", 2014-02-01. Web. (Accessed July 10, 2019)

Ruppel, S. C., R. H. Jones, C. L. Breton, and J. A. Kane, 2005, "Preparation of Maps Depicting Geothermal Gradient and Precambrian Structure in the Permian Basin": USGS Order no. 04CRSA0834 and Requisition no. 04CRPR01474.

EMNRD Oil Conservation Division. "Welcome to the New Mexico Mining & Minerals Division." http://www.emnrd.state.nm.us/OCD/ocdonline.html (Accessed September 19, 2019)

Texas RRC. "Public GIS Viewer." https://www.rrc.state.tx.us/about-us/resource-center/research/gis-viewers/ (Accessed September 19, 2019)

Snee, Jens-Erik Lund, and Mark D. Zoback. 2018. "State of Stress in the Permian Basin, Texas and New Mexico: Implications for Induced Seismicity." The Leading Edge 37, no. 2 (February 2018): 127-34.

Wilson, Scott J. 2018. "Affidavit of Scott J. Wilson, Amended Applications of NGL Water Solutions Permian, LLC for Approval of Saltwater Disposal Wells in Lea County, New Mexico." New Mexico Oil Conservation Division Case No, 16438 and Case No. 16440.

Reynolds, Todd. 2019. "FSP Analysis (Fault Slip Potential) Exhibits." New Mexico Oil Conservation Division Case No. 20313, Case No. 20314, and Case No. 20472.



 $\sqrt{2}$ 

## Appendix

### Control Log Well Details and Nearby Deep SWDs Injection Data



1.5

12



1988 PLANNING LECTING AND A

Dev/Sil logged from 17,430' to 18,900' (partial)

Dev/Sil logged from 17,730' to 18,675' (partial)

Dev/Sil logged from 18,610' to 20,071' (complete)

Dev/Sil logged from 17,446' to 17,600' (partial)

Dev/Sil logged from 17,427' to

Dev/Sil logged from 17,448' to 17,665' (partial)

Dev/Sil logged from 17,350' to 19,100' (complete)

Dev/Sil logged from 15,381' to 16,972' (complete)

Note: Approximately 100-250 feet of >5% porosity within injection

Data Source: New Mexico OCD 2019 13

# Nearby Deep SWD Injection Data

	Vista - Deep SWDs Within FSP Areas					
FSP Area	SP Area API # Well Name Average Daily Injection Rate (BWPD) Injection Start-C					
1	30-025-45028	Red Hills SWD #001 (Devonian-Montoya)	13,560	Dec - 2018		
1	30-025-35598	Red Hills SWD #001 (Devonian)	893	Oct - 2001		
1	30-025-23895	Vaca Draw Federal SWD #001	10,491	Jul - 2017		
1	30-025-45127	Maelstrom Federal SWD #001*	0	N/A		
2	30-025-45427	Sidewinder SWD #001	1,695	Jul - 2019		
2	30-025-42355	Kattlesnake 16 SWD #001	5,895	Dec - 2015		

\* Not included in models



×

12.19

Data Source: New Mexico OCD 2019 14

Geological Analysis of the Proposed Vista SWDs in Lea County, New Mexico

1.10



September 2019

OCD Case# 20803 VISTA DISPOSAL SOLUTIONS, LLC October 3, 2019 Ex#4

#### Introduction

The Vista Disposal Solutions, LLC (Vista) Devonian-Silurian saltwater disposal well (SWDs) applications, which includes the Charles Federal SWD #1, Douglas Federal SWD #1, Justin Federal SWD #1, Katherine Federal SWD #1, Muir Federal SWD #1, Kathy Federal SWD #1, and Samford Federal SWD #1 (see **Appendix A**) have been protested and are scheduled for hearing with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (OCD). ALL Consulting (ALL) has performed the following technical evaluation and assessment of the Devonian-Silurian injection reservoir geology along with the upper and lower confining zones of the proposed permitted injection intervals.

#### **Devonian-Silurian Injection Reservoir and Confining Zones**

The Devonian-Silurian injection reservoir consists of four primary carbonate formations within southern Lea county (see **Appendix B**):

#### Upper Confining Zone:

• **Woodford Shale** - The Upper Devonian Woodford Shale Formation consists of black shale with low porosity and permeability development. This formation acts as an upper confining layer for the Devonian-Silurian injection reservoir (Broadhead 2005).

#### **Devonian-Silurian Injection Formations:**

- Thirty-One Formation The Thirty-One Formation is Lower Devonian in age and consists of cherty limestone and dolomite that has undergone significant alteration since deposition, primarily by means of carbonate dissolution, leading to karst zones. It is a highly heterogeneous formation due to localized variations in porosity development, which generally ranges from 5 to 25% (Hill 1996, Ruppel 2006).
- Wristen Formation The Upper Silurian Wristen Formation consists of dolomite with some shale zones, and varying amounts of karst zones (Hill 1996).
- **Fusselman Formation** The Fusselman Formation is Lower Silurian in age and consists of crystalline dolomite with a heavily eroded top surface. Paleokarst is distributed throughout the formation, which adds to heterogeneity of porosity and permeability development (Hill 1996, Ruppel 2006).

#### Lower Confining Zone:

Montoya and Simpson Group - The lower confining zone for the Devonian-Silurian injection zone is the Montoya Group and the Simpson Group. The Montoya Group is Upper Ordovician in age and is composed of calcareous dolomite with some units of interbedded shales or limestones with chert and is dense, impermeable, and non-porous (Hill 1996). The Middle Ordovician Simpson Group contains a series of shales that has some limestones and sandstone units in it (Hill 1996).

#### Local Devonian-Silurian Geology

Completion reports and open hole geophysical resistivity and porosity logs from existing Devonian-Silurian SWDs in the vicinity of the proposed Vista SWDs have been assessed and analyzed. ALL determined the following from these completion reports and open hole logs:

#### Woodford Shale:

- Thickness data available via completion reports from seven existing Devonian-Silurian SWDs in the vicinity (see Appendix C).
  - Average thickness of 200 ft.

#### **Devonian-Silurian Injection Formations:**

- Thickness data available via completion reports from five existing Devonian-Silurian SWDs in the vicinity (see **Appendix C**).
  - Average thickness of 1,597 ft.
- Open hole geophysical logs available from eight existing Devonian-Silurian SWDs in the vicinity (see Appendix C).
  - Based on the analysis of the porosity and resistivity logs, the average porosity thickness is approximately 200 feet, with porosities ranging from 2 to 15% and averaging about 6%.
  - The better porosity zones on the logs indicate the presence of fractures or vugs (small borehole enlargement on the caliper log), so most of the porosity and permeability in the Devonian-Silurian formations seem to be secondary porosity development.

#### Montoya and Simpson Groups:

- Thickness data available via completion reports from two existing Devonian-Silurian SWDs located approximately fifteen miles north of the proposed Vista SWDs (See **Appendix C**).
  - Average thickness of 962 ft.
- Thickness maps (Jones 2008) show approximately 100-200 ft of Montoya and 750-1000 ft of Simpson present in the vicinity of the proposed Vista SWDs (see **Appendix D**).
- A well drilled by Amerada Hess (API No. 30-025-33077) in 1995 Section 6 of Lea County encountered the top of the Montoya Group a depth of 16,141 feet and the base was at 16,538 feet. An analysis of geophysical logs across the Montoya Group indicates a very tight zone of rock from a depth of 16,240 to 16,526 (286 feet), which would act as a lower confining barrier to fluid flow below the Silurian Fusselman Formation.

#### **Depth to Basement**

In the Lea county area of New Mexico, the Montoya and Simpson Groups are comprised of a series of Middle to Upper Ordovician carbonates, several sandstones, and sandy shales that range from approximately 850 to 1,200 feet thick (Jones 2008). This group of rocks is capped by the

#### Geological Analysis of the Proposed Vista SWDs in Lea County, New Mexico

limestones of the Bromide Formation, which is approximately 200 feet thick in this area (Jones 2008). The closest deep well drilled into the Precambrian basement was completed by the Skelly Oil Company in 1975. This well is located in Section 17, Range 36E, Township 25S of Lea County (API No.30-025-25046) and encountered 602 feet of Ellenburger Formation before reaching the top of the Precambrian basement at a depth of 18,920 feet. Based on the estimated thickness of the Simpson Group and Ellenburger Formation in this area, the Precambrian basement should be approximately 1,650 to 2,000 feet below the bottom of the proposed injection zones at the proposed Vista SWDs.

#### Conclusions

 $g_{1} = 20$ 

In the sections above, ALL has evaluated and assessed the Devonian-Silurian injection reservoir geology and containment of the injectate to the permitted formations. Based on the analysis performed, it is logical to conclude the Devonian-Silurian injection reservoir is a highly heterogeneous group of carbonate rocks dominated by karstic features and secondary porosity, with little to no potential for disposed fluids to escape the intended injection zones.

#### Geological Analysis of the Proposed Vista SWDs in Lea County, New Mexico

#### References

1.1

Broadhead, R.F. 2005. "Regional aspects of the Wristen petroleum system, southeastern New Mexico." New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources, Open-File Report No. 485.

Hill, Carol. 1996. "Geology of the Delaware Basin Guadalupe, Apache, and Glass Mountains, New Mexico and Texas." SEPM Permian Basin Section Publication No. 96-39.

Ruppel, Stephen C. 2006. "The Lower Devonian Thirtyone Formation of the Permian Basin: Dominance of Deep-Water, Siliceous Sedimentation." *Bureau of Economic Geology*.

Ruppel, Stephen C. 2006. "The Fusselman of the Permian Basin: Patterns in Depositional and Diagenetic Facies Development on a Stable Platform During the Late Ordovician - Early Silurian Icehouse." *Bureau of Economic Geology*.

EMNRD Oil Conservation Division. "Welcome to the New Mexico Mining & Minerals Division." http://www.emnrd.state.nm.us/OCD/ocdonline.html (Accessed September 26, 2019)

Jones, Rebecca H. 2008. "The Middle-Upper Ordovician Simpson Group of the Permian Basin: Deposition, Diagenesis, and Reservoir Development."

http://www.beg.utexas.edu/resprog/permianbasin/PBGSP\_members/writ\_synth/Simpson.pdf (accessed September 23, 2019).

Jones, Rebecca H. 2008. "Patterns of Montoya Group Deposition, and Reservoir Development in the Permian Basin." <u>https://netl.doe.gov/sites/default/files/2018-04/NT15509\_FinalReport\_OPT.pdf</u> (accessed September 25, 2019).

Appendix A

× , ∞ •

**Proposed Vista SWD Location Map** 

IA 13 18   IA 13 286   IA 14 15   IA 15 16   IA 16 16   IA 16 17   IA 16 16   IA 16	Legend
23 24 <sup>19</sup> Juatin Federal SVD #1	+ Proposed Vista SWDs
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Existing & Permitled Deep SWDs
35 36 31	285
02 01 06 <b>200</b>	
12 07	
ja 13 18	
23 24 19	
28 25 30	
35 36 31	
	NVD #5 OI OG   02 01 06   11 12 07   14 13 18   23 24 19   26 25 30

#### Appendix A – Proposed Vista SWD Locations and Nearby Devonian-Silurian SWDs

8 \* \*

 $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ 

**Appendix B** 

**Delaware Basin Stratigraphic Column** 

System	Series	Lithostratigraphic unit		aphic unit
Mississippian	Chesterian	undivided		ded
ssip	Meramecian			
SSi	Osagian			
ž	Kinderhookian			
ian	Upper	Woodford Shale		I Shale
Devonian	Middle			
De	Lower	Thirtyone Fm.		e Fm.
	Pridolian	Gp.	Fasken Fm.	Frame Fm.
ian	Ludlovian	Wristen Gp.		
Silurian	Wenlockian	3		Wink Fm.
	-			Second Second
	Llandoverian	Fusselman Fm.		n Fm.
ician	Upper	Montoya Fm. Simpson Gp.		Fm.
Ordovicia	Middle			Gp.
ō	Lower	Ellenburger Fm.		er Fm.

Appendix B – Generalized Delaware Basin Stratigraphic Column Source: Broadhead 2005

ж. 5 ж. а Appendix C

 $|\mathbf{s}\rangle$ 

 $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$ 

### **Completion Report and Geophysical Log Data**

Formation Top Data - Nearby Devonian-Silurian SWDs				
API	Woodford Top (ft)	Dev/Sil Top (ft)	Montoya Top (ft)	Ellenburger Top (ft)
30-025-26188	17,255	17,412	ND	ND
30-025-28144	17,292	17,446	ND	ND
30-025-29191	17,271	17,427	ND	ND
30-025-34626	17,287	17,448	ND	ND
30-025-42354	17,405	17,730	ND	ND
30-025-43379	ND	17,302	18,854	ND
30-025-45028	ND	17,430	ND	ND
30-025-42355	18,415	18,610	20,071	ND
30-025-44661	17,100	17,350	19,100	ND
30-025-33077	ND	14,571	16,141	17,125
30-025-32672	ND	14,558	16,211	17,150

Appendix C – Formation Top Data from Nearby Devonian-Silurian SWDs Data Source: New Mexico OCD 2019

÷ 3

ND = No Data

Appendix C – Formation Thickness Data from Nearby Devonian-Silurian SWDs Data Source: New Mexico OCD 2019

Formation Thickness Data - Nearby Devonian-Silurian SWDs				
API	Woodford Thickness (ft)	Dev/Sil Thickness (ft)	Montoya/Simpson Thickness (ft)	
30-025-26188	157	ND	ND	
30-025-28144	154	ND	ND	
30-025-29191	156	ND	ND	
30-025-34626	161	ND	ND	
30-025-42354	325	ND	ND	
30-025-43379	ND	1,552	ND	
30-025-45028	ND	ND	ND	
30-025-42355	195	1,461	ND	
30-025-44661	250	1,750	ND	
30-025-33077	ND	1,570	984	
30-025-32672	ND	1,653	939	

ND = No Data

Geophysical Logs - Nearby Devonian-Silurian SWDs			
API #	API # Dev/Sil Logged (ft)		
30-025-45028	17,430 to 18,900	Partial	
30-025-42354	17,730, to 18,675	Partial	
30-025-42355	18,610 to 20,071	Partial	
30-025-28144	17,446 to 17,600	Partial	
30-025-29191	17,427 to 17,580	Partial	
30-025-34626	17,448 to 17,665	Partial	
30-025-44661	17,350 to 19,100	Complete	

Appendix C –Geophysical Logs from Nearby Devonian-Silurian SWDs Data Source: New Mexico OCD 2019

1 <sub>10</sub> - 2 4

**Appendix D** 

8

 $\sim -c$ 

### **Montoya and Simpson Thickness Maps**



Appendix D – Montoya Formation Thickness Map Source: Jones 2008



Appendix D –Simpson Formation Thickness Map Source: Jones 2008

 $(\mathbf{r})$ 

¥ ar



OCD Case# 20803 VISTA DISPOSAL SOLUTIONS, LLC October 3, 2019 Ex#5