## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

## ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 20809

Application of Mewbourne Oil company for compulsory pooling, Lea County, NM

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 3, 2018

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Phillip Goetz, Examiner, Dylan Rose-Coss, Examiner, and David Ames, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, October 3, 2019, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals, and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico

Reported by: Mary Therese Macfarlane

New Mexico CCR 122

PAUL BACA COURT REPORTERS

500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

(505) 843-9241

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES.	
2		Tames Bruce, Esq. Post Office Box 1056
3	S	Santa Fe, NM 87504 505) 982-2043
4		jamesbruc@aol.com
5		
6	INDEX	
7	CASE NUMBER 20809 CALLED	
8	APPLICANT WITNESSES:	PAGE
9	MITCH ROTHMAN (By affidavit)	4
10	JORDAN CARROL (By affidavit)	4
12	TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT:	6
13		
14	EXHIBIT IN	DEX
15	APPLICANT MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY	CASE NO. 20809
16	EXHIBIT	ADMITTED
17	1	6
18	2	6
19	3	6
20	4	6
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 (Time noted 3:42 p.m.).
- 2 EXAMINER GOETZ: Let's go through the list
- Let's start off with 20809.
- 4 Okay. 20809, Application of Mewbourne Oil
- 5 Company for compulsory pooling in Lea County, New Mexico.
- 6 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe
- 7 representing the Applicant. I am submitting these cases
- 8 by affidavit.
- 9 The second thing I handed you is just a
- 10 larger copy of the cross section I am submitting.
- 11 Mr. Examiner, in this case -- this one does
- 12 have a little -- kind of a little glitch in it.
- 13 EXAMINER GOETZ: This is the one where you refer
- 14 back to another case; is this correct?
- 15 MR. BRUCE: Correct. In this case Mewbourne Oil
- 16 Company seeks to force pool the east half/east half of
- 17 Section 10 and the east half/east half of Section 15, 23
- 18 South, 34 East.
- 19 This case was originally heard as Case
- 20 20580. No Order has been issued, and the wells were from
- 21 the north to the south. They have filed the additional
- 22 application to not only cover those two wells but to cover
- 23 wells from the south to the north, because they had
- 24 some -- they commenced drilling their very first well out
- 25 there and they had some surface issues that precluded them

- 1 from getting more than a few hundred feet deep. Now they
- 2 want to include both, all four wells, the two 10/15 wells
- 3 and the two 15/10 wells, because they may still drill the
- 4 original two wells because they have APDs for those, wells
- 5 and these are federal wells. So they have filed for what
- 6 are called the 15/10 wells -- Mewbourne always puts the
- 7 surface location of the section first -- and it might take
- 8 some time to do that.
- 9 But, anyway, they just want all four wells
- 10 in the same application.
- 11 They are seeking to force pool a First Bone
- 12 Spring well and a Third Bone Spring well.
- So that is the difference here.
- 14 And the exhibits are pretty much the same.
- 15 If you run through the land exhibits, they show who
- 16 they're force pulling. The AFEs for the wells -- actually
- 17 the south to north wells are cheaper than, slightly
- 18 cheaper than the original wells.
- 19 Notice has been given to all the pooled
- 20 parties. There is some unreturned green slips but an
- 21 Affidavit of Publication was set forth. And even though
- 22 geology was presented in the first case, besides the
- 23 Affidavit of Mitch Roth, the landman, I also have the
- 24 Affidavit of Jordan Carrol the geologist, who includes the
- 25 usual exhibits, cross section, isopach, structure map, and

1 the standard planning reports. And they would ask that an

- 2 Order be entered covering all four wells rather than just
- 3 the two originally pooled.
- 4 EXAMINER GOETZ: Discussion? As far as Notice
- 5 did we cover everything between the two cases?
- 6 MR. BRUCE: The geology is the same in both
- 7 cases, and that's of record, so I'm not submitting the old
- 8 geology affidavits. The the only thing is moving from
- 9 north/south to south/north.
- 10 And Notice was given to everybody the first
- 11 go-around. Actually, there are a few companies that
- 12 joined in the wells in the interim so that Mewbourne and
- 13 it's non-ops control 90.6 percent of the interest.
- 14 There are some record title owners being
- 15 force pooled just for purposes of communitization.
- 16 EXAMINER GOETZ: So we're giving Mewbourne the
- 17 option --
- 18 MR. BRUCE: To drill either.
- 19 EXAMINER GOETZ: And/or.
- MR. BRUCE: Yeah.
- 21 EXAMINER GOETZ: And you have got AFEs for every
- 22 one of these?
- MR. BRUCE: Yeah, the AFEs, the original AFEs
- 24 were included in 20580, and new AFEs are included in this.
- 25 EXAMINER GOETZ: And they are in the same

- 1 horizon, so...
- 2 MR. BRUCE: Exact same horizon. It's the exact
- 3 same.
- 4 EXAMINER GOETZ: So it's about surface casing
- 5 and placement of surface casing, not being able to
- 6 complete?
- 7 MR. BRUCE: Correct.
- 8 EXAMINER GOETZ: All right.
- 9 EXAMINER AMES: Mr. Bruce, would you like to
- 10 move admission?
- MR. BRUCE: Oh, yes. Move for the admission of
- 12 Exhibits 1 through 4. Excuse me.
- 13 EXAMINER GOETZ: Exhibits 1 through 4 in case
- 14 20809 are so entered.
- 15 MR. BRUCE: And, by the way, that's the first
- 16 time I've ever asked for that type of Order in 37 years.
- 17 EXAMINER GOETZ: Well, you've always brought us
- 18 the best of everything, so we -- you have several firsts
- 19 we still refer to.
- 20 So you would like to take this one under
- 21 advisement?
- MR. BRUCE: Please take it under advisement.
- 23 EXAMINER GOETZ: So Case 20809 is taken under
- 24 advisement.
- 25 (Time noted 3:50 P.M.)

	Page 7	
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO )	
2	) SS	
3	COUNTY OF TAOS )	
4		
5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
6	I, MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, New Mexico Reporter	
7	CCR No. 122, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that on Thursday, October	
8	3, 2019, the proceedings in the above-captioned matter	
9	were taken before me; that I did report in stenographic	
10	shorthand the proceedings set forth herein, and the	
11	foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to	
12	the best of my ability and control.	
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by	
14	nor related to nor contracted with (unless excepted by the	
15	rules) any of the parties or attorneys in this case, and	
16	that I have no interest whatsoever in the final	
17	disposition of this case in any court.	
18		
19	-	
20	MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, CCR NM Certified Court Reporter No. 122	
21	License Expires: 12/31/2019	
22		
23		
24		
25		