- DISCLAIMER: This transcript was auto-generated with the assistance of Microsoft AI technology 1
- 2 and may contain errors, omissions, or not reflect the original audio's nuances. Its intended
- purpose is to provide general information only. The official record is the video recording of the 3
- hearing, which is posted to the OCD's YouTube channel, which can be viewed here: OCD Pecos 4
- Hall YouTube 5

#### OCD Regular Docket December 18, 2025-7

#### **20251218 085443-Meeting Recording** 8

- 9 December 18, 2025, 3:54PM
- 1h 35m 51s 10

11

**Pecos Hall** started transcription 12

13



### Pecos Hall 0:03

- Good morning. 15
- It's 854. We're gonna start a few minutes early because I'm gonna ask a little bit off 16
- 17 topic.
- 18 Question. My name is Gregory Chakalian.
- This is the oil conservation division. Second, regular docket restricted to status 19
- conferences and continued hearing by affidavits that needed some additional work 20
- and review. 21
- Dean. 22
- McClure is with us not on camera, but he's listening. 23
- And he can participate if necessary. 24
- Freya is running the show and we've been recording for, I think about an hour now 25
- 26
- Before we get into our docket, I thought I would ask the regulated community. 27
- For some feedback on the new procedures that we noticed. 28

29



+15\*\*\*\*\*\*12 0:50

Thank you. 31

32



#### Pecos Hall 0:56

Beginning November 1st, for two of them in December 1st for one of them.



37 None.

38

## PH Pecos Hall 1:01

- 40 And I thought I would just go around the room.
- I'm gonna start from my left and go to my right and just get some feedback. While
- 42 Freya and Dean are listening so that we can give it some feedback as well.
- 43 So let's start.

44



**+15\*\*\*\*\*\*12** 1:15

46 Yes.

47

### Pecos Hall 1:17

- 49 Good. Oh, Jackie. You wanna for for Hardy MacLaine?
- You wanna chirp up?
- 51 All right.
- 52 Sounds good.
- 53 Please keep.
- 54 Please no swear words please.
- 55 Everyone knows I don't.
- 56 No, I don't. I don't.
- 57 I don't swear.
- More please keep your comments limited short. Just give us the high points of of the
- ups and downs of the three notices from your perspective.

60



**+15\*\*\*\*\*\*12** 1:34

62 Yeah.

63

## Pecos Hall 1:43

- 65 So, Jackie, go ahead, OK.
- 66 Thank you.
- 67 First, I will begin with the filing system. I personally file regularly and.
- One thing I know that we had heard that it was not meant to be.
- Required to input things like the pool and pool code, but you can't submit an

- application for a new compulsory pooling application without completing every
- single one of the boxes except for the facilities.
- One, that's the one that you don't have to do if you're filing a reopen application or
- an application to amend an order. You do not have to fill out everything. All you have
- to fill out.
- 75 ls.
- 76 The notice.
- Portion and attach the PDF of the application.
- Secondly, there is no function on the OCD website to administratively request an
- 79 extension of a pooling order.
- 80 We have reached out and asked for that.
- Because the part of that I thought was to streamline things and take cases off the
- 82 jacket.
- We're continuing to have to come for that. So adding that function would be very
- helpful or giving us an e-mail where we can.



**+15\*\*\*\*\*\*12** 2:54

- 87 Yeah.
- 88 And.

89



#### Pecos Hall 3:00

- And submit those administrative requests for an extension that would be helpful.
- Lastly, the ownership commitment exhibit that was also part of the notice the Group
- of notices that went out.
- 94 If someone could explain the reasoning that would be wonderful, but it seems to be
- a compilation of exhibits already in existence.
- That's creating more time and work.
- For many of our, you know, administrative assistants, clients and ourselves that have
- 98 to check these.
- We already have the notice that was sent out as a notice exhibit that's required the
- unit recapitulation exhibit with the pool parties list that shows who's committed and
- who's not committed.
- So it would be nice to not have to do that additional work.
- 103 Work, as we're already being asked to take additional time to file the applications
- now because the filing is a very, very lengthy process to do. One simple application

- can sometimes take 20 to 30 minutes to file.
- So that's what I have to thank you. Thank you.
- 107 I'm not sure who you are.
- 108 I'm really sorry. With Holland and heart and I know that Paula can speak to this.
- 109 More effectively than I can, right?
- Yes, and please do it in the same format that Jackie McLean.
- Well, I guess well, let's go with Dena Bennett. Since you're technically next in line, if
- you'll do it in the same format that Jackie did, it's, it's succinct and it just alerts Freya
- and Dean on what issues you might be having.
- So we can go to it and and see if we can get that fixed.
- 115 Thank you.
- On the filing and this might not be a question for IT, but with the pool and pool
- 117 code.
- 118 I'm curious about whether if we enter the wrong pool and pool code our our
- application's going to be rejected at hearing because a lot of times we are working
- off of preliminary information on the pool and pool code at the time we're filing the
- 121 application and so.
- 122 This is not necessarily question for ITI.
- Don't think unless we could bypass the pool and pool code at the time.
- Yes, we are aware of this issue and it wasn't the intention of the division to require
- the pool code to be mandatory.
- 126 It is working to correct this.
- 127 Thank you. On the extensions and.
- 128 Amendment to the development plan.
- 129 I echo Jackie's comments that my my reading of the guidance and of the orders was
- that it was to be streamlined and we wouldn't even need to submit a hearing
- 131 application.

## 17 James Parrot 5:47

134 Yeah.

135

## 17 Pecos Hall 5:56

- 137 And so the fact that we now have to submit a hearing application, not now, we were
- all all the time having to submit hearing applications, but it so it doesn't seem like it's
- that much of A gained efficiency.

- 140 And then I do have hold on one second.
- 141 Is there someone who could be muted?
- 142 It sounds like someone's moving papers around in the background. Oh, thank you.
- 143 As you know, that irritates me when I hear background noise.
- Right, right, right, Go ahead.
- 145 I'm sorry, Miss Bennett.
- So so back to this.
- Back to the streamlined extensions you were saying you thought it was. What now
- that we weren't going to have to submit applications to the portal at all, that there
- was going to be an alternative mechanism for us to alert the division to uncontested.
- But how would you know? It's uncontested if we are obligated under the orders, not
- we, but our clients, through us to send out notice 20 days in advance.
- 152 And so if.
- 153 There is no protest during the 20 days and I'm going from yes, memory on the
- orders here then the.
- 155 Amendment or extension was, as I read the orders and the I think it was the July
- guidance, the amendment and the extension was deemed approved.
- 157 And so I did raise a question with the division about how to memorialize the deemed
- approval, but that shouldn't have in my mind anyway led to having to file an
- application with the division.
- Taking the division's time to just say this has not been protested.
- So that to me seemed the guidance that we received seemed inconsistent with the
- intent of the language in the order and the earlier guidance on it, OK.
- So let's go back for a moment.
- So in the old in the old way of doing it, every extension had to be filed with an
- application to the division, and if it wasn't protested, we'd still hear it by affidavit and
- 166 blah blah blah, OK.
- So we we were trying to avoid.
- Having to do a lot of these extensions by hearing.
- 169 So then in your mind.
- 170 In your mind, how would that be done?
- So what I was, what I was thinking is we would send out the notice and we would
- notify OCD as well.
- 173 That's what the orders require is notice to the parties and notice to OCD 20 days, 20
- days before what you want.

- 20 days, I guess before would be deemed approved.
- 176 Well, hold on. But the 20, the 20 day notice isn't that.
- 177 Isn't that normally?
- Hey, there's gonna be a hearing in 20 days.
- 179 That's that's up to 20 days.
- No, I wish I had an order pulled up.
- 181 Maybe someone can pull up an order for me.
- Be great is your rule that you're no, it's in the order.
- Notice it's in the notice and the order.
- 184 Yeah, yeah, new orders.
- Yeah, let me log in and get the notice up in front of me too.
- But I think the the new the newest orders would be the most helpful for you to look
- 187 at because they predate.
- 188 The guidance.
- 189 So the order.
- 190 They say if you want an what what do the orders say?
- The orders say if you want an extension, provide notice to the division and the other
- parties, and if there's no objection within 20 days, then the extension is approved.
- 193 So this is on the order itself.
- 194 This is on the order itself, not in the rule, not in the rule, and not in the guidance.
- 195 And that's why I'm I don't know about exactly.
- 196 I haven't looked at that order yet, OK?
- 197 It's in every new order for the last year and a half, I'd say.
- So then with that order and with those words. Mm-hmm. You're you. You're you're
- hoping that the system would be what you would send out the notice and the notice
- 200 would go to the division and to the parties. And at the end of the 20 days, we could
- 201 file.
- 202 Something in the case files, an exhibit or an affidavit from the lawyer saying I
- complied with the notice. The notice. There were no objections and it is now. I put it
- up on steamed approved.
- 205 So here it is.
- 206 So it says operator requests an extension by notifying OCD and all parties that
- 207 required notice.
- Upon no objection, after 20 days, the extension is automatically granted.
- 209 So what happened to good 'cause? What do you mean automatically?

- 210 Granted, there's no good 'cause anymore.
- 211 Good causes is out the window.
- 212 Oh, based on the language. Yeah, based on the language of the order.
- 213 I'm not saying.
- 214 And then it says if a protest is received, the extension is not granted.
- 215 And then we set the case for a hearing. But under the guidance we now have to file
- an application for a hearing, even if it's by affidavit.
- 217 So it's inconsistent with paragraph 21.
- Well, This is why I asked to do this this morning.
- 219 Because I wanted to hear your feedback.
- This is a good one to think about.
- 221 Is there anything else you wanted to say? Yes, on this on that same thing. It says that
- 222 the.
- You're supposed to give notice to the parties in accordance with XY or Z and back in
- 224 the day. For extensions, we only gave notice working interest owners.
- 225 Then we gave notice of extensions to everyone who was given notice before, like the
- 226 entire notice list. And now folks are doing a combination.
- 227 I'd say some folks give extension.
- Notice of extensions only to the working interest owners that were pooled.
- Some folks give notice to everyone who was pooled, including overwriting royalty
- interest owners. And so I think it could be helpful to understand from the division
- exactly what notice is required for an extension or amendment.
- 232 I asked Dean McClure if he'd like to address that issue.
- He says when everyone's finished with their feedback, he will then.
- 234 Give some sort of guidance I guess.
- There is also an FAQ e-mail that is still before the director that has not been sent out
- 236 yet.
- Just so you know.
- 238 Thank you, which I know may may clear some of this up, but that seems like a good
- one to clear up now if we can. If we can, yes.
- 240 And then finally on the notice exhibit.
- 241 I understand where Jackie's coming from on that, but I don't see any issues with that
- 242 and I'm having no problems filling that out and I understand the reason for require
- 243 requiring that.
- 244 Thank you and M's McLean M's McLean I I was listening to you before and I thought

- that the additional part of the exhibit was the voluntary commitment part of it.
- So on the OR at least, how pretty much all of ours were our exhibits work are that we
- show whether or not people are voluntarily committed or have committed to the the
- 248 unit.
- The only way you can commit.
- 250 Is voluntarily or I guess if you have a already are subject to ajoa, but then we you
- know, you wouldn't be being pooled so you wouldn't be being pool like that
- wouldn't really matter for the purposes of insurance. That inference that you're
- referring to is an inference that.
- 254 The division didn't want to keep making. I think the division wanted affirmative
- evidence to show.
- 256 Who was voluntarily committed?
- Not. Not necessarily.
- You know, show us the JOA or something like that.
- 259 That's way too much, but just affirm under your under your ethical commitment to
- the forum that this this party is in some way voluntarily committed or not.
- l think it was that extra step. Then maybe we could just put that next to their name
- on that exhibit.
- 263 Because I don't know.
- To me, it just doesn't.
- 265 I I understand if they want some sort of indication.
- 266 And how they're being committed or what?
- You know what's going on there? If it's through contract, if it's verbally, you know, I
- understand that.
- But the land man doesn't send out the notices of the hearing.
- 270 And they're asking that this be attached to the land.
- 271 Man's right that their testimony. And so we have to take what we've already put
- together.
- 273 And then add it to that exhibit and then add additional land, you know.
- Used to do it that way.
- 275 Where the land man would have the notice that was sent out for the hearing
- attached to their testimony, and then the division said no, you guys need to start
- submitting your own affidavits that's attached that.
- 278 So now we're going to back to bow.
- Now we're doing both.

- 280 | see.
- 281 And so if you know if they want that affirmation, I understand that.
- 282 And that's fine.
- 283 And we can provide that.
- 284 I don't understand the need to add that.
- Hearing notice aspect to.
- To the peace, because that's just making us do that list twice.
- What we'll see if Dean wants to chime in on that as well.
- 288 I'm not. I'm not.
- 289 I'm not volunteering him. I'm just saying we'll see. It's up to him.
- 290 Could I just weigh in on what Miss McClain said?
- Yes, I do recall, I mean, I was involved in a hearing that I think probably led to the
- creation of this exhibit, which is where.
- 293 One of our an operator had reached a letter.
- Not a letter.
- Not a JOA, but by voluntary oral agreement, had agreed not to pool a party and the
- 296 division was concerned that whether that rose to the level of being committed such
- that they did not need notice, and so I think, at least in when I read that.
- 298 Exhibit requirement.
- 299 That's what it was designed to address. Is the both folks who you know committed
- via ajoa but also giving us the opportunity to identify when there's letters of support
- or oral agreements, such that the fact that they weren't given notice of a hearing may
- not preclude dismissal or.
- A continuance to cure notice. So I I find that exhibit to be.
- 304 Well, I agree.
- 305 It's probably better in the in the lawyer in our affidavit than in the Landman affidavit.
- I I understand the purpose of it and and think that it can be helpful for us in those
- 307 situations where we may have not given notice for a reason that's not entirely clear
- 308 to the division through our exhibit.
- 309 Yeah. Thanks for the clarification.
- I do see Dean on. So I guess he, but let's go to Miss Vance next.
- I I will keep it brief because I think a lot of my feedback has already been covered,
- but I would say yes on the starting with the filing, it's exceptionally time consuming
- and you know for for us and I think a big concern is if we don't get.
- The information input correctly, especially since we're, you know, I I hear miss. She's

- filing. Miss Bennett is filing. We have a legal assistant who is filing who is terrified
- that she's going to mess it up. And so putting that pressure. Yeah, it's a lot of detail.
- 317 And so.
- 318 There's a lot.
- Whereas before it was a very seamless process and now we're doing a lot of
- 320 checking re checking and making sure and again that that concern that especially
- with the pools and the pool codes because sometimes we.
- 322 Come to hearing and we find out.
- 323 Sure. We don't have the right pool or pool code.
- One that will it be rejected or is there an opportunity to correct that so that we can
- still move forward? You know, is there a process for that, I think?
- Let you know that before we ask you to enter your own data literally one person was
- 327 entering all this data.
- 328 And it was take no really. And and you think.
- You think to yourself how it feels if you got it wrong.
- I mean, she doesn't even work for the client and she's trying to get it all right. And
- she did a great job and didn't make very many mistakes along the way.
- We we asked ourselves, well, what happens if we find a mistake in data that you've
- you or you or your assistant has entered? What?
- How would we deal with that through the process, OK. And we've been talking about
- that and and no, we wouldn't derail the, it would not derail and and as you see we've
- 336 bent over backward.
- 337 In trying to.
- 338 Mistakes or help you correct mistakes without our correcting them.
- Where there's a slight delay, maybe a couple of weeks delay, but it's not like you
- have to wait even a whole month to get that case taken under advisement.
- So we're we're we're working on it, which I would say we very much appreciate and
- getting that reassurance from the the division is definitely helpful. And I think you're
- referring to Freya, maybe who's visionary.
- And we we applaud her for it. And we thank her for doing such a wonderful job.
- But yeah, it's obviously a change and having that, particularly the reassurance that in
- an application is not going to get rejected.
- Would would is nice, OK. And then moving on to the extensions again, I'll just
- reiterate everything that's been said. You know having that it would be nice to
- understand that so that we.

- You don't have to file applications. You know I I won't repeat everything that's been
- 351 said.
- 352 The evidentiary notice, I would definitely say, you know, I understand the reasoning.
- 1 think behind it based on the discussion here and you know my own thoughts of
- observing, but I do think it's a lot of back and forth with the client and I if we are
- going to include it, it's much better for it to be in the attor.
- 356 Statement and not in the Land Man statement.
- 357 Because it takes just additional coordination.
- 358 And sometimes can lead to some confusion.
- In making sure that the information is correct so you know I don't issue doing it. But
- if we are going to do it, I would definitely highly recommend that it go in the
- attorney state with the attorney statement. Thank you.
- Thank you, Mr. Padilla.
- 363 Do you have anything?
- 364 I don't have anything new to say.
- OK, all I can say is by way of comment is seems to be easier to file in the Federal
- 366 District Court than Oil Conservation Division.
- That's 'cause you're used to doing it, yes. As a plaintiff, I'm sure.
- I think I would have to collaborate with Miss Luck over here and.
- I haven't had that opportunity to file applications, but I'd I.
- 1'd I'd have to relearn everything and do it correctly.
- OK, let let me hit the back of the room before I come back to you.
- 372 M's Vance Miss luck.
- 373 I don't have anything else.
- Thank you. OK.
- Thank you, miss.
- 376 Luck, Mr. Rankin.
- 377 Anything else from Holland and heart?
- I just wanna say initially thank you very much for giving sure. The Council who
- practice regularly before you the opportunity to comment.
- 380 I think it's really important to do that.
- 381 I'm I'm grateful for the opportunity.
- Thank you. And I think.
- Whenever the division is considering making changes or making pronouncements or
- taking a different direction, I think it would be very helpful and useful if in advance of

- that they they take the opportunity to to seek input and comment from from
- 386 the Council.
- 387 I think it's it's a.
- An opportunity to for us all to come together and and.
- 389 Streamline the process that where where possible. To that point I'm still confused
- why we are having to ourselves enter the pool code and pool names.
- To me, as an administrative thing that the division manages behind the scenes,
- there's no publicly available information about pool codes. Where to find them, what
- they are. They constantly are changing.
- Clients are frustrated by that because they think that they've called and find the pool
- 395 code.
- 396 And they find out they're wrong.
- And then we have to amend it and sometimes often come back to hearing it.
- Let me just say that the amount of time that has been eaten by that, I'm sure on your
- side and our side as well on the client side is substantial.
- 400 Substantial. I mean, it's just absolutely.
- 401 Mind boggling that we have to do that. I think and I and just to be just not not with
- 402 with all respect.
- 403 l just.
- 404 I think it would be very easy.
- 405 We know the formation.
- We know the acreage and if the division.
- 407 A punishment of the pool of the order could simply attach the correct pool to a
- 408 quote to the order it would solve.
- So many issues streamline the process and make everybody's lives so much easier. I
- believe the divisions as well. Thank you.
- So, Freya, I know it is working on the pool code issue.
- 412 What will happen after they fix it?
- 413 It will allow.
- 414 Submitters to submit an application.
- Without selecting a pool code OK and how will that correct pool code get entered
- along the way?
- 417 I'm not sure that might be a better question for.
- Dean, we have lots of questions.
- 419 OK.

- 420 Anything else, Mr. renking? Before we go to Mr. McClure.
- Well, I just on the on the couple just points just for historic perspective and I I feel a
- 422 little silly being the one providing that here.
- But I mean, I'll just say like prior to 21 when an applicant wanted to or saw an
- extension for a for a pooling order, it was done by submission of a letter to the
- 425 division director.
- Stating very simply the basis for good 'cause it was issued as a matter of course, OK.
- 427 Upon a second extension request, then they might ask for more details.
- K but up for the last all of history up until 21.
- Applicants under a pooling order simply needed to provide a very simple cover letter
- solely to the division director asking for an extension.
- That all changed in 21 and since then it's been a matter of great, you know, turmoil.
- 432 It seems to me OK now obviously.
- Honestly, there's reasons that parties who are pooled, there's some, some
- justification for for having opportunity to to come forward if there there's been a
- cash call and there's no drilling and there's things that that are that need to be
- addressed by the division.
- I understand that, but I just want to put that into historic perspective given given and
- 438 I fully endorse a simplified approach, OK, where if there's no protest, then it can
- simply be approved.
- 440 On the notice issue, again, historical context.
- 441 For as long as I've known it.
- 442 An affidavit from an attorney has been sufficient evidentiary evidence to demonstrate
- that notice was properly provided that the time frames and dates, the parties and so
- 444 forth.
- 445 And so again, I'm not.
- 446
- I haven't studied the guidance that was provided by the division and I'm not fully
- aware of the issues in the. The one case. Apparently that gave rise in this concern.
- But again, I think if it's simply that if there really was one case that that's driving this,
- it seems to be the tail wagging the dog and.
- 451 I think those can be addressed in, in, in those particular matters.
- Our clients are concerned about not knowing exactly how notice was provided
- because they didn't do it and having to put it in their testimony. And so from our
- perspective, the notice provision is it that's in process at the time.

- And we're trying to get these things ready to go, in other words.
- We're working with a vendor to get the notice out.
- In the meantime, while while we're waiting for the returns and the information that is
- necessary to show that the notice has been provided, and that if any have been
- received, we're also offering same time trying to get the statements from the clients
- 460 put together and they are asking.
- Us to provide them information that we don't yet have.
- 462 OK.
- Well, we've been.
- The process has been that, you know, we get the statements from the land man at
- the same time we're we're working to get the information from the vendor on the
- status of the certified mailing.
- It all comes together in a very narrow window, OK.
- Having to do this earlier to get to the clients so they can include in their statements
- is an additional burden that just makes everything so much more difficult.
- OK. And I and I don't see the, I don't guite understand the justification for it.
- 471 So let me let me interrupt you for a moment.
- 472 First of all, this it wasn't one case that caused the issue.
- Just so you know, we have been discussing this between the hearing Bureau and the
- 474 Technical Bureau for guite some time before.
- Before we came, before we came to this.
- 476 Request what?
- 1'm wondering is since you've just told me some of the process, I have no clue about
- because I'm not. I don't deal with the clients. It seems to me that the client has to
- give you a list of people that it wants you to notice. Well, they must.
- 480 Understand. Because their lawyers or they have lawyers or whatever that certain
- parties don't need to be noticed because they're voluntarily committed.
- I mean, how else would they know to give you a list of people to notice?
- 483 Well, it varies.
- 484 It does because from the time they file the application to the time we go to hearing,
- there are many discussions happening.
- 486 Oh, OK.
- 487 And so from the time we go to hearing until the time we present the evidence,
- sometimes their agreements reached oh, OK, because.
- 489 Because.

- 490 Again, the sequence is well proposed.
- 491 It goes out under the division.
- 492 Guidance applicants are to wait 30 days before filing an application unless
- 493 extenuating circumstances can arise.
- So there's a there's a short period of time before most applicants file an application
- 495 for discussions.
- OK, most of the discussions will be happening from from the time the application's
- filed until it's prepared, I see.
- 498 So it's again a tight window.
- 499 Yes, I see.
- 500 So whether they know?
- 501 At the time of the note that.
- They provide notice to us whether any party's gonna be pooled or not. Is is is totally
- 503 not clear because there's still much of the discussions to come.
- So it's it's so it's not at the time they give us the list, it's not always clear to them
- 505 who's gonna be pooled, who isn't OK.
- 506 Sometimes there'd been discussions in advance given the relationship to the parties
- and the and the development patterns that are occurring, but that's not always the
- case. OK, so.
- 509 So again, I I go back to the.
- The the regulation that provides for who's to get notice and it's the parties that
- you're intending to pool and at the time of the hearing, you know, I think all that we
- should be required to do is to provide a list of the parties who who at that.
- 513 Time are going to be pooled.
- 514 Who the party intends to pool.
- Now I would say again that my view is that the that the applicant, it's it's the risk is
- on them if they have left somebody off due to an oral or any other type of
- agreement that they haven't pooled them because the statute provides.
- If a party is not pooled, then they are to be treated as if they have been pooled or to
- be paid on a lease basis, whichever is greater.
- 520 So the risk entirely is on them, because not only will they have to pay them as as if
- they've been pooled or the greater.
- Lease basis or they'll have to come back and spend the time and money to reopen
- 523 the case, to pool them and potentially lose the risk charge against them because the
- wells may be has already been drilled.

- 525 So there's there.
- 526 The risk really is on the operator and the applicant, and so that should be sufficient
- from the division's perspective to ensure that.
- 528 'Cause there are remedies already in the statute to address that situation. Thank you.
- That's helpful, Miss Vance.
- 530 The last word.
- 531 Yeah, just very quickly.
- 1 just wanted to reiterate, we did send some questions across.
- I sent across some very detailed questions, you know, and I just wanted to make sure
- that that's a part of, or at least it's being addressed because those they're more
- granular than some of the especially for the filing.
- Of what's required that those are being addressed by the. I think the e-mail that you
- were Speaking of, that's with the director. Just just sort of like wanted to put a pin in
- 538 it.
- 1'll let Dean answer that question.
- He has a few questions that he can answer if he wants to.
- And I do just one quick other thing is if that's OK, another thing, just one quick thing.
- So kind of going to the the cumbersome nature of having to file refile, especially for
- revised hearing packets that include a new A, a pool that's been corrected.
- I don't know if this notice was rescinded or or whatever, but there was an OCD notice
- a couple years ago that basically said if you have to file a revised hearing.
- Packet that you do it within two weeks and then if there are no further questions
- from the division, it's taken under advisement and we've gotten into this process
- lately, especially where we do have, you know, oh, we need to just update the pool
- on the CPAC and then.
- 550 The C1O twos.
- We have to continue the case, come back and that is time, you know, for all of us and
- our clients.
- And you know, if we're doing something as simple as just updating a pool.
- It seems like if we're meeting that within that time frame, is it necessary for us to
- come back, you know?
- Well, from what you just said and I haven't seen that notice that you were referring
- 557 to.
- 558 That I can look at it obviously, but it seems like there was that caveat that unless the
- division has any other questions, sure blah blah. So and and what we've been

- doing is we've been getting these cases back within within that two weeks.
- Time frame not knowing about that notice just to try to make this streamlined and
- and not delay the clients.
- We've been doing that.
- So it seems like we're sort of doing. It seems like I'd like to look at that notice, but it
- seems like we're we're we're we're.
- 566 Stream. The idea is to try to streamline the process and seems like we're doing that
- already in a little different way.
- 568 So, but I'll look at that notice.
- Yeah, just the coming back and having to be back at a hearing if there's no,
- especially if it's uncontested and all we're doing is correcting something.
- You know the pool or pool code?
- Well, we have some, we we've used some discretion that.
- 573 And you know what?
- 574 What qualifies as a typo?
- You know we we've used that discretion to try to help move these cases through, but
- we also want to reserve the ability.
- To to further review whatever the changes are to make sure we're comfortable with
- those changes.
- We say we're taking this case under advisement.
- Let me go to Mr. McClure now, Mr. McClure.
- 581 Thanks for appearing.
- I know you weren't expecting to appear.
- Address whatever you want in any in any order you want.

### 5 McClure, Dean, EMNRD 33:24

- Hey, thank you, Mr. Herring examiner. And I think this has been very useful because I
- guess I didn't realize there was quite the concerns.
- I guess that it sounds like there is a guick guestion for Miss McLean.
- I think you're the one that sent the division like what I've been referring to is like the
- 590 FAQ e-mail.
- 591 Did that include Miss Vance's questions?
- 592 Is that correct?

#### 593

## Pecos Hall 33:46

- Yes, it that include I I got questions from everyone and then I just assembled them so
- they weren't repetitive and then sent them on your way.

## 5 McClure, Dean, EMNRD 33:48

- 599 OK.
- 600 OK.
- 601 Very good.
- Yeah, I was going to say it's been a it's been a hot minute, I guess since I've reviewed
- 603 that and put in my feedback and as the as our examiner had alluded to, I believe our
- technical team and our hearing Bureau has had its input on that F.
- 605 E-mail and I believe it's in front of our director and possibly general counsel, but I
- think mostly if our director and.
- 607 I believe it should be getting sent out.
- In the near future, but you know the director's schedule being what it is, I don't know
- for sure when he'll get a chance to do the final click for us to actually send that out.
- And I don't remember for sure how many of these questions that we've just had is
- going to be addressed in that 'cause. Like I said, it's been a hard minute. And I guess
- since I've actually had the feedback on it.
- I was gonna say First off, though, I know on the extensions of time.
- Submission it I believe, and I believe this is covered in that, but just very briefly, it's
- not the division's intent for that paperwork to be filed as a request for.
- A case or hearing. Excuse me?
- We do want it to be submitted to us in a format that is vital.
- 618 CD permitting.
- So not an e-mail and nothing like that.
- And we're also, I believe we do not have an intent to issue any orders after that and
- the approval of it would essentially be us going into the system and approving that
- 622 submission.
- And maybe some of the comments, if we do do something, it'd be something.
- We'll probably put directly into the case file ourselves would be my assumption, but
- 625 having said that.
- 626 I believe the FAQ will cover more in depth as to what type of filing to do it as I
- believe it's either going to be that post hearing submission or what their it is now
- 628 calling.
- 629 Compliance review or compliance report or something.

- 630 It's one of those type of submissions, not a request for a hearing, so it should be
- completely free, just a matter of your guys time to get it submitted.
- I believe I think we've already kind of addressed here. I believe the pool name plume
- 633 Cole thing, I believe Itii thought maybe they already had it fixed, maybe not, but I
- know they're definitely working on it to make it so it's no longer required to be put
- 635 in.
- 636 By you guys.
- And the process thrust for is for the technical staff to go in and input that into the
- 638 system.
- Now, having said that, if the.
- The applicant is going to provide a pool, then it's going to be on that CPAC, and if
- you provide the incorrect pool, then you're going to have to be revising that CPAC
- 'cause we're gonna. We're making that a part of the order.
- So it is important for that to be correct and I believe I've said this to a few people
- before, but just to make sure everybody is aware, if you have any questions as to
- what pool is correct, then feel free to e-mail myself and I'll, I'll get that.
- For you before the need to submit anything.
- So feel free to reach out and I will provide that to you. And if I somehow forget it,
- feel free to keep emailing me.
- Until you get a response and I will get you the pool name and the pool code for the
- specific sections and formations, there's no big deal there.
- On the commitment table.
- 652 I believe our hearing examiner kind of led into what the purpose of it.
- 653 Of what?
- The purpose behind it is and essentially that is strictly.
- As an aide for the technical staff in regards to the rule that 1A where it talks about
- anybody that is not committed must be noticed.
- 157 It is our intent for the land man to tell us who is committed, and that's something
- 658 that I would assume the land man should know because they're the ones making the
- determination of who is voluntarily committed.
- lt doesn't need to equate 1 to one.
- On to the notice. The land manning doesn't need to testify about the notice.
- All we want from the land man is who is committed and.
- 663 What the methodology is?
- Of that commitment, if it's ajoa right?

- JOA, if it's letter of support right letter of support.
- If it's a restaurant napkin with a with a signature from the Landman saying I agree to
- everything the applicant said, then tell us that as well there.
- And essentially the division will use that.
- And apply it directly to making a determination. Under that Rule, 1A as to who is
- 670 required to be provided notice has nothing to do with who is being forced, pulled or
- requested. Be forced pulled is directly about who the land man is telling us is
- 672 committed.
- There won't be any reconsideration as to putting it into the attorney.
- Affidavit because we're wanting to know what the applicant is swearing to.
- I don't know if that led into any further questions or thought process to the one I just
- said there, but I I think that was everything.
- 677 Was it not, Mr. Herring examiner.

## 67**PH**

### Pecos Hall 40:00

- Yes, Mr. McClure, and what I'd like to do is if there's any questions based on what Mr.
- McClure just said, I'd like to get to our docket, send them to Mr. McClure and copy
- me so I can see them as well, and we'll try to continue to improve.
- 683 Move on.
- What we have already imposed on the regulated community because we want to
- 685 make this better, but we don't want to make it, you know.
- 686 Hard to deal with.
- So that being said, thank you for your everyone's input.
- 688 I appreciate it, and let's go on to our docket for today.
- We will start with some status conferences.
- 690 Let me get my let me get my docket open here.
- 691 All right.
- I hope this is in the right order because this is not the worksheet that Freya sent.
- This is something else here, so I'm going to call case number 25707.
- No, that's that's definitely wrong.
- Let me let me let me find another e-mail from Freya. Here we go.
- 696 Worksheet got it.
- 697 OK.
- 698 Thank you.
- 699 I it's here and I appreciate it.

- 700 Thank you very much.
- 701 Much better.
- 702 I finally found what I needed to find.
- Let me just enlarge this thing so I can see it.
- Let's start with Powderhorn operating.
- 705 This is case number one on our docket.
- 706 It is 25610.
- 707 It's joined with 25753 and five four entries please.

## 7 Benjamin Holliday 41:34

- 710 Morning, Mr. examiner.
- Ben Holiday, on behalf of the applicant Powderhorn, operating in 25610, which I
- believe we're going to consolidate with the next two on the worksheet.

713

### 7 **PH Pecos Hall** 41:45

Yes, I that's why I called the three cases together.

716

### **7** Benjamin Holliday 41:47

718 Oh, OK, sorry.

719

## 77 James Parrot 41:49

- 721 Good morning, Mr. Examiner.
- 722 This is James Parrot with Beatty and Wozniak representing Cotera Energy, Magnum
- 723 Hunter and Cimorex Energy.

724

## 72 Pecos Hall 41:57

726 What's your position, Mr. Parrot?

727

## 72 James Parrot 42:00

All three parties have entered appearance and objected to presentation by affidavit.

730

## 7 PH Pecos Hall 42:04

OK. And objective for what purpose?

733

## James Parrot 42:08

- There are essentially two different units, 2 formations per unit, and in all four matters.
- Fair plans to present a an alternative development plan.

### 72 PH Pecos Hall 42:24

739 Where are they in their alternative development plan?

740

## 7 James Parrot 42:30

- They are sending notices or have sent our proposals rather or have already sent
- proposals kind of depending on which formation, which unit we're talking about.

744

## 7/PH Pecos Hall 42:42

746 Mr. Holiday has your client received proposals?

747

## 7 Benjamin Holliday 42:47

- 749 I'm not sure if they've received the proposals.
- 750 I know there's been active discussions between Kotera and Powderhorn, and my
- understanding is that a letter intent has been reached between the parties for this
- 752 case.

753

### 7 Pecos Hall 43:00

755 Mr. parrot.

756

## 7 James Parrot 43:03

- 758 I don't think the letter of intent is is signed.
- My my latest update for my client is that the parties are more or less aligned on
- terms, but nothing has been signed yet.
- And and I apologize, I misspoke.
- Counter proposals have not been sent.
- They've been prepared and are ready to be sent, but I believe that.
- Powderhorn asked Kotera to hold off sending proposals given the progress on
- 765 negotiations.
- Thus far, so proposals haven't been sent, but are ready to be sent.
- And the parties, I think, are progressing well toward an agreement, but have not
- signed anything yet.

### 77 PH Pecos Hall 43:45

- All right, let's get other entries of appearance.
- Good morning, Mr. Examiner.
- Caitlin Locke, appearing for Avant operating too.
- Your position Avant has objected to powderhorn's cases.
- Proceeding by affidavit, it's our understanding that the cases would be set for a
- contested hearing.
- Avant has filed competing applications yesterday for both the Bone Spring and the
- 778 Wolfcamp.
- I don't case numbers assigned to those pulling applications yet, but avant's.
- Competing pooling applications are on file because the law proposal, not some time
- 781 ago.
- So Mr. Holiday did, did your client receive these these proposals that would have
- qone out about 30 days ago from Evan?

784

7° BH

### **Benjamin Holliday** 44:25

- 786 I'll have to check to see if the proposals have been received.
- 787 I'm sure the guys can shoot me a message here while this hearing's ongoing.
- 788 I I did receive notice from Miss Luck yesterday that the proposals have excuse
- me, the competing applications have been filed. OK, yes, we did.

790

### 79 Pecos Hall 44:32

792 OK.

793 Oh good.

794 And Freya oh.

795

7° BH

## Benjamin Holliday 44:40

797 I'm sorry real quick Powderhorn did receive the proposals referenced by Miss Lug.

798

## 79 Pecos Hall 44:46

800 All right.

- Thank you.
- 802 I wonder where we're getting this from.
- 803 It's cute, but hopefully it won't continue. Freya, did you?

# 8(BH)

### **Benjamin Holliday** 44:53

806 I mean.

807

## 8(PH)

### Pecos Hall 44:57

- ls it in your queue?
- Yes, I believe there are two applications that were just submitted yesterday.
- They have not been processed yet.
- 812 That's fine.
- OK. So you you'd like them to be consolidated with these three Powderhorn cases?
- 814 That's correct.
- Avant has one application for the wolf camp and one for the Bone Spring.
- And you'd like them to be consolidated with these.
- Yes, 3 cases.
- 818 All right.
- 819 Sounds good.
- 820 Let's continue any other entries.
- Yes, good morning, Mr. hearing examiner Paula Vance with the Santa Fe Office of
- Holland and Hart on behalf of Marathon Oil.
- 823 Permian and Marathon Oil, Permian, sorry.
- Yes. So we filed an entry of appearance and objection proposals went out yesterday
- for both the Bone Spring and I believe the Wolfcamp and that would be.
- 826 Those are those those competing plans.
- Are in the Northeast quarter of Section 3 and then the north half of Section 2.
- So you know, just giving a little, you mean the overlap they overlap with powder
- 829 horns.
- They do not overlap with this luxe clients proposals and so yes we, you know we are
- 831 objecting obviously.
- That, like I said, those proposals just went out. So filing for applications.
- Wouldn't be ripe for another 30 days or so, and then beyond that, you know, having
- another 30 days to before a hearing. So you know for for marathon we would like to,
- we'd be happy with another status conference.
- 836 But also we would be requesting a contested hearing date sometime in March or
- 837 April, late March, early April.
- Yeah, I don't think I could do April. The date in March that's available is March 10.

- 839 So Mr. Holiday, Mr. Parrot, Miss luck, think about March 10. Because I'm gonna ask
- you after all these interests of appearance.
- 841 So. So thank you, Miss Vance.
- 842 Any other entries of appearance?
- Yes, Jackie McLean on behalf of Permian Resources and we are just entering our
- 844 parents.
- 845 We haven't objected, all right.
- So it looks like there's more, yes.

- **+15\*\*\*\*\*\*12** 47:17
- 849 Mr.
- 850 Mr. Examiner.
- 351 Jim Bruce, representing Kaiser Francis Oil company.
- 852 Kaiser Francis objected.

853

- 8 PH Pecos Hall 47:25
- 855 OK.

856

- 858 But it is not filing counter applications.

859

- 8 Pecos Hall 47:29
- Right. So your your objective here in objecting is what?

862

- **\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*** 47:37
- Kaiser franchise wants time to review all the competing proposals from Avant,
- 865 marathon, etc.

866

- 8 Pecos Hall 47:47
- 868 OK.
- 869 All right.
- 870 Thank you, Mr. Bruce.
- 871 Any others before I before I continue.
- 872 All right, not hearing any.
- 873 All right, so.

874 Mr. parrot.

You most likely will not be filing competing applications. From what I understand.

876

## 8 James Parrot 48:11

878 I don't think that's an accurate characterization, I think.

You know, Cotera is optimistic that that won't be necessary, but.

In until the ink is on the paper, there's no deal and kotera fully plans to go to a

contested hearing on a contested application or on a on a competing application

882 rather.

883

## 8 PH Pecos Hall 48:31

885 OK.

886 All right.

And your proposals have not been sent yet. Is that right?

888

## 8 James Parrot 48:38

890 That's correct.

891

## 8 PH Pecos Hall 48:39

893 OK.

894

85 Jb

## James Parrot 48:40

896 By by mutual agreement of the parties, KOTERA has not yet sent proposals.

897

## Pecos Hall 48:45

So if I set this for March 10, you'll be able to comply with the rules to get that filed.

900

## 9 James Parrot 48:54

Just working backwards, that would put us about 2 1/2 to three weeks out for

903 actually setting proposals.

So I think that that's a a fairly tight deadline for the parties to actually sign a written

905 agreement.

We certainly would, would prefer to have more time because you know, once the

once we get terms fully agreed on which has not completely happened yet, we

actually have to turn those terms into a written agreement.

91**PH** 

### Pecos Hall 49:28

911 Mm-hmm.

912

91 JP

### James Parrot 49:29

I guess you made a comment a moment ago that April is not available for hearings.

915 Is that?

ls is there are no hearings happening in in April or it's just full already or or what's

917 going on?

918

91**PH** 

### Pecos Hall 49:43

920 It's not a dated, it's not a date that I'm offering. The date that I'm offering for, for

these, for these cases based on the age of the original case, the 25610 Powderhorn

would be March 10.

923

97 JP

#### **James Parrot** 49:46

925 OK.

926

97 PH

### Pecos Hall 49:56

928 I'm not comfortable going out past that and it seems to me that if you have to send

out proposals and then and and I mean, you don't even file the application for

another 30 days after that.

And it seems to me that you have some wiggle room in there.

932

97 11

### James Parrot 50:10

934 Understood.

935

## 92**PH** P

### Pecos Hall 50:11

937 All right.

So, Mr. Holiday, these are your three cases. I I know miss luck.

We have two cases of yours and how do you feel about March 10?

940 March 10th works for me.

941



### **Benjamin Holliday** 50:22

943 March.

### 94 PH Pecos Hall 50:23

946 I'm sorry. Sorry. What?

947 Oh well, go ahead.

948 And I was asking Mr. Holiday first. Sorry, Mr. holiday.

949

## 9 Benjamin Holliday 50:31

951 Yes, Sir.

Well, we had originally penciled the 2/26 date.

953

## 9 PH Pecos Hall 50:35

955 Ah.

956

## 9**\*BH** Benjamin Holliday 50:36

We conferred about that with Council. The same discussions were had as we, as we

959 just heard.

960

### 9 Pecos Hall 50:41

962 Mm-hmm.

963

## 9 Benjamin Holliday 50:44

Patterhorn from Patterhorn's perspective, we've been working with the parties and

communicating since or they have been communicating with parties since July.

So there's no surprise or no one's been caught off guard by this.

There has been.

Substantial deal progress and from a third perspective, we have some expiration

concerns in 2027 that everyday matters for Powderhorn.

971

## 9 PH Pecos Hall 51:07

973 Mm-hmm.

974

## 9 Benjamin Holliday 51:09

So it would be our preference to keep that 2/26 date and if that's too tight, then to

no later than the March 10th from powderhorn's perspective.

## 9 Pecos Hall 51:09

980 OK.

- OK. Freya, you wrote a list of three dates on a piece of paper for me. About 226 is
- 982 not on there.
- 983 Correct.
- 984 That's because.
- That's because we already have one other contested hearing scheduled, and we
- anticipate kind of a longer hearing that will be scheduled shortly.
- 987 Is that Miss Vance's hearing?
- No, that's the one I emailed to you about this morning. I thought that was Miss
- Vance in the in the same e-mail, right?
- 990 But it's an OV that will be filed.
- 991 Divisions case OK. The environmental case, yes.
- 992 All right, sounds good.
- 993 All right. So Mr. Holiday, 310 is the earliest.
- And only date that I can really offer you now. Can you make that work?

995

9° BH

### **Benjamin Holliday** 52:03

997 I believe so, yes, Sir.

998

## 9°PH Pecos Hall 52:05

1000 All right, miss luck.

- 1001 And yes, that works for us. Thanks. Alright, sounds good.
- 1002 M's Vance. Yes, that works for us.
- 1003 All right. Wonderful.
- 1004 Thank you.
- 1005 Of course, M's McLean.
- 1006 Yeah. All right.
- 1007 Sounds good, Mr. Bruce.
- 1008 I'm assuming it can work for you.

1009

**10 +15\*\*\*\*\*\*12** 52:22

1011 Yes, Sir.

101 PH Pecos Hall 52:23

1014 All right.

1015 And Mr. parrot.

1016

10 James Parrot 52:26

1018 Yes, thank you.

1019

107 PH Pecos Hall 52:27

All right, we will issue a pre hearing order for these three cases. Plus we will add Miss

Lux cases because we'll know those numbers before we issue before we issue that

order. And then when the other parties, Mr. Parrot and Miss Vance, if you do file.

1024 Competing applications.

1025 Which sounds like you're probably going to please notify us to consolidate.

Those cases on the pre hearing order M's Vance anything further.

That's all I was gonna get to thank you.

1028 All right.

1029 If there's nothing further, we'll be in recess on these three cases.

Let's go on to it looks like a different powder horn case.

1031 This is 25722.

Good morning, Mr. Examiner.

Dayna Hardy with Hardy McLean, on behalf of Powderhorn operating. Good

morning.

Good morning, Mr. hearing examiner Paula Vance.

1036 Excuse me with the Santa Fe Office of Holland and Hart on behalf of COG operating.

1037 And we have entered an appearance and are objecting and our goal is yes, So what

1038 COG would like to request is another status conference.

And it sounds like they there's maybe some negotiations that are going on. However,

1040 COG does have an operating agreement for some of the acreage involved here.

So if there is a push for a contested hearing.

We we would not want anything earlier than April and I know that that's not being

offered, but I would like to state that for the record, nothing earlier than April or May

1044 all right.

1045 Are there any other entries of appearance?

10 James Parrot 54:10

1048 Is there an examiner?

1049 This is James Parrott with baiting Wozniak representing the same coterra group. As I

mentioned before.

1051 So that's kotera Magnum Hunter and Simrex.

1052

10 Pecos Hall 54:23

1054 OK. And your position?

1055

10 James Parrot 54:26

Essentially the same is Co.

1058 GS.

1059

10 Pecos Hall 54:30

OK, so you've objected, but you're not quite there when it comes to proposals.

1062

10 James Parrot 54:37

1064 You know, I would.

1065 I would characterize as very similar to the last set of matters.

1066 Proposals have not been set.

The parties are negotiating, I think, optimistic for a solution, but by mutual

agreement, have not yet set proposals.

1069

107PH Pecos Hall 54:52

1071 All right. OK. Any other entries?

No. OK. So so M's Hardy.

1073 As you know, I give preference to the applicant.

You have the deadlines that other people and we don't know about.

1075 And it's your case.

1076 So how do you want to proceed?

1077 Sure. Thank you, Mr. Examiner.

The parties are negotiating and cautiously optimistic, but at this point this case has

been pending for a little while, so I would request that we set a contested hearing

date on the March 10th socket.

- It's fine if we also want to have a status conference in January, OK. 1081
- OK. 1082
- Very good. 1083
- So Miss Vance, Mr. Parrott, the applicant has asked me to set this for March 10. 1084
- That gives you, you know, over three months. 1085
- 1086 Well, let's say let's say three months, 2 1/2 months to negotiate or file competing
- applications. We'll work with you if you do, but it seems like enough time to 1087
- 1088 negotiate.
- So I'm gonna grant that motion. 1089
- To set this for a pre hearing. 1090
- For a contested hearing for March 10, it'll be second on the docket. 1091
- 1092 Anything further, Mr. Parrot?

# 109 JP

### James Parrot 56:10

1095 No, thank you.

1096

## 10°PH Pecos Hall 56:11

- 1098 M's Vance. No, thank you. Thank you.
- M's Hardy. No, thank you. 1099
- We're off the record in this case. 1100
- Let's move on to case number. 1101
- Five, this is spur Energy Partners 25727. 1102
- Mr. Examiner Dana Hardy, on behalf of Spur Energy Partners. 1103
- 1104 Mr. Oxhammer, Ernest El Padilla for.
- I have 4 clients EML 2010 trust. 1105
- GLJ. 1106
- 1107 2010 trust.
- JLM 2010 Trust and EMC Oil and Gas Ltd. Thank you when you speak. Will you speak 1108
- 1109 a little louder?
- I'm a little concerned that the AI won't pick up your voice for the transcript. 1110
- What's your position? 1111
- 1112 Our position is that there's no creativity in terms of the offers made by spur, OK. The
- only thing that my clients have received are. 1113
- 1114 Proposed J away.
- My clients own 2/3 of the spacing unit. OK and. 1115

- The three AF fees total somewhere around \$12 million.
- They would have to put up \$8 billion in order to participate. That's not OK.
- 1118 Good faith, I get it.
- 1119 So question for you, have you objected?
- 1120 Yes, we did.
- 1121 We objected to an affidavit here.
- OK, good. I wasn't sure you're positioning that respect and your objective is to get a
- better offer, obviously, yes.
- 1124 That was clear.
- 1125 All right, Miss Hardy.
- 1126 Thank you.
- I would request this case be set for contested hearing on March 10th on, I believe the
- only issue would be good faith negotiation because Spur has negotiated in good
- 1129 faith.
- 1130 Mr. Padia's client has an interest that is.
- 1131 Overburdened by royalty, OK.
- 1132 And so that's their issue and we'd ask for a hearing.
- 1133 All right, so granted.
- So, Mr. Padilla, you have a little while to negotiate with your with for your clients and
- if not, we'll have a hearing and you can show us how they have not negotiated in
- 1136 good faith.
- 1137 We'll be ready to do that if we can't negotiate something, I'm sure you will. All right.
- 1138 There's nothing else.
- 1139 We're off the record in #5.
- 1140 Let's move on to #6.
- 1141 This is about operating.
- 1142 There are two cases, 25747 and 25748.
- Good morning, Mr. Examiner.
- Deena Bennett, on behalf of Avant operating too.
- Good morning, Mr. hearing examiner Paula Vance with the Santa Fe Office of Holland
- and Hart, on behalf of Matador production company.
- 1147 And we have just entered an appearance and objected.
- But we're just, we will defer to.
- 1149 The preference of.
- Avant and Permian, if they choose to set a contested hearing date.

- So you've objected for negotiation purposes.
- 1152 That's correct.
- That's all I need to know. I got it.
- 1154 Any other entries of appearance?
- Jackie McLean, on behalf of Premian Resources operating, we have objected.
- 1156 We filed competing applications, their case numbers 25797 and 25798. Excellent.
- 1157 Thank you.
- 1158 That's helpful.
- 1159 Miss Bennett.
- 1160 Thank you.
- So the avant cases are currently set for a contested hearing on January 27th.
- 1162 And at the last status conference we had on the avant cases.
- 1163 The hearing examiner asked.
- For confirmation from the other parties that the January 27th hearing date would be
- workable and I have not seen that confirmation yet, but the pre hearing order has
- been issued. So one of the reasons I wanted to have the status conference today is to
- 1167 confirm with the.
- Division and the other parties that.
- January 27th is the contestant hearing date. Alright, sounds good.
- 1170 That Miss McLean before I ask you that question, do you have you requested your
- 1171 two cases to be consolidated with these cases?
- 1172 And Mr. sorry to interrupt.
- 1173 I just wanted to mention I'm here for WR Non Op LLC Kaitlyn Locke appearing for
- 1174 WR Non OP in these two cases. No objection.
- 1175 Thank you. Excellent.
- 1176 M's McLean.
- 1177 We have not.
- 1178 We filed a total of four applications. Only two of ours actually.
- Overlap in spacing units with the avante cases.
- So we're going to be presenting.
- 1181 Our other cases.
- In the same well group on the January 8th docket.
- And because of that, we don't think it's that January 27th is a good day to go to a
- 1184 contested hearing at the last status conference on these ivank cases.
- 1185 Miss Bennett questioned whether or not we were actually going to file competing

- applications and she wanted us to come back today so that we could announce the
- division that we had.
- We did file them well in advance of the deadline for the January docket. I sent Miss
- Bennett those applications.
- 1190 We you know, when they object to those applications, we'll be going to a status
- conference on the January 22nd Status Conference. That hasn't happened yet.
- 1192 And you know, we'll proceed accordingly.
- 1193 As we need to do so, we didn't think that a status conference was necessary.
- Today, there's going to be a status conference that when they object to 25797 and
- 1195 25798 in January.
- Because that's just automatic when someone objects.
- And we don't think that that would the January contested hearing date would be a
- good, a good contested hearing date for these cases because we have a full
- development plan, we have proposals that have been sent out to Yvonne, Yvonne,
- we're waiting for them to respond.
- Bond and Permian Resources thinks that some of these could resolve the issues, but
- again, the ball's kind of an advance court to respond to our proposals at this point.
- 1203 And in the meantime, we're proceeding forward with our Colonel freckles
- 1204 applications.
- You know, as the deadlines permit, Miss Bennett, do we have a pre hearing order for
- 1206 January 27th?
- 1207 We do.
- 1208 And I did object to the to case numbers 25797 and 25798.
- 1209 And they are set for a January 22nd Status conference. But.
- 1210 And at the last status conference, it was clear that the division was going to set a
- 1211 hearing.
- 1212 Contested hearing for January 27th and only upon a motion from Permian Resources
- demonstrating witness unavailability with the division. Consider a different date.
- 1214 I think it's Jackie. It's yours.
- 1215 Thank you.
- 1216 And so I don't think there's any question that January 27th is the date for the
- contested hearing. And also to answer your question directly, Permian Resources has
- not filed a motion to consolidate their two cases with the Avon cases. OK.
- All right, so Miss McLean, you, you said you had four cases, two of them don't
- compete with Avance. And so you're going to present those by affidavit, correct on

- 1221 January 8.
- 1222 Correct, I thought so.
- 1223 I haven't heard any good reason to.
- 1224 Vacate the pre hearing order setting these cases for January 27th.
- But I mean, you asked if you.
- 1226 I thought that it was still a good day.
- So I was just answering the question that we did not at this point think it was a good
- 1228 day.
- 1229 | got it.
- So Freya, the pre hearing order we need to issue an amended pre hearing order to
- add Miss Mclean's cases to Miss Bennett's cases. OK and those are 25797 and 98.
- 1232 Got it.
- 1233 All right.
- Sounds good, Mr. Mr. hearing examiner. Yes, avant is not going to object to the
- other two.
- 1236 Cases that missed the claim was referring to because those cases.
- 1237 In those cases anyway, Permian Resources has stayed in its lane.
- Yeah, it's only proposing 2 miles laterals that don't overlap with any. I mean, Avant
- doesn't own any interest in those either. But in the cases where we are objecting,
- Permian has proposed 3 miles laterals that directly overlap one section in which
- Permian owns nothing and Avon owns the.
- Majority and so that is the reason why we're not objecting to the other two.
- 1243 That makes sense. Thank you. OK.
- Oh, may I ask one other follow up question. The other reason I wanted to have a
- status conference today and I appreciate the divisions willingness to hold one is that
- Avon is interested in learning more about MRC's position, and it sounds like they're
- not going to be.
- Filing competing applications. But I did just want to understand that before we get
- too much further down the road on this.
- 1250 And we say MRC.
- 1251 Do you mean madat?
- 1252 I do mean madador. Yes, that's so OK.
- 1253 Well, great. OK, I haven't, OK.
- 1254 Excellent M's McLean.
- 1255 Anything further?

- Nothing from Permian resources, very good.
- 1257 Freya, what position are these cases on the January 27 docket?
- 1258 I'll need to look it up.
- 1259 I had everything closed because I didn't have Wi-Fi, sorry.
- But I think we have two cases on the 27th, one or two cases. So this would be either
- two number two or #3 that I can confirm.
- 1262 All right. Thank you.
- 1263 And then we have the following day also right reserved the 28th. Yes, correct.
- 1264 All right. OK.
- We'll issue an amended pre hearing order to add 257972579.
- 1266 8 to the two avant cases and.
- 1267 And with that, we're off the record.
- 1268 In these two avant cases.
- 1269 Thank you.
- 1270 Thank you.
- 1271 And do we need to have yet another status conference in January on the 22nd? I
- 1272 don't think we do.
- You don't think so, but if Miss McClain thinks we do, then Miss McClain, I know that
- 1274 your case is so they've been objected to.
- 1275 Where are they now?
- 1276 What docket are they on?
- 1277 We're on the January 22nd.
- 1278 Correct. I didn't think we needed a status conference today, right? Because that OK
- was happening again.
- But I don't think we need another status conference, right?
- 1281 I don't think so either, right?
- 1282 I mean, if the party's miraculously resolved their issues, they can let us know and we'll
- vacate the pre hearing order. We're hopeful that we do it all the time.
- So Freya, it seems to me that you could just move those administratively to the
- January 27 docket, saving the client.
- 1286 \$300.
- 1287 OK.
- 1288 Why not? OK.
- 1289 Yeah. You're welcome.
- 1290 Alright, so now we're gonna go on to number.

- 1291 I gotta make a note here.
- Hold on a second before I make a mistake.
- 1293 So that was six and seven, OK.
- Let's go on to #8 on our docket and this is.
- 1295 This is Targa Midstream 25757, Dana Hardy with Hardy McClain for Targa.
- 1296 Thank you.
- Adam Rankin with the Senate pay office upon Hart appearing on behalf of COG
- 1298 Production LLC, Concho Oil and gas, and EOG Resources, Incorporated.
- 1299 In your position we object to the application and we'll be prepared.
- 1300 Yep, we'll be prepared to go to hearing to contest it, OK.
- In other words, this is AI can't tell by these letters Miss Hardy what type of a cases?
- 1302 It's an acid gas injection.
- 1303 Well, case, so we should have a different.
- 1304 Right. We'll probably have.
- 1305 We'll have a different technical examiner.
- 1306 For this case.
- And I'm spacing on once Phil gets his group, but I'm, oh, Tony Anthony Harris.
- 1308 It'll be Tony Harris most likely.
- 1309 I doubt it will be Phil in this case.
- Do we have anything set Miss Hardy before I go to other entries of appearance?
- 1311 We do not.
- We have nothing set yet, right? OK. And Mr. Rankin, you've objected, but your
- objection is what to negotiate.
- Well, I'm not sure what room there is for negotiation. We have serious concerns
- about the plan to dispose.
- 1316 In the target formation, similar to the Good Night Empire case.
- 1317 We'll see.
- 1318 Yeah, we'll see.
- 1319 I hope not.
- 1320 That was clever.
- 1321 All right, Mr. Mr. Savage.

132 **Darin Savage** 1:09:07

Good morning, Mr. Examiner.

Darren Savage with Abbie and Shill appearing on behalf of Devon Energy Production 1325 Company LP and we have objected to this case. 1326 1327 137 PH Pecos Hall 1:09:17 And your objection? 1329 Hey, what's your object? 1330 What? What do you want? 1331 Why did you object? 1332 1333 137 Darin Savage 1:09:24 Looks like we're going towards a contested hearing on this. 1335 1336 Our objection is that the shallow wells would interfere with the Devon's current plans and future plans and undermine creelative rights. 1337 1338 137 PH Pecos Hall 1:09:34 OK. 1340 OK. OK. Perfect that that, that works good. Well, good morning. Mr. Examiner. Deena 1341 1342 Bennett, on behalf of Kotera Energy operating and kotara, like Devin and the clients that Holland and Hart is representing has concerns with the injection and the 1343 potential to impact offsetting wells, which some of which. 1344 1345 Kotera operates makes sense. 1346 Thank you, miss Hardy. Thank you. 1347 1348 So Targa is working with the parties to discuss their concerns. And at this point, I would request a status conference on the January docket, OK, 1349 because if the concerns don't get resolved, then I don't believe target would proceed 1350 1351 with this application. But they're still trying to make that determination. OK, that makes sense. 1352 When did you file the application? 1353 It was filed. 1354 Initially for the this is our second docket. 1355 I think it is. 1356 It was initially filed for the 1st November docket, but we continued it to OK 1357 December for a negotiation purpose. 1358

1359

Yes, OK.

- So, so you filed it in October, is what you're saying?
- 1361 I believe that's correct.
- 1362 Yeah, very good.
- So then this would be on the January. What is the Freya?
- 1364 What is the status conference docket in January?
- 1365 It's January 22nd, January 5th.
- 1366 Thank you.
- 1367 Alright, so Miss Hardy, please continue your case for a final status conference.
- On January 22nd, I think you said Freya.
- 1369 Yes, thank you.
- But no, it will be the final status conference, OK.
- 1371 Thank you.
- 1372 I will do that either.
- 1373 We'll need to set it for some sort of hearing or you can dismiss it or whatever you
- 1374 prefer. Understood.
- 1375 Alright, well, good luck, Ms. Hardy. Thank you.
- Let's go to Permian resource cases.
- 1377 These are 25768 and six. Nine entries please.
- Sorry Jackie McLean, on behalf of Hermione Resources, he's getting confused with
- my highlighting.
- 1380 Hi, good morning. Mr. Hearing examiner Paula Vance with the Santa Fe Office of
- Holland and Hart on behalf of New Bern Oil Company.
- 1382 And we have entered an appearance and objected.
- 1383 I did send an e-mail to Freya yesterday to let her know we filed competing
- applications and I'm happy to give you those case numbers. Yes please.
- 1385 So it's 258-572-5858 and 25859.
- 1386 Three of them.
- 1387 There's three of them.
- 1388 That's correct 57359. That's correct.
- 1389 Perfect. Thank you.
- 1390 And and I sent that after we received the entry of appearance and objection to our
- cases which were filed for the January docket. And I'll just state now that we would
- like to do another status conference.
- 1393 I believe it's my understanding that the parties are in negotiations right now and
- would like to. I I think I I won't speak for Miss McLean but I am aware she that based

- on our conversations or emails that Permian would like to set a contested hearing we
- 1396 would.
- Like the latest possible date for contested hearing to allow for those good faith
- 1398 negotiations.
- 1399 To take place.
- So when were your? What docket are your three applications on?
- 1401 So they were.
- 1402 Were filed for the January docket, but based on some of the feedback that we did
- receive, probably would not have made that docket and been pushed to if they were
- uncontested because of the caps.
- So probably would have been pushed to a February docket.
- Yeah, but since they're objected to, they'll go to the status conference docket on
- 1407 January 22nd.
- 1408 That that's right.
- 1409 I'm just, you know, I'm pushing for time here.
- 1410 I see that Miss Van fleet is transferred as much time.
- 1411 As as we can so. So, since you're competing with Miss Miss Mclean's case as though I
- 1412 give her preference because she had the original cases.
- So I understand you want to delay for good faith negotiations.
- 1414 That's great.
- Let's see what Miss McLean wants and and I will. And I just one thing and I'll can I
- 1416 follow up.
- Let Miss you know. Miss Mccune, I I don't want to say that that didn't sound great,
- but I do want to follow up on that point.
- 1419 I did have a question.
- About that. Regarding the preference, yes, yes, by all means I I just want to
- understand what the precedents for that is, especially since we do have competing
- cases where we've got 2 two parties who have applied both who have you know
- under the oil and Gas Act.
- Or afforded the opportunity to produce their just an equal share.
- 1425 Of of the mineral interest. So.
- You know, understand that they filed first, but we we do have also an interest here.
- So we would ask for some equal deference. Thank you.
- 1428 Thank you. And I'll think about how I want to answer that question.
- 1429 And maybe I'll answer it today.

- 1430 Maybe I won't miss McLean.
- 1431 When did you first send out your well proposals?
- Oh gosh, the well proposals for these works. Take your time.
- 1433 Send. I'm not rushing you.
- 1434 Alright.
- They were sent back in September, so M's Vance going to your point about good
- faith negotiations as an as an additional piece of evidence, Miss McLean says that her
- client sent your client well proposals back in September.
- So that was three months ago.
- 1439 OK.
- Now I realize that you've just filed your competing applications.
- 1441 I I got that and we want to give your party the same due process that we want to
- 1442 give.
- 1443 Miss mcclain's?
- 1444 Client as well.
- So Miss McLean, when do you wanna hold a contested hearing?
- 1446 Yes, I believe that we would like the available February hearing date. That's Q10.
- 1447 Yes, February 10th.
- All right. So Miss, Miss Vance, I'm inclined to grant that unless you can provide some
- very good reason why you're not prepared to go to a contested hearing.
- 1450 In a month and a half from now.
- 1451 That's a very short time frame. For one, we've got the holidays going on.
- 1452 We're coming back from that.
- You know, I don't have all the specifics in terms of when, you know, I understand that
- those proposals went out in September.
- 1455 I don't have a lot of detail, at least from my end, on how those were, you know,
- Mubar has evaluated those proposals and sending out their own proposals.
- 1457 I don't have the date when those went out from our end.
- 1458 I'm trying.
- Let me interrupt you for a moment.
- 1460 You're you're competing.
- 1461 Applications have been filed.
- 1462 And are ripe for a hearing by February 10th.
- 1463 Actually before that.
- So that's what I'm thinking of.

- I want to make sure that we comply with all the rules and and I want to give you time
- to negotiate.
- Seems like February 10 gives your client some more time to negotiate.
- 1468 Gives them a month and a half from now.
- To either finish negotiation or you know, step up to give us some evidence on why
- 1470 your plan is better than Miss Mclean's. If you have some serious problem, you can
- negotiate with Miss McLean. And if the parties file an unopposed motion to
- continue, we might do that.
- But I do want to.
- 1474 I do want to abide by.
- Based on everything I've said so far, what I'm what I'm hearing from Miss McLean
- and from you February 10, should be a good date for a hearing.
- Oops. Well, I would have to confer with my client to make sure that they were
- available. But again, I would just reiterate, we want that additional.
- 1479 We'd like to have that additional time for negotiations, fruitful negotiations, and I
- would just reiterate, we would have a strong preference for if we are going to set a
- contested hearing date for it to be the March date.
- 1482 That's just too soon to do February.
- Docket or contested hearing.
- OK, if you wanna file a motion as to why that's too soon, feel free.
- 1485 It may be opposed, and I and I do need to look at both sides of it, include any
- affidavits or evidence that you have that supports that position, but it seems to me
- that many times.
- 1488 It's all about negotiating, and since these have been sent out in September, seems
- like your client has had a lot of time to think about these. They filed competing
- 1490 applications.
- 1491 For a reason.
- And so, you know, I want to give your client the same due process rights as I'm
- 1493 giving Miss McLean.
- So is there anything further?
- No. All right.
- 1496 Thank you, miss McLean. No, thank you.
- 1497 We're off the record in nine and 10.
- Let's move on to Permian Resources. 25770 entries of appearance please.
- 1499 This. Yeah, this number 11.

- 1500 This should be consolidated with the 257.
- 1501 1068 and 25769.
- 1502 The three of them were all filed together.
- 1503 I didn't know that.
- 1504 All right. So Freya, would you do that?
- 1505 Yes. Would you also would you also?
- 1506 Consolidate with 25857 and 25888. So I'm sorry, let me start again 25857 through
- 1507 5/9.
- 1508 Yes, the three cases that Miss Vance filed, OK.
- So with Paul that case and Miss Vance, you're on that case as well.
- 1510 Yes, and and I would say, can we come back for another status conference in in
- 1511 January?
- 1512 Sure. OK.
- 1513 See why not.
- 1514 It's up to you to file the continuances well for you, Miss Vance. Your case will be on.
- 1515 It would be automatically set for that, but I just wanted to confirm I I did have some
- e-mail correspondence with Miss McLean yesterday and you know I did want to
- mention that we filed those competing applications.
- 1518 And make that noted for the record here today, but wanted to confirm that we
- would go ahead and do that status conference in January as well.
- 1520 Sure. We'll consolidate the six cases together.
- 1521 M's McLean you don't have to continue your cases to that docket.
- We'll hear your position at the time we call M's Vance's cases.
- So then it'll be up to you to continue your cases to the February 10 docket after we
- have our status conference on January 22nd.
- 1525 Understood. All right.
- 1526 Anything further?
- No. No, Miss McLean, nothing.
- 1528 All right.
- 1529 So then we're off the record.
- 1530 In that case, let's move on to #12 Matador production 25584.
- 1531 Yes, good morning, Mr. hearing examiner, Railey Starnes with the Santa Fe Office of
- Holland and Hart, on behalf of Maddor production company.
- 1533 Spell your name. Yes, RAYLEE. OK, starnes. STARNES.
- 1534 And you're representing Holland and heart.

- 1535 You work for Holland and heart.
- 1536 You're representing me, boy.
- 1537 Matador, Matador, Yes.
- 1538 I don't believe there are any other appearances for this.
- 1539 Case 25584 is an application to amend order R 22860 to add additional pooled
- 1540 parties.
- 1541 Was continued from an earlier docket to perfect. Notice we're off of our status
- conference. Yes, yes. OK.
- Here's what we're gonna do, since basically all the cases with the exception of four of
- them, are gonna be taken under advisement.
- 1545 The only role I have today is to admit the evidentiary packet that may have been filed
- by the request of the technical examiner or myself.
- So let's just jump to the chase. Is there something you want me to accept into
- 1548 evidence?
- Yes, there are no questions. I would like the all the exhibits be accepted into the
- record taken under advisement.
- 1551 What did you amend?
- 1552 We revised the hearing packet to update the self affirm statement of notice and the
- affidavit of publication showing that the additional party has been properly noticed.
- 1554 Alright, so this was a notice cure.
- 1555 Yes, all right.
- So let's see something here.
- 1557 This is number OK.
- 1558 Yes, I have a note on this.
- 1559 OK.
- 1560 Your amended or revised exhibits are accepted into evidence, and we'll take your
- 1561 case under advisement.
- 1562 Thank you.
- 1563 All right, we're off the record.
- 1564 And #12, let's move on to #13. This is 25663 mubaran oil.
- Good morning, Mr. hearing examiner Paula Vance with the Santa Fe Office of Holland
- and Hart, on behalf of the applicant, New Bern Oil Company.
- 1567 Jackie MacLean, on behalf of Conoco Phillips COG operating COG production and
- 1568 Marathon Oil. Perfect. Thank you.
- 1569 Have you seen the revised exhibits?

- 1570 Yes, and we had emailed council from Mubar yesterday asking that Conoco be
- removed as a pooled party because the deal hasn't closed and they don't expect that
- to close until sometime in January.
- But other than that, there was no objection.
- So I would ask that we do another status conference because it's my understanding
- we were hoping to be able to have something in writing. We haven't got gotten
- there as Miss McLean has stated. But right now I I would request that we have
- another status conference there's been.
- 1578 A lot of back and forth on these, these were filed refiled. There was another party
- 1579 involved.
- 1580 BP.
- 1581 I always peebex.
- 1582 And so now there's some some negotiation going on with between mubar and cog.
- But again, we would just ask if we can possibly do another status conference in
- advance before you go on.
- We were here today not for a status conference, but to continue the hearing by
- 1586 affidavit.
- So what you're saying is you're not prepared to continue the hearing by affidavit
- because you're in ongoing negotiations to basically clean this up, yes.
- 1589 So then why do we want to have another status?
- 1590 Why don't we set it for another hearing?
- 1591 Affidavit. That way, if you've cleaned it up, there won't be an additional delay for you.
- 1592 And I'm trying to not delay your case.
- 1593 I I'm happy to do that if the hearing.
- 1594 It's my understanding that the closing will occur in the first like 10 days of January.
- OK, so, so February docket would probably be safe.
- 1596 We don't really want to go that far if if we I guess.
- 1597 So maybe if we could.
- So, Freya, do you have a special docket in the middle of January or the end of
- 1599 January?
- 1600 We have January 13th and January 27th.
- 1601 Did you hear that, Miss Vance?
- 1602 'Cause you were talking this as I'm getting your question answered for you. Will you
- say that again Fran?
- January 13th or the 27th, can we? Let's do the 13th.

OK. 1605 So you're gonna continue your own case. 1606 We're not continuing it, Miss Vance. 1607 Correct. You're gonna continue it. And I wanna write this down. 1608 The hearing by affidavit is this. Your witness, by the way, Mr. Kerbs. 1609 1610 Kermssey. 1611 16'**DK David Kirmse** 1:25:30 Sorry. Good morning, Mr. Examiner. 1613 I'm with Brad Pittsayer representing PBX operations. Yeah. 1614 1615 16'PH Pecos Hall 1:25:35 Ah, I didn't know that, OK. 1617 I don't have you as a party in this case. 1618 1619 I didn't know that. 1620 167**DK David Kirmse** 1:25:41 Yeah, we filed on the 5th and notified the parties on the 5th. 1622 1623 167 PH **Pecos Hall** 1:25:46 Of December. 1625 1626 David Kirmse 1:25:48 167 DK 1628 Yes. 1629 167 PH Pecos Hall 1:25:49 Fred, do we have an entry of appearance from this gentleman? 1631 I looked into it this morning on after receiving an e-mail from Jennifer Bradfute. 1632 1633 I looked in our system. The entry of appearance was submitted as a was created as a draft, but it was never 1634 formally submitted into our system for acceptance. 1635 Miss Bradford just filed my understanding. 1636 Is she just filed an entry of appearance this morning? 1637 1638 OK.

That's why you're not on the list, Mr. How do you say your name?

1639

16 **David Kirmse** 1:26:19

1642 Termsy.

1643

16 Pecos Hall 1:26:20

1645 Kermsie sounds good.

1646 Would you spell your name so that we can pick it up?

1647

16 David Kirmse 1:26:24

1649 Yeah, it's KIRMSE.

1650

16 PH Pecos Hall 1:26:27

1652 Your first name.

1653

16 David Kirmse 1:26:28

1655 David.

1656

16**PH Pecos Hall** 1:26:29

1658 OK.

All right, so did you file an objection, Mr. Kermsi?

1660

16 David Kirmse 1:26:35

No, no issues.

1663

16 PH Pecos Hall 1:26:37

So you so then you you're just monitoring this case?

1666

16**DK David Kirmse** 1:26:40

1668 Yes, absolutely.

1669

167 Pecos Hall 1:26:42

1671 All right.

1672 Thank you.

1673 Miss Vance, back to you.

Please continue this case to the January 13 for a hearing.

- By affidavit, we will act as though that's today what we were going to do today will
- do on the 13th, OK. And hopefully you'll be ready to proceed.
- 1677 If not, we'll just bump it down again. Thank you.
- 1678 I appreciate that.
- 1679 Yeah, no problem.
- OK, if there's nothing else, Miss McLean.
- 1681 Anything else in this case?
- Nothing from us. OK, thank you.
- Let's move on to Matador production.
- There are two cases for our hearing by affidavit continuance. This is 256-972-5699.

- **+15\*\*\*\*\*\*12** 1:27:26
- 1687 Mr. Examiner.
- 1688 Jim Bruce, representing Matador production. MRC Permian.
- 1689 There is no opposing party.

1690

- 16**PH Pecos Hall** 1:27:36
- 1692 Go ahead.

1693

- **169 +15\*\*\*\*\*\*12** 1:27:39
- This matter was heard a couple weeks ago.
- 1696 It was continued to correct the first page of each pooling checklist to reflect that the
- well units are standard.
- That has been done and as a result I would just simply request that.
- 1699 Exhibits A through F.
- 1700 In each case, be admitted into the record and the cases be taken under advisement.

1701

- 17(PH Pecos Hall 1:28:02
- OK, admitted without exception.
- 1704 Taken under advisement.
- 1705 Thank you, Mr. Bruce.
- Off the record, let's move on to 3R operating case number 16257 O 7.

1707

- **17 +15\*\*\*\*\*\*12** 1:28:07
- 1709 Thank you.

## 17'PH Pecos Hall 1:28:16

- 1712 Yes, Jackie McLean, on behalf of 3R operating.
- 1713 Thank you.
- 1714 And in case number 25707, we submitted a notice of amended exhibit packet as
- requested by the division.
- 1716 To include an amended compulsory pulling checklist, amended C1O2.
- 1717 And amended Stratiographic cross section and I ask that this amended exhibit packet
- be admitted into the record and that the case be taken under advisement, admitted
- without exception, taken under advisement, were off the record in 16.
- Let's move to 17 COG operating 25712.
- Yes, Jackie McLean, on behalf of COG operating.
- In case number 25712, COG submitted in amended exhibit packet to include an
- amended compulsory pooling checklist and an amended tract to ownership.
- 1724 Exhibit I ask that the amended exhibit packet be admitted into the record and that
- the case be taken under advisement.
- 1726 Thank you, admitted without exception and taken under advisement, and Mr. hearing
- Examiner rarely starts with the Santa Fe Office of Holland and Heart.
- 1728 It's OK making an entry of appearance on behalf of Matador production company
- just monitoring, OK.
- 1730 Thank you, miss Darnes.
- Moving on to #18 on our docket, well, it looks like it's consolidated with another
- 1732 case.
- 1733 Although it looks like it may be one maybe.
- One of the cases was taken under advisement, Miss.
- 1735 Yes, we brightly, Starnes with haunted heart representing call the case numbers and
- then you can enter your appearance 2571325714 and not calling 25715.
- 1737 Yes, the rate is at the Santa Fe Office of Holland and Hart, on behalf of MRC Permian
- 1738 Company and for 2571325714, we were filing revised hearing when it gets to correct.
- 1739 A pool and pool code.
- 1740 And have you done that?
- Yes, they are, Bilal. I do believe there's one entry of appearance.
- 1742 Perhaps, maybe miss luck.
- 1743 Yes. And Caitlin luck for Vicki Meadows and Bobayes and only 71714. Excuse me.
- 1744 So we have Bailey revised.

- Hearing packets reflecting the updated pool and pool code, and if there are no other
- 1746 questions, we would ask that the revised hearing packet and exhibits be admitted
- into the record and taken under advisement.
- 1748 Admitted without exception in these two cases are taken under advisement.
- 1749 What happened 25715?
- 1750 I believe it was taken under advisement at the last hearing. That's what I thought.
- 1751 Thank you.
- 1752 We're off the record in those cases. Thank you.
- Let's see now.
- Looks like we're calling newborn oil.
- 1755 It's 25726.
- 1756 Yes, Jackie McLean, on behalf of New Bern. Thank you. And in case number 25726,
- 1757 Mubaran filed an amended exhibit packet to include an amended non standard unit
- offset interest map by track and an amended compulsory pulling checklist for the
- order that we are asking.
- 1760 To be amended.
- 1761 With that, I said the exhibits be admitted into the record and the case be taken under
- 1762 advisement.
- 1763 Thank you, admitted without exception and taken under advisement off the record in
- case number 20, let's move on to 21.
- 1765 This is 25728 consolidated with some other cases that we came back to receive
- additional information 257-3031.
- 1767 32 I'm gonna call 3.
- 1768 3 separately.
- So we're not gonna call 33 right now. So let me hear. And she's of appearance for
- those four cases.
- Good morning, Mr. herring. Examiner Paula Vance with the Santa Fe Office of
- Holland and Hart, on behalf of the applicant Matador, MRC Permian Company, and
- we filed revised hearing packets in each of these cases for.
- 1774 For all of them, for correcting the pool code and pool name.
- 1775 Which we did that in the CPAC as well as in the land man statement. And then the
- updated C10 twos. And then in two of the cases 2573-O and the one case you did
- 1777 not call.
- 1778 We also updated on the CPAC and the land statement based on Mr. Mcclure's
- 1779 feedback regarding what Permian would be allowed to pool.

- 1780 Because.
- 1781 A depth severance carved out.
- Specific to the Second Bone Spring, so based on that feedback we limited the each
- of these.
- 1784 To the third Bone spring. So and I would ask that the revised hearing packets and
- exhibits be taken under advisement at this time. If there are no questions and we do
- have our experts available.
- 1787 If there are follow up questions, there are not.
- So your your amended exhibits are admitted into the record without exception.
- 1789 And your case is 257283031 and three two are taken under advisement.
- 1790 Now let's call 25733.
- Good morning, Mr. hearing examiner Paula Vance with the Santa Fe Office of Holland
- and Hart, on behalf of the applicant, MRC Permian Company.
- 1793 And I won't go through everything.
- Let me tell you the reason I called it separate because you didn't sign the CPAC.
- 1795 Oh yeah.
- 1796 So we're gonna call that.
- 1797 We're gonna call that a typo.
- Please sign it today as long as we receive this by 5:00 PM today, we will leave that
- 1799 record open.
- 1800 It won't stay open after 5.
- 1801 PM It will get done.
- 1802 Excellent. I appreciate that.
- So we will receive your exhibits into evidence without exception, including the signed
- 1804 CPAC, even though I don't.
- 1805 That is an evidentiary document. Or not.
- 1806 And we will take your case under advisement. At 5:00 PM today.
- 1807 Absolutely. Thank you. Thank you.
- 1808 We're off the record in all those matador. We only have one case left and that is
- 1809 number 26 on our docket.
- 1810 It is 25767 Jackie McLean, on behalf of Spur Energy Partners.
- And in case number 25767, this was just called back so that the public notice period
- 1812 could run.
- 1813 It did not get published in time due to the holiday, so I asked that the exhibits be
- 1814 admitted into the record.

- 1815 And the case be taken under advisement.
- 1816 Thank you. Without exception and taken under advisement. So thank you.
- 1817 Thank you. And for that, we conclude our hearing today.
- 1818 Thank you for everyone's Mr. Examiner.
- 1819 Could I suggest a point or order perhaps?
- 1820 And you know, the division does prepare this worksheet, which is super helpful.
- And I was just wondering, to avoid attorneys talking over each other if it would be
- helpful for the division if we entered our appearance when there's multiple parties in
- the order.
- Listed on the worksheet and that way we're orderly between the folks who are virtual
- and the folks in the room and also can make sure that way that we're not stepping
- on each other's toes when we're trying to enter our parents.
- 1827 It makes sense.
- And if you can e-mail your fellow attorneys and and let them know that that
- seems like a very good idea to me because some people are not here, they may not
- be hearing this, but I think it's a wonderful idea.
- 1831 Great. Thank you so much.
- 1832 All right. Thank you.
- 1833 All right.
- Unless there's anything else, we're off.
- 1835 And a Merry Christmas to everyone.
- 1836 I don't think we have anything else for the rest of the year, do we, Freya?
- 1837 We do not.
- 1838 All right. Excellent.
- Happy New Year or happy Holidays. However you celebrate it and we'll see you in
- 1840 the new year.
- 1841 Thank you.

1843 **Pecos Hall** stopped transcription