

1 DISCLAIMER: This transcript was auto-generated with the assistance of Microsoft AI
2 technology and may contain errors, omissions, or not reflect the original audio's
3 nuances. Its intended purpose is to provide general information only. The official
4 record is the video recording of the hearing, which is posted to the OCD's YouTube
5 channel, which can be viewed here: [OCD Pecos Hall - YouTube](#).

6

7 **OCD Regular Docket April 16, 2026-** 8 **20260416_143654UTC-Meeting Recording**

9 April 16, 2026, 2:36PM

10 1h 20m 59s

11

12  **Paula M. Vance** 1:38

13 Good.

14 Freya, I'm just checking to see if you can hear me.

15

16  **Pecos Hall** 18:57

17 Hope so.

18 The Wi-Fi here. Oh, you do have me a problem. I think I got it though.

19 Yeah.

20 Yeah, which kind of cases it is and where they are. I think there's only that case. Oh,
21 so it's the only case there is. Well, group. That's what I meant. Yes, there's only one.

22 OK. So these guys, do me a favor. Why use the restroom and find out how.

23 OK.

24 Yeah.

25 Like we're here, we've got some schedule. It's on us.

26 So that's what I'm saying.

27 I thought, yeah, we're bankers. We just retired from that. So he's this much.

28 And if you're waiting to get the degree and I have to do what I did, I'll talk to that.

29 Yeah, I sometimes I'm participating. Yeah, exactly. Sometimes let's see.

30 Yeah, yeah.

31 20.

32 You know.

33 All right. Good morning. It is 9:00 AM. Today is Thursday, April 16. This is a regularly.
34 Scheduled status conference docket for the Oil Conservation Division.

35 I see Miss Bennett and Miss Hardy here, and I'm going to call a case that's not on our

36 docket first because you're both here. And this is of course 25878, the case that we
37 dealt with yesterday. We received a motion, an expedited motion.
38 From Miss Hardy on behalf of PBEX, etcetera. And we spoke to Miss Bennett
39 regarding Chevron's response. And I know, Miss Hardy, that you were hoping for an
40 expedited decision. I know why.
41 And Miss Bennett, you said that you had received that motion and that you were
42 prepared to respond within one week, which is the 22nd of
43 Of April and the hearing is May 13, is that right? That is May 13. Where, Freya, where
44 is that hearing on our trailing docket?
45 I believe it's like number #3 at this point. OK, and what are the other two?
46 I need to pull up that docket. One second.
47 We have #1 Toprock operating.
48 And then Powderhorn operating and then Select Water Solutions. So Chevron's
49 actually four. OK and and Miss Hardy and and then I'll ask you, Miss Bennett, are you
50 involved in any of those other you are. Which case are you involved with the
51 Powderhorn case and the select case, right. And you select was yours and Miss
52 Bennett is also involved.
53 In the select case, and the select case was one of four, wasn't it? Yes. The other three
54 were heard by affidavit and they're in the process of whatever it may be, right?
55 And we Is there any reason you think that that won't go that day?
56 No, I don't. We're planning to proceed that day. I know that the parties who were not
57 permitted to intervene appealed to the Commission. Were you involved in that? Yes,
58 and that is pending. The Commission said they would.
59 There will be further briefing, and I think the Commission's plan was to hear that at
60 the end of May, your argument at the end of May. So most likely the case will have
61 been heard by then and be taken under advisement by then.
62 OK. And Miss Bennett, you're involved in that select case, you said I am. I have been
63 in discussions, or at least I've floated the idea by Miss Hardy that.
64 Oh.
65 Given the pendency of the Commission proceeding, whether it would make sense to
66 delay the select coyote case because if and this is, I know select doesn't agree with
67 me on this, but given the outcome of the Commission proceeding that could impact
68 whether the parties.
69 Should be involved in the Coyote case, and I know that ship has sailed for the other
70 three cases, but it hasn't yet sailed for the Coyote case. So I've been I I indicated to

71 Miss Hardy that I am thinking of that through. I don't know that that's going to come
72 to fruition for a couple of reasons.

73 But at the moment, it does appear that that case will move forward. And if I have
74 anything to do with that case, we'll move forward. I just telegraphing my thought
75 process here. I mean, the rules are very clear that you can appeal to the Commission
76 after a final order is issued by the division and.

77 Those parties have decided and we have, we have Mr. Suazo here and he's
78 representing Pilot and I know he hopes, he hopes differently, but we'll see what
79 happens. But no, I want to, I want to get that case out of the way. And you said
80 Powderhorn was yours.

81 Yes. And did you say Taprock was yours? Taprock is not. OK, but Powderhorn is. Yes.
82 And who's opposing Powderhorn? MRC has filed competing applications, I believe.
83 Who's representing MRC?

84 Um, Holland and Hart, I believe. OK, I don't. Do we have anyone from Holland and
85 Hart today?

86

87 **PV Paula M. Vance 28:59**

88 Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner, Paula Vance with the Santa Fe Office of
89 Holland and Hart on behalf of MRC Permian.

90

91 **PH Pecos Hall 29:07**

92 Thank you, Miss Vance. How are I? I suspect negotiations are ongoing. I'll ask you,
93 Miss Vance, in just a moment, Miss Hardy, how are negotiations going? I know that
94 they are ongoing, but it's my understanding there are a lot of moving parts.
95 To these applications in different spacing units, some overlap, some don't. So I don't
96 know at this point how what the odds are on it getting resolved. That's of course the
97 that's really the you understand and Miss Vance to the to you.

98

99 **PV Paula M. Vance 29:38**

100 And just so I understand because I wasn't prepared for to discuss these, this is the
101 25888 case, is that correct the Quail Dobbs?

102

103 **PH Pecos Hall 29:45**

104 You wouldn't.

105 I don't know. Let's find out for Miss Hardy. That's correct. Yes, Miss. Yes, Miss Vance.

106

107 **PV** Paula M. Vance 29:55

108 OK.

109

110 **PH** Pecos Hall 30:12

111 Please.

112

113 **PV** Paula M. Vance 30:12

114 I would have to look at my my e-mail communications to to confirm that, but my
115 understanding is that this will go to a contested hearing.

116

117 **PH** Pecos Hall 30:20

118 OK. All right. OK. I I know I'm putting you on the spot. I know that you weren't. I
119 know you weren't prepared. I'm just trying to figure out the the, the Chevron case
120 really here. OK. And it's #4 on the docket and sure.

121 And Freya, you said Taprock is the second or the first case. I lost you.

122 It's number one. It is number one. And who's representing Taprock? I am. Oh, you
123 are. Yes. Great. Mr. Suazo, what's the likelihood of that case going to hearing? This is
124 the one on 5/27, correct? No, no, this is the one on 5/13, I think.

125 Right, Freya?

126 Correct. It's 25886 and 25887. Perfect. Thank you. Let me share.

127 Those. OK. So we do have those in the status conference docket today and those
128 were moved to 527. Yes, that's OK. OK. So I am in those cases as well. I was confused
129 as a couple. I'm sorry, Mr. Spaso. Freya, would you take those off the?

130 If they're not off that docket, will you take it off? OK, so it sounds like we have

131 Powderhorn is #1, Miss Hardy. It sounds like we have Select as #2 and Chevron is #3,
132 right? OK, very good.

133 OK, so with that in mind, I know you wanted an expedited decision. I've read your
134 motion several times. I've researched your motion. I'm really just waiting for the
135 benefit of Miss Bennett's. Will it be your response or will it be Mr. DeBryan's
136 response?

137 It will be both of our response.

138 OK, uh.

139 So, so I'm, I'm halfway there and I'll, I'll get a decision to you rapidly once I get before
140 it'll be before Friday of that week. So if that helps you, great. That does help. Thank

141 you.
142 Miss Bennett, anything further on that? Nothing further. Thank you. Thank you, Miss
143 Bennett. Miss Hardy, anything further on that case? No, thank you. Thank you
144 everyone for helping me through that. Let's call the first case on our list. This is Spur
145 Energy Partners 25727. Dana Hardy on behalf of Spur Energy Partners. Thank you.

146

147 **EP Ernest Padilla** 32:55

148 Mr. Examiner Ernest El Padilla for.

149 EML210 Trust, GLJ 210 Trust, JLM 210 Trust and EMC Oil and Gas Ltd and.

150

151 **PH Pecos Hall** 33:13

152 Good morning. Good morning, Mr. Padilla. What is your position on this case?

153

154 **EP Ernest Padilla** 33:18

155 We don't have one anymore. You know, we're done.

156

157 **PH Pecos Hall** 33:21

158 OK.

159 Thank you, Mr. Padilla, and it's good to see you. Miss Hardy, you have some exhibits
160 to admit. Yes, we submitted our exhibits. We have the statement of our landman,
161 Drew Oldis.

162 Geologist Matthew Van Wee, both of whom have previously testified and we

163 provided their standard pooling exhibits. So, so have you revised your exhibit

164 packet? What are you requesting that I admitted to evidence? So this this case was

165 continued to this docket. So we hadn't presented this case yet by.

166 Affidavit. You've not right. Was it? Were you curing notice in this case? Yes. OK, very

167 good. Then go right in. Let me just let me just cut to the chase. We've reviewed your

168 exhibits. It will be taken under advisement. So if that helps you get through this

169 quicker, go for it. OK. Would you like me to?

170 Just quickly summarize or no quickly summarize. OK, SPUR seeks to pull

171 uncommitted interest in the Yaso formation, underlying a 120 acre standard

172 horizontal unit comprised of the north half of the southeast quarter and the

173 northeast quarter of the southwest quarter of Section 17, Township 17 S, Range 31 E

174 in Eddy County.

175 And we'll dedicate the unit to the Garrison 17 Fed Wells and our exhibits include the

176 self from statements of Landman Drew Oldest and geologist Matthew Van Wee, both
177 of whom have previously testified before the division and been recognized as experts
178 in their fields.

179 Our notice information is included in Exhibit C and was timely provided to parties by
180 certified mail last October and then we timely published notice also last October. So
181 that's the exhibits be admitted and that the case be taken under advisement.

182 Great. The exhibits are admitted without exception. I don't believe Mr. Padilla has an
183 objection to any of the exhibits.

184

185 **EP** Ernest Padilla 35:18

186 None near, Mr. Sandler.

187

188 **PH** Pecos Hall 35:19

189 Thank you, Sir. And the case is taken under advisement. So we're off the record in
190 this case. Thank you. All right, let's move on to Mewborn Oil. This is #2 on our docket
191 25746. Dana Hardy on behalf of Mewborn. Thank you. Good morning, everyone.

192 Dana Bennett on behalf of Avant Operating 2, LLC. Thank you.

193 Good morning, Mr. Examiner, Carrie Hatley on behalf of Marathon Oil Permian. And
194 we are just monitoring. Thank you, Miss Hatley and Miss Bennett, your position on
195 this case. Thank you. Avant operating did object to this case proceeding by affidavit
196 and what?

197 I'm not going to add. I don't think I'm going to ask any longer. Why did you object?

198 Because it's obvious why companies object to each other's proposals and

199 applications. So how are the negotiations going? The negotiations are progressing.

200 And um.

201 The parties, I mean in coordination with Miss McLean, I mean Miss Hardy, we had
202 talked about.

203 Potentially asking the division for another status conference, although Miss Hardy
204 looked back at the transcript for the case and saw that this was the final status
205 conference. So with that being said, she and I have conferred and we would like to
206 request the furthest out contested hearing date, which I think is June 30th.

207 Or June, June 30th is the furthest way out, yes. And so that would be.

208 In my understanding, our strong preference. OK, hold on one second. Let me make
209 some notes.

210 Miss Hardy, I agree with Miss Bennett. The negotiations are proceeding and

211 hopefully a contested hearing is unnecessary, but.
212 The event it is, we would request late June. I understand. Your intent, Miss Bennett, is
213 to file a competing application or not? Not at the moment. Not at the moment.
214 Depends on how negotiations go. Yes, yes, I mean, I think.
215 The negotiations are proceeding well, fine. So unless the negotiations fall through,
216 there wouldn't be a reason. And I don't want to cast any negative juju on the
217 negotiation. So I'm just going to say that at the moment, no competing applications
218 are.
219 On the horizon.
220 Um.
221 Reading a note here.
222 So, Freya, I'm not sure how the two messages.
223 Either support each other or conflict with each other, or what the final resolution
224 would that be?
225 This is for the Taprock cases. Yes, yes, I believe that the motion to amend the pre-
226 hearing order is still outstanding.
227 It has not been addressed.
228 OK. We'll go back to that in just a moment. OK.
229 So if you do, if negotiations do go South and you need to file your.
230 You need to file a competing application. You're comfortable with the deadlines for
231 that. We would not be able to file competing applications by June 30th because
232 Avant would still need to propose, send out the proposal letters, and I'd still have to
233 file the you haven't even proposed, no.
234 I didn't understand that. Yeah. So that's why I know the division is disinclined to
235 grant another status conference. But under these circumstances, we'd be setting an
236 entirely hypothetical hearing date. When was the case filed?
237 The case was filed in December. It's been five months and and not only has it been
238 five months, but you've had their proposal the month before. So it's really been half
239 a year. Why would it take this long to either negotiate or decide to file a competing
240 application?
241 The negotiations take some time, and if, like I said, the negotiations have been
242 fruitful. And even at two status conferences ago, I mentioned to Miss Hardy that
243 Avant was under the impression that they had reached an agreement. So this isn't a
244 delay on Avant's part. This is.
245 Normal back and forth, I guess, of negotiations. And so it's definitely not an Avant

246 delay. So I wouldn't want the six months to be seen as any sort of prejudice towards
247 Avant's position.

248 Right. But we'd be setting, we'd be setting a contested hearing six months out from
249 when the application was filed. So yeah, we'll issue a pre-hearing order for June 30th
250 and if the parties need more time, you can file a motion with an affidavit and I'll see
251 what I'll do.

252 But six months really is pushing the limit of our docket time. But there are
253 extraordinary, extraordinary circumstances. And if you can show that, OK, so.

254 Anything further, Miss Harding? No, thank you. All right. Thank you, Miss Bennett.

255 Nothing further. Thank you. Freya, what is the case number for the Taprock on the
256 May 13 or case numbers? I believe it is 25886 and 25887. Right. You said that before
257 and I didn't write that.

258 Thank you. Let's go back to that case for a moment.

259 This is your case, Miss Hardy.

260 This is Taprox application. These cases are actually now next on our. Oh, oh, so I I
261 should have looked down. All right. Thank you, Miss Orty. All right, so we'll get there.
262 I'm now calling case number three and four and I docket 25886 and 887. Good
263 morning, Mr.

264 Examiner Miguel Suazo with Beatty and Wozniak joined by my colleague Deonza
265 Valencia appearing today on behalf of Taprock Operating. It's good to see you here
266 in person. Thank you and good morning again. Dana Hardy on behalf of COG
267 Operating. Thank you and Miss Hardy before I go back to Mr. Suazo.

268 COGI don't have this in front of me. Have you filed competing applications? No. So
269 COG objected and had planned to file competing applications, but the parties have
270 negotiated and it's my understanding that an agreement is being signed today. OK.
271 So, OK. Yes. OK. And that's your perspective is that you're either very close or you've
272 got an agreement. Yes. Would that be an agreement in principle or an actual
273 agreement, an actual agreement, Mr. Suazo, yes, I can confirm. I was not aware that
274 today might be the day, but I was aware that a letter agreement had been.

275 Circulated and that it looked promising. OK.

276 So then.

277 How do you want to proceed with your cases?

278 Are you going to dismiss them? If the agreement is signed, then yes, then you would
279 dismiss them. OK, you have almost a month here, correct between now and then. OK.

280 And there was a motion to amend the pre hearing order who filed it.

281 I had filed that motion because at that point our witness, when we were still planning
282 to proceed with the contested hearing, our witness wasn't available on May 13th, so
283 we'd ask for it to be continued to May 27th. But at this point, that's probably moved,
284 yeah.

285 OK, let me take a look in our imaging system if I get access to it.

286 And if I could correct the record, I don't know that we would dismiss, but it'd
287 certainly proceed by affidavit. I see. So you wouldn't force pool them COG, I see. OK.
288 I understand.

289 So this is a motion filed by COG approximately the 5th of this no the 2nd of this
290 month.

291 I see. Sure.

292 And it was unopposed by you anyway, correct. OK.

293 Mr. Examiner, hold on one second. Let me just finish this. So in February, at the end
294 of February, you asked for a contested hearing. We set it from May 12, went to May
295 13.

296 And Freya, that was number is that was that on your after we removed those other
297 cases that moved. Oh, are these the cases that moved to May 20 something?

298 They didn't personally move that to that later date because the motion hasn't been
299 ruled upon. OK, perfect. But we but these are the cases that were number one on
300 your list originally. Yes. Perfect. OK. All right. Well, thanks for helping us with our
301 docket and.

302 OK, great. So good luck, good luck. And once so let's see, I think the pre-hearing
303 order which is in front of me says that if you do settle your differences with COG that
304 this will be heard it's up in the front of it.

305 June 4, OK. So that's when you wouldn't have to, you would continue your cases if
306 they're not already on June 4, if they're on May 13 now, you'd continue, continue
307 your case to June 4 and we'd hear them then. OK, that works. All right. All right. Well,
308 thank you both. If there's nothing further, we're off the record.

309 In Taprock operating, let's move on to Matador production. There are several cases
310 here #5 through 8 on our dockets 2591234 and five.

311

312 **PV** Paula M. Vance 46:33

313 Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner, Paula Vance with the Santa Fe Office of
314 Holland and Hart on behalf of the applicant, Greyhound Resources LLC and Matador
315 Production Company. And I did have my hand up, you know, if it would be.

316

317 **PH** Pecos Hall 46:48

318 Oh, sorry, I didn't see it.

319

320 **PV** Paula M. Vance 46:49

321 No, that's OK. I did, I I did a little digging and I do actually have an update on that
322 Powderhorn Quail Dobbs case. So if you you want to go back to it at some point, I
323 can give you an update.

324

325 **PH** Pecos Hall 46:56

326 Yeah.

327 Thank you.

328 Perfect. Thank you, Miss Vance. We'll do that right after we finish these four cases.

329 Good morning. Deena Bennett on behalf of Avant Operating 2, LLC and Avant

330 Operating 2 has objected to these cases proceeding by affidavit. And what is your?

331

332 **PV** Paula M. Vance 47:05

333 Understood.

334

335 **PH** Pecos Hall 47:17

336 What is your intent? Is it to file competing applications or just? The intent is to
337 negotiate with MRC, but at this point Miss Vance and I were coordinating this
338 morning and it looks like this one also was set for a final status conference for today.
339 And again, I Avant and MRC, I'm not trying to speak for my spance. I'm sure she'll
340 weigh in if I'm wrong, but would appreciate the opportunity to have another status
341 conference instead of setting these cases for contested hearing. But if the division is
342 inclined to set them for a contested hearing, then.

343 It would need to be in early July because Avant would need to send out its proposal
344 letters and file its applications. So Avant's strong preference is to hope that there's
345 room for negotiation, but if not, then.

346 Given timing constraints, I would need through the beginning of July for the 1st 10
347 days in July really for a contested hearing. And do you have any idea how
348 negotiations are going in advance?

349 View they're progressing, but I think Avant has not heard from MRC recently and so
350 that's what's causing the stalemate and why Avant is now.

351 Having to decide what to do and its decision if we have to go to a contested hearing
352 is to send out competing proposals. And is this a the the basic issue here? Is this the
353 Vont doesn't like the proposed plan or it's overlapping or it interferes with the Vont's
354 operations in some way? What's the basic?

355 Idea here. I unfortunately don't have an answer to that because I excuse me, we were
356 hopeful that we would not be in this place today. So I don't have that information. All
357 right. Thank you, Miss Bennett. Miss Vance.

358

359 **PV** **Paula M. Vance** 49:15

360 I concur with Miss Bennett. We Matador has a strong preference to do another status
361 conference with the hopes that the parties can reach a an agreement and don't feel
362 it's necessary at this point to set a contested hearing. But again, to Miss Bennett's
363 point, if we did have to set one.

364 Our strong preference would be for the latest possible date and if it requires it to be
365 pushed into July, that's not a problem for Matador. That way Avant could perfect its
366 proposal, time frame and notice.

367 So.

368

369 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 49:53

370 OK. And do you have information regarding the question about how negotiations are
371 going?

372

373 **PV** **Paula M. Vance** 49:58

374 I would concur with Miss Bennett. I I think Matador is there was some initial
375 negotiations and and you know for whatever reason you know maybe there was a
376 little pause on it, but I believe that our land man should be.

377 Reaching out to their their folks and pick those negotiations back up.

378

379 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 50:22

380 When was 25912 filed?

381

382 **PV** **Paula M. Vance** 50:24

383 25 So February 5th or it was filed for the Yeah, I believe it was filed on February 5th.

384

385 **PH Pecos Hall** 50:35

386 Can you check for me?

387

388 **PV Paula M. Vance** 50:36

389 Uh, yes, absolutely. Give me just one.

390

391 **PH Pecos Hall** 50:40

392 Mm-hmm. Sure.

393

394 **PV Paula M. Vance** 50:55

395 Yes. So it was filed on January 7th for the.

396 For the February 5th docket.

397

398 **PH Pecos Hall** 51:06

399 OK. So it was filed January 7, all right.

400

401 **PV Paula M. Vance** 51:10

402 Yes.

403

404 **PH Pecos Hall** 51:14

405 So if I set an early July, I mean that the reason why this was a final status conference,
406 status conference is it's been five months now since this was filed and we're still sort
407 of hearing about negotiations and.

408 It's on our docket, so I haven't heard a good reason not to set it, and I'll.

409 I'll abide by the party's request to set it as far out as I can, but you know, July means
410 it's now seven months on our docket, which is longer than I would like. Freya, do we
411 have anything in early July?

412 Our special docket dates are July 7th and July 28th. All right, July 7th. All right. So

413 Miss Vance, Miss Bennett, we'll send out a pre-hearing order for these cases for July
414 7, giving Miss Bennett time to file.

415 Her cases, if need be. Thank you. All right, Miss Miss Vance, let's go back now to

416 what we were talking about. What case number do you do you want to talk about?

417

418 **PV Paula M. Vance** 52:26

419 OK, so for Miss Hardy, it's the Powderhorn Quail Dobbs which is 25888 and then I
420 will. We filed a entry of appearance and objection on behalf of MRC Delaware
421 Resources and Matador Production Company.
422 And we just filed our our competing applications and I do have case numbers for
423 those. So we so we'll be filing a a motion to amend the pre-hearing order and add
424 our cases to that and I can give you those case numbers and Miss Hardy, those case
425 numbers.

426

427 **PH Pecos Hall** 52:52

428 Mhm.

429 OK.

430 Please.

431

432 **PV Paula M. Vance** 53:07

433 It's 26096 through 26098 and I believe Miss Luck, she has entered an appearance in
434 those cases on behalf of Waterloo.

435

436 **PH Pecos Hall** 53:11

437 Mhm.

438 So, Miss Hardy, you have one case, is that right, 25888? That's correct. And Miss
439 Vance, why do you have three cases?

440

441 **PV Paula M. Vance** 53:33

442 That's a great question. I I'll be honest, I have not been the one managing these. I
443 just know that we filed those three and that they are supposed to compete with the
444 quail dobs. So that's that's what I have. I'd have to look at the applications.

445

446 **PH Pecos Hall** 53:38

447 Oh, OK. All right. OK.

448 OK.

449 Have you, Miss Hardy, have you seen these cases? I have not seen them, but I have
450 sort of a summary of them and I think.

451 There are different spacing units proposed. I think MRCS have depth severances and
452 powder horns does not. So I think that's part of the reason for the different number
453 of applications. Let me look at something here and then I'll hear from Miss Luck.

454

455 **PV** Paula M. Vance 54:06

456 Mhm.

457

458 **PH** Pecos Hall 54:52

459 Brea, are these cases number one on our docket?

460 Let me go back and check now that Taprock may be going away. I would think it is
461 going away.

462 It's #2, so #1. Joe would be #1. All right, very good. All right, Miss Vance, is that what
463 you wanted to let me know?

464

465 **PV** Paula M. Vance 55:27

466 Yes, I just wanted to give you an update that we did file our competing applications
467 and just you know to Miss Hardy's point, I just did a quick cursory look and that's
468 correct. There's death severances with our cases and that's why we've got three of
469 them and just wanted to let you know that we'll be.

470

471 **PH** Pecos Hall 55:43

472 OK.

473 OK.

474

475 **PV** Paula M. Vance 55:47

476 Failing a motion to amend the pre-hearing order to add our cases to that May 12th
477 contested hearing date.

478

479 **PH** Pecos Hall 55:54

480 All right. Instead of filing a motion, would you just file a proposed order? You've
481 already told me what you know the reason is and I'll grant it, obviously. So just file a
482 proposed order and I'll add it and I'll we'll amend our pre-hearing order unless
483 there's an object, unless there's an objection from Miss Hardy.

484

485 **PV** Paula M. Vance 56:04

486 OK.

487 Understood.

488

489 **PH Pecos Hall** 56:11

490 No, no objection. And Miss Love, did you want to enter an appearance?

491

492 **KL Kaitlyn Luck** 56:16

493 Yes, Mr. Green Examiner, I'm here for Waterloo Resources LLC and WR Non-op 2 LLC
494 and they don't have an objection to Matador's cases at this point in time. We're just
495 observing.

496

497 **PH Pecos Hall** 56:28

498 So they don't have an objection to Matador's cases. What about to Taprock's cases
499 or case?

500

501 **KL Kaitlyn Luck** 56:37

502 To Potterhorn's case, yes, I think that we maintain our objection to Potterhorn's case.

503

504 **PH Pecos Hall** 56:40

505 Uh, Powderhorn. Sorry. OK, so you maintain objection to Powderhorn. Are you
506 negotiating with Powderhorn?

507

508 **KL Kaitlyn Luck** 56:51

509 It's my understanding that we intend to withdraw the objection to Powderhorn's case
510 and just simply monitor these proceedings, but I have not yet gotten final
511 confirmation from my client on that yet.

512

513 **PH Pecos Hall** 57:02

514 All right, but you'll file a written withdrawal when you do.

515

516 **KL Kaitlyn Luck** 57:06

517 Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

518

519 **PH Pecos Hall** 57:08

520 All right. And Miss Hardy, were you aware of the objection? Yes, you were. And in
521 addition, EOG had entered an appearance in this case and objected to Powderhorn's
522 application. Do we have Miss Kessler? Was that by Miss Kessler?

523

524 **JK** **Jordan Kessler** 57:23

525 Here, Mr. Examiner, yes.

526

527 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 57:25

528 Oh, there, there she is. I can, yes.

529

530 **JK** **Jordan Kessler** 57:26

531 Can you hear me? Hi.

532

533 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 57:29

534 Would you like to enter an appearance?

535

536 **JK** **Jordan Kessler** 57:31

537 Thank you, Mr. Examiner. This is Jordan Kessler on behalf of EOG. See that my camera
538 is messed up. There we are.

539

540 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 57:39

541 Yeah.

542 And did you want to tell me your position on this case?

543

544 **JK** **Jordan Kessler** 57:44

545 Yes, Mr. Examiner, we maintain our objection for now. I believe that we're in
546 discussions with Powderhorn.

547

548 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 57:52

549 OK. Thank you, Miss Kessler at the if there.

550 If there is a contested hearing, which it looks like there is, are you going to appear?

551

552 **JK** **Jordan Kessler** 58:02

553 We would appear, I believe that we would offer a limited evidence relevant to
554 existing well bores.

555

556 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 58:09

557 OK. Thank you, Miss Hardy. Anything.

558 No, thank you. Not on that. OK, very good. Thank you, Miss Kessler, Miss Luck, Miss
559 Vance. So we're off the record in 25888. Let's move on now to Avant operating. This
560 is 25996. It looks like it's consolidated with some other cases that are maybe.
561 Hmm, I don't see them on our docket, but I'll call them anyway 260626364 and 65.
562

563 **KL** **Kaitlyn Luck** 58:42

564 Good morning, Mr. Hang Examiner, Caitlin Block, appearing for a bond operating in
565 this case.

566

567 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 58:46

568 Thank you.

569

570 **CH** **Chris Harris** 58:48

571 Good morning, Mr. Examiner, Chris Harris, appearing on Bath Coteria Injury
572 Operating.

573

574 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 58:53

575 Mr. Harris, is this your first time appearing?

576

577 **CH** **Chris Harris** 58:58

578 Yes, Mr. Zinner.

579

580 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 59:00

581 OK, great. What firm are you with?

582

583 **CH** **Chris Harris** 59:02

584 With Holiday Energy Law Group.

585

586 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 59:04

587 Oh, Holiday. Ben Holiday in San Antonio.

588

589 **CH** **Chris Harris** 59:06

590 Yes, yes, Mr. Exam, that's correct.

591

592 **PH Pecos Hall** 59:10

593 OK, great. And you represent Cotera?

594

595 **CH Chris Harris** 59:13

596 Correct.

597

598 **PH Pecos Hall** 59:14

599 Is it your cases that are the 2606263 and 6465?

600

601 **CH Chris Harris** 59:19

602 Yes, that's correct. Those were filed in late March and they were set for status
603 conference in May, but we agreed to move the status conference up to get the ball
604 rolling on this.

605

606 **PH Pecos Hall** 59:28

607 I'm sorry, I didn't understand what you just said. Will you repeat it?

608

609 **CH Chris Harris** 59:32

610 Those were filed in March 26th, those cases, so the competing applications and we
611 were set for status conference in May, but agreed to move it up to this status
612 conference to get the ball rolling on it.

613

614 **PH Pecos Hall** 59:36

615 Mhm.

616 I see. That's what you're saying. OK, so before I go back to Miss Luck.

617 Since you also now your case is.

618 Priya, when it comes to notice, which docket are those cases noticed on?

619 I believe since they're on the May status conference docket, they're probably noticed
620 for the May hearing affidavit docket. I see, which is what date?

621 That is May 7. OK, so your case is Mr. Harris.

622 So your case is Mr. Harris.

623 Would normally be on the May 7 hearing by affidavit docket, but they are.

624 We're hearing them today. That's interesting. I didn't.

625 I didn't know we could do that.

626 I guess they're not. I mean, I guess we're they're not really on the docket today.
627 They're really just competing with Miss Luck's case. OK, I understand what's going on
628 now. I'm I'm caught up with everyone. Mr. Harris, how are negotiations going?
629

630 **CH** Chris Harris 1:00:58

631 It's my understanding that negotiations are ongoing, but we've agreed to go ahead
632 and set it for hearing at this time.

633

634 **PH** Pecos Hall 1:01:04

635 OK. Do you have it before I go to Miss Luck? I mean, she had the original case, so I'm
636 going to give her preference. Did you and Miss Luck agree on a date?

637

638 **CH** Chris Harris 1:01:13

639 I believe we've agreed on July 28th is the date.

640

641 **PH** Pecos Hall 1:01:16

642 I didn't know that we offered July 28. Did we offer July 28 for you? We didn't formally
643 offer it. However, parties did reach out to me to state that they were unavailable in
644 June and asked for what the July dates would be. Perfect.

645 And you gave him July 28 as yes, one of two options. OK, perfect Miss Luck.

646

647 **KL** Kaitlyn Luck 1:01:39

648 Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner. I also want to let the division know that Avant has
649 filed two additional applications that will be set for this contested hearing as well. I
650 filed those yesterday, so those are for the third bone spring and for the Cisco
651 formations. They have not yet been assigned case numbers, but yes, I did speak with
652 Mr. Holiday.

653 Before this hearing started and we agreed to the July 28th docket date since he and I
654 are both unavailable on the June dates.

655

656 **PH** Pecos Hall 1:02:04

657 OK, Miss Chance, do you see those filings in the queue?

658 I need to look.

659

660 **KL** **Kaitlyn Luck** 1:02:17

661 It was late yesterday, so it was probably close to 5:00 when they got filed.

662

663 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:02:21

664 All right. Thank you, Miss Luck. What I'd like to do is get those case numbers from
665 Miss Chance and then issue a pre-hearing order with these new Coterra cases, these
666 four Coterra cases, and then your three, you're going to have three in total.
667 Avant cases.

668

669 **KL** **Kaitlyn Luck** 1:02:41

670 That's correct.

671

672 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:02:41

673 All right. Do you see them, Miss Chance? I do see them. I can approve them really
674 quick. Oh, you don't have to now as long as we add them to the pre-hearing order.
675 That's all I really care about. OK, I can't provide case numbers yet then. OK, OK, that's
676 not a problem. We understand. All right. So July 28.
677 Mr. Harris, anything further?

678

679 **CH** **Chris Harris** 1:03:04

680 No, Mr. Dimmer.

681

682 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:03:05

683 All right. And when we, if we do have a stat, if we do have a contested hearing, you're
684 you're going to be the attorney representing Coterra.

685

686 **CH** **Chris Harris** 1:03:14

687 Uh, my partner Ben Holiday will be at rooms in Coterra.

688

689 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:03:16

690 OK. All right. Very good. OK, Miss Luck, anything further?

691

692 **KL** **Kaitlyn Luck** 1:03:23

693 No, thank you. I appreciate your time.

694

695 **PH Pecos Hall** 1:03:25

696 All right. Thank you. All right, we're off the record in those cases. Let's move on to
697 Matador production. There are three cases, 10 through 12 on our docket 260056 and
698 eight.

699

700 **PV Paula M. Vance** 1:03:38

701 Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner Paula Vance with the Santa Fe Office of
702 Holland and Hart on behalf of the applicant, MRC Permian Company and Matador
703 Production Company.

704

705 **PH Pecos Hall** 1:03:47

706 Thank you. Good morning, Mr. Examiner, Dena Bennett on behalf of Avant operating
707 to LLC and Avant has objected to these cases moving forward by affidavit and your
708 intention is it to file competing applications at the moment it's um.

709 At the moment, Avant's objection is based on the fact that Avant has not received
710 proposal letters for these wells and so thinks that these cases were prematurely filed,
711 but Avant I coordinated with.

712 Miss Vance this morning about that and Avant's preference is to hopefully have the
713 cases go away. But in the absence of that, we'd like to request. I'm not requesting
714 another status conference, but I know that Miss Vance is going to request another
715 status conference and I.

716 I'm not going to object to that, but that is the basis. Wow, this is so long. The basis of
717 Avon's objection is that they have not received the proposal letter.

718 OK, Miss Vanson.

719

720 **PV Paula M. Vance** 1:04:51

721 So yes, I would concur regarding requesting for another status conference. These
722 were filed on 2/24 and then and were filed for the March 26 hearing docket and I did
723 check you know if there was any other.

724 Whether any feedback from the division on whether or not we could ask for another
725 status conference didn't seem like there was anything that would oppose that. So we
726 would just ask for another status conference and hopefully the parties can work out
727 any kind of issues that they have.

728

729 **PH** Pecos Hall 1:05:29

730 And and the idea that your client did not send out proposals to.

731

732 **PV** Paula M. Vance 1:05:35

733 I oh, I'm sorry.

734

735 **PH** Pecos Hall 1:05:38

736 Tim is Ben is client, Tim is Ben is client.

737

738 **PV** Paula M. Vance 1:05:40

739 Yeah, Matador is looking into that and at this point I don't have an update, but again,
740 we would just ask for another status conference and hopefully the issues can be
741 resolved.

742

743 **PH** Pecos Hall 1:05:54

744 OK, how difficult can it be to send out a proposal to Avon?

745

746 **PV** Paula M. Vance 1:06:01

747 Well, I I think that there's a question of whether or not they need to so and matters
748 looking into that right now.

749

750 **PH** Pecos Hall 1:06:12

751 OK.

752 OK, I I find this. I find this.

753 I find this amazing. Really, I I find this amazing. Whether they should or shouldn't, I
754 can't imagine that it's that hard to send a proposal to Avant. But OK, sure, we'll give
755 you one more status conference on these, but at that time we're going to set a
756 hearing for them.

757

758 **PV** Paula M. Vance 1:06:38

759 Understood.

760

761 **PH** Pecos Hall 1:06:40

762 OK. And that'll be next month, the status conference docket, I sorry, Freya, May what

763 is it 21, May 21 and Mr. Examiner and just in case it's not clear if it turns out that
764 Matador hasn't sent a proposal letter to Avant, which is what?
765 Is the actual scenario at the moment there. I'm reserving the right to file a motion to
766 dismiss in advance of that second status conference. I understand. Thank you. I get it.
767 OK. So, Miss Vance, anything further?

768

769 **PV** Paula M. Vance 1:07:15

770 No.

771

772 **PH** Pecos Hall 1:07:16

773 OK. And Miss Bennett, nothing further. Thank you. Very good.

774 Let's move on to Oxy USA. We have two cases 2600926010. Good morning, Mr.

775 Examiner, Dow Muhlenberg of Santa Fe Office of Gallagher and Kennedy on behalf of

776 Oxy USA. Thank you, Mr. Ken.

777 Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Dina Bennett on behalf of Chevron USA and Chevron

778 has objected to these cases moving forward by affidavit. And good morning, Mr.

779 Mollenberg. Mr. Kennedy, it's stuck in my head. OK. And your position is what now?

780 Oh, we're objecting to the cases moving forward by affidavit. OK. And your intent is
781 what?

782 At the moment, Chevron is going to be sending out competing proposals. The Oxy
783 cases overlap acreage that Chevron owns 95% of in two sections, and so Chevron is
784 intending to send out competing proposals.

785 And then file competing applications and you know, just given timing constraints

786 again, it sounds to me like if Oxy is intent on moving forward with a contested

787 hearing that the earliest that could be as early July, OK.

788 And so, but I'm hopeful that the parties will be able to reach a resolution given

789 Chevron's large ownership. Makes sense. OK. So, Mr. Mollenberg, thank you, Mr.

790 Examiner. Oxy's interest is.

791 In proceeding to a contested hearing and getting that set as quickly as possible, we

792 understand Chevron's position. It's possible that the parties might be able to work

793 something out, but I at this point in time, I don't think there's any way of knowing.

794 Where that might go. So we would like to get this set for a contested hearing. So first

795 of all, on my worksheet I have miscellaneous. I'm not sure why I have miscellaneous.

796 Is this an injection case?

797 No, I'm not exactly sure why it would say miscellaneous either. They are non-

798 standard. It's a it's a large spacing unit. They're the two applications. I think they're
799 both 19120 acre spacing units. But are you asking for a compulsory pooling? Yes. Oh,
800 you are. Freya, why is this listed as miscellaneous? Is that something that the?
801 The applicant selected incorrectly or correct? Oh, OK Can you change it? I can. Oh,
802 excellent.

803 I know that we're having the applicants do a lot more work now entering cases and
804 maybe it's a a paralegal or someone who is not familiar with probably so I've I've got
805 a new assistant and she's not that familiar with the with the menu, so that's probably
806 what's going on. But yeah, I I don't.

807 Recall any reason why this would be set for as miss identified as miscellaneous? I
808 know that we have. Is it Freya? Do we have some sort of guide out there for people
809 who are entering data now?

810 We do not. We do not. Oh, we don't. Not yet. I thought that was part of the new
811 guidance that we were releasing, but I guess not. OK. But Mr. Moenberg, you can
812 always ask your assistant to contact Freya. She's always, she's.

813 Always willing to help. She used to do this entry herself, so she's very familiar with it.
814 Under understood. And in fact, that's that's what I like to do. But we're learning as we
815 go here. And you filed, you filed your case, Mr. Muhlenberg went.

816 Late February. Late February. OK. Does July work for you? Yes. OK, then we'll issue a
817 pre-hearing order for July 7. It'll be a trailing docket. This would be the second case
818 on the docket.

819 And Miss Bennett, that should give you time to file competing applications. Plenty of
820 time. Yes, it does. Yeah. Thank you. And I'll before this morning, we didn't know what
821 the dates were for the July docket. So I would like to have the opportunity just to
822 confirm that the 7th works, but I did confirm with.

823 Chevron that it would be sometime the 1st 10 days of July. So that should work. OK,
824 very good. Thank you very much. And Mr. Examiner, say for Oxy, we'll check on that
825 date, but it works for me. So, OK, excellent. Thank you both. We're off the record in
826 those two cases.

827 We'll move on to what looks like our last case. It's Paloma Permian 26025. Sharon
828 Shaheen for Paloma Permian and congratulations on your new independence, Miss
829 Shaheen. Thank you. You're welcome. Good morning. Carrie Hatley representing
830 COG operating Concho Oil and Gas and Mongos Minerals and.

831 And we have objected to these cases moving forward. OK, thank you. Jackie McLean
832 with Harding, we have a Vatex. Thank you. What's your position? We are just

833 monitoring. Oh, you're monitoring. I just wanted to make sure what your position is
834 before I moved on.

835

836 **JE** **Jacob Everhart** 1:12:21

837 Mr. Examiner.

838

839 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:12:29

840 So just monitoring. OK, I'm sorry, Mr. Suazo. Oh, Mr. Eberhardt, excuse me.

841

842 **JE** **Jacob Everhart** 1:12:33

843 Oh, Mr. Everhart. Yes, Sir. With Benny Wozek, New Mexico appearing on behalf of
844 Coterra Energy operating and its affiliates and we are objecting to this application
845 moving forward by affidavit.

846

847 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:12:45

848 OK, so we have an objection from Coterra. We have an objection from COG Concho
849 Mongoose. Is that right? OK, good.

850 Let's start with you, Mr. Everhart, because you're in front of me now. What's your
851 intent? Do you intend to file competing applications or just just question witnesses at
852 a hearing? What's your intent?

853

854 **JE** **Jacob Everhart** 1:13:01

855 OK.

856 Yeah. Thank you for the question, Mr. Examiner. So we have reviewed both Paloma's
857 and COGS development proposals and it's Coterra's position that we intend to
858 support COGS forthcoming counter applications as their proposed development plan
859 more fully aligns with Coterra's.

860 But in the meantime, I think we would preserve rights.

861

862 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:13:32

863 OK. And Miss Hatley, we are prepared to file a competing applications. However,
864 we're also having a lot of communications with Paloma and I'm hopeful we may be
865 able to work a trade or work a deal. So we would very much like one additional
866 status conference if possible to keep working. OK, I understand.

867 Miss Sheen. Yes, I've conferred with Miss Hadley and we agreed. We agree that

868 status conference second docket in May would be preferable. OK, that'll be a final
869 status conference, Miss Sheen.

870 Thank you. All right. So that it will be moved continued by Paloma to the May 21st
871 for a final status conference.

872 All right, that's the last case on my worksheet. Is there anything else?

873 Not hearing anything. We're off the record. Thank you.

874

875 **PV** **Paula M. Vance** 1:14:26

876 Yet, yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner, I I caught you and I think and I think you knew I was
877 gonna try to talk to you.

878

879 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:14:30

880 Yes, Miss Vance.

881 You did.

882 No, I didn't actually. No, I didn't. But there you are. What can I do for you?

883

884 **PV** **Paula M. Vance** 1:14:42

885 So I just wanted to see if we could discuss very briefly. There's a contested hearing
886 set on May 28th between Uburn Oil Company and WPX and.

887

888 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:14:59

889 Hold on one. Hold on one second. Hold on. Let let me pull up that. I I don't know
890 what you're talking about yet, but I'm gonna get there.

891

892 **PV** **Paula M. Vance** 1:15:01

893 Sure.

894

895 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:15:08

896 May 28, you said, didn't you?

897

898 **PV** **Paula M. Vance** 1:15:10

899 That's correct.

900

901 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:15:12

902 A 28. Oh, that's the case that Freya mentioned to me this morning. I think it's the

903 only case on that. That's the only set of cases on that docket. Is that right? OK, now,
904 do we have anyone from Uborn with us?

905

906 **PV** **Paula M. Vance** 1:15:25

907 That's correct.

908 I'm with. I'm Newburn and I believe Mr. Savage should be on the line. He said he was
909 gonna hang out and he is representing WPX. There he is.

910

911 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:15:30

912 Or are you mute? You're mute.

913

914 **DS** **Darin Savage** 1:15:43

915 Hmm.

916

917 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:15:43

918 Mr. Savage, would you enter an appearance?

919

920 **DS** **Darin Savage** 1:15:45

921 Yes, good morning. Thank you. Good morning, Mr. Henry Examiner, Darren Savage
922 with Evidence Shield, appearing on behalf of WPX Energy Permian LLC.

923

924 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:15:54

925 OK. Thank you, Miss Savage. And I'm I'm assuming your cases are more more recent
926 than Miss Vance's cases.

927

928 **DS** **Darin Savage** 1:16:04

929 Yes, yes, we filed those cases subsequent to to Miss Vance's cases.

930

931 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:16:09

932 OK. And and before I go back to Miss, Miss Vance, what do you want to tell me
933 about these cases?

934

935 **DS** **Darin Savage** 1:16:17

936 Oh well, I I can tell you and then and Miss Vance can can I believe can confirm that
937 the the the the parties have reached the competing parties have reached a a final

938 agreement and and it looks like that it's going to go through. They just need some
939 additional time.

940

941 **PH Pecos Hall** 1:16:29

942 No.

943 Mhm.

944

945 **DS Darin Savage** 1:16:35

946 And I believe they're looking at 60 days that would allow them to finalize and close
947 on the trade.

948

949 **PH Pecos Hall** 1:16:42

950 OK.

951

952 **DS Darin Savage** 1:16:43

953 So it it seems like it would, it would be very helpful. It would it, you know, it seems
954 like it'd be a burden to go through on both the parties and the division to go
955 through a contested hearing and then for the parties because they're so close to
956 closing, actually closing.

957 While the division is evaluating. So anyways, that's my thought on it, yeah.

958

959 **PH Pecos Hall** 1:17:00

960 OK.

961 I understand. So you're asking. So what you're saying is you would like 60 days out
962 from today before these cases are heard, if they're heard at all, Miss Vance.

963

964 **PV Paula M. Vance** 1:17:16

965 I concur with that and and what Mr. Savage said, we're very close to to executing the
966 agreement. We just need additional time and hopefully take the burden off of the
967 division's plate and the party's plate.

968

969 **PH Pecos Hall** 1:17:17

970 All right.

971 OK.

972 Oh, that's good of you.

973 You're always thinking of the division. That warms my heart. OK, so I think what we
974 can, what we can do is we can reset these cases for I just. Fred, did we discuss June
975 23rd?

976 I think you suggested June 18th, which is the status conference. Yes. OK, thank you.
977 We do have a special docket on the 23rd. I remember that as well. That'll give you,
978 that'll give you 60 days +2, Mr. Savage and Miss Vance, if we reset these to the 18th.
979 Of June. I need a motion and a proposed order. OK, I don't need an affidavit here
980 because I'm relying on your representations under your ethical duty of candor to the
981 forum.

982 Which I know you hold sacrosanct. So if you'll file that, I will issue, I will issue that
983 order. Mr. Hearing Examiner, really quickly, Miss Hardy and I are both in these cases
984 as well. You are. I didn't know that. Hold on a second. We have some more voices
985 here. Go right ahead. Thank you. Dana Hardy on behalf of Permian Resources and we
986 are just.

987

988 **PV** Paula M. Vance 1:18:33

989 Um, yeah.

990

991 **PH** Pecos Hall 1:18:41

992 Monitoring these cases. Thank you. Good morning, Dina Bennett on behalf of
993 Bulomia, Permian. And we did object to the cases as I recall, but for preservation
994 purposes only, objected for preservation. I've never heard that before.

995 Well, to preserve our rights to ask questions at the hearing and to that's not an intent
996 to file competing applications, but to preserve the right to participate in a contested
997 hearing.

998 So if if the parties have come to an agreement, what happens to your objection?

999 Well, I did not know until this morning that the parties, the parties in air quotes had
1000 come to an agreement, but I don't think that Paloma intends to stand in the way of
1001 any agreement. I just haven't had a chance obviously to confer with Paloma about
1002 this and I'm not.

1003 Entering any objection to the resolution that the parties in air quotes have suggested
1004 today, I just need to confirm with Paloma, but assuming that they reach an
1005 agreement there, that's what in theory dispose of Paloma's objection.

1006 OK. And so you have no objection to my resetting this for June 18th? No, I didn't
1007 think so. All right. OK. Is there anything else, Miss Vance and Mr. Savage?

1008

1009 **PV** **Paula M. Vance** 1:20:00

1010 No.

1011 Thank you.

1012

1013 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:20:02

1014 OK, so who who will file the motion and the and the? I'm sorry, not the motion, but
1015 the proposed order?

1016

1017 **PV** **Paula M. Vance** 1:20:09

1018 Yes. And I will tell you I'm on military duty right now, so I will. I may not file it until
1019 early next week, if that's OK with the division.

1020

1021 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:20:10

1022 Will it be you, Miss Vance?

1023 Uh, OK.

1024 Are you taking? Are you taking part in the naval blockade in the Red Sea?

1025

1026 **PV** **Paula M. Vance** 1:20:26

1027 Uh, no, I am not. I'm an.

1028

1029 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:20:28

1030 Oh, well, I was gonna wish you. I was gonna wish you good luck.

1031

1032 **PV** **Paula M. Vance** 1:20:31

1033 Thank you. No, I am in Oakland, CA right now.

1034

1035 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:20:35

1036 Even close. All right. All right. So, so, so you'll coordinate with Mr. Savage on the
1037 proposed order.

1038

1039 **PV** **Paula M. Vance** 1:20:36

1040 OK.

1041 Yes.

1042 Yes, I will.

1043

1044 ● **Pecos Hall** stopped transcription