<u>CASE 4490:</u> Application of TEXAS PACIFIC FOR AN EXCEPTION TO ORDER NO. R-3221, AS AMENDED.

( )ASE RFORD #4490

EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico March 17, 1971 EXAMINER HEARING MEXICO NEW ALBUQUERQUE, dearnley-meier reparting servi IN THE MATTER OF: HEARINGS, STATE MENTS. Application of Texas Pacific Case No. 4490 Oil Company for an exception ) 243-6691 to Order No. R-3221, as amended, ) Eddy County, New Mexico. • F.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE DEPOSITIONS, BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner. SPECIALIZING IN 209 SIMMS BLDG. TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

		PAGE 2
<b>C</b> - - - - -	1	MR. NUTTER: Call next Case No. 4490.
	2	MR. HATCH: Case 4490. Application of Texas Pacific
	3	Oil Company for an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended,
	4	Eddy County, New Mexico.
к р 	5	MR. RUSSELL: John F. Russell, from Roswell, appearing
	6	on behalf of the Applicant. I have one witness.
	7	(Witness sworn.)
66	8	(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits 1 and 2 were marked
GOBUTIONY, DALLY COPY, CONVENTIONS QUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103 EW MEXICO 87108	9	for identification.)
	10	OMER ROBERTS,
	11	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
	12	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	13	BY MR. RUSSELL:
. <b>СОРҮ, СО</b> М И МЕХІСО	8 14	Q Will you please state your name, address, the name of
Y, DAILY C €. Nrw +	° 15	your employer and the capacity in which you are employed?
TESTIMONY, D QUERQUE.	× × × × × × ×	A Omer Roberts, O-m-e-r, Midland, Texas, Texas Pacific Oil
8 <b>.</b> BU	Z .	Company, petroleum engineer.
<b>rements, expe</b> 243-6601⊕ A L	ока апо 18	Q And you have previously qualified to give testimony before
STATEM		this Commission, have you not?
<mark>s, HEARINGS, ST</mark> . X 1092 • PHON	1SA3 50	A I have.
<b>1045, НЕ</b> Вох 10	n 1	Q Are you familiar with the application in Case 4409?
E 0 0 1 1 0	AN	A Yes, sir, I am.
SPECIALIZING IN. DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPE 209 SIMMS BLDG., P.O. BOX 1092 PHONE 243-6691 AL	<u>o</u>	
SPECIALIZING H	- 23 ∠	
ראד <b>5 PE</b> גיטפ	Î	
	25	be allowed to dispose of produced water in a surface

MONTH MARY LINE ...

A share and

			PAGE 3
	1		unlined pit for our Wooley Federal Number 3 in the Loco
	2		Hills-Abo Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.
	3	Q	In connection with this application, have you prepared
	4		or have caused to be prepared some exhibits?
	5	A	Yes, sir, I have.
	6	Q	I will refer you to what has been marked as Applicant's
	7		Exhibit No. 1 and ask you to explain that exhibit.
	8	A	Exhibit No. 1 is an ownership map of the area surrounding
	9		our Wooley Lease. On this map are shown the locations of
	10		nearby windmills. Note that the nearest windmill on this
	11		plat is approximately five miles distant from the lease.
	12		Our lease is outlined in yellow and I will explain
	13		the squares outlined in blue.
8710B	14	Q	Go right ahead.
	15	A	I would like to read now from Commission Order No. R-3820
	16		whereby an exception to Order R-3221 was granted to Frank-
	17		lin, Aston and Fair. This order is dated 27th day of
	18		August, 1969.
	19		Read from paragraph seven: "There appears to be
	20		no shallow fresh water in the vicinity of the subject
NANK BLD	21		pits for which a present or reasonably foreseeable
	22		beneficial use is or will be made that would be impared
FIRST NATIONAL	23		by contamination from the subject pits."
	24		The areas outlined in blue on this land plat are
	25		the leases covered by this Order No. R-3820 for Franklin

	1		Aston and Fair.
	2	Q	Where is your lease located; would you give the description
	3		of it?
	4	A	It's located in Section 21, Township 17 South, Range 30
. <b>Э</b> Г	5		East, Eddy County.
-7 -7 •	6	Q	And one of the wells for which the no pit exception has
> -	7		been previously granted is an offset to this lease, is it
	8		not?
	9	A	Yes, sir, it is.
	10	Q	And your examination of the area does not disclose any
3	11		water wells other than the three windmill wells, is that
CONVENTIONS CO 87:03	12		correct?
	13	A	That is correct.
DAILY COPY,	<b>14</b>	Q	Are those windmill wells being operated at this time?
INONY, DAI		A	To our knowledge, they are not.
TESTIM	ω Σ 3 16	Q	Will you explain to us the method under which you are
EXPERT 1 • ALBU	มี 17		operating your well at this time and the reason why it
EMENTS, 243-669	กราช 17 18 18		is unsatisfactory?
S, STAT	• 19	A	Presently, we are disposing of produced water into our
<b>HEARINGS</b> , 1092 • PH			Wooley Number 1, a previous producer in the Loco Hills
DEPOSITIONS,	7		Pool. The water is being disposed into this well on a
			vacuum and it is necessary in order to maintain the
	че и 22 Че и одна и Че и одна и Кака и одна и одна и Кака и одна и одна и одна и одна и Кака и одна и Кака и одна и Стака и одна и		injectivity of this well to acidize it approximately once
SPECIALIZIN	1981 24		every three months.
	25		The cost of these acid jobs is approximately \$700.00

Ċ

dearnley-meier tegerhog o

ومحمد والمراجع والمحمد والمحمول والمحمل والمحمل والمتحمل والمحمول والمحمول والمراجع والمحمد والمحمل والمراجع والمراجع

1 apiece. Our operating costs on this one well lease over 2 the last twelve months have averaged \$1,085.00 per month. 3 We feel that by eliminating the frequent acid jobs on 4 the disposal well that we could reduce our operating costs č. 0 5 for this lease by \$250.00 per month which would extend dearnley-meier report б the economic life of this producing well and result in 7 the recovery of an additional 4,000 barrels of oil. 8 How much oil is this well producing at this time? Q 9 Presently, it produces twenty-two barrels of oil per day Α 10 and ninety-one barrels of water. 11 Q And you are asking exception to the order to allow you to SPECIALIZING IN: DE\*OSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS 12 dispose of this produced water in an open pit, are you 209 SIMMS BLDG. + <sup>3</sup>.0. BOX ;092+PHONE 243-6691+ALBUQUERQUE. NEW MEXICO 87103 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST+ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108 13 not? 14 Α Yes, sir. 15 I refer you to Exhibit No. 2 and ask you what that Q 16 reflects. 17 Exhibit No. 2 is the log of an oil or gas well form for А 18 the United States Geological Survey which is submitted 19 on every well drilled on a federal lease. On this form are shown a record of important water sands encountered 20 in each well drilled on federal leases and referring to 21 the important water sands section of this form for our 22 Wooley Nc. 3 Well, you will notice that there are no 23 important water sands shown on this record. 24 25 To the best of your knowledge, there are no fresh water Q

	-	PAGE 6
	1	areas or wells in this area at all, is that correct?
	2	A Yes, sir, that's correct.
	3	Q How long ago was this well drilled?
	4	A It was completed in December, 1961.
)	5	Q And had been producing into open pit your salt water?
-*	6	A Yes, up until the institution of the no pit order.
	7	Q Have you checked into the possibility of hauling this
	8	water?
•	9	A We have, but the cost of hauling the water would be even
	10	more <b>prohibitive</b> at twenty-five cents per barrel than the
	11	present cost of maintaining the disposal well.
IVENTIONS 87103	12	Q Then, in your opinion, the granting of this exception
<b>Y, CON</b>	13	would not contaminate any waters in this area?
1.11 COP NEW ME 87108	14	A That is our opinion, yes, sir.
RADNY, DI RADUE.	15	Q And, also, the granting of the exception would protect
RT TESTIM Buquer , New Me	16	correlative rights and prevent economic waste by prematur
EXFE 1 • AL 2 O U E	17	abandonment of the well, is that correct?
TEMENTS, 243-6691 80 QUER	18	A Yes, sir.
tings, stat tephone Easteal	19	MR. RUSSELL: At this time, I would like to
6, HEARIN × 1092 • - DG. E⊿	20	introduce Applicant's Exhibits 1 and 2.
SPECIALIZING IN: DE'0051710NS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, 2003 SIMMS BLDG.(* 9.0. BOX 1002 + PHONE 243-669 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST+ALBUQUER	21	MR. NUTTER: Applicant's Exhibits 1 and 2 will be
IN: DE' LDG.• 3 VNAL 3	22	admitted in evidence.
SPECIALIZING IN. DE 209 SIMMS BLDG FIRST NATIONAL	23	MR. RUSSELL: I have no further questions of this
209 S 209 S F1R51	24	witness.
	25	

;

	1	CROSS EXAMINATION
	2	BY MR. NUTTER:
	3	Q Mr. Roberts, is this the lease immediately west of your
	4	lease here that Franklin, Aston and Fair has discontinued
	5	using the pits and is now putting the water into a dis-
	6	posal well?
	7	A I am not aware of that, sir.
	8	Q I believe that one of the instances in the immediate
	9	vicinity which was accepted at one time by the Commission
	10	they have discontinued using the pits and are now using
2 2	11	water disposal wells?
INTIONS 103	12	A I am not aware of that. I have not visited the lease in
Y, CONVI	13	the last two months and J do not know.
<b>TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS</b> Querque, New Mexico 87103 Ew Mexico 87108	14	Q Now, what is the problem here with your well that require
ОНУ, DAI Que, N XICO 8:	15	this treatment every three months with acid?
TESTIM DUER EW ME	16	A We are apparently getting some form of down-hole depositi
K EKFERT Di Albu Roue, N	17	whereby the well will just quit taking water on a vacuum.
rements, 243-6691 Buquer	18	Q Are you treating the water prior to disposing of it?
465, STAT PHONE ASTOAL	19	A We have not treated it previously to this. We have
, HEARING ( 1092 - F	20	investigated a scale treatment, but we do not know whethe
SITIONS, 0. BOX	21	or not this will prevent the deposition of scale.
N: DE 2051 DG. = P.O. NAL 3AN	22	It works on laboratory scale, but we have not tried
SPECIALIZING IN. DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, 209 Simms Blog., P.O. Box 1092. Phone 243-669 FIRST NATIONAL JANK BLDG. EAST ALBUQUEF	23	it yet so we don't know how it is going to work.
\$ <b>95CIA</b> 209 SH FIRST	24	Q Are you using an open or closed system there?
	25	A ft is open.

ALL DESCRIPTION

24 0492

.

	<b>1</b> Q	So, possibly treatment of the water and maybe keeping the
	2	oxygen off of it might result in less deposition of scale
	3	in the well?
	4 A	There is that possibility. We have investigated it, but
	5	we do not know how it is going to work.
	<b>6</b> Q	Now, the fact that this form doesn't show any water
	7	sands could indicate that there were water sands that
	8	weren't reported and it might indicate there were no
	9	water sands which weren't reported, also?
1	0 A	That is a possibility, but to my knowledge, there were no
1	1	water sands reported.
1	<b>2</b> Q	As far as you know, these windmills are not being used,
1	3	but have you tried to make a determination as to whether th
1	4	windmills are being used or not?
1	1 <b>5</b> A	We have not tried to determine whether they are being
1	6	used; just when we surveyed to find out where they were
1	7	located, they were not in use.
1	. <b>8</b> Q	They weren't curning at that time or what?
1	19 A	No, they weren't turning.
2	<b>10</b> Q	Was there any wind that day?
2	21 A	I do not know. This survey was made under my direction,
2	22	but it was not made personally by me.
2	23	MR. NUTTER: Are there any other questions of Mr.
	24 Ro	oberts? He may be excused.
	25	(Witness excused.)



PAGE 10



PAGE 11 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO ) ) ss 2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO ) 3 I, GLENDA BURKS, Court Reporter in and for the County 4 of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that ł, ¢ ()dearnley-meier tegoring 5 the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the 6 New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; 7 and that the same is a true and correct record of the said 8 proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. 9 <u>Dlenda Burks</u> Court Reporter 10 11 SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, COMVENTIONS 12 209 SIMMS BLDG.0 P.O. BOX 10020PHONE 243-06910ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 67103 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EASTOALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 a do liverally matting that the strandar to to compare parent of the proceedings in the descious bearing of these for 1499, Unod up to the 3417 and 28 These 23 24 ( Puna Main Rey Recise fill Bennervalion Cranadian 25

(		
COMVENTIONS	BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION Santa Fe, New Mexi	
Y COPY,	February 3, 1971	-
B, İNC. Remony, dali'	EXAMINER HEAR INC	}
dearnicy-meigr feporting service, inc. specializing in depositions, nearings, statements, expert testimony, daily copy, conventions so simms aldg - F.O. box 1993 - mone 243-661 - Albuquerque, new mexico	IN THE MATTER OF: Application of Texas Pacific Oil Company for an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, Eddy County, New Mexico	Case No. 4490
dearniey-meier specializing in Depositions. 200 simma suda. • 1.0. dox 1993 •	BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner	·
	TRANSCRIPT OF PROC	CEEDINGS

page 2

We'll call Case Number 4490. MR. NUTTER: MR. HATCH: Case 4490, Application of Texas Pacific Oil Company for an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, Eddy County, New Mexico. The Commission has received request from the Applicant that this case be continued to the first dearnley-meier report case in March. MR. NUTTER: Case 4490 to be held at this same place on March 3rd, 1971. SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS 1092+PHONE 243-6601+ALBUQUERQUE. NEW MEXICO 87103 36. EAST+ALBUQUERQUE. NEW MEXICO 87108 209 SIMMS BLDG.• 2.0, BOX 1092 PHONE 243-069 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG, EAST+ALBUQUEF 

3 PAGE

STATE OF NEW MEXICO 1 ) SS ) 2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO ) 3 I, CHARLOTTE J. MACIAS, Court Reporter in and for the 4 County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify 5 that the foregoing and attached 'Iranscript of Hearing before 6 the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by 7 me and that the same is a true and correct record of the said 8 proceedings, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. 9 10 Court Reporter 11 12 209 SIMMS BLDG., P.O. BOX 1002-PHONE 243-6691-ALEUQUERQUE. NEW MEXICO 87103 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST-ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 I do hereby seriizy that the Se is 22 3 Cate 15 4475 **b**ha (2020) 23 . 71 .... 2 **0.** . . . . Section from 24 Attin How Mexico Oil Conservation Constraints 25

dearnley-meier 🗧

SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS

BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico January 13, 1971

# EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

Application of Texas Facific Oil Company for an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, Eddy County, New Mexico.

Case No. 4490

)

)

)

BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner.

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

MR. NUTTER: The next case will be Case 4490.

MR. HATCH: Case 4490. Application of Texas Pacific Oil Company for an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, Eddy County, New Mexico.

2

The applicant in this case has requested that it be continued to the examiner hearing on February 3, 1971.

MR. NUTTER: Case 4490 will be continued to the examiner hearing to be held at 9 o'clock a.m., February 3, 1971, at this same place.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ) ) ss COUNTY OF SANTA FE )

I, ETHEL S. ROMERO, Secretary, Oil Conservation Commission, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; and that the same is a true and correct record of said proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

Harful I kas hel S.

a account werting that the forestator is the sound of the trades of the testing dear as of cases to 4498. Cecc 1 3 19.71 ... ne: 13 210 cm New Victor 011 Conservation Companion



# **OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION**

STATE OF NEW MEXICO P. O. BOX 2088 - SANTA FE 87501 GOVERNOR BRUCE KING CHAIRMAN

LAND COMMISSIONER ALEX J. ARMIJO MEMBER

STATE GEOLOGIST A. L. PORTER, JR. SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

March 23, 1971

Mr. John Russell Attorney at Law P. O. Drawer 640 Roswell, New Mexico 88201

Re:	Case No	4490
	Order No.	R-4120
	Applicant	5
	Texas Pac	ific Oil Company

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith is a copy of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case. Letter pertaining to conditions of approval and maximum allowable to follow.

Very truly yours,

Torus

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

ALP/ir

Copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCCxArtesia OCCxAztec OCCState EngineerXX

Other Bureau of Land Management, Santa Fe

### BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 4490 Order No. R-4120

APPLICATION OF TEXAS PACIFIC OIL COMPANY FOR AN EXCEPTION TO ORDER NO. R-3221, AS AMENDED, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on March 17, 1971, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel S. Nutter.

NOW, on this <u>23rd</u> day of March, 1971, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

### FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Texas Pacific Oil Company, is the owner and operator of the Wooley Federal Well No. 3, located in Unit K of Section 21, Township 17 South, Range 30 East, NMPM, Loco Hills-Abo Poel, Eddy County, New Mexico.

(3) That Order (3) of Commission Order No. R-3221, as amended, prohibits in that area encompassed by Lea, Eddy, Chaves, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico, the disposal, subject to minor exceptions, of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil or gas, or both, on the surface of the ground, or in any pit, pond, lake, depression, draw, streambed, or arroyo, or in any watercourse, or in any other place or in any manner which would constitute a hazard to any fresh water supplies and said disposal has not previously been prohibited.

(4) That the aforesaid Order No. R-3221 was issued in order to afford reasonable protection against contamination of

-2-CASE No. 4490 Order No. R-4120

fresh water supplies designated by the State Engineer through disposal of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil or gas, or both, in unlined surface pits.

(5) That the State Engineer has designated, pursuant to Section 65-3-11 (15), N.M.S.A., 1953 Compilation, all underground water in the State of New Mexico containing 10,000 parts per million or less of dissolved solids as fresh water supplies to be afforded reasonable protection against contamination; except that said designation does not include any water for which there is no present or reasonably foreseeable beneficial use that would be impaired by contamination.

(6) That the applicant seeks an exception to the provisions of the aforesaid Order (3) to permit the disposal of salt water produced by its aforesaid Wooley Federal Well No. 3 in an unlined surface pit located in the vicinity of the subject well.

(7) That there appears to be no shallow fresh water in the vicinity of the subject pit for which a present or reasonably foreseeable beneficial use is or will be made that would be impaired by contamination from the subject pit.

(8) That the applicant should be permitted to dispose of water produced by the subject well in an unlined surface pit in the vicinity of the well.

### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant, Texas Pacific Oil Company, is hereby granted an exception to Order (3) of Commission Order No. R-3221, as amended, to dispose of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil or gas, or both, by its Wooley Federal Well No. 3, located in Unit K of Section 21, Township 17 South, Range 30 East, NMPM, Loco Hills-Abo Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, in an unlined surface pit located in the vicinity of said well.

(2) That the Secretary-Director of the Commission may by administrative order rescind such authority whenever it reasonably appears to the Secretary-Director that such recission would serve to protect fresh water supplies from contamination. -3-CASE NO. 4490 Order No. R-4120

(3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DOME at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.



STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL, CONSERVATION COMMISSION

in

BRUCE KING, Chairman

1.11. 1411:1 . ALEX J. ARMIJO, Member

biter 1/

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

Docket No. 6-71

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - MARCH 17, 1971

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING - SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Elvis A. Utz, Alternate Examiner:

- ALLOWABLE: (1) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for April, 1971, from fifteen prorated pools in Lea, Eddy, Recoverlt and Chaves Counties, New Mexico.
  - (2) Consideration of the allowable production of gas from nine prorated pools in San Juan, Rio Arriba and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico, for April, 1971.
- CASE 4490: (Continued from the February 3, 1971 Examiner Hearing) Application of Texas Pacific Oil Company for an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled, seeks an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, which order prohibits the disposal of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil on the surface of the ground in Lea, Eddy, Chaves, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico. Said exception would be for the applicant's Wooley Federal Well No. 3 located in Section 21, Township 17 South, Range 30 East, Loco Hills-Abo Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.
- CASE 4514: Application of Continental Oil Company for salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water into the Seven Rivers formation through perforations in its Farney A-5 Well No. 5 located in Unit G, Section 5, Township 23 South, Range 36 East, Jalmat Field, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 4515: Application of Continental Oil Company for the reinstatement of Cancelled underproduction, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to the general rules and regulations for prorated gas pools of Southeastern New Mexico promulgated by Order No. R-1670, as amended, to permit the reinstatement of underproduction accumulated by four of its proration units and cancelled January 1, 1971, the wells for the proration units being located as follows:

WELL NAME AND NUMBER	LOCATION	POOL
State KN-12 No. 1	12-195-36E	Eumont
State A-17 No. 5	17-19S-37E	Eumont
Meyer B-23 Nos. 1, 2, and 3	23-225-36E	Jalmat
State A-32 No. 4	32-22S-36E	Jalmat

Docket No. 2-71

(Case 4489 continued)

TOWNSHIP 8 SOUTH, RANGE 35 EAST, NMPM SECTION 32: SE/4

TOWNSHIP 8 SOUTH, RANGE 36 EAST, NMPM SECTION 22: SE/4

TCWNSHIP 9 SOUTH, RANGE 34 EAST, NMPM SECTION 12: NW/4

(g) Extend the Buffalo Valley-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool in Chaves County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 28 EAST, NMPM SECTION 6: W/2

(h) Extend the Double L-Queen Pool in Chaves County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 29 EAST, NMPM SECTION 25: SW/4 SE/4

TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 30 EAST, NMPM SECTION 7: SW/4

CASE 4490:

and the second

Application of Texas Pacific Oil Company for an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, which order prohibits the disposal of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil on the surface of the ground in Lea, Eddy, Chaves, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico. Said exception would be for the applicant's Wooley Federal Well No. 3 located in Section 21, Township 17 South, Range 30 East, Loco Hills-Abo Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.

CASE 4491: (This Case will be continued to 9:00 o'clock a.m. February 3, 1971) In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit W. C. Welch and all other interested persons to appear and show cause why his State Well No. 1 located 660 feet from the South and West lines of Section 28, Township 2 South, Range 26 East, De Baca County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commissionapproved plugging program.

CASE 4492: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Norman R. Jones and all other interested persons to appear and show cause why his State A Well No. 1 located in the NE/4 SE/4 of Section 16, Township 30 South, Range 14 West, Hidalgo County, New Fexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program.

Docket No. 3-71

DUCKETE EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - FEBRUARY 3, 1971

9 A.M. - CIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING - SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Elvis A. Utz, Alternate Examiner

- CASE 4494: Application of Southern Union Gas Company and Southern Union Gathering Company for the suspension of certain provisions of Rules 14(A),15(A),and 15 (B) of the General Rules and Regulations for the prorated gas pools in Northwestern New Mexico in San Juan, Rio Arriba, and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico. Applicants, in the above-styled cause, seek suspension for a period of one year from February 1, 1971, of those provisions of Rules 14 (A), 15 (A), and 15 (B) of the General Rules and Regulations for the prorated gas pools of Northwestern New Mexico promulgated by Order No. R-1670, as amended, that provide for the cancellation of unproduced allowable and the shutting-in of overproduced wells.
- CASE 4495: Application of Southern Union Production Company for a triple completion, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the triple completion (conventional) of its Jicarilla "K" Well No. 13 located in Unit E of Section 11, Township 25 North, Range 5 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, to produce gas from the South Blanco-Pictured Cliffs, Otero Chacra, and Basin-Dakota Pools through a combination of tubing strings and the casing-tubing annulus.

ASE 4490:

(Continued from the January 13, 1971 Examiner Hearing) Application of Texas Pacific Oil Company for an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled, seeks an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, which order prohibits the disposal of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil on the surface of the ground in Lea, Eddy, Chaves, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico. Said exception would be for the applicant's Wooley Federal Well No. 3 located in Section 21, Township 17 South, Range 30 East, Loco Hills-Abo Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.

CASE 4475: (Continued from the December 16, 1970, Examiner Hearing) Application of Texas American Oil Corporation for an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, which order prohibits the disposal of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil on the surface of the ground in Lea, Eddy, Chaves and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico. Applicant seeks as exception to the provisions of said order for wells completed in the Sand Dunes-Atoka Cas Pool and the Sand Dunes-Cherry Canyon Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, to permit the disposal of water produced by said wells in unlined surface pits.

LAW OFFICES OF JOHN F. RUSSELL 412 HINKLE BUILDING P. O. DRAWER 640 ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO 88201

December 18, 1970

Mr. A. L. Porter Secretary Director NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Dear Mr. Porter:

I transmit herewith in triplicate, an Application of Texas Pacific Oil Company and request that it be set for hearing before an examiner.

Very truly yours,

John F. Russell

JFR:eb enc1. cc: Mr. Omer Roberts w/ encl.

Mr. R. J. Womack w/ encl.

1

かの 1~

. . . . . . .

**LAK** Cace 4490

CO ( TELEPHONE 622-464)

AREA CODE 505

Data 3/3/2/

DOCKET MULLED

Dote

1-22-71

DOCKET MAILD Daio 12-30-70

# BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

8

21

<u>}</u>

: 2

NO. 4490

# OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF TEXAS PACIFIC OIL COMPANY FOR AN EXCEPTION TO ORDER R-3221 TO PERMIT DISPOSAL OF WATER IN AN UNLINED FIT FROM ITS WOOLEY FEDERAL NO. 3 VELL LOCATED IN SECTION 21, TOWNSHIP 17 SOUTH, RANGE 30 EAST, LOCO HILLS ABO POOL, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

### APPLICATION

)

COMES NOW Texas Pacific Oil Company and makes application for an Order granting an exception to Order R-3221 to permit the disposal of water from its Wooley Federal No. 3 Well located in Section 21, Township 17 South, Range 30 East, Loco Hills Abo Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, in an unlined pit, and in support thereof states:

Applicant is the owner and operator of its Wooley
Federal No. 3 Well located in Section 21, Township 17 South,
Range 30 East, Loco Hills Abo Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.

2. Said well is presently producing 20 barrels of oil plus 70 barrels of water per day.

3. It is not economically feasible to dispose of the produced water other than into an unlined pit.

4. The granting of this application will not impair any fresh water, and will prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

WHEREFORE, Applicant requests that this matter be set down for hearing before an examiner for the purpose of authorizing the disposal of produced water into an unlined pit as herein requested; that the notice of the hearing be published

-1-

as required by law and that, after said hearing the Commission issue an order as prayed for herein.

A BRANCH STATES

Respectfully submitted,

TEXAS PACIFIC OIL COMPANY

By Sohn Actorney for Applicant P.O. Box 640 Roswell, New Mexico

-2-

DRAFT

GMH/esr

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE No. 4490 Order No. R-4/20

APPLICATION OF TEXAS PACIFIC OIL COMPANY FOR AN EXCEPTION TO ORDER NO. R-3221, AS AMENDED, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

#### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on <u>March 17</u>, 1971, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner <u>Dariel S. Nutter</u>.

NOW, on this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of <u>March</u>, 1971, the Commission, a guorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

## FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Texas Pacific Oil Company, is the owner and operator of the Wooley Federal Well No. 3, located in Unit  $\cancel{K}$  of Section 21, Township 17 South, Range 30 East, NMPM, Loco Hills-Abo Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.

(3) That Order (3) of Commission Order No. R-3221, as

amended, prohibits in that area encompassed by Lea, Eddy, Chaves, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico, the disposal, subject to minor exceptions, of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil or gas, or both, on the surface of the ground, or in any pit, pond, lake, depression, draw, streambed, or arroyo, or in -2-CASE No. 4490

any watercourse, or in any other place or in any manner which would constitute a hazard to any fresh water supplies and said disposal has not previously been prohibited.

(4) That the aforesaid Order No. R-3221 was issued in order to afford reasonable protection against contamination of fresh water supplies designated by the State Engineer through disposal of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil or gas, or both, in unlined surface pits.

(5) That the State Engineer has designated, pursuant to Section 65-3-11 (15), N.M.S.A., 1953 Compilation, all underground water in the State of New Mexico containing 10,000 parts per million or less of dissolved solids as fresh water supplies to be afforded reasonable protection against contamination; except that said designation does not include any water for which there is no present or reasonably foreseeable beneficial use that would be impaired by contamination.

(6) That the applicant seeks an exception to the provisions of the aforesaid Order (3) to permit the disposal of salt water produced by its aforesaid Wooley Federal Well No. 3 in an unlined

surface pit located in the nices it the subject well (7) that there appeare to revaler in the a mi the all Asthelle a preser Beneficeald I iran Ed subject The of water peoduced by the wells be the vicinity ? well. Ve

-3-CASE No. 4490

### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant, Texas Pacific Oil Company, is hereby granted an exception to Order (3) of Commission Order No. R-3221, as amended, to dispose of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil or gas, or both, by its Wooley Federal Well No. 3, located in Unit K of Section 21, Township 17 South, Range 30 East, NMPM, Loco Hills-Abo Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, in an unlined surface pit located in Unit K of Section 21, Township 17 South, Range

(2) That the Secretary-Director of the Commission may by administrative order rescind such authority whenever it reasonably appears to the Secretary-Director that such recission would serve to protect fresh water supplies from contamination.

(3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.