CASE 4504: Application of HUMBLE FOR REINSTATEMENT OF CANCELLED UNDERPRODUCTION, LEA COUNTY.

-

- 25e Number Application Transcripts. Small Exhibits

ſ	
CC. TESTIMONY, PAILY CON, CONTENTIONS	BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico February 24, 1971 <u>EXAMINER HEARING</u>
HEARINGS, STATE MEAN, STREET	IN THE MATTER OF: Application of Humble Oil & ) Refining Company for the ) reinstatement of cancelled ) Case No. 4504 underproduction, Lea County, ) New Mexico.
dearnley-meigr	BEFORE: Elvis A. Utz, Examiner.
	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

		PAGE 2
	t	MR. UTZ: The hearing will come to order, please.
	2	Case 4504.
	3	MR. HATCH: Application of Humble Oil & Refining
	4	Company for the reinstatement of canceled underproduction,
	5	Lea County, New Mexico.
	6	MR. HINKLE: Clarence Hinkle, Hinkle, Bondurant, Co:
	7	and Eaton, Roswell, appearing on behalf of Humble. We have
	8	one witness and four exhibits.
	9	(Witness sworn.)
	10	(Whereupon, Exhibits 1 through 4 were marked for
	11	identification.)
87103	12	MR. UTZ: Other appearances? You may proceed.
EXICO 87	13	DOUG F. LOWE,
TESTIMONT, DALLY COPT, CONVENTIONS Querque. New Mexico 87103 Ew Mexico 87108	14	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
оит, ра р.е. N X.с.о. 8:	15	DIRECT EXAMINATION
NEW MEXICO	16	BY MR. HINKLE:
EXFEX 1.●ALB 1.0UE.	17	Q State your name, your residence and by whom you are
243-669 243-669 BUQUEF	18	employed.
PHONE STAALE	19	A Doug F. Lowe. I live in Midland, and work for Humble
1092 - F	20	Oil and Refining Company, proration section.
NANK BLI	21	Q What are your duties in the proration department?
DG O	22	A My duties are to maintain the records of gas wells in
BL TIC	23	Southeastern New Mexico regarding over and shorts and
209 SIMMS	24	submitting of necessary state records.
	25	<u>Q</u> How long have you been in that department?

•

	_		PAGE 3
	1	Α	Fifteen years.
,	2	Q	And you are familiar with all the books and records of
	3		Humble in connection with this matter before the Commis-
	4		sion?
•. •	5	Λ	Yes, sir.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	6	Q	Are you familiar with the application of Humble in this
Net di Net di Net di	7		case?
nele	8	А	Yes, sir.
dearniey-meier 🎨	9	Q	What is Humble seeking to accomplish?
arni	10	A	Humble is seeking to have reinstated allowable cancelled
80	11		as of January 1st, 1971.
<b>VRNTIONS</b> 87103	12	Q	Have you prepared or has there been prepared under your
TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS Guerque, New Mexico 67103 Ew Mexico 87108	13		direction certain exhibits for introduction in this case?
<b>AILY COPY</b> NEW ME) 87108	14	A	Yes, sir.
STIMONY, DAI IERQUE, N Mexico 81	15	Q	They are Exhibits Numbers 1 through 4, are they not?
TESTIM JQUER JEW ME	16	A	Yes, sir.
EXPERT 1●ALBU Kque, N	17	Q	Will you refer to Exhibit 1 and explain what this is and
rements, exper 243-6601⊕ Alb Buquerque,	16		what it shows?
S, STATI HONE 2 T-ALE	19	Α	Exhibit 1 is a sketch showing Humble's J. L. Greenwood of
HEARING 1092 - P	20		the left with the arrow and Humble's N. G. Penrose Well
EPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, ST. P.O. Box 1092 + PHON DANK BLDG. EAST • A	21		Number 1 on the left with the arrow. Now, we have
SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, 209 Simms Bldg. P.O. Box 1992 Phone 243-669 First National Dank Bldg. East-Albuquef	22	Q	On the left or right?
SPECIALIZING IN: DE 209 SIMMS BLDG.	23	λ	On the left. On the right is the N. G. Penrose and on
SPECIAL 209 SIN FIRST (	24		the left is the J. L. Greenwood,
	25	Q	Okay.

The Real Property lies

and the second second

	_		PAGE 4
	1	A	All locations circled in green are marginal wells and all
	2		in red are non-marginal wells.
	3	Q	Well, the two wells you refer to as the Greenwood and the
	4		Penrose are non-marginal wells?
	5	A	That is correct; yes, sir.
	6	Q	All right. Refer to Exhibit 2 and explain what this is
2	7		and what it shows.
uearniey-meier	8	A	Exhibit 2 shows we will start first with J. L.
ey-I	9		Greenwood 11, Unit I, Blinebry Gas, Section 9, Township
	10		22 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico.
90	11		Here we show our underage as of June 30th, 1970, to
TESYMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS Queroue, New Mexico 87103 Ew Mexico 87108	12		be 36,239 and from July through December, we made up of
	15		this 36,239, 27,091 MCF, leaving underage cancelled of
AILY COPY, CON NEW MEXICO 87108	14		9,148. This well is used by El Paso as a swing well for
AONY, DAIL Oue, Ne Exico 871	15		their compressor. There's one Humble Well in there and
TESYMO IQUEROU Ew Mex	16		two other wells.
는 글 Z	17	Q	El Paso is the gas purchaser in this case?
	18	Ā	El Paso is the purchaser, yes, sir. Now, the lower part
STATEN One 24 • Albu	19	••	is our N. G. Penrose Well Number 1, Unit B, Blinebry Gas,
HEARINGS, STAT 1092 • PHONE DG. EAST • AL	20		Section 13, Township 22 South, Range 37 East, Lea County,
TIONS, HE BOX 30	21		New Mexico.
DE-2051T 1 2.0, L. BANE	22		Here we have ending June 30th, 1970, a shortage of
ING INI 5 BLDG VTIONA	23		
SPECIALIZING IN. DE.YOSITIONS, MEARINGS, STATEMENTS, 209 SIMMS BLDG., 2,0, BOX 1092, PHONE 243-669 PINST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST AALBUQUEF	24		13,668. From July through December, 8,662 MCF of this
<b>9</b> 9 11 9 9 11			underproduction was made up and 5,006 MCF was cancelled a
	25		of January 1st.

Statistical Research and a straight of the

والالالمقدم الغرار كمرو

PAGE 5

Q Now, refer to Exhibit 3 and explain what that shows. 1 2 Α Exhibit 3, J. L. Greenwood Well Number 11, Unit I, Section 3 9, Township 22 South, Range 37 East, Lea County. Here we have the average monthly MCF, the allowable and the pro-4 duction. We also have average production per day produce \$. 5 This well overproduced five months with an average 6 allowable of 16,654. Average monthly production for these 7 five months was 22,073. Average production per day pro-8 duced was 744 MCF for 148.3 days. This well underproduced 9 one month with an allowable of 29,325, production 26,534, 10 with an average daily production of 856 MCF for 31.0 days 11 This well had produced at 27,130 MCF per month 12 209 SIMMS ELDG. P.O. BOX 10920PHONE 243-46010ALBUQUERQUE. NEW MEXICO 87103 Piret National Mank Bldg. East-albuquerque, new mexico 67108 demonstrability for the month of October, 1970; it would 13 have been overproduced by 50,138 MCF and all underproduction 14 would have been made up. This well produced 179.3 days 15 out of 184 days or 97 percent of the time. Average pro-16 duction per day on line for 179.3 days is 764 MCF per day. 17 Average allowable per day for 184 days is 612 MCF per day. 18 Now, refer to Exhibit 4 and explain that. Q 19 N. G. Penrose Well Number 1, Unit B, Section 13, Township Α 20 22 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico; average 21 monthly allowable, average monthly MCF, allowable, pro-22 duction, average production per day produced. This well 23 overproduced three months. Average allowable 16,321. 24 Average production, 19,208 or an average production per 25

dearnley-meier SPECIALIZING INF DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONI

PAGE 6 day produced 768 MCF per day for 75.0 days. 1 2 This well underproduced three months. Average 3 allowable, 21,211. Average production, 16,868 or an average 4 daily production of 699 for 72.4 days. This well had 5 produced at 21,932 MCF, demonstrability for the month of December, 1970; it would have been overproduced 18,995 б 7 MCF and all underproduction would have been made. 8 This well produced 147.4 days out of 184 or 80 per-9 cent of the time. Average production per day on line for 147.4 days is 734 MCF per day. Average allowable per day 10 11 for 184 days is 612 per day. SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS If the Commission approves your application here to carry 12 Q 204 SIMMS BLDG. - 2.0. BOX 1092+PHONE 243-6691+ALBUQUERQUE. NEW MEXICO 87103 PIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG, EAST+ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108 over this underproduction, has El Paso indicated whether 13 14 or not they would take it? 15 Α Yes, sir. They are willing to take it? 16 Q 17 Yes, sir. А 18 No problem there? Q No. 19 Α In your opinion, would the approval of this application 20 Q be in the interest of conversation, prevention of waste 21 and tend to protect correlative rights? 22 Yes, sir. Α 23 MR, HINKLE: We would like to offer in evidence 24 Exhibits 1 through 4. 25

dearnley-meier



#### PAGE 8



PAGE 9 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO ) ) SS 2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO ) 3 I, GLENDA BURKS, Court Reporter in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the 4 5 foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New 6 Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; and that dearnley-meier the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings 7 to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. 8 9 Ourt Reporter 10 11 SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS 12 209 SIMMS BLDC.- P.O. BOX 1092-PHONE 243-6601-ALBUQUERQUE. NEW MEXICO 87103 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDC. EAST-ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 1 do Ferrary working that the theory is a 2 Z 13.23 4554 ç). 23 2.9 60 New Houses Oli Conservation Constitution 24 Mar daar 25



# **OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION**

STATE OF NEW MEXICO P. O. BOX 2088 - SANTA FE 87501 GOVERNOR BRUCE KING CHAIRMAN

LAND COMMISSIONER ALEX J. ARMIJO MEMBER

STATE GEOLOGIST A. L. PORTER, JR. SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

March 31, 1971

Mr. Clarence Hinkle Hinkle, Bondurant, Cox & Eaton Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 10 Roswell, New Mexico 88201

Re: Case No. 4504 Order No. R-4126

Applicant:

Humble Oil & Refining Company

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Very truly yours, a.L. Forter Os.

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

ALP/ir

Copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCC × Artesia OCC

Aztec OCC

Other\_\_\_\_\_

#### BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 4504 Order No. R-4126

APPLICATION OF HUMBLE OIL & REFINING COMPANY FOR THE REINSTATEMENT OF CANCELLED UNDERPRODUCTION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

#### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on February 24, 1971, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Elvis A. Utz.

NOW, on this <u>30th</u> day of March, 1971, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

#### FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Humble Oil & Refining Company, is the operator of the Humble Oil & Refining Company J. L. Greenwood Well No. 11 located in Unit I of Section 9 and the Humble Oil & Refining Company N. G. Penrose Well No. 1 located in Unit B of Section 13, both in Township 22 South, Range 37 East, Blinebry Gas Pool. Lea County, New Mexico.

(3) That the J. L. Greenwood Well No. 11, a non-marginal well, failed to roduce during the gas provation period ending December 31, 1970, accrued underproduction amounting to 9148 MCF of gas which was consequently cancelled January 1, 1971.

(4) That the N. G. Penrose Well No. 1, a non-marginal well, failed to produce during the gas promation period ending December 31, 1970, accrued underproduction amounting to 5006 MCF of gas which was consequently cancelled January 1, 1971. -2-CASE NO. 4504 Order No. R-4126

(5) That the applicant seeks, as an exception to Rule 14(A) of the General Rules and Regulations for the prorated gas pools of Southeastern New Mexico, the reinstatement of the above-described unproduced allowable that was cancelled.

(6) That Rule 14(A) provides that any non-marginal well which has an underproduced status as of the end of a gas proration period shall be allowed to carry such underproduction forward into the next gas proration period and may produce such underproduction in addition to the allowable assigned during such succeeding period and that any such allowable carried forward into a gas proration period and remaining unproduced at the end of such gas proration period shall be cancelled.

That such unproduced allowable is to be cancelled whether or not the wells are capable of producing said allowable.

(7) That the applicant has not shown that the subject wells were unreasonably discriminated against in the price paid, the quantities purchased, the bases of measurement or the gas transportation facilities afforded for gas of like quantity, quality and pressure available from such wells.

(8) That reinstatement of said allowable as an exception to said Rule 14(A) would give to the applicant an unfair advantage over other operators in the subject pool and would, therefore, violate the correlative rights of the other operators.

(9) That the application should be denied.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the subject application is hereby denied.

(2) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.



STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

BRUCE KING, Chaileman

11:1:1 1 . ADHINC, Menta 1ster

. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

'Examiner Hearing - february 24. 1971 -2Docket No. 5.71

- 3. A 480-acre non-stargart gas promation unit comprising the W/2 and the NE/4 of section 13, Township 23 South, Range 36 East, to be dedicated to levas Pacific State "A" Account 1 Wells Nos. 20,21, and 22
- 4. A standard 640-acre gas proration unit comprising all of Section 11, Township 22 South, Range 36 East, to be dedicated to Texas Pacific State "A" Account 2 Wells Nos. 14, 36, and 42.
- 5. A 480-more non-standard gas proration unit comprising the E/2 of Section 8, and the SW/4 of Section 9, Township 22 South, Range 36 East, to be dedicated to Texas Pacific State "A" Account 2 Wells Noss 38, 43 and 56.

Application of Humble Oil & Refining Company for the reinstatement of cancelled underproduction, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to the general rules and regulations for prorated gas pools of Southeastern New Mexico promulgated by Order No. R-1670, as amended, to permit the reinstatement of underproduction accumulated by its Greenwood Well No. 11 located in Unit I of Section 9 and its Penrose Well No. 1 located in Unit B of Section 13, Township 22 South, Range 37 East, Blinebry Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, and cancelled January.1, 1971.

- CASE 4505: Application of Atlantic Richfield Company for salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water into the Yates-Seven Rivers formation in the perforated interval from 2978 feet to 3350 feet in its Federal Well No. 2 located in Unit N of Section 9, Township 20 South, Range 33 East, West Teas Yates-Seven Rivers Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 4506: Application of Atlantic Richfield Company for salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water into the Pennsylvanian formation in the perforated interval from 10,278 feet to 10,442 feet in its Eidson "C" WN Well No. 3 located in Unit F of Section 26, Township 16 South, Range 35 East, Shoe Bar-Pennsylvanian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 4507: Application of Atlantic Richfield Company for salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water into the Devonian formation in the perforated interval from 13,690 feet to 13,726 feet in its Lea State 396 Well No. 3 located in Unit G of Section 35, Township 15 South, Range 36 Fast, Dean-Devonian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 4502: Application of Samedan Oil Corporation for special pool rules and pool extension, bea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks the promulgation of special pool rules for the East Monton-Wolfcamp-Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including a provision for 80-acre spacing and proration units. Applicant further seeks extension of the horizontal boundaries of said pool to include the following.

CASE 4504:

1504 Haurid. 2-24-71 Nec. 3-1-71 Recetimon at the hearing. indicates the Following delle allowatter and there their allowatter and there and should not have ellowable Cancelled 1-1-7/ -Concelled. Recentate the folliousing: Junble. - J. L. Greenwood # 1 D I-9-225-37E, Blinchy Las pool. 9148 micf. Kunble - N. G. Penno # 1 B-13-225 Blineburg Sar Pool. 5006 /M.c.f This Of

r



2

J. L. Greenwood, Well No. 11, Unit I, Blinebry Gas, Section 9, T-22-S, R-37-E, Lea County, New Mexico.

Year & Nonth	Days Prod.	Current Alley,	Net <u>Allow.</u>	MCF Production	Over & Short	Underprod. Nadeup
June, 1970	30,0	18326	54548	18309 <sup>.</sup>	36239	0
July, 1970	28.1	16041	52280	16161	- 36119	120
August, 1970	31.0	12894	49013	18446-	30567	5552
September, 1970	28.3	16793	47360	23801-	23559	7003
October, 1970	31.0	16129	39688	271.30-	12558	11001
November, 1970	29.4	21415 -	33973	24825-	9148-	3410
December, 1970	31.0	<b>29325</b> 1	38473	26534	11939	0
Total amount of a made up for perio Underage subject	od ending	, December	•		• • • • •	27091- 9148 M

The above well is used by El Paso Natural Gas as a swing well for their compressor.

• .

.

۰.

۰.

.

۰.

.

N. G. Penrose, Well No. 1, Unit B, Blinebry Gas, Section 13, T-22-S, R-37-E, Lea County, New Mexico.

•

-

.

.

Year & Month	Days Prod	Current Allow,	Net Allow,	MCF Production	Over & Shout	Underprod. Madeup
the second s	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				, 47 <b>99 19 19 19 19 19</b> 19	and an annual standard and
June, 1970	8.1	18826	19938	6270	13668	· 0
July, 1970	22.1	1.6041	29709	18772	10937	2731
August, 1970	13.1	12894	23331	11276	12855	0
September, 1970	23,0	16793	29.348	17965	11443	1112
October, 1970	29.9	16129	27572	20948	6624	4819
November, 1970	28.3	21415	23039	. 17396	10643	. 0
December, 1970	31.0	29325	39968	21932	18036	Û
Total amount of u	nderprod	luction				
	<b>x x</b> ·	••	61 1000			0110

## BLINEBRY GAS POOL

Humble J. L. Greenwood, Well No. 11, Unit I, Section 9, T-22-S, R-37-**\$**, Lea County, New Mexico.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Average Production
	<u>Average Month</u> Allow.	<u>ly - MCF</u> <u>Prod.</u>	Per Day Prod MCF
Overproduced 5 months	16654	22073	744 (148.3 days)
Underproduced 1 month	29325	26534	856 (31.0 days)

If well had produced at 27130 MCF/mo (demonstrated ability for month of October 1970) it would have been overproduced by 50,183 MCF and all underproduction would have been madeup.

This well produced 179.3 days out of 184 days or 97% of the time.

Average Production per day on line (179.3 days)	=	764 MCF/D
Average allowable per day (184 days)	=	612-MCF/D

875 31 27130 248 217 160

BLINEBRY GAS POOL

WE FLOT

4

U12

Ľ,

### Humble N. G. Penrose, Well No. 1, Unit B, Section 13, T-22-S, R-37-E, Lea County, New Mexico.

	Average Mon	thly - MCF	Average Production Per Day Prod MCF
	Allow.	Prod.	and <u>an an a</u>
Overproduced 3 months	16321	19208	768 (75.0 Days)
Underproduced 3 months	21211	16868	699 (72.4 Days)

If well had produced at 21,932 MCF/mo (Demonstrated ability for the month of December 1970) it would have been overproduced 18,995 MCF and all underproduction would have been madeup.

This well produced 147.4 Days out of 184 Days or 80% of time.

Average production per day on line (147.4 Days)	=	734 MCF/D
Average allowable per day (184 days)	n	612 MCF/D

# HUMBLE OIL & REFINING COMPANY

MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

PRODUCTION DEPARTMENT MIDCONTINENT DIVISION L. H. BYRD MANAGER

January 28, 1971

Cane 4.504

POST OFFICE BOX 1600

Re: J. L. Greenwood 11, Unit I, N. G. Penrose 1, Unit B Blinebry Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico

50 \_\_\_\_\_

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Attention: Mr. A. L. Porter

#### Gentlemen:

We respectfully request a hearing be set at the earliest date to consider our application for an exception to Rule 14 (A) concerning cancellation of underproduction from the two subject wells for the balancing period ending December 31, 1970. Our letter of January 12, 1971, copy attached, requested the underproduction for these two wells be carried forward to the first six months balancing period of 1971 and also gave details of their production history for the period beginning June 1 through December 31, 1970.

Since this request could not be granted administratively we will appreciate your scheduling a hearing to consider this application.

Yours very truly,

L. H. BYRD

HNR/rs cc: Messrs. R. G. Parse, Andrews S. F. Holmesly, Houston W. R. Niblack, Houston J. R. Tolles, Building All w/attachment

<u>\_\_\_\_</u> 000-11-11

# HUMBLE OIL & REFINING COMPANY

MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

MIDCONTINENT DIVISION W. J. CALEFIDAL ACCOUNTING MANAGUR

January 12, 1971

POST OFFICE BOX 1600

Case 4.504

Mr. Elvis Utz New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Dear Mr. Utz:

Humble Oil & Refining Company requests underproduction carried forward on the June 30, 1970 balancing period and not made up during the balancing period ending December 31, 1970, be carried forward into the current balancing period for the following wells.

J. L. Greenwood, Well No. 11, Unit I, Blinebry Gas, Section 9, T-22-S, R-37-E, Lea County, New Mexico.

Year & Nonth	Days Prod.	Current Allow.	Net <u>Allow.</u>	MCF Production	Over & <u>Short</u>	Underprod. Madeup
June, 1970	30.0	18326	54548	18309	36239	0
July, 1970	28.1	16041	52280	16161	36119	120
August, 1970	31.0	12894	49013	18446	30567	<b>5</b> 552
September, 1970	28.3	16793	47360	23801	23559	7008
October, 1970	31.0	16129	39688	27130	12558	11001
November, 1970	29.4	21415	33973	<b>24</b> 825	9148	3410
December, 1970	31.0	29325	38473	26534	11939	0
Total amount of u	nderprod	loction				
· · ·	· · ·		A.3. 5.4.5.5			02001

The above well is used by El Paso Natural Gas as a swing well for their compressor. Mr. Elvis Utz Page 2 January 12, 1971

N. G. Penrose, Well No. 1, Unit B, Blinebry Gas, Section 13, T-22-S, R-37-E, Lea County, New Mexico.

	Days	Current	Net	MCF	Over &	Underprod.
Year & Month	Prod.	Allow.	Allow,	Production	Short.	Madeup
June, 1970	8.1	18826	19938	<i>'</i> 6270	12668	0
July, 1970	22.1	16041	29709	18772	10937	2731
August, 1970	13.1	12894	23531	11276	12855	0
September, 1970	23.0	16733	29348	17965	11443	1112
October, 1970	29.9	16329	27572	20948	6624	4819
November, 1970	28.3	21415	20039	17396	10643	0
December, 1970	31.0	29325	39968	21932	18036	0
Total amount of u	inderiorad	uction				

Total amount of underproduction

8662 \* 5006

Yours very truly,

a farmer 1. J. Colladian

DFL:mej

# HUMBLE OIL & REFINING COMPANY

MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

MIDCONTINENT DIVISION W. J. CALLAHAN ACCOUNTING MANAGER

January 12, 1971

POST OFFICE BOX 1600 5 A. 1. . Care 4.50

r:4 111

1/3

Mr. Elvis Utz New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Dear Mr. Utz:

Humble Oil & Refining Company requests underproduction carried forward on the June 30, 1970 balancing period and not made up during the balancing period ending December 31, 1970, be carried forward into the current balancing period for the following wells.

J. L. Greenwood, Well No. 11, Unit I, Blinebry Gas, Section 9, T-22-S, R-37-E, Lea County, New Mexico.

Year & Month	Days Prod.	Current <u>Allow.</u>	Net Allow.	MCF Production	Over & <u>Short</u>	Underprot 239 Madeup					
June, 1970	30.0	18826	54548	18309 -	36239	0					
July, 1970	28.1	16041	52280	16161 -	36119	120					
August, 1970	31.0	12894	49013	18446 -	30567	5552					
September, 1970	28.8	16793 <sup>1</sup>	47360	23801	23559	7008					
October, 1970	31.0	16129 -	339688	27130 -	12558	11001					
November, 1970	29.4	21415	33973	24825	9148	3410					
December, 1970	31.0	29325	38473	26534 🗸	11939	0					
Total amount of underproduction											
made up for period	d ending	, December	31, 1970			. 27091					
	•		31, 1970		• • • • •	. 27091					

Underage subject to cancellation as of December 31, 1976 ..... 9148 MCF

The above well is used by El Paso Natural Gas as a swing well for their compressor.



Se 2-11-7

Mr. Elvis Utz Page 2 January 12, 1971

.

N. G. Penrose, Well No. 1, Unit B, Blinebry Gas, Section 13, T-22-S, R-37-E, Lea County, New Mexico.

	Days	Current	Net	MCF	Over &	Underprod.				
Year & Month	Prod.	<u>Allow.</u>	<u>Allow.</u>	Production	Short	Madeup				
June, 1970	8.1	18826 6	19938	6270 <del>~</del>	13668	0				
July, 1970	22.1	16041 -	29709	18772	10937	2731				
August, 1970	13.1	12894 -	23831	11276	12855	0				
September, 1970	23.0	16793 -	29348	1 <b>79</b> 05	11443	1112				
October, 1970	29.9	16129 L	27572	20948	6624	4819				
November, 1970	28.3	21415 -	28039	17396 -	10643	0				
December, 1970	31.0	29325 -	39968	21932 ->	18036	0				
Total amount of underproduction										

AV-5000

Yours very truly, W.J. Callahan

DFL:mej

9100 5000 1,910.30

# DRAFT

GMH/dr 3-25-71

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE No. 4504

Order No. R- 4/26

APPLICATION OF HUMBLE OIL & REFINING COMPANY FOR THE REINSTATEMENT OF CANCELLED UNDERPRODUCTION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

#### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on February 24, 1971, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Elvis A. Utz

NOW, on this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_March \_\_\_\_, 19\_71, the Commission, a guorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

#### FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Humble Oil & Refining Company, is the operator of the Humble Oil & Refining Company J. L. Greenwood Well No. 11 located in Unit I of Section 9 and the Humble Oil & Refining Company N. G. Penrose Well No. 1 located in Unit B of Section 13, both in Township 22 South, Range 37 East, Blinebry Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.

(3) That the J. L. Greenwood Well Nc. 11, a non-marginal well, failed to produce during the gas proration period ending December 31, 1970, accrued underproduction amounting to 9148 MCF of gas which was consequently cancelled January 1, 1971. -2-CASE NO. 4504 Order No. R-

(4) That the N. G. Penrose Well No. 1, a non-marginal well, failed to produce during the gas proration period ending December 31, 1970, accrued underproduction amounting to 5006 MCF of gas which was consequently cancelled January 1, 1971.

(5) That the applicant seeks, as an exception to Rule 14(A) of the General Rules and Regulations for the prorated gas pools of Southeastern New Mexico, the reinstatement of the abovedescribed unproduced allowable that was cancelled.

(6) That Rule 14(A) provides that any non-marginal well which has an underproduced status as of the end of a gas proration period shall be allowed to carry such underproduction forward into the next gas proration period and may produce such underproduction in addition to the allowable assigned during such succeeding period and that any such allowable carried forward into a gas proration period and remaining unproduced at the end of such gas proration period shall be cancelled.

That such unproduced allowable is to be cancelled whether or not the wells are capable of producing said allowable.

(7)(8) That the applicant has not shown that the subject wells were unreasonably discriminated against in the price paid, the quantities purchased, the basis of measurement or the gas transportation facilities afforded for gas of like quantity, quality and pressure available from such wells.

(3) That reinstatement of said allowable or an exception to said Rule 14(A) would give to the applicant an unfair advantage

-3-CASE NO. 4504 Order No. R-

over other operators in the subject pool and would think , will be considered would of the same spectra. (9)(21) That the application should be <u>denied</u>.

## IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the subject application is hereby <u>denied</u>.

(2) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the

entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

<u>CASE 4505:</u> Application of ATLANTIC RICHFIELD COMPANY FOR SALT WATER DISPOSAL, LEA COUNTY, N. MEX.

ļ

·c