- 25e Number Application Transcripts. Small Exhibits

NY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS	BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico February 24, 1971 EXAMINER HEARING
MOIOT REALINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS .O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO	IN THE MATTER OF: Application of Continental Oil Company for an amendment of Order No. R-2016, Lea County, New Mexico. )
dearnicht o Brositions, hearings, statements, experiment, di specializing in Dépositions, hearings, statements, expert testimony, di 209 simms blog, • P.O. box 1092 • Phone 243-6691 • Albuquerque, new mexico	BEFORE: Elvis A. Utz, Examiner.
	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

		page 2						
	1	MR. UTZ: Case 4509.						
	2	MR. HATCH: Application of Continental Oil Company						
	3	for an amendment of Order No. R-2016, Lea County, New Mexico.						
	4	MR. KELLAHIN: If the Examiner please, may the record						
	5	show the same appearances and that the witness has been sworn						
£ 	6	and qualified.						
5	7	MR. UTZ: Yes, sir.						
mei	8	(Whereupon, Exhibits 1 through 3 were marked for						
dearnley-meier	9	identification.)						
ar n	10	VICTOR T. LYON,						
	11	having been previously duly sworn, testified as follows:						
TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS Querque, New Mexico 87103 Ew Mexico 87108	12	DIRECT EXAMINATION						
Y, CONVI XICO 87	13	BY MR. KELLAHIN:						
AILY COPY, COMVENTI New Mexico 87103 87108	14	Q Are you familiar with the application of Continental Oil						
<b>юнч, da</b> que, 7 х.со 8	15	Company in Case 4509?						
TTESTIMONY, D UQUERQUE, Dew Mex(Co	16	A Yes, sir.						
<b>~</b> 0	. 1	Q What does the applicant propose in this case?						
DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPE 3.4 P.O. BOX 10924 PHONE 243-06914 AL 3.4 P.O. BOX 10924 PHONE 243-06914 AL	18	A Case 4509 is the application of Continental Oil Company						
65, STAT 540 x 1 51 • AL		for amendment of Order Number R-2016 to permit commingling						
HEARIN 1092 € 1 DG.EA	20	of Maljamar-Paddock production from the Mitchell A and						
OSITIONS, HEARINGS, ST. .o. box 1092.0Phon Ank bldg. east 0	21	B leases without the necessity of first metering the						
N: DEPO A: DEPO A ⊂ • PO		production from each lease.						
SPECIALIZING IN: DEL 203 SIMMS BLDG.*.	23	Q Now, referring to what has been marked as the Applicant's						
SPECIAL 209 SIA	24	Exhibit Number 1, would you identify that exhibit?						
	25	A Exhibit Number 1 is a location plat showing the two						

PAGE 3

leases involved in this application. The Mitchell A Lease is shown outlined in red and consists of the north half of Sections 19 and 20 and outlined in blue the Mitchell B Lease which consists of the west half -- let me start over.

The west half and the west half of the east half of Section 17 and the east half and east half of the west half of Section 18 and the south half of Sections 19 and 20 all in Township 17 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

The one producing well from the Paddock on the A lease is circled in red. This is Well Number 1, located in Unit "A" of Section 20. The Paddock producing wells on the B lease are shown circled in blue and these are Number 10, which is in Unit "O" of Section 17, Number 11, which is in Unit "N" as in Nancy of Section 17, and Well Number 15 which is located in Unit "L" of Section 20.

There is a boundary marked by the dotted line. This is the participating area for the M. C. A. Unit and it really has no bearing in this case because that unit unitizes the hydrocarbons in the Grayburg-San Andres Formations only and does not extend to the Paddock Formation.

Q Now, there have been some additional Paddock Wells on this Mitchell A Lease, have there not?

dearnley-meier 🎨 SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, MEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS 209 SIMMS BLDG., P.O. BOX 1002-PHONE 243-6001-ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103 FIRST NATIONAL 3ANK BLDG. EAST-ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108 1

2

3

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

PAGE 4 1 Yes, there have. This plat is not entirely up to date. Α 2 Those wells which have the "P" beside them and are not 3 circled as I have described, have been recompleted to the 4 Grayburg-San Andres and are producing unitized substances 5 at this time. They are not producing into the battery б that we are talking about today. 7 Q Now, referring to what has been marked as Exhibit Number 8 2, would you identify that exhibit? 9 Α Exhibit Number 2 is a tabulation of the most recent well 10 tests taken on these two leases. You will note that these 11 tests are almost a year old and then to give more recent 12 information, we have tabulated the individual well pro-NEW MEXICO 87103 13 duction for December and the total lease production for 14 87108 January. 209 SIMMS BLDG., 2.0. BOX 1002. PHONE 243-6691. ALBUQUERQUE. Physit National Bank Bldg. Eastealbuquerque. New Mexico 15 When I left the office, they had not broken this down to the individual well production, but it has come 16 17 to our attention since this last test was taken that the 18 meters which were measuring the production from the two 19 leases separately, prior to commingling, had deteriorated 20 to the extent that we could not rely on the test infor-21 mation. When this information came to light, we ordered the 22 23 production from the Mitchell A lease to be shut in so that 24 there would not be any further commingling and recognizing 25 that revenue was being lost, we requested and received

dearnley-meier SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS

ک در مید در اندوس فاقته ماردس وگلار و ا

			PAGE 5
	1		approval from the USGS to commingle these two leases and
	2		allocate production on the basis of well test. The meters
	3		which were in use are of an obsolete manufacturer and we
	4		have concluded, after considerable study into the matter,
	5		that it just is not feasible to repair those meters. They
	б		would have to be replaced and the reserves remaining on
2.	7		the Mitchell A lease just do not justify this type of
meie	8		expenditure.
ley-I	9	Q	You say you did contact the USGS? Is all this acreage
dearnley-meier	10		federal acreage?
ជ	11	A	This is all federal acreage.
CONVENTIONS CO 87103	12	Q	You did receive approval from the USGS to commingle on
	13		the basis of well test calculations?
DAILY COPY, NEW MEXI 0 87108	14	A	Yes, sir. Exhibit 3 is a copy of the letter we received
	15		from the regional oil and gas supervisor authorizing us
TESTIN Го∪ёк еw ме	16		to commingle the two leases and to allocate on the basis
EXPERT 1● A C B C 20 C E	17		of monthly well test.
57ATEMENTS, 57ATEMENTS, 575-069 515-069	18	Q	And this is exactly what you are asking approval of this
<b>35, STAT</b> 7HONE 51 ● ALE	19		Commission for?
<b>MEARING</b> 1092 • P1 DG. EAS	20	Α	Yes, sir. The USGS approval was made contingent upon
SITIONS, 0. BOX	21		like approval from this Commission.
<b>X</b> <b>DEPO</b> ZAC DE	22	Q	How do you propose to handle these well tests and how.
LIZING I MMS BL	23		frequently will you make them?
SPECIAL 204 Sin	24	A	We propose to test the Mitchell A Number 1 monthly into
	25	····	a test tank and to allocate production to the lease based

r		PAGE
1		on that well test.
2	Q	Now, is there a difference in the ownership as between
3		the $\Lambda$ and the B leases?
4	A	Yes, there is. The Mitchell A lease carries a five
5		percent royalty rate and, in addition, there is a seven
6		and a half percent overriding royalty burdening the leas
7		The Mitchell B lease is a straight twelve and a half
8		percent royalty. The royalty in both cases goes to the
9		federal government.
10	Q	Now, how about the overriding royalty owners?
11	A	They were sent copies of our application when we mailed
12		it to the Commission on January 13th of this year. We
13		have received no objection from any of them and, in fact
14		have received one letter of concurrence. We did not
15		request their consent in the letter by which this was
16		sent to them and do not expect to receive other replies.
17	Q	Now, do you consider the commingling on the basis of wel
18		test an accurate means of measuring the interest of the
19		two leases?
20	А	No. It is not what I would call an accurate means of
21		distributing or allocating production, if you look at
2?		accurate as being precise. I think it gives us a good
23		indication of the amount of production that we would
24		receive each day, but we believe that the granting of
25		this application will prevent waste in that the well on

dearnley-meier

SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS

PAGE 7

		1	the A lease will be shut in if we cannot commingle on
		2	this basis and consequently the granting of this application
		3	would permit the production of oil which, otherwise, would
		4	not be produced and as to correlative rights, we recognize
		5	that this method of allocation is not precise but we feel
n Na		б	that the interest in the A lease would rather receive an
<u> </u>		7	allocated share of production and the royalty from it than
mei(		8	royalty from no production at all which means no royalty.
dearnley-meier		9	Q It isn't economically feasible to meter this production
3 a r n		10	from the A lease, is that correct?
		11	A That's correct.
TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS	eúi L B	12	Q Were Exhibits 1, 2 and 3 prepared by you or under your
Y, CONV	XICO B:	13	supervision?
405 VILY COP	NEW MEXICO ( 87108	14	A Yes, they were.
IONY, DA	ERQUE, T MEXICO	15	MR. KELLAHIN: I would like to offer in evidence
	243-6691●ALBUQU 3uquerque, new	16	Exhibits 1, 2 and 3.
, EXPERT		17	MR. UTZ: Without objection, Exhibits 1, 2 and 3
STATEMENTS,		18	will be entered into the record.
IGS, STA1	2 ° PHONE EAST ●ALI	19	MR. KELLAHIN: That completes the presentation of
DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS,	( 1092 -	20	the case.
DSITIONS	209 SIMMS BLDG. F.O. BOX 1092. FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. E	21	CROSS EXAMINATION
N: DEP	д ЦС. Ч ЦС.	22	EY MR. UTZ:
SPECIALIZING IN	MMS BL	23	Q Is it my understanding, Mr. Lyon, that there's four
SPECI	209 SI	24	wells in question here?
		25	A Yes, sir.

		<b></b>	
	1	Q	And only one well on the A lease?
	2	А	Yes, sir.
	3	Q	So, you propose to test the one well on the A lease and use
	4		the subtraction method?
	5	A	Yes, sir.
	6	Q	How much oil does the A lease make?
=	7	A	Well, before it was shut in, it was making seven to eight
	8		barrels a day. I don't know the exact figures, but it
	9		was in the range of from, I believe, six to eight barrels
	10		per day.
2	11	Q	What was the total production, roughly, out of four wells?
IVENTIONS 87103	12	A	Let's see. The wells on the B lease have a very slight
Y, CONVE XICO 8:	13		decline rate and it looks like they were making about
AILY COPY, CON NEW MEXICO	8012 14		sixty barrels a day between the three of them, so this
NY, D DE.	<u><u></u> 15</u>		would make a total production of sixty-six to sixty-eight
T TESTIMO	<sup>™</sup> ≱ 16		barrels.
EXPER 1 • ALE	<sup>ພ</sup> ັງ <b>17</b>	Q	Now, how often did you suggest you run this test?
<b>T V</b>	ແພ ມີກິດ 18	A	Monthly.
STAT STAT	19 <b>19</b>	Q	Monthly?
HEAR 1092	v∃ . 20	A	Yes, sir. This was the USGS's request.
P.O. BOX	21		MR. UTZ: Other questions of the witness? He may be
	Ve 192	excu	ised.
SPECIALIZING IN. DE	0 F 23 z		(Witness excused.)
SPECIAL 209 SIN	19 <b>24</b>		MR. UTZ: The case will be taken under advisement.
	25	The	hearing is adjourned.

dearnley-meier

÷

PAGE 8

PAGE 9



PAGE10 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO ) ) ss 2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO ) 3 I, GLENDA BURKS, Court Reporter in and for the County 4 of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that 5 the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the 6 New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; dearnley-meier 7 and that the same is a true and correct record of the said 8 proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. 9 10 Du Ga Court Reporter 11 SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CORVENTIONS 12 204 SIMMS BLDG.+ P.O. BOX 1092+PHONE 243+0601+ALBUQUERQUE. NEW MEXICO 87103 Piret National trank bldg. East+Albuquerque, new Mexico 87108 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 i do hereby cortify that the foregoing is - researd at all preservitings in ż 24. 1971. 1971. 1971. 1971. 1971. Ę. 23 40 Lis Der 24 Yes Marico Oll Conservation Commission 25



# **OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION**

STATE OF NEW MEXICO P. O. BOX 2088 - SANTA FE 87501

March 4, 1971

GOVERNOR BRUCE KING CHAIRMAN

LAND COMMISSIONER ALEX J. ARMIJO MEMBER

STATE GEOLOGIST A. L. PORTER, JR. SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

Mr. Jason Kellahin Kellahin & Fox Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 1769 Santa Fe, New Mexico Re: Case No. 4509 Order No. R-4115 Applicant:

Continental Oil Company

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Very truly yours, a.L. Torler Os

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

ALP/ir

فالأسلسلية فالكلابيون ير

Copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCC X Artesia OCC

Aztec OCC

\_\_\_\_\_

Other\_\_\_\_\_

### BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 4509 Order No. R-4115

APPLICATION OF CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY FOR AN AMENDMENT OF ORDER NO. R-2016, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on February 24, 1971, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Elvis A. Utz.

NOW, on this  $\frac{4 \text{th}}{4 \text{ day}}$  day of March, 1971, the Commission, a guorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

#### FINDS:

والمعاولين والمعام المترجمة المتعادلة ومعادلتهم المتركبات والمعادكة والمسارية المسارية

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Continental Oil Company, was authorized by Order No. R-2016, dated July 7, 1961, to commingle the Maljamar-Paddock Pool production from its William Mitchell "A" Lease, comprising the N/2 of Section 19 and the N/2 of Section 20, with the Maljamar-Paddock Pool production from its William Mitchell "B" Lease, comprising the W/2 and the W/2 E/2 of Section 17, the B/2 and the E/2 W/2 of Section 18, the S/2 of Section 19, and the S/2 of Section 20, all in Township 17. South, Range 32 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, after separately metering the production from each lease with meters equipped with non-reset totalizers and samplers.

(3) That the applicant now seeks the amendment of said Order No. R-2016 to permit the commingling of the Paddock oil -2-CASE No. 4509 Order No. R-4115

production from said Mitchell "A" and "B" Leases without separately metering the production from each of the leases prior to the commingling.

(4) That the Mitchell "A" Lease has one producing well and the Mitchell "B" Lease has three producing wells.

(5) That each of the above-described wells is capable of only low marginal production.

(6) That the applicant proposes to test monthly the production from the well on its Mitchell "A" Lease and to allocate the production to its Mitchell "B" Lease by the subtraction method.

(7) That approval of the subject application to commingle production prior to weasurement will result in economic savings to the operator, prevent waste, and will not violate correlative rights.

(8) That Order No. R-2016 should be superseded.

#### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant, Continental Oil Company, is hereby authorized to commingle prior to measurement the Maljamar-Paddock Pool production from its William Mitchell "A" Well No. 1, located in Unit D of Section 20, with the Maljamar-Paddock Pool production from its William Mitchell "B" Wells Nos. 10 and 11, located in Units O and W, respectively, of Section 17 and Well No. 15, located in Unit L of Section 20, all in Township 17 South, Range 32 East, MMTM, Lea County, New Mexico.

(2) That the Maljamar-Paddock Pool production shall be allocated to each of the above-described leases as follows:

- (a) Determine the total monthly production from the two leases.
- (b) William Mitchell "A" Lease production shall be equal to the monthly production of the Mitchell "A" Well No. 1 located in Unit D of Section 20, Township 17 South, Range 32 East, as determined by monthly production tests on the well.

-3-CASE No. 4509 Order No. R-4115

> (c) William Mitchell "B" Lease production shall be equal to the total production from the two leases less the William Mitchell "A" Lease production as determined above and shall be allocated among the three wells on said lease on the basis of guarterly well tests.

(3) That the results of the monthly productivity tests required for the Mitchell "A" Well No. 1 as described above shall be filed with the Commission's District Office at Hobbs, New Mexico, on Commission Form C-116 each month until further order of the Commission.

(4) That Order No. R-2016 is hereby superseded.

(5) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION CONMISSION

BRUCE KING, Chairman



ALEX J. ARHIJO, NERBOR

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

esr/

Examiner Hearing - Pebruary 24, 1971 -3-

Docket No. 5-71

(Case 4502 continued)

TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 35 EAST SECTION 34: NW/4

TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 35 EAST Section 3: NW/4 Section 4: S/2 and NW/4

CASE 4503: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Penroc Oil Corporation and all other interested persons to appear and show cause why the intentional deviation of Penroc Oil Corporation State Well No. 2, having a surface location 360 feet from the South line and 330 feet from the East line of Section 28, Township 17 South, Range 28 East, Empire-Abo Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, to a bottom hole location 123 feet from the South line and 149 feet from the East line of said Section 28 should be approved and why the allowable assigned to said well should not be reduced to offset any advantage gained by said bottom-hole location over other producers.

CASE 4508: Application of Continental Oil Company for the assignment of discovery allowable and promulgation of special pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the assignment of an oil discovery allowable to its SEMU Well No. 10 located in Unit F of Section 29, Township 20 South, Range 38 East, Warren-Devonian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant further seeks the promulation of special rules for said pool, including provisions for 80-acre spacing units.

CASE 4509:

Application of Continental Oil Company for an amendment of Order No. R-2016, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the amendment of Order No. R-2016 to permit the commingling of Paddock oil production from its Mitchell A and B leases in Sections 17, 18, 19 and 20, Township 17 South, Range 32 East, Maljamar-Paddock Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, without first separately metering the production from said leases.

CASE 4510:

10: Application of Amerada Hess Corporation for amendment of special pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the amendment of Order No. R-510, which order established special pool rules for the Bronco Siluro-Devonian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to permit the assignment of allowable to wells in said pool on the basis of the statewide oil allowable rules and to no longer require the taking of bottom-hole pressure tests.

Cuer 4509 Rea d 2-24-71 Rec. 2-25-71 Arcent Cont. on compudment to order R-2016 wherein they can comingle their William Mitchell Leask with their William Mitchell B' Serve without metering before Coomin gling . with a down the Mitchel A lease is their Mitdell'A'#1 D 20-175-325 which. vell shall be tele monthly to determine its producing capacity. The test capaci All be fmittipled by the purther The produced to determine the production for the leve. Her hall be subtracted from the cooningled volume to determine the production for the B' lease! this the



;

## MOST RECENT WELL TESTS MITCHELL "A" AND MITCHFLL "B" LEASES

WELL	DATE	<u>011</u>	WATER	GAS	GOR
Mitchell "A" No. 1	4-26-70	8	12	15	1875
Mitchell "B" No. 10	4-17-70	20	14	22	1100
Mitchell "B" No. 11	4-18-70	35	15	29	829
Mitchell "B" No. 15	4-19-70	24	20	25	1040

December Production

Mitchell	"B"	No.	10	471
Mitchell	"B"	No.	11	825
Mitchell	ЧВЧ	No.	15	566

Total Hitchell "B" Lease 1862

January 1971 Production

Mitchell "B" Lease 1761

BEECHE CONTRACTOR (2017)  $\frac{\partial \sigma_{ij}(x)}{\partial x_{ij}} = \frac{\partial \sigma_{ij}(x)}{\partial x_$ CASE M. 4509

Exhibit No. 2

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY Drawer 1857 Roswell, New Mexico 88201

December 15, 1970

IN REPLY REFER TO:

Continental Oil Company P: 0: Box 460 Hobbs, New Mexico 88240

Attention: Mr. G. C. Jamieson

#### Gentlemen:

Your letter of October 20 requests approval to modify the commingling system for Paddock production approved by our letter of August 24, 1961, leases Las Cruces 029405(a) and 029405(b).

You now propose to commingle Paddock production from well No. 1 Mitchell A, lease Las Cruces 029405(a), with like production from Mitchell B lease wells, lease Las Cruces 029405(b), without prior measurement, and to allocate Paddock production to each lease on the basis of periodic well tests.

Your proposal to discontinue separate metering of commingled Paddock production from leases Las Cruces 029405(a) and 029405(b) is hereby approved subject to like approval by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission. The tests used to allocate production should be monthly tests, and your Lessee's Monthly Report of Sales and Royalty, form 9-361, should show all computations used in allocating production to each lease.

Sincerely yours,

Redicia

N. 6. FREDERICK Regional Oil and Gas Supervisor

<u>،</u>	والالغان المتحمين بمتعومهم والمعرب	· • · · · · · · · ·	بعفقا فالمتا المتعاد المتعاد	
		• •		
		÷	3	
	- Aline - Aline - Aline - Aline		9	

## DRAFT

GMH/esr 3-2-71

Ŗ)

BEFORE THE DUL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE No. 4509 Order No. R-

## APPLICATION OF CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY FOR AN AMENDMENT OF ORDER NO. R-2016, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on <u>February 24</u>, 1971, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner <u>Elvis A. Utz</u>.

NOW, on this day of <u>March</u>, 1971, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

### FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Continental Oil Company, was authorized by Order No. R-2016, dated July 7, 1961, to commingle the Maljamar-Paddock Pool production from its William Mitchell "A" Lease, comprising the N/2 of Section 19 and the N/2 of Section 20, with the Maljamar-Paddock Pool production from its William Mitchell "B" Lease, comprising the W/2 and the W/2 E/2 of Section 17, the E/2 and the E/2 W/2 of Section 18, the S/2 of Section 19, and the S/2 of Section 20, all in Township 17 South, Range 32 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, after separately metering the production from each lease with meters equipped with non-reset totalizers and samplers. -2-CASE No. 4509

(3) That the applicant now seeks the amendment of said Order No. R-2016 to permit the commingling of the Paddock oil production from said Mitchell "A" and "B" Leases without separately metering the production from each of the leases prior to the commingling.

(4) That the Mitchell "A" Lease has one producing well and the Mitchell "B" Lease has three producing wells.

(5) That each of the above-described wells is capable of only low marginal production.

(6) That the applicant proposes to test monthly the production from the well on its Mitchell "A" Lease and to allocate the production to its Mitchell "B" Lease by the subtraction method.

(7) That approval of the subject application to commingle production prior to measurement will result in economic savings to the operator, prevent waste, and will not violate correlative rights.

(8) That Order No. R-2016 should be superseded.

## IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant, Continental Oil Company, is hereby authorized to commingle prior to measurement the Maljamar-Paddock  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{C}}(|N_{O_{1}}|, |P_{\mathcal{C}}|) \leq 1$ Pool production from its William Mitchell "A" bease, comprising  $\alpha$  Unit D of Control 20, with the the N/2 of Section 19 and the N/2 of Section 20, with the

Maljamar-Paddock Pool production from its William Mitchell "B" Wells Nos, 10 and 11, located in Units Oand N, respectively) of Loaser comprising the W/2 and the W/2 E/2 of Section 17, the Section 17 and Lord No. 15, located in Unit L of Section-4/2 and E/2 and the E/2 W/2 of Section 18, the S/2 of Section 19, and

the S/2 of Section 20, all in Township 17 South, Range 32 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico.

(2) That the Maljamar-Paddock Pool production shall be allocated to each of the above-described leases as follows:

-3-CASE NO. 4509

- (a) Determine the total monthly production from the two leases.
- (b) William Mitchell "A" Lease production shall be equal to the monthly production of the Mitchell "A" Well No. 1 located in Unit D of Section 20, Township 17 South, Range 32 East, as determined by monthly production tests on the well.
- (c) William Mitchell "B" Lease production shall be equal to the total production from the two leases less the William Mitchell "A" Lease production as determined above.

(3) That the results of the monthly productivity tests required for the Mitchell "A" Well No. 1 as described above shall be filed with the Commission's District Office at Hobbs, New Mexico, on Commission Form C-116 each month until further order of the Commission.

(4) That Order No. R-2016 is hereby superseded.

(5) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

and share be accorded among the Aliver were said force be the Caris of quarterly wird texts.,

Conti' - Community invalary 2 Fed Leanes -Maljar mo Poddock = Mitchell AVB Leaves Jan 13th , 1971 Lett Ro 17420