CASE 4755: Application of TEXACO INC. FOR DOWNHOLE COMMINGLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

1.

Lase Number 4755 Application Trascripts Small Exhibits ETC



		–	
• •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	MR. STAMETS: Case 4755.
		2	MR. HATCH: Case 4755: Application of Texaco, Inc.,
		3	for downhole commingling, Lea County, New Mexico.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		4	MR. BATEMAN: Ken Bateman of White, Koch, Kelly
		5	and Accarthy, Santa re, appearing on behalt of the Applicant.
	ي ج	6	We have one witness who was sworn in the previous case, Mr.
	L	7	Peters, and who also stated his qualifications.
	CC CC	8	MR. STAMETS: Are there any other appearances
	learnley, meier & mc cormick	9	in Case 4755?
	eier	10	(No response.)
	λ, m	11	MR. STAMETS: You may proceed, Mr. Bateman.
	in the second se	12	* * * *
	dea ^{exico}	13	KENNETH L. PETERS,
	년 2 년 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 14	appeared as a witness and, after being duly sworn, testified
	ע גע שי ס	ž 15	as follows:
	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	16 E	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	0 ** 1 + + +	17 17	BY MR. BATEMAN:
	243-6601	¥ 18	Q Mr. Peters, will you again state your name and
		u 19	occupation for the record, please?
	002	u yz z 20	A My name is Kenneth Land Peters and I am a petroleum
	× 0 0	⊿ 21 z	engineer employed by Texaco, Inc., in Hobbs, New
	۵ بر ۲ بر ۲ ۲	v 22	Mexico, as an acting production engineer.
	S S S S S	23	Q Mr. Peters, I refer you to what has been marked as
	2 0 0 2 1 2	24	Exhibit 1, the location map previously described.
4		25	What does Texaco desire from this Application?

n Na State a

1.

PAGE

3

1 λ With the first Exhibit in this Case, Texaco requests 2 approval be granted to wellbore commingling of fluids 3 from the Skaygs Drinkard, Skagys-Glorieta and East 4 Weir-Blinebry Pools for its C.H. Weir "B" Well 5 Number 5 located in Unit G of Section 11, Township б 20 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico. 7 The well is located 1650 feet from the west 8 line and 1980 from the north line of Section 11, 9 Township 20 South, Range 37 East. 10 With Exhibit Number 1 we have the subject well 11 designated with the red arrow and this is located on 12 a 320 acre tract operated by Texaco. The offset operators are designated on the plat 13 and they have been notified of the subject recommendation 14 and Texaco makes the recommendation in order to 15 economically recover additional oil that would not be 16 recovered under present field rules and regulation. 17 Go on to Exhibit 2 and describe how the well is 18 Q presently completed. 19 The well is presently completed with three strings of А 20 tubing, it is a triple completion in the Skaggs-21 ó Glorieta, Weir-Blinebry, and Skaggs-Drinkard formations. 22 The Skaggs-Drinkard was perforated in the present 23 interval and on a twenty-four hour potential test 24

conducted on March 7, 1962, after being stimulated with

dearnley, meier & mc cormick

		PAGE 5
,	1	500 gallons of acid, the well produced thirty-three
	2	barrels of oil correction, the Pool produced thirty-
(† 1 5 - 5	3	three barrels of oil and twenty-nine barrels of water
· · · · ·	4	with a GOR of T.S.T.M.
c f	5	The Blinebry zone was perforated from an interval
් ර	б	of 5094 to 5984 and was acidized and fractured and
imi	7	this zone proved not to be productive and was squeezed
	8	with 350 sacks of cement.
dearnley, meier & mc cormick	9	The interval was then perforated to the present
eier	10	completion interval and was then acidized and fractured
, m	11	and on a twenty-four hour potential test, dated February
rnle	<u> </u>	18, 1962, the Blinebry Pool produced fifty barrels of
dea	13	oil and no water with a GOR of 22,000.
	x 0 x 2 x 4	The Skaggs
	z z ⊮≝ 15 ⊃ z	MR. STAMETS: 22,000?
* . * .	16 10 10 10	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that was correct.
	• •	A (Continuing) The Skaggs-Glorieta zone was perforated
	18 18 18	at the present completion interval and was acidized and
	арноме 243-969 в 196- 6 23-969 в 199- 19 19 19	fractured on initial completion. On the twenty-four
	1 0 × 20	hour potential test, the well produced correction,
• •	× •	the zone produced thirty-three barrels of cil and twenty-
		seven barrels of water, on March 9, 1962, with a GOR
- 5 		of T.S.T.M.
	SWW15 80 24	At the present time, the Glorieta zone is shut-in.
	25	On January 1, 1970, this Glorieta zone was shut-in because

°1,

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1		remedial work failed to retrieve a pump that was in the
		2		tubing. We failed to unlatch the packer and also
		3		failed to run another pump in the hole because of
		4		restrictions at 1100 feet. At the present time it is
		5	-	not aconomical for Texaco to perform additional
		6		remedial work in the Glorieta zone to recover the
	rnc co mick	7		7,660 barrels of assigned reserves.
 1	Ö	8		
			,	The Weir-Blinebry at the present time has no
	S II	9		remedial prospects and it has reserves of 3,470 barrels.
	neie	10		The Skaggs-Drinkard has no remedial or secondary
	LI 'Xe	11		prospects and has assigned reserves of 1,825 barrels
	dearnley, meier	12		of reserves.
	dec	13		I would like to correct the lower perforated
	ほ ≤ 2 × 1 ○ 1 ○ 1	14		interval in the Skaggs-Drinkard. The completion
	2 Z 2 Z 2 Z	15		interval is 6,870 to 6,890. The Exhibit has a lower
	0, 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	16		perforation of 6,980.
		17	Q	How are the Blinebry and Drinkard being produced at
	3-6691 1 • ALE	18		the present time?
1	0. FE 24	19	A	The Blinebry and Drinkard are both being produced
	20 20 20 20 20 20	20		through tubing with the use of a road pump in each
•	L X 10		÷ .	zone.
	□ ▼ . Z 0.0 1	21	Q	Go on to Exhibit 3, please, and explain how you
	• 4 5 Z 0 F 1 S	22	×	-propose to complete the well.
	s M 12 16 8 8 12	23	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	8 0 0 7	24	A	Texaco proposes to complete the three wells by
AT 5.5 N		25		downhole commingling them and producing them with a

÷ .

single string of two and three-eighths inch tubing with a road pump. The bottomhole pressure of the Skaggs-Glorieta is 500 PST and the Weir-Blinehry has a bottomhol pressure of 1,120 PSI and the Skaggs-Drinkard has a bottomhole pressure of 700 PSI.

Also, the lower perforated interval is in error That lower perforated interval is on Exhibit 3. 6,890. At the present time, this Brinebry well is flowing and that was a correction to a statement made about Exhibit 2. The Drinkard well is producing by means of a road pump and the Blinebry well is flowing at the present time with the use of a road pump.

Texaco will reduce the pumping and take the pressure of the wellbore to 100 PSI and this will prevent any possible cross-flow in the wellbore by maintaining bottomhole pressure of 100 PSI.

No cross-flow will occur and this can be assured through the use of computerized surveys and this will give us a very quick read-out of the wellbore conditions and one of the elements of information is the pump-in take pressure on the pump and this can be determined within fifteen minutes of hookup. Exhibit Number 4 is data on the wellbore commingling, 0 is it not?

Yes, sir. A

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BLDG.

DC.+ P.O. BOX 1092

EW MEXICO 87103 EXICO 87108

12

dearnley, meier & mc cormick

7

PAGE

9

Exhibit 4 contains the data for the three pools. The upper Skaggs-Glorieta has a shut-in bottomhole pressure of 500 PSI with gravity of 37.0. The field GOR limit is 2,000 and the present completion interval is shown and the date of the last test when the well was shut-in and it is dated December of 1969 with a showing of ten barrels of oil and one barrel of water and a GOR of T.S.T.M.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

dearnley, meier & mc cormick

The selling price accrued at that time was \$3.39. The middle pool, the Weir-Blinebry, is a flowing well with a bottomhole pressure of 1,120 PSI and a gravity of 42.2.

The GOR limit on the well is 2,000 completed in the designated interval. The current test date of June 9, 1972, showed eight barrels of oil and two barrels of water with a GOR of 2,600. The selling price of crude oil at that time was \$3.45.

The lower pool, which is the Skaggs-Drinkard is pumping with a bottomhole pressure of 700 PSI and a gravity of 32.4. The GOR limit is 2,000 with completed designated intervals. The current test showed six barrels of oil and no water with a present GOR of 5,100. The selling price of crude oil at that time was \$3.29 for a daily income of \$19.75.

The crude that is being presently produced from the

					PAGE 10
	·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1		Blinebry and Drinkard and commingled at the surface
			2		and by commingling the zones downhole, there will be
		<u>.</u>	3		no_problem_with_production_or_loss_of_revenue.
			4		If the Application is approved production will be
	*. •		5		allocated on a test basis and the Skaggs-Glorieta will
	_ <u>-</u>	5	6		have 42 percent of the production; the Blinebry, 33
-	, Tmi		7		percent of production; and the Drinkard will have 25
1	ָּרָר ער ער גע	2	8		percent of the production.
	learnlev meier & mc.cnrmick	5 (1) 5 (1)	9	Q	What would be the allowable if the Application is
	eler Teler		10		granted?
	· E >		11	A	By field rules for downhole completions of two zones
	rnp	2 0	12		the commingling of two zones downhole, the field rules
	dea	87108	13		will allow an allowable of 40 barrels of oil per day
		IEX ME	14		and we will produce a total of 24 barrels of oil per
· •		Z 2 - 3 ₩ ₩ ⊃ Z 0 .	15	•	day based on the present tests.
•			16	Q	Will you go on to Exhibit Number 5 and explain what
		# <u>2</u>	17		that is?
-,-	and the second	242-660 Ast • Al	18	A	Exhibit 5 is a performance curve from 1968 to the
		ы 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	19		present time on the Skaggs-Drinkard Pool. This curve
		1092 • F	20		exhibits a steady and established decline rate with
		и х Р 0 У 0 О 0	21		the economic limits based on four barrels of oil per
		NATI NATI	22		day. The well contains a reserve life of 1,825 barrels
		SIMMS BLDC	23	.	of oil per day and, at the present time, each producing
		209 SIN	24	2	zone is assigned economic limits of four barrels of
			25		oil per day per zone. If this Application is approved

PAGE

11

the economic limits of the three zones combined would then be a total of four barrels of oil per day and this will permit each zone to produce a separate lower economic limit of one and one-third barrels a day. This will give a combined economic limit to the wells of four barrels of oil per day.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ŝ

dearnley, meier & mc cormick

The life of the Drinkard zone will be extended and the reserve life at that time will be 4,730 barrels of oil per day. So with approval of the Application an additional 2,905 barrels of oil per day will be produced.

With Exhibit 5-B, we have the Blinebry Pool performance curve exhibited from 1968 to the present time. It also has established a decline rate. Under the present economic limits of four barrels of oil per day, the reserve life is 3,470 barrels per day for this well.

With the granting of the Application, the reserve life will be extended to 6,000 barrels of reserve and this is an increase of 2,530 barrels of reserves. Going to Exhibit 5, this is a performance curve from 1968 until the present on the Glorieta zone. It was shut-in on January 1, 1970, and if this zone is accepted, and this zone is allowed to produce its economical limit of one-third barrels of oil per day, this will result in increased reserves of 4,640 barrels

	۲		PAGE 12
ی میں اور	1		of oil over the assigned reserve of 7,660 barrels of
	2	-	oil. In essence, this will give a total reserve life
200 600 600	3		of 12,500 barrels.
	4	- -	To summarize, to combine the three zones, will
e	5		result in a reserve life increase of 13,710 barrels of
×	6		oil reserves increased with an additional 7,660 barrels
irmic	7	-	of oil that are presently assigned to the shut-in
00 01	8		Glorieta zone.
S III	9	Q	Will you refer to Exhibit 6 and explain what that is?
	10	A	I will like to make a correction on Exhibit 5. The
Ű,	11		increase in reserves from the granting of the Application
mley	<u> </u>		would be 10,275 instead of 13,710 barrels.
deal	012 13		Exhibit 6 is a surface pressure buildup of the
	хо шо хо 14		Drinkard and Blinebry zones. From these curves, we
	z ₂ ≩ ⊔		noted the data and obtained the static bottomhole
			pressures of the Brinebry and Drinkard zones.
11 (24) 1	17 17	Q	Will you refer to Exhibit Number 7 and explain what
	1000-51 18		that is?
		A	Exhibit 7 is the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
т., К	1 4 4 4 7 20		form C-1116 and it contains the test on the Skaggs-
		<i>.</i> ,	Glorieta and Weir-Blinebry and Skaggs-Drinkard pools
			showing the Glorieta was shut-in and tested and the
an <u>an an an an</u>	0 H g L 23	معاد مع	result of the test was ten barrels of oil and two
	0171 24		barrels of water correction, eight oil and two water
	ຊື່ 25		on the Blinebry and six oil and six water on the Drinkard
	dearnley, meier & mc cormick	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13 14 15 16 17 17 18 19 20 21 21 22 21 22 23 24	6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 16 17 18 17 18 17 18 17 18 19 19 20 11 21 22 23 24

ł,

			PAGE 13
		1	zone.
1		2	Q Mr. Peters, would you summarize the needs for the
		3	approval of your request?
		4	A With the granting of the subject Application, this
		e.	ill allow the recovery of 7,000 barrels of reserves
)) . *	6	that are assigned to the Glorieta Pool and an additional
	cormick	7	10,275 barrels of reserves through the triple downhole
	COL	8	commingling of wellbore fluids and will have no
	Ĵ	9	detrimental effect on the wellbore such as fluid
	er &	10	compatibility.
	dearnley, meier	11	
	ley,		Q Will the granting of your request protect correlative rights and prevent waste?
	arn:	12	A Yes, it will.
		13	
		14	
		15	your direction?
		16	A Yes, they were.
an a		17	MR. BATEMAN: I move for the introduction of
	243-00 281 0 2	18	Exhibits 1 through 7.
	ы с л с л с л с с л с с с с с с с с с с	19	MR. STAMETS: Are there any objections to the
		20	entrance of these Exhibits?
	01 × LO ≯01 S.	21	(No response.)
	0 0 6 F • Z	22	MR. STAMETS: They will be admitted.
	8 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	23	(whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits 1 through 7 were
	209 SIMM	24	admitted in evidence.)
	Ň	25	MR. BATEMAN: That completes our Direct Testimony.
		<i>~</i> [

Ç i K

C. Shere

 \mathbb{G}

ئ

÷.,

. -

. . .

	1	
		CROSS-EXAMINATION
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2	BY MR. STAMETS:
	3	Q Mr. Peters, looking through these Exhibits, it doesn't
	4	look like water is a problem in any of these wells, is
		that correct?
	<u>२</u>	A Yes, that is correct.
		Q And oil is currently commingled at the surface?
	rinc cormick	A Yes, sir.
		Q So you are experiencing no problems there and the crude
	nei	is being sold as commingled crude so there will be no
		change in value?
		A That is correct.
		Q Now, you discussed the percentages for dividing up the
	¥ 2 14	oil production if this Application is granted. What
	z x 	about gas production, have you made any studies along
		those lines?
		A This would also be allocated on the present producing
		rate of the wells and would be divided up on a percentage
		basis.
	80 Y 20	Q Do you have those percentages with you?
	0 J ■ ≼ 21	A No, I do not.
	0.1. • ₹ • ₹ 22	Q Would you furnish those to the Examiner?
	0)- 8 a 9 a 23	A set Yes, I will.
	WW15 66 24	Q Also, if you will furnish us with the last rate of
an ann an t-an t-an t-an t-an t-an t-an	25	gas production for any producing Skaggs-Glorieta well
	20	
1713年 - 1917年 - 1917		
Bernége (s. 1997)		

< -

	- 10-001	PAGE 15
า 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and break it down on a daily basis?
	2 A	Yes, sir.
n an	3 Q	Now, you mentioned pressure differential between the
	4	Blinebry and the other zones. In this well (indicating)
V X		the Blinebry has some 1,120 pounds of bottomhole pressure?
	б д	
ick		Yes, sir.
mc cormick	7 Q	Indicating that the equipment that you put in would
Ŭ L	8	keep this from becoming a factor in the draining of
	9	the fluids from one wellbore to another?
	10 A	Yes, sir.
dearnley, meier	11 Q	Will this equipment be maintained and observed often
liey.	. 12	enough so it will not shut down and allow oil to be lost?
	#210	
B	000 13 A	Yes, sir. Under Texaco's present operations each
	∑0 14 ≩x 14 ⊌⊎ 75	producing well in each zone is inspected a minimum
	15 15	number of two times per day.
	μος 16 Q	If this well were to be shut down for any period of time,
		could there be a loss of oil from one zone to another?
	• 18 A	Only at that time would there be a possibility. The
		only time there would be a possibility is if the well
	N X 20	were shut down for changing a pump or something, but
		this can be done in such a fast time that it would
	4 22	limit the possibility of this occurring.
		But you don't anticipate that under normal operations?
	24 A	No, sir, we do not.
	Ň	Would Texaco have any objection to notifying the
	25	
en e		

1. 5

٠

	• •		PAGE 16
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	District Office of the Commission if this well would
	5 1.2 10 10 10	2	be shut down for any extended period of time?
		3 _A	No, no objection.
		4 . Q .	Do you have any suggestion as to what might be an
		5	extended period of time?
		6 A	More than one day.
	L. L.	7	MR. STAMETS: Are there any other questions of
	dearnley, meier & mc cormick	8 thi	s witness?
	E od	9	(No response.)
		10	MR. STAMETS: If not, the witness may be excused.
	, me	11	(Witness excused.)
	nley "	12	MR. STAMETS: Is there any other testimony in this
	dearn	13 Cas	
	W MEXI	14	(No response.)
사진한 (1997) 1997년 - 1997년 - 1997년 - 1997년 - 1997년 1997년 - 1997년 - 1997년 - 1997년 - 1997년 - 1997년 - 1997년 1997년 - 1997년 -		15	MR. STAMETS: Any statements?
	טרעי, איניין מרעי, איני	16	(No response.)
	A L B C C	17	MR. STAMETS: The Case will be taken under
		18 adv	isement.
	ONE 24.	19	
	92 • P H C	20	
	80 X 10	21	
	• P.O.	22	
a en el composition de la composition d Recomposition de la composition de la co Recomposition de la composition de la c	5. 1. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.		
	51MMS	23	
	209	24	
		25	
	- 2 3		
	a se a construction de la construct		
	· · ·	:	
₹			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		ŝ.	

STATE OF NEW MEXICO) SS COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

ŹĴ

24

25

200

`£,.

0

Č.).

I, RICHARD E. McCORMICK, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; and that the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

i do baroby aartily that the formating is i normalize remain of the proceeding is

u

New Mexico Oil Conservation Consission

13 19 Seco to 4753

lanit

1022

. Eresther

the Richards

neered by 119, on

Tichard

17

PAGE

dearnley, meier & mc cormick 🔅 MEXICO 6710 00 1092 . PHONE 245-669 EAST .A BLDG. A N K X 0 0 SIMMS BI.DG. P.O. BOX 1216 FIR:JT NATIONAL



and the second secon



OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

ŧ

STATE OF NEW MEXICO P. 0. BOX 2088 - SANTA FE 87501

BRUCE KING CHAIRMAN LAND COMMISSIONER ALEX J. ARMIJO

GOVERNOR

MEMBER STATE GEOLOGIST A. L. PORTER, JR. SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

September 6, 1972

Mr. Ken Bateman White, Koch, Kelly & McCarthy Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 787 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Re:	Case No	4755
	Order No.	R-4366
	Applicant:	

Texaco Inc.

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Very truly yours, Fortun Co 1.20

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

ALP/ir

Copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCC х Artesia OCC

Aztec OCC

Other___

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEAPING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 4755 Order No. R-4366

APPLICATION OF TEXACO INC. FOR DOWNHOLE COMMINGLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

۰.

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on July 12, 1972, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Richard L. Stamets.

NOW, on this <u>6th</u> day of September, 1972, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Texaco Inc., is the owner and operator of the C. H. Weir "B" Well No. 5, located in Unit G of Section 11, Township 20 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant seeks authority to commingle production from the Skaggs-Drinkard, Skaggs-Glorieta, and East Weir-Blinebry Pools in the wellbore of the above-described well and to produce the commingled production through a single string of tubing.

(4) That from the Skaggs-Drinkard zone, the subject well is capable of low marginal production only.

(5) That from the Skaggs-Glorieta zone, the subject well is capable of low marginal production only and is currently shut-in due to mechanical difficulties.

(6) That from the East Weir-Blinebry zone, the subject well is capable of low marginal production only.

-2-CASE NO. 4755 Order No. R-4366

(7) That the proposed commingling may result in the recovery of additional hydrocarbons from each of the subject pools, thereby preventing waste, and will not violate correlative rights.

(8) That the reservoir characteristics of each of the subject zones is such that underground waste would not be caused by the proposed commingling provided that the well is not shut-in for an extended period.

(9) That to afford the Commission the opportunity to assess the potential for waste and to expeditiously order appropriate remedial action, the operator should notify the Hobbs district office of the Commission any time the subject well is shut-in for 7 consecutive days.

(10) That in order to allocate the commingled production to each of the commingled zones in the subject well, 25% of the commingled oil production should be allocated to the Skaggs-Drinkard zone, 42% of the commingled oil production to the Skaggs-Glorieta zone, 33% of the commingled oil production to the East Weir-Blinebry zone, 60% of the commingled casinghead gas production to the Skaggs-Drinkard zone, and 40% of the commingled gas production to the East Weir-Blinebry zone.

(11) That Commission Order No. R-2181, as amended by Order No. R-2181-A, should be superseded.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant, Texaco Inc., is hereby authorized to complete its C. H. Weir "B" Well No. 5, located in Unit G of Section 11, Township 20 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to commingle in the wellbore the production from the Skaggs-Drinkard, Skaggs-Glorieta and East Weir-Blinebry Pools and to produce said commingled production through a single string of tubing until further order of the Commission.

(2) That 25% of the commingled oil production shall be allocated to the Skaggs-Drinkard zone, 42% of the commingled oil production shall be allocated to the Skaggs-Glorieta zone, 33% of the commingled oil production shall be allocated to the East Weir-Blinebry zone, 60% of the commingled casinghead gas production to the Skaggs-Drinkard zone, and 40% of the commingled gas production to the East Weir-Blinebry zone.

(3) That the operator of the subject well shall immediately notify the Commission's Hobbs district office any time the well has been shut-in for 7 consecutive days and shall concurrently present, to the Commission, a plan for remedial action. -3-CASE NO. 4755 Order No. R-4366

(4) That Commission Order No. R-2181, as amended by Order No. R-2181-A, is hereby superseded,

(5) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.



OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

EX J. ARMEJO, Member

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

SEAL

dr/

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Nember & Secretary

DOCKET NO. 15-72

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - JULY 12, 1972

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING - SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Richard L. Stamets, Examiner, or Elvis A. Utz, Alternate Examiner:

CASE 4753: Application of Roger C. Hanks for a non-standard proration unit, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of an 80-acre non-standard oil proration unit in the East Shoe Bar-Devonian Pool comprising the NW/4 SW/4 and the SW/4 NW/4 of Section 29, Township 16 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled 1980 feet from the South line and 660 feet from the West line of said Section 29.

CASE 4754:

754: Application of Texaco Inc. for a dual completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to complete its C. H. Lockhart (NCT-1) Well No. 3 located in Unit O of Section 18, Township 22 South, Range 38 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to produce oil from the Paddock and Blinebry Pools through one string of tubing and the Tubb Pool through a parallel string of tubing.

CASE 4755: Application of Texaco Inc. for downhole commingling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks as an exception to Rule 303 of the Commission Rules and Regulations, authority to commingle production from the Skäggs-Drinkard, Skaggs-Glorieta, and East Weir-Blinebry Pools in the wellbore of its C. H. Weir "B" Well No. 5 located in Unit G of Section 11, Township 20 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 4756:

Application of Blackrock Oil Company for the creation of a new gas pool, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the creation of a new pool for the production of gas from the Delaware formation for its Jennings Federal Well No. 1 located in Unit 0 of Section 33, Township 25 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico. The Commission will also consider whether or not an associated pool should be created for the above-described well.

CASE 4757:

Application of Beard Oil Company for a unit agreement, Sierra County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Jornada Del Muerto Unit Area comprising 115,180 acres, more or less, of Federal, State, and Fee lands in Townships 13, 14, and 15 South, Ranges 1 East and 1 West, Sierra County, New Mexico.

CASE 4758:

Application of Amoco Production Company for allowable transfer, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, proposes to conduct 90-day shut-in and pressure build-up tests on its Gallegos Canyon Unit Com "H" Well No. 180 and its Unit Com "E" Well No. 161 located, respectively, in Unit J of Section 28, Township 29 North, Range 12 West, and Unit C of Section 23, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, Basin-Dakota Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant seeks authority to transfer the allowable from the two wells during said period to its Unit Well No. 202 located in Unit B of Section 33, Township 29 North, Range 12 West, during said test period or to some other well or wells suitable to the Commission.

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

P. O. BOX 2088 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501

May 26, 1972

Cme 4155

医振动 经不知风

Date-

Texaco Inc. P. O. Drawer 728

Hobbs, New Mexico 88240

Attention: Mr. J. V. Gannon

Re: Case 4728 Downhole commingling for C. H. Weir "B" Weil No. 5

64 1.7 1 1

Gentlemen:

In response to your letters of May 24, 1972, I wish to advise you that Case 4728 will be continued to Sune 28, 1972. Please contact other operators in the pool so they will not make an unnecessary trip to Santa Fe. As there is no provision for administrative approval for downhole commingling of three zones, the matter concerning the C. H. Weir "B" Well No. 5 will be set for hearing before an examiner on June 28, also.

Yours very truly,

GEORGE M. HATCH Attorney

GMH/dr

٩,



Jost

Santa Fo

PETROLEUM PRODUCTO

May 24, 1972

TEXACO INQ DRAWER 728 HOBBS, NEW MEXICO 88240

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P.O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Dave 4755 set for bearing

DOCKET MALLED

6-

Re: Wellbore Commingling C.H. Weir 'B' Well No. 5 Skaggs Drinkard, Skaggs Glorieta, and Weir East Blinebry Pools

Attention: Mr. George M. Hatch

Gentlemen:

Texaco Inc. desires to commingle in the wellbore oil production from the Skaggs Drinkard, Skaggs Glorieta, and Weir East Blinebry Pools which are triple conventional completions as authorized by Commission Order R-2181 dated January 24, 1962. Well No. 5 on the C. H. Weir 'B' Lease is located in Unit G of Section 11, T-20-S, R-37-E, Lea County, New Mexico.

Statewide Rule 303-C provides for administrative application and approval of downhole commingling for dually completed wells, but does not specify conditions for approval of triple completed wells. The subject well meets all the conditions specified under Rule 303-C when applied to the three pools under consideration.

Since no Statewide Rule exists regarding the commingling of a triple completed well, Texaco Inc. requests advisement as to whether the Commission's approval is to be sought by an Administrative Appli-cation or by an Examiner Hearing.

Yours very truly,

Hanno - 905 V. Gannon District Superintendent

CPC-HPO/BH

285.5

1.



TEXACO ING DRAWER 728 HOBBS, NEW MEXICO 38240

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

> Re: Docket No. 15-72 Case No. 4754

Attention: Mr. Richard L. Stamets

Gentlemen:

The following information was requested by the Commission after the subject case was heard on July 12, 1972.

The last measurable gas production from the Skaggs Glorieta Pool was during March, 1969 in which the pool produced at the rate of 11.09 MCF per day.

Gas production from the commingled zone will be assigned on a percentage basis of the present gas production. Therefore, the Weir Blinebry Pool would be assigned 40.5% and the Skaggs Drinkard would be assigned 59.5% of the gas production.

Yours very truly,

V. Gannon District Superintendent

KLP-BH

1.664 66



LEGEND

ŝ

EN - EUNICE MONUMENT GRAYBURG SAN ANDRES SO - SKAGGS GRAYBURG

- EQ EUMONT QUEEN GAS EY EUMONT YATES SEVEN RIVERS
- MT MONUMENT TUBB
- SA SAN ANDRES MIDDLE GAS
- SD SKAGGS DRINKARD

SGL - SKAGGS CLORIETA WB - WEIR BLINEERY WBE - WEIR BLINEBRY EAST WD - WEIR DRINKARD WTE - WEIR TUBB BAST

TEXACO Ing. OIL & GAS COMPLETIONS IN PART OF T-208 R-37-E LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO CPC/FMR 5-25-72

PRESENT COMPLETION



C. H. WEIR "B" NO. 5 SKAUGS GLORIETA, SKAGGS DRINKARD & WEIR BLINEBRY EAST POOLS LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO CPC, HPO/FMR 5-25-72



DATA SHEET FOR WELLBORE COMMINGLING

Operator:	TEXACO Inc.	O
Address:	P.O. Box 728, Hobbs, New Mexico 88240	UI
Lease and	Well No.: C. H. Weir ^{(B} Well No. 5	С,
Location:	Unit G: 1650 feet from the West Line and	Su
	1980 feet from North Line of Section 11: Township 20S, Range 37E, Lea County.	He

EXH	IBIT NO			
CASE NO. 47	255			
Submitted by	the first			
Hearing Date 7-12-72				
	-			

Well Data

	Upper Pool	Middle Pool Weir Blinebry,	Lower Pool		
Name of Pool	Skaggs Glorieta	East	Skaggs Drinkard		
Producing Method	Shut-in	Flowing	Pumping		
BHP	500	1120	700		
Type Crude	Sour	Sour	Sour		
Gravity	37.0	42.2	32.4		
GOR Limit	2000	2000	2000		
Completion Interval	5310-5318	5814-5896	6870- 6980 6890		
Current Test Date	(Now Shut-in) Dec, 1969	6-9-72	6-8-72		
0i1	10	8	6		
Water	1	2	. <u>0</u>		
GOR	TSTM	2600 gu ^{8t}	5100 - 30 ^G		
Selling Price of Crude - \$/Bbl.	3.39	3.45	3.29		
Daily Income - \$/Day	<u>0</u>	26.60	19.75		
COMMINGLED DATA:	42%	33%	25%		
Gnavity of Commingled Chude					

Selling Price of Commingled Crude - \$/Bb1. 3.35

Daily Income - \$/Day 47.00

Net (Gain) (Loss) from Wellbore Commingling - \$/Day None - crudes now commingled based on current producing rates. on surface.





÷.

14 - <u>2</u>1



٠,

6 A.M. 17A.M. 5 A.M. M.C. 0 1100 1300 Z. 1200 9A.1 1100. 70ñ9-Alex 10 4.0 9192hiy. 1.000 AL HALL st:0--10 A.M. 1 guð-مأرين اللاب çon I 4. 160 1:10-12 1200----11 A.M. 1 11:10-Č ARCCO WU B 0-1500-8 12 1.3 533 y NOON THOIN g. 195 ter i i 1 記 201 15 IPM. il B 80. -901 3.5 .M.9_[1] 500 2 p. M. a-098 we Cotte HOLE PRIDUR Sal. WEAR TELME BRY 00 EAS out. COSE, .W. 201 BUTT SP.M. DOEL, 11285 BHP PSI STATIC 0011 AP.M. -0021+ (0 (14273) (1 - 0 - 1 - 0 - 0) (2 - 0 - 1 - 0 - 0) (3 - 0 - 0 - 0) (3 - 0 - 0) (3 - 0) -DOCE-1100 5 P.M. P.M. 6 P.M. Prihard + Blineby BEFORE EXAMINER STAMETS OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION EXHIBIT NO. 6 4755 CASE NO. hin Submitted by J -12-72 Hearing Date 7 ÷.,

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION GAS-OIL RATIO TESTS

•

•

4

EXACO Inc.	.,,	•	Poo St	sol Beu Bei	low						unty Secondaria d	Iea				
drona	• • • •		!,	19 (1997) (P.F.	تىتىنىدىمىر برە بە ·			E OF			يە- مەرمى مىن 					
0. Box 728, Hobbs, New Me		824∪ 		אריד				T = (X)	1		LENGTH		ROD, C			$\frac{1}{GAS - OI}$
LEASE NAME	WELL NO.	U	LOC.		R	DATE OF TEST	STATUS	CHOKE SIZE	TBG. PRESS.	ALLOW-	0.5	WATER		1	GAS MICIFI	GAS - OI RATIO CU.FT/BE
H, Weir 'B'	. 5	G,	11 -	205	37E											
Skaggs Glorieta			ļ		_ _	Shut-in		[1	 	<u> </u>			
Weir Blinebry, East						6-9-72	þ.	20/64	125	3.0	24	2	42.2	8	20.8	2,600
Skaggs Drinkard						68-72	8	-	-	6	24	6	32.4	6	35.6	5,100
			ал. 27		-	,										
												BEF	DRE E	XAMIN MATIC	IER STAN	VIETS
														KHIBIT	NO	2
						на 19					1	CASE N	0	479	55	
											1 1		1		lien	
												Hearin	g Dan		-12-7	<u>72</u>
Gas volumes must be reported in will be 0.60. Report casing pressure in lieu of Mail original and one copy of th Rule 391 and appropriate pool rules.	af tubing pres	ssure fo	or any we	vell produ	ucing throa	ough casing.				B		Assist		Distric (7	Title)	intenden
will be 0.60. Report casing pressure in lieu of Mail original and one copy of th	af tubing pres	ssure fo	or any we	vell produ	ucing throa	ough casing.				B		Assist		Distric (7 	ct Superi	intendent
will be 0.60. Report casing pressure in lieu of Mali original and one copy of th Rule 391 and appropriate pool rules.	of tuðing pres	to the d	or any we	vell produc	ucing thro:	ough casing.			mission in	accordance	with		June	Distric (7 13, 19 (1	ct Super Title) 972 Date)	
will be 0.60. Report casing pressure in lieu of Mali original and one copy of th Rule 391 and appropriate pool rules.	of tuðing pres	to the d	or any we	vell produc	ucing thro:	ough casing. v Mexico Oli Cons			mission in	accordance	with		June	Distric (7 13, 19 (1	ct Supers	
will be 0,60. Report casing pressure in lieu of Mall original and one copy of th Rule 301 and appropriate pool rules.	of tuðing pres	to the d	or any we	vell produc	ucing thro:	ough casing. v Mexico Oli Cons			mission in	accordance	with		June	Distric (7 13, 19 (1	ct Super Title) 972 Date)	
will be 0,60. Report casing pressure in lieu of Mall original and one copy of th Rule 301 and appropriate pool rules.	of tuðing pres	to the d	or any we	vell produc	ucing thro:	ough casing. v Mexico Oli Cons			mission in	accordance	with		June	Distric (7 13, 19 (1	ct Super Title) 972 Date)	
will be 0,60. Report casing pressure in lieu of Mall original and one copy of th Rule 301 and appropriate pool rules.	of tuðing pres	to the d	or any we	vell produc	ucing thro:	ough casing. v Mexico Oli Cons			mission in	accordance	with		June	Distric (7 13, 19 (1	ct Super Title) 972 Date)	
will be 0.60. Report casing pressure in lieu of Mali original and one copy of th Rule 391 and appropriate pool rules.	of tuðing pres	to the d	or any we	vell produc	ucing thro:	ough casing. v Mexico Oli Cons			mission in	accordance	with		June	Distric (7 13, 19 (1	ct Super Title) 972 Date)	
will be 0.60. Report casing pressure in lieu of Mali original and one copy of th Rule 391 and appropriate pool rules.	of tuðing pres	to the d	or any we	vell produc	ucing thro:	ough casing. v Mexico Oli Cons			mission in	accordance	with		June	Distric (7 13, 19 (1	ct Super Title) 972 Date)	
will be 0.60. Report casing pressure in lieu of Mali original and one copy of th Rule 391 and appropriate pool rules.	of tuðing pres	to the d	or any we	vell produc	ucing thro:	ough casing. v Mexico Oli Cons			mission in	accordance	with		June	Distric (7 13, 19 (1	ct Super Title) 972 Date)	
will be 0.60. Report casing pressure in lieu of Mali original and one copy of th Rule 391 and appropriate pool rules.	of tuðing pres	to the d	or any we	vell produc	ucing thro:	ough casing. v Mexico Oli Cons			mission in	accordance	with		June	Distric (7 13, 19 (1	ct Super Title) 972 Date)	
will be 0.60. Report casing pressure in lieu of Mali original and one copy of th Rule 391 and appropriate pool rules.	of tuðing pres	to the d	or any we	vell produc	ucing thro:	ough casing. v Mexico Oli Cons			mission in	accordance	with		June	Distric (7 13, 19 (1	ct Super Title) 972 Date)	
will be 0.60. Report casing pressure in lieu of Mali original and one copy of th Rule 391 and appropriate pool rules.	of tuðing pres	to the d	or any we	vell produc	ucing thro:	ough casing. v Mexico Oli Cons			mission in	accordance	with		June	Distric (7 13, 19 (1	ct Super Title) 972 Date)	
will be 0.60. Report casing pressure in lieu of Mail original and one copy of th Rule 301 and appropriate pool rules.	of tuðing pres	to the d	or any we	vell produc	ucing thro:	ough casing. v Mexico Oli Cons			mission in	accordance	with		June	Distric (7 13, 19 (1	ct Super Title) 972 Date)	
will be 0.60. Report casing pressure in lieu of Mall original and one copy of th Rule 301 and appropriate pool rules.	of tuðing pres	to the d	or any we	vell produc	ucing thro:	ough casing. v Mexico Oli Cons			mission in	accordance	with		June	Distric (7 13, 19 (1	ct Super Title) 972 Date)	
will be 0.60. Report casing pressure in lieu of Mall original and one copy of th Rule 301 and appropriate pool rules.	of tuðing pres	to the d	or any we	vell produc	ucing thro:	ough casing. v Mexico Oli Cons			mission in	accordance	with		June	Distric (7 13, 19 (1	ct Super Title) 972 Date)	
will be 0.60. Report casing pressure in lieu of Mall original and one copy of th Rule 301 and appropriate pool rules.	of tuðing pres	to the d	or any we	vell produc	ucing thro:	ough casing. v Mexico Oli Cons			mission in	accordance	with		June	Distric (7 13, 19 (1	ct Super Title) 972 Date)	
will be 0.60. Report casing pressure in lieu of Mali original and one copy of th Rule 301 and appropriate pool rules.	of tuðing pres	to the d	or any we	vell produc	ucing thro:	ough casing. v Mexico Oli Cons			mission in	accordance	with		June	Distric (7 13, 19 (1	ct Super Title) 972 Date)	



LAN

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

CASE No. 4755

Order No. R-

IN THE MATTLE OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF TEXACO INC FOR DOWNHOLE COMMINGLING LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICP.

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on <u>July 12</u>, 1972, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner <u>Richard L. Stamets</u>. NOW, on this <u>day of <u>July</u>, 1972, the Commission, a guorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record,</u>

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Texaco Inc., is the owner and operator of the C. H. Weir "B" Well No. 5, located in Unit of Section 11, Township 20 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant seeks authority to commingle production from the Skaggs-Drinkard, Skaggs-Glorieta, and East Weir-Blinebry Pools in the wellbore of the above-described well and to produce the commingled production through a single string of tubing. -2-CASE NO. 4755 Order No. R-

(4)That from the

10 (2) That in order to allocate the commingled production to each of the commingled zones in the subject well, 25%of the commingled oil production should be allocated to the Skaggs-Drinkard zone, 42% of the commingled oil production to the Skaggs-Glorieta zone, 33% of the commingled oil production to the East Weir-Blinebry zone, and of the commingled casinghead gas production to the Skaggs - Drinkard zone, and

40 10000 of the commingled gus production to The East Weir - Blinebry zone.

(1) That the applicant, Texaco Inc., is hereby authorized to complete its C. H. Weir "B" Well No. 5, located in Unit G of Section 11, Township 20 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to commingle in the wellbore theoroduction from the Skaggs-Drinkard, Skaggs-Glorieta and East Weir-Blinebry Pools and to produce said commingled production through a single string of tubing until further order of the Commission.

CASE NO. 4755 Order No. R-

(2) That 25% of the commingled oil production shall be allocated to the Skaggs-Drinkard zone, of the commingled oil production shall be allocated to the Skaggs-Clorieta zone, 33% of the commingled oil production shall be allocated to the East Weir-Blinebry zone, 3% of the commingled casingh of the commingled casinghead gas production to the <u>Skaggs - Drinkard</u> zone, and

40% of the commingled gus production to the East Weir-Bline bry 20me. (3) That the operator of the south subject well shall notify the Commission's Hobbs district office any time the well has been shat-in for 7 consecutive days and shall concurrently present, tothe Convisioning plan for corrections action,