CASE 4767: Application of BALLARD MILLER, MAYES & MAYES FOR COMPULSORY PCOLING, EDDY COUNTY.





DEFORE EXAMINER STAMETS CLOCONDERVATION COMMENSION App EXMINENCE 3 (4767-4768) Staring Date 7/12/22 CONSERVATION COMMIX ON App EXHIBIT NO. CONSERVATION COMMIX ON CONSERVATION COMMIX ON App EXHIBIT NO. Hearing Date 2/12/12 والا الدهوري

L'ase Number 4767

Application

Trascripts

Small Exhibits

ETC



				PAGE 3
		Į.	1	MR. STAMETS: We'll call next Case 4767.
in in the second	1		2	MR. HATCH: Case 4767: The application of Michael
	- 104	<u></u>		P. Grace and Corinne Grace, substituted parties for Alice
			4	Ballard, Amelia Miller, Thurman Mayes, and John A. Mayes.
		. 1 . 1	5	The application in Case 4767 is for compulsory pooling,
	(845)) 소	6	Eddy County, New Mexico.
		mic	7	MR. COOLEY: William J. Cooley, of Burr and Cooley,
		mc cormick	8	Farmington, appearing on behalf of the Applicants. Mr.
		& M(9	Watkins of Carlsbad, New Mexico, appearing as additional
•		ier &	10	counsel for Michael P. Grace.
		dearnley, meier	11	MR. HINKLE: Clarence Hinkle, of Hinkle, Bondurant,
		nley	_n 12	and Christy, Roswell, appearing on behalf of Black River
		lear	0 ² 13	Corporation.
			x v 14	MR. COOLEY: We will have four witnesses in this
			ษีษี z ≳ ⊎ ม 15	case.
			2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 16	MR. HINKLE: I would like to make a motion, and
te de la composition de la composition En composition de la c		н Айт		this motion will also apply to Case 4768 when it is called.
	and there			It has already been brought out here that a lawsuit is
			u - Z.j. 10	pending in the District Court of Eddy County, New Mexico,
an an an Araba ann an Araba a Araba an Araba an Arab				which not only involves the Southeast of the Southwest of
	and the second			Section 3, but also involves the West half of the Northeast
			X08 0.9.9.0.18 10.121 210 1210 121 23	quarter and the Northwest quarter of Section 10, and involves
			0 L S S	the Southeast of the Northeast guarter of Section 9. Now,
an a				the application in Case 4767 is for forced pooling for a
			8 24 25	non-standard unit in the East half of Section 9. There is
ж. А. (1)		1 	2 . 	

٩,

no well involved here. The only acreage that they have is dependent upon the lawsuit which is pending, and we 3 don't know whether they are going to have title or not, but, of course, the Commission cannot determine the title on these leases, and with that reasoning, I move that this case be either dismissed or continued until such time as this б lawsuit is disposed of. You can make it sixty days if you 7 want to, as was Mr. Cooley's suggestion. 8

MR. STAMETS: Mr. Hinkle, are you saying that you 9 do not feel that the Applicant has a valid working interest 10 at this time and until that is resolved, the Commission 11 cannot consider this? 12

MR. HINKLE: Yes. The title is in dispute, the 13 title for this lease ownership is in dispute. 14

MR. STAMETS: Is it your opinion that any 15 individual involved with this acreage, regardless of whether 16 it be Black River or the Graces or whoever, should wait 17 until the Court case is resolved? 18

MR. HINKLE: No, I think others could have valid 19 working interests, but in Section 9. As I understand it, 20 the only working interest that is in dispute is in the 21 Southeast of the Northwest, but they are the ones who have 22 made the application for forced pooling, and this acreage 23 is in dispute. 24

MR. STAMETS: Mr. Cooley, do your clients have a

dearnley, meier & mc cormick

00

BLDG RST 1

200

25

E

14

饠

(1)

2

5

PAGE

valid uncontested working interest in the acreage in the
 section in question where they propose to drill or propose
 to have the right to drill?

MR. COOLEY: The only interest that they own in these two sections as stated by Mr. Hinkle is that which is 5 being contested in the lawsuit that has been discussed here. б They do not have any uncontested working interests other 7 than these leases from the Ballard-Miller-Mayes group. The 8 Graces have enough confidence in these leases to pay ten 9 thousand dollars in cash for these leases and have enough ÎÔ confidence to go in and possibly drill a well even before 11 the resolution of this lawsuit. From the testimony that 12 we have heard today about the opinion of the experts as to 13 communication in this field, there is the likelihood of 14 drainage, the very great likelihood of drainage, in the 15 North half of Section 10, and, for that matter, in the East 16 half of Section 9. It seems to me that whatever is good 17 for the goose is good for the gander in this situation, and 18 we battled long and hard about continuing the case with 19 respect to the West half of Section 3, and the Examiner, 20 after due deliberation, considered that that case should 21 go on. I don't feel there is any difference between the 22 two situations, and we are prepared to put on testimony 23 that the acreage involved in the applications in Cases 4767 24 and 4768 overlie the common source of supply and can be 25

dearnley, meier & mc cormick

阔

łâ

È

1

様々

13

1

Ì3

13

SIMMIS BLDG. P.O. BOX 1092-PHONE 243-6801-ALBUQUERQUE. NEW MEXICO 57103 216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST-ALBUQUERQUE. NEW MEXICO 57103

drained whether they have a well on it or whether they don't have a well on it. To drag this out and to deny the 2 3 application of Mr. Grace is to deny him the right to go ahead and drill. There is no conflicting application so 4 Lar as these two cases are concerned that are now pending 5 anywhere. Mr. Grace may be very unwise from a business б standpoint to spend his dollars on contested leases, but 7 Mr. Grace has every confidence that he is going to win the 8 lawsuit. He has spent a great deal of money already, and 9 is prepared to spend some more. This is no different than 10 the West half of Section 3, it is going to be drained unless 11 a well is drilled. 12

MR. STAMETS: I am trying to clarify in my own 13 mind whether if this case is heard, a valid order could be 14 issued or whether or not it should be continued until such 15 time as there is an owner who has the right to drill. 16

MR. COOLEY: The color of the title, whether it 17 is stained or not, has no bearing on Mr. Grace having the 18 right to drill. I don't think the Commission could issue íý him a drilling permit if he applied for one, even if the 20 entire half section were not in contest. He has the color 21 of title and the right to drill at this point. 22

MR. HINKLE: Mr. Cooley has already stated that 23 they did not have a lease other than what is in contest. 24 In other words, the question to title is still pending in 25

dearnley, meier & mc cormick MEXICO 67103 CO 87108 243-

EAST

X 1092 . PHONE BANK BLDG

BOX

DG.+P.O. BOX

8

師

13

福田

1 13

擒

PAGE

the District Court of Lee County, and the title is in question, and I do not think the Commission could issue an order 2 because you will not have an operator who has the right 3 to drill. MR. STAMETS: Let's suppose we hear this today and we wait until the District Court has decided before 6 issuing an order. Would the testimony be considered proper? 7 MR. HINKLE: The District Court might decide 8 adversely to Mr. Grace and that would make it moot. 9 MR. STAMETS: Would we have to go back and do it 10 all over again? 11 MR. COOLEY: If the case is resolved in Mr. Grace's 12 favor, then as of June 23rd, 1972, he had unquestioned and 13 0 U exclusive right to drill. So my answer to your question is 14 that it would be completely proper, and I don't think there 15 is any question about that whatsoever. If the Court's 16 decision is in favor of the plaintiffs, who are the Ballard-17 Miller-Mayes group, and the intervenor is Mr. Grace, then 18 the prior lease, as we maintain, expired on October 22nd, BLDG. 19 1971, and the property remained unleased until June 23rd. 20 1972, and from June 23rd, 1972, Mr. Grace has had sole and 21

PAGE

7

exclusive right to drill.

MR. STAMETS: My question really has to do with whether I have the right to hear this case under the statute, and can an order be issued if these cases are heard today

BIDG. P.O. BOX 1022 PHONE 243-66912 AL BUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 97103 1210 FIRST NATIONAL DIAN BLDG. EAST AL BUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 97103

500

before the case is adjudicated in District Court? MR. COOLEY: Can an order be issued before the case is adjudicated?

MR. STAMETS: Yes, before the Court says, "Yes, 4 this person or persons has had the right to drill since June 23rd, 1972, has had the right to operate this well or б drill this well." 7

MR. COOLEY: Yes, I think you have jurisdiction 8 to hear this case. 9

MR. HINKLE: I think that it is absolutely clear that you could not issue an order until the District Court has adjudicated this, and then you also run into the question of whether or not the case is going to be appealed.

MR. STAMETS: Mr. Cooley, if Mr. Hinkle's interpretation is correct, it could be a considerable length of time before an order could be issued, and I might get hit by a truck between then and now, and I'm not sure an order could be issued if such a thing should happen, if we had to wait until the case was adjudicated before we could issue an order.

MR. COOLEY: As to the question of whether an 21 issuance of an order could precede the final determination 22 of the lawsuit, I must agree that the Commission could not 23 issue such an order, but you could issue an order after 24 the determination of this case without further ado. 25

dearnley, meier & mc cormick NEW MEXICO 8710: MEXICO 87108 N . ALBUQUERQUE. 1092 . PH()NE 243-669

1

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

10

20

QUE.

RAST + ALBUQUER

WANK BLOG.

SIMMS BLOG. P.O. BCX 1216 FIRST NATIONAL IV

200

1 N 12

		PAGE 9
	1	MR. HATCH: Mr. Cooley, when does your client
	2	propose to drill the well?
	3	MR. COOLEY: I would say within the next ninety days.
ŕ	4	MR. HATCH: Before the decision in the District
	5	Court?
	6	MR. COOLEY: It's possible well, I will have to
	7	take that back because they couldn't drill the well until
	8	the Commission issued a forced pooling order naming them as
	9	operator.
	10	MR. HINKLE: I don't believe there is any question
	11	that the Commission would not have the authority to issue
60	12	an order unless they could find in this case that the Graces
UQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 57103 Erque, New Mexico 57103	13	had title to this particular forty acres.
	14	MR. STAMETS: I don't think that is the question
₹ 19 20 20 20	15	anymore, I think there is some agreement that the order
0 . 2 2 0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	16	could not be issued until the case is adjudicated. I think
	17	this boils down to whether I may hear the case before the
1400-C#	18	adjudication of the District Court case, and if I may, whether
	19	it is a good idea for all of us who are here to go ahead
	20	with it. There are two questions that maybe we can ask the
0 V	21	Secretary. One of them would be if an order cannot be
00 4 F • Z	22	issued until after the case is adjudicated, wouldn't it be
20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	23	better for your client to wait until that time before coming
209 SIMMS BLDG. P.O. BOX 10028PHONE 243-0091 1216 First National Bank Blog. Easteal	24	on with these cases?
	25	MR. COOLEY: We are opposing a continuance, and we

dearnley, moier & mc cormick 🕾

「「「」

接邊

٠,

PAGE

		PAGE 10
) 	1	feel that you have unquestioned jurisdiction to hear the case.
1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 -	2	MR. HINKLE: We think that you would be spinning
	3	your wheels because even to hear it and then to have the
	4	Court decide against the Graces, it would all be for naught.
•	5	MR. STAMETS: Mr. Hatch, do you have an opinion?
	6	MR. HATCH: I think the case is premature here,
	7	and should be continued at least, perhaps even dismissed
	8	until the Applicant can come in here and establish the right
	9	to drill.
ucal Hicy, Illeici & co #7108 7106	10	MR. COOLEY: I would like to respond to that. Did
	11	I understand that to be a ruling?
	12	MR. HATCH: The Examiner will make the ruling.
	13	MR. COOLEY: I have already stated my position,
N N N N N	- 14	and I think the Examiner does have the right to hear the case.
2 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	.15	(Whereupon an off the record discussion was held.)
QUERQ Rour	16	(Hearing continues.)
し い り り し い し い し し し し し し し し し し し し	17	MR. STAMETS: Cases 4767 and 4768 will be continued
43-6691 ST • AL	18	to nine o'clock tomorrow morning.
HON FE	19	(Whereupon Cases 4767 and 4768 were recessed at
1092.0P	20	seven-thirty P.M., Wednesday, July 12th, 1972 until nine A.M.,
NAL B.	21	Thursday, July 13th, 1972.)
0.0 P.0	22	
200 SIMMS BLDG.8-P.O. BOX 1092.8-PHONE 243-66916.ALBU 1216 First National Bank Bldg. East-Albuque	23	
209 SIM	24	
	25	

a.

1

清香

	l Rata	1			PAGE 11
		1 STATE OF N	IEW MEXICO)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		2 COUNTY OF) s BERNALILLO)	S	•
		3	I, RICHARD E. M	CCORMICK, a Certi	ified Shorthand
			in and for the	County of Bernali	llo, State of
		5 New Mexico	, do hereby cer	tify that the for	regoing and
	dearnley, meier & mc cormick	7		aring before the	
- - - - - -		Conservati		as reported by me	·
nama anan mangangan san san san san San san san san san san san san san san s		same is a	true and correc	t record of the s	said proceedings
	er &	9 to the bes	t of my knowled	ge, skill and abi	lity.
	Ше Ш	11	• •		
	ley,			ρ	
	arn	12		Kulad	E. M. Corneck
		13		CERTIFIED SH	ORTHAND REPORTER
	х х х х х х х х х х	14	· · ·		
		15		4	
		16			
		17		- 4- -	
	-9091 -911	18	х. Х. (д. 1997)		24
	ONE 245	19			an a
	1092 PH	20			
	∎ × ► C	21			
		22		s . Z ¹ 4	
	BLD6.			– ම උදා දුදින්දේ ආලමාදාවේ ම	that the foregraphic it. 2 sto according - 1
in an	Simms BL	23		the Routers Hours	g of (etc. %)
	500	24			a settior
	· · ·	25		Now Yexico Gil Com	nsorvation Constantion
	a a tara da antina d Antina da antina da an	•		1. S.	

ÈL,



LON P. WATKINS Page 1 NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION EXAMINER HEARING SANTA FE NEW MEXICO Hearing Date_ July 12, 1972 TIME: 9 A.M. REPRESENTING LOCATION James & Sulliven Shell Cel Co Midland Tras KENNETH L. PETERS TEXAGO INC. C. W. STUMHOFFER Hosss, N. M. ANADARKO PRODUCTION CO. Housron, TEXAS Doyle Butler Blackrook On G. Midland, Texas Warnen h. Shafer Hib-Tey Oil Corp. Midland, Tages Serry Theed Atlantic Richfield Midland Tetos KEN BATEMAN White Kock Kelly & the Carthy Santa Re. F. H. Hartman Beard Oil Company ONIZ City TOSEPH N. CASHEY SG. Buell Wontgomeny, Fedurin, etcl Souto de J.T.BERRY BLACKRIVER CORP Midland U. Phipps BLACK KIJER CORP MIDLAND Black Riber Corp Wm. P. Aycock Midlomd Jum See Wolfe Ampeo Production Company Denver CHARLES F. MALONE ATWOOD MALONE MANN & COOTER Roswell farming to Bur & Coolig um J Cooley Jason Kellohi Killadi & For 1 sata de V.T.Lyon Continental Oil Co Hobbs JAMES S. JOHNSON Achert & Beckman INDEPENdent MidlArid. NSGSAstesin, M.M.

Page NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION EXAMINER HEARING SANTA FE , NEW MEXICO TIME: 9 A.M. JULY 12, 1972 Hearing Date_____ REPRESENTING NAME LOCATION A. W. Kitter Rutter & Wilbouts Corp Midland USGS Rose Roser Reserved USGS Roservice OII Co MidesND M. P. Crace Hadds Carl Traywich No. Nina of Durbannie Hottu Jana P. Miller H

1 (Whereupon the hearing of Cases 4767 and 4768 was continued at nine A.M., Thursday, July 13th, 1972.) 2 MR. STAMETS: The hearing will come to order. 3 Let the record show that the hearing reconvened in the Oil 4 Conservation commission conterence Room of the State Land 5 Office Building at nine A.M., July 13, 1972. 6 We will call the next case, Case 4767. 7 MR. HATCH: Case 4767: Application of Alice 8 Ballard, Amelia Miller, Thurman Mayes, and John A. Mayes for 9 compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. 10 MR. COOLEY: William J. Cooley, of Burr and Cooley, 11 Farmington, appearing on behalf of the Applicant. As in the 12 previous cases, I would move that the Examiner substitute 13 the new lessees, Michael P. Grace and Corinne Grace as 14 substitute parties applicants inasmuch as they have taken 15 over the oil and gas leases from the original applicants 16 who filed the application. 17 MR. STAMETS: The substitution will be approved. 18 MR. HINKLE: Clarence Hinkle, of Hinkle, Bondurant, 19 and Christy, Roswell, appearing on behalf of Black River 20 Corporation. 21 MR. STAMETS: Is there any reason that Cases 4767 22

and 4768 should not be consolidated?

MR. COOLEY: No reason at all. I would so move with the same motion with respect to the parties applicants.

dearnley, meier & mc cormick

• ALBUQUERQU

209

PAGE 3

	6-8		
		 	MR. STAMETS: The motion is approved in both
· · ·	μ	2	respects, and the cases will be consolidated for the purpose
	1	3	of hearing.
		4	MR. COOLEY: The Applicant has two witnesses,
	£.8		lik. Steinhorst and mis. Grace.
	-	ана 1944 — С 1946 — С	MR. STAMETS: Mr. Hinkle, do you anticipate
			calling witnesses?
			MR. HINKLE: We have no witnesses at this time,
<u>8</u>			we might have later on.
		ୁ ଅନ୍ତ	
	- <u>1</u>	.e. 10	MR. STAMETS: Have the witnesses sworn.
		u u	(Whereupon Mrs. Grace and Mr. Steinhorst were
		dearnley, meier	sworn by Mr. Hatch.)
			MR. COOLEY: I will call Mr. Steinhorst.
		₩0 ¥0 ¥x ¥x 14	* * * *
	and the second	z x ww 15	RICHARD STEINHORST,
		а. жыл лор 16	was called as a witness, and having been already duly sworn,
			testified as follows:
			DIRECT EXAMINATION
		2 y 19	BY MR. COOLEY:
		d ∎ L L L L	Q Mr. Steinhorst, will you state your name for the record,
ana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang		20 × × 20 × × × 20 × × × 20 × × × 20	please?
		0 0 4 F	A Richard Steinhorst, Jr.
		• × 22	Q Where do you reside?
		SWW151	A Lafayette, Louisiana.
		8 24	Q By whom are you employed?
2 2 2		25	
मेर्ग्			

PAGE A

1	A I am a consulting petroleum engineer for the Graces.
2	Q Specifically, have you been employed by Mr. and Mrs.
3	Grace with respect to engineering studies concerning
4	the East half of Section 9 and the North half of
5	Section 10 in the Township and Range involved in this
6	hearing, being Township 26 South, Range 27 East, Eddy
7	County, New Mexico?
8	A That is correct.
9	Q Have you made a study with respect to potential
10	productivity of this acreage in the Washington Ranch-
11	Morrow Gas Pool or similar intervals?
12	A I have.
13	Q First, Mr. Steinhorst, I hand you an acreage plat which
14	has been identified as Exhibit One in this case, and
15	I ask you if it reveals the acreage in question and
16	shows the lease ownership?
17	MR. HINKLE: Do you have a copy?
18	MR. COOLEY: That's the only one. It's the same
19	one as yesterday.
20	MR. HINKLE: Who is C. R. A. Inc.?
21	MR. COOLEY: We had to take that from the Eddy
22	County Abstract Company, which showed a lease to C. R. A.
23	Inc.
24	MR. HINKLE: Are you introducing this for the
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

PAGE

к., - ц.

Ĭ.

1000

1997 - 1861 - 1861 - 1861 - 1861 - 1861 - 1861 - 1861 - 1861 - 1861 - 1861 - 1861 - 1861 - 1861 - 1861 - 1861 -

			PAGE 6
		1	MR. COOLEY: Yes, as reflected by the records of
		2	Eddy County, New Mexico. You may have some later information
		3	than the County Clerk does.
.*. 		4	MR. HINKLE: Did you personally make a check of
		5	the records?
¥.		б	THE WITNESS: No, Mr. Miller made the check.
		7	MR, COOLEY: I personally reviewed the leasehold
mc cormick		8	take-off prepared by the Eddy County Abstract Company, which
& m		9	is the basis of this representation.
<u>6</u>	· · ·	10	MR. HINKLE: Go ahead, I will handle this on cross
dearnley, meier		11	examination.
nle)	5 0	12	Q (By Mr. Cooley) Without going into detail, the exhibit
deal	1CO 871	13	reflects, does it not, that Mr. Grace is the lessee
	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	14	claims to be the lessee with respect to the Northwest
_	Z X Z X Z Z	15	quarter of the East half of the Northeast quarter of
	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	16	Section 10, is that correct?
	• ∧ 1 8 0 8 0 0 0	17	A That is correct.
i	● PHONE 243-0691 BLDG. EAST●AL	18	Q And likewise with respect to the Southeast of the
	HONE A	19	Northeast quarter of Section 9?
	X 1092 • PHONE 5 Ank Blog.	20	A That is correct.
	× ⁶⁶ . 0-1 0-4 ∵ 2	21	MR. COOLEY: For the record, Mr. Examiner, these
	0.9 9.9 9.7 7 7 7 7	22	are the tracts that are under lease to Mr. Grace from the
	SIMMS BLDG.0 1210 FIRST NA	23	Mayes-Ballard-Miller group, the former applicants, and who
	209 \$IM	24	are the mineral owners of these lands, this being the same
		25	acreage that is in controversy with respect to Eddy County

PAGE

۲.,

₽₩ | 3

1

i i i

接访

1

1.45 1

Cause Number 27,600, where Mr. Grace seeks to acquire that
 acreage. So this acreage is the subject matter of the
 lawsuit I have described, and title will not be cleared
 until the lawsuit is resolved.

5 MR. STAMETS: 1 presume the Applicant in this case
6 will advise the Commission when that is resolved?

MR. COOLEY: We will advise the Commission of the outcome of the lawsuit.

MR. HINKLE: I take it from your statement that you
take the position that as far as this acreage in Sections 9
and 10 are concerned, that they will not have good title
until such time as the lawsuit is determined, is that correct?
MR. COOLEY: That is correct.

MR. HINKLE: In view of this, I move at this time that the case be dismissed due to the fact, and it is now clear on the record, that the Graces do not have title to the acreage they claim so they can drill.

MR. COOLEY: Mr. Grace will have the right to go out and drill if he chooses to do so from the leases he has from the Ballard-Mayes-Miller group. If he wanted to take that risk of subsequently losing title, that is his prerogative.

MR. HINKLE: By the statement of counsel, it clearly shows that title is in dispute, and that this case is pending in the District Court of Eddy County, and the Commission does

MMS BLDG. P.O. BOX 1002-PHONE 243-0001-ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103 10 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST-ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103

目的

18

7

not have the authority to determine title or go into it so long as it is in dispute, and I think my motion ought to be sustained.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

ŵο

SIMMS BLDC. P.O. 1216 FIRST NATION

30

dearniey, meter a mc cormict

.

1

MR. COOLEY: We stipulated yesterday that we felt that the Commission certainly had no jurisdiction whatsoever in determining title. In the past in all the cases I have heard of, the question of title has never come up to my knowledge, and the issue here is whether the Examiner has jurisdiction to hear the matter and to withhold issuance of an order until such time as the question of title has been resolved. If the question is resolved in favor of Mr. Grace, it will be a retroactive decision to the date of the lease, that being June 23rd, 1972.

MR. HINKLE: I think the Examiner and the Commission has to look at the record as to the difficulty they will have if the case is heard now and not at some future date. The fact that title is in dispute, and is admitted to be so, is controlling, and the Commission ought to take notice of that as it is shown by the record at this time.

MR. HATCH: I think that in view of the testimony that was presented yesterday, or the arguments at the beginning of these two cases yesterday, and on your ruling that you would hear the cases, that you should take Mr. Hinkle's motion to dismiss and reserve your decision on that and hear the cases and perhaps something else will develop

E LEA	-		1 9
1	<u>.</u>	i	in the cases that you can base your decision to dismiss on
1.8		2	at the end of the case. But I would recommend you go ahead
₽#	1 1 1	3	and hear the cases.
	3 	4	MR. STAMETS: The Examiner is in agreement with
6-34 		5	that decision. I will hear the complete cases for both
143 143		6	applications, and this does not mean that I will not
	L L L L	7	recommend the cases be dismissed or proceed in any particular
≱	mc cormick	8	manner after the cases are over. We will take full
	E S	9	consideration of your motion at the conclusion of the cases,
	<u></u>	10	Mr. Hinkle.
	dearnley, meier	11	MR. HINKLE: At the conclusion?
: • •	nley "	-12	MR. STAMETS: Yes.
	deal	13	MR. HINKLE: Okay.
	M N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	14	MR. STAMETS: You may proceed, Mr. Cooley.
	2 2 	15	Q (By Mr. Cooley) Mr. Steinhorst, with respect to the
	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	16	engineering studies that you have made concerning the
	● ▲ 2 0 0 0 9 0 0 9 0 0 9 0 0 9 0 9 0 9 0 9 0	17	North half of Section 10 and the East half of Section 9
	: 243-66914 8.43-66914	18	in Township 26, Range 24 East, Eddy County, New Mexico,
		19	have you prepared an exhibit which reflects your
	1002.0 PHONR 1886. BLOG	20	concept of the controlling geological factors of that
· • •	2 - 0 2 - 0 2 - 0 2 - 0 2 - 0 2 - 0 2 - 0	21	area?
	.0. 	22	A I have.
		23	Q I hand you what has been marked as Exhibit Two, and ask
ti prave	209 SIMMS BLD 1216 FIRST	24	you to explain the same.
	ભ	25	A This is really a sketch map of some geological data

available from the State of New Mexico. The wells are a little bit of a composite because some of the features on here have already been substantiated by wells that have been drilled in the area. Referring to the map, you will notice it is contoured on the top of the formation that the State Geological people surveyed, which does give a reasonable picture. The yellow line coming down from about the middle-- well, roughly between Sections 3 and 4, is the axis which has already been established-- or pretty well established as being in existence by the wells that have been drilled.

Q Is that the top center of Exhibit Two? A Right, the top center of Exhibit Two.

The other yellow colored anticlinal axis is the one that Mr. Vance Kelly of the University of New Mexico says is there. I don't believe it has been substantiated yet, but any sub-surface data available, we merely put in there to indicate the dike down in the Southeast quarter of Section 10. The dike has been shown to exist by the State Geological maps, and also by a later exhibit we will illustrate that it is there and that this is the controlling feature in the South part of 10 also.

Let me interrupt you at this point, for what reasons

200 SIMMS BLDG. P.O. BOX 1092 PHONE 243-0001 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 67103 1210 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 67103

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	F		•.	PAGE 11
		х. Т. 1		is the dike in the Southeast quarter of Section 10
		2	2	controlling?
	1		A	Any time you have a dike intrusion, you get an altering
			•	of the formation in the proximity of the dike, and
	ŕ		5	therefore, the possibility is there that you may have
		<u> </u>	5	no permeability or porosity within some distance of
		ü Ü	r	the dike.
		mc cormick	Q ·	Is the dike a physical factor and does it tend to
	영 ¹¹ 2 11 11	S S		alter and destroy the permeability and porosity of
				the producing zone?
		Ē 11	A	Well, the intrusion of the dike itself plus the heat
		dearnley,	8	that exists when the dike intrudes does have a marked
			3	affect on the adjacent depositional rocks.
		ΨO Συ ¶	¢ Q ~	Based upon that, is it desirable to stay away from a
			5	diks as much as possible with respect to the well bore?
		ອີ້. ອິສ ລັດ 1	6 A	Well, yes, it's a pretty good idea to stay far enough
			7	away that you feel like the formation has not been
	S A	3-6691• 17 • Ali	8	altered.
		т т т т т т т т т т т т т т т т т т т	9 Q	Does the dike to which you refer in the Southeast quarter
n an an Arrange ann an Arrange Arrange an Arrage agus ann an Arrange Arrange ann an Arrange		4 6	0	of Section 10, in your opinion, constitute an effective
an a		5 ₹ x n 0 3 2 n ₹ 2	1	permeability barrier?
2		. Z 0.0 4 ⊬ • ₹ 2	2 A	Very definitely.
and the second sec			23 Q	Proceed.
: · · ·		510 MW	A	Right above the dike is a dash line which indicates a
		Ř	25	fault. This fault is another controlling factor in the
		•	<u> </u>	

 $\int_{\mathbb{C}^{N}}$

	. 1-34 Red		-	PAGE 12
- <u> </u>		1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	L	productivity in the Southern part of Section 10 and
	12	2 	2	the extreme Southeast part of Section 9. Therefore,
	1		3	this could be a very limiting factor in this particular
			•	portion of the field.
	83			Do you have any further comment with respect to Exhibit
	- {. 		5	Two?
		mic	A	No, other than that it is pretty straightforward, and
		COL "	3	just illustrates that possibly there is a limiting
		, mc		factor there and from the wells in Sections 3 and 4
		<u>.</u> 10		and the geological factors that we have available to
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		e ii		us, it is indicative that the location proposed in
		Jan , 12	:	Sections 10 and 9 are probably productive.
		dearnley, meier & mc cormick	Q	Have you caused any other geological work to be performed
		14 2001 13 13 2001 13 2001 13 2001 13 2001 13 2001 13 2001 13		that would tend to confirm the existence of a fault
		າສັ້ງ 2 ສັ້ງ 2 ສັ້ງ	5	and a dike in the Southeast quarter of Section 10 and
		304 800 10 10	5	this general area?
		10 10 10 11	A	We took two infrared photographs which I believe you
			B	marked as exhibits.
	神		Q	I hand you what have been marked as Exhibits Three and
		и 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 20		Four, and ask you if those are the photographs you
		21 20 20 20 21 21 21 21	L	are referring to?
		0.01 	A	Those are the photographs. These particular photographs
		8 8 10 10 10 10		show the dike and they are over in Section 11.
		s 4 2: www.s s c c c c c c c c c c c c c		Unfortunately, we didn't carry this on over, but it
		≈ - 2!	}	also shows the well in Section 11, one of the photographs
•			L.,	

,.

				13
			1	does, and then to the south of that, you can see the
	B		2	dike, and you can see very definitely where the fault
	134	2 - 5 2 2 1 4	3	cut and dike are.
			4	Q Do you have an extra copy of these photographs?
	644 		5	n No, I do not.
	. 1 4	×.	- 6	Q Would you rise, please, and go around to the Examiner
		rmic	7	and explain the exact area that is in question and
	1 1	2000 C	8	how it relates to Exhibit Two?
		dearnley, meier & mc cormick	9	(Whereupon the witness complied with the request
· · ·			10	of counsel and the dialogue between the Examiner and the
		Ŭ Ś	11	witness took place off the record.)
		rnie "	12	Q (By Mr. Cooley) Based upon all of the information
			13	available to you at this time and the studies you have
		И Е ¥ М Я 4	14	made, do you have an opinion as to whether the East
		2 X 2 V 2 V 2 C	15	half of Section 9 and the North half of Section 10
		10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	16	should reasonably be presumed to be productive of gas
		• ≥.	17	from the Washington Ranch-Morrow Gas Pool?
		(43-6691 15T = AL	.18	A Very definitely.
		19 19 10 10 10 10 10	19	Q With respect to the well locations that have been
an a		1092 • P Ank bl	20	selected by Mr. Grace, do you feel these are the best
		0. 80 X 0 A F 8	21	locations that could have been selected within the
		0.4 0. 7 A 1 0	22	limits of the rules and regulations concerning the
		SIMMS BLDG	23	location of wells in the area?
		209 SIM	24	A Yes. To elaborate a little bit, going back to the
· · ·	and a state of the		25	sketch map, both of these locations are reasonable

PAGE

L	a ·					14
		·		1	đ	istances from the fault trend and the dike trace as
	¥			2	t	o be out of any influence that these two features
ا د	*			3	m	ight have on the alteration of the formation, and
ľ	ŧ.			4	У	ou will notice that the anticlinal axis coming down
۰ ۲	. n			วี	a	etween Sections 3 and 4 indicate that it is trending
!		. <u></u>		6	t	oward these two locations, therefore, there should be
	2 2	mic		7	S	tructurally high well placements.
	a 3	mc cormi		8	QI	n your opinion, will the wells, as plotted, effectively
		& M(9	a	nd efficiently drain the proration units assigned
and sound				10	t	o them?
, Landon II		rnley, meiel		11	А Т	hey will.
		'nle)	80	12	QI	n your testimony yesterday with respect to the previous
and the field		dear	100 871 7108	13	С	ase, you expressed some doubt and some concerno with
a la charlan de se		1. F.	EW MEXICO I Exico 37108	14 14	the second s	cspect to the ability of the well in the West half
1. B AN			2 ¥ 2 ¥ 4 4 2 2 2 2	15	· 0	f Section 3 being able to drain that entire half section.
			0 2 2 2 0 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	16	D	o you have the same concern with respect to the East
Star Strady and Sandy San	讀	¥1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	17	h	alf of Section 9 and the North half of Section 10?
			243-6691 Fast aal	18	A N	o, because you are not draining such a long distance.
adore i societa			BLDG. FA	19	Q I	understand then that your feeling of the location of
ALC: GRANT		-	1092.0 FH	20	t	he well with respect to the particular unit involved
24212-3			1 0 X 0 X 0 X 0 X 0 X 0 X 0 X 0 X 0 X 0	21	i	s that it will effectively drain the proration unit
والقام فكمح والمركزي		•	0 4 0 7 4 1 0	22	a	ssigned?
a francisco de		` .	SIMMS BLOC 1216 FIRST	23	А Т	hat's right.
98 B. R. 1994			209 SIMM 1216	24		MR. COOLEY: No further questions.
			N	25		****

\$ *ez,

٩,,

PAGE 15

	•	1		CROSS EXAMINATION
		2	BY N	MR. HINKLE:
, I	- 1.: 1.:	3	Q	Referring to Exhibit One, who prepared the plat?
	-	4	A	That actual plat was prepared by Mr. Charlie Miller.
ء ا		5	ý	You had nothing to do with the preparation of that plat?
	. ×	б	A	Not of the plat itself, no.
	mc cormick	7	Q	You don't know of your own information that the
		8		ownership shown is correct?
4. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	~ X	9	A	I have seen the abstract data that Mr. Cooley referred to.
		10	Q.	Do you know whether or not the records in the office
· 2	dearnley, meier	11		of the County Clerk of Eddy County also show the oil
	nley,	n 12	2	and the gas lease of Mr. Miller, J. W. Miller, that
	iearr	01 13		covers the Southeast of the Northeast of Section 9?
	*	4 MEXIC	A	I understand there was such a lease and that lease has
		аны 2 м ны 15		expired.
20 I		הע שיש היש היש היש היש היש היש היש היש היש	Q	The one that applies to the Northwest quarter of the
	4			West half of the Northeast quarter of Section 10?
÷	*	- 18 18 18	A	That's correct.
	1 1 1 1 1	ONE 2451	Q	Can you state of your own knowledge that there is a
				suit pending in the District Court of Eddy County that
	Ş			involves title to these particular leases?
and the first state		O O D F	A	I have been so informed, I haven't actually seen the
	ş X			suit papers.
	4	1210 FIRS		MR. COOLEY: We will so stipulate, Mr. Hinkle.
		8 24 25		MR. HINKLE: That's all I have.

PAGE 16

CROSS EXAMINATION 1 BY MR. STAMETS: 2 Q Referring to Exhibit Two, these contours of the top 3 of Bell Canyon, which is of considerable thickness and a considerable vertical distance above the Morrow, which ă is the formation in question, why did you not use the б dearnley, meier & mc cormici Morrow formation for your contour map? 7 The real purpose of this particular map is supposed Α 8 to be a structural map to demonstrate the regional 9 controlling features which would have influenced any 10 productivity or any possible productivity of the Morrow 11 ļ, or any productive zone you might run into in this 12 NEW MEXICO 87103 Mexico 87108 18 particular area. 13 These features could just as well have been put on a Q 14 Morrow map, these features of the dike and the fault? 15 о С Ш This could have been, however, the thing we are really Α 16 trying to illustrate is the regional situation by 17 other geological data, which is not necessarily 18 available from the well records. We are trying to 1092 • PHONE 19 illustrate this by other geological data because you 20 are getting into an area where we don't have any well BLDC. P.O. BOX IRST NATIONAL B 21 control, and anything you did with the Morrow would be 餯 22 completely speculative at that point. ĥ 23 MR. STAMETS: Are there any other questions? 24 209 25

		1	-	CROSS EXAMINATION
		2	BYN	AR. HATCH:
		3	Q	The yellow line on here represents a fault?
		4	A	No, the yellow line represents the anticlinal axis.
	63	5	Ŷ	In response to one of Mr. Cooley's questions a moment
		6		ago concerning the productivity of the East half of
	dearnley, meier & mc cormick	7		Section 9 and the North half of Section 10, you said
	C CO	8		it was your belief that they were productive of gas
		9	A .	from the Washington Ranch-Morrow Gas Pool.
n 1947 - Angele Martin, and Angele Angele 1959 - Angele		10	A	Yes.
	λ, me	11	Q	Is there anything on this Exhibit Two that would
		້ _ອ 12		indicate that wells drilled in the East half of Section
and and a second se	dearı	13 13		4 or the West half of Section 4 or the East half of
		₩0 ₩2 ₩2 ₩2 ₩4		Section 3 or the West half of Section 3 are not producing
		z ⊻ ≩ 15 ⊃ z		from the same common source of supply?
		8 8 9 7 7 8 16 8 8	A	No.
2 2		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Q	Is it your opinion that all of those completed wells
		IN 18		and the wells at these proposed locations would be
		19 0 0 0 19		producing from the same common source of supply?
		Hd • 260	A	Yes.
<u>and successing and all successing and a successing a</u>	a an		Q	And that would be designated the Washington Ranch-
en e				Morrow Gas Pool?
		SIMMS BLDG	A	Right.
4) 4) 4)		WW15 00 24		MR. HATCH: I have nothing further.
		~ 25		MR. STAMETS: Is there anything further from this
			<u></u>	

f ile			PACE 18
		1	witness?
10		2	MR. COOLEY: Mr. Examiner, we have a problem.
fau		3	The well cost data which Mr. Steinhorst prepared was typed
		- 4	up last night, and the typist made some errors that are
Lai		រ	being corrected right now, and at this point, we definitely
	×.	б	want to submit this information, but it is being retyped
ľ	rmic	7	right now.
	000	8	MR. HINKLE: Do you want to wait until the
	s m	9	conclusion of the case?
			MR. COOLEY: Yes.
	dearnley, meier & mc cormick	11	MR. HINKLE: No objection.
	nle)	₈ 12	MR. COOLEY: If we could defer the presentation
	dear	13 13	of that evidence through Mr. Steinhorst to the end of the
		же же же же та	case and proceed with Mrs. Grace's testimony, I would
		z Σ ⊷≩ 15 ⊃ z	appreciate it.
			MR. STAMETS: There being no objection, we will
	a da c	->	do that.
e Hine		243-0001	(Witnessexcused.)
		~ 별	* * * *
		19 94 их вгрс. 20	CORINNE GRACE,
			was called as a witness, and having been already duly sworn,
		0,0 4°⊢ • ₹ 22	testified as follows:
		0 ⊢ 8 2 8 2 8 2 8 2 8 2 8 2 8 2 8 2 8 2 8 2	DIRECT EXAMINATION
		23 23 Simus 1210 E 24	BY MR. COOLEY:
		25	Q Mrs. Grace, you are the wife of Michael P. Grace?

	i La	- - مەسقىي بايدىي			PAGE 19
		-	1	A	Yes.
	14		2	Q	You are the co-owner or co-operator of the oil and
		Ĝ2	3		gas leases designated as the Grace leases on Exhibit
			4		One in this case?
			5	А	Well, I'm the co-operator.
е.		CK-	6	Q	That was my question.
** **		dearnley, meier & mc cormick	7	A	Yes.
		nc c	- 8	Q	And in connection with these leases, have you caused
		8	9		to be delivered any notices or requests to other
		leier	10		operators, working interest owners, or royalty owners
		т, у	11		in the East half of Section 9 and the North half of
		irnle 	12		Section 10 with respect to voluntarily pooling this
			13		acreage for drilling of a well thereon?
		0 死 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	14	A	Yes, sir.
		「 「 と 「 と 「 」 に 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、	- 15	-Q	Specifically, who did you notify and in what manner
			16		and when?
		1 • ALB	17	A	We sent Western Union telegrams to Black River, Cities
		243-661 [AST • A	18		Service, and Arapahoe Limited, and furnished the Oil
and and a second se Second second	and the second	PHONE FLOG: E	19		Commission with the addresses the known addresses
		1092 •	20		that the people in Eddy County furnished us with.
		0. BOX	21	Q	When you say Eddy County, you mean the Eddy County
			22		Abstract Company?
- 1 - 1		MM5 8L 10 F.RS	23	A	I'm sorry, yes.
		209 SIM	24	Q	When were these telegrams sent?
	and the second se		25	Α .	I think approximately June 5th.
		2 2 12			

<u>.</u>,

· .

`1,,

	1		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		PAGE 20
	I		1 Q In this case, you and your husband are seeking to be
	k		2 operators of the well in question?
•			3 A Yes, we feel we have to we're getting telephone calls
			at midnight and in the middle of the night and
		•	everything else. People were telling us that Black
an an an an taon an taon Taona	6.8	. X	River was going to move on to the West half of Section 4,
		, jui	and this gets pretty nerve-racking. Mr. Forest Miller
100 H		mc cormick	told someone in my presence that they were not going
		E E	to drill anymore in the Washington Ranch area.
		° ee	
		le,	
			a second se
			Acorton 12
		ар Х.Х. Дар	Section 9 and Section 10. I would like to be assured that
•		z ₂ ⊮≝ 10 ⊃ z	this has some relationship to that before you get into it.
		5 5 5 10 5 7 10 7 8 7 8	THE WITNESS: Well, the relationship is that these
		17 - 17 - 17	are the kind of people that one has to deal with, they're
	alar turk	1892-18	in there taking over your land and operating it. Mr. Porter,
		× ⊈ ≝ ≝ 2.0 19	I have always heard is a your fine
		14 • 280 4 • 280 4 • 280	sure he doesn't stand for that cort of this
n an an Arrange an Arr Arrange an Arrange an A Arrange an Arrange an A	9 14 19		MR. STAMETS: I believe that that will not be
		0.0 d.⊢ •.≮ 22	one of the causes for forced pooling under the section in
		8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1	question, and I think the testimony should be centered around
×	2 2 2 4 10	23 Simms 19121 6 24	that if it could be.
		°, 27	THE WITNESS: I was giving my reasons.
		2 .	

	• • • • •			PACE 21
		3	1 Q	(By Mr. Cooley) Is it your purpose to immediately
	ţ.a	· .	2	
	a.	• •		proceed with the wells in the North half of Section 9
- ,	14	δ () () ≹ 1 €	3	and the East half of Section 10 immediately upon the
		4- 	4	conclusion of the lawsuit referred to?
	р. а -		5 A	Yes.
			6	MR. COOLEY: No further questions.
		nicl	7	MR. STAMETS: Are there any questions of this
				tness?
		mc cormick	8 wi	
• 		8	9	MR. HINKLE: Yes, I think so.
		E.	10	* * * *
en e		dearnley, meier	11	CROSS EXAMINATION
	a tr P A	" "	12 BY	MR. HINKLE:
		ear	13 Q	I believe you stated that you are the owners of the
		2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	14	oil and gas leases that cover the acreage involved in
		.≯ ⊌⊎ ⊃ Z 0 .	15	Sections 9 and 10, is that right?
užio o parajo na najvoje na monazije na čenero. N		8 8 7 0 7 0 8 7 0 8 7 0 8 7 8 7 7 8 7 8	16 A	My husband is the owner of the lease, and he has made
) ほう いって くう の	17	me co-operator.
		-6691. T • AL	18 Q	Now, have you completely paid for the oil and gas lease
1		00 m 243 06. m 243	-	that you have covering this acreage?
		A D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	19 A	No, sir.
		X 1092 6 B A N K	20	
		LO V 22	21 Q	Isn't it a fact that you agreed to pay a consideration
		0.0 A A 0.0 0	22	of \$125.00 an acre and put up \$10,000.00 to get title
		55 55 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	23	to the leases and then agreed to pay the balance when
		6 SIMMS 1210 F	24	the lawsuit was terminated?
		Ö N	A	Well, the amount I don't have in front of me.
	š. 1 .		25	
	3. 6 -			

1.

and the strength

.
an a	ka	a and an and a second	······································
		1	MR. COOLEY: You did acknowledge yesterday that
		2	you paid \$10,000.00 to the Mayes-Miller-Ballard group.
	{ 	200 200 200 200 300	THE WITNESS: Yes, we paid \$10,000.00 already.
		1. 4	MR. COOLEY: And there is a balance due upon the
	8.3	័	conclusion of the lawsuit?
		<u>→</u> 6	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
		nic.	Q (By Mr. Hinkle) But you have not paid the balance?
		dearnley, meier & mc cormick	A No, sir.
		Ë,	Q And will not be obligated to pay it until the conclusion
		10	of the lawsuit?
		E 11	A No, but we never get this \$10,000.00 back.
		nley n	Q Now, if you are not successful in the lawsuit, will
			you or your husband have any leasehold interest in
			Sections 9 and 10?
	NAME: N	2 ⊻ 2 ⊻ 2 ¥ 15	A We have the lease, it's merely a question of unclouding
		8 8 7 16	the title.
		0 1 0 3 √ 5 17 • 9	MR. COOLEY: Mrs. Grace, you must answer the
			question directly. He said if you are not successful, would
		N 19	you have any other interest.
an <mark>te</mark> se sa			THE WITNESS: We must be successful.
			Q (By Mr. Hinkle) That's not my question, I asked if you
			were not successful, do you have any other interest
		อาร สาย ราย ราย 23	in Sections 9 or 10 except those that are in dispute?
		WWIS 80 24	A We have no other land except these leases, but we have
		25	these leases.

۹.,

PAGE 22

		• •			PAGE 23
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		t v∄ I	Q	Well, all of the testimony as to the	nese leases point
	М		2	to the fact that they are in disput	.e.
	- La		A	We have better leases than Mr. Mill	er, Mr.Miller's
				leases are clouded toc.	
	€a I	- 201 		Do you nave any other interests in	Sections 9 and 10?
			A	We have no other land besides the l	
		mic	. Q	That's all I asked you.	
		dearnley, meier & mc cormick		Now, I believe your testimony	was that you do not
		Ë,	s Line and the second	intend to Start these wells until s	uch time as the
				pending lawsuit is terminated, and	then only in the
		Ë 11		event you are successful.	
an a		Aaju , 12	A	That is our plan at the moment, bec	ause we don't go
				out and drill on land before we hav	e everything in order.
				MR. HINKLE: That's all I have	•
		ພິພິ ຂ⊻ ພີຟີ 15		* * * *	
		ວະ ແມ່ ຢີວີ 16		CROSS EXAMINATION	
		ີ ອີສ ອີສີ ມີອີ ເອີ້ມີອີ ເອີ້ມີອີ	BV	MR. HATCH:	
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Q	I have one question. You testified	as to paying so
		87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 8		much for the leases.	
			A	Yes.	
	يعيرا بر داريغ	ŏzv x⊖∎ ov 21 av 21	0	And I believe your testimony was the	at regardless of
		P.O.		the outcome of the lawsuit, you would	
		• z 22		of that \$10,000.00 back?	-
С. С.		Simus 53	A	That's right. The only reason we as	re holding up any
		8 24		payment is we want to get perfect t	
an a		25			
				,	

ţ,

			1		get it back even if we don't get perfect title. I
÷	P \$		2		have seen people go and take land and maybe fifty
	•	- - - 	3		years later we have land in Long Island that hasn't
		1	4		perfect title, and my husband's father got it fifty
	₩¢ ;	2 - 12 	5		years ago. This is because the people who originally
<i></i>			6		settled out there from England didn't properly
. *		micl	7		establish it.
		COL	8	Q	Has the \$10,000.00 been paid?
		mc	ç	A	Yes, sir.
		er s	10	Q	Will any part of that \$10,000.00 be returned if the
Angel Angel Angel		dearnley, meier & mc cormick	11		Ballard-Miller-Mayes group are not successful in their
		lley,	12	*	lawsuit?
		earn 	13	A	No, we will never get a penny of that money back.
	an Arr	MEXICO	14	Q	Now, there is a balance due, the \$10,000.00 does not
				•	represent the entire amount?
			15	A	No, it doesn't.
	- 609	う で よ り の よ し つ	16	Q	Part of your testimony was that you were paying so much
		691 8 A L E	17		an acre, I believe. Would that be paid in addition
		E 243-009 E 45T e Al	18		to the \$10,000.00?
an diana amin'ny faritr'i Andrews Ny INSEE dia mampina ma Ny INSEE dia mampina ma		е р н О Л Г В Г О О Л Г В Г	19	A	Yes, sir.
		6.0 P.O. BOX (092 NATIONAL BANK	20	Q	Mrs. Grace, if the Ballard-Mayes-Miller group is
		L 0 V 8 V 0.	21		successful in this lawsuit, you will be obligated to
у	- Seeke	1 N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	22		pay them some additional money beyond the \$10,000.00
		SIMMS BLDG.0F	23		for the lease?
		209 5	24	a	Yes, sir.
•	C. A.C.		25	A	100/ 021.
۰.					

	((-)				PAGE 25
		÷	1	Q	How much is that?
	Ş.	2 °	2	A	I believe it's \$40,000.00.
	. tv.at	10 10 - 1	3	. ·	MR. COOLEY: I think the total contract actually
			4	was	\$40,000.00, \$10,000.00 of which has been paid.
	1.46		5	Å	He says the total was \$40,000.00, so we will owe them
	i tan		6		\$30,000.00.
		mic	7	Q	If the Ballard-Mayes-Miller group are not successful
		00	8		in their lawsuit, are you still bound absolutely to
1 a 1	2010. 1. 2.	Ĕ	9	······································	pay that \$30,000.00 to them?
ć - K			10	A	No.
		dearnley, meier & mc cormick	11		MR. HATCH: That's all the questions I have.
		nley	12	• . !	* * * *
		lear	13		CROSS EXAMINATION
		N MEXIC	14	BY N	IR. STAMETS:
(+ <u>_</u>	6 6	ຟ ຟ Z_Σ 	15	Q	Mrs. Grace, I have a question concerning your attempts
•		2 C C C C C C	16		to get together with the other owners in these two
		4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	17		half sections in question here. I wasn't quite clear
		3-6691e :TeALE	18		on your answer whether you had attempted to voluntarily
		ONE 24	19		comminitize with these other owners or whether the
		1092 6 P H	20		original applicants, Alice Ballard et al, had tried to
	ng sa	80×10 11 14	21		communitize with the other owners in the two half
		2, • P.O.	22		sections.
		8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	23	A	No, we sent telegrams.
		00 SIMMS 1216 F	24	Ω	Was this for voluntary communitization?
		ň	25	A	Yes, that they join us in the drilling of the well.
	y.			<u> </u>	

ì.

		20
	1	The reason we didn't beg them harder is that the
	2	people we trusted were telling us that we were going
	3	to move on a man, in our presence, said that they
	4	were not going to drill any more wells. How can you
	5	work with people like that?
	6	MR. STAMETS: Are there any other questions?
	7	MR. COOLEY: Yes.
	-8,	* * * *
	9	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
	10	BY MR. COOLEY:
	11	Q You have taken the leases to the County Clerk in Eddy
	12	County and received a receipt for the registration
8 0 -	13	of the leases, have you not?
e U	14	A Yes, sir.
EROCE, ZEW MEXICO 37103	15	Q There is no condition of delivery on those leases,
L'UCE	16	is that right?
20	17	A Yes.
EAST • ALBU	18	MR. COOLEY: No further questions.
LDG. EA	19	MR. STAMETS: Are there any other questions of
]; 6 ¥ 2 ¥ 2 ₹ 8	20	this witness?
4 A L 8 A	21	MR. HINKLE: May we go off the record?
1216 FIRST NATIONAL	22	MR. STAMETS: Yes.
1881	23	(Whereupon an off the record discussion was held.)
1216	~4	(Hearing continues.)
	24	MR. STAMETS: The witness may be excused.
	25	

dearniey, meier & mc cormick

1993

14 14

18

1

Cano,

- Control

	27
1	(Witness excused.)
2	MR. COOLEY: May we have a short recess, Mr.
3	Examiner?
4	MR. STAMETS: We will take fifteen minutes.
5	(Whereupon a recess was taken.)
6	(Hearing continues.)
7	MR. STAMETS: The hearing will come to order,
8	please. Mr. Cooley, do you have some more testimony?
9	MR. COOLEY: We would like to proceed with the
10	balance of Mr. Steinhorst's testimony.
11	MR. STAMETS: Okay, he may be recalled.
12	and an
13	RICHARD STEINHORST,
14	was recalled as a witness, and having been already duly
15	sworn, testified as follows:
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. COOLEY:
18	Q Mr. Steinhorst, have you prepared an estimate of the
18 19	Mr. Steinhorst, have you prepared an estimate of the approximate well cost that you could expect to encounter
19	approximate well cost that you could expect to encounter
19 20	approximate well cost that you could expect to encounter in the drilling of the two wells proposed in the East
19 20 21	approximate well cost that you could expect to encounter in the drilling of the two wells proposed in the East half of Section 9 and the North half of S ec tion 10?
19 20 21 22	approximate well cost that you could expect to encounter in the drilling of the two wells proposed in the East half of Section 9 and the North half of Smction 10? A I have.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

dearnley, meier & mc cormick 🐀

÷.

ġ

l

3

1

ļ

٩.

			1	MR. HINKLE: How much?
· .		H	2	THE WITNESS: \$139,725.00.
			. 3	Q (By Mr. Cooley) Is that with or without service
			4	equipment?
*		вм. 1	3	ā Without.
			6	Q What do you estimate the cost of service equipment
		micl	7	would be?
and a second s	ر کے بد از روال کار م لا	CO I	8	A The completed well on production would be \$154,000.00.
	ه ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱	l g		Q Mr. Steinhorst, what experience, to your own personal
		ler 8	10	knowledge, has Mr. Grace had in connection with drilling
- 		dearnley, meier & mc cormick	11	gas wells in the Carlsbad area?
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		le ,	 12	A He has drilled six wells that I know of.
	i have been and the second	earr	012 001 13	Q Have you had an opportunity to observe his operations
				and the manner in which he carries out his responsibilit
	، بر ا		xx ••• xw zw ⊔w 15	in connection with the drilling and operating of a well?
				A I have.
				Q Do you have an opinion with respect to his capability
			919 919	in this regard?
				A I would say he has been rather successful, his wells
♦.	2 			have turned out very well.
				0 Do you consider him to be a capable operator?
			8 Z 21	A I would say he is a capable operator, yes.
			• Z 22	Q With respect to the question of operating charges, do
			23 SMMIS	you have a figure in mind that you recommend to the
			o 24	Commission to establish monthly operating charges for
•			25	
	، مربع مربع		•	

٦.

۱.

				PAGE 29
			1	the wells in question?
	P #		2	A Yes, I think \$135.00 per well per month would be
e .	е 1. рб. с.	trans Kalina	3	reasonable.
			4	MR. STAMETS: One hundred thirty-five dollars?
			5	THE WITNESS: That's right, \$135.00.
	p.	光	6	Q (By Mr. Cooley) In your opinion, is there any risk
		cormicl	7	involved in the drilling of the two proposed wells
			8	in Sections 9 and 10?
	- <u>4</u>	<u> </u>	9	A Very definitely. Although we have interpreted that area
		meier	10	of production, I feel a risk factor of fifty percent
. •		м. У	11	would apply to these wells for taking the risk of
	and and a	dearnley,	12	stepping out that far.
		dea	13	Q How far a step-out is it?
		R¥ MEX	14	A A little over three-quarters of a mile nearly a mile
a		22 ***********************************	15	actually.
		ם. מעובים אטרובים	16	Q On what well?
		2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	17	A The well in Section 10, and the well in Section 9 is
n n N	a a construction of the second se	43-6691 Steal	18	about a mile and a quarter. Even though we have
- 		PHONE 24: BLDC. EAS	19	interpreted the area as being productive, it is a pretty
12		1092 + PI	20	long step-out.
		2.4 P.O. BOX 1092 4 National Bank 1	21	MR. COOLEY: I have no further questions.
ta j		00 4 4 5 7	22	MR. STAMETS: Are there any questions of this
e de la constance de		SIMMS BLDG. 1216 FIRST N	23	witness?
1 		209 SIMN 1216	24	MR. HINKLE: Yes.
			25	<pre>************************************</pre>

٩,,

)		
	а.				CROSS EXAMINATION
			- - -		2 <u>BY MR. HINKLE</u> :
				1. 1.	3 Q I believe you stated that the completed well cost of
			••		4 the projected wells would be \$154,000.00, I assume
			tan tan	:	this means both of them in Sections 9 and 10 would
	2 ²⁴ · · · ·			Ċ	6 each cost approximately \$154,000.00, is that correct?
÷.			mic	2	7 A Right.
				8	8 Q Does this include any costs for contingencies?
			dearnley, meier & mc cormick		9 A It does.
			ler &	10	10 Q How much do you have for contingencies?
			mei	. 11	
7	. <u>.</u>		lley,	, 12	
			arn	8710	
			đ	01/2 01	
				N N N N	provide for 2
				ษฐ 15 วะ ∝ษ	
					16 A Oh, sales taxes, unexpected rental of equipment, and
				17 17	
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	e 🦂		18	
•••••				20 19	19 Q Do you intend this to be turn-key or do you intend to
	n de la seconda de la secon En esta de la seconda de la En esta de la seconda de la	H	L.		seek bids for the contract?
r - Sa S R					A Well, it could go either way. There's a possibility
				0 0 1 F • Z 22	it could be turn-key.
			(g- 	018 8 8 2 3	Q Do Mr. and Mrs. Grace have drilling equipment capable
				51MM	of drilling this well?
				Ň	A No. Most operators don't have the drilling equipment,
•	na Na Star			2	

-

3

1.

	. <u>9</u>	1		PAGE 31
		-		and they employ contractors, competent contractors.
		2	Q	By obtaining bids?
1		3	A	Right.
Į.		4	Q	Have you obtained any bids to see what the exact cost
••• •••		5		would be?
100 100		б	A	We haven't obtained bids, but we have consulted
	Lmic	7		drilling contractors.
	dearnley, meier & mc cormick	8	Q	What drilling contractors have you consulted?
	К Ц	9	A	Big West Drilling Company.
	Sier.	10	Q	Big West Drilling Company?
	۲, mو	11	A	Right.
	s.	12	Q	Where are they located?
		13	A	I believe their headquarters are in Midland or Odessa.
	de Ew MEXICO EXICO 5710	14	Q	Have there been any others?
	Z∑ 	15	A	No.
	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	16	Q	Have they ever had experience in drilling this area?
		17	A	No direct experience.
	3-6691 :T•AL	18	Q	They haven't drilled any of the wells so far?
	E 24	19	A	They haven't drilled any wells in this immediate area,
)X 1092 фРНОN • 13 амк вгос.	20		they have been drilling the general area for quite some
	.0. 80X 1	21		time.
	0.⊢ •∢ 3.2	22	Q	Has Mr. Grace any experience in drilling wells in this
	MS BL	23		particular area so far?
	209 SIMMS 1216 F	24	A	Not the immediate area.
		25	Q .	Not the Washington Ranch area?

۴,

Q.

		PAGE 32
	1 A	Not that I'm aware of.
1	2 Q	Are you familiar, or do you know, whether or not Mr.
21	3	or Mrs. Grace are familiar with the particular technique
	4	that have been used in the completion of these wells
	c	in the washington Ranch area?
	6 A	I am not cognizant of their knowledge of completion
micl	7	techniques.
IC COI	8 Q	You have no knowledge of it?
S ma	A e	Of the completion techniques.
ier 8	10 Q	I believe you stated that you think they should have
y, me	11	a risk factor of fifty percent. Does this mean fifty
nley	2 12	percent if the working interest owners decide to pay
dearnley, meier & mc cormick	13	their way?
	же ыно хола 14 А	I wouldn't think if the working interest owners decided
	ω zΣ ωω 15	to pay their way that this would apply.
	16 16	MR. COOLEY: He said risk factor.
	A	I mean, they're taking the same risks that the Graces are
•	2 223-6691 •	Do you have any correspondence with the Big West
100	u u	Drilling Company in regard to the cost of drilling
	19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1	these wells?
		No, this has been personal contact.
	0 0 0 F 2 Q	When did you personally contact them?
	A 1210 121	Let me think of the day. Today's the thirteenth, it
· . •	WWIS 802	was last Thursday, Thursday of last week.
	25 Q	A week ago?
	۱ <u>ــــ</u>	

		PAGE 33
. *	1	in week ago whatever last Thursday was, the 6th or
• • •	3	
	4	
	5	CROSS EXAMINATION
-X	б	BY MR. STAMETS:
lmi	7	Q Mr. Steinhorst, will you supply the Commission with
dearnley, meier & mc cormick	8	a completed copy of this estimated well cost?
8	9	A You would have had it now, but the girl got confused
eiei	10	and she didn't get it typed properly.
м. У	11	Q Mr. Cooley asked you about "capable operator", I wish
inte 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	12	you would define for me what you consider a capable
	13	operator.
LEW ME	14	A The ability to drill a well with a minimum of problems
2 2 2 2 2 C 2 C	15	and with the probability that the well, if it was
	16	productive, would be successfully and prudently completed.
1 • ∧ ⊢8 ⊢8∪ Ω	17	The ability to negotiate a market for production if
243-009	18	the well is produced, and to produce this production
L DON	19	in a prudent and workmanlike manner.
1092 • PHON	20	Q Would this also include complying with the appropriate
16.0 P.O. BOX 1	21	rules and regulations of the Oil Conservation Commission
0.0 7 4 1	22	and the appropriate statutes?
SIMMS BLDG.01	23	A Correct.
209 SIN	24	MR. STAMETS: Are there any other questions?
	25	

諸時

	1		PAGE 34
		1	CROSS EXAMINATION
		2	BY MR. HATCH:
	1	3	Q Mr. Steinhorst, you have testified that in your
		4	opinion Mr. Grace would be a capable operator, and you
	2 .	5	based your opinion on your observations of his
	نجیہ ـــدا ک ب	6	operations in six wells in the Carlsbad area, is that
	mich	7	correct?
	& mc cormick	8	A Yes, it is.
		9	Q Do any of those six wells involve compulsory pooling
			or voluntary pooling?
	mei.	11	A I really have no personal knowledge of this, but I
	lev. n	, 12	do believe there was one or two.
	dearnley, meier	soit2 soit 13	Q It is your understanding that one or two were force
		13 14 14	pooled?
			A Yes.
		.5 15 7 7 8 8 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	Q I assume then from your answer that you don't really
			have any information as to his capability of seeing
			that all working partners and overriding royalty
		0-, 18 5557 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	interests are taken care of properly?
		и. 	MR. COOLEY: I don't understand what you mean by
		2001 x0 x0 x0 x0 x0 x0 x0 x0 x0 x0 x0 x0 x0 x	"taken care of".
		6 7 21 0 7 21	Q (By Mr. Hatch) Well, Mr. Steinhorst, do you know whether
		• <mark>₹ 22</mark>	or not Mr. or Mrs. Grace, as the case may be, have paid
an a		8 SWWIS SWWIS	all royalties that are due?
		ະ ຊີ 24	A I have no personal knowledge of this. I am only aware
an a		25	

ï				35
		1		of their physical operations.
		2	Q	So your testimony as to the capability of the Graces
		3		as operators goes only to the physical and not to the
		4		paying of royalties or overriding royalties in the pool?
		ă		"that's correct.
ਂ ਮੁੱ		б	Q	Concerning the physical operations, have you observed
ŢŢ.		7		these six wells quite often?
learnley, meier & mc cormick		8	A	Yes, sir.
& M		9	Q	Is each of the six in the Carlsbad area?
eier		10	A	Yes.
Ű.		11	Q	Have you ever observed any gas being flared from any
rnley	S O	12		of these wells?
deal	XICO 87	13	A	The only time I observed any gas being flared was when
	NEW MEX	14		the field was shut in and the four point tests were
	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	15		taken.
	0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	16	Q	Are you aware of any gas being flared from any of those
ž		17	3	wells?
	243-6691 . 251 • AL	18	A	No, sir, I haven't been there all the time though.
	10 N E 2	19	Q	How often have you been there?
	092 • PT NK BL	20	A	I would say on the average of a week to ten days a month
	- 0 2 0 × 0 7 0 × 7	21		over the past several months.
	0.9 NATO.	22	Q	Have you ever observed any salt water escaping to the
	SIMMS BLDG.P.O. BOX 1092-PHONE 2. 1216 First National Bank Bldg. Ea	23		surface of the ground on any of those wells?
	209 SIMN 1216	24	A	No, other than no, I don't know of any.
	2	25	Q	Have you ever observed any salt water going into surface

ь» [

PAGE

. · · ·	F				PAGE 36
· · · · · ·			_ i		pits?
	* *		2	A	I have seen some going into surface pits well, no,
		1 51: 1:0	3		except when the well was being completed and the well
		· · ·	4		was being unloaded.
	ра н 1. 1. с.	· .	ទី	Ŷ	where was it going when the well was being unloaded?
<u></u>	5 8	×	6	A	Into the pit.
		mc cormick	7	Q ·	On which well was that?
		000	8	A	The Carlsbad Grace.
e de la construcción de la constru La construcción de la construcción d		& m	9	Q	And all of the water was going into the pit?
		dearnley, meier &	10	А	All of the water I was aware of was going into the pit,
and and a second se 4 and 4		ť Щ	11		right.
		nley °	13	Q	Where is the water going now?
		dear xico wi	13	A	The well is not being produced, it is shut in at the
		14 C 12 C 13 X	14		present time.
		Ü h Z 3 . 3 U 1	15	~	MR. HATCH: That's all the questions I have.
n na seren			16		* * * *
		A L B U	17		CROSS EXAMINATION
		13-6691	18	BY M	R. HINKLE:
		ά Li Z Q Q	19	Q	You stated that you are there a week or ten days out of
		005	20		each month, for how many months has that been?
		X O Ø	21	A	Since early March.
		0.0 0.0 0.0	2 22	Q	Then you have been to the Graces' office in Carlsbad?
		ÍS BLD	23	A	I have.
		OD SIMN	24	Q	And you have observed their operations from their office
		N	25		standpoint?

`**!**,-

			37
	1	A	I have.
	2	Q	What does their staff consist of?
	3	A	Their staff consists of themselves and two girls.
	4	Q	Do they have a full-time petroleum engineer or a
	5		superintendent or any other employees that a normal
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6		field office would have?
dearnley, meier & mc cormick	< 7	A	What do you mean, Mr. Hinkle? I have to question what
22 20	8		you mean by a normal field office.
βŪ	9	Q	Do they have a superintendent who goes out to check
eier	10		their wells from time to time or do they do it themselves
۲, m	11	-	or do they leave it to the girls?
rnle	12	A	No, they have people to do this, they don't do it
dea ""	13		themselves.
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 14	Q	How many employees do they have outside of the two girls
z بو د	i ∛ 15	-	in the office?
20 20 20	ม 0 16 ม	A	The only ones I am aware of are the gauger who operates
L A	5 17		the wells.
243-6601 =	D 17 ■ 18	Q	A gauger? They leave the gauger to operate the wells?
M ZOILO	*	A	No, other than the normal performance functions the
1092 e P	10 Yz Vz Vz Vz Vz Vz Vz Vz Vz Vz Vz Vz Vz Vz		gauger does.
×	<u>, a</u>	Q	Just a gauger?
06. • P. C	r √ 22	Α	And the normal days operations of a well.
С 2 3 Х	1210 FIRST	Q	In the case of a break-down or breakage in a pipe or
209 SIM	24		anything of that sort, do they have how do they take
	25	-	care of that?

and Marine

1 3

H

4

P

*

14 14

10

.

PAGE

			PAGE 38
		1 A	Well, they hire somebody to do it. They have an
		2	individual who is very capable of doing this for them.
		3 Q	Is he a full-time employee?
		4 A	No.
		5 V	They just have to go out and make a contract for each
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		6	job to be done, is that right?
		7 A	No, this man is available on a minute's notice to
and the second		3	assist them.
		9 Q ·	Is he on a retainer basis with them?
		0 A	I am not aware of the financial arrangements made with
	9	1	this individual.
	June A	2 Q	But that only relates to the Carlsbad area?
	dearnley, meier	A	To my knowledge, he is available and has done work in
			several areas which they have.
	z∑ .≩ 1	s Q	That is the only person of that kind that they have?
		6 A	He is the only one I am aware of.
		Q	And he does not work full time?
		A A	No.
		9	MR. HINKLE: That's all I have.
	онсон 260 261 261 261 261 272 272 272 272 272 272 272 272 272 27		MR. STAMETS: Are there any other questions of this
		witne	
n Alexandra Martin and Alexandra Martin and Alexandra	44 TIONAL		(No response)
			MR. STAMETS: If not, the witness may be excused.
	121 0 K		(Witness excused.)
n an an Arrange Anna an Arrange Anna an Arrange	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		MR. STAMETS: Do you have any further testimony,
	2	5	

٦,

	. por		1. 1. 1. 2	PAGE 39
		•	1	Mr. Cooley?
	∳e.aŭ	:	2	MR. COOLEY: No further testimony.
	\$		3	
			- 4	have sworn.
21	\$** \$	· -		
	<u></u>		.)	
	5.4	ick.	6	WILLIAM P. AYCOCK,
	↓ →	E	7	was called as a witness, and after being duly sworn, testified
	F+4	ວັ ວ	8	as follows:
	8 80	a M M	9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
		ier	10	BY MR. HINKLE:
		me	11	Q You are William P. Aycock?
·		dearnley, meier & mc cormick	12	A Yes, sir.
-		Carr.		Q And you have previously testified before the
	- and the		13	Q And you have previously testified before the Examiner?A Yes, sir.
	A langue service so	2 X X X 2 Z Z Z	14	
		¥. Шы У С О	15	Q In connection with the cases which were heard yesterday?
	∦ k ∳ ₹		16	A Yes.
			17	Q And you gave your educational background and
1		3-06910AL 570ALBUC	18	qualifications as a petroleum engineer?
		1.545. B.V.	19	A Yes, sir.
		22 • PHON	20	MR. HINKLE: Is the witness qualified?
: 		10000 10000 10000 10000		MR. STAMETS: The witness is qualified.
-		۲ 0 ۲ ۹ ۲ ۵ 0 ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲	21	dentes ab quatilieu.
		2 2 2 . 2 1 2 2 . 2 1 2 2 .	22	i with the lease ownership
	13	SIMMS BLD	23	in the East half of Section 9 and the North half of
	18	209 \$11	24	Section 10, Township 26 South, Range 24 East?
and the second secon	h		25	A Yes, sir.
				2

٩,

			40
	1	Q	What is the lease ownership as far as the East half
	2		of Section 9 is concerned?
	3	A	In the East half of Section 9, the entire working
-	4		interest is controlled by Black River Corporation with
	5		the exception of the Southeast quarter of the Northeast
×	б		quarter, which is part of the acreage which is in
rmic	7		contention in the District Court of Eddy County.
000	8	Q	Does Black River Corporation have operating rights
& m	9		under the lease from J. W. Miller that covers the
eier	10		Southeast of the Northeast of Section 9, which is in
dearnley, meier & mc cormick	° 11		question?
rnley	<u> </u>	A	Yes, sir.
deal	6 001 13	Q	Because of the pending suit?
	มัก พ.ศ. 14	A	Yes.
	zΣ ⊌⊎ 15 ⊃z	Q	In Eddy County?
		A	Yes.
		, Q ™	Now, who owns the acreage with Black River, other than
	18 		the well, in the East half of Section 9?
	1002 • PHONE 245-0001 • AL	A	In the East half of Section 9, I believe, that's
			Arapahoe. Arapahoe is one-half owner with Black River
	. × •		Corporation. I don't know whether that's in the records
			or not, but as far as the actual operation is concerned,
	21 Simms BLDG. P.O. BO Simms BLDG. P.O. BO 21210 FIRST NATIONAL 222		if Black River is the operator, Arapahoe would be one-
	20 SIMMS 1210 F 24		half interest owner,
ŕ	25	Q	Is there any agreement between Arapahoe and Black River

19 No.

4

隊後

H

and the second

9.

-				PAGE 41
.		1		as to Black River being the operator?
		2	A	Yes. Mr. Warren Taylor of Arapahoe has told me that
		3		he is completely satisfied with Black River's operations.
-	مربع	4	Q	Are you authorized to speak for Arapahoe?
		23	Ż.	105, SLI.
	<u>.</u> 	6	Q	What is the title situation in the North half of
•	mici	7		Section 10?
	mc corm	8	A	In the North half of Section 10, all of the North half
-	& mo	9		of Section 10 with the exception of the East half of
		10	-	the Northeast quarter where title to the acreage is
	earnley, meier 	11		now in contest, Black River has the Northeast of the
	nley	12		Northeast, and Black River and Arapahoe have a half
		13		interest each in the Southeast of the Northeast quarter.
	V MEXIC	14	Q	Does Black River have authority to represent all the
	uru 2∑ 	- 15		interest owners and act as operator in the North half
	ר א מש מש ח מ	16		of Section 10?
	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	17	A	Yes, sir, and the same situation applies to the entire
,	243-6691e . 45T e A L B	18		Northwest quarter of the West half of the Northeast
		19		quarter, and that is Black River's position until the
	92 • PHON	20		District Court finds otherwise. They do have operating
	. BOX 1092 NAL BANK	20		rights under the leases of Mr. J. W. Miller.
ţ.	6.● 7.0.1 7.4102.	22	Q	Now, what is the desire of Black River as far as being
	BLDG.	22		operator of the East half of Section 9 and the North
	D SIMMS BLDG 1216 FIRST	25 24		half of Section 10 is concerned?
	0 0 0		A	Well, Black River would let's put it this way: The
		25	L	

	PAGE 42
 1	only way Black River would give up its operations
 2	would be under duress by the order of this Commission
3	or some other body with jurisdiction that forces us
4	to give up our operations.
5	Q What experience has Black River had in operating wells
 6	in this area?
7	A Black River drilled the discovery well, and has drilled
8	all the additional wells with the exception of the
9	three that Cities Service drilled and are operating
10	to the north. The drilling and completion techniques
11	involved here were specifically designed by Black River
12	at great expense and a great amount of time invested
13	in them. They have obviously been very successful,
14	and this is attested to from the quality of the wells.
15	I am not aware of any other area in the Morrow formation
16	in Southeastern New Mexico with this regularity of
17	good quality wells that have resulted here.
18	Q Has Black River used any particular technique in
19	completing these wells?
20	A Yes, they have used several techniques that are unique
21	in their application. One of them involves fresh water
22	and a solid mud system, because they found that any
23	solids that invade the Morrow formation are very
23 24	detrimental to completion, and efforts must be made,
24	special efforts, to get the pipe to the bottom and cemen

L.

ся |-]

14 13

 $\mathcal{N}_{i} = \mathcal{N}_{i}$

T R F S

13

1

		PAGE 43
	1	as quickly as possible. In addition to that, the use
2	2	of such quality cement must be utilized to adequately
3	8	isolate the pay zone, but they don't use so much that
		they invade the pay zone with cement and contaminate
Ĵ		it. These have resulted in their ability to make
6	;	excellent quality wells without the use of stimulation.
7	Q	What kind of staff does Black River have in connection
8		with handling the wells which are already in operation?
9	A	Well, Mr. Forest Miller is the field geologist in charge,
10	Í	and Mr. John Berry is the field engineer, and one or
11		both of them are on location at virtually all times.
12		There is a full-time pumper who is in charge of
13		production, and besides that, El Paso Natural Gas
14		people are on the grounds at all times too.
15	Q	What kind of accounting system do you have and is in
16		operation in connection with Black River being the
17		operator of all the wells in the area?
18	A	Well, I don't have details, personal knowledge of the
19		accounting system, but Black River is suitable to
20		Arapahoe and Cities Service, and this would indicate
.21		that their performance is adequate.
22	Q	And you have no problems in this area?
23	A	The only problem we have in this area is the problem
24		surrounding the leasehold that is in contention.
25	Q	Do you have anything further you would like to bring
	۱. <u> </u>	

200 SIMMS BLDG. P.O. BOX 1002 PHONE 243-0001 ALBUQUERQUE. NEW MEXICO 17105 1210 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 17105

- 1

M

- 14

1

۰ م ب

44 before the Commission? Well, the only thing is I would like to reiterate to λ the Commission that the people involved in Black River Corporation are all professional oil people of long standing and they are all people that desire to do the right thing at all times for everyone, including conservation of natural resources and obeying to the maximum degree possible the rules of this Commission. In your opinion, because of the success Black River 0 has had in this particular area, do you think that Black River can drill and complete these wells and supervise them and maintain them as cheaply as anyone else? Yes, I think they could do it certainly as cheaply. Α An example of the contingencies that could be involved here is this is a shallow formation, and I am sure the Commission is aware that there are occasions when cavernous porosity is encountered, which would necessitate a well being drilled dry. Black River has demonstrated their ability to meet and conquer this situation and reasonable contingency costs for this alone is \$45,000.00 over and above the basic drilling and completion costs for the well. I take it you do not agree with Mr. Steinhorst's 0 testimony concerning contingencies of \$2,000.00 being

PAGE

dearnley, meier & mc cormick

ŝ

2

3

Ņ

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SIMMS BLDG. P.O. BOX 1002 PMONIE 243-6691 ALBUQUERQUE. 1 1216 First national Bank BlDG. East-Albuquerque. New 1

209

ŧŧ

	<u>1.4</u>		P.	AGE 45
	Į	.*	adequate?	
			A Well, it depends on how you look at it.	I think if
*.	- 14	3	you look at it on the average type basis of	over the
	ł.		whole thing, it might be adequate, but on	any one
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	5	individual well, you are going to have to	he prepared
		× °		
		mc cormick	these two wells because they are step-out	wells of a
		oð 9	considerable distance?	
		10 IG.	A Very definitely.	
		dearnley, meier	MR. HINKLE: That's all I have.	
			MR. STAMETS: Are there any questions	of this
			witness?	
С. С		- 00128 13 - 13 - 14	* * * *	_ ·
		zΣ ∞ → 3 15 ⊃ 2	CROSS EXAMINATION	
			BY MR. COOLEY:	
		₹ 2 17	Q Is Mr. Berry a full-time employee of Black	River
		000-512 18	Corporation or is he a consultant?	
		ш ш 2 с 19 1 Л	A He is what amounts to a full-time employee	during the
		е 260 чи 200	developing phase.	
			Q But he is a consultant just as you are your	self?
			A Yes.	2
		ມ ຜູ້ຊີ ມີ 23	Q And just as Mr. Steinhorst is to Mr. Grace?	
		WWW15 002 24	A Well, I don't have any knowledge of what Mr.	. Steinhorst
	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	25	is to Mr. Grace.	
		н И		

				PAGE 46
:	•	1	Q	You heard his testimony that he is a consultant?
		2	λ	Yes.
		3	Q	And you are a consultant and Mr. Berry is a consultant
-		4	-	to Black River Corporation?
· · · ·		5		Tes.
-¥		б	Q	And the El Paso pipe line people would be available
rmic		7		to anybody operating wells, that is the normal operation
000		8		for them, to provide field personnel in connection with
s m		9		gas wells?
dearnley, meier & mc cormick		10	A	Not in all cases, but it is a frequent occurrence.
/, m		11	Q	You do not mean to imply that the El Pasc people would
'nley	3 80	12		be available to Black River and would not be available
dear	XICO 871 87108	13		to Mr. Grace?
	¥ ∑ No No	14	A	I have no idea what El Paso's relationship is with
	₩ 2 2 3 4 4 2 2 2 2	15		Mr. Grace.
	0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	16	Q	You do not mean they wouldn't be available to any
	2 8	17		operator other than Black River Corporation?
	● PHONIE 243-6691 • ALE BLDG, KAST • ALBUQU	18	A	I'm not applying anything, all I am telling you is I
	10N (F 2, DG. FA	19		know'they are available to Black River Corporation.
	1092 - PHONIC 3 BANK BLDG. R	20	Q	You testified that to your knowledge, Black River has
		21		always obeyed the rules and regulations of the Oil
	6	22		Conservation Commission.
	10 1 S 0 F	23	A	To the greatest degree that an individual situation
<u>.</u>	209 SIMMS	24		will allow. I believe we all recognize that there are
		25		occasions when decisions have to be made on the ground

f 1

「「「

18

tor 4

					PAGE 47
			1		and formal clearance cannot be requested from the
-			2		regulatory body when an instant decision has to be made.
			3	Q	Do you consider moving on to a location, a well
		. 2	4		location, before obtaining authorized permits to drill
24 1			5		on federal land from the U.S.G.S. to be in compliance
			6		with the rules and regulations?
1	Imicl		7	A	I think it was testified to yesterday yes, I would
	dearnley, meier & mc cormick		8	1	consider it proper under the conditions that the U.S.G.S.
al car	₩ 8		9		has outlined that as long as a federal lease is in
n an Ar	eier 8		10		effect, they would approve the drilling of a well. I
	ш Х	1	11		think under these conditions, yes.
and the second		103	12	Q	Are you aware that Black River moved onto the West half
Shilly, Streety	dearr	XICO 61 57108	13		of Section 4 and made a location and drilled a rat hole
an a		NEW ME MEXICO	× 14 × 15		prior to having their application to drill approved
See See See		2.2 			by the U.S.G.S.?
ni tasa et		8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	16	A	I am not aware of it, but it is a common occurrence
all to Alter		1●ALBU LBUQUE	17		throughout the industry.
and a second		243-569 AST + A	18	Q	Are you aware of the fact that the U.S.G.S., when it
		PHONE: PLOG. E	19		became aware of this, that they instructed Black River
n in chaile i		1092 •	20		to cease and desist?
Sec. Ash.		O. BOX	21	`A	I am not aware of that.
والمراجعة والموالية المراجع		.00. P.	22	Q	But you don't deny it?
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		SIMMS BL	23	A	I don't have any personal knowledge of it at all, Mr.
तेन्द्र के व्यक्तित		209 SIM 121	24		Cooley. I cannot affirm or deny it.
a garada ya ya sa			25		MR. COOLEY: I have no further questions.
, ¹ 2, 22, µ3,					

\$,

1 CROSS EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. HATCH: 3 0 Mr. Aycock, Black River does not at the present time 4 plan to drill a well in the East halt of Section 9 5 or the North half of Section 10, do they? б dearnley, meier & mc cormick No, sir. I think, obviously, they would like to have А 7 this title question settled, and I think that if it is 8 settled successfully in a manner favorable to J. W. 9 12 lŧ Miller, then Black River will then proceed to want 10 to drill a well as quickly as possible in the North H 11 1 à half of Section 10, but at the present time, they have 12 NEW MEXICO 87103 MEXICO 87108 no plans to develop either portion of the properties. 13 MR. HATCH: That's all I have. 14 15 : :)092 = PHONE: 243-6591 = ALBUQUERAC BANK BLDG, EAST = ALBUQUERQUEC, CROSS EXAMINATION 1 16 BY MR. STAMETS: 17 ۱., Mr. Aycock, it has previously been testified to that Q 18 Black River was contacted in an effort to voluntarily Ï 19 communitize in this area. Could Black River logically 20 £1 do that? ۲ ⊳ ۲ 21 NATION No, Black River did not reply to the request that the Α 22 Graces sent for voluntary communitization on the advice 23 of counsel. Black River's counsel felt this could 24 200 affect their rights so Black River did not reply. 25

PACE

	. 1		PAGE A O
	1	- -	PAGE 49
		1	Q Regardless of the outcome of this case, you have no
		2	proposal to drill a well in either section?
		3	A Not at this time, no.
-		4	MR. STAMETS: I believe that's all I have. Are
nga nga sagangan sa sa sa sa sa sa sa sa	n e M N Bren in Ling	n 1997 - San	there any additional questions of the witness?
		6	* * * *
	nick	7	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
	COL	8	BY MR. HINKLE:
n Maria	e e	•	Q As soon as this lawsuit is concluded and in the event
	6	9	
	eier	10	J. W. Miller and Black River should be successful, do
	E	11	you then know whether Black River has any intention
	nley	a 12	of drilling wells in Sections 9 and 10?
	dearnley, meier & mc cormicl	0.0 13	A Black River desires to develop the North half of Section
		28 000 14	10 as soon as this matter is resolved, and at such time
		×× ∠ × ⊌⊎ 15	as the North half of Section 10 is developed, the
		5 Z 2 J 2 J 2 J 2 J 2 J 2 J 2 J 2 J 2 J 2 J	information that will become available then, from that
	20 20 20 101		information, a decision would be made as to whether or
		A L B .	not they would develop the East half of Section 9.
		* 18 * 18 * *	Q Black River desires then that a well in the North half
			of Section 10 be first drilled before deciding whether
		X 20	a well should be drilled over in Section 9?
an Alain		• z 22	A Yes, sir.
		1210 FIRST N	MR. HINKLE: That's all I have.
		www.s 00 24	* * * *
		Ň	
		25	
an a			
n Le constantes de la consta Le constantes de la consta			

. .

CROSS EXAMINATION 1 BY MR. STAMETS: 2 I would like it made clear, just briefly outlined, Q 3 as to why Black River does not want to be force pooled in the East half of Section 9, because in this case, 5 there is a very small portion of acreage which is still 6 dearnley, meier & mc cormick contested. 7 Basically, Mr. Examiner, the reason revolves around Α 8 our interpretation of risk. We feel that the risk is 9 much lower in Section 10 of having an unsuccessful well 10 than it is in the East half of Section 9. 11 If the Applicant in this case force mooled you and 12 64 chose to carry the full risk, your complete risk in 13 this case would seem to be minimal. 14 Black River doesn't look at it that way. As I mentioned 15 previously, without going into detail, Black River 16 would prefer to be the operator if at all possible, and 17 3 they believe they have demonstrated their capability 18 to drill and complete wells in this area at a reasonable 19 cost, and they feel that in their own best interest, Ì. SIMMS BLOG. P.O. BOX 1092. 20 they would prefer to be the operator. 21 MR. STAMETS: Are there any other questions? 1 22 23 CROSS EXAMINATION 24 200 BY MR. HATCH: h 25

	, 				PAGE 5 <u>1</u>
				1 0	Does Black River oppose forced pooling altogether at
	**			2	this time, or do they only oppose the Graces being
	۲ • ۲			3	named as operators?
				A	I think our position is this, Mr. Hatch. We oppose
		1. 1. 7. 4	د ود سه مسه در بو بو او او	\$	pouling we have because we don't see, if the matter
	1	ے۔ بچ	-	5	before the District Court turns out in favor of Mr.
		rmic	- 4	7	Miller, we don't see how it will be necessary to force
	e de la	000	1	3	pool. We believe it could be done on a voluntary basis.
		s m	. 9		The Graces have testified that their only interest in
		eier a	1(,	these two pieces of property revolve around this
		learnley, meier & mc cormick	11	L	lawsuit that is now under consideration. It would not
	ę.	nley.	s 12		be common sense to say we believe something ought to
		dear	\$2108 \$2108		be force pooled when it may not be necessary once this
C,			жо жо жо жо хо 14		matter is concluded.
			2 2 3 1 2 1	5	MR. HATCH: That's all I have.
			8 8 9 7 7 8 1 1 7 8	5	MR. STAMETS: Are there any other questions of
1				this	; witness?
			12 233-0091 - ALBUG		(No response)
			• РНОИП 24		MR. STAMETS: If not, the witness may be excused.
7			Hd • 2601 ×		(Witness excused.)
			× × 0 J © ₹ 2	L	MR. HINKLE: I renew at this time my motion for
				1	smissal of these two cases. I think that it is eminently
<u>,</u>			SIMMS BLDG.	1	ir by the statements of counsel and by all of the evidence
			209 SIMM 1216 5		e that there is a question, a real question, as to the
:			~ 2	1	le the Graces have to these leases which they claim in

 $\left\{ {}^{2}_{2}\right\}$

٦.,

Sections 9 and 10. They do not have any other leasehold 1 interests except those in question, and I do not believe 2 the Commission would have authority to enter an order of 3 forced pooling under these circumstances until they are 4 able to show that they have title and that they can 5 immediately drill on this acreage. I think the critical 6 time for determination is now at the time of this hearing 7 rather than waiting for several months to find out how the 8 lawsuit comes out, because conditions change in these things, 9 and I don't think Black River should be required to sit here 10 and wait for several months until this lawsuit has been 11 determined or decided before doing anything about it. I 12 think now is the time to decide this thing, to dismiss these 13 cases, and then when the lawsuit is determined, if it is 14 determined in favor of Mr. Miller, it will work out as Mr. 15 Aycock has testified, and there probably will be voluntary 16 pooling. If the Graces win, they will have no problem of 17 coming in, if they think forced pooling is necessary to 18 perfect their unit, and go ahead and drill the well. But 19 I just don't think the Commission has the authority under 20 these circumstances where title is in question because the 21 Commission does not have the authority to determine title, 22 and this does involve a real case of title. 23

52

PAGE

24 24 stipulated yesterday when the cases were first called that 25

SIMMS BLDG.A P.O. BOX 1092+PHONE 243-6691+ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 57103 1216 FIRST NATIONAL ISANK BLDG. EAST+ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 57155

209

dearnley, meier a mc cormick

there would be no order entered in this case until such 1 time as the question of title has been resolved. If it 2 is resolved in favor of Mr. Grace, as I have indicated 3 several times, the resolution will be such that it would be Tetroactive back to June 23rd, 1972, and it will then appear 3 that at the time of this hearing that Mr. Grace did have the right to drill.

MR. STAMETS: The actions of yesterday did not 8 eliminate the Commission's alternative of dismissing the 9 cases today. The evidence developed here indicates that 10 that was the proper thing to do. We only resolved the question 11 of whether or not we would hear the testimony, and I would 12 like a few minutes for a conference with counsel, and we will 13 rule on Mr. Hinkle's motion. 14

(Whereupon Mr. Stamets conferred with Mr. Hatch.) MR. STAMETS: The hearing will come to order, please. Mr. Hinkle, the Examiner will overrule your motion and take the case under advisement for either the entering of an order denying the application or for just holding the cases open until such time as the Court case has been decided.

MR. COOLEY: I would at this time offer into evidence Exhibits One, Two, Three, and Four.

> MR. STAMETS: Are there any objections? MR. HINKLE: NO.

MR. STAMETS: Exhibits One through Four will be

dearnlev, meier & mc cormic MEXI ICO 8 BLDG. ANKO

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

514MS

209

4

б



				PAGE 55
n An An Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna An				STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss
		•	2 (COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)
			3	I, RICHARD E. McCORMICK, a Certified Shorthand
		nana an sao La sao sa sao	4 5	Reporter, in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of
• • • •			1	New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and
میں ہے۔ ایک ایک میں میں میں ہیں جار سے ایک ایک میں ایک		Jic	6 7	attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil
· · · · ·		mc cormick		Conservation Commission was reported by me; and that the
n. 		mc (٤	same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings
		00		to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
		meis	10	
		dearniey, meier	11	DA LORA D
- 2 .		arn.	12	CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
ан С 1			13	
		~	14	
		ся. С. К. С. С. К. С. К. С. К. С. К. С. С. К. С. С. К. С.	15	
	22	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	16	
		691 e A L 6 A L B U C	17	
		EC 243-0 EAST4	18	
		• PHON	19	
		X 1092	20	a series de la companya de la compa La companya de la comp La companya de la com
		P.O. 86	21	
		8 4 0 0. 8 4 2 0	22	t do twenty sortify that the foresting the a database month of see proceedings in the Existent bearing of them to. 4767-4
		SIMMS 8	23	acard of 100 on fright 19.72
		0007	24	Her Hexico Oil Conservation Constitution
			25	
•			1942) 1947 - 1947 - 1947 1947 - 1947 - 1947	
e de la companya de l				

`L

1	<u>I</u> <u>N</u> <u>D</u> <u>E</u> <u>X</u>	
2	WITNESS	PAGE
3	RICHARD STEINHORST	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Cooley	4
5	Cross Examination by Mr. Hinkle	
6	Cross Examination by Mr. Stamets	16
7	Cross Examination by Mr. Hatch	17
8	CORINNE GRACE	
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Cooley	18
10	Cross Examination by Mr. Hinkle	21
11	Cross Examination by Mr. Hatch	23
12	Cross Examination by Mr. Stamets	25
13	Redirect Examination by Mr. Cooley	26
14	RICHARD STEINHORST (recalled)	
15	Direct Examination by Mr. Cooley	27
16	Cross Examination by Mr. Hinkle	30
17	Cross Examination by Mr. Stamets	33
18	Cross Examination by Mr. Hatch	34
19	Cross Examination by Mr. Hinkle	36
20	WILLIAM P. AYCOCK	
21	Direct Examination by Mr. Hinkle	39
22	Cross Examination by Mr. Cooley	45
23	Cross Examination by Mr. Hatch	43
24	Cross Examination by Mr. Stamets	48
25	Redirect Examination by Mr. Hinkle	49

dearniey, meier & mc cormick

H

H

k



INDEX (Continued)	
WITNESS	PAGE
Cross Examination by Mr. Stamets	50
Cross Examination by Mr. Hatch	50

<u>E X H I B I T S</u>

EXHIBIT		OFFERED	
Grace Exhibit #1	Acreage plat	54	5
Grace Exhibit #2	Sketch map	54	9
Grace Exhibit #3	Photograph	54	12
 Grace Exhibit #4	Photograph	54	12

209 SIMMS BLDG.0 P.O. BOX 1092.0 PHONE 243-66910 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87135 1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST 0 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

dearnley, meier & mc cormick

情味

PAGE


「「大学」の「「「

1044 A.

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO P. O. BOX 2088 - SANTA FE 87501

December 5, 1974

I. R. TRUJILLO CHAIRMAN

LAND COMMISSIONER ALEX J. ARMIJO MEMBER

STATE GEOLOGIST A. L. PORTER, JR. SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

Mr. William J. Cooley Burr & Cooley Attorneys at Law 152 Petroleum Center Building Farmington, New Mexico 87401

Re: CASE NO. 4767 and 4768 ORDER NO. R-4926 and R-4927

Applicant:

Alice Ballard, Amelia Miller, Thurman Mayes, & John A. Mayes

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Very truly yours,

Z. Porter, G. K.

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

ALP/ir

Copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCC	x
Artesia OCC	X
Aztec OCC	

Other Max Coll, 3220 Draxton SW, Albuquerque, N.M. 87105

Mr. Clarence Hinkle, Roswell, N. M.

`**.**

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 4767 Order No. R-4926

APPLICATION OF ALICE BALLARD, AMELIA MILLER, THURMAN MAYES, AND JOHN A. MAYES FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on July 12, 1972, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Richard L. Stamets.

NOW, on this <u>3rd</u> day of December, 1974, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That this case involves the compulsory pooling of the E/2 of Section 9, Township 26 South, Range 24 Bast, adjacent to the Washington Ranch-Morrow Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.

(3) That at the time of the hearing the ownership of the acreage involved in this application was unclear and the subject of litigation.

(4) That over two years have passed and no representation has been made to the Commission as to the resolution of this title dispute.

(5) That whenever the title is clearly vested in any party, that party may file such further application for Oil Conservation Commission actions as are appropriate.

(6) That the application should be dismissed.

-2-Case No. 4767 Order No. R-4926

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That Case No. 4767 is hereby dismissed without prejudice.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.



SEAL

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

1 1

I.R. TRUJILLO, Chairman

ALEX J. ARMIJO, Member Lo L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

dr/

Examiner-Hearing - Wednesday July 12, 1972

(Case 4763 continued)

Also to be considered will be the costs of drilling said well, a charge for the risk involved, a provision for the allocation of actual operating costs, and the establishment of charges for supervision of said well.

CASE 4764: Application of Black River Corporation for compulsory pooling, and non standard proration unit, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Morrow formation underlying the W/2 of Section 3, Township 26 South, Range 24 East, adjacent to the Washington Ranch-Morrow Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, comprising, approximately, a 407.20-acre non-standard proration unit. Said acreage to be dedicated to its Cities "3" Federal Well No. 1 located 1980 feet from the North line and 1980 feet from the West line of said Section 3.

> Also to be considered will be the costs of drilling said well, a charge for the risk involved, a provision for the allocation of actual operating costs, and the establishment of charges for supervision of said well.

CASE 4765: Application of Alice Ballard, Amelia Miller, Thurman Mayes, John A. Mayes for compulsory pooling and non-standard proration unit, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicants, in the above-styled cause, seek an order pooling all mineral interests underlying the W/2 of Section 3, Township 26 South, Range 24 East, adjacent to the Washington Ranch-Morrow Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico comprising, approximately, a 407.20-acremon-standard proration unit. Said acreage to be dedicated to a well to be drilled 1980 feet from the South line and 1980 feet from the West line of said Section 3.

> Also to be considered will be the costs of drilling said well, a charge for the risk involved, a provision for the allocation of actual operating costs, and the establishment of charges for supervision of said well.

CASE 4766:

66: Application of Michael P. Grace and Corinne Grace for compulsory pooling and a non-standard proration unit, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicants, in the above-styled cause, seek an order pooling all mineral interests underlying the W/2 of Section 4, Township 26 South, Range 24 East, adjacent to the Washington Ranch-Morrow Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico comprising approximately a 402-acre non-standard proration unit. Said acreage to be dedicated to a well to be drilled 1980 feet from the North line and 1980 feet from the West line of said Section 4. Also to be considered will be the costs of drilling said well, a charge for the risk involved, a provision for the allocation of charges for supervision of said well.

4767: Application of Alice Ballard, Amelia Miller, Thurman Mayes, and John A. Mayes for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicants, in the above-styled cause, seek an order pooling all mineral interests underlying the E/2 of Section 9, Township 26 South, Range 24 East, adjacent to the Washington Ranch-Morrow Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico. Said acreage to be dedicated to a well to be drilled 1980 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the East line of said Section 9.

CASE 4767:

Examiner Hearing - Wednesday July 12, 1972 -4Docket No. 15-72

(Case 4767 continued)

Also to be considered will be the costs of drilling said well, a charge for the risk involved, a provision for the allocation and actual operating costs, and the establishment of charges for supervision of said well.

CASE 4768:

Application of Alice Ballard, Amelia Miller, Thurman Mayes, and John A. Mayes for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicants, in the above-styled cause, seek an order pooling all mineral interests underlying the N/2 of Section 10, Township 26 South, Range 24 East, adjacent to the Washington Ranch-Morrow Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico. Said acreage to be dedicated to a well to be drilled 660 feet from the North line and 1980 feet from the West line of said Section 10.

Also to be considered will be the costs of drilling said well, a charge for the risk involved, a provision for the allocation of actual operating costs, and the establishment of charges for supervision of said well.

CASE 4723: (THIS CASE WILL BE CONTINUED TO THE FIRST EXAMINER HEARING IN JANUARY, 1973).

Application of Black River Corporation for pool abolishment, creation of two new gas pools, and a dual completion, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the abolishment of the Washington Ranch-Morrow Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, and the creation of the Washington Ranch-Upper Morrow and Washington Ranch-Lower Morrow Gas Pools for the production of gas from the upper and lower Morrow formations. Applicant further seeks approval of the dual completion (conventional) of its Cities 3 Federal Well No. 1 located in Unit F of Section 3, Township 26 South, Range 24 East, to produce the lower Morrow through tubing and the upper Morrow through the casing-tubing annulus. OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION P. O. BOX 2088 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501

Cree 4767

June 23, 1972

Mr. Martin L. Allday 201 Wall Towers East Midland, Texas 79701 Dear Mr. Allday:

 \bigcap



In accordance with our telephone conversacion of this date, please find enclosed copies of the four applications we discussed.

Very truly yours,

GEORGE M. HATCH Attorney

GMH/dr enclosure

한 전기

Memo Grom R.-L. STAMETS Technical Support Chief 50 Applicant changed From Bullard et al To Michel P. Grace II & Corinne Grace at hearing

Oil Conservation Commission - Santa Fe, New Mexico

1

Project			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Mayes-Ballard		
Linu	2 El 01 9	26 South	24 East
 Eddy			

Nev Mexico

بنهريهم

•	•		
		2.1.21	

- 1-

٩,

• • •

WORK DETAIL	ULETIES OF (A) PA CASH OUTLAY	TE DRY HOLE ACTUAL
	NECESSARY	TE DRY HOLE I ACTUAL DEDUCT ANUCHTS COST
INTANGIBLES	SINGLE	DUAL (aud)
STATION FOR RDAD		
Survey and Permit	420	
	1,2001	
Sufface Jampes	250	non a companya da contra contra contra contra de la contra La contra de la contr
Fencine-Filling Pits-Cleanup	<u> </u>	
RELEINS THRNATY		
	57,600	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Cave Ria time at		Case No. 4767
Drill-Plaarloot Santal		
		Exhibit No.
5119-21		WELL COST &
Raemer Cuttors		COMPLETION ESTIMATE
Care Barrei-Core Head Reptal		
ASING CEVENTHIS	2,000	
CHEZE CEMENTING OR PLUGGING		
LOSSING SIDTWALL CORING Loc Suite	3,500	
AND AVELVEIS	1,400	1 400 1
CRMATION VESTINGS D.S.T. 's ERFCRATING	2,000	1,400
CIDIZING CAR FRAC	2,000	1,000
PEDIAL RIG COMPLETING		
TEER SERVICES Walding, etc.	400	
TRUCKING	1,000	
GEOLOGY	1,000	
Engineering	2,000	500
Labor	400 1	
Lecoinc Unit	1 1	
TOOL and EQUIPMENT RENTAL	1,500	
TER and FUEL	1,000	
USING and SUPPLIES	1,000 !	
RILLING MUD and CHEMICALS	8,000 :	· i
CMPANY SUPERVISION XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	1,600	
CVTINGEVOTES 5x	3,200	
For Dual Completion - add 928,900.		
		·····
Sigole Completion TOTAL INTANGIBLE	\$ 89,645	
Dus: Completion TOTAL INTANSIBLE	<u>, του, ο45</u>	
A TANA A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		2,900
TOMO I DUFS	1	······································
AS INCLICTIONOTOR		
ASIME. SUBSACE XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	2,080	
ISING, PROTECTION BIGOT of 9 5/84		
451MG. PRODUCTION XXXXXXXXXXXX 72001 of 5-1/2"	26,350	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
AS ELSU OTFER BURF CEAR	1 500 -1	1
05/113 - Xaxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	<u> </u>	1s,000_!
	2,300	
Flow Lines & Surface Equipment	15,000	15,000.
ELL NEAD ECHIP ANT	<u>i 10 070 l</u>	
alla (alta) en una alta. Ostra da da la dura da	10,000	5,000
Siggid Corriginal Anthropological States		<u> </u>
Single Coppletion TOTAL ESTIMATED WELL COST	154,725	28,000
- Sector and the sector of	2071160	1. 18/./23

;



ئۇر

duran e mar



Michael P. Grace II Corinne Grace P. O. BOX 2062 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87801

June 22, 1972 Case 4767

Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr. Secretary-Director New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, N. M. 87501

Dear Mr. Porter:

BAST .

1

We hereby request a hearing to force pool the following area in Township 26 South, Range 24 East; adjacent to the Washington Ranch Morrow Gas Pool in Eddy County:

East half of Section 9, Township 26 South, Range 24 East, for a well to be drilled 660 feet from the East line and 1980 feet from the North line, Mayes-Ballard Well No. 2, Corinne Grace, Operator.

Very truly yours,

CORINNE GPACE

Doroth By___ rve

Agent for Alice Ballard Amelia Miller Thurman Mayes John A. Mayes



DRAFT

GMH/dr

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

4767 CASE No. Order No. R-____

APPLICATION OF ALICE BALLARD, AMELIA MILLER, THURMAN MAYES, AND JOHN A. MAYES FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on July 12 , 192, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Richard L. Stamets.

NOW, on this <u>day of July</u>, 192, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicants, Alice Ballard, Amelia Miller, Thurman Mayes, and John A. Mayes, seek an order pooling all mineral interests in the Morrow formation underlying the E/2 of Section 9, Township 26 South, Range 24 East, NMPM, Washington Ranch-Morrow Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico. -2-CASE NO. 4767 Order No. R-

(3) That the applicants have the right to drill and plan to drill a well at a location 1980 feet from the North line and 660 feet-from the East line of said Section 9 to the Morrow formation.

(4) That there are interest owners in the proposed proration unit who have not agreed to pool their interests.

(5) That the evidence indicates that approximately_____ acres in the E/2 of said Section 9 is not productive of gas from the Morrow formation.

(6) That the evidence indicates that approximately _____ acres in the E/2 of said Section 9 is productive of gas from the Morrow formation.

(7) That to avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, to protect correlative rights, and to afford to the owner of each interest in the E/2 of said Section 9 the opportunity to recover or receive without unnecessary expense his just and fair share of the gas in said pool, all mineral interest, whatever they may be in the Morrow formation underlying the E/2 of said Section 9 should be pooled.

(8) That the applicant should be designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(9) That any non-consenting working interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production.

(10) That any non-consenting working interest owner that does not pay his share of estimated well costs should have withheld from production his share of the reasonable well costs plus an additional 25% thereof as a reasonable charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well. -3-CASE NO. 4767 Order No. R-

(11) That any non-consenting interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to object to the actual well costs but that said actual well costs should be adopted as the reasonable well costs in the absence of such objection.

(12) That following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs should pay to the operator any amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and should receive from the operator any amount that paid estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

(13) That \$100.00 per month should be fixed as a reasonable charge for supervision (combined fixed rates) for the subject well; that the operator should be authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such supervision charge attributable to each non-consenting working interest, and in addition thereto, the operator should be authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of actual expenditures required for operating the subject well, not in excess of what are reasonable, attributable to each non-consenting working interest.

(14) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason should be placed in escrow to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

`ŧ.,

(1) That all mineral interest, whatever they may be, in the Morrow formation underlying the E/2 of Section 9, Township 26 South, Range 24 East, NMPM, Washington Ranch-Morrow Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, are hereby pooled to form a standard 320-4-CASE NO. 4767 Order No. R-

٠.

acre gas proration unit to be dedicated to a well to be located 1980 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the East line of said Section 9.

(2) That Alice Ballard, Amelia Miller, Thurman Mayes, and John A. Mayes are hereby designated the operators of the subject well and unit.

(3) That the operators shall furnish the Commission and each known working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized schedule of estimated well costs within 30 days following the date of this order.

(4) That within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him, any non-consenting working interest owner shall have the right to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production, and that any such owner who pays his share of estimated well costs as provided above shall remain liable for operating costs but shall not be liable for risk charges.

(5) That the operators shall furnish the Commission and each known working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized schedule of actual well costs within 30 days following completion of the well; that if no objection to the actual well costs is received by the Commission, and the Commission has not objected within 60 days following completion of the well, the actual well costs shall be the reasonable well costs; provided however, that if there is an objection to actual well costs within said 60-day period, the Commission will determine reasonable well costs after public notice and hearing.

(6) That within 30 days following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner that -5-CASE NO. 4767 Order No. R-

has paid his share of estimated costs in advance as provided above shall pay to the operator his pro rata share of the amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and shall receive from the operator his pro rata share of the amount that estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

(7) That the operator is hereby authorized to withhold the following costs and charges from production:

- (A) The pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him.
- (B) As a charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well, 25% of the pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him.

(8) That the operator shall distribute said costs and charges withheld from production to the parties who advanced the well costs.

(9) That \$100.00 per month is hereby fixed as a reasonable charge for supervision (combined fixed rates) for the subject well; that the operator is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such supervision charge attributable to each non-consenting working interest, and in addition thereto, the operator is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of actual expenditures required for operating the subject well, not in excess of what are reasonable, attributable to each non-consenting working interest. -6-CASE NO. 4767 Order No. R-

(10) That any unsevered mineral interest shall be considered a seven-eighths (7/8) working interest and a one-eighth (1/8) royalty interest for the purpose of allocating costs and charges under the terms of this order.

(11) That any well costs or charges which are to be paid out of production shall be withheld only from the working interests' share of production, and no costs or charges shall be withheld from production attributable to royalty interests.

(12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason shall be placed in escrow in Eddy County, New Mexico, to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership; that the operator shall notify the Commission of the name and address of said escrow agent within 90 days from the date of this order.

(13) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.



244

۴,

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 4767

Order No. R-5

APPLICATION OF ALICE BALLARD, AMELIA MILLER, THURMAN MAYES, AND JOHN A. MAYES FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

you

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on July 12 , 1972 at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Richard L. Stamets

NOW, on this <u>day of December</u>, 1974, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That this case involves the compulsory pooling of the E/2 of Section 9, Township 26 South, Range 24 East, adjacent to the Washington Ranch-Morrow Gas Pool, Eddy County, New

Mexico.

-2-Case No. 4767 Order No. R-

at the time of the bearing (3) That/the ownership of the acreage involved in this application was unclear and the subject of litigation.

(4) That over two years have passed and no representation has been made to the Commission as to the resolution of this title dispute.

(5) That whenever the title is clearly vested in any party, that party may file such further application for Oil Conservation Commission actions as are appropriate.

(6) That the application should be dismissed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That Case No. 4767 is hereby dismissed.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

CASE 4768: Application of BALLARD, MILLER, MAYES & MAYES FOR COMPUL-SORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY

. .

٦,