CASE 4992: PLUGGING CASE (LEA) CORINNE GRACE - RANGER LAKE WELLS NOS. 1 & 3

 ζ_{i_1}

A 10 M

CASE No. LIQQCApplication, Trans cripts, Small EKhibts

page 2 BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COM TSSION 2 MORGAN HALL, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 3 June 20, 1973 5 IN THE MATTER OF: б dearnley, meier & associates The hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Corinne Grace 7 and the Travelers Indemnity Company and all other interested parties 8 to appear and show cause why the Ranger Lake Wells Nos. 1 and 3 Case No. 4992 9 located in Unit N of Section 11, Township 12 South, Range 34 East, íÛ Lea County, New Mexico should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance €., with a Commission-approved plugging 11 program. 12 XICD, 871 87108 -13 IN THE MATTER OF: Mex CO a 14 The hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Corinne Grace 15 and the Travelers Indemnity Company and all other interested parties to appear and show cause why the Case No. 4993 16 T. P. State Well No. 1 located in 17 Unit A of Section 17, Township 10 South, Range 33 East, Lea County, 18 New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program. 19 5 2301 20 BEFORE: State Geologist, A. L. Porter, Jr., Secretary-Director 80× 80× 21 I. R. Trujillo, Member 22 23 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 24 209 25

 $\{ \cdot \}_{i=1}^{n}$

The hearing will come to order, please. MR. PORTER: 1 Case 4992. 2 MR. CARR: Case 4992: In the matter of the hearing 3 called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion 4 to permit Corinne Grace and the Travelers Indemnity Company 5 and all other interested parties to appear and show cause б why the Ranger Lake Wells Nos. 1 and 3 located in Unit N 7 of Section 11, Township 12 South, Range 34 East, Lea County, 8 New Mexico should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance 9 with a Commission-approved plugging program. 10 We have requested that Cases 4992 and 4993 be 11 consolidated for the purpose of testimony. 12 MR. LYONS: No objection, we concur. 13 MR. PORTER: Is anyone opposed to the consolidation 14 of these cases? 15 (No response) 16 MR. PORTER: Cases 4992 and 4993 will be 17 consolidated for the purpose of taking testimony. 18 MR. CARR: Case 4993: In the matter of the hearing 19 called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion 20 to permit Corinne Grace and the Travelers Indemnity Company 21 and all other interested parties to appear and show cause 22 why the T. P. State Well No. 1 located in Unit A of Section 23 17, Township 10, South, Range 33 East, Lea County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance 24 25

dearnley, meier & associates

NEW MEXICO 87103 MEXICO 87108

EAST + AL BUQUERQUE.

PHONE 243-6691

BLDG.

× oa

51MM5 1 1216 F1 PAGE 3

				PAGE 4
		1	with	a Commission-approved plugging program.
		2		MR. PORTER: You may call your first witness.
		3		* * *
		4		JOSEPH D. RAMEY,
		5	was	called as a witness, and after being duly sworn according
	· · · · · ·	6	to l	aw, testified as follows:
iate	2	7		DIRECT EXAMINATION
SUC	e de la companya de la	8	BY M	R. CARR:
200	5 5	9	Q.	Will you state your name and position for the record,
ier s	5	10		please?
- du		11	A	Joe D. Ramey, supervisor of the Commission's District
learnlev meier e acenciates		12		One Office in Hobbs, New Mexico.
dear	87108	13	Q	Does District One include Lea County, New Mexico?
		14	A	Yes.
	2 ¥ ₩₩ > 2	15	Q	And the portion of that county involved in this case?
,	3 3 7 7	16	Α	Yes. It includes all of Lea County.
<i>(</i> 1	• •	17	Q	Do your duties include making recommendations to the
* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	43-6691 5T+AL	18		Commission as to when a well should be plugged and
	1002 • PHONE 243-6601 Ank BLDG. EAST•AL	19		abandoned?
	1092 • P	20	A	Yes
		21	Q	Are you familiar with the subject matter of Case 4992?
£.:		22	A	Yes.
	SIMMS BLDG 1216 FIRST	23	Q	What is the purpose of this case?
	209 SIMA 1210	24	A	This is what I term a show cause hearing to present
		25		the opportunity for Corinne Grace to show cause why

-	-			- 5			PAGE 5
			1		the Ranger Lake W	ells 1 and 3 should no	ot be plugged.
			2		And also in the n	ext case, to show caus	se why the T. P.
••			3		State Well No. 1	should not be plugged	•
			4	Q	Are you familiar	with the wells involve	ed in these cases?
		· · •	5	A	Yes.		
· · ·	S	·	6	Q	Have you reviewed	all the reports on f	ile with the
	ate		7		Commission concer	ning these wells?	
	associa		8	A	Yes, I have. Now	, I have not personal	ly visited the
-	& as		9		well sites in qui	te some time, but per	sonnel from the
	5. 1 - 1	-	10	2 	Hobbs office has	visited the wells wit	hin the past week.
1	dearnley, meier		11	Q	Do you have the v	vell records with you?	, *
	nley	60	12	A	Yes.		
	dear	7108	13	Q	Would you please	refer to the records,	and give the
	4 - -	X Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z	14		Commission a his	tory of the wells as r	reflected in
ر ب رور د	:	с. Х С С С С С	15		the records?		
net.		20 E 8 0.	16	A	The first well,	the Corinne Grace Rang	ger Lake Well
*		A L BUQI BUQUER	17	1	No. 1, filed a N	otice of Intent to Dr:	ill Form, and
्रम्म २० ०००४ व्		3-66910 51 • AL	18		the C-101 was ap	proved in August of 1	966 for a well
	· · · · ·	HONE 243-	19		to be located 66	0 feet from the south	line and 1980
		1092 - PHON Ank BLDG.	20		feet from the we	st line of Section 11	, Township 12
		а × К О К Х	21		South, Range 34	East, Lea County, New	Mexico.
		6.0 P.O.	22		The well wa	as drilled to 10,407 f	eet into the
· •		BLD	23		Pennsylvanian fo	ormation, and plugged	back to a total
 1		209 SIMMS 1216 F	24		depth of 10,406	feet. The well is on	11 3/4 inch
		Ň	25		casing set at 1),371 with 400 sacks c	of cement and
			* ~				the second s

		PAGE 6
1		4 1/2 inch casing at 10,406. It was cemented with
2		400 sacks, and the well was completed on 10/26/66 from
3		perforations of 9,934 to 9,998. It flowed 352 barrels
4		of oil and 28 barrels of water
5		MR. PORTER: What was the date of completion?
6		THE WITNESS: October 26th, 1966.
7	A	(Continuing) The well produced an accumulative total
8	-	of 58,731 barrels with the last production being
9		December of 1968 at a rate of 196 barrels of oil and
10		15,680 barrels of water for the month of December.
11		Since that time, it has been shut in, except that
12		it is now being tested.
13		The Ranger Lake Well No. 3 had its C-101 approved
14		on December 20th, 1966, for a well 557 feet from the
15		south line and 1917 feet from the west line of Section
16	- 	11, Township 12 South, Range 34 East. This well was
17		completed to a total depth of 5,315 feet, and plugged
18	an the tau are	back to a total depth of 5,289 feet. The well is on
19		8 5/8 inch casing set at 378 feet. It is circulated
20		with 4 1/2 inch casing at 5,315 with 1,000 sacks of
21		cement. It was completed on January 17th, 1967 from
22		perforations of 4,833 to 4,868 in the San Andreas
23		formation. It flowed 54 barrels of oil and 15 barrels
24		of water. This well produced a total accumulative
25		production of 435 barrels. The last production was

201 SIMMS ISLDG. P.O. BOX 1002. PHONE 243-0001 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 57103 1210 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST-ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 57103 25 7 5 7 5

4

Land that they have a

PAGE 7 October, 1967, when it produced 21 barrels of oil and 1 7 barrels of water for that month. The T. P. State Well No. 1 had its C-101 approved 2 on December 23rd, 1968, for a well 660 feet from the 3 north and east lines of Section 17, Township 10 South, 4 Range 33 East. It was completed to a total depth of 5 4,524 and plugged back to a depth of 4,489 from the б dearnley, meier & associates San Andreas formation. Eight and five-eighth inch 7 casing was installed at 1,824 feet. It's circulated 8 with 5 1/2 inch casing at 4,523 with 675 sacks of 9 cement. It was completed on February 8th, 1969 from 10 perforations of 4,408 to 4.478. It flowed 136 barrels 11 of oil and 8 barrels of water. This well last produced 12 in February, 1969, which is the month it was completed in. 13 My records, if they are correct, say that it 14 produced 1,385 barrels of oil and 77 barrels of water. 15 This is also its accumulative production. This well 16 was tested and assigned an allowable in October, 1972. 17 The test date was 10/20/72. As I understand it, the 18 EAST allowable was 20 barrels of oil. Then in October, the 19 BLDG. 20 well-хoв MR. PORTER: Twenty barrels per day? 21 NATIONAL BLDG. P.O. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. (Continuing) The well tested for 20 barrels of oil and 23 30 barrels of water. In October, the well produced Α 24 25

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

	1 PAGE 8
	395 barrels of oil. Then from November through April, they reported no oil production.
с <u>э</u>	MR. PORTER: You mean April of Large
	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. From the period of November, 1972 through April, 1973.
	6 Q (By Mr. Carr) Could you tell us the
	were filed by the operator
e.**	
<i>4</i> ,	 9 A Yes, sir. On the Ranger Lake No. 1 Well, the last 10 official approved form was filed or was approved on 11 February 8th, 1967 Former
	Form C-105. Since it
-	form was filed with me in August
년 조 >	 16th, 1972, which I did not approve, and which was for the purpose of cleaning out storage tanks and power oil tanks for the purpose of cleaning out storage tanks and
1 1 1 1	- culles for 307 barrels of legal site
17	, and approximately 863 harmal
18	At this time, I contacted the people who had
19 20	Torm, and said that it would h
21	for the Grace people to up-date their Form C-115 so I could determine whether this was legal storage,
22	not been reporting on the
23 24	
25	On the Ranger Lake Well No. 3, the last form approved was the Form C-105, dated October 27th, 1967.
	2000er 2/th, 1967.

N)

<u>ج</u>

L l

Ü

200 SIMMS BIEDG. P.O. BOX 1002 PHONE 243-0001 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103 1210 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLOG. EAST ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103

----<u>.</u>

page 9

	1	Here again, I received a Form 104 to run 220 barrels
х ж	2	of legal stock left in the tanks, and this was dated
`	3	August 16th, 1972. I did not approve this form for
	· 4.	the same reacon as I just outlined.
	5	On the T. P. State Well No. 1, the last form was
	6	approved on September 11th, 1972, and it was Form
S	7	C-104, filed to request a 1,000 barrel testing allowable.
	8	This well at the time was the one that I did assign
	9	an allowable to. It did indicate they were going to
dearniey, meier & associates		test the well at that time, and I did assign them a
	10	testing allowable, and later, the 20 barrel oil allowable
e <u>(</u> , 1	11 12 Q	Have you been in communication with the operator of
		these wells since that time?
0178 10 10 10 10	13 14 A	Well, the first communication started on June 5th, 1972.
* X U X X		Mr. Ramey, are these the letters that are identified
เห เห เห เ เ เ เ เ	15 Q	as Oil Conservation Commission Exhibit Number One in
DU DU DU ERQUE	16	
1,0,0 1,0,0 1,0,0	17	these cases?
243-0091 .457 • AL	18 A	Yes, they are.
64 ¹ 61	19	To continue, the first communication was June
Х. В Н О N Х. В Г D G.	20	5th, 1972, when I called to the attention of Mrs. Grace
80X 1092	20	that she had three wells in Northern Lea County which
0.Z	22	had been shut in for some time, and since they had
3 1810	22	been shut in for some time, it would appear to my
51MN		estimation that they were non-productive. I said
209	24	that since it appeared that these wells would not be
	25	

14-

produced, it would seem that the wells should be plugged and abandoned. I asked her to advise our office of her plans for the wells, and told her that if no work-over was proposed, please make plans for these wells to be abandoned immediately. Would you just give us the dates of the subsequent Q correspondence? I again wrote on August 4th, 1972; again on September Α 11th, 1972. It was the September 11th letter where I requested that they up-date their Form C-115. I again sent correspondence on May 1st, 1973, advising them that a hearing had been set. What response have you received from these letters? 0 I have had no response. The only response was the A allowable request on the T. P. State Well No. 1 where they did produce the well for a short period of time, one month, and then shut it in again for a long period. I also received the requests on the Forms C-104, requesting that they run oil in the storage tanks. Is it your recommendation that these wells be plugged Q and abandoned? Yes, sir. If there is no indication that these are Α commercial oil wells, I can see no reason for them to

PAGE 10

dearnley, meler & associates XICO 87103 87108 1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

EAST BLDC.

C... P.O. 80) NATIONAL

209

22 23

24

25

continue standing as they are, and I think they should be plugged and abandoned.

	PAGE 11
	Q What kind of plugging program do you recommend for
2	these wells?
3	A At this time, I wouldn't recommend a plugging program
4	The only one I would recommend would be to plug the
5	
6	with cement, but I think we should leave it flexible,
7	and that a plugging program be designed at the time
8	the wells are plugged.
s. 9 	Q Is it your recommendation that this be left to the
10	discretion of the District Office?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Are the letters marked as Exhibit One true and correct
13	copies of the letters in the Oil Commission files?
14	A Yes, sir.
15	MR. CARR: At this time, I will offer OCC Exhibit
16	One in Cases 4992 and 4993.
17	MR. PORTER: Without objection, the exhibit will
18	be admitted.
19	(Whereupon Oil Conservation Commission Exhibit One
20	was admitted in evidence.)
21	MR. CARR: I have nothing further.
22	na se antigen en la servici de la servici Antigen en la servici de la Servici de la servici de la
23	CROSS EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. PORTER:
25	Q Why would it be necessary for you to recommend that

	۲ ⁻	PAGE 12
÷.	1	these wells be plugged from the top to the bottom?
	2	A That's the only plugging program I would recommend
	3	at this time. I don't think they should be plugged
2 1199 2012 2013 2013 2014	4	that way, I don't think any well needs to be plugged
	5	that way. If an order came out with a prescribed
S	6	plugging program, I think it would be too rigid or
iate	7	not rigid enough at this time. I think we should leave
dearnley, meier & associates	8	it up to the discretion of the District Office. If
& g	9	the pipe is pulled, we have one plugging program, and
eier	50 - ¹ - ²¹ 10	if the pipe is not pulled, we have an entirely different
/ mi	11	plugging program.
rnley	_ຄ 12	Q Why can't you recommend a program at this time?
deal	108 13	A I could, sir.
	хэм 14 м м з	Q Is it because of insufficient information in the files?
	ž∞ ⊮	A No, I think there's sufficient information in the files,
	a a o a o a 16	Mr. Porter. I just feel it would be better to work
	ລື່ອ ຂອງ ພວກ 17	a plug-in program at the time the wells are going to
	E 243-6691. E A ST • A L 6	be plugged.
		Q Rather than putting the program in the order?
	а. 19 19 20 нон 2006, 6 20 20	A Yes, sir. If you think it would be proper to have a
		plugging program, I can come up with one.
	00 0 F • Z 22	MR. PORTER: Does anyone have any questions of
	•. ЭОТ В SWW 32 12 10 F 12 10	Mr. Ramey?
	SWWIS 602	MR. LYONS: Yes.
	× 25	* * * *
	-	Leenenene en la contra de

ا دونيه دريا ر ر و کر سنه ġ.

		1 PAGE 13
		CROSS EXAMINATION
		2 BY MR. LYONS:
		and all your reasons again for proposing the
a na		4 be plugged at this time?
		5 A It appears to me that the operator has walked off and 6 left the wollder the second secon
associates		6 left the wells, leading me to believe that they are
Cia		7 uneconomical to produce.
SO		8 Q Do you have
as		 8 Q Do you know whether or not any activity has happened 9 there in the l
Q		9 there in the last week?
642111CY, 111010F 087103		
e l	• •	A Yes. Mr. Kenneth Smith has contacted my office, I
	, 1	believe he did so on Ruis
	1	believe he did so on Friday of last week, and requested a testing allowable on
		and te on each well. He come
	13	office yesterday, and I was up here at the time.
N N N N N N	14	8 Were the training a was up here at the time.
₩ ₩ Z ∑ · }		Q Were the testing allowables approved?
U D Z C Z C Z	15	A Yes, the testing allowables were approved, I instructed
0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	16	My geologist at the manual were approved, I instructed
L BU 1 Q E	4.70	my geologist at the Hobbs office to do so.
591e, ALG(17	Q Well, if you have approved the testing allowables, why would you want these with
243-0 45 T +	18	would you want these will
2. PHONE 243-06910 2. BLDG. EASTOALB	19	A Because I have be?
0 1 0		A Because I have had no response from the Grace people
100 100 100	20	as to what their plans are for the wells. If Mr. Grace
×- •	21	Wants to Wants to Wants to Wants to Wants to Wants to
0 Ö		come lolward and offer something the
j Z D	22	show these wells are commercial, and are going to be produced, fine
1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK	23	produced, fine. I could in and are going to be
	24	certainly don't want
		and will put some oil in the tank
· · .	25	Q Have you been in contact with your geologist?

ŝ

C Frank

33 80**4**

1. 1723 (M

•••

¢.

		PAGE 14
1 A	I was in contact with him y	vesterday.
1 A 2 Q	and the indicate to you any	activity to his knowledge
3	that was happening there?	ha had been tested, and he
4 A	Yes, he said the three wer	ls had been tested, and he lts which I left someplace.
5	did give me some test for	about the test results at all,
6 Q	as to whether or not they	were favorable?
7 8 1	The sooms like one was 45	barrels; one was 26 barrels;
9	and the other one was hig	her, which sounded to me fine
10	they could be commercial	wells.
11	Q Even having received this	s information prior to the 11 your recommendation to the
12	trains that these we	lls be plugged?
13 14	A Yes, because tomorrow th	e test data could be considerably
15	less than this.	
16	Q Your earlier answer to r	ny question as to the reason
17	that you recommended	these wells be plugged was idence of activity. Now you
18	indicate there has been	evidence of activity, that
19 20	hivity having produce	ed some oil
20	A I should first say that	t any well left standing in that
22	position and not being	worked or produced for a period create waste. Pipe could
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and there co	ould be communication between
	zones, or loss of fresh	n water into the oil zones, or
2	25	

dearnley, meier & associates

<u>к</u>г,

نې د د

me filed
filed
filed
ever
ng the
es
ou
be
arketable
ne
ess to
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
lfy
· · · ·
would
* •
for
nd the
are
our
· · · ·

dearnley, meier & associates

PAGE 16 June 19th, 1973. A So that is prior to this hearing today. Q Yes, that's correct. That would be yesterday, I believe. 3 Ά MR. LYONS: I move for the admission of these three forms of the Commission into evidence at this time. 5 MR. PORTER: As I understand your recommendation, 6 it is based upon what information you have at the present 7 time. 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Q (By Mr. Lyons) Don't you believe it is inconsistent 10 to say you would like to see the wells shut down and 11 filled up, and then authorizing your geologist to ... 12 41CO 8 approve these C-104s? 13 ŝ Yes. I also stated that I would not recommend plugging 14 Λ÷ X 定 X an economical well. 15 I am only asking don't you think it inconsistent to Q 16 approve the test allowable--17 When I wrote the Grace people, I expected a reply to A 18 my inquiries. In other words, if a well has been shut 19 in for a period of four to five years, it looks like 20 it is not going to be produced, and that perhaps they 21 walked off and left the well, or had forgotten the 22 If it's not going to be produced, it should be well. 23 plugged. I asked for this information specifically, 24 80 I said, "What are your future plans for the well?", and 25

12

dearnley, meier & associates 🔬

o

I received no answer to my inquiry, so I assumed they 1 were not going to do anything with the wells. 2 Assuming there is some activity in these wells, and 3 Q the test results are accurate, would it be your 4 recommendation that perhaps you ought to give them 5 some time to see if these are good wells? $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 6 Oh, yes, I would recommend even that they be given 7 Α ninety days to put them back on production. So your recommendation isn't today that they go ahead 8 Q and cap them, or that an order be issued today to cap 9 10 them? 11 Oh, no, if there is any chance these wells are A 12 economical. I don't want them plugged. NEW MEXICO 8. MEXICO 87108 13 I have nothing further. MR. LYONS: 14 15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. CARR: Have you traditionally had difficulty corresponding 17 Q 18 with this operator? SNOHO. 19 Yes, they seem to ignore my inquiries. Α As supervisor of the District, do you feel that the 20 × 0 0 Q 21 District should have to discover everything--∔ ∢ Z MR. LYONS: I object to this line of questioning 22 23 as being arbitrary. MR. PORTER: Do you intend to answer the question 24 50 25

dearnley, meier & associates

PAGE 17

 6 the information put into the record as to why we cannot get- the District supervisor for the Commission is unable to get 8 responses to his correspondence. 9 Q (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Ramey, by approving test allowables, does that provide any sort of guarantee there will be commercial production from a well? 12 A No, it does not. The primary purpose of a test allowables is to allow the operator to evaluate the well. 14 Q Do you still recommend these wells be plugged and abandoned if they cannot be put on production in a reasonable time? 17 A Yes. I would think the ninety-day period I stated would be a reasonable period. I think the operator would have time to evaluate the wells, and if they are 		PAGE 18
 MR. LYONS: Yes, I believe so, Your Honor. MR. PORTER: I think this would be entirely relevant. We will sustain your objection, but we would like the information put into the record as to why we cannot get- the District supervisor for the Commission is unable to get responses to his correspondence. Q (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Ramey, by approving test allowables, does that provide any sort of guarantee there will be commercial production from a well? A No, it does not. The primary purpose of a test allowables is to allow the operator to evaluate the well. Q Do you still recommend these wells be plugged and abandoned if they cannot be put on production in a reasonable time? A Yes. I would think the ninety-day period I stated would be a reasonable period. T think the operator would have time to evaluate the wells, and if they are commercially productive, he can be producing them withing the interval, and if they are not, they should be plugged. MR. PORTER: Mr. Ramey, if an operator files an 	1	with your witness as to why the operator hasn't responded
 MR. PORTER: I think this would be entirely relevant. We will sustain your objection, but we would like the information put into the record as to why we cannot get- the District supervisor for the Commission is unable to get responses to his correspondence. Q (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Ramey, by approving test allowables, does that provide any sort of guarantee there will be commercial production from a well? A No, it does not. The primary purpose of a test allowable is to allow the operator to evaluate the well. Q Do you still recommend these wells be plugged and abandoned if they cannot be put on production in a reasonable time? A Yes. I would think the ninety-day period I stated would have time to evaluate the wells, and if they are commercially productive, he can be producing them within the interval, and if they are not, they should be plugged. MR. PORTER: Mr. Ramey, if an operator files an 	2	to the Commission's usual inquiries?
 relevant. We will sustain your objection, but we would like the information put into the record as to why we cannot get- the District supervisor for the Commission is unable to get responses to his correspondence. Q (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Ramey, by approving test allowables, does that provide any sort of guarantee there will be commercial production from a well? A No, it does not. The primary purpose of a test allowable is to allow the operator to evaluate the well. Q Do you still recommend these wells be plugged and abandoned if they cannot be put on production in a reasonable time? A Yes. I would think the ninety-day period I stated would have time to evaluate the wells, and if they are commercially productive, he can be producing them within the interval, and if they are not, they should be plugged. MR. PORTER: Mr. Ramey, if an operator files an 	3	MR. LYONS: Yes, I believe so, Your Honor.
 6 the information put into the record as to why we cannot get- the District supervisor for the Commission is unable to get 7 the District supervisor for the Commission is unable to get 8 responses to his correspondence. 9 Q (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Ramey, by approving test allowables, does that provide any sort of guarantee there will be commercial production from a well? 14 No, it does not. The primary purpose of a test allowable is to allow the operator to evaluate the well. 14 Q Do you still recommend these wells be plugged and abandoned if they cannot be put on production in a reasonable time? 17 A Yes. I would think the ninety-day period I stated would be a reasonable period. I' think the operator would have time to evaluate the wells, and if they are commercially productive, he can be producing them within the interval, and if they are not, they should be plugged. 18 MR. PORTER: Mr. Ramey, if an operator files an application to temporarily abandon i well and sets forth 	4	MR. PORTER: I think this would be entirely
 the District supervisor for the Commission is unable to get responses to his correspondence. Q (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Ramey, by approving test allowables, does that provide any sort of guarantee there will be commercial production from a well? A No, it does not. The primary purpose of a test allowable is to allow the operator to evaluate the well. Q Do you still recommend these wells be plugged and abandoned if they connot be put on production in a reasonable time? A Yes. I would think the ninety-day period I stated would be a reasonable period. If think the operator would have time to evaluate the wells, and if they are commercially productive, he can be producing them within the interval, and if they are not, they should be plugged. MR. PORTER: Mr. Ramey, if an operator files an application to to produce a well and sate. forth 	5	relevant. We will sustain your objection, but we would like
 responses to his correspondence. Q (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Ramey, by approving test allowables, does that provide any sort of guarantee there will be commercial production from a well? A No, it does not. The primary purpose of a test allowable is to allow the operator to evaluate the well. Q Do you still recommend these wells be plugged and abandoned if they cannot be put on production in a reasonable time? A Yes. I would think the ninety-day period I stated would be a reasonable period. I' think the operator would have time to evaluate the wells, and if they are commercially productive, he can be producing them within the interval, and if they are not, they should be plugged. MR. PORTER: Mr. Ramey, if an operator files an application to tomoratily abandon a well and sets forth 	6	the information put into the record as to why we cannot get-
 9 Q (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Ramey, by approving test allowables, does that provide any sort of guarantee there will be commercial production from a well? 12 A No, it does not. The primary purpose of a test allowable is to allow the operator to evaluate the well. 14 Q Do you still recommend these wells be plugged and abandoned if they cannot be put on production in a reasonable time? 17 A Yes. I would think the ninety-day period I stated would be a reasonable period. I' think the operator would have time to evaluate the wells, and if they are commercially productive, he can be producing them within the interval, and if they are hot, they should be plugged. 18 MR. PORTER: Mr. Ramey, if an operator files an application to temporarily abandon is well, and sets forth 	7	the District supervisor for the Commission is unable to get
 does that provide any sort of guarantee there will be commercial production from a well? A No, it does not. The primary purpose of a test allowal is to allow the operator to evaluate the well. Q Do you still recommend these wells be plugged and abandoned if they cannot be put on production in a reasonable time? A Yes. I would think the ninety-day period I stated would be a reasonable period. Think the operator would have time to evaluate the wells, and if they are commercially productive, he can be producing them within the interval, and if they are not, they should be plugged. MR. PORTER: Mr. Ramey, if an operator files an application to temporarily abandon is well, and sets forth 	8 *	responses to his correspondence.
 11 commercial production from a well? 12 A No, it does not. The primary purpose of a test allowale 13 is to allow the operator to evaluate the well. 14 Q Do you still recommend these wells be plugged and 15 abandoned if they connot be put on production in a 16 reasonable time? 17 A Yes. I would think the ninety-day period I stated 18 would be a reasonable period. Think the operator 19 would have time to evaluate the wells, and if they are 20 commercially productive, he can be producing them within the interval, and if they are not, they should be 21 MR. PORTER: Mr. Ramey, if an operator files an 	9	Q (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Ramey, by approving test allowables,
 12 A No, it does not. The primary purpose of a test allowale is to allow the operator to evaluate the well. 14 Q Do you still recommend these wells be plugged and abandoned if they cannot be put on production in a reasonable time? 17 A Yes. I would think the ninety-day period I stated would be a reasonable period. Think the operator would have time to evaluate the wells, and if they are commercially productive, he can be producing them within the interval, and if they are not, they should be plugged. 18 MR. PORTER: Mr. Ramey, if an operator files an application to temporarily abandon a well and sets forth 	10	does that provide any sort of guarantee there will be
 is to allow the operator to evaluate the well. Q Do you still recommend these wells be plugged and abandoned if they cannot be put on production in a reasonable time? A Yes. I would think the ninety-day period I stated would be a reasonable period. I think the operator would have time to evaluate the wells, and if they are commercially productive, he can be producing them within the interval, and if they are not, they should be plugged. MR. PORTER: Mr. Ramey, if an operator files an 	11	commercial production from a well?
 Q Do you still recommend these wells be plugged and abandoned if they cannot be put on production in a reasonable time? A Yes. I would think the ninety-day period I stated would be a reasonable period. Think the operator would have time to evaluate the wells, and if they are commercially productive, he can be producing them within the interval, and if they are not, they should be plugged. MR. PORTER: Mr. Ramey, if an operator files an 	12	A No, it does not. The primary purpose of a test allowab
 abandoned if they cannot be put on production in a reasonable time? Yes. I would think the ninety-day period I stated would be a reasonable period. Think the operator would have time to evaluate the wells, and if they are commercially productive, he can be producing them within the interval, and if they are not, they should be plugged. MR. PORTER: Mr. Ramey. if an operator files an 	13	is to allow the operator to evaluate the well.
 reasonable time? Yes. I would think the ninety-day period I stated would be a reasonable period. If think the operator would have time to evaluate the wells, and if they are commercially productive, he can be producing them within the interval, and if they are not, they should be plugged. MR. PORTER: Mr. Ramey, if an operator files an 	14	Q Do you still recommend these wells be plugged and
 17 A Yes. I would think the ninety-day period I stated 18 would be a reasonable period. If think the operator 19 would have time to evaluate the wells, and if they are 20 commercially productive, he can be producing them within 21 the interval, and if they are not, they should be 22 plugged. 23 MR. PORTER: Mr. Ramey, if an operator files an 	15	abandoned if they cannot be put on production in a
 would be a reasonable period. If think the operator would have time to evaluate the wells, and if they are commercially productive, he can be producing them within the interval, and if they are not, they should be plugged. MR. PORTER: Mr. Ramey, if an operator files an 	16	reasonable time?
 would have time to evaluate the wells, and if they are commercially productive, he can be producing them within the interval, and if they are not, they should be plugged. MR. PORTER: Mr. Ramey, if an operator files an 	17	A Yes. I would think the ninety-day period I stated
 commercially productive, he can be producing them within the interval, and if they are not, they should be plugged. MR. PORTER: Mr. Ramey, if an operator files an 	18	would be a reasonable period. Think the operator
 the interval, and if they are not, they should be plugged. MR. PORTER: Mr. Ramey, if an operator files an 	19	would have time to evaluate the wells, and if they are
 plugged. 23 MR. PORTER: Mr. Ramey, if an operator files an application to temporarily abandon 3 well and sets forth 	20	commercially productive, he can be producing them within
23 MR. PORTER: Mr. Ramey, if an operator files an	21	the interval, and if they are not, they should be
application to tomporarily abandon a well and sets forth	22	plugged.
24 application to temporarily abandon a well and sets forth	23	MR. PORTER: Mr. Ramey, if an operator files an
	24	application to temporarily abandon a well and sets forth

dearnley, meier & associates

- 1

رت میں

eg E

PAGE 19 Yes, sir. THE WITNESS: MR. PORTER: But in this case, have you received 2 any application from the operator to temporarily abandon 3 these wells? 4 THE WITNESS: No. 5 MR. PORTER: In other words, you have had no б application from the producer here, the operator, for 7 temporary abandonment? 8 No, I have not. THE WITNESS: 9 MR. PORTER: Does anyone else have any questions 10 of Mr. Ramey? 11 (No response) 12 MR. PORTER: He may be excused. 13 (Witness excused.) 14 MR. FORTER: You have a witness you say you are 15 going to put on the stand, Mr. Lyons? 16 MR. LYONS: Yes. 17 MR. PORTER: Since Mr. Ramey's recommendation was 18 that you have ninety days conditioned upon what your witness 19 BLDG. - P.O. BOX 1092 - PH IRST NATIONAL BANK BL says that you intend to do with the wells, the Commission 20 would be interested in knowing from your witness what your 21 plans are for the wells, because that's the only way we 22 SIMMS BLDG 1216 FIRST can intelligently deal with the wells. 23 MR. LYONS: All right. 24 209 25

dearnley, meier & associates

	PAGE 20
	KENNETH SMITH,
	was called as a witness, and after being duly sworn according
	to law, testified as follows:
	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	BY MR. LYONS:
	Q Would you state your name for the Commission, please?
associates	A Kenneth Smith.
200SS	Q And what is your occupation?
8 8 9 1 9	A I am presently a petroleum engineer in Houston, Texas.
	Q Who are you presently employed by Or who are you
dearnley, meier	working with?
	A I am working for Mr. Michael P. Grace and Corinne Grace.
	Q Would you give us your educational background?
χο ωο Συ λ χχ λ 14	A I was graduated from Texas A and M University in 1949.
ີ 2ັΣ ຟີຟີ 11 ວັ2	I worked for nine years for the Mobil Oil Company as
ະ ພາດ ດາດ 10 ດາມ	a petroleum engineer and production foreman. I was
	an engineer with the Air Force for two years, and have
243-0001	been a consultant for five years.
ນັບ ມີມີ 2 ບໍ່ 19	Q Was your degree in engineering?
4 Ø • Y 8 V 8 V 2	A No, but I have taken engineering courses since then,
× € 0 J - 2 ₹	and geology courses also.
• 2 • 2	Q Have you had occasion in the time you have been working
บ่าร ระเ 2 	with Mr. Grace to come in contact with the Ranger Lake
200 SIMM	Wells 1 and 3 and the T. P. State Well No. 1?
2	A Yes. On June 12th, I went to the Ranger Lake both

<u>द</u>ि ूर्ग

......

r		
1		Ranger Lake Wells and the T. P. State Well.
2		MR. PORTER: June 12th of this year?
3		THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
4	Q.	(By Mr. Lyons) Was that at the direction of Mr. Grace?
5	A	Yes, it was.
6	Q	What were your observations, and what activities were
7		performed in connection with these three wells after
8		your initial contact?
9	A	We moved a pumping unit in and installed a motor to
10		the Ranger Lake No. 3, and moved a hydraulic unit into
11		the Ranger Lake No. 1. That's the extent of the
12	• • *	information I have for that day.
13		Then on the next day, we attempted to start the
14		engine on the T. P. State Well No. 1, which we were
15		unable to do. So we called a mechanic out the next
16		day to get that engine running. We did start the
17		hydraulic unit on the Ranger Lake No. 1, and the pressure
18		was up, and it was determined that we had trouble
19		downhole with the downhole pump. We ordered a rig
20		out to pull the tubing and the pump as to repair that.
21	Q	Was this for all three wells?
22	A	Yes. This was begun on June 13th, and then on June
23	¢ _	14th, we got the T. P. State No. 1 started. We got
24		70 barrels of oil from that, and then we finished out
25	· ···	the work on the pumping unit for the Ranger Lake No. 3,

100 SIMMS BLDG. P.O. BOX 1962 - PHONE 243-6691 - ALBUQUERQUE. NEW MEXICO 67105 1210 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST - ALBUQUERQUE. NEW MEXICO 67105

-	•	and started it. And the T. P. State No. 1 was pumping
d en Ar		And the T. P. State NO
	1	and started it. And
;	- {	
	2	that day also. Have you had occasion to talk to Mr. Grace as to why
	-	had occasion to talk to ML.
	3 Q	Have you had occasion to tark to these wells have been allowed to lie dormant for the
<u>.</u>	3 ×	have been allowed to ite
nity.		these wells have ~
•	4	
		last few years?
SSOCIAIES CALE	5	hut I understand
- Ale Sa		Well, not too much, but I have very busy in the Carlsbad area, and these wells do very busy in the Carlsbad area, and these wells do
	6 A	not area, and these not
ß	l l	very busy in the Carlsbad area, present some problems in salt water disposal, and it's
	7	verf in salt water disposal,
		Gregent some problems in the them in
5	8	present a get them and a get them and
ŝ		present some problems in the going to take some engineering work to get them in shape to be economical. And Mr. Grace has been tied up
	9	going to and Mr. Grace has been the
oð		hand to be economical. An
	10	snape to the
. <u></u>		with other things.
Ĕ		with other, what
	11	initial contact with the world
₽ A	12 9	After your initial contact with the wells there, what
	12	in your professional opinion
ar		After your initial concuss do you feel in your professional opinion is the
dearnley, meier	13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
U Xa	้อ โ	potential for these
W.W	2 14	
للا Z	WEX	A I think the wells can be commercial. We want to pump the initial flush production out of the well bores to the initial flush production out of the well bores to
	15	
		the initial flush production of see whether they will stabilize. Possibly we need to
ti	16	thoy will stabilize. Possiver
		see whether they "2-" Ranger Lake Wells
	3 5 17	tork on the sur
		see whether they will stabilize. do some stimulation work on the two Ranger Lake Wells
	18	that in the case of the the
	EAST ALE	do some stimulation work of I would think that in the case of the Ranger Lake
·	w 19	the to get a loc of
	ылона 19	No. 1, it's going to set
	3× 20	Not to see 11 we can
	NA C	No. 1, it's going to get problem is in pumping long enough to see if we can
		problem is in pumping long pump some of the water off to get some oil coming
	a z	some of the water off do
14.j	• • 21 • • 21	pump some
	ALD RST	again.
	⁸ นี้ 23	three wells in production as
	20 SIMMS BLD 20	again. Q Are these three wells in production at this time? A Two of them are, the Ranger State No. 2 is waiting
	୍ଷ୍ଣିତ୍ର 24	thom are, the Ranger State Not
		A Two of them are,
	2,5	5

1

1

PAGE 22

PAGE 23 a rig. The Ranger State No. 1 and the T. P. State No. 1 are Q on production? Yes. Α Do you have at your disposal the number of barrels you Q 5 have been able to pump, and what you believe the б potential is for barrels per day? 7 On June 15, we had 96 barrels of oil and 20 barrels A 8 of salt water from the Ranger Lake No. 3. From the 9 T. P. State No. 1, we had 77 barrels of oil and 12 10 barrels of salt water. On Saturday, June 16th, we 11 had from the Ranger Lake No. 3 26 barrels of oil and 12 1.7 barrels of water--13 MR. PORTER: How many barrels of oil? 14 THE WITNESS: Twenty-six. 15 (Continuing) I believe we had-- From the T. P. State Α 16 No. 1, we had 77 barrels of oil and 16 barrels of 17 salt water. On June 17th, from the Ranger Lake No. 1, 18 we had 692 barrels of water and 36 barrels of oil. 19 From the Ranger Lake No. 3, we had 25 barrels of oil 20 and 10 barrels of salt water. On the T. P. State No. 1, 21 we had 66 barrels of oil and 33 barrels of salt water. 22 On June 18th, from the T. P. State No. 1, we 23 had 63 barrels of oil and 44 barrels of water. From 24 the Ranger Lake No. 1, we had 35 barrels of oil and 25

dearniey, meier & associates

L7108

PAGE 24 From the Ranger Lake No. 3, we had 10 barrels of oil and 5 barrels of water. We 850 barrels of water. 1 shut the pump down that day on the Ranger Lake No. 3 2 3 because it quit pumping. On Tuesday, the 19th, from the Ranger Lake No. 1, 4 we had 624 barrels of water and 5 barrels of oil. The 5 Ranger Lake No. 3 was shut down. From the T. P. State 6 No. 1, we had 12 barrels of oil and 93 barrels of water. dearnley, meier & associates 7 I don't have the production for today. 8 Do you have an estimated amount of money Mr. and Mrs. 9 Grace have spent during this time for testing attempting Q 10 to put these wells back on production? 11 I would estimate in the neighborhood of \$10,000. 12 Have you talked to the Graces as to their future plans--NEW MEXICO 8 Ά 13 as to what they intend to dc with the wells? Q 14 Well, we are looking in terms of salt water disposal 15 from the Ranger Lake No. 1 particularly. It is being QUERQUE. А 16 checked as to whether we re-enter a plugged and 17 abandoned well on the lease and make a salt water 18 disposal unit out of it, because we can't afford to E A S 19 0100. haul this volume of water for the volume of oil we 1052 . PHON 20 21 But the plans of Mr. Grace are to put these wells back are getting. 22 Q on commercial production? Yes-- Well, at least we will determine if they are 23 24 Α 25

If they can be made commercial, we are commercial. 1 going to do that. 2 Do you know Donna Hollis? Q 3 Yes. Α 4 What is her job? She is office manager, I guess, for Oil Records Company Õ 5 Α 6 in Hobbs. 7 A VOICE: Holler, H-o-l-l-e-r. (By Mr. Lyons) Is she employed by Mr. Grace to fill 8 out his reports and take care of his paper work? Q 9 10 Yes, I understand she is. As far as you know, have the Graces fed the information Α 11 to her that was necessary to fill out and submit the Q 12 11CO 87103 NEW MEXICO 8 13 forms required by the Commission? I would think so, but I'm not out here all the time. 14 MR. PORTER: You came on the scene in June of this 15 A • ALBUCUEROUE 16 THE WITNESS: No, sir, I come on the scene every year? 17 once in a while. I was out in April for about a week, I 18 would say, and then I came back on May 13th. 19 BLDG MR. PORTER: April, May and June of this year? 20 BANK XOQ SIMMS BLDG. P.O. BO 1216 FIRST NATIONAL 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 22 Your witness. MR. LYONS: 23 24 209 25

dearnley, meier & associates

PAGE 25

÷ .

		page 26
 	1	CROSS EXAMINATION
	2	BY MR. CARR:
	3	Q Could you tell me in your opinion how long it would take
20 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10	4	to determine whether or not these wells can be put back
	5	on commercial production, and how long a reasonable
N	6	time would be to get them back on commercial production?
ate	7	A I think your man's ninety days is very reasonable.
SOC	8	Q You did say you thought ninety days was a reasonable
earnley, meier & associates	9	period of time?
ier	10	A Yes, I do.
, me	11	MR. CARR: I have no further questions.
nley	₈ 12	MR. RAMEY: What are you doing with the water from
dear	128 07 108 13	the T. P. State Well No. 1?
	ж ару ж 14	MR. LYONS: I wouldn't object to the witness
	z⊻ 	answering this off the record, but I don't know-if that has
an ta Curre Marta Curre	ອ ພິລ ລິດ 16	anything to do with production. I don't know how the
:	ов ов ов ов ов ов ов ов ов ов	disposal of water has anything to do with these wells having
e.	243-0001	potential.
	вгрс. Ехз 19	MR. PORTER: It has a lot to do with Commission
	N X 20	regulations.
	× [®] 0_J 0_∢ 21	MR. LYONS: I wouldn't object to him answering
		it off the record, but I don't think it is proper for the
	1210 FIRST NA 1210 FIRST NA 33	purpose of this hearing.
	Wis 607 24	MR. CARR: We are charged by the statute to
- · · ·	25	conduct hearings, and it also says that they will not be

-

PAGE 27 conducted with the rigidity of a formal legal proceeding. MR. LYONS: I think the witness indicated in his testimony that Mr. Grace probably would be attempting to 3 use an abandoned well for water disposal. MR. RAMEY: What is its disposition at this time? 5 THE WITNESS: We have a program with the Royal б and Vick Tank Truck Service to haul this water. It's 7 approximately a fifteen-mile haul, I would think. 8 MR. RAMEY: How much is it costing? 9 THE WITNESS: Thirty cents total. 10 MR. RAMEY: Thirty cents per barrel to dispose of 11 the water? 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. KEW MEXICO 8 13 MR. PORTER: Mr. Smith, you have testified to the 14 result of certain tests. Would you be willing to put those 15 in the form of a report to the Commission? 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, surely. 17 MR. PORTER: The tests you have run up to now both 18 as to water and oil. 19 THE WITNESS: Very good. Do you have a form for 20 that? 21 MR. PORTER: We have a C-103, which is a catch-all 22 form we could use. 23 THE WITNESS: I could just write them in narrative 24 form. 25

dearnley, meier & associates

	PAGE 28
1	MR. RAMEY: I think those figures should be on
2	a Form C-103 so they can be in our well records.
3	MR. PORTER: Are there any further questions of
4	the witness?
5	(No response)
6	MR. PORTER: He may be excused.
7	(Witness excused.)
8	$\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}$
9	RONALD JOHNSON,
10	was called as a witness, and after being duly sworn according
11	to law, testified as follows:
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. LYONS:
14	Q Would you state your name and occupation, sir?
15	A My name is Ronald D. Johnson, and I am a graduate
16	petroleum engineer from the University of Southwestern
17	Louisiana in Lufayette, Louisiana. I graduated in
18	1957. I am a registered petroleum engineer in the
19	State of Louisiana. I worked for the Mobil Corporation
20	for approximately ten years, and have been a consultant
21	engineer for approximately six years.
22	Q Are you presently working with Mr. Grace?
23	A As a consultant engineer, yes.
24	Q During the time you have been working with the Graces,
25	have you had occasion to speak with them concerning the

		PAGE 29
	1	status of the two Ranger Lake Wells and the T. P. State
	2	Well No. 1?
	3	A Yes, sir. My first encounter with Mr. Grace was to
	4	do some work in the South Carlsbad Field, and even
	5	during this time, these three wells were brought to
S .	6	my attention.
associates	7	I am associated with Steinhorst Operating Systems
SSOC	8	out of Lafayette, and Mr. Steinhorst has been working
s S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	9	with Mr. Grace all during the last year, and he brought
	10	these wells to my attention. He had been up here a
, me	11	year or so ago with the intention of getting something
dearnley, meier	12:-	dore up there about these wells.
	13	One of the things I found out is that Mr. Grace
е ж Со Со Со Со Со Со	14	has operations all over the country, and it has been
Z Z 3 10 2 2 2	15	our intention to get up and get started in this area,
ີ. ເມື່ອ เป็น เป็น เป็น เป็น เป็น เป็น เป็น เป็น	16	but it just has been a period of neglect as far as
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	17	the engineer's staff is concerned.
43-5691 57 - 641	18	Q Have you been involved in the past week with some of
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	¹ 19	the situations that have developed there as far as
062 6 PT	20	trying to test these wells?
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	21	A Yes. This has been a follow-up of what we had intended
00 1. ⊢ • ₹ 1. 2	22	to do over a month ago. Mr. Smith has been taking
MS 85 10 0 15 10 17 15 10	23	care of the specific activities, but I was up there
209 SIMA	24	for a couple of days assisting him getting the
€ u	25	equipment lined out and following through on a lot
• •		

و دورو محمد محمد

PAGE	30
------	----

	1	of background work that I had done in previous weeks.
	2 0	Have you also observed the types of machinery?
• •	3 7	in a definitely. I have been involved with helping
	4	put the wells on production, and assisting in testing
	5	and acquiring equipment.
	- 24	Do you have any estimate of the approximate amount of
dearnley, meier & associates	6	money invested by the Graces during this period?
00	8	A I would say between eight and ten thousand dollars.
ass	-	Q After your observations there, and after looking at
00 10	9	the tests, do you feel there is potential for these
nei		wells?
ey, I	11	The sector of course, we get back to the
	12	A I most certainly dot salt water problem. There is no question about there
dea	13	being oil production, and when you get involved in
50 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	14	the economics of water disposal, this would be the
	15	
А С	16	only question that could be raised. But just from a
A A C C C C C C C	17	preliminary study, I think very definitely there is
	18	good potential for economic production.
E 243	м	Q Are two of the wells in production now?
А. В РИОО И В РИОО И В РИОО И В РИОО И В РИОО И В РООО		A That's correct. Three of them would be, except for
X X 2 4 6 2 4 6 7 6 2 4 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	¢ Ø	the fact that we are having mechanical problems downhole
0 0 0		in one of the wells.
č č	L	Q Do you know of your own knowledge the future plans of
simms BL	23 23	
209 51	≌ 24	the Graces for these three wells? A Yes. One of the things the consultants were to be
	25	

5 522 ------

ومردي 1

> , wa 1973

> > : •••

			PAGE 31
		1	pursuing was the salt water disposal, and naturally
5 - -		2	the maximum amount of production that would be available
		3	in that area.
		4	MR. LYONS: Your witness.
		5	MR. CARR: No questions.
S		6	* * * *
ate	1997 - 1997 - 1999 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	7	CROSS EXAMINATION
SOC	an an	8	BY MR. RAMEY:
s as		9	Q I missed your name.
ler 8		10	A Ronald Johnson.
dearnley, meier & associates		11	G. Mr. Johnson, are you familiar with the producing
ley,		12	characteristics found in Lea County?
eari	67105 87105	13	A Just what I have picked up from other pumpers and people
	MEXIC ICO 87	14	in the area
		15	Q But you probably could conclude that it would be a
<u>(</u>)	0 6 7 7 7 7	16	high water producing zone?
	L DUR	17	A Yes. As you will notice from the reports, we got a
	T + A . 8	18	nice amount of oil with an increase of water cut, and
	NE 243 G. EAS	19	we are hoping to get a reversal here, and get an
	1062 • PHON Ank Blog	20	increase in oil cut. This can't be done overnight,
	, BOX 1062 NAL BANK	21	it will take some time to truly evaluate it. I think
	• 4 10 0	22	there is no question though that there is an amount
· · · · ·	SIMMS BLDC. 1216 FIRST N	23	of oil that could be salvaged from this reservoir.
	9 SIMINS	23	Q How long do you think it will take for you to make an
f. fi	208	24 25	evaluation of that? Do you think you could get that done
		60	

n ninety days? S I say, we are involved in a lot of operations, and
s I say, we are involved in a lot of operations, and
f we could devote a full ninety days to this one
roject, probably yes. But when you are handling
roduction in other areas and doing things that may
e considered more important and more economical, you
ould be crowding it a little bit, because we are
ooking at an in-depth study of salt water disposal.
I'm not saying it couldn't be done, but this is
a fairly short period of time.
Would ninety days give the operator ample time to
evaluate his wells as to what their production capacities
would be?
I would say ninety days would give us sufficient time
to know what our intentions are. I wouldn't say we
could have the salt water disposal system in production,
or anything of this sort, but I think that period of
time would afford us an opportunity to say what our
intentions will be.
Thomas Thomas
MR. RAMEY: That's all I have. MR. PORTER: Does anyone else have any questions
1r. Johnson?
MR. RAMEY: I would like to direct a question to
Smith.
You didn't really answer to my satisfaction the

....

PAGE 32

		PAGE 33
	1	question as to why none of the Commission requirements were
e -	2	answered. You said this information was supplied to Miss
s anu folga	3	Holler, and it was up to her to submit the information.
	4	Was that the gist of your testimony?
	5	MR. SMITH: Yes, I would say so.
S S	6	MR. RAMEY: So what you are saying is that she was
late	7	fed the information, and just did not file the forms?
associates	. 8	MR. SMITH: My feeling is I really don't know.
	9	MR. RAMEY: That's what I thought you said.
Jer	10	MR. PORTER: The witness may be excused.
earney, meler &	11	(Witness excused.)
ane,	12	MR. PORTER: Do you have anything further, Mr. Lyons?
deal	(13)	MR. LYONS: No.
W MEX	14	MR. CARR: I would like to re-call Mr. Ramey.
26 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	15	n an
20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	16	JOSEPH D. RAMEY,
) 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	17	was re-called as a witness, and having been already duly
243-6691	18	sworn, testified as follows:
PHONE 24	19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
1092 6 PH	20	BY MR. CARR:
× •	21	Q You heard Mr. Porter a few minutes ago state what he
6. • P.O. 7 A TO V	22	understood your recommendation to be contingent upon
BLD	23	certain evidence put [#] in by Mr. Grace and his
209 SIMMS 1216 F	24	representatives here. Now, having heard that evidence,
Ň	25	would you please state your recommendations for the
	L.	

PAGE 34 Commission? I would afford the operator ninety days, mainly because 2 A I don't want to see any well that may be economical 3 plugged. However, I would certainly recommend-- or I would insist that reports be submitted to the Hobbs 5 dearnley, meier & associates 👘 office every ten days as to the tests on the wells, б what is being done. 7 I would think that if the operator doesn't see fit 8 to submit these reports, that we should insist on the 9 wells being plugged. I do not feel this is unreasonable. 10 MR. LYONS: You don't think ten days is unreasonable? 11 THE WITNESS: No, sir. 12 NEW MEXICO 87103 MEXICO 87108 MR. LYCHS: Rather than a thirty-day period? 13 14 THE WITNESS: No, I would prefer ten days. BUQUERQUE. JERQUE, NEW 15 MR. LYONS: Would you request that these be in writing, or can they be oral? 16 THE WITNESS: I would want them in writing on 17 600-012 the appropriate Commission form, which would be Form C-103. 18 EAST K 1092 + PHONE BANK BLDG, M MR. LYONS: I don't want to argue, but I believe 19 that is unreasonable to ask for them every ten days. I 20 SIMMS BLDG. . P.O. BOX 1216 FIRST NATIONAL B think the Graces have acted in good faith. In fact, it 21 has been testified to that they have invested between eight 22 and ten thousand dollars in these three wells over the 23 last week. Obviously if they intended to abandon them, 24 they certainly wouldn't want to put that kind of money into 25

MR. RAMEY: I might state, Mr. Porter, that the testing. test allowables that we granted yesterday are good for only the month of June. So come the first of July, if they need to run any more oil, they are going to have to come in for another testing allowable, and I certainly insist on something concrete at that time before I would issue a second testing allowable for these wells. MR. PORTER: I realize the testing allowable 8 doesn't go beyond the current month, but if during the month 9 of June, they were to complete one of these wells to their 10 satisfaction, they could file a C-106 requesting a regular 11 12 allowable. MR. RAMEY: One of these wells does have an 13 allowable of twenty barrels, so in essence, it doesn't 14 15 need a testing allowable. MR. PORTER: But they will need a new assignment 16 to the other wells on the first of July? 17 18 MR. RAMEY: That's correct. MR. PORTER: I have been sitting here listening 19 to you, Mr.Ramey, and it appears to me that you wrote four 20 letters; on June 5th, 1972, August 4th, 1972, September 11th, 21 1972, and May 1st, 1973. Would it be your position that 22 this whole thing could have been avoided had you received 23 prior to your first letter, second letter, third letter, or 24

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

dearnley, meier & associates

NEW MEXICO 87103 MEXICO 87108

ONE 243-6691 . ALBUQUERQUE.

EAST

SIMMS BLDG. P.O. BO

505

25

PAGE 35
fourth letter, an answer from the operator? MR. RAMEY: Perhaps not the fourth letter. The fourth letter said that this had been set for hearing. On any of the other three, yes. MR. PORTER: In other words, this whole thing has resulted because of lack of response to your requests for

PAGE 36

MR. RAMEY: Lack of response and lack of knowledge on my part as to whether I could make a determination whether the wells should be or should not be plugged.

MR. PORTER: It seems to me that this is a high price to pay for failure to answer a question. This Commission does not want any wells plugged that have the possibility of producing, but at the same time, we are under obligation by law to see that these wells are plugged, and that oil, gas and water are confined. We cannot allow wells to be standing without knowing what the status is on these wells. What I am trying to say here is if we had better communication from the producer, these problems, or many of these, could be avoided.

MR. LYONS: I will certainly work with my client to see that they work out the details.

MR. PORTER: We don't enjoy hearings of this nature, particularly at this moment. At this time, the Commission will recognize Mr. Carr.

dearnley, meier & associates

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

information on the wells?

MR. CARR: I think this should be more or less in the form of a statement. I don't think Mr. Ramey is needed any further.

PAGE 37

MR. PORTER: The witness may be excused. (Witness excused.)

MR. CARR: I think what we have here is essentially lack of communication. If there are problems concerning a particular well, they should be called to the Commission's attention, that is the responsibility of the operator, to file certain forms with the Commission. It is then the responsibility of the Commission to go out and check on certain kinds of information.

I would recommend to the Commission that we issue an order to plug and abandon these wells if they have not in ninety days filed all proper forms with the Commission, specifically concerning the status of the wells, and whether they are going to be put on economic production, or come to the Commission and request an extension.

MR. LYONS: We especially appreciate the latter part in case there are some delays.

MR. CARR: If a good faith effort is being made to put the wells on production at the end of ninety days, then you can request an extension of time.

> (Whereupon the Commission members conferred.) (Hearing continues.)

dearnley, meier & associates

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SIMM5 1216 F

PAGE 38 MR. PORTER: It is the decision of the Commission to adopt the suggestion of Mr. Carr, and the Commission will 1 determine the frequency of these reports of tests, and that 2 3 will be put in the order. 4 Thank you. MR. LYONS: 5 6 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 7 SS COUNTY OF BERNALILLO) 8 I, RICHARD E. McCORMICK, a Certified Shorthand 9 Reporter, in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New 10 Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached 11 Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation 12 Commission was reported by me; and that the same is a true 13 and correct record of the said proceedings to the best of 14 15 my knowledge, skill and ability. 16 17 CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

dearnley, meier & associates

87108

NEW MEX

N J N J N J N J N

BUQUER

10NE 243-0691

BLOC.

BANK

1216 FIRST NATIONAL

SIMMS BLDG. . P.O.

80

х, О

1.53

1200

	1		INDEX		PAGE	4.
	2	WITNESS			PAGE	
	3 J(DSEPH D. RAMEY				
	4	Direct Exami	nation by Mr. Carr		4	
	5	Cross Examin	ation by Mr. Porter		11	
	6	Cross Examir	nation by Mr. Lyons		13	
dearnley, meier & associates	7	Redirect Exa	amination by Mr. Carr		17	
0019		KENNETH SMITH				
ass	9	Direct Exam	ination by Mr. Lyons		20	and An an an Air
00			nation by Mr. Carr		26	
neie	10	RONALD JOHNSON				
ey, r	ν ² τ:	Direct Exa	nination by Mr. Lyons	din second s	28	
arnl	12		ination by Mr. Ramey	17	31	
dec	s i	JOSEPH D. RAMEY	· ·			
X X W Z	14 X 3	Direct EXa	mination by Mr. Carr		33	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
n .	× 15 z	anter constitution de la companya.		•.:		
BUQUERC BUQUERC	出 つ ひ と 出 つ つ 15 15 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日	and the second	EXHIBITS		DMITTED	OFFERE
لـ ۲	5 0		Letters	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11	
	ער גא גא ש	Exhibit #1				-
	ບ 19					
			for States	ан Ал	~	
	21 B	χ.	×			
	BLDG. P.O. IRST NATION 55 ATION					-
м. н _а	200 SIMMS 1210 F 24			•		
	25					

1

1.4

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

CASE NO. 4992 Order No. R-4567

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION ON ITS OWN MOTION TO PERMIT CORINNE GRACE AND THE TRAVELERS INDEMNITY COMPANY AND ALL OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES TO APPEAR AND SHOW CAUSE WHY THE RANGER LAKE WELLS NOS. 1 AND 3 LOCATED IN UNIT N OF SECTION 11, TOWNSHIP 12 SOUTH, RANGE 34 EAST, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, SHOULD NOT BE PLUGGED AND ABANDONED IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMMISSION-APPROVED PLUGGING PROGRAM.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on June 20, 1973, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission."

NOW, on this <u>28th</u> day of June, 1973, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony presented and the exhibits received at said hearing, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

32

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by Law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That Corinne Grace is the owner and operator of the Ranger Lake Wells Nos. 1 and 3, located in Unit N of Section 11, Township 12 South, Range 34 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico.

(3) That the operator of said wells has failed to file proper forms with the Commission concerning said wells and has not responded to repeated inquiries from the Commission concerning the status of these wells.

(4) That a hearing was held before the Commission on June 20, 1973, to permit any interested party to appear and show cause why said wells should not be plugged and abandoned.

(5) That the operator appeared before the Commission and requested a reasonable time within which to test said wells and attempt to put them on commercial production. -2-Case No. 4992 Order No. R-4567

(6) That as a condition precedent to granting said request for an extension of time all Commission forms concerning said wells should be promptly filed with the Commission.

(7) That the operator should report to the Hobbs office of the Commission in writing on Form C-103 every 30 days outlining what progress has been made toward placing said wells on commercial production.

(8) That 90 days from the date of the hearing on this matter, September 20, 1973, should afford the operator sufficient opportunity to test said wells and determine their potential for economic production.

(9) That should said wells not be placed on production within 90 days, or should not a satisfactory showing be made to the Commission within 90 days that said wells are capable of commercial production and will be placed in production, at a definite time, said wells should be plugged and abandoned.

(10) That in order to prevent waste and protect correlative rights said Ranger Lake Wells Nos. 1 and 3 should be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a program approved by the Hobbs District Office of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission on or before September 30, 1973, unless said wells have been placed on commercial production by that date, or the time for plugging said wells has been extended by order of the Commission.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That Corinne Grace and Travelers Indemnity Company are hereby ordered to plug and abandon the Ranger Lake Wells Nos. 1 and 3, located in Unit N of Section 11, Township 12 South, Range 34 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, on or before September 30, 1973, if said wells have not been placed on commercial production by that date or the time for plugging said wells has not been extended by order of the Commission.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

(1) That Corinne Grace promptly file all Commission forms and file a written report on Form C-103 with the Hobbs office of the Commission every thirty days outlining what progress has been made toward placing said wells on commercial production.

(2) That Corinne Grace and Travelers Indemnity Company, prior to plugging and abandoning the above-described wells, shall obtain from the Hobbs Office of the Commission a Commissionapproved program for said plugging and abandoning, and shall notify said Hobbs Office of the date and hour said work is to be commenced whereupon the Commission may, at its option, witness such work.

-3-Case No. 4992 Order NG. R-4567

(3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year herein-above designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

I. R. TRUJILLO, Chairman

ARMIN, Member FX. the ORTER, Jr., Momber & Secretary

SEAL

dr/









SENT TO Grubesic & Walsh	POSTMARK OR CATE
STREET AND NO. Inner Loop at Manhattan	-
CITY, STATE, AND ZIP CODE Santa Fe, N.M. 87501	
If you want a return receipt, check which 10f shows 35f shows to whom, to whom when and address delivered where delivered check here	
FEES ADDITIONAL TO 204 FEE 504 fee POD Form 3800 NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED- July 1963 NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL	(See other si



POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT OFFICIAL BUSINESS POSTMARK OF DELIVERING OFFICE Print your name and address below. If you want to restrict delivery, or to have the address of delivery shown on this receipt, check block(s) on other side. Moisten gummed ende and attach this card to back of article. $' \alpha$ RETURN 1969 TO ğ 011 Conservation Commission Form 3811 P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 8 Case No. 4992 OFFICE DEPARTMENT POST POSTMARK OF DELIVERIN) OFFICE Print your name and address restrict delivery or to have shown on this receipt, check 1969 address below. If you want to to have the address of deliver and attach this card to back of Apr ends Feam 3811 011 Conservation Commission P.O. Box 2088 10 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 Case No. 4992 The Post in the second POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT OFFICIAL BUSINESS 89 il le 3 POSTMARK OF DELIVERING OFFICE Print your name and address below. If you want to restrict delivery, or to have the address of delivery shown on this receipt, check block(s) on other side. Moisten gummed ends and attach this card to back of Apr. 1969 RETURN TO 4 POD Farm 3811 Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 Case No. 4992

Mr. Vinis 1. reasons la plugging. 2. festing allowober - Ruman - in munication from operator. prequent The while prottaction 3. wells on prostate Here wells perpervision B alreet. responses shut in -cooperation allowable Happoved maraped And TR Site Medicin ial production Long With 1 to an agen: . Mr. Hollin * infinit

Abhaven - avourser Roca na prime Lilia Dela Comi Leville (Star Com Clack of communication internal ploblems. information of Me Holler order - plus & alcondore Here, wells if and flor on production within order, Aupris Lagnood by dist

Case 1992 - Corinne Strace

TESTIMONY FOR SHOW CAUSE HEARINGS

	ppearance for Oil Co	onservation Com	mission		
		2 martin		. ·	
one	witness: for the	of residence.			a and a second a seco
/	ame, position, place	include .	Nea	Count	
2. DC	oes district			County invo	Lved
/3. Do i	oes it include the r n this case?	part ol	ing as dis	trict supervi	sor
4. W	n this case? Nould you briefly dea as they relate to th	scribe your dut is case?	as to whe	n wells shoul	đ
	. Commondations to	the comment		No. 1992	?
لل الح	be plugged and aba Are you familiar wit	ch subject matte	er of case		
		af this cases			
	the sure wells are	Tacino	C		
1.	Afe you familiar wi	th these wells:	f	Commission (concerning
,8.	Aie you familiar wi Have you reviewed a this/these wells an	ll reports file nd visited the v	ed with the well site(s)?	
· /					the each
9. 10.	Please refer to the well as reflected 1	ese records and by the official	records:	history or	
	n well deput	ledicated acrea	ge (C-102)		
11.	The date of the 1	ast official fo	orm.	······································	2 (C)
12.	(any which is re to the examiner	vou visited t	hese wells?	graqueen	led concerning we cy - response
13 14	1 +ell u	s what you foun	nd at the s	ite s :	
2 21	A. abandoned B. a dry hole C. any sign of	present product	tion	ewells in its	present
; ; ;	B. a difficient of C. any sign of Would there be a Condition?				
L L		afety problem?	t there or	not the well	s should
1	6. Could the be a since the plugged?	opinion as to	whether or		
	De bragger	• •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

18. Do you have a recommended plugging program to submit to the Commission at this time?

(if there is a recommended plugging program:

1. What should be pulled from the well?

2. Where should plugs be set and why?

- 3. How many sacks of cement needed?)
- 19. Do you have true and correct copies of all Commission forms for inclusion in the record of this case?

 $\langle \lambda \rangle$

20. Offer forms as exhibits.

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO P. O. BOX 2088 - SANTA FE 87501

June 7, 1973

I. R. TRUJILLO CHAIRMAN

LAND COMMISSIONER ALEX J. ARMIJO MEMBER

STATE GEOLOGIST A. L. PORTER, JR. SECRETARY – DIRECTOR

CERTIFIED - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mrs. Corinne Grace P. O. Box 1418 Carlsbad, New Mexico 88220

John N. Rahlfs Company Agent The Travelers Indemnity Combany 404 West Illinois Midland, Texas 79701

Grubesic & Walsh The Travelers Indemnity Company Inner Loop at Manhatten Santa Fe, New Mexico Re: Range

Ranger Lake Well's Nos. 1 and 3, Unit N, Section 11, Township 12 South, Range 34 East, Lea County, New Mexico, The Travelers Indemnity Company \$10,000 Blanket Bond Form 39-A1 issued August 11, 1970

Gentlemen: Enclosed is a copy of the docket of the Examiner Hearing to be held on Wednesday, June 20, 1973, at 9:00 A.m. in the Oil Conservation Commission Conference a.m. in the Oil Conservation Commission Conference Room, State Land Office Building, Santa Fe, New Mexico. Case No. 4992 concerns the subject matter.

very truly yours, Fare

WILLIAM F. CARR Special Assistant Attorney General Oil Conservation Commission

WFC/dr enclosure cc: Oil Conservation Commission - Hobbs

Docket No. 16-73

DOCKET: REGULAR HEARING - WEDNESDAY - JUNE 20, 1973 OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION - 9 A.M. - MORGAN HALL, STATE LAND OFFICE In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico upon its own motion to consider the application of UL NEW MEXICO UPON ILS OWN MOLION LO CONSIGER LUE application OL Thriftway Company, operator of a petroleum refinery in Bloomfield, New Minimum volupany, operator of a perroreum refinery in productieru, Mexico, for certification to the Commissioner of Public Lands as a small business enterprise within the state not having an adequate supply CASE 4990: of charge stocks and eligible to be granted a preference, pursuant to Chapter 34 New Merdee Laws of 1067 for the surplus of stote merde Chapter 34, New Mexico Laws of 1967, for the purchase of state royalty Unapter 34, New MEXICO Laws OI 1707, IOL THE PULCHASE OF State royarty oil. The Commission will consider the application and such pertinent evidence as may be submitted concerning the capacity of the refinery, evidence as may be submitted concerning the capacity of the ferinery, the source and grade of all refinery charge stocks currently available the source and grade of all relinery charge stocks currently avariable to the applicant, the minimum amount and grade of additional refinery to the applicant, the minimum amount and grade of additional reinnery charge stocks needed to meet existing refinery commitments or existing fortners consists, and the offerte made by confidents to purchase the refinery capacity, and the efforts made by applicant to purchase refinery charge stocks on the open market. Application of El Paso Natural Gas Company for the amendment of the apprication of the raso natural cas company for the amenument of the prorated gas pool rules promulgated by Order No. R-1670, as amended. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the amendment of the General Rules and Regulations for the Prorated Gas Pools of Northwest CASE 4991: Veneral Rules and Regulations for the rivialed was routs of Northw New Mexico and Southeast New Mexico, as promulgated by Commission Order No. R-1670, as amended. Applicant proposes: 1. That Rule 9 (B) of the Northwest New Mexico Gas Proration Rules and 10 (A) of the Southeast New Mexico Gas Provation Rules Refer and to (A) of the southeast New Plexico was rioration Nutes each be amended to provide that the monthly allowable assigned to a each de amended to provide that the monthly allowable assigned to marginal well would be equal to its latest available monthly pro-2. That Rule 13 of the Northwest New Mexico and Southeast New Mexico Gas Proration Rules be amended to provide that the proration period would be the 12-month period from 7:00 a.m. April 1 of each duction. period would be the L2-month period from 1:00 a.m. April 1 of each year to 7:00 a.m. April 1 of the following year. (The current proration period, due to terminate at 7:00 a.m. January 1, 1974, would be extended to 7:00 a.m. April 1, 1974). 3. That Rule 16 (A) of the Northwest New Mexico and Southeast New Mexico Gas Proration Rules be amended to provide that each New mexico das rioración nuites de amenuen co provide unal each proration period consist of four classification periods for the purpose of classifying wells marginal, each such classification purpose of classifying wells marginal, each such classification period being of three months duration; and to provide that after production data is available for the last month of each such production data is available for the last month of each such classification period, any well which had an underproduced status at the beginning of the concentration of the conce the beginning of the gas proration period would be classified marginal Regular Hearing - Wednesday - June 20, 1973 -2-

Docket No. 16-73

de l'étérésé

(Case 4991 continued from Page 1)

if its highest single month's production during the classification period is less than its average monthly allowable for the classification period, unless within 15 days after receipt of notice of classification of a well as marginal, the operator of the well or other interested person presents satisfactory evidence to the Commission showing that the well is not of marginal character and should not be so classified.

Applicant, in addition to the above-requested specific rule changes, further requests such additional word changes in other orders and/or Rules of the Commission (including but not limited to Order No. R-333-F-1) as may be necessary to effectuate the substantive rule changes proposed herein.

CASE 4992:

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Corinne Grace and the Travelers Indemnity Company and all other interested parties to appear and show cause why the Ranger Lake Wells Nos. 1 and 3 located in Unit N of Section 11, Township 12 South, Range 34 East, Lea County, New Mexico should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program.

CASE 4993:

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Corinne Grace and the Travelers Indemnity Company and all other interested parties to appear and show cause why the T. P. State Well No. 1 located in Unit A of Section 17, Township 10 South, Range 33 East, Lea County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program.

CASE 4994:

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Glenn Petroleum Corporation and United States Fire Insurance Company and all other interested parties to appear and show cause why the Crosby Well No. 1 located in Unit O of Section 26, Township 7 South, Range 30 East, Chaves County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commissionapproved plugging program.

CASE 4995:

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Glenn Petroleum Corporation and United States Fire Insurance Company and all other interested parties to appear and show cause why the Sanders Well No. 1 located in Unit N of Section 25, Township 7 South, Range 30 East, Chaves County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commissionapproved plugging program. Regular Hearing - Wednesday - June 20, 1973 -3Docket No. 16-73

<u>CASE 4996</u>: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit C. H. Brockett Inc. and the Indemnity Company and all other interested parties to appear and show cause why the Lazy J State "A" Well No. 1 located in Unit A of Section 3, Township 14 South, Range 33 East, Lea County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program.

CASE 4997:

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Jal Fishing Tool Company and United States Fidelity & Guarantee Company and all other interested parties to appear and show cause why the H. Whitten Well No. 1 located in Unit C of Section 4, Township 24 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program.

CASE 4998:

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit E. P. Campbell and Aetna Casualty and Surety Company and all other interested parties to appear and show cause why the E. P. Campbell Christmas Well No. 1 located in Unit C of Section 6, Township 23 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program.

CASE 4999:

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Standard Production Company and Aetna Life & Casualty and all other interested parties to appear and show cause why the Brown Lease Wells Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 5-A, located in Units F, D, C, F, E, and E, respectively, of Section 25, Township 25 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program, and why the location of Well No. 6 in Unit L and No. 7 in Unit M of said Section 25 should not be cleaned and levelled.

CASE 5000:

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Aztec Oil and Gas Company and United States Fidelity and Guaranty Company and all other interested parties to appear and show cause why the Aztec Totah Unit Wells Nos. 17 and 18, located in Unit E of Section 20, and Unit H of Section 19, respectively, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, San Juan County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program.

CASE 5001:

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Tamanaco Oil Corporation and Continental Casualty Company and all other interested parties to appear and show cause why the El Poso Ranch "N" Well No. 11 located in Unit N of Section 11, Township 28 North, Range 1 East, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program. Regular Hearing - Wednesday - June 20, 1973 -4Docket No. 16-73

CASE 5002:

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Tamanaco Oil Corporation and Continental Casualty Company and all other interested parties to appear and show cause why the Pound Ranch "B" Well No. 27 located in Unit B of Section 27, Township 28 North, Range 1 East, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commissionapproved plugging program.

CASE 5003:

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Dan M. Lockie and Hartford Fire Insurance Company Group and all other interested parties to appear and show cause why the Dan M. Lockie, Gersbach Com #1 located in Unit J of Section 3, Township 29 North, Range 16 West, San Juan County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program.

CASE 5004:

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Pettus and Associates and United States Fidelity and Guaranty Company and all other interested parties to appear and show cause why the Pettus and Associates, Hunt Well No. 1 located in Unit K of Section 3, Township 29 North, Range 16 West, San Juan County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program.

CASE 5005:

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Pettus and Associates and United States Fidelity and Guaranty Company and all other interested parties to appear and show cause why the Pettus and Associates, Don Stock Well No. 1 located in Unit E of Section 3, Township 29 North, Range 16 West, San Juan County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program.

CASE 5006:

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Aztec Oil and Gas Company and United States Fidelity and Guaranty Company and all other interested parties to appear and show cause why the Southeast Cha Cha Unit Well No. 1 located in Unit M of Section 32, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, San Juan County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program.

Regular Hearing - Wednesday - June 20, 1973 -5-

CASE 4989:

S. ∯.,

Non and

(Continued from the June 6, 1973, Examiner Hearing) In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission upon its own motion to consider the amendment of the general rules governing prorated gas pocls in Northwest and Southeast New Mexico as promulgated by Order No. R-1670, as amended. Rule 15 of the aforesaid general rules would be amended to provide that if a well is overproduced in an amount exceeding six times its average monthly allowable for the preceding twelve months (or, in the case of a newly connected well or a well in a newly prorated pool, six times its average monthly allowable for the months available), it shall be shut in during that month and each succeeding month until it is overproduced in an amount less than six times its average monthly allowable, as determined above.

Rule 15 would be further amended to permit, the Secretary-Director of the Commission to grant a pool-wide moratorium of up to three months on the shutting in of gas wells during periods of high demand emergency if a significant number of the wells in the pool are subject to being shut in.

Docket No. 16-73

 $\{ \{ \} \}$

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

HOBBS, NEW MEXICO 88240

June 5, 1972

		**************************************	19	
			• .* .*	
CILC	tana ang sa tang Tang sa tang s		a sharta Shuno	
	1992	<u>3 - 1-12</u> -1-1	11:	
		00C	•	
Hearin	g Daie_	6-20	7 3	
1100100	مربية مربية من مربية مربية	مستعصفة كمجموم يعرمنه		

< 1 N

Corinne Grace % Oil Reports & Gas Services P. O. Box 767 Hobbs, New Mexico

Dear Mrs. Grace:

You are the operator of three wells in northern Lea County, these wells being T. P. State No. 1 located in Unit A of Section 17, T-10-S, R-33-E, and the Ranger Lake No. 1 and No. 3, both located in Unit N of Section 11, T-12-S, R-34-E.

As you know, these wells have been shut in for some period of time now, and it would appear that they are non-productive.

 \mathbb{S}

Since it appears that these wells will not be produced, it would seem proper that the wells be plugged and abandoned at this time. Therefore, please advise this office as to your plans for the wells, and if no workover prospects are available, please make plans to abandon these wells immediately.

Yours very truly,

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Joe D. Ramey Supervisor, District 1

JDR/mc

DIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

HOBBS, NEW MEXICO 88240

August 4, 1972

Corinna Grace % Oil Reports & Gas Services P. O. Box 767 Hobbs, New Mexico

Dear Mrs. Grace:

On June 5, 1972, I wrote you concerning three shut in wells, being T. P. State No. 1 located in Unit A of Section 17, T-10-S, R-33-E, and the Ranger Lake No. 1 and No. 3, both located in Unit N of Section 11, T-12-S, R-34-E.

Nearly 60 days has expired since I wrote, and to date I have heard nothing from you to as to what your plans for these wells may be.

Please file by September 1, 1972, Form C-103 for each of these wells showing what your immediate plans are for the wells.

If I have not heard from you by September 1, 1972, concerning these wells, I will have no alternative but to set a show cause hearing, which will enable you to appear before the Commission and show cause why the wells should not be plugged and abandoned.

Yours very truly,

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Joe D. Ramey Supervisor, District 1

JDR/mc

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

HOBBS, NEW MEXICO 88240

September 11, 1972

Corinne Grace Box 1418 Carlsbad, New Mexico

Attention: Miss Juanita Jones

Dear Mrs. Grace:

This office is holding Forms C-104 on the T-P State No. 1, Ranger Lake No. 1, and Ranger Lake No. 3, which when approved will enable you to sell to the Permian Corporation what you have labelled as legal stock at these

Before these can be approved, it will be necessary for you to update your Forms C-115 for these wells. Evidently you have not been filing Form C-115 on these wells since you stopped producing the wells.

It would be my suggestion that you contact Mr. R. L. Stamets in our Santa Fe office to determine just what will need to be done in the line of filing Forms C-115.

Yours very truly,

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Joe D. Ramey Supervisor, District 1

JDR/mc

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

HOBBS. NEW MEXICO 88240

May 1, 1973

Corinne Grace P. O, Box 1418 Carlsbad, New Mexico 88220

Dear Mrs. Grace:

As you well know, this office has contacted you numerous times concerning future plans for operating your T P State located in Unit A of Section 17, T-10-S, R-33-E, and your Ranger Lake Well No. 1 and No. 3 located in Unit N of Section 11, T-12-S, R-34-E. To date the only answer to my inquiries has been a request to run oil which has been accumulated at the batteries for these wells.

Since it is apparent to me that you no longer plan to produce these wells, and since you have refused to answer my inquiries, I have no choice but to turn this matter over to the Commission's attorney so that he may set a hearing to enable you to show cause why the wells should not be plugged and abandoned.

In line with this, the matter has been turned over to the Commission attorney, and he will notify you as to a hearing date.

Yours very truly,

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Joe D. Ramey Supervisor, District 1

JDR/mc

cc-William F. Carr, Attorney Oil Conservation Commission Santa Fe, New Mex1co

· ·····	DISTRIBUTION	NE		ONSERVATION COM		Form C -104		
FIL U.S	LE B.G.S.	4	FOR ALLOWABLE		Supersedes Old C-104 and C-110 Effective 1-1-65			
LA	ND OFFICE		ATION TO TRA	NSPORT OIL AND	NATURAL GAS	n de la companya de l A companya de la comp		
	ANSPORTER GAS		1660	1 10 -				
PR	IORATION OFFICE	Cases	. 4990	+ 499 3	}			
Adde	Cordina Crace	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				······		
Rea	Po Qo Hor 1418, Gerle son(s) for Hing (Check proper box,	Event, Same Hon	1co 8 220	Other (Plea				
New	well	Change in Trai	(R)					
1	inge in Ownership	Casinghead Ga	Dry Ga		the search allo			
	ange of ownership give name address of previous owner				<u></u>	£34		
	SCRIPTION OF WELL AND							
	ise Name Sattant Laise		Name, Including Fi		Kind of Lease State, Federal or	Fee State Mo.		
	ation D 660		······	¥ #2+54				
	Unit Letter;	96 6		5 An	Feet From The			
·	AND				· ·	County		
Non	SIGNATION OF TRANSPORT re of Authorized Transporter of Oil Login Parindian University	or Conder		Address (Give address		copy of this form is to be sent)		
	to of Authorized Transporter of Cas		or Dry Gas	Address (Give address to which approved copy of this form is to be sent)				
		Un!t Sec.	Twp. Pge.	Is gas actually connec	ted? When			
	ell produces oil or líquids, a location of tanks.	8 11	129 348	150				
	is production is commingled with MPLETION DATA	th that from any oth	ner lease or pool,	give commingling ord	er number:	y €ery La serie de la s		
	Designate Type of Completio	on = (X)	II Gas Well	New Well Workover	Deepen Pl	ug Back Same Res'v. Diff. Res'v.		
Date	e Spudded	Date Compl. Read	to Prod.	Total Depth	P.	B.T.D.		
Elev	vations (DF, RKB, RT, GR, etc.)	Name of Producing	Formation	Top Oll/Gas Pay	T	ubing Depth		
Perf	forations	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	D	epth Casing Shoe		
	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	TUBI	NG. CASING, AND	CEMENTING RECO	RD			
	HOLE SIZE		UBING SIZE	DEPTH		SACKS CEMENT		
					······································			
	ST DATA AND REQUEST FO	OR ALLOWABLE	(Test must be a) able for shis de	ter recovery of total vo pth or be for full 24 hou		must be equal to or exceed top allow-		
	e First New Oil Run To Tanks	Date of Test	·····	Producing Method (Flo		ic.)		
Lend	gth of Test	Tubing Pressure	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Casing Pressure	C	hoke Size		
Actu	ual Prod. During Test	Oll - Bbis.		Water - Bbls,	G	28 - MCF		
L		<u> </u>						
	S WELL	The set of man				avity of Condensate		
Actu	ual Prod. Teet-MCF/D	Length of Test		Bbls, Condensate/MM	- X	avity of Condensate		
Tes	nting Method (pitot, back pr.)	Tubing Pressure (thut-in)	Casing Pressure (Shu	t-ia) C	noke Size		
		CE		OIL	CONSERVAT	DN COMMISSION		
	RTIFICATE OF COMPLIANO			13	54 B. (11	the term of the		
L DER	reby certify that the rules and t	regulations of the (Dil Conservation	APPROVED	7	, 19		
I. CER	RTIFICATE OF COMPLIAN reby certify that the rules and r mission have been complied w re is true and complete to the	regulations of the C with and that the i	nformation given	APPROVED	In w. 1	Rungan		
I. CER	reby certify that the rules and r	regulations of the C with and that the i	nformation given	(), (), ()	h w.	Pungan		
I. CER	reby certify that the rules and r mission have been compiled w re is true and complete to the	regulations of the (with and that the i best of my know)	nformation given	BY		plience with RULE 1104.		
I. CER	reby certify that the rules and r mission have been complied w re is true and complete to the <u>Semmeth F.</u> (Signo	regulations of the (with and that the i best of my know South Cle ature)	nformation given	BY		because while deliled or deepened		
I. CER	reby certify that the rules and r mission have been complied w re is true and complete to the Remnerch F.	Source of the contract of the	nformation given	BY TITLE This form is If this is a re well, this form mu tests taken on the	quest for allowed st be accompanied well in accordan of this form must b	e for a newly drilled or deepened by a tabulation of the deviation ce with RULE 111. e filled out completely for allow-		

Hause of										
Separate	Forms	C-104	must	he	filed	for	each	pool	in mul	tiply

BEORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION ON ITS OWN MOTION TO PERMIT CORINNE GRACE AND THE TRAVELERS INDEMNITY COMPANY AND ALL OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES TO APPEAR AND SHOW CAUSE WHY THE RANGER LAKE WELLS NOS. 1 AND 3 LOCATED IN UNIT N OF SECTION 11, TOWNSHIP 12 SOUTH, RANGE 34 EAST, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO SHOULD NOT BE PLUGGED AND ABANDONED IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMMISSION-APPROVED PLUGGING PROGRAM.

CASE NO. 4992 Order No. R-4567

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on June 20, 1973, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission."

NOW, on this ______ day of June, 1973, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony presented and the exhibits received at said hearing, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by Law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That Corinne Grace is the owner and operator of the Ranger Lake Wells Nos. 1 and 3, located in Unit N of Section 11, Township 12 South, Range 34 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico.

(3) That the operator of said wells has failed to file proper forms with the commission concerning said wells and has not responded to repeated inquiries from the Commission concerning the status of

these wells. (4) That a bearing was hed before the Commission on finites, 1973, to permit any interested party to appear an show cause why said weeks showed not be plonged and abandoned. (4) That the operator appeared before the Commission and within which requested a reasonable time to test said wells and attempt to put them on commercial production.

6 as a condition procedut to granting said heques for (3) That, all Commission forms concerning said wells should be promptly filed with the Commission.

draft ac/

-2-Case No. 4992 Order No. R-

(*) That the operator should report to the Hobbs office of the on form C-103 Commission in writing, every 30 days outlining what progress has been made toward placing said wells (, com, ercial production. (*) That 90 days should afford the operator sufficient

20, 1973

ber

opportunity to test said wells and determine their potential for economic production.

(*) That should said wells not be placed on production within 90 days, or should not a satisfactory showing be made to the Commission within 90 days that said well's are capable of commercial $H < \frac{1}{H} = \frac{1}{H}$

¹⁰(2) That in order to prevent waste and protect correlative rights said Ranger Lake Wells Nos. 1 and 3 should be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a program approved by the Hobbs District Office of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission on or before <u>September 20,1973</u>, have been unless said wells <u>see</u> placed on commercial production by that date, or the time, has been extended by the Commission or due of

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That Corinne Grace and Travelers Indemnity Company are hereby ordered to plug and abondon the Ranger Lake Wells Nos. 1 and 3, located in Unit N of Section 11, Township 12 South, Range 34 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, on or before <u>September 30</u> if said wells have not been placed on commercial production by that the date or **S** this time, has not been extended by order of the Commission.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

(1) That Corinne Grace promptly file all Commission forms and $6\pi + 6^{2}m + C - 10^{2}3$ file a written report with the Hobbs office of the Commission in writing every thirty days outlining what progress has been made toward placing said wells on commercial production. -3-Case No. 4992 Order No. R-

ac.

(2) That Corinne Grace and Travelers Indemnity Company, prior to plugging and abandoning the above-described well**\$**, shall obtain from the Hobbs Office of the Commission a Commissionapproved program for said plugging and abandoning, and shall notify said Hobbs Office of the date and hour said work is to be commenced whereupon the Commission may, at its option, witness such work.

(3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION STATE OF NEW MEXICO