

CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY SEMU-Permion No. 14

PRESENT CONDITION



CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY SEMU-Permian No. 18

PRESENT CONDITION



CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY

SEMU-Permion No. 20

PRESENT CONDITION



and the other start -

Al Malana, 200 Martin 200 Martin - Internet Contractor



i ti

7" Liner - Freposed LCD 39701

CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY SEMU-Permion No.23





CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY

SEMU-Permion No.28

PRESENT CONDITION



AMERICAN PERMITING CORPORTION STAR OF

TELNA 2, OKLAN

PREDUCTION DEPARTMENT JAMES ELLOW GENERAL BUPER NOLINGENT

September 3, 1959

Continental Oil Company Box 749 Roewell, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. Mesd

Gentlemen:

We have examined your proposal for the cooperative vaterflooding of the Skaggs pool in Les County, Nev Mexico. We agree that this is an attractive secondary recovery prospect and that it is desirable to initiate a pilot waterflood at an

This letter will confirm our desire to attempt the cooperative waterflooding of the Skaggs pool in lieu of poolwide unitization. We agree to make every reasonable effort to enter into the necessary cooperative lease line injection agreements with you and the other operators in the pool at such time as the results of pilot flood indicate that flood expension into the area in which we operate is warranted. This assumes that we mutually feel at that time that such an expressent is desirable.

Very truly yours,

JEL: as

ce: Margeo, Jrc. MISISTER, TOTAL

> Mr. Millin Seet 709 Best Place Robberg and Alexander

He Comment

RECEIVED

8 1953

 $2F_{\rm F}$

Attention Br. J. D. 19 NJCA SELECTED EXAMINER NUTTER CALL AND RYANCEL COMMISSION

Ĩ



an **St** € 1 and 1

2

gend typetic Control of Anna Angel Control Petropologica (Control Angel Control Petropologica (Control Angel

Alter Maria Contra

Weitzen dit eine

This extends that will need to be allow of the properties is the teneral to the site property of the property of the extendition of the teneral of the strength of the strength of the teneral teneral to be a strength of the teneral of the teneral destrict to the teneral of the teneral of the teneral of the destremant teneral to be the teneral of the teneral of the well be teneral to the teneral of the teneral of the teneral teneral teneral teneral teneral to the teneral of the teneral teneral teneral teneral teneral of the teneral of the teneral teneral lease its of the teneral of the teneral of the teneral of the lease its of the teneral of the teneral of the teneral of the lease its of the teneral of the teneral of the teneral of the teneral of the

Texate Inc. Will an effect the ended of the ended of the endered five Naterilation function of the endered break of the endered for a state of the the cluster of the endered for an endered break of the endered break to be cluster of the endered for the endered break of the the term of the endered for a state of the endered break of the term of the endered for the endered of the endered break of the term of the endered for the endered of the endered break of the term of the endered for the endered of the endered break of the endered break of the term of the endered of the endered of the endered of the endered break of the endered break of the endered break of the endered break of the endered of the endered break of

والأستعادي الروابي والمترا

Marshy

 $1.55 \times M_{\rm c}^2$

land and

1990.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Drawer 1857 Roswell, New Mexico 88201

February 24, 1975

Continental Oil Company Attention: Mr. L. P. Mompson P.C. Box 460 Hobbs, New Mexico 88240

Gentlemen:

One approved copy of your 1975 plan of development for the Southeast Monument unit area, Lea County, New Mexico, is enclosed. Such plan, proposing continuation of waterf cod operations in the Permian and Eumont participating areas, the initiation of pilot waterflood operations in the Simpson-McKee participating area, and the drilling of one Cass Penn well, was approved on this date.

Sincerely yours,

CONTRACTOR OF TRACKWICK

CARL C. TRAYWICK Acting Area Oil and Gas Supervisor

cc:

✓NMOCC, Santa Fe (ltr only) Com. Pub. Lands, Santa Fe (ltr only) Hobbs (w/cy plan)

JAGillham:ds

CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY

828 PETROLEUM BUILDING ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO

WM. A. MEAD Division Superintendent of Production New Meetics Division

> Office of State Engineer P.O. Box 1079 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

Continental Oil Company is making application for the institution of a pilot waterflood project in the Skaggs Pool, located in T-20S, R-37E and R-38E, Lea County, New Mexico. Please find attached a copy of this Application.

In conformance with the requirements of Memorandum 5-58 we are submitting additional information as follows: The water proposed for use in the pilot waterflood project will be obtained from the disposal system of the Cass Pool, located in Sec. 23, T-205, R-37E. The Cass Pool produces from the Pennsylvanian formation, which is located at an approximate depth of 7700 feet.

An analysis of the Pennsylvanian formation water will be forwarded to your office within the next few days.

If additional information concerning this pilot waterflood project is required, please contact Uontinental Oil Company's Division Office in Roswell, New Mexico.

Very truly yours,

je la de distante

MN-AD ec: NEOCC (2) Santa Fe, New Lexico

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMESSION Santa Fe, New Mexico June 22, 1960 EXAMINER HEARING CH 3-6691 IN THE MATTER OF: PHONE Application of Continental Oil Company for a waterflood project. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing it to institute a waterflood Case 1990 project in the Skaggs Pool on its Southeast Monument Unit by the injection of water into the Grayburg formation through six wells located in Sections 19, 24, and 30, Township 20 South, Hanges 37 and 38 East, Lea County, New Mexico. **BEFORE**: Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner. TRANSCHIPT OF HEARING MR. PAYNE: Application of Continental Oil Company for a waterflood project. MR. KELLAHIN: Jason Kellahin, Kellahin & Fox, Santa Fe, representing the applicant. We will have one witness. Mr. Queen. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICI (Witness sworn.) (Whereupon, Continental's Exhibits 1 through 7 were marked for identification. JOHN A. QUEEN called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.



		DIRECT EXAMINATION		
		BY MR. KELLAHIN:		
		Q	Would you state your name, Mr. Queen?	
	PHONE CH 3-6691	A	John A. Queen.	
		Q	By whom are you employed and in what position?	
lnc.	NONA	Å	Continental Oil Company, Division Engineer, New Mexico	
SERVICE, Inc.		Division.		
		Q	Have you previously testified before this Commission as	
		a petroleum engineer and had your qualifications accepted?		
EY-MEIER REPORTING 5		Å	Yes, sir, I have.	
			MR. KELLAHIN; Are the witness's qualifications accept-	
		able?		
			MR. NUTTER: Yes, they are.	
DEARNLEY-MEIER	ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO	Q	Are you familiar with the application before the Com-	
		mission in Case 1990?		
		A	Yes, sir, I am.	
		Q	Would you state briefly what's proposed in this applica-	
		tion?		
		A	This is the application of Continental Oil Company for	
		permissic	on to institute a pilot waterflood project in the Southeast	
		Monument	Unit in the Skaggs Pool under the provisions of 701 of	
	:	the Commi	ssion Rules and Regulations.	
	4	Q	Now, in your capacity as Division Engineer for Continental	
		Oil Compa	ny, have you made a study, or has a study been made under	

ľ

I

s



PAGE 2

"Your direction, of Mariakages Pool?

A Yes, sir, it has.

Q As a result of this study have you concluded that water flooding is feasible in the Skaggs Pool?

A Yes, sir, we have.

Q Now, in what part of the Skaggs Pool do you propose to start water flooding?

A Our Exhibit 1, which we have previously passed out, is the location plat of the Skaggs Pool area, all wells completed in the Skaggs Pool are circled in green and the project area as defined by Rule 701 is outlined in red and the proposed injection wells are circled in blue.

As you will note, the Southeast corner of the Southeast corner of Section 19 is shown in a dotted red line. We propose to drill a producing well at that location after the pilot flood is in operation. Therefore, it would be included in the project area, being a direct East offset to one of the proposed injection wells.

We propose to convert the Southeast Monument Unit Permian No. 14 located in the Northeast Quarter, Southeast Quarter Section 24, 20 South, 37 East; the Southeast Monument Unit, Permian No. 18, located in the Northeast Quarter, Southwest Quarter of Section 19, 20, 38; the Southeast Monument Unit, Permian No. 20 located in the Southwest Quarter,



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

HONE CH 3-6691

Southwest Quarter Section 19, 20, 38; the Southeast Monument Unit, Permian No. 21, located in the Southwest Quarter, Southeast Quarter of Section 19, 20, 38 and the Southeast Monument Unit, Permian No. 23, located in the Northeast Quarter, Northwest Quarter of Section 30, 20, 38; in the Southeast Monument Unit, Permian 28, located in the Southwest Quarter, Northwest Quarter of Section 19, 20, 38.

These wells to be converted to water injection wells. The heavy black lines on Exhibit 1 indicates the boundary of the Southeast Monument Unit which Continental Oil Company operates.

Q That pattern will result in a double five-spot injection pattern, won't it?

A That is correct.

Q For what reason do you prefer to use a double pattern rather than a single five-spot for the initial project area?

A This would be a matter of economics, in the choice of a double five-spot we have a chance to evaluate any one failure and not allow it to condemn the whole project. It would also allow us to place this flood into a more rapid stage of expansion once the pilot flood is proven to be productive.

Q Would you give a brief history of the Skaggs Pool?

A The Skaggs Pool was discovered in March, 1937, by Continental, Southeast Monument Unit, Permian No. 14, formerly called the Skaggs "A" 24 No. 1, located 1980 feet from the South and 660 feet from the East Lines of Section 24, 20, 37, Lea County,



PAGE

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE. Inc. ALBUQUERQLE, NEW MEXICO

CH 3-6691

NON

New Mexico. This well was completed in the Grayburg formation at a total depth of 3900 feet for an IP flowing of 504 barrels of oil per day.

Two additional wells, the Southeast Monument Unit, Permian No. 15 and 16, were completed during 1937. Production from these wells declined rather rapidly and due to the low price of crude, seventyfive cents per barrel in 1937, additional development was discontinued and further development of the pool was not resumed until 1949. The pool now has a total of 78 producing wells.

Q Do you have any information on the bottom hole pressures? A If I may refer to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 2. Exhibit No. 2 is a reservoir performance curve of the Skaggs Pool. The principal drive mechanism of the Skaggs Pool is a solution gas drive and Exhibit 2 shows the characteristic curve of a solution gas drive reservoir.

This can be pointed out in several matters. For one example, as noted on Exhibit 2, the water production for the entire Skaggs Pool is presently approximately 100 barrels of oil per day.

Q You mean water, do you not?

A Water production. Did I say oil? The water production is presently between 100 and 200 barrels per day.

This Exhibit 2 further shows that the gas production has declined considerably since the early part of 1959 and is presently producing approximately 5.5 MOF per day. Let me correct this,



PHONE CH 3-6691 DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

5.5 Midd per day. A further example of proof that this is a construction gas drive reservoir is the hittory hold precourt which is noted, since 1952 this bottom hold pressure has shown a steady decline. The gas-oil ratio has reached approximately 7500 average gas-oil ratio and has declined since early 1959.

From theory we know the gas-oil ratio will continue to decline and that very little additional oil will be recovered from this point on. Due to the method this pool was developed, the Southern part is in a more advanced stage of depletion than the Northern part.

If I may refer to Exhibit 3. This exhibit is a curve showing the performance of Continental's average Skaggs Pool well. In other words, these are the wells in the Southeast Monument Unit area. The curve exhibits the same shape as the reservoir wide curve, but illustrates a more advanced stage of depletion. For instance, in March, 1960, the average Skaggs Pool well produced 11.6 barrels of oil per day while the average Continental produced slightly less than 10 barrels of oil per day. The average pool bottom hole pressure was 476 PSI while the average pressure in Southeast Monument Unit was 443 PSI for December, some 33 POI loss than the average for the Northern part of the pool during this same survey.

Q . What was the original bottom hole pressure in this pool?

A The highest bottom hole pressure measured in the Skaggs Pool was 1542 TUI at the subsea datum of minus 250 feet. The mude was

PAGE 6

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE. Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

CH 3-66%

NOH

bundersaturated at the initial bottom hole pressure. The solution gas-oil ratio at the bubble point pressure of 1300 PSI and bottom hole pressure of 86 degrees Fahrenheit was 586 cubic feet per barrel. The gravity of the produced crude is in the range of 36 to 35 degrees API.

0

What is the reservoir formation here?

A If I may refer to what has been marked, tentatively marked Exhibit 4, this is a structure map of the Skaggs Pool, contoured on top of the Grayburg formation. The Skaggs Fool is a monocline on the East flank of the Monument high with a perosity pinchout updip. The production is from the lower Penrose and Grayburg formations. The gross productive interval is approximately 150 feet thick and consists of sand, sandy dolomite and fine to medium crystaline dolomite with zones of pinpoint to vuggy porosity. The average porosity, as determined from core analysis, was 6.5% with permeabilities in the range from five to ten millidarcys. The irreducible water saturation is estimated at 30%.

Using these formation characteristics, the initial oil in place in the Skaggs Pool has been volumetrically estimated at 28,602,000 barrels of oil.

MR. NUTUR: "Rat's total oil in place originally?

A Total oil in place originally. This is considered to be a maximum feet. The primary recovery is estimated at 6,834,000 <u>harrels</u>, or approximately 23.9% of the initial oil in place.



PAGE

HONE CH 3-6691 DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. MEXICO ALBUQUERQUE, NFW

What is the cumulative production for the reservoir. 0 Mr. Queen?

A The cumulative production from the reservoir to 4-1-60 is 4,832,121 barrels of oil, which represents some 71% of the estimated recovery by primary means. The bottom hole pressure has decreased from the highest measured pressure of 1542 PSI to 476 PSI as of December, 1959 survey. The estimated abandonment pressure is approximately 250 PSI, which means that the reservoir pressure is approximately 75% depleted.

What is the average per well capacity in the Skaggs Pool? Q

11.6 barrels per day. The average well capacity in the project area is approximately ten barrels per day. This fact, together with the decline in reservoir pressure in the smallest percentage of remaining primary recovery to be obtained, clearly indicates that the pool and project area are in an advanced stage of depletion and the stripper type of production.

Q You say that the pool is in an advanced stage of depletion and stripper type production. Would you summarize the facts that lead you to believe this is a stripper operation as of today?

Yes, sir. As I have previously testified, the bool is A approximately 75% depleted under maximum estimates. The gas-oil ratio has reached a maximum figure as shown on Exhibits 2 and 3. It is now declining, which shows to me is an advanced stage of



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE. Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO depletion for a solution gas drive reservoir. The average well oroduction is less than ten barrels of oil per day in the project area and in the Southeast Monument Unit area; however, 26 of 41 wells, which is 63% of the producing wells in the Southeast Monument Unit area, are producing considerably less than ten barrels of oil per day.

There are presently four shut-in wells in the Southeast Monument Unit area and there are five wells producing at their economic limit at the present time. These wells are being maintained on production merely because of the proposed water flood. These figures indicate to me that this is in an advanced stage of depletion and classified as a stripper stage of depletion.

Q Will the project be a pattern flood?

A Yes, sir. It will be an 80-acre five-spot flood. The pilot area will consist of six input wells and two producing wells as shown in Exhibit 1.

Q Will water be injected in sufficient quantities and under sufficient pressure to stimulate production from other wells in the area?

A Yes, sir. We propose to inject approximately 3,000 barrels of water per day through the six wells at anticipated pressure of 2,000 PSI. This is approximately 500 barrels per well.

Q I understand that you expect to have to inject the water under pressure?



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUO, NEW MEXICO

HONE CH 3-6691

A That is correct. The permeability and porosity of this formation indicates to us it will be necessary to use pressure to inject sufficient quantities of water to effect movement of oil from the reservoir.

Q Where do you propose to get your water for this flood?

A We propose to use the produced water from the Cass Pool located 12,000 feet West of the Skaggs Pool for the pilot waterflood. If the flood is expanded we will supplement this water from the San Andres formation. This is our present plans for the expansion. The amount of water required could of course change this during the expansion.

Q Will the supply of water from the Cass Pool be sufficient for the initial stages of the project?

A Yes, sir, it will.

Q At the present time you have no indication or idea that fresh water will be used in the flood?

A No, sir, we have made no plans for ever using fresh water at this time.

Q now do you propose to complete your injection wells?

A Exhibits No. 5 and 6, which have been previously passed out, are copies of logs from the proposed injection wells, and exhibit 6 is a schematic drawing showing the actual casing programs and the proposed methods of completion.

Exhibit 6 shows the wells completed with liners. However,



HONE CH 3-6691 UEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBI QUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

prior to running those liners we plan to run an injectivity profile. If this profile indicates that all zones are taking water in fairly equal amounts, the liners will not be run. If the injectivity profile shows on the other hand that one or two zones are taking most of the water, we then plan to run a liner to aid in controlling the amount of water injected into the various zones. Injection in all wells will be under a packer set on tubing.

Q Now, how long do you anticipate it will be before a response is obtained?

A We estimate that fillup in the pilot area will be achieved in approximately 14 months and that the response of the producing wells will occur in approximately 12 months.

Q What is the present producing rate of the proposed injection wells and their cumulative production?

A Diring April, 1960 the average daily production rate of the proposed injection wells was as follows: Southeast Monument Unit, Permian No. 14, 7 barrels per day; Southeast Monument Unit, Permian No. 18, 7 barrels per day; Southeast Monument Unit, Permian No. 20, 4 barrels per day; Southeast Monument Unit, Permian No. 21, 10 barrels per day; Southeast Monument Unit, Permian No. 23, 24 barrels per day; Southeast Monument Unit, Permian No. 23, 24 barrels per day.

The cumulative production from these wells as previously listed are as follows: 157,883 barrels, 62,653 barrels,



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXIC

HONE CH 3-6691

61,744 Farrels, 110,314 barrels, 65,311 Farrels, and for the last Southeast Monument Unit, Pormian No. 28, 108,740 Farrels of oil as of May 1st, 1960.

Q If waterflooding is instituted, what is your estimate of the additional recovery to be obtained?

A We estimate under waterflooding, that approximately 26% of additional oil of the initial oil in place will be recovered.

MR. NUTTER: What was that figure? A 26%.

MR. NUTTER: Of the original oil in place?

A Of the initial oil in place, yes, sir.

Q Then, in your opinion, the injection of the water in the Skaggs Fool will result in the recovery of oil which otherwise would not be produced, thereby preventing underground waste?

A Yes, it will.

Q In the event the flood is expanded, what steps will be taken to protect correlative rights?

A The two offset operators to our acreage, Amerada and Texaco, Inc., we have contacted both these operators with regard to the proposed flood and they have indicated they would honor a cooperative lease line agreement for the five-spot pattern.

Exhibit " A and B are a copy of the letters from these operators setting forth this position.

Q Then it would not endanger correlative rights?



PHONE DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

CH 3-6691

Q Will it result in the production of otherwise unrecoverable oil?

A Yes, it will.

Q As I understand your application, it is filed under the provisions of Hule 701?

A Yes, it is.

Q You are not asking for anything in addition to or different from the provisions of Rule 701, is that correct?

A No, sir, we are not.

Q Were Exhibits 1 through 7 prepared by you or under your direction and supervision?

A Yes, sir, they were.

MR. KELLAHIN: At this time we would like to introduce Exhibits 1 through 7.

MR. NUTTER: Continental's Exhibits 1 through 7 will be entered.

MR. KELLAHIN: That's all the questions I have.

MR. NUTTER: I would like to compliment Mr. Queen on a

very well-prepared case, Mr. Queen. Anyone have any questions?

MR. PAYNE: Yes.

MR. NUTTER: Mr. Payne.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. PAYNE:

Q

What are the figures by each well in the project area,



PAGE 13

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICI

MONE CH 3-6691

what do they indicate?

A We would like to appledize for the map we submitted to you. We tried to find one that did not have all the small figures on it and we were unable to do so and did not have time to prepare a, new one. Those figures are the number of the well. Some of them have one number and another number immediately under them. They're the same and they are merely put in there to show more clearly the number of the well.

Q I see, then, that's not the producing rate of the well? A No, that's merely the number of the well to be more clearly seen.

Q In the event that your injectivity tests show that in Continental's opinion you don't need to install liners, injection would then be through the casing?

A No, sir, through tubing with packers set near the shoe of the casing.

Oh. I see, it would be through tubing?

A Yes, sir.

Q

Q - Would that be plastic-coated tubing?

A Not in the beginning. We propose, of course, to run couper surveys to determine whether an inhibition program will be necessary. We recognize the alvantages of the plantic-meated lining. We have not form dated our plant as to what will be necessary as remarks permution.



PAGE 14

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

HONE CH 3-6691

MONE CH 3-6691 Inc. DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE. NEW MEXICO ALBUQUERQUE,

The Cass Pool water is not extremely correstive at all. The San Andres, which we now propose to use and expand, is not considered to be correstive. So, therefore, it is possible by treating the water with a small amount of inhibitors we will save considerable money by plastic-coating. We will do, however, what is necessary to protect our equipment in the well bore from an economic standpoint and protection of other formations.

Q The water that you are going to use, the Cass Pool, that's the water that caused your wells in the Cass Pool to be shut down for a period of time?

A I believe they were shut down for a matter of a few hours.

Q The water is now being carried a considerable distance and disposed of on the surface?

A Yes.

Q So this application will take care of a dual purpose?A Yes, it will.

Q You feel that in view of the producing rate in the wells in the Southeast Monument Unit this is more practical to classify it as a waterflood project than a pressure maintenance project?

A Yes, if I may expand on that for a second. This pool has long since been produced below its bubble point pressure, of which we have lost all advantages of this, post-wise, which is normally why you risk a pressure formation or perform pressure maintypages. This is as way could be classified as a pressure



PAGE 15

maintenance since the pressure is almost at the economic limit.

In our area there are five wells presently at the economic limit, four shut down wells and in the next two or three years approximately 30% of our wells will reach their economic limit. This oil that we are now producing has little value because of the high operating cost and low rate of production. Pressure maintenance to me is a pressure that will maintain the reservoir pressure such so that you will have an advantage of recovery and of viscosity, and also formation volume factor is involved in this, in the loss of oil.

Q Now, is there more than one producing zone in the Grayburg? Did I understand you to say that your injectivity tests were going to be to determine which zones of water were going in?

A The Grayburg is lenticular, it is not, the top from the bottom is not a common producing horizon. It has a gross thickness of 150 feet. The net pay varies from this point down to zero at the edge of the pool.

Q What Continental hopes to accomplish is to flood the entire Grayburg?

A That is correct, in that the entire Grayburg is one time or one zone individual, it depends on the various injection pressures that will be required to put them in different ones. There are very few fields which have more than one individual zone that are flooded exactly at the same time, at the same rate, so they are



CH 3-6691

all depleted at the same time. This is almost, in my opinion, impossible if there are several zones involved.

Q There are considerable producing formations below the Grayburg in this area?

A That is correct.

Q Do you feel that they're separated well enough from the Grayburg so that there'll be no loss of water into those zones?

A Very definitely.

MR. PAYNE: Thank you.

BY MR. NUTTER:

Q Are the various intervals in the Grayburg which you expect to perforate in the event that you install liners, are they correlative from one well to the other?

A Well, not exactly throughout the entire field from one well to another well, we feel like, yes, sir, we can correlate the zones. There are certain zones that appear to be productive, I should say certain intervals that appear to be productive across the entire Grayburg formation. I could not testify as to whether they're all or not, the chances they are all or not. It would not be necessary that they be productive across the entire field subject to a successful waterflood. They must be productive in more than one well.

Q Is there any evidence of vertical communication from one zone to the other in this pool?



HONE CH 3-6691 DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALEUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO none. I believe that basically, for accommical drainage, that each zone must be flooded separately for maximum sweep efficiency and maximum recovery of oil.

Q Is it your own personal expectation that you will find it necessary to install the liners?

A The problem in installing the liners multiplies when we considered that these wells have been shot. If we set liners we will have a large amount of casing around the different pay intervals.

This means that we must penetrate the cement sheath with some kind of method. We have run one or two calibers and we have found hole diameters in excess of 36 inches. About all we can say is that we hope we don't have to run them. We can tell you no way we can perforate them yet.

Q If you run the liners, how will they be cemented, will they have a cement sheath coming up all the way around?

A Yes, they would have no value if they didn't. They would be a full cement-controlled liner.

Q In the event that you don't run the liners, the injection would be through the wells as they're shown on Sxhibit 6 in their present condition, with the exception that you would install a packer?

A That is correct. <u>Q I would like to check these figures</u> for sure. What is



PAGE 18

HONE CH 3-6591

the average daily oil rate of production in the pilot area?

A In the vilot area? I don't believe I calculated that. You had two figures, one for the reservoir and one --Q One for the Southeast Monument Unit area. A

Q What is your Southeast Monument Unit daily production? Approximately 10 barrels a day. By the way, I did have A it for the pilot area. 12 barrels per day. This was for April. 1960.

12 barrels for the pilot area, 10 for the Southeast Monu-Q ment Unit area?

This 10 also includes this 12 barrels, the Southeast A Monument Unit includes the total area.

Q And 11.6 for the pool as a whole?

A For the entire pool. I did not come up with a figure for the Northern half which could be 13 or 14 barrels a day, I don't know.

You have letters of agreement from Amerada and Texas Q Company. Are those the only two operators that offset the Southeast Monument Unit area?

In the Grayburg formation, yes, sir. ٨

Mr. Queen, you don't know what the GOR limit for Q this pool is?

A 2,000 to 1.

Statewide, 2,000 to 1? A Yes, sir. Q



ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

HONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

3-6691

HO WONA

Q Rule 701 is silent in what happens in the event you have a high GOR in the waterflood. I presume it would be subject to the limitation. Do you encounter any difficulties in that regard in this pool?

A I believe if a GOR of 2,000 to 1 for the pilot is calculated, two thousand times the allowable rate, that our gas production will be less than that. We have some relatively high GOR's, but you must understand we have a low production, so, therefore, we are producing very little gas. We do not anticipate that we'll have any restriction on this from united project allowable. Let me leave out the unitized from the project allowable, in the project as shown at 2,000 GOR.

Q Mr. Queen, do you feel that some of the wells individually might have a problem insofar as their allowable is concerned with the possibility they may be penalized on account of a high GOM?

A Mr. Nutter, I understand that under a project area you have an allowable for that project area and not for an individual well.

Q Well, the project area is the sum of the individual well allowables?

A That is correct.

Q And it's limited by a maximum of 42?

A That is correct.

Q Which may be transferred?



PAGE 20

21 PAGE

A That is correct. On this basis, as I have previouslystated, that on the basis of 42 barrels per well within a project allowable and a 2,000 to 1 gas-oil ratio, we do not anticipate that we'll even approach this amount of gas.

Q Do you have some wells individually that produce with a GOR of more than 2,000 to 1?

A Yes, sir. Within the wells that will be in the project area.

Q In the project area?

A Yes, sir. For example, Well No. 23 is not a good one, Well No. 20 is producing at a GOR of 9,000 to 1, approximately.

MR. PAYNE: That will be an injection well though? A That will be an injection well.

MR. PAYNE: Do you have any producing wells?

A Well No. 15 is producing at a GOR of 13,000 to 1.

Q You would expect after response and fillup for the GOR to go down?

A We don't expect a large increase in gas, so a large increase in oil will create it to go down. After considering the fact that we would have a project allowable, and assuming this would be handled in the way the present GOK controls are on any given well in the field, we can see where we would not be penalized since we would take the allowable and multiply by 2,000, we would be allowed to produce this amount of gas.



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

CH 3-6691

FHONE

A When would the Commission consider they would change the injection wells? For example, Well No. 14 is producing 7 barrols on test. I think it produced 4 barrels for the month. At what time would you propose that you would increase the allowable in the project area?

MR. PAYNE: That well would have a 42 barrel allowable when the project is started.

MR. NUTTER: Regardless of the GOR.

A That would also be multiplied by 2,000 to 1 to determine how much gas would be produced in the project area. If this is true, this is the basis we have estimated this and on this basis we will not exceed our gas-oil ratio.

Q (By Mr. Nutter) You are assuming the maximum of the 2,000 to 1 for the six injection wells and figure that would nover you?

A Yes, sir. 10, for a short time before we did get a fillup that we do run slightly over, which if the GOR would start on an



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

CH 3-6691

PHONE

PAGE 23

["incline and instead of continuing to decline we would possibly be restricted. At the present time we see no problem if we have interpreted the allowable granted to an injection well. It was our understanding that it would be started as soon as we started injecting, on this basis.

In the first place we are not going to be able to produce a whole lot of oil because these wells do not produce a lot of oil, and we do not consider that we will produce our allowable even combined with what we have. When our calculations were put to this, our problems were minimized.

MR. NUTTER: I trust that they are. Does anyone have any further questions of Mr. Queen?

BY MR. PAYNE:

Q You expect a response in about a year?

Yes, sir. A

How soon would you expect to be producing your project Q allowable? That would be some time longer, would it not?

Yes, sir, it certainly would be. I have made no cal-A culation, but for some estimate it would probably be about twentyfive months.

0 Now, by that time would you anticipate that any of your producing wells would have a sas-oil ratio in excess of 2,000 to 1?

I would not estimate that they sould have. Å

MR. PAYNE: Thank you.

CH 3-6691

BY MR. NUTTER:

Q There are approximately twenty-one 40-acre units in the project area, Mr. Queen?

A I counted them but it slipped my mind right now. I would like to count them again. There are twenty-four with the one proposed well to be drilled in the Southeast Southeast of Section 19, would make twenty-five.

Q That is a firm plan to drill the additional well?

A Yes, sir. We do not propose to plan a well Southeast of 311 21. This is downsip on structure and we do not anticipate that we would recover sufficient additional oil to justify the drilling.

Q So under the present Rule 701 you would have approximately 1.008 barrels allowable for the project?

A I believe that's correct.

Q And 1,050 when the twenty-fifth well is drilled?

A Yes, sir.

MR. NUTTER: Any further questions of Mr. Queen? He may be excused.

(Witness excused.)

MR. IRBY: I would like to ask one question.

MR. NUTTER: Yes, sir, Mr. Irby.

ER. IRBY: Frank Irby, State Engineer's Office. Further Dxamination of Mr. Queen

BY MR. IRBY:

PHONE CH 3-6691 DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE. Inc.

ALBUQUERQUI, NEW MEXIC

Q How much water is being produced in the Cast Pool?

A Approximately 3400 barrels per day.

Q What is your anticipated rate of injection on your pilot project?

A The initial plans were set up at 500 barrels per well per day. However, we propose to inject all we can in these wells for maximum injectivity. Our amount of water will be controlled by the water available and by the injection pressure.

Q If my mental arithmetic is correct, you anticipate the use of all the Cass Pool water immediately on approval of this application?

A As soon as the equipment is installed?

Q Yes.

A Yes, sir, we do. Even though I think we testified that it would be 3,000, or 500 barrels per day, but this is the original plan figured as far as the entire field. We plan to use all of the Cass Pool water if we can inject it under pressure of 2,000 FSI. We feel it would be sufficient since we have made a calculation of all the break. So we would be able to inject essentially enough under that pressure.

MR. IRBY: Thank you.

MR. NUTTER: Any further questions? Do you have anything further, Mr. Kellahin?

NR. KELLAHIN: Nothing further.



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

PHONE CH 3-6691
MR. NUTTER: Does anyone have anything further for Case 1990? We will take the case under advisement and take next Case 1991.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO) : SS COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

I, ADA DEARNLEY, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission at Santa Fe, New Mexico, is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have affixed my hand and notarial seal this 25th day of June, 1960.

My commission expires:

Boy & ty

June 19, 1963.

I do benaby contridy that the foregoin a complete reason we was proceeding a the L state of antick state of IS

Notary Public-Court

New Realow Off Conservation Commission



porter

PAGE 26

ALBUQUERQUE NEW MEXICO

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION CONMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 1990 Order No. R-1710

APPLICATION OF CONTINUENTAL OIL CONPANY FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZING A WATERFLOOD PROJECT IN THE SKAGGS POOL, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on June 22, 1960, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this 30th day of June, 1960, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Daniel S. Nutter, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Continental Oil Company, proposes to institute a waterflood project on its Southeast Monument Unit, Skaggs Pool, by the injection of water into the Grayburg formation through the following-described wells located in Lea County, New Mexico:

SEMU Permian Well No. 14, NE/4 SE/4 of Section 24, Township 20 South, Range 37 East.

SEMU Permian Well No. 18, NE/4 SW/4 of Section 19 SEMU Permian Well No. 20, SW/4 SW/4 of Section 19 SEMU Permian Well No. 21, SW/4 SE/4 of Section 19 SEMU Permian Well No. 28, SW/4 NW/4 of Section 19 SEMU Permian Well No. 23, NE/4 NW/4 of Section 30 all in Township 20 South, Range 38 East. -2-CASE No. 1990 Order No. R-1710

(3) That the producing wells in the area to be waterflooded have reached an advanced state of depletion and are properly to be classified as "stripper" wells.

(4) That the applicant proposes to inject in an open hole interval through tubing below a packer if injectivity tests establish that this method of injection would be efficient.

(5) That the proposed waterflood project should be authorized and should be governed by the provisions of Rule 701 of the Commission Rules and Regulations, including those provisions regarding allocation of allowables and expansion of the project area.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant be and the same is hereby authorized to institute a waterflood project in the Skaggs Pool by the injection of water into the Grayburg formation through the followingdescribed wells in Les County, New Maxico:

SEMU Permian Well No. 14, NE/4 SE/4 of Section 24, Township 20 South, Range 37 East.

SEMU Permian Well No. 18, NE/4 SW/4 of Section 19 SEMU Permian Well No. 20, SW/4 SW/4 of Section 19 SEMU Permian Well No. 21, SW/4 SE/4 of Section 19 SEMU Permian Well No. 26, SW/4 NW/4 of Section 19 SEMU Permian Well No. 23, NE/4 NW/4 of Section 30 all in Township 20 South, Range 38 East.

(2) That the applicant be and the same is hereby authorized to inject water into the above-described wells in an open hole interval through 2-inch tubing below a packer.

PROVIDED HOWEVER, That if injection into the open hole interval does not result in satisfactory injectivity rates, then a liner shall be set in each well and injection shall be made through perforations.

PROVIDED FURTHER, That if a corrosion problem is encountered, injection shall be made through plastic-coated tubing.

(3) That the operation of the waterflood project herein authorized shall be governed by the provisions of Rule 701 of the Commission Rules and Regulations, including those provisions -3-CASE No. 1990 Order No. R-1710

regarding allocation of allowables and expansion of the project area.

(4) That monthly progress reports on the waterflood project herein authorised shall be submitted to the Commission in accordance with Rule 704 and Rule 1119 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

DOME at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

-nonglis 7

JOHN BURROUGHS, Chairman

Manga MURRAY E. MORGAN, Member

Taiter

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Amber & Secretary



esr/

No. 17-60

DOCKET: EXAMINER LEADING JUNE 22, 1960

Oil Conservation Commission 9 a.m., Mabry Hall, State Capitol, Santa Fe, N.M.

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Oliver E. Payne, Atto, ey, as alternate examiner:

- *NOTE: Case Nos. 1995 through 2001 and Case 1972 will not be heard before 1 p.m.
- CASE 1989: Application of Amerada Petroleum Corporation for an order authorizing a salt water disposal well. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the disposal of produced salt water through its State SR "A" Well No. 1, located in the SW/4 SW/4 of Section 14, Township 9 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico, with the injection to be in the Devonian formation in the interval from 11,10% Test to 11,130 feet.
- CASE 1990: Application of Continental Oil Company for a waterflood project. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing it to institute a waterflood project in the Skaggs Pool on its Southeast Monument Unit by the injection of water into the Grayburg formation through six wells located in Sections 19, 24, and 30, Township 20 South, Ranges 37 and 38 East, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 1991: Application of Texaco Inc. for an oil-oil dual completion. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the dual completion of its C. P. Falby "B" Well No. 3, located 10 Unit M, Section 8, Township 22 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to produce oil from the Eumont Pool and oil from the Penrose-Skelly Pool through parallel strings of one-inch O.D. tubing and 2 1/16 inch O.D. subling respectively.
- CASE 1992: Application of Texaco Inc. for permission to commingle the production from three separate leases. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing it to commingle the production from the Dollarhide-Queen Pool from its United Royalty "A" Lease comprising the S/2 SW/4, NE/4 SW/4, and the SE/4 NW/4 of Section 19, Towaship 24 South, Range 38 East, Lea County, New Mexico, its Royalty Holding Company Lease comprising the SW/4 NW/4 of said Section 19, and from its W. L. Stephen Estate Lease, comprising the N/2 NW/4 of said Section 19, after separately metering the production from each lease.

Docket No. 17-60

CASE 1993Application of Shell Oil Company for an amendment of Order
R-1101-A Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an
order amending Order R-1101-A to include the following-
described leases in the commingling and automatic custody
transfer authorization granted in said order:

Linam Lease, NE/4 NE/4 and NW/4 NW/4, Section 3, Lowe Land Lease, NW/4 NE/4 and NE/4 NW/4, Section 3

both in Township 20 South, Range 35 East, Lea County, New Mexico

CASE 1994:

Application of Intex Oil Company for approval of a unit agreement. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of its Puerto-Chiquito Unit Agreement, which unit will embrace approximately 12,721 acres of Federal and fee land in Township 26 North, Range 1 East, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.

* The following cases will not be heard before 1 p.m.

CASE 1995: Application of Redfern and Herd, Inc., John J. Redfern, Jr., and J. H. Herd for an order force pooling the interests in a 320-acre gas unit in the Dakota Producing Interval. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order force pooling all mineral interests in the N/2 of Section 32, Township 29 North, Range 11 West, San Juan County, New Mexico, insofar as the Dakota Producing Interval is concerned, including Pan American Petroleum Corporation and including the following person who has not consented to communitization: Sam Carson, 511 E. Main Street, Farmington, New Mexico.

CASE 1996: Application of Leonard Gil Company for an oil-oil dual completion Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the dual completion of its Federal Ginsberg Well No. 9, located in Unit D, Section 31, Township 25 South, Range 38 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to produce oil from the Justis-Fusselman Pool and oil from the Blinebry formation adjacent to the Justis-Blinebry Pool through parallel strings of 2 3/8-inch cubing.

- 2 -

Docket No. 17 Ger

CASE 1997: Application of Sun Oil Company for permission to commingle the production from several separate leases. Applicant, in the above styled cause, seeks permission to commingle the Wolfcamp production from several separate leases in Sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 10, and 11 of Tourship 9 South, Range 34 East, Lea County, Net Mexico, and Section 35 of Tourship 8 South, Range 34 East, Roosevelt County, New Mexico.

CASE 1998:

Application of Great Western Drilling Company for an order force pooling the interests in a 200 acre non-standard gas provation unit in the Eumont Gas Pool. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, holder of a 2000 acre non-standard gas provation unit in the Eumon. Cas Post consisting of the E/2 NE/4 of Section 32 and the E/2 NW/4 and NW/4 of Section 33, all in Township 19 South: Range 37 East, Lew County, New Mexico, seeks an order force-pooling all interests in said unit within the vertical limits of the Eamout Gas Pool, including interests of the following persons who are not consented to communicization: S. E. Cone. C/o J. K. Cone. Attorney-in-Fact, Great Plains Life Building, Lubbock, Texas, H. L. Lowe, Groat Plains Life Building, Lubbeck, Texus, Abner M. Jack, Box 423. Scooba, Mississippi, and W. M. Deauchamp, Ancillary Gaarding of the Estate of William Housed Jack, c/o District Clerk, Lovington, New Mexico and also the following persons whose addresses are ank out denty dall the Estate of Fred B. Caylor, D. A. Bowers, The Estate of George F. Henneberry, deceased, Mrs. Joy Mabel Stanley, and the beirs of Elizabeth A. Anderson, and also an unknown person chose address is unknown.

CASE 1999: Application of Socony Mobil Oil Company for an exception to Rule 107 (e) (i). Applicant, in the above styled course, seeks an exception to Rule 107 (e) (l) in order to complete the following-described wells as "slim-hole" completions in the Devonian formation at Tepils in excess or fight over t

Federal "A" Well No. 1, 2010 Sect From the North West Etherne of Such Land

Sonia Fe "F" Well Ker 1, 120 - cer from the South line and our feet from the West line of Section 20

both in Fownship 9 South, Range 56 East, Les Councy, New Mexico.

-5-

-4-Docket No. 17-60

CASE 2000:Application of Gulf Oil Corporation for permission to
commingle the production from three separate leases and for
permission to install an automatic custody transfer system.
Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks permission to
commingle the Monument Pool production from the following-
described leases in Lea County, New Mexico: R. R. Bell
(NCT-G) lease consisting of the S/2 SE/4 of Section 13,
Graham State (NCT-H) lease consisting of the W/2 SW/4 of
Section 13, and the H. T. Orcutt (NCT-D) lease consisting
of the W/2 NE/4 of Section 13, all in Township 20 South,
Range 36 East. Applicant further seeks permission to install
an automatic custody transfer system to handle the Monument
Pool production from said leases.

CASE 1972: (Continued)

Application of Gulf Oil Corporation for permission to commingle the production from several separate pools. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks permission to commingle the Blinebry oil production with the Blinebry gas condensate and Tubb gas condensate from all wells on its T. R. Andrews lease comprising the E/2 of Section 32, Township 22 South, Range 38 East, Lea County, New Mexico, after separately metering the Blinebry oil production.

· · · •

<u>CASE 2001</u>: Application of Franklin, Aston and Fair, Inc., for an amendment of Order No. R-1573-A. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an amendment of Order No. R-1573-A to provide an alternative to the shut-in provisions contained therein as relating to certain leases in the Empire-Abo Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.

ig/

GOVERNOR

JOHN BURROUGHS CHAIRMAN

State of New Mexico Gil Conservation Commission

LAND COMMISSIONER MURRAY E. MORGAN MEMBER



STATE GEOLOGIST A. L. PORTER, JR. Secretary Director

Mr. Jason Kellahin Kellahin & Fox Box 1713 Santa Pe, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

Enclosed herewith is Commission Order No. R-1710 , entered in Case No. 1990 , approving the Continental Oil Company SKMU Skaggs Water Flood Project.

According to our calculations, when all of the authorized injection wells have been placed on active injection, the maximum allowable which this project will be eligible to receive under the provisions of Rule 701-E-3 is **1008** barrels per day.

Please report any error in this calculated maximum allowable immediately, both to the Santa Fe office of the Commission and the appropriate District proration office.

In order that the allowable assigned to the project may be kept current, and in order that the operator may fully benefit from the allowable provisions of Rule 701, it behoves him to promptly notify both of the aforementioned Commission offices by letter of any change in the status of wells in the project area, i.e., when active injection commences, when additional injection or producing wells are drilled, when additional wells are acquired through purchase or unitization, when wells have received a response to water injection, etc.

Your cooperation in keeping the Commission so informed as to the status of the project and the wells therein will be appreciated.

Very truly yours,

.1980

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY FOR PERMISSION TO INSTITUTE A PILOT WATER FLOOD PROJECT ON ITS SOUTHEAST MONUMENT UNIT IN THE SKAGGS POOL, SAID WATER FLOOD TO INCLUDE ACREAGE LOCATED IN SECTIONS 19, 24, 25 AND 30, T-20S, R-37E and R-38E, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

APPLICATION

Comes now Continental Oil Company, hereinafter referred to as Applicant, and petitions the Commission for an order to institute a pilot water flood in the Grayburg Formation of the Skaggs Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, for the purpose of determining the feasibility of the secondary recovery of oil from the reservoir.

Pursuant to the provisions of Rule 701 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations the following Exhibits, in support of this application, are attached hereto and made a part hereof:

- A plat showing the location of the proposed injection wells and the location of all other 1. wells within a radius of two miles from said proposed injection wells and the formation from which said wells are producing or have produced. (Exhibit 1)
- Logs of the proposed injection wells (where logs 2. have been run.) (Exhibits 2a thru 2e)
- A description of the proposed casing program for injection wells. (Liners will be installed in water injection wells if the proposed openhole 3. Isoflow permeability profile surveys indicate that water injected in open hole will not effic-iently flood the pay zones.) (Exhibits 3a thru 3f)

Other pertinent data in support of this application is as follows: Name and depth of formation into which water is

> to be injected: Grayburg (3750'-3950') Kind of fluid to be injected: Salt water Anticipated amounts to be injected: 500 bbls. per well per day. Cass Pool Produced Source of water for injection: Mater - Pennsyl-

vanian Formation Disposal System (Located 2 mi. west in Sec. 23, T-200, R-37E.)

JULICE OCC

1950 NAY 13 FH 1 12

Crac 1990

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Page 2

> The Applicant is co-owner and operator of the Southeast Monument Unit which contains among other acreage the following tracts:

E/2 of Sec. 24, T-20S, R-37E N/2 of Sec. 30, T-20S, R-38E S/2 of Sec. 19, T-20S, R-38E NW/4 of Sec. 19, T-20S, R-38E SM/4 NE/4 of Sec. 19, T-20S, R-38E NE/4 NE/4 of Sec. 25, T-20S, R-37E

In order that the proposed pilot water flood be operated in accordance with sound and practical engineering principles and in order that the feasibility of this water flood project te established so as to provide a basis for the expansion of the waterflood project to include all acreage within the Southeast Monument Unit and thereby recover otherwise unrecoverable oil, we request that permission be granted to convert for the purpose of water injection the following described wells:

SEMU	Permian	No.	14,	NE/4	SE/4	Sec.	24,*	T-20S,	R-37E
∕SEMU	Permian	No.	18,	NE/4	SW/4	Sec.	19,-	T-20S,	R-38E
SEMU	Permian	No.	20,	S!//4	SW/4	Sec.	19,,	-T-20S,	R-38E
SEI4U	Permian	No.	21,	S:1/4	SE/4	Sec.	195	T-20S,	R-38E
SEMU	Permian	No.	28,	S://4	N/4	Sec.	-19,	T-20S,	R-38E
SEMU	Permian	No.	23;	NE/4	NW/4	Sec.	30,	T-20S,	R-38E

Wherefore, Applicant respectfully requests that this matter be set for examiner hearing, and after due notice and hearing, the Oil Conservation Commission enter its order granting this application.

The Office of the State Engineer has been supplied a copy of this application, together with the required information outlined in Memorandum No. 5-58.

Respectfully submitted,

CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY

C. M 10

dm. A. MEAD Division Superintendent of Production New Mexico Division

HAH-PD

cc: Office of State Engineer P. O. Box 1079 Santa Fe, New Mexico





نمى

61



CONTINENTAL OIL

OWNERSHIP MAP - SEMU-

SCALE: 1" = 200

LEGEND

Area Affected by Water

Proposed Injection We

Producing Format



Hobbs Dist. Office



COMPANY

ERMIAN AREA

Plood Lls Lons

nkard

ee

n**sylv**anian

é,

ş

SEMU-Permian No. 18

Elev: 3551'





EXHIBIT 2A Alass Says

•

CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY SEMU-Permian No. 14



SEMU-Permian No. 18

PRESENT CONDITION

PROPOSED RECOMPLETION



SEMU-Permion No. 20

PRESENT CONDITION

PRÓPOSED RECOMPLETION



EXHIBIT SC

SEMU - Permion No.21



CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY SEMU-Permian No.23



SEMU-Permion No.28

PRESENT CONDITION

PROPOSED RECOMPLETION



EXHIBIT 3F







. . . .

P G BOX MO MITILAND, TEXAS

1

-+-

DOMESTIC PRODUCTING DEPARTMENT

September 9, 199

TROPOSED WATERFLOOD Skaggs Pool IA a County, New Mexico

Continental Oil Company 825 Petroleum Building Roswell, New Mexico

Attn: Mr. W. A. Mead

Dear Sir:

This letter will serve to advise you that Texaco Inc. is in general agreement with your proposal to develop a cooperative waterflood in the Grayburg formation of the Skaggs Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. It is our understanding that Continental will develop a pilot waterflood within the Scatheast Monument Unit and that an expansion of the pilot flood will stillize cooperative leaseline injection well agreements in lieu of unitization.

Texaco inc. will be willing to entremit into a cooperative waterflood program with the Southeast Monumen Unit owners and the other operators in the Skaggs Pool. Any refinite commitment on our part will, of course, be contingent of the successful performance of the pilot flood project and the negotiation of nutally acceptable lease line agreements.

Yery craig wars,

Allanday LON L LADET

BPRIME

PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORPORATION

2011年1日 111 日 03



Lubbock, Texas June 16, 1960

File: JET-4214-986.510.1

Subject: Case No. 1990 Skaggs Pool Waterflood Project, Southeast Honument Unit, Les County, New Mexico

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Capitol Annex Santa Fe, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

Pan American Petroleum Corporation is co-owner of the Southeast Monument Unit and supports Continental Oil Company's application in Case No. 1990 for a Skaggs Pool Waterflood Project on this Unit.

Yours very truly, Merf & Mhitmare

Neil S. Whitmore

BJS:ab



WALL OFFICE OCC

CONTINENTAL OIE COMPANY

825 PETROLEUM BUILDING ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO

WM. A. MEAD Division Superintendent of Production New Mexico Division

> New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr., Secretary-Director

Re: Continental Oil Company's Application for Permission to Institute a Pilot Waterflood Project on its Southeast Monument Unit in Skaggs Pool located in T-20S, R-37E and R-38E, Lea County, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

We are attaching three copies of Continental Oil Company's Application for Permission to Institute a Pilot Waterflood Project on its Southeast Monument Unit in the Skaggs Pool. This pilot waterflood is to include acreage located in Sections 19, 24, 25 and 30, T-20S, R-37E and R-38E, Lea County, New Mexico and is to consist of two 80-acre five-spots.

We are also attaching a copy of Continental Oil Company's letter to the Office of the State Engineer presenting information to conform with the requirements of Memorandum 5-58.

Please set this matter for hearing at your earliest

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Page

convenient date.

Yours very truly,

or Centra

WAM-AD Encs.

CC: Office of State Engineer Santa Fe, New Mexico

for waterflood project in Skaggs Pool of SOUTHEAST MONUMENT UNIT.

3 ah A arder m é f 90 B F. to J 6 3 8

.