Case No. 2567

Application, Transcript, Amall Exhibits, Etc.

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - MAY 23, 1962

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner, or Daniel S. Nutter, as alternate examiner.

CASE 2537: (Reopened)

Application of J. C. Williamson for a dual completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks permission to complete its Westates-Federal Well No. 8 located in Unit E of Section 1, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, as a dual completion (conventional) in the North Justis-McKee Pool and an undesignated Montoya pool with the production of oil from both zones to be through parallel strings of tubing.

CASE 2564:

Application of Tidewater Oil Company for a triple completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the triple completion (conventional) of its A. B. Coates "C" Well No. 18, located in Unit I, Section 24, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to produce oil from the Justis-Blinebry, Justis Tubb-Drinkard and Justis Ellenburger Pools through parallel strings of 2 3/8-inch tubing.

CASE 2565:

Application of J. Glenn Turner for a dual completion and an unorthodox location, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the dual completion (conventional) of his Nye Well No. 9-1 at an unorthodox location 1810 feet from the North line and 900 feet from the West line of Section 9, Township 29 North, Range 10 West, San Juan County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to produce gas from the Blanco Mesaverde Gas Pool and the Basin Dakota Gas pool through parallel strings of 1 ½-inch and 2 1/16-inch tubing.

CASE 2566:

Application of Newmont Oil Company for an amendment to Orders No. R-1110, R-1110-A and R-111-B, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order amending Orders No. R-1110, R-1110-A and R-1110-B to define the proper limits of its Square Lake Pool Waterflood Project, Eddy County, New Mexico, to establish rules for expansion of the flood within the defined area, and to provide for capacity allowables for said area.

CASE 2567:

Application of Redfern & Herd, Inc., for a force-pooling order, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order force-pooling all mineral interests in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool underlying the S/2 Section 11, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, San Juan County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled in the SE/4 SE/4 of said Section 11.

CASE 2568:

Application of Redfern & Herd, Inc., for a force-pooling order, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order force-pooling all mineral interests in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool underlying the E/2 Section 15, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, San Juan County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled in the SE/4 NE/4 of said Section 15.

CASE 2569:

Application of Texaco Inc., for a quintuple completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order approving the quintuple completion (tubingless) of its G. L. Erwin "B" NCT-2 Well No. 3, located in Unit I, Section 35, Township 24 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to produce oil from the Waddell, McKee, Fusselman, Devonian, and Drinkard zones, North Justis Field, through parallel strings of 2 7/8 and 2 3/8 inch casing cemented in a common well bore.

SUPPLEMENTAL DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY MAY 23, 1962 9 A.M. CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, N. M.

The following case will be heard before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner, or Daniel S. Nutter Alternate Examiner.

CASE 2570:

Application of Cities Service Petroleum Corporation for a dual completion, Lea County, New Mexico, Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks permission to complete its State "AD" Well No. 2, located 3300 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the East line of Section 22, Township 10 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico, as an oil-oil dual completion in the San Andres and Pennsylvanian formations in the Mescalero field with the production of oil to be through strings of 2-inch and 1½ or 1¼-inch tubing utilizing a crossover assembly.

GOVERNOR
EDWIN L. MECHEM
CHAIRMAR

State of New Mexico il Conservation Commission

LAND COMMISSIONER E. S. JOHNNY WALKER MEMBER



STATE GEOLOGIST
A. L. PORTER, JR.
SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

P. D. BOX 871

June 7, 1962

Mr. Howard Bratton Hervey, Dow & Hinkle Attorneys at Law Box 10 Roswell, New Mexico Re: Case No. 2567
Order No. R-2257
Applicant:
Redfern & Herd, Inc.

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

A. L. PORTER, Jr.
Secretary-Director

Carbon copy of	forde	r also	sent	to:
Hobbs OCC				
Artesia OCC				
Aztec OCC	x	$ O ^{2} = \frac{1}{2}$		
OTHER			•	

Cases' 25670256 F

Leard 5-23-62

Nec. 5-23-62

1. It would seem to me appropriate
to thank cased in accordance with

Our F.P. Policy.

50. 378V WIN 1538

1000 378V WIN 1538

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

APPLICATION OF REDFERN & HERD, INC. FOR AN ORDER POOLING A 320-ACRE GAS PRORATION UNIT IN THE BASIN-DAKOTA GAS POOL, THE S_2^1 OF SECTION 11, TOWNSHIP 29 NORTH, BANGE 13 WEST, N.M.P.M., SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

Case No. 56/

APPLICATION

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Santa Fe, New Mexico

COMES NOW Redfern & Herd, Inc. and applies to the Commission for an Order pooling all mineral interests in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool in the S½ of Section 11, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, N.M.P.M., San Juan County, New Mexico, to form a 320-acre gas proration unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled by Redfern & Herd, Inc. in the SE½SE½ of Section 11, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, N.M.P.M.

In support thereof, Applicant states:

- 1. That he has made diligent effort to identify and to locate all owners of interest in the proposed proration unit.
- 2. That he has made fair and reasonable offers to each nonconsenting interest owner whose identity and address is known.
- 3. That, although he has made fair and reasonable offers and has been diligent in his efforts to form the proposed proration unit, there are non-consenting interest owners in the subject proration unit who have not agreed to the pooling of their interests. A list of the names and addresses, to the best of Applicant's knowledge and belief, of such non-consenting interest owners is attached to this application as Exhibit "A".

3/0/10

4. That, to avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, to protect correlative rights, and to afford to the owner of each interest in the proration unit the opportunity to recover or receive without unnecessary expense his just and fair share of the gas in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool, all interests in the proration unit should be pooled.

WHEREFORE, Applicant requests:

- 1. This application be set for hearing before an Examiner at the earliest possible date.
- 2. That upon hearing, the Commission enter its order pooling all interests in the Basin-Dakota Pool in the S_2^1 of Section 11, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, N.M.P.M., San Juan County, New Mexico, to form a 320-acre gas proration unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled by Redfern & Herd, Inc. in the $SE_4^1SE_4^1$ of Section 11, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, N.M.P.M.
- 3. That Redfern & Herd, Inc. be designated the operator of the said unit.
- 4. That Redfern & Herd, Inc. be authorized to withhold the proceeds from production attributable to each non-consenting working interest until such time as each interest's share of costs have been recovered, plus 50% thereof as a charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well.
- 5. That the Commission determine the cost of operating the subject well and that Redfern & Herden Inc. be authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such cost attributable to each non-consenting working interest.

6. For such other and further order of the Commission as is necessary to pool all interests in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool in the S^{1}_{2} of Section 11, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, N.M.P.M., San Juan County, New Mexico.

Respectfully submitted,
Redfern & Herd, Inc.

HERVEY, DOW & HINKLE

P. O. Box 10

Roswell, New Mexico Attorneys for Applicant

EXHIBIT "A"

Names and Addresses of Non-Consenting Interest Owners

Fant Roberts 1013 Schofield Lane Farmington, New Mexico

Ed Parris 3732 Echo Trail Fort Worth, Texas

Merle Feller 2340 Grove Street Boulder, Colorado

Doro Guarco Notch Road Granby, Connecticut

H. L. Bigler 122 North Wall Farmington, New Mexico

C. R. Huntington 122 North Wall Avenue Farmington, New Mexico

James F. Hum 206 South Miller Farmington, New Mexico

El Paso Natural Gas Co. Texas Street at Stanton El Paso, Texas

George E. Young P. O. Box 630 Monte Vista, Colorado

Billie Faye Hammer

George A. Rutherford, Jr. 1609 Sagebrush Trail S.E. Albuquerque, New Mexico

Harry T. Driver 1205 Stagecoach Road, S.E. Albuquerque, New Mexico

M. A. Jacobson 812 Lafayette Drive N.E. Albuquerque, New Mexico

Henry Weinhofen c/o Jim Hum, P.O.Box 1325 Farmington, New Mexico

Century Drilling Company 1204 East Broadway Farmington, New Mexico

Citizens Bank of Farmington Farmington, New Mexico

Philip Voutirin
P. O. Box 686
Farmington, New Mexico

William T. Daniel, and wife Ruby Dickerson Daniel 502 East 15th, Farmington, N.M.

Chester R. Eden and wife, Billie J. Eden 233 Sierra S.E., Albuquerque, N.M.

Robert L. Batten, Jr. c/o D.J.Barnes 4021 County Club Road, Farmington, New Mexico

D. J. Barnes 4021 County Club Road Farmington, New Mexico

John C. McCulloch 1917 Chilton Court Farmington, New Mexico

Achar Milly

A. D. Leslie c/o Office Supply & Service Company, 2212 East Main Farmington, New Mexico

C. L. McKinney, and wife Opal McKinney P. O. Box 716, Farmington, N.M.

Roy Owen, d/b/a Associated Investors, a copartnership Petroleum Club Plaza Building Farmington, New Mexico

State Electric Supply Company 1024 First N.W. Albuquerque, New Mexico

Texaco Inc. 101 South Behrend Farmington, New Mexico

Troy P. Kennedy 826 East Navajo Farmington, New Mexico Tri-State Amusement Corp. 711 Orchard Farmington, New Mexico

Jim Johnson c/o Chester R. Eden 233 Sierra S.E., Albuquerque, N.M. Roy C. Hays, and wife Cora B. Hays 913 North Loma Linda Farmington, New Mexico

Dresser Industries, Inc. P. O. Box 1407 Houston 3, Texas Vinson Supply Company P. O. Box 1860 Tulsa, Oklahoma

The Youngstown Sheet & Tube Company 2420 East Main Street Farmington, New Mexico

Skelly Oi! Company
P. O. Box 436
Kansas City 41, Missouri

Ervin Jones, and wife Ethel Smith Jones P.O. Box 422 Farmington, New Mexico

R. E. Willis c/o C. L. McKinney P.O.Box 716, Farmington, New Mexico

Elizabeth Willis c/o C. L. McKinney P. O. Box 716, Farmington, New Mexico

C. Roy Shaw c/o C. L. McKinney P.O.Box 716, Farmington, New Mexico

Sheila Willis Shaw c/o C. L. McKinney P.O.Box 716, Farmington, New Mexico

Ben T. Thomas, and wife Juanita B. Thomas 310 Gladeview Drive Farmington, New Mexico end office 900

SKELLY OIL COMPANY

P. 0. Box 1650 TULSA 2. OKLAHOMA

May 18, 1962

PRODUCTION DEPARTMENT

C. L. BLACKSHER VICE PRESIDENT

W. P. WIRITMORE, MOR. PRODUCTION
W. D. J. ASON, MOR. TECHNICAL SERVICES
ROBERT G. HILTZ, MOR. JOINT OFERATIONS
GEORGE W. SELINGER, MGR. CONSERVATION

VIA AIR MAIL

Re: Case 2567
Application Redfern & Herd, Inc.

Oil Conservation Commission Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

On Wednesday, May 23, 1962, before an Examiner, the Oil Conservation Commission will hear the application of Redfern & Herd, Inc. for an order force pooling all mineral interests in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool underlying the S/2 of Section 11, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, San Juan County, New Mexico, which acreage is to be dedicated to a well in the SE SE of Section 11.

Skelly Oil Company is in the process of negotiating lease to Redfern & Herd, Inc. of its working interest in the area dedicated to the proposed well, and is agreeable to the pooling of all such mineral interests.

Leary W Lilen

GWS:br

cc: Redfern & Herd, Inc. - Via Air Mail Wilco Building P. O. Box 1747 Midland, Texas CLASS OF SERVICE

This is a fast message unless its deferred character is indicated by the proper symbol.

WESTERN UNION

ELEGRAM

NL=Night Letter

W. P. MARSHALL. PARSIDENT
The filing time shown in the date line on domestic telegrams is LOCAL TIME at point of origin. Time of

LA 16 3 KB 397

1962 MAY 21 PM 3

K DVH488 PD=FAX DENVER COLO1921NA 22P MS 34 57

A L PORTER JR=

NEX MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION STATE

LAND OFFILE BLDG SANTA FE NMEX=

THIS IS IN REGARD TO EXAMINER HEARINGS SCHEDULED FOR MAY

23 IN WHICH TEXACO HAS INTEREST:

CASE 2567)

TEXACO HAS VOLUNTARILY AGREED TO COMMIT ITS INTEREST IN BESIN-DAKOTA IN 1.74 ACRES IN NW SE SEC 11-29N-13W.

CASE 2568

TEXACO HES NOT COMMITTED ITS INTEREST IN TRACTS
COMPRISING ABOUT 19 ACRES IN SE1/4 SEC 15-29N-13W.

THE COMPANY WILL APPRECIATE SUGGESTIONS FROM ITS PATRONS CONCERNING ITS SERVICE

CLASS OF SERVICE This is a fast message unless its deferred char-

acter is indicated by the proper symbol.

WESTERN UNION

TELEGRAM

TEXACO IS NOT CONTESTING FORCE-POOLING OF INTERESTS IN BASIN DAKOTA GAS POOL IN E1/2 SEC 15-29N-13W=

J F NEILL TEXACO INC PO BOX 2100 1570 GRANT ST DENVER 1 COLO ==

> FRIE IN 18 YAM SERI 330 3013 10 MAH

23 2567 1-74 11-29N-13W 2568 19 SE 1/4 15-29N-13W E 1/2

15-29N-13W. NY WILL APPRECIATE SUGGESTIONS FROM ITS PATRONS CONCERNING ITS SERVICE

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - MAY 23, 1962

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner, or Daniel S. Nutter, as alternate examiner.

CASE 2537: (Reopened)

Application of J. C. Williamson for a dual completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks permission to complete its Westates-Federal Well No. 8 located in Unit E of Section 1, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, as a dual completion (conventional) in the North Justis-McKee Pool and an undesignated Montoya pool with the production of oil from both zones to be through parallel strings of tubing.

CASE 2564:

Application of Tidewater Oil Company for a triple completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the triple completion (conventional) of its A. B. Coates "C" Well No. 18, located in Unit I, Section 24, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to produce oil from the Justis-Blinebry, Justis Tubb-Drinkard and Justis Ellenburger Pools through parallel strings of 2 3/8-inch tubing.

CASE 2565:

Application of J. Glenn Turner for a dual completion and an unorthodox location, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the dual completion (conventional) of his Nye Well No. 9-1 at an unorthodox location 1810 feet from the North line and 900 feet from the West line of Section 9, Township 29 North, Range 10 West, San Juan County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to produce gas from the Blanco Mesaverde Gas Pool and the Basin Dakota Gas pool through parallel strings of 1 ½-inch and 2 1/16-inch tubing.

CASE 2566:

Application of Newmont Oil Company for an amendment to Orders No. R-1110, R-1110-A and R-111-B, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order amending Orders No. R-1110, R-1110-A and R-1110-B to define the proper limits of its Square Lake Pool Waterflood Project, Eddy County, New Mexico, to establish rules for expansion of the flood within the defined area, and to provide for capacity allowables for said area.

Docket No. 16-62

CASE 2567:

Application of Redfern & Herd, Inc., for a force-pooling order, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order force-pooling all mineral interests in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool underlying the S/2 Section 11, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, San Juan County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled in the SE/4 SE/4 of said Section 11.

CASE 2568:

Application of Redfern & Herd, Inc., for a force-pooling order, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order force-pooling all mineral interests in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool underlying the E/2 Section 15, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, San Juan County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled in the SE/4 NE/4 of said Section 15.

CASE 2569:

Application of Texaco Inc., for a quintuple completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order approving the quintuple completion (tubingless) of its G. L. Erwin "B" NCT-2 Well No. 3, located in Unit I, Section 35, Township 24 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to produce oil from the Waddell, McKee, Fusselman, Devonian, and Drinkard zones, North Justis Field, through parallel strings of 2 7/8 and 2 3/8 inch casing cemented in a common well bore.

SUPPLEMENTAL DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY MAY 23, 1962 9 A.M. CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, N. M.

The following case will be heard before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner, or Daniel S. Nutter Alternate Examiner.

CASE 2570:

Application of Cities Service Petroleum Corporation for a dual completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks permission to complete its State "AD" Well No. 2, located 3300 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the East line of Section 22, Township 10 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico, as an oil-oil dual completion in the San Andres and Pennsylvanian formations in the Mescalero field with the production of oil to be through strings of 2-inch and 1½ or 1¼-inch tubing utilizing a crossover assembly.

CASE 2567

CASE 2568

FARMINGTON, N. M.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico May 23, 1962

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

Application of Redfern & Herd, Inc., for a force-pooling order, San Juan County, New Mexico, Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order force-pooling all mineral interests in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool underlying the S/2 Section 11, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, San Juan County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled in the SE/4 SE/4 of said Section 11.

IN THE MATTER OF:

Application of Redfern & Herd, Inc., for a forcepooling order, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order force-pooling all mineral interests in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool underlying the E/2 Section 15, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, San Juan County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled in the SE/4 NE/4 of said Section 15.

Elvis A. Utz, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

MR. UTZ: Case 2567.

MR. BRATTON: Howard Bratton on behalf of the applicant. We have one witness and we can put on both 2567 and 2568 at the same time, if it would suit the Commission.

purposes of testimony only.

(Witness sworn.)



WILLIAM B. JOHNSTON

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn on oath, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BRATTON:

- Q Will you state your name, by whom you are employed and in what capacity?
 - A William B. Johnston, Redfern & Herd, Inc., as a landman.
- Q Are you familiar with the applications in these two cases, Mr. Johnston?
 - A Yes.
- Q Are you familiar with the matters leading up to it and the efforts of Redfern & Herd to obtain leases and/or communitization agreements in the areas?
 - A Yes.
- Q Turning first to Case 2567, you seek an order forcepooling the mineral interests in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool under the South Half of 11, 29 North, 13 West, is that correct?
 - A That's right.
- Q And that's to be dedicated to a well to be drilled in the Southeast of the Southeast of 11?
 - A That's right.
 - Q That well has not been drilled as yet?
 - A No.

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit No. 1 marked for identification.



Mr. Johnston, will you refer to Exhibit No. 1, the big map on the board? Does that reflect the status of that tract insofar as Redfern & Herd's lease and the unleased acreage in it?

A Yes, I believe so. The colored acreage is the acreage in which we have leases or committments from those having leases.

- Q And the white acreage is the unleased acreage?
- A That's right.
- Q Is this located right in the south part of Farmington?
- A In generally the southeast part of Farmington, the southeast edge of the town of Farmington.

Q As the map reflects, it's pretty severely chopped up by virtue of being subdivided for homes and shopping centers and other ownerships, is it?

A Right. This is the Aztec area, and, of course, you see the numerous small tracts on both sides of the area. Over here you get into the residential subdivision, which is extremely divided.

- Q And the well itself would be located --
- A Down in this portion here (indicating).
- Q -- south and east of the highway, considerably?
- A Yes.

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit No. 2 marked for identification.

Q Mr. Johnston, referring to a tabulation that has been marked as Exhibit No. 2, does that reflect the same information as



TARMING

is shown on the map as to the tracts which you have not been able to lease or obtain agreements to joint operate?

A That's right. We checked this list with red checks, I believe, showing the tracts that are uncolored on this map. Now there are one or two parties that are on the list which have agreed to, subsequent to the listing being prepared, have agreed to lease. In the last day or two, one or two have called me, but they're shown on there because we don't have a lease in hand.

- Q But the red checked entries on the Exhibit No. 2 are the ones that you do not have leases from, or operating agreements?
 - A Right.
- Q Mr. Johnston, what efforts have you made to obtain leases or operating agreements from these owners?

A Some two years ago we started leasing in this tract and we acquired leases on most of the relatively large tracts. We employed brokers, we employed an independent landman on the ground making personal contact with all of those that live on the ground or operate their businesses. During the last several months we have secured title information on many of these chopped-up, cut-up areas, and from that title information have written letters to all of those that have not been leased, some of which had been personally contacted, had not been leased from; and we followed up with those letters, we made identical offers to all unleased small tract owners and we followed up those letters with second letters indicating to those people that we reluctantly must file



this force-pool application in order to get the unit put together and drill our well.

- Q You did either examine the title or obtain take-offs as to the ownership of all of the tracts in this half section, is that correct?
- A Yes. We either had abstracts on it or secured from an abstracter title information, and secured such additional information as was available from tax rolls and what-not on the leases.
- In addition to the many personal contacts, do I understand you have written two letters to each one of these non-consenting owners?
- A Essentially, that is correct. There are a few that probably have only received one letter due to recent title examination to where that the owner was just recently revealed.
- Q In those letters, what did you offer each of these owners. Mr. Johnston?
- A We made the blanket offer of \$60.00 per acre or the usual 1/8 royalty or no cash and a quarter royalty.
- Q Is this consistent with the acreage that you have leased in this area?
- A That is the highest price, to my knowledge, that we have paid in that area. Most of the acreage was acquired at a small amount less.
 - Q Is this acreage on the north edge of the Basin?
 - A Generally it's in the northwest area that produces from



the Dakota, I believe.

- You may have paid different prices in the heart of the Basin, but this is the price at which you have leased your other acreage in this edge of the Basin?
 - Yes, at this price or less.
- So you have contacted by mail or in person every known ()mineral interest owner in this section?
 - Α Yes.
- And you have, through title examinations and through searches of the County records, determined to your satisfaction the mineral owners in the area?
 - Α Reasonably so, yes.
 - Q Do you have some that are in question?
 - No. not specifically. A
- You are still offering and desirous of leasing this Q acreage rather than force-pooling, if anybody is willing to go ahead and lease at this time?
- I have had one or two calls since apparently the applications were mailed out to the parties, and I informed each of them that we would voluntarily, and prefer to voluntarily accept a lease on the same basis that we had leased from other people, and we will continue to do so up through the drilling of the well.
- As a matter of fact, it would be much simpler if it were leased, would it not?
 - We would prefer to have 100 percent participation,



voluntarily.

- O Mr. Johnston, you have asked for a risk factor as to non-consenting working interest owners, is that correct?
 - A Yes.
- Q Is that based on the location of the well with relation to the Basin?
 - A Yes. There are step-out wells.
- Q As to this well, is there any offsetting production from the Dakota?
- A Yes, there's a well in the East Half of 14 which I believe would be considered a direct offset to this well unit.
 - Q Is there any to the north?
 - A Not a direct offset.
 - Q Or to the west?
 - A No.

MR. BRATTON: If the Examiner please, frankly we do not have any expert evidence; we don't propose to put on expert evidence as to the geology, the risk. We would ask the Commission to take administrative notice of its recent hearings on the Basin-Dakota Pool and the fact that there are wells of different characteristics brought in in the Basin-Dakota Pool; some are dry holes and some are economic failures, and we ask the Commission to take administrative notice of that fact and judge this well on that basis.

Q (By Mr. Bratton) As to your operating costs, Mr.



Johnston, what operating costs do you anticipate and request the Commission to establish in connection with this unit?

A We have included in proposed operating agreements on these units an administrative overhead of \$100.00 per well. I would estimate it would cost an additional \$50.00 per well per month to handle the actual field operation of these wells.

Q Now the \$100.00 a month is what you've proposed in your joint operating agreements to those who want to join, to operate with you?

A That's right.

Q Is the reason for that because of the diverse owner-ship and the fact that you are going to have a number of small non-consenting owners?

A The administration of these units will be, as you can readily see, a voluminous task, and if you account to these owners monthly or quarterly or however you account to them, it's going to be an administrative chore. For that reason, we have raised our administrative overhead to \$100.00 a month per well. We normally include \$50.00 per month.

Q But that would be one where you do not have the problems of this multiple ownership?

A Right, that's the reason.

Q Is Redfern & Herd to be the operator of the well?

A Right.

Q In your opinion, Mr. Johnston, to protect the correlative



rights of Redfern & Herd and of the non-consenting working interest owners, is it necessary to enter a force-pooling order in this case? >

- We feel so. Α
- Would Redfern & Herd be agreeable to a provision in the order that any non-consenting working interest owner would be afforded the opportunity to pay his share of well costs within thirty days after the well is completed and after a schedule of the costs are furnished to the non-consenting working interest owner?
 - Yes, we would agree to that.
 - That would be without any risk factor as to such person?
 - Yes.

MR. BRATTON: If the Examiner please, perhaps it might be better, if the Examiner or the Commission attorney have any questions as to this particular application, to ask them at this time before we get mixed up in the other one.

MR. UTZ: Yes, sir, I think that's a good idea.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. UTZ:

Mr. Johnston, where do you intend to locate the well, or have you staked the location?

Yes, we intend to locate the well in the Southeast of the Southeast Quarter. I might add, this map does not reflect the river, but it's to be located south of the river, and we have before



the Commission an unorthodox location application for this well.

Q Due to topography?

A Yes.

MR. MORRIS: Does that well have a name?

A We're calling that one the Farmington Unit.

MR. UTZ: No. 1?

A Yes.

MR. UTZ: Are there any other questions?

MR. MORRIS: Yes.

BY MR. MORRIS:

Mr. Johnston, just to clarify this one point, Mr. Bratton has asked the Commission to take administrative notice that the usual risks that are involved in the drilling of Dakota wells, and I suppose that would be equally applicable to other wells in any pool, there are always certain risks -- are there any particular unusual risks with respect to any of these wells?

A Well, they are step-out locations producing from wells capable of producing, I don't think these offsets are on the line. They are, we consider, edge Dakota wells, generally in the north-west area, that's known to produce from the Dakota; and in an area that we would not consider the prime Dakota area.

Q Other than geological considerations, are you going to, at the location of your well -- is that in the City of Farmington, is there housing?

A No.



- Q Or other topographic obstructions in the immediate area
- A. No. This well here falls in a cultivated field.

MR. UTZ: Would that be on the south bank of the Animas?

- A It's southeast of the river, yes, sir.
- Q (By Mr. Morris) With respect to the non-consenting owners in this unit, is it a possibility that there are, say, unknown heirs which you have not been able to locate or even to ascertain the identities of that might have some interest in this unit?

A There's that possibility, I would say. We've tried to diligently check all available records short of spending a lot of money acquiring an absolute abstract. I think you would have to admit that there's certainly that possibility.

- Q Then there would also be the possibility that Redfern & Herd might have in its possession proceeds from production from the well which would not be actually distributed to the owners of interest?
 - A Well, I would say our normal policy --
 - Q One would follow the other, would it not?
- A -- following the production of a well would be to examine even more diligently all available records and ascertain the owner-ship.
- Q Assume with me, Mr. Johnston, that you cannot distribute some of the funds that come into your hands for various reasons, one of which might be that you couldn't find the person to whom it



should be distributed. What disposition do you feel that Redfern & Herd might make of that money; would they be willing to place it in escrow for distribution to the proper owner of those funds?

Yes.

MR. MORRIS: I believe that's all I have.

MR. UTZ: Are there other questions of the witness? You may proceed to 2568.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BRATTON:

Mr. Johnston, with relation to Case 2568, that covers Q a Dakota well to be located in the East Half of Section 15, 29 North, 13 West, is that correct?

- That's correct.
- That well has not been drilled? Q
- No. sir.
- Your statements as to your knowledge of the background and events leading up to this application apply equally to this one as to the previous case?
 - Yes, sir.

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit 1, Case 2568, marked for identification.)

Q Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 1 in Case 2568, is that likewise a map showing the leased and unleased area in the half section?

That's right.



325.1182

- Q The yellow area is under lease to Redfern & Herd?
- A That's right.
- Q And also the pink area at the north there, I believe that has come in?

A That's right. We had an undivided interest under that tract, and I have, subsequent to coloring the map, secured the lease on the other undivided interest.

- Q So it also is under lease to Redfern & Herd?
- A Right.

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit 2, Case 2568, marked for identification.)

Q Exhibit No. 2 is a list of the owners of the unleased tracts, those unleased tracts being the ones with red checkmarks on that list, is that correct?

A Yes, that's unleased and uncommitted. There are some relatively large tracts in this area on which other companies have leases.

Q Without referring to those at the moment, as to the unleased interest, are your statements as to the efforts you've made
to determine the ownership, and the efforts you have made to obtain
leases, those statements you made in the previous case, equally
applicable here?

- A That's right.
- Q And your statements as to your continued willingness to lease from any of these non-consenting owners?



- A That's right.
- O As to the tracts on which there are leases, I believe those are both Texaco tracts, are they not?
 - A Yes, that's right.
- Q Have you been and are you negotiating with Texaco as to those interests?
 - A That's right. We have asked them to join in this unit.
- Q And you are awaiting a further reply from them, is that correct?
- A Yes, their last letter indicated we would be advised shortly.
- Q Regardless of the order which might be entered in this case, you prefer to joint operate or take a farmout from Texaco?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Where is your well to be located in this half section?
- A It will be in the Southeast Quarter Northeast Quarter, it's immediately south and east of the river again. On that map, I believe the river is drawn in. It also falls within an open cultivated or pasture-type tract.
- As to this application as well as the previous one, you are willing to put in escrow those moneys which it might not be possible to distribute by virtue of not being able to determine owners or contact owners, is that correct?
 - A Yes, we would be agreeable.
 - Q And your offer to lease is still open to any of these



owners through the drilling of the well?

- A That's right.
- Q Is there anything peculiar about this location as far as the risk factor, as Mr. Morris asked you about the previous case?

A The actual location of this well is a little further from any producing well. However, on a well unit basis it is a direct offset.

- Q In one direction?
- A Yes, sir, to the south.
- Q But there is no offset to the west or north or east?
- A No.
- Q Your operating costs would be the same?
- A Yes.

MR. BRATTON: I believe we have nothing further at this time. I would offer Applicant's Exhibits 1 and 2 in both cases.

Q (By Mr. Bratton) These were prepared by you or under your supervision?

A They were prepared by our attorney.

MR. UTZ: Without objections, exhibits in -- this would be Exhibit 1, also?

MR. BRATTON: Yes, sir, the big map will be Exhibit 1 in each case and the list of ownerships Exhibit 2 in each case.

MR. UTZ: Exhibits 1 and 2 in Cases 2567 and 2568 will be entered into the record in the cases.



RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. UTZ:

Q Do you have this well located?

A Yes, it's to be in the Southeast Quarter Northeast Quarter immediately south and east of the river.

MR. MORRIS: Have you given that well a name?

A Yes, that's what we call our Farmington Unit B Well 1.

MR. UTZ: Any other questions?

MR. MORRIS: Yes, sir.

BY MR. MORRIS:

Q Mr. Johnston, in the operating agreements which have been signed with some of the interest owners in these units, what allowance has been made for the risk involved in the drilling of the well, if any?

A Well, our operating agreement has a standard provision for additional wells; however, there is no express provision in connection with this well. Since normally these Dakota units are one well units, I mean if they agree to drill this well, they take 100 percent, we have asked no one to sign any agreement providing for a risk factor on the initial well.

Q Some of the interests that have joined the operating agreements are to be carried interests, though, are they not?

A No.

Q They all agree to pay their costs in advance of the drilling of the well?



LBUQUERQUE, N. M. PHONE 243-6691

A Well, not in advance, ordinarily, but ordinarily we bill them at completion of the well.

Q None of the interests have made arrangements with you to pay their costs of the well out of production?

A No, sir.

Q Mr. Johnston, in your title searches, or searches for interest owners in these two units, have you ever come upon a person by the name of Bonnie Minor, the Administratrix of the J. W. Dickey Estate?

A Yes, that is the small triangular piece there in the Southeast Northeast Quarter. We have put forth a lot of effort over the last eighteen months to acquire that property in fee or lease it or do almost anything. Now most recently we were given a list of the supposedly a list of all of the heirs, and we have now written to all those heirs making them the same offer that we made everyone else.

MR. MORRIS: For the record, Mr. Examiner, the Commission has received a letter from Mr. Johnston Jeffries, Attorney at Law in Aztec, New Mexico, who represents Bonnie Minor, the Administratrix of the J. W. Dickey Estate to which I have just referred. He did not in his letter refer to the exact acreage which the Dickey Estate owns, and I did not know to which application it might be applicable. I would like to offer his letter in the record of Case 2568. Mr. Jeffries wants to be sure that they are included in whatever unit is formed in this particular area.



MR. BRATTON: I believe that is correct.

MR. MCRRIS: I have just shown that particular letter to Mr. Bratton, and I believe --

MR. BRATTON: That is correct.

MR. MORRIS: That's all the questions I have.

MR. UTZ: Are there any other questions of the witness? The witness may be excused.

(Witness excused.)

MR. UTZ: Any other statements in this case?

MR. MORRIS: Yes, sir. The Commission has received a letter from Skelly Oil Company with reference to Case 2567, stating that Skelly is agreeable to pooling as requested by the applicant in that case.

MR. UTZ: The case will be taken under advisement.



STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

I, ADA DEARNLEY, Notary Public in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Proceedings was reported by me in stenotype and that the same was reduced to typewritten transcript under my personal supervision and contains a true and correct record of said proceedings, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

DATED this 9th day of June, 1962, in the City of Albuquerque, County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico.

My Commission Expires:

June 19, 1963.

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No 25674756 F

New Mexico Cil

REDFERN & HERD, INC.

WILCO BUILDING
MIDLAND, TEXAS

FORT OFFICE BOX 1747
IELEPHONE MUTUAL MINISTER 35184

November 7, 1962

IN REPLY REFER TO

FILE:

Re: Farmington Unit #1 - S/2 Section 11, T-29-N, R-13-W, San Juan County, New Mexico
(Oil Conservation Case No. 2567 - Order No. R-2257)

TO:

ALL NON-CONSENTING OWNERS

Enclosed is a copy of the Statement of Costs which we have expended in drilling the above well.

Under the Order of the Oil Conservation Commission, we are to afford any non-consenting owner a thirty (30) day notice in which to pay his share of the well costs.

Unless we receive your share of the costs as indicated on the attached list of non-consenting owners on or before thirty (30) days after receipt of this letter, we shall presume that you elect not to pay your share of the costs and in lieu thereof, the Operator will recover your share of the costs, plus twenty-five percent (25%) thereof, out of seven-eighths (7/8ths) of your share of the production from this well.

Very truly yours,

REDFERN & HERD, INC.

Wan B. Johnston

WBJ:1k

Attachments

LOCATION:

The S/2 of Section 11, Township 29 North, Runge 13 West, San Juan County, New Mexico.

LEASE NAME Farmington Unit DRILLING EXORERANGES

` ====;	name and the control of the control	en in the state of					
Code 1		HBLE DEVELOPMENT	Well #	Well #	Well #	1	
1-1-1		(Footage) 5984 @ \$3.70 +	tax		\$ 22,362	21	
1-1-2		k, W/DP k, WO/DP				-	
1-2	Labor	K, WO/DP	 		- +		
$\frac{1-2}{1-3}$	Truckin	eren i sant an i et er en er en	- 		3,510		•
1-4		Loc. & Damages			147		
1-5	Power	Fuel & Water			444	15	
1-6-1		ls, Supplies & Rentals	+	+	1 652	-	
1-6-2		Chemicals			1,553		
1-7-1	Surveys		-}		3.452 948		
7-2		& Cementing	 	+	2,051	_	
7-3		ting & Treating	 		6,761		
1-8		cal & Engineering		1	1,035		
	Coring		1	 	1,035	30	!
	Core An	alvsis	 	 			
		ncludes Plugging)	 	++	841	86	
		al Intangible	 - -	1	- 041	00	\$43,108.0
Code 3		QUIPMENT	 	+			
3-1-1	Casing	AZOII WENT	-	 	0.7/5	00	1
3-1-2	Tubing		 	 	8,745		
3-1-3	Rods		 	 	2,714	74	
3-1-3	 	ad Connections	 				
3-4	1	Equipment	 	 	1.845	04	
3-5	Other	Edulyment		+			
	<u></u>	d Well Equipment	 	+			
Code 4		QUIPMENT	L				
4-1		teries & Treating Equipme	ent				
4-6	Lease L						
4-7	Fittings						
4-8	Other Eq	nioment			583	72	
		l Lease Equipment				/3_	
		Total Lease & Well Equips	ment				\$13,889.41
Code 6	LEASE	PERATIONS				=	, ,
5-1		ontract & Payroll			_	}	
5-2					_		
5 - 3	Repairs & Supplies Automobile & Truck						
)-4	Power, Fuel & Water						
0-6		Pulling & Workover					
7		rect Expense			-		
-8		Insurance					• • •
-10		nt & Abandonment					
-11		rative Charges					•
-12		lirect Expense					1.7
		Lease Operations					
	TOTAL COST, MONTH OF\$56.997.4						
		Intangible Development	Eou	ipment	xbears Or		
	 						
V							
00 % -		\$43,108.08	\$13,889.41		\$56.9	\$56,997.49	

REDFERN & HERD, INC.

Farmington Unit #1 S/2 Section 11, T-29-N, R-13-W, San Juan County, New Mexico

CARRIED INTERESTS

NAME	NET ACRES	INTEREST PERCENTAGE	SHARE OF COST
Tri-State Amusement			⋾ *
Company, Inc.	.1595	.049844%	\$ 28.41
Roy C. Hays and wife,			•
Cora B. Hays	.1250	.039063	22.26
Vinson Supply Company	.2189	.068406	38.99
State Electric Supply			
Company	1.1570	.361563	206.08
Leo Pearson and wife, Dorothy J. Pearson,			
(Joint Tenants)	.1312	.041000	23.37
Troy P. Kennedy	1.2698	.396812	226.17
Jim Johnson & Chester R			
Eden	8.3896	2.621750	1,494.33
William Thomas Daniel a			2,00.000
wife, RubyDickinson			
Daniel, (Joint Tenant	s) 1.1363	.355094	202.39
Fant Roberts	1.5000	.468750	267.18
Doro Guarco	.5804	.181375	103.38
Hans Van Ness Allen	1.0258	.320562	182.71
Merle Feller, et ux	.2123	.066344	37.81
Ed Parris	.3920	.122500	69.82
Stanley J. Gilmer	.8365	.261406	148.99
Dresser Industries, Inc		.550406	313.72
Ervin Jones and wife, Ethel Smith Jones,	• 1•/òrà	.550400	313.72
	.8952	.279750	159.45
(Joint Tenants)	•0972	.2/3/30	139.43
Ben B. Thomas and wife,			
Juanita B. Thomas,	.7254	.226688	129.21
(Joint Tenants)		. 220000	129.21
C. L. McKinney and wife	•		
Opal McKinney,	00/5	200006	164 67
(Joint Tenants)	.9245	. 288906	164.67
Chester R. Eden and wife		00000	E1 20
Billie J. Eden	.2880	.090000	51.30
Robert J. Batley, D. J.			
Barnes, John C.			
McCulloch, A.D.Leslie	,		
Roy Owen, D/B/A			
Associated Investors,		A A 4 4 A A	
co-partnership	.3120	.097500	55.57
Billie Faye Hamner	.2303	.071969	41.02
George A. Rutherford, J.		.071969	41.02
Harry T. Driver	.2303	.071969	41.02
M. A. Jacobson	.2303	.071968	41.02
R. E. Willis	.0987	.030844	17.58
Elizabeth B. Willis	.0987	.030844	17.58
C. Roy Shaw	.0987	.030844	17.58
Sheila Willis Shaw	.0987	.030843	17.58
	23.3567	7.298969	\$4,160.21

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MEANEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE No. 2567 Order No. R-2257

APPLICATION OF REDFERN & HERO, INC. FOR A FORCE-FOOLING ORDER, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on May 23, 1962, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, here-inafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this 7th day of June, 1962, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Elvis A.Utz, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

- (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That the applicant, Redfern & Herd, Inc., seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool in the S/2 of Section 11, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, NMPM, San Juan County, New Mexico.
- (3) That the applicant has made diligent effort to identify and to locate all owners of interest in the proposed proration unit.
- (4) That the applicant has made fair and reasonable offers to lease or to communitize with respect to each non-consenting interest owner whose identity and address is known.
- (5) That although the applicant has made fair and reasonable offers and has been diligent in its efforts to form the proposed proration unit, there remain non-consenting interest owners in the subject proration unit who have not agreed to the pooling of their interests.

-2-CASE No. 2567 Order No. R-2257

- (6) That to avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, to protect correlative rights, and to afford to the owner of each interest in said proration unit the opportunity to recover or receive without unnecessary expense his just and fair share of the gas in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool, the subject application should be approved by pooling all mineral interests, whatever they may be, within said unit.
- (7) That the applicant proposes to dedicate the subject proration unit to its Farmington Unit Well No. 1, to be located in the second Section 11.
- (8) That the applicant seeks permission to withhold the proceeds from production attributable to each non-consenting working interest until such time as each interest's share of the costs of said well has been recovered, plus 50 percent thereof as a charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well.
- (9) That \$75.00 per month should be fixed as the cost of operating the subject well and each non-consenting working interest owner should be assessed with his share of such cost, to be paid out of production.
- (10) That the applicant should furnish the Commission and each known non-consenting working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized schedule of well costs within 30 days following the date the subject well is completed.
- (11) That any non-consenting working interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to pay his thate of well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of well costs is furnished him by the applicant in lieu of paying his share of costs out of production.
- (12) That any non-consenting working interest owner not electing to pay his share of well costs within 30 days from the date said schedule is furnished him should have his share of the well costs withheld from production plus 25 percent thereof as a reasonable charge for the risk involved in drilling the well.
- (13) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason should be placed in escrow in San Juan County, New Mexico, to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership.
- (14) That Redfern & Herd, Inc. should be designated the operator of said unit.

IT IS THEREPORE ORDERED:

- (1) That all mineral interests, whatever they may be, in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool in the S/2 of Section 11, Township 29 North, Range 13 Wast, NMPM, Son Juan County, New Mexico, are hereby pooled to form a 320-acre gas proration unit. Slid unit shall be dedicated to the Farmington Unit Well No. 1, to be located in the SE/4 SE/4 of said Section 11.
- (2) That Redfern & Berd, Inc. is hereby designated the operator of said unit.
- (3) That Redfern & Herd, Inc. is hereby authorized to withhold the proceeds from production attributable to each non-consenting working interest until such time as each interest's edges of well costs has been recovered, plus 25 percent thereof as a charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well.
- That \$75.00 per month is fixed as the cost of operating the subject well, and Redfern & Herd, Inc. is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such cost attributable to each non-consenting working interest.
- (5) That any unsevered mineral interest shall be considered a seven-eighths (7/8) working interest and a one-eighth (1/8) royalty interest for the purpose of allocating costs and charges under the terms of this order.
- (6) That any well costs or charges which are to be paid out of production shall be withheld only from the working interests' share of production, and no costs or charges shall be withheld from production attributable to royalty interests.
- (7) That the applicant shall furnish the Commission and each known non-consenting working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized schedule of well costs within 30 days following the date the subject well is completed.
- (8) That any non-consenting working interest owner shall have the right to pay his share of well costs to Redfern & Herd, Inc. within 30 days from the date the schedule of well costs is furnished him by Redfern & Herd, Inc., in lieu of paying his share of well costs out of production. In the event any such owner elects to pay his share of well costs as provided for in this paragraph, he shall remain liable for operating costs but shall not be liable for risk charges.
- (9) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason shall be placed in escrow

-4-CASE No. 2567 Order No. R-2257

in San Juan County, New Mexico, to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership. The Commission shall be notified as to the name and address of said escrow agent.

(10) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year herein-above designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

EDWIN L. MECHEM, Chairman

Eswalk Member

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

5th Sen

Bulli 16 V

To Title Opinion No. 18.475

Sulliven Subdivision

8.65 acres

SWEWESTE of Section 11, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, W.M.P.M. (Now Sullivan Subdivision)

Owners: Block 1 Lots 1, 2, 3, 4: Lots 5, 6, 7: 16 -Lot 8: Lot 10: Lot 11: 107 Lot 12: Block 2 Lote 1, 2, 3, 4, 5: lot á: Lot 7: Lots 8, 9: Mock 1 Lote 1, 2: Lote 3, 4: Lot 3: Lots 6, 7: Lote 8, 9; Lote 10, 11, 12;

Mid-Continent Daply Company
Kines 2. Inlies, et al.
Sin Argennes
Line Danies Con Dunco

J. H. Bieke

G. R. Bentington % out Bagle Va

Jenes F. Ben

Commine Sales & Service John W. Hetsen et un Herle Faller et un George E. Toung et un

Jack James et al Brerett B. King et un Pranklis B. Heathers et un B. C. Bodeen M Parris Michael J. Maleof & Co.

Dress 1-1

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

North 3 acres of Middle Middle of Section 11, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, M.H.P.H.

Owners:

V. V. Kandson et uz, Habel H. Kandson, joint tenants

Treet 1-2

South 2 serse of William Str. of Section 11, Township 39 Borth, Rauge 13 West, H.M.P.M.

Owners:

Valter B. Beer et um, Anite E. Beer, joint tenente

FAIRVIEW Village Supposition

[10 E of Let 22, Block 1, A. Chester F. Eden

170 Non Let 22, Block 1, A.D. Leslie, at al

Trest 1-3

Shilling Sign and all of the Significate lying North of the Highway in Section 11, Township 29 North, Hange 13 West, H.M.P.M.

MCSPT a tract of land described as: Seginning at a point which is South 0"11' West 3360.4 feet from the Mortheset corner of the MANNA of Section 11, Township 29 North, Range 13 West; TERMCE South 0'11' West 200 feet to a point in the Morth right-of-way line of U.S. Highway 550, being the intersection of said North right-of-way line and the Hest lim of the MySNA of said Section; TREECE South 72'46' West along said right-of-way line 674.8 feet; TRINGE North 0°11' West, 300 feet; TRINGE North 72°46' Rast, 150 feet; TRESCE South 0°11' West, 150 feet; THENCE Morth 72°46' East, 308.1 feet;
THENCE Morth 0°11' Heat, 50 feet; TREMCE Morth 72°46' East, 216.7 feet to the point of beginning; AND EXCEPT a tract of land: Beginning at a point which is South 0'11' West, 3208.20 feet; TREMER South 72*46' West, 517.59 feet from the Northeast corner of the WANNA of said Section 11: THRHCE South 72'46' West, 157.21 feet; TREMCE North 0°11' East, 250 feet; TREMCE Borth 89'40' East, 150 feet; THEMCE South 0°11' West, 204.3 feet to the point of beginning.

Ovnera:

Thomas T. Davis et ux, Mary Isabel Davis, and Walter D. Beer et ux, Amita H. Beer

Tract 1-4

0.34 acres

Beginning at a point which is South 0°11' West 3152.92 feet;
THENCE South 89°40' West, 489.12 feet from the Northeast seemer of the Middle of Section 11, Township 29 North, Rouge 13 West, N.M.P.M.;
THENCE South 0°11' Nest, 101.35 feet;
THENCE South 89°40' Meet, 150 feet;
THENCE North 0°11' Rest, 100 feet;
THENCE Morth 69°40' Meet, 150 feet to point of beginning.

Owners

J. C. Moroon, Jr. et ux, Leeille Burroon, joint teneste

Tract 1-1

Reginning at a point which is South 0'11' West 3208.30 Sept and South 72'46' West 517.39 feet from the Mortheast corner of the Middle of Section 11, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, M.H.F.M.; TERRES South 72'46' West, 157.21 feet; TERRES South 0'11' Rost, 150 feet; TERRES South 89'49' Bost, 150 Seet; TERRES South 0'11' Meet, 150 Seet; TERRES South 0'11' Meet, 102.95 feet to point of beginning.

Owners

Northe Intimal Inchange Sank

Treet 1-6

Engineing at a point which is South 0'11' West 3260.4 Sect; THENCH South 72°44' West 524.8 feet from the Northeast corns of Miking of Section 11, Township 29 Meth, Benge 13 Nest, E.H.P.H.; TREMCE South 0°11' Wast, 190 feet; TREMCE South 72°46' West, 190 feet; TREMCE North 0°11' Bust, 190 feet; TREMCE North 72°46' Bust, 190 feet to point of beginning.

Owner's

7 to 11 Food Store, a partnership consisting of Jack Bughes & Bobby

ner vermenter soul

11 100

CHAUARIA CON

Treet 3-7

.663 azzus

Beginning at a point which is 17.5 feet North 7246' Best from the intersection of the West line of the Shimbank of Section 11, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, M.M.P.M., and the North line of U.S. Righ No. 550, which point is in the East line of a public read; TRANCE North 0'11' East, 150 feet along the East line of public read; THENCE North 72°46' East, 200 feet; TRANCE South 0°11' West, 150 feet to a point in the Both line of W.S. Eighney No. 550; TRENCE South 72°46' West, 200 feet along North line of U.S. Bighway No. 550 to the point of beginning.

Owner:

Major Oil & Coe Co.

Treet 1-8

Seginal g at a point which is South 0°11' West, 3960.6 Sect, South 72'46' Nest, 329.8 feet from the Borther of Section 11, Township 29 North, Ronge 13 West, B.H.P.M.;

TRIBER South 72"46" Hoot, 145 feet; THIMSE North 0"11' Bast, 150 Seet; SHIMSE North 72"46' Bast, 125 Seet;

THINKE South 7°22' Bast, 145.26 fagt to the point of be

one: walken Thomas Peniel,

Treet J-1

,370 WM

Deginning at a point which is South 0"15' West, 2000.6 Sept them South 72"46' West 259.8 Sout from the Burthaust course of the Miles of Section 11, Township 29 Morth, Rouge 15 West, M.M.P.M.; THERER South 72'44' West, 100 feet; THERER Morth 7'22' West, 145.30 feet; EE Both 72'44' Bast, 133.1 Sept; 65 South 4"St" Nost, 134.61 feet to point of b

Ouner:

more and a Maria A

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Trees 8-10

0.75 4000

Deglaming at a point in the fact line of the Siljingson, of faction 11. Township 29 North, Rampo 13 West, M.M.F.M., which is Swith 8'
11' West, 3616.6 Cest from the Northeast server of the MASSM of said Section II;

THEMES South 0'11' Nest, 190 feet to the North 1160 of S.S. Mighney 350;

TREMER South 72'46' Heat, 229.8 feet; TREMER North 4"51' East, 154.61 feet; TREMER Borth 72"46' East, 216.7 feet to point of beginning.

Owner:

El Page Materal Cas Products Compa

Track li

0.25

Regianing at a point which is South 0"11" Nest, 3368.6 feet from the Mortherst sorner of the Mithig of Section 11, Township 29 North, Box 13 West, M.M.P.M.; THENCE South 0°11' west, 50 feet; THENCE South 72°46' West, 216.7 Seet;

THREE Borth 0'11' Bost, 50 fost;

SHEMES Horeh 72'46' Host, 216.7 feet to point of beginning.

El Page Notaral Cas Products Coup:

Treat Sel

2.5 40700

Middle Mark of lection 11, Yemskip 29 North, Renge 13 Not, H.M.F.M.

Changes:

James Frenk Relikand at us, Para Beldheel, joint Squaste

Trans. 4-1

1.25 ----

Ministry of Seption II, Turnship 29 March, Rouge 15 Not, M.H.J.H.

J. T. Angero et un, lote le joint thumbs

1.25 acres

1. **1** 10 at vite, f. f.

BEST AVABURELL CON

Tract C-4

1.5 scree, more or less

North 99 feet of SimilianisWi of Section 11, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, N.M.P.M., more particularly described as follows: Beginning at a point in the West line of Section 11, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, N.M.P.M., from which the Northwest corner of the SWi of Section 11 bears North 0°06' Hast, 330 feet; THENCE North 89°57' East, 660 feet; THENCE South 0°06' West, 99 feet; THENCE South 89°57' West, 660 feet; THENCE South 89°57' West, 660 feet;

(Now Block 2 of Schofield-Roberts Subdivision)

OUDSES:

Fant Roberts et ux, semmenity property

Tract C-5

3.5 acree, more or less

South 231 feet of Signification of Section 11, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, N.M.P.M., more particularly described as follows: Beginning at a point which lies South 3°06' Feet, 429 feet from Northwest corner of SMs of Section 11;
THENCE North 39°57' Must, 660 feet;
THENCE South 0°06' West, 231 feet;
THENCE South 89°57' West, 660 feet;
THENCE North 0°06' East, 231 feet to point of beginning.

(Now Block 1 of Schofield-Roberts Subdivision)

Owners:

Lot 1:

Lots 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8h of Lot 8: Un of Lot 8: Lots 9, 10: Lot 11: Esthryn Peerson, whose husband is T. C. Peerson

C. D. Schofield James B. Burton et un Blake Youshstone et un Malter T. Berg et un

Tresk M-1

2.267 acres

Regioning at the Northwest corner of Stiffit of Section 11, Duraship 29 North, Range 13 West, N.M.P.M.; THERER North 75'18' Rost, 200 feet; THERER South 2'0' West, 540 feet to center of North Purplayton Mesh; THERER following center line of ditch until it excess North line of Section 11; THERER North 0'1' East, 516 feet to point of beginning.

Owner:

El Faco Materal Cos Company

Treet N-1

1.767 agree

Beginning at the Morthwest corner which is a point on the Southerly line of U.S. Highway 550, 125.60 feet Morth 73"21' Rest along line fro Y-Reil Highway marker opposite Station 34 + 83.35 on center line surve of Highway, said point being approximately 200 feet North 73°81' Must along Southerly line of Highway from its intersection with West line of Section 11;

THENCE North 73°21' East, 150 feet to Northeast corner; THENCE South 0°57' Rest, 487.45 feet to Southeast corner; a point in center line of North Fermington Ditch;

TRIMOR South 49°14'20" West along center line of ditch, 188 feet to Southwest corner;

TREMER Morth 0°57' West, 567.25 feet to point of beginning.

Owner:

Gardner Brothers Brilling Coupany, a corporation

HOOF MANY ADER COPY

Trast M-1

.993 00200

That portion of Wylfishk of Section 11, Township 29 North, Range 13 Nort, N.M.P.M., described as beginning at a point on the Sentherly line of U.S. Highway No. 550, at a point designated as State Righway right-of-way marker, Station 83.65, North 73°21' Bost, 275.6 feet to the original Northwest corner of the San Juan Notel Compensation pro-POTTY:

TERMOR South 0°57' East, 10.4 feet to Corner No. 1, the new Northwest egrmer;

TERMOR North 73°21' Rest, 100 fact to Corner No. 2, along the new right-of-way line of S.S. Righmay 550;

ICR South 0°57' Rest, 423.81 fact to Corner No. 3;

THINGS South 49°14'20" West, 123.32 feet to Corner No. 4; THINGS North 0°37' West, 477.05 feet to Corner No. 1 and the place of beginning, containing .995 seres, more or less.

Owners:

Goorge E. Texang, Billie Pape mer, Goorge A. Butherford, Jr., Enrry T. Driver, M. A. Jasobson

Treet H-4

That part of the Michael of Section 11, Downship 20 Hord 13 Wort, R.M.F.M., described as beginning at a point 420. act of a point on the West line of the H k of mid b from which the Hosphwest countr of said Section bas i, 3729.1 fast, sold point of biglinelay being clas i traf-pay line of our 9.5. Migh " Boot, 439.3 feat; A'39" Boot, 4.36 feat; A'39" Bogs, 580.23 feat; sh righ 1 1 25 1 line of apid 8.8. I Ph 73*23* t of bustiness.

San Juan March San

Breet H-1

The Rati 200 feet of the following described land and real estate, to-with Regimning at the Northwest server of the SWANG of Sentime 11, Township 29 North, Range 13 Vest, N.H.F.M.;
YERNOR North 75°18' Rest, 863 feet to the center of the Southy Read;
YERNOR Routh 358 feet to the center of the North Fernington Ditch;
YERNOR following the center line of said ditch until it ereces the Nest line of said Section 11;
YERNOR North 00°11' Rast, 616 feet to the point of beginning.

Ottoer:

Renry Velbofon

Track Y-1

2.139 acres

Baginning at the intersection of the Horth edge of U.S. Righmay 550 with the Host line of SM; of Section 11, Tomobip 29 Borth, Range 13 Nest, H.K.F.M.; TREECE Horth 56°36' East along public road, 175 feet; TREECE Horth 561 feet; TREECE South 59°36' Host, 175 feet parallel to Righmay 190; TREECE South 581 feet to point of beginning.

Owner:

Dramoer Tednotries, las., a Delaware corporation

Tract 7-2

.99 acre

Deginning Brith 69°36' Rest, 175 feet from intercention of the Borth line of U.S. Righway 550 with West line of the Sik of Section 11, Brunship 29 Borth, Range 13 West, N.M.P.M.;
THERES Borth 1°13' Rest, 420.4 feet to igno stake;
THERES South 88°47' Rest, 111.6 feet;
THERES South 1°13' West, 376.2 feet to North line of Highway;
THERES South 69°36' West, 120 feet to point of beginning.

-

The Tomas corn theat & Tabe dispuss

Drack !-!

, 890

Segluning North 69°36' East, 295 Sout Srow intersection of the Shooth line of V.S. Bighrey 550 with the West Line of the SSR of Santism IR, Semeskip 29 North, Reage 13 West, S.M.P.M.; SERVES Shorth 1°13' Sect, 576.2 South South 1°13' Sect, 576.2 South to iven stading States South 1°13' Next along Southy read, 257.5 South to Month Man of Michigan 300; Sect 60°36' Next, 163 Seat along highway him to point of backmain.

-

Ands from at us, their dutch

VEST AVAILABLE CON

Trees Y-4

A strip of land 30 fact to width Best and Nest; 1618.3 feet is length North and South, more particularly described as fellows:

Beginning at the Morth side of U.S. Eighway 530 at a point North 69°36' East, 400 feet from the point where the South line of said Highway intersects the West line of the Bilk of Section 11, Termship 29 North, Renge 13 West, M.M.P.M.; TRESCR North 69°36' East, 53.3 feet;

TREMCE North along the North line of the SMANN of Section 11, 1618.3 feet;

TRESCE West 50 feet;

TREECE South to point of beginning.

R. B. Willis, Missboth Wills, C. Noy Show, Shails Wills Show (sech ove-fourth)

, (30

Treet P-5

Degiuning at a point North 69°36' East, 453.8 Seet from the point where the Nort line of the SEE of Section 11, Tourning 29 Horth, Reage 13 West, M.M.P.M., intersects the North line of W.S. Mis 530;

TRIMER Borth 69°36' Bast, 110 feet along said Highway;

THENCE North 460.5 feet;

TRENCE West 102.4 fest, more or less, to street;

TRACE South 500 fact to of beginning.

Owners:

Sen J. Thus mes, joint beneats

1,000

Treet V-6

Beginning at a point that is North 69°35' Best, 363.8 See the point where the Borth line of the right-of-may of U.S. M.
330 intersects the Bost line of the Middle of Section 11, You 29 North, Range 13 West, M.M.F.M.; 68 Sheth 0°90' Rest, 460.5 feet, more or las TERMS Nest 102.4 feet to street reasing North and South, TERMS North 0"59' Rest, 61.3 feet; TERMS South 89"01' Nest, 253.13 feet; TERMS South 0"50' West, 464.31 feet; THINGS Hoot 161.95 feet to the point of beginning.

Owner, or

e. L. Millioner at an, Spel

V-VIII SKELLY 2000 / III TEXACO 1.950 THE STATE Electric 1909 THE TROY P. Kennedy WIL Chester R. Eden, et al 7.780 TK VINSON- TRI-State, Hays

San Juan County, New Mexico.