Cage No. 3786 Application, Transcripts, Bmall Exhibits, Etc.



OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO P. O. BOX 2008 - SANTA FE STROT GOVERNOR DAVID F. CARGO CHAIRMAN

LAND COMMISSIONER ALEX J. ARMIJO MEMBER

STATE GROLOGIET A. L. PORTER, JR. GENEVARY - DIRECTOR

January 18, 1971

Mr. Ken Bateman	Re:	Case No.	3786		
White, Gilbert, Koch & Kelly		Order No.	R-3437-B		
Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 787		Applicant:			
Santa Fe, New Mexico					

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Very truly yours,

Garter Δ

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

AL9/ir

Copy of order also sent to: Hobbs OCC_____ Artesia OCC_____ Aztec OCC_____

Other_

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE NATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 3786 Order No. R-3437-B

THE NATTER OF CASE NO. 3786 BEING REOPENED PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF ORDER NO. R-3437-A, WHICH ORDER CONTINUED THE SPECIAL RULES FOR THE NORTH PADUCA-DELAWARE POOL, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, AS PROMULGATED BY ORDER NO. R-3437.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on January 6, 1971, at Santa Fe, New Mexico. before Examiner Daniel S. Nutter.

NOW, on this ^{15th} day of January, 1971, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony. the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That by Order No. R-3437, dated June 13, 1958, temporary Special Rules and Regulations were promulgated for the North Paduca-Delaware Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.

(3) That by Order No. R-3437-A, dated July 17, 1969, said temporary Special Rules and Regulations were continued in full force and effect for an additional period of 18 months.

(4) That pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-3437-A, this case was reopened to allow the operators in the subject pool to appear and show cause why the Special Rules and Regulations should not be discontinued.

-2-CASE No. 3786 Order No. R-3437-B

(5) That the evidence establishes that the North Paduca-Delaware Pool has been and will be efficiently and economically drained and developed under the Special Rules and Regulations promulgated by Orders Nos. R-3437 and R-3437-A.

(6) That the Special Rules and Regulations promulgated by Orders Nos. R-3437 and R-3437-A have afforded and will afford to the owner of each property in the pool the opportunity to produce his just and equitable share of the oil and gas in the pool.

(7) That in order to prevent the economic loss caused by the drilling of unnecessary wells, to avoid the augmentation of risk arising from the drilling of an excessive number of wells, to prevent reduced recovery which might result from the drilling of too few wells, and to otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights, the Special Rules and Regulations promulgated by Orders Nos. R-3437 and R-3437-A should be continued in full force and effect until further order of the Commission.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the Special Rules and Regulations governing the North Paduca-Delaware Pool. Lea County, New Mexico, promulgated by Orders Nos. R-3437 and R-3437-A, are hereby continued in full force and effect until further order of the Commission.

(2) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.



STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

per part

A. L. FORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

BRUCE KING, Chairman

ALEX 6. ARMAG, Member



May 13, 1968

DOMESTIC PRODUCING DEPARTMENT MIDLAND DIVISION TEXACO INC. P. O. BOX 3109 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

HEARING REQUEST - FIELD RULES NORTH PADUCA (DELAWARE) OIL POOL LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

CHINY IS AN R So 6 une 32,16

Attn: Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr.

Gentlemen:

It is respectfully requested that a hearing be scheduled on the first available examiner hearing docket in Santa Fe, New Mexico, to consider the application of Texaco Inc. to promulgate Field Rules to govern the development and production of oil and gas reserves in the North Paduca (Delaware) Oil Pool in Lea County, New Mexico.

The rules to be recommended at the above requested hearing will include provisions for 40 acre oil proration units and 160 acre gas proration units with wells to be located not closer than 330' to any tract or lease boundary or quarter/quarter section line, nor closer than 660' to the nearest well completed in the same pool. A limiting gas-oil ratio of 3000 standard cubic feet per barrel of oil will be recommended. The source of supply in the North Paduca (Delaware) Oil Pool is the Delaware Sand formation. Two wells have been completed in this oil pool to date, being Texaco Inc. Cotton Draw Unit Wells No. 69 and 70.

Attached is a list showing all working interest owners in the Cotton Draw Unit, each of which is receiving a copy of this hearing request. In addition, all offset operators as listed below are receiving a copy, as well as the office of the United States Geological Survey in Roswell and the Commissioner of Public Lands in Santa Fe.

Yours very truly, Migham

CLW:jl Attach. C. L. Whigham Division Proration Engineer

cc: Beard Oil Company - 2000 Classen Blvd. - Oklahoma City, Oklahoma Continental Oil Company - Box 460 - Hobbs, New Mexico Getty Oil Company - Vaughn Building - Midland, Texas 79701 Guif Oil Corporation - Petroleum Building - Roswell, New Mexico Pauley Petr. Corp. - 10,000 Santa Monica Blvd. - Los Angeles, Calif. Comm. of Public Lands - Box 1148 - Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 U.S. Geoi. Survey - c/o Regional Oil & Gas Supervisor - Drawer 1857 -Roswell, New Mexico 88201 COTTON DRAW UNIT WORKING INTEREST OWNERS MAILING LIST

Pauley Petroleum Inc. 10,000 Santa Monica Blvd. Los Angeles 25, California 90067

Perry R. Bass 1200 Fort Worth National Bank Building Fort Worth, Texas

S.W. Richardson Estate 1200 Fort Worth National Bank Building Fort Worth, Texas

Continental Oil Company Box 460 Hobbs, N.M. 88240

Gulf Oil Corporation Petroleum Building Roswell, New Mexico

Humble Oil & Refining Company Box 1600 Midland, Texas

Beard Oil Company 2000 Classen Blvd. Room 610 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma Union Oil Company of California **P.O.** Box 671 Midland, Texas

Mrs. Peggy P. Jennings 1200 Fort Worth National Bank Building Fort Worth, Texas

Mr. E.B. Todhunter Box 852 Roswell, New Mexico

Tenneco Gil Company Box 1031 Midland, Texas

Panther City Investment Company Fort Worth National Bank Bldg. Fort Worth, Texas

Mr. Wm. G. Ross Gulf Building Midland, Texas 79701

Texaco Inc. P.O. Box 3109 Midland, Texas 79701

- Mart 1.0. 1-71

9 A.M. - OTO CONGERVATION COLMISSED DE NUMERO DE ROEM. STATULAND OFFICE PULLDING - DAMIN (FL. NEW COLMAND

The following cases will be heard before Carles . Nature, Example, or Elvis A. Utz, Alternate Examinar:

CASE 4463: Continued from the November 18, 1470, Examiner Hearing

Application of Roger C. Harks for compulsory peoling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the NE/4 of Section 18, Tewnship 9 South, Range 36 East, Vada-Pennsylvanian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, said acreage to be dedicated to applicant's Ford Federal Well No. 1 located in the NW/4 NE/4 of Section 18. Also to be considered will be the costs of drilling said well, a charge for the misk involved, a provision for the allocation of actual operating costs, and the establishment of charges for supervision of said well.

CASE 4477: Application of Gulf Oil Corporation for a waterflood expansion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to expand its Northwest Dumont Unit Waterflood Project, Eumont Pool, by the conversion to water injection of thirteen additional wells in Sections 26, 27, 33, and 34, of Township 19 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 3786: (Reopened):

In the matter of Case No. 3786 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-3437-A, which order extended for a period of 18 months the special rules and regulations for the North Paduca-Delaware Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. All interested persons may appear and show cause why the gas-liquid ratio limitation of 3,000 cubic feet of gas per barrel of liquid hydrocarbons should not be reduced and why the special rules and regulations should not be discontinued.

CASE 4455: Continued from the November 18, 1970, Examiner Hearing

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Concervation Commission for the creation of a new oil pool and for the assignment of a discovery allowable, Sandovab County, New Mexico. The Commission, at the request of Refiner: Petroleum Componation, will consider the creation of a new oil pool for the production of oil from the Dakota formation comprising the NEV' of Service 21, Township 22 North, Range 3 West, Sandoval Councy, New Mexico, said pool having been discovered by said corporation is Ouba Union Well No. 1 cosated in Brit A of said Section 25. Also to be considered will be the assignment of approximately 51,390 harrels of discovery a Savable to call well. Examinor Hearly, - Garmar, 6, 1994. Page 2

4

Recent and a la

- CASE 4478: Applications of Kerners Frincless Compression for the energies of a new oil point of period motes threaden, samewal County, New Mexico. Applicanty in the aneve-situest cause, scoke the creation of a new pool for the production of oil from the Graneros-Daketa for sation for its Cuba-Chiev Well No. 1 scated in Unit A of Section 25, Township 22 North, Namge 6 Well County, tex Mexico, and for the propulgation of Special rules Mercfor, thebeing a percisions for 160-acre spacing units.
- CASE 4479: Application of Bastrand with Despeny for the creation of a new pool, assignment of Classwery allowable, and special pool rules, Eddy County, New Moxico. Application of our free the Grayburg and Gap Andres new pool for the profection of our free the Grayburg and Gap Andres formations for its area Foreral well No. 3 located in the NW/4 NW/4 of Section 5, Township 18 costs, Range Sh Bast, Bidy County, New Mexico, and for the assignment of an oil discovery allowable to said well. Applicant forther seeks the adoption of special pool rules to provide for a liadting gas-oil ratio of 3,000 to one.
- CASE 4480: Application of denoted States Sas Producing Company for expansion of a unit area, les Contry New Mexico. Applicatt, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to expand the Flying "E" (San Andres) Unit Area, authorized by Orles Ne. 8-3006, to include some S79 acres, more or less, of Federal, State and Fed Lande in Towiships 9 and 10 South, Range 33 East, Flying "M" San Andres Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 4481: Application of Delaware-Apache Corporation for a non-standard promation unit, Receivelt County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled nause, seeks approval for an 80-acre non-standard cil promation unit comprising the SW/4 NE/4 and NW/4 GE/4 of Section 20, Township 3 South, Range 38 East, Bluitt-San Andres Associated Pool, Roosevelt County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location in the SW/4 NE/4 of said Section 20.
- CASE 4482: Application of the Petroleum Corporation for the creation of a new pool, special rules therefor, and exceptions to Orders Nos. R-3221 and R-4070, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the creation of a new Strawn oil pool for its Peteo State Well No. 1 located in Unit P of Section 20, Township 19 South, Range 29 East, Eddy County, New Mexico. and for the provalgation of special rules therefor, including provisions for 160-scre spacing units and a limiting gas-oil ratio of 4000 cubic feet of gos per barrel of oil. Applicant further seeks exceptions to Orders Mos. K-5221 and R-4070, respectively, to percit the disposal of water produced by said well in an unlined surface pit and to flare gas produced by said well.

CASE 3709; (Reopened)

In the ratter of last block torug respend persons to the the provisions of Order No. R-Dissea, which crear extended as able spacing units for the Akan Ner-Disseated distingtion Sate Team During, Les Nexico, for a period of the means. Als inferences periods bay appear and show cause why said point decide of the end period second paints and s Examiner Hearing - Darwarss, 1901. Page 3

- CASE 4483: Appliedtlor of Fubbon Petrol and Componential for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant in the adverse-styled cause, beeks approval of the Keenitz-Lower Monteaup Bast built Area comprising the SW/4 and W/2 SD/4 of Section 29, the H/2 NW/4 of Section 27, and the E/2 NE/4 of Section 28, Sownship HC Couth, Bange 34 Elst, Kemmitz-Lower Wolfcamp Fool, Lea County, Lew Mexico
- CASE 4484: The application of the Gill Contervation Conclusion upon its own motion for an order granting an exception to the minth paragraph of Chapter II, Section 2 of Order No. R-137-F to permit shutting in gas wells for the required shut-in test at some period during the 1971 test season other than immediately following the z-day deliverability flow test; further to permit measuring the shut-in test pressure during the 8th to 15th day of shut-in of the well rather than on the 8th day as presently required. The above exceptions would be for the 1971 annual deliverability test season only and would be applicable to all wells in San Juan, Rio Arriba, McKinley, and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico, subject to the testing requirements of Chapter II of Order No. R-333-F.
- CASE 4485: Application of Continental Gil Cospany for a con-standard gas proration unit, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the astablishment of a 240-acre con-standard gas proration unit comprising the NM/4 NM/4, S/2 NM/4, NE/4 SM/4, and S/2 SM/4 of Section 1, Township 25 South, Range 36 East, Jalmat Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to its Wells "A" Wells Nos. 2 and 7, located, respectively, in Units E and F of said Section 1. Applicant further seeks authority to produce the allowable assigned to said unit from either of said wells in any proportion.
- CASE 4486: Application of Continental Cil Company for a waterflood expansion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to expand its Langlie-Mattix Jack A-29 waterflood project, Langlie-Mattix Pool, by the Grilling of an additional injection well at an orthodox location in the SW/4 NW/4 of Section 29, Township 24 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 4487: Application of Pennzoil United, Inc., for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Strawn formation underlying the W/2 of Section 6, Township 23 South, Range 27 East, South Carlsbad Strawn Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, said acreage to be dedicated to a well in the W/2 of said Section 6. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling said well, a charge for the risk involved, a provision for the allocation of actual operating costs, and the establishment of charges for supervision of said well.
- CASE 4488: Application of Recler and Sheldon for Joshake contingling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicants, in the above-styled naise, seek approval for the downhole contingling of gas and liquids produced from the Jalmat Gas Foul and one and gat is the first the langits-Mattix Gil Fool through a content string of tubing is their Steeler Woll Ne. 9 Docated in Unit 1 of Section 20, lumiting 22 conting Paper 20 Part. Lea County, New Maximu.



OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO P. O. BOX 2088 - SANTA FE 87501 GOVERNOR DAVID F. CARGO CHAIRMAN

LAND COMMISSIONER ALEX J. ARMIJO MEMBER

STATE GEOLOGIST A. L. PORTER, JR. SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

July 17, 1969

Mr. Booker Kelly		
White, Gilbert, Koch	&	Kelly
Attorneys at Law		_
Post Office Box 787		
Santa Fe, New Mexico		

	Case No	3786
Order	Order No.	R-3437-A

Δ	2	p	1	i	~	3	n	÷		
5	Р	r	*	*	5	-	**	-	•	

Texaco Inc.

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case

ALP/Ir Solar 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 20	Very truly yours A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director
Copy of order also sent to:	
Hobbs OCC ×	
Artesia OCC	
Aztec OCC	
Other	

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 3786 Order No. R-3437-A

THE MATTER OF CASE NO. 3786 BEING REOPENED PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF ORDER NO. R-3437, WHICH ORDER ESTABLISHED SPECIAL RULES FOR THE NORTH PADUCA-DELAWARE POOL, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on July 9, 1969. at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel S. Nutter.

NOW, on this <u>17th</u> day of July, 1969, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having keen given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That by Order No. R-3437, dated June 18, 1968, temporary Special Rules and Regulations were presulgated for the North Paduca-Delaware Pool, Lea County, New Mercino.

(3) That pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-3437, this case was reopened to allow all operators in the subject pool to appear and show cause why the Special Rules and Regulations for the North Faduca-Delaware Pool should not be discontinued.

(4) That the tenperary Special Rules and Regulations for the North Paduca-Delawiks Pool, promulgated by Order No. R-3437, should be continued in effect for an additional 18-month period -2-CASE No. 3785 Order No. R-3437-A

in order to allow the operators in the subject pool sufficient time to gather additional information concerning the reservoir characteristics of the pool.

(5) That this case should be reopened at an examiner hearing in January, 1971, at which time the operators in the subject pool should appear and establish that the gas-liquid ratio limitation of 3,000 cubic feet of gas per barrel of liquid hydrocarbons will effectively maintain the gas-oil contact in the subject pool, and that the Special Rules and Regulations should be continued in effect.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the temporary Special Rules and Regulations for the North Paduca-Delaware Pool promulgated by Orcar No. R-3437 are hereby continued in full force and effect for an additional 18-month period.

(2) That this case shall be reopened at an examiner hearing in January, 1971, at which time the operators in the subject pool shall appear and show cause why the gas-liquid ratio limitation of 3,000 cubic feet of gas per barrel of liquid hydrocarbons should not be reduced and why the Special Rules and Regulations should not be discontinued.

(3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DOKE at Santa Fe. New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.



- CONSTRANTION CONTREPART CONSTRANTION CONTREPART

A pecretary

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 3786 Order No. R-3437

APPLICATION OF TEXACO INC. POR SPECIAL POOL RULES, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION :

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on June 5, 1968, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel S. Sutter.

NOW, on this 18th day of June, 1968, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises.

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Tensoo Inc., seeks the promotion of special rules and computitions for the Both Pachas-Sclavare 2001, Lee County, Der Dankos, Fechnikas a provinion for classification is oil and per wells is said paid, a similar secolitration of 3,000 to our, and the secolitration for oil wells and 160 some spanning for oil wells and 160 some per period.

(3) that the coextrain consectoristics of the subject peet indicate the Decomposition of the constraint fraction drained and covariance on 166-more spacing, and that the oil deca can be affectedly and some shall be drained and developed on 40-more opening

(b) (the resolves checketstres of the selfer (b) (c) and self (c) (c) (c) a construction of the constructi

-2-Case No. 3785 Order No. A-3437

well producing with a gas-liquid ratio of 30,000 or more cubic feet of gas per barrel of liquid hydrocarbons.

(5) That the reservoir characteristics of the subject pool presently available justify the establishment of a gas-liquid ratio limitation of 3,000 cubic feet of gas per barrel of liquid hydrocarbons.

(8) That temporary special rules and regulations providing for 160-acre gas well spacing and 40-acre oil well spacing should be promulgated for the subject cool in order to prevent the economic loss caused by the drilling of unnecessary wells, avoid the augmentation of risk arising from the drilling of an excessive number of wells, prevent reduced secovery which might result from the drilling of too few wells, and otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

(7) That the temporary special rules and regulations should provide for the classification of a gar well as a well producing with a gas-liquid ratio of 30,000 or more cubic feet of gas per barrel of liquid hydrocenses and should provide for the establishment of a gas-liquid ratio limitation of 3,000 cubic feet of gas per barrel of liquid hydrocentons in order to afford to the owner of each property in the pool the opportunity to produce his just and equitable share of the cill or gas, or both, and for this purpose to use his just and equitable share of the receivoir energy.

(a) that the coupling special rolar and explations should coupling provent waste of a provent waste and protect conceletive violer.

(c) as a constant of the second of a state of the property (1965, x) which the rise second is a second of a second of the body of the oright of the rise of the bis of the property of the body of the root of general an instant by the by the body and the the second of the the operation of the the state of the body and the test of the second reference to even the the state of the body and the second of the reference of the second of the test of the second of the reference of the second of the test of the second of the reference of the second of the test of the second of the second of the reference of the second of the test of the second of the second of the reference of the second of the test of the second of the second

Baros one of antistical deals and so the formal press and rate when your case so that is not stated of state of some and a set for all states. -3-CASE No. 3786 Order No. 8-3637

SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR THE MORTH PADUCA-DELAWARE POOL

<u>RULE 1.</u> Bach well completed or recompleted in the North Paduca-Delaware Pool or in the Delaware formation within one mile thereof, and not nearer to or within the limits of another designated Dalaware (ool, shall be spaced, dialied, operated, and produced in accordance with the Special Rules and Regulations hereinafter set forth.

<u>RULE 2.</u> (a) Each gas well shall be located on a standard unit containing 160 acres, more or less, substantially in the form of a square, which is a quarter section being a legal subdivision of the United States Tublic Land Surveys.

<u>RULE 2.</u> (b) Each oil well shall be located on a standard unit containing 40 acres, more or less, consisting of a governmental quarter-quarter section.

<u>RULE 3.</u> The Secretary-Director of the Commission may grant an exception to the requirements of Rule 2 (a) without notice and hearing when an application has meet filed for a non-standard unit and the unorthodox size or shape of the unit is necessitated by a variation in the legal subdivision of the United States Emblic Land Surveys, or the following facts exist and the following provisions are complied with:

- (a) The non-standard whit consists of quarterquarter sections or hold that are contiguous by a common hordering side.
- (b) The numeroanders of the theory of the a generated generated and the red contains loss accorded these a new constance.
- (c) Rue + primari grows is aron a corress of a correspondence was took of the correspondence was from all offered of the last to the contraction with the contraction.
- (6) In tion of parking h (c) of this sale, the applicant may transfer parked of one that they all of the approach of propagate out of the compact.

-4-CASE No. 3786 Order No. R-3437

> by registered or certified mail of his intent to form such non-standard unit. The Secretary-Director may approve the application if no such operator has entered an objection to the formation of such non-standard unit within 30 days after the Secretary-Director has received the application.

<u>RULE 4.</u> Each well, oil or gas, shall be located no nearer than 330 feet to any quarter-quarter section line, except that any well drilled in a known gas productive area shall be located within 150 feet of the center of a quarter-quarter section.

<u>RULT 5.</u> A well shall be classified as a gas well if it has a gas-liquid ratio of 30,000 or more cubic feet of gas per barrel of liquid hydrocarbons. A well shall be classified as an oil well if it has a gas-liquid ratio of less than 30,000 cubic feet of gas per barrel of liquid hydrocarbons. The simultaneous dedication of any acreage to an oil well and a gas well is prohibited.

RULE 6. The gas-liquid ratio limitation shall be 3,000 cubic feet of gas per barrel of liquid hydrocarbons.

<u>RULE 7.</u> An oil well which has 40 acres dedicated to it shall be permitted to produce an amount of gas determined by multiplying the top unit oil allowable for the pool by the limiting gas-liquid ratio for the pool. In the event there is more than one bil well on a 40-acre oil promation unit, the operator may produce the allowable assigned to the 40-acre unit from the wells on the unit in any proportion.

a cas will shall on provision as provined by will be the top unit of allosable amount of gas obtained by wildiplying the top unit of allosable for the cost by the less of starting to vario for the wool and by a fraction, the near the or wilde to the tot of or an addition of the particular gas will and the denometor of which is 40. In the total terms of the tot of the tot of the yas near the total for the maximum of the total to gas near the order, the maximum of the total to gas the total of the maximum of the total to gas the total of the maximum of the total to gas an order of the total to all the total to the total to gas the total of the maximum of the total total to gas the total to all total to the total total total to gas and the total total total total total total total to gas and to the total total total total total total total to gas and total to gas and total total

MORE In the second with the date of which the second state of the

-5-CASE No. 3786 Order No. R-3437

in accordance with Rule 11. Any well which is shut in shall be exempted from the gas-liquid ratio test requirement so long as it remains shut in. The initial gas-liquid ratio test shall be taken in the manner prescribed by Rule 9. If the gas-liquid ratio is 30,000 cubic fest of gas per barrel of liquid hydrocarbons, or more, the operator shall not produce the well until beneficial use can be made of the gas.

No gas shall be flared or vented from any well classified as on oil well more than 60 days after the well begins to produce or 60 days after the effective date of these rules, whichever is later. Any operator that desires to obtain an exception to the foregoing provisions for a well classified as an oil well shall submit to the Secretary-Director of the Commission an application for such exception with a statement setting forth the facts and eircumstances justifying it. The Secretary-Director is hereby authorized to grant such an exception if he determines that the granting of it is reasonably necessary. If the Secretary-Director declines to grant administrative approval of the requested exception, the matter shall be set for hearing if the operator so requests.

RULE 9. Gas-liquid ratio tests shall be taken on all wells during the months of January, April, July, and Otropev of each year. The initial gas-liquid ratio test shall suffice as the first quarterly test. Tests shall be 24-hour tests, being the final 24 hours of a 72-hour period during which the well shall be produced at a constant normal rate of production. Results of such tests shall be filed on Commission Form C-116 on or before the 10th fay of the felled on Commission Form C-116 on or before the 10th fay of the felled on Commission Form C-116 on or before the 10th fay of the felled on Commission Form C-116 on before the 10th fay of the felled on Commission Form C-116 on be commencement of any such gas-liquid ratio tests, each operator shall file with the Fobbe writes on the domainstant a test schedule for its wells, specifying the blow ages of the month is not be tested. Copies of the cost ordered at a constant of any such the felled ages of the state the back to each during the felled of the cost order ages of the month is the felled to be tested. Specifying the blow ages of the month is all the filed to ell offered tests.

In decaption of a second reder of reder class, which is should end be decaded in reder to the possible possion for an possible of the reder of redering of an and the constraint of the second constraints of the redering additional constraints for the the redering of the provedstation addition constraints and the test of the redering and

andat on stant craneco, nicence e clanet en la page mark the acceletic cale of a clauper of the land that way and 5.512 -5-CASE So. 3786 Order No. R-3437

<u>RULE 11</u>. Any well completed after the afractive date of these rules shall receive an allowable only upon receipt by the Commission's Hobbs Office of Commission Forms C-104 and C-116, properly executed. The District Supervisor of the Commission's Hobbs Office is hereby authorized to assign a temporary gas allowable to wells connected to a gas transportation facility during the recovery of load oil, which allowable shall not exceed the number of cubic feet of gas obtained by whichplying the daily top unit allowable for the pool by the limiting gasliquid ratio for the gool.

<u>RHE 17</u>. Balancing dates shall be 7 o'clock a.M. January the first and 7 o'clock a.M. July the first, and the periods of time bounded by these dates shall be gas provation veriods.

<u>RULE 13.</u> Any gas well which has an underproduced status as of the end of a gas provation period shall be allowed to carry such underproduction forward into the past gas provation period and may produce such underproduction is addition to the allowable assigned during such succeeding period. Any allowable carried forward into a gas provation period and remaining unproduced at the end of such gas provation period shall be expected.

RULE 14. Resolution during any one month of a case promation period to excluse of the allowable casigned to a well now such month shall be applied symbols the underproduction carried into such period in deterrible, the account of allowable, if any, to be cancelled.

Mini 11. And and the first of the test of the first of the second of

A provide the second state of the

-/-Cabl No. 5786 Order Lo. 18-3437

<u>RULE 17</u>. The Commission say allow overpresention to be compensated for at a lesser rate than would be the case if the well were completely shut in upon a showing after notice and hearing that complete shut in of the well would result in material damage to the well or reservoir.

<u>RULE 18</u>. The monthly gas production from each gas well shall be metered separately and the gas production therefrom shall be reported to the Commission on Form Calls as as to reach the Commission on or before the 24th day of the month next succeeding the month in which the gas was produced. The operator shall show on such report what disposition has been made of the produced gas.

<u>HULE 19.</u> Each purchaser of caller of gas shall submit a report to the Commission so as to reach the Commission on or before the 15th day of the month ment succeeding the month in which the gas was purchased or taken. Such report shall be filed on Form C-111 with the wells being listed in the same order as they are listed on the oil promation schemals.

<u>MUE 20.</u> Failure to comply with any provision of these nulse shall result in the immediate concollation of showable assigned to the affected wall. So furthese decomplete what be assigned until all rules and regulations does complied with. The incretary-Director shall notify the operator of the walk and perchaser in writing of the date of allowable cancelled on we the reason therefor.

satis there as an entropy as according to the the state of the set of the set

(2005) 22. A CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR AND A CON

40. 这些主题地理论。从这些文字中

(1) that all operators that, serve a dury 2, 2007, file with the dormaxion form Cable for the soll static the average dedicated to the soll. -b-Crobbing, fitts Sader iss. sitts?

(2) that all operators shall take new gan-oil natio tests on all walls and file the results thereod with the doublesion on Form C-116 prior to August 1, 1968; that the daily tolerance provision of Commission Rule 302 I is hereby waived for the purpose of testing walls at the allowable sate authorized by these rules.

(3) That this care shall be rappened at an examiner hearing in July, 1967, at which time the operators in the arbject pool shall show cause why the jan-lip 17 ratio listuation of 3,000 obto feet of jes per barrel of liquid hydrocations should not be reduced and why the special splue and caulations provelyated by this order should not be discontinued.

(4) That jurisdiction of this cause is related for the entry of such further colors as the Conclestor and the concessory.

Will at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and your hereinabove a designated.



UTAIN OF SEW ISKICO OIL COMPRESSION COMPLEMENTS UAVI

•



May 13, 1968

DOMESTIC PRODUCING DEPARTMENT MIDLAND DIVISION TEXACO INC. P. O. BOX 3109 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

HEARING REQUEST - FIELD RULES NORTH PADUCA (DELAWARE) OIL POOL LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

New Mexico 011 Conservation Commission P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

2 200 3 11 15 MAR 42

Attn: Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr.

Gentlemen:

It is respectfully requested that a hearing be scheduled on the first available examiner hearing docket in Santa Fe, New Mexico, to consider the application of Texaco Inc. to promulgate Field Rules to govern the development and production of oil and gas reserves in the North Paduca (Delaware) Oil Pool in Lea County, New Mexico.

The rules to be recommended at the above requested 1.5% ing will include provisions for 40 acre oil proration units and 160 acre is proration units with wells to be located not closer than 330' to iny tract or lease boundary or quarter/quarter section line, nor closer than 660' to the nearest well completed in the same pool. A limiting gas-oil ratio of 3000 standard cubic feet per barrel of oil will be recommended. The source of supply in the North Paduca (Delaware) 0il Pool is the Delaware Sand formation. Two wells have been completed in this oil pool to date, being Texaco Inc. Cotton Draw Unit Wells No. 69 and 70.

Attached is a list showing all working interest owners in the Cotton Draw Unit, each of which is receiving a copy of this hearing request. In addition, all offset operators as listed below are receiving a copy, as well as the office of the United States Geological Survey in Roswell and the Commissioner of Public Lands in Santa Fe.

Yours very truly, Miligham C. L. Whigham

CLW:jl Attach,

Division Proration Engineer

cc: Beard Oil Company - 2000 Classen Blvd. - Oklahoma City, Oklahoma Continental Oil Company - Box 460 - Hobbs, New Mexico Getty Oil Company - Vaughn Building - Midland, Texas 79701 Guif Oil Corporation - Petroleum Building - Roswell, New Mexico Pauley Petr. Corp. - 10,000 Santa Monica Bivd. - Los Angeles, Calif. Comm. of Public Lands - Box 1148 - Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 U.S. Geol. Survey - c/o Regional Oil & Gas Supervisor - Drawer 1857 -Roswell, New Mexico 88201 DOCKET MARED

Denha

COTTON DRAW UNIT WORKING INTEREST OWNERS MAILING LIST

Pauley Petroleum Inc. 10,000 Santa Monica Blvd. Los Angeles 25, California 90067

Perry R. Bass 1200 Fort Worth National Bank Building Fort Worth, Texas

S.W. Richardson Estate 1200 Fort Worth National Bank Building Fort Worth, Texas

Continental Oil Company Box 460 Hobbs, N.M. 88240

Gulf Oil Corporation Petroleum Building Roswell, New Mexico

Eumble Oil & Refining Company Box 1600 Midland, Texas

Beard Oil Company
2000 Classen Blvd. Room 610
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Union Oil Company of California **P.O.** Box 671 Midland, Texas

Mrs. Peggy P. Jennings 1200 Fort Worth National Bank Building Fort Worth, Texas

Mr. E.B. Todhunter Box 852 Roswell, New Mexico

Tenneco Oil Company Box 1031 Midland, Texas

Panther City Investment Company Fort Worth National Bank Bldg. Fort Worth, Texas

Mr. Wm. G. Ross Gulf Building Midland, Texas 79701

Texaco Inc. P.O. Box 3109 Midland, Texas 79701 Jul, 7, 1969

New Nexico Oil Conservation Commission P. G. Box 2068 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Sentlemen:

Please be advised that the New Ma doo blectric Service Company has, together with Texaco, Inc., a gas contract which is applicable to the casinghead gas produced in the North Paducah Delaware Gil Pool.

New Maxico Acctric is presently purchasing all of the gas tendered to it and that the gas is being put to beneficial use. Further, that New Maxico Electric will put to a beneficial use all volumes that may be tendered to it from the North Faducah Deleware.

lerg trails source,

dresidert

JAN/12

DOCKET NO. 19-69

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - JULY 9, 1969

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING - SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Elvis A. Utz, Alternate Examiner:

- CASE 4160: Application of Roger C. Hanks for pool redelineation, Roosevelt County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks the redelineation of certain pool boundaries to include the deletion of the NE/4 of Section 29 from the South Prairie-Cisco Pool and the extension of the Middle Allison-Pennsylvanian Pool to include all of Section 29, the SE/4 of Section 30, and the N/2 of Section 32, all in Township 8 South, Range 36 East, Rooseveit County, New Mexico.
- CASE 4161: Application of Roger C. Hanks for special pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the promulgation of special pool rules for the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including a provision for 160-acre spacing and proration units and the assignment of 80-acre allowables.

CASE 3786: (Reopened)

In the matter of Case No. 3786 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-3437, which order established special rules and regulations for the North Paduca-Delaware Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including provisions for the classification of and spacing for oil and gas wells and a special gas-liquid ratio limitation. All interested parties may appear and show cause why the special rules and regulations should not be discontinued.

CASE 4162: Application of Western States Producing Company for a dual completion and salt water disposal, Roosevelt County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dually complete its State 30 Well No. 2 located in Unit M of Section 30, Township 7 South, Range 33 East, Roosevelt County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from the Chaveroo-San Andres Pool and the disposal of produced salt water through 8 5/8 x 4 1/2 inch casing-casing annulus into the Yates, Seven Rivers, and Queen formations in the open-hole interval from approximately 1825 feet to 3785 feet.

Examiner Hearing - Joly 9, 1969 Page 2

flacket, No. 19-69

- CASE 4163: Application of Pan American Febroleum Corporation for a non-standard gas provation wolt, Lew County, New Mexico, Applicant, in the above-styled deuse, seeks approval of a 181-acre non-standard gas provotion whit for its Pike Federal Well No. 1 located in Unit 2 of Section 6, Township 23 South, Range 38 Fast, dobb Cas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, said unit to comprise the N/2 N/C of said Section 6.
- CASE 4164: Application of Mobil Oil Corporation for in unorthodox location, Lea County, New Mexico Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to drill its Fristoe Well No. 8 at an unorthodox location 2470 feet from the North line and 430 feet from the East line of Section 3, Township 25 South, Range 37 Past, Langlie Mattix Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 4165: Application of Sam Boren for special pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the promulgation of special pool rules for the East Bagley-Pennsylvanian Pool, Lea County. New Mexico, including a provision for 160-acre spacing and proration units and the assignment of 80-acre allowables.
- CASE 4166: Application of Sam Boren for a dual completion and salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dually complete his Barbera State "A" Well No. 1 located in Unit P of Section 6, Township 12 South, Pange 34 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from the East Engley-Ferneyvlanian Fool and the disposal of produced self water through the intermediate casing-production casing annulus into the San Andres and Glorieta formations in the open-hole interval from approximately 4060 feet to 6562 feet.
- CASE 4167: Application of Charles B. Read for a dual completion and salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico, Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks outhority to dually complete his Hobbs "Y" Well No. 1 Located in Unit 2 of Section 29, Township 12 South: Pange 34 Fast, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as the permit the production of oil from the East Hightower-PenneyLearner Feal and the disposal of produced salt water through the actions disposal of produced salt water through the actions disposal of produced insuch a new Feal and the disposal of produced salt water through the actions disposal of produced is annotation the Gan Andrew, Charless, East, and abo formations in the open-Hole instance transpredention dasing annotations in the open-Hole instance transpredention due to 7720 feet.

Examiner Hearing - July 9, 1969 Page 3

Docket No. 19-69

- CASE 4168: Application of Charles B. Read for salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water into the Seven Rivers Reef formation in the open-hole interval between 3783 feet and 3797 feet in his Sinclair State Well No. 1 located 2310 feet from the South and West lines of Section 2, Township 21 South, Range 33 East, Lynch Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 4169: Application of Mask, Jennings, Keohane and Westall for an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicants, in the above-styled cause, seek an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, which order prohibits the disposal of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil on the surface of the ground in Lea, Eddy, Chaves and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico, after January 1, 1969. Said exception would be for applicants' wells in the E/2 NW/4 and the NE/4 of Section 2, Township 19 South, Range 31 East, Shugart Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicants seek authority to dispose of salt water produced by said wells in an unlined surface pit located in Unit C of said Section 2.
- CASE 4170: Application of H. C. Hood for pool redelineation, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the redelineation of the High Plains-Pennsylvanian Pool by the deletion of the SW/4 of Section 14 and the SE/4 of Section 15, Township 14 South, Range 34 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

GOVERNOR DAVID F. CARGO CHAIRMAN

State of New Mexico **Bil Conservation Commission**



STATE GEOLOGIST A. L. PORTER, JR. SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

LAND COMMISSIONER GUYTON 8. HAYS MENDER

June 18, 1968

3736 Re: Case No. Mr. Booker Kelly Order No. R-3437 White, Gilbert, Koch & Keily Applicant: Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 787 Texaco Inc. Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr.

Secretary-Director

ALP/ir

Carbon copy of drder also sent to:

Hobbs OCC X

Artesia OCC

Aztec OCC___

Other Mr. Elvis A. Utz - Gas Proration Department

DOCKET MARIED

Date 6-25-69

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

Ŀ

CASE No. 3246 Order No. R-2935

APPLICATION OF PAUL DeCLEVA FOR AN AMENDMENT TO ORDER NO. R-2691, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on May 11 1965, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Elvis A. Utz.

NOW, on this <u>13th</u> day of July, 1965, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Paul DeCleva, seeks amendment of Order No. R-2691 to establish special rules and regulations governing the Mesa-Queen Pool in Lea County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant seeks the promulgation of rules classifying wells as gas wells or oil wells, establishing space units for gas wells and oil wells, and establishing promation rules for gas wells.

(4) That the applicant also seeks the assignment of a retroactive gas allowable to his Tidewater State Well No h located 660 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the

-2-CASE No. 3246 Order No. R-2935

West line of Section 17, Township 16 South, Range 32 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, said allowable to be computed under the proposed rules from the effective date of Order No. P-2691.

(5) That the reservoir characteristics of the subject pool indicate that the gas area can be efficiently and economically drained and developed on 160-acre spacing, and that the oil area can be efficiently and economically drained and developed on 40-acre spacing.

(6) That the reservoir characteristics of the subject pool presently available justify the definition of a gas well as a well producing with a gas-liquid ratio of 30,000 or more cubic feet of gas per barrel of liquid hydrocarbons.

(7) That the reservoir characteristics of the subject pool presently available justify the establishment of a gas-liquid ratio limitation of 5,000 cubic feet of gas per barrel of liquid hydrocarbons.

(8) That temporary special rules and regulations providing for 160-acre gas well spacing and 40-acre oil well spacing should be promulgated for the subject pool in order to prevent the economic loss caused by the drilling of unnecessary wells, avoid the augmentation of risk arising from the drilling of an excessive number of wells, prevent reduced recovery which might result from the drilling of too few wells, and otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

(9) That the temporary special rules and regulations should provide for the classification of a gas well as a well producing with a gas-liquid ratio of 30,000 or more cubic feet of gas per barrel of liquid hydrocarbons and should provide for the establishment of a gas-liquid ratio of 5,000 cubic feet of gas per barrel of liquid hydrocarbons in order to afford to the owner of each property in the pool the opportunity to produce his just and equitable share of the oil or gas, or both, and for this purpose to use his just and equitable share of the reservoir energy.

(10) That the temporary special rules and regulations should establish proration rules for gas wells in order to prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

(11) That this case should be reopened in January, 1966, as which time the operators in the subject pool should be required

-3-CASE No. 3246 Order No. R-2935

to establish that a gas-liquid ratio limitation of 5 000 cubic feet of gas per barrel of liquid hydrocarbons will effectively maintain the gas-oil contact in the subject pool, and that the special rules and regulations should be continued in effect.

(12) That the applicant has not established that the assignment of a retroactive allowable to his Tidewater State Well No. 1 would prevent waste or protect correlative rights.

(13) That the applicant's request for the assignment of a retroactive allowable to his Tidewater State Well No. 1 should be <u>denied</u>.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That, effective July 1, 1965, Order No. R-2691 is hereby amended to promulgate temporary Special Rules and Regulations for the Mesa-Queen Pool as follows:

SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR THE MESA-QUEEN POOL

<u>RULE 1</u>. Each well completed or recompleted in the Mesa-Queen Pool or in the Queen formation within one mile thereof, and not nearer to or within the limits of another designated Queen pool, shall be spaced, drilled, operated, and produced in accordance with the Special Rules and Regulations hereinafter set forth.

<u>RULE 2. (a)</u> Each gas well shall be located on a standard unit containing 160 acres, more or less, consisting of a governmental quarter section.

<u>RULE 2. (b)</u> Each oil well shall be located on a standard unit containing 40 acres, more or less, consisting of a governmental quarter-quarter section.

<u>RULE 3.</u> The Secretary-Director of the Commission may grant an exception to the requirements of Rule 2 (a) without notice and hearing when an application has been filed for a non-standard unit and the unorthodox size or shape of the unit is necessitated by a variation in the legal subdivision of the United States Public Lands Survey, or the following facts exist and the following provisions are complied with: -4-CASE No. 3246 Order No. R-2935

- (a) The non-standard unit consists of quarterquarter sections or lots that are contiguous by a common bordering side.
- (b) The non-standard unit lies wholly within a governmental quarter section and contains less acreage than a standard unit.
- (c) The applicant presents written consent in the form of waivers from all offset operators and from all operators owning interests in the quarter section in which the non-standard unit is situated and which acreage is not included in said non-standard unit.
- (d) In lieu of paragraph (c) of this rule, the applicant may furnish proof of the fact that all of the aforesaid operators were notified by registered or certified mail of his intent to form such non-standard unit. The Secretary-Director may approve the application if no such operator has entered an objection to the formation of such non-standard unit within 30 days after the Secretary-Director has received the application.

<u>RULE 4.</u> Each well, oil or gas, shall be located no nearer than 330 feet to any quarter-quarter section line.

RULE 5. A well shall be classified as a gas well if it has a gas-liquid ratio of 30,000 or more cubic feet of gas per barrel of liquid hydrocarbons. A well shall be classified as an oil well if it has a gas-liquid ratio of less than 30,000 cubic feet of gas per barrel of liquid hydrocarbons. The simultaneous dedication of any acreage to an oil well and a gas well is prohibited.

RULE 6. The gas-liquid ratio limitation shall be 5,000 cubic feet of gas per barrel of liquid hydrocarbons.

RULE 7. An oil well which has 40 acres dedicated to it shall be permitted to produce an amount of gas determined by multiplying the top unit oil allowable for the pool by the limiting gas-liquic ratio for the pool. In the event there is more than one oil well on a 40-acre oil proration unit, the operator may produce the allowable assigned to the 40-acre unit from the wells on the unit in any proportion. -5-CASE No. 3246 Order No. R-2935

A gas well shall be permitted to produce that amount of gas obtained by multiplying the top unit oil allowable for the pool by the limiting gas-liquid ratio for the pool and by a fraction, the numerator of which is the number of acres dedicated to the particular gas well and the denominator of which is 40. In the event there is more than one gas well on a 160-acre gas proration unit, the operator may produce the amount of gas assigned to the unit from the wells on the unit in any proportion.

<u>RULE 8.</u> The operator of each newly completed well shall cause a gas-liquid ratio test to be taken on the well upon recovery of all load oil from the well, provided however, that in no event shall the test be commenced later than 30 days from the date of first production unless the well is connected to a gas-gathering facility and is producing under a temporary gas allowable assigned in accordance with Rule 11. Any well which is shut in shall be exempted from the gas-liquid ratio test requirement so long as it remains shut in. The initial gas-liquid ratio test shall be taken in the manner prescribed by Rule 9. If the gas-liquid ratio is 30,000 cubic feet of gas per barrel of liquid hydrocarbons, or more, the operator shall not produce the well until beneficial use can be made of the gas.

No gas shall be flared or vented from any well classified as an oil well more than 60 days after the well begins be readuce or 60 days after the effective date of these rules, war is later. Any operator that desires to obtain an exception to the foregoing provisions for a well classified as an oil well shall submit to the Secretary-Director of the Commission an application for such exception with a statement setting forth the facts and circumstances justifying it. The Secretary-Director is hereby authorized to grant such an exception if he determines that the granting of it is reasonably necessary. If the Secretary-Director declines to grant administrative approval of the requested exception, the matter shall be set for hearing if the operator so requests.

<u>RULE 9.</u> Gas-liquid ratic tests shall be taken on all wells during the months of January, April, July, and October of each year. The initial gas-liquid ratio test shall suffice as the first quarterly test. Tests shall be 24-hour tests, being the final 24 hours of a 72-hour period during which the well shall be produced at a constant normal rate of production. Results of such tests shall be filed on Commission Form C-116 on or befor the 10th day of the following month. At least 72 hours prior to -6-CASE No. 3246 Order No. R-2935

commencement of any such gas-liquid ratio tests, each operator shall file with the Hobbs Office of the Commission a test schedule for its wells, specifying the time each of its wells is to be tested. Copies of the test schedule shall also be furnished to all offset operators.

Special tests shall also be taken at the request of the Secretary-Director and may also be taken at the option of the operator. Such special tests shall be taken in accordance with the procedures outlined hereinabove, including notification to the Commission and offset operators.

<u>RULE 10.</u> An initial shut-in pressure test shall be taken on each gas well and shall be reported to the Commission on Form C-125.

<u>RULE 11</u>. Any well completed after the effective date of these rules shall receive an allowable only upon receipt by the Commission's Hobbs Office of Commission Forms C-104 and C-116, properly executed. The District Supervisor of the Commission's Hobbs Office is hereby authorized to assign a temporary gas allowable to wells connected to a gas transportation facility during the recovery of load oil, which allowable shall not exceed the number of cubic feet of gas obtained by multiplying the daily top unit allowable for the pool by the limiting gasliquid ratio for the pool.

<u>RULE 12.</u> Balancing dates shall be 7 o'clock a.m. January the first and 7 o'clock a.m. July the first, and the periods of time bounded by these dates shall be gas proration periods.

<u>RULE 13.</u> Any gas well which has an underproduced status as of the end of a gas proration period shall be allowed to carry such underproduction forward into the next gas proration period and may produce such underproduction in addition to the allowable assigned during such succeeding period. Any allowable carried forward into a gas proration period and remaining unproduced at the end of such gas proration period shall be cancelled.

<u>RULE 14.</u> Production during any one month of a gas protation period in excess of the allowable assigned to a well for such month shall be applied against the underproduction carried into such period in determining the amount of allowable, if any, to be cancelled. -7-CASE No. 3246 Order No. R-2935

<u>RULE 15.</u> Any well which has an overproduced status as of the end of a gas proration period shall carry such overproduction forward into the next gas proration period, provided that such overproduction shall be compensated for during such succeeding period. Any well which has not compensated for the overproduction carried into a gas proration period by the end of such proration period shall be shut in until such overproduction is compensated for. If, at any time, a well is overproduced an amount equalling <u>three</u> times its current monthly allowable, it shall be shut in during that month and each succeeding month until the well is overproduced less than <u>three</u> times its current monthly allowable.

<u>RULE 16.</u> The allowable assigned to a well during any one month of a gas proration period in excess of the production for the same month shall be applied against the overproduction carried into such period in determining the amount of overproduction, if any, which has not been compensated for.

<u>RULE 17</u>. The Commission may allow overproduction to be compensated for at a lesser rate than would be the case if the well were completely shut in upon a showing after notice and hearing that complete shut in of the well would result in material damage to the well or reservoir.

<u>RULE 18.</u> The monthly gas production from each gas well shall be metered separately and the gas production therefrom shall be reported to the Commission on Form C-115 so as to reach the Commission on or before the 24th day of the month next succeeding the month in which the gas was produced. The operator shall show on such report what disposition has been made of the produced gas.

RULE 19. Each purchaser or taker of gas shall submit a report to the Commission so as to reach the Commission on or before the 15th day of the month next succeeding the month in which the gas was purchased or taken. Such report shall be filed on Form C-111 with the wells being listed in the same order as they are listed on the oil promation schedulc.

<u>RULE 20</u>. Failure to comply with any provision of these rules shall result in the immediate cancellation of allowable assigned to the affected well. No further allowable shall be assigned until all rules and regulations have been complied with. The Secretary-Director shall notify the operator of the well and -8-CASE No. 3246 Order No. R-2935

purchaser in writing of the date of allowable cancellation and the reason therefor.

<u>RULE 21.</u> All transporters or users of gas shall file gas well-connection notices with the Commission as soon as possible after the date of connection.

<u>RULE 22.</u> Allowables to wells whose classification has changed from oil to gas or from gas to oil as the result of a gas-liquid ratio test shall commence on the first day of the month following the month in which such test was reported, provided that a plat (Form C-102) showing the acreage dedicated to the well and the location of all wells on the dedicated acreage has been filed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

(1) That all operators shall, prior to August 1, 1965, file with the Commission Form C-102 for each well showing the acreage dedicated to the well.

(2) That all operators shall take new gas-oil ratio tests on all wells and file the results thereof with the Commission on Form C-116 prior to August 1, 1965; that the daily tolerance provision of Commission Rule 502 I is hereby waived for the purpose of testing wells at the allowable rate authorized by these rules.

(3) That this case shall be reopened at an examiner hearing in January, 1966, at which time the operators in the subject pool shall show cause why the gas-liquid ratio limitation of 5,000 cubic feet of gas per barrel of liquid hydrocarbons should not be reduced and why the special rules and regulations promulgated by this order should not be discontinued.

(4) That the applicant's request for assignment of a retroactive gas allowable to his Tidewater State Well No. 1 is hereby <u>denied</u>.

(5) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

-9-CASE No. 3246 Order No. R-2935

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

JACK M. CAMPBELL, Chairman

GUYTON B. HAYS, Member

A. L. PORTER, JR., Member & Secretary

SEAL

esr/
Docket No. 17-68

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - UNE 5, 1968

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE. NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner, or Daniel S. Nutter, Alternate Examiner

- CASE 3777: Application of Atlantic Richfield Company for salt water disposal, Roosevelt County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water into the Bough "C" zone of the Pennsylvanian formation in its State AE Well No. 2 located in Unit L of Section 36, Township 8 South, Range 36 East, Allison-Pennsylvanian Pool, Roosevelt County, New Mexico, in the perforated interval from 9662 feet to 9672 feet.
- CASE 3778: Application of Atlantic Richfield Company for a dual completion and salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dually complete its State RH Well No. 1 located 660 feet from the North and West lines of Section 13, Township 19 South, Range 34 East, Quail-Queen Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit production of oil from 5080 feet to 5136 feet in the lower Queen formation through tubing and the disposal of produced salt water into the upper Queen formation through the casing-tubing annulus in the performant interval from 4820 feet to 4830 feet.
- CASE 3779: Application of Shenandoah Oil Corporation for a waterflood project, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks authority to institute a waterflood project by the injection of water into the Yates, Seven Rivers, Queen, and Grayburg formations through five wells located in units F and N of Section 29, and units A, G, and T of Section 30, all in Township 18 South, Range 31 East. Snugart Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.
- CASE 3780: Application of Amerada Petroleum Componition for an amendment to Order No. R-3407, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the amendment of Order No. R-3407, which authorized the drilling of a without injection well in its Langlie-Mattix Woolworth unit waterflood project at an unorthodox location 75 feet from the North line and 2635 feet from the West line of Section 27, Township 24 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant now proposes to locate said well 75 feet from the North line and 2540 feet from the West line of said Section 27.

Page -2-Docket No. 17-68 June 5, 1968 Examiner Hearing

- CASE 3781: Application of Sinclair Oil & Gas Company for salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water by injection into the Permo-Pennsylvanian formation in the interval 10,434 feet to 11,537 feet in the Cities Service State "AW" Well No. 4 located in Unit 1 of Section 35, Township 15 South, Range 36 East, Dean Field, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 3782: Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for a waterflood project, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a waterflood project by the injection of water into the Seven Rivers and Queen formations underlying its Cortland Myers unit area through three wells located in units F, J, and P of Section 22, Township 24 South, Range 37 East, Langlie-Mattix Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 3783: Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks approval of the Cortland Myers Unit Area comprising 240 acres, more or less, of Federal lands in Section 22, Township 24 South, Range 37 East, Langlie-Mattix Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 3784: Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for a unit agreement, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks approval of the North King Camp Unit Area comprising 14,697 acres, more or less, of State, Federal, and fee lands in Township 13 South, Ranges 29 and 30 East, Chaves County, New Mexico.
- CASE 3785: Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for an unorthodox gas well location, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to drill its Poitevent Gas "Com" Well No. 1 at an unorthodox gas well location 990 feet from the North line and 1650 feet from the East line of Section 11, Township 15 Couth, Range 27 East, Buffalo Valley-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, Chaves County, New Mexico, in exception to the pool rules which require wells in said pool to be located in the NW/4 or SE/4 of the section.

Page -3-Docket No. 17-68 June 5, 1968 Examiner Hearing

- CASE 3786: Application of Texaco Inc. for special pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the promulgation of special pool rules for the North Paduca-Delaware Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including a provision for classification of oil and gas wells in said pool, a limiting gas-oil ratio of 3000 to one, and 40-acre spacing for oil wells and 160-acre spacing for gas wells. Locations would be no nearer than 330 fest to a quarter-quarter section line.
 - CASE 3776: (Continued from the May 22, 1968 Examiner Hearing) Application of J. M. Huber Corporation for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks approval of the Union-State Unit Area comprising 1360 acres, more or less, of State lands in Township 15 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

ir/

BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico July 9, 1969

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

Case No. 3786 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No.R-3437, which order established special rules and regulations for the North Paduca-Delware Pool. Lea County. New Mexico, including provisions for the classification of and spacing for oil and gas wells and a special gas-liquid ratio limitation.

Case No. 3786

BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner.

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

MR. NUTTER: Call Case Number 3786.

MR. HATCH: Case 3786, reopened, reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-3437, which order established special rules and regulations for the North Paduca-Delaware Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.

MR. KELLY: Booker Kelly of White, Gilbert, Koch and Kelly appearing on behalf of the applicant.

I have one witness, Mr. Examiner, and ask that he be sworn.

(Witness sworn.)

BILLY R. HENSON

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KELLY:

Q Would you state your name, position and employer, please?

A Billy R. Henson, Texaco, Incorporated in Hobbs. I am the Hobbs District Production Engineer.

Q Mr. Henson, how do you spell your last name?

A H-E-N-S-O-N.

Q And have you previously qualified as an expert witness before this Commission?

A No.

Q Will you give the Examiner a brief resume of your professional education and experience?

A I am a graduate of Texas Tech, 1959, Bachelor of Science Degree in Petroleum Engineering, have eight years of oilfield and related experience, having held various field assignments with Texaco, and holding my present position for some three months.

Q Now, are you familiar with the Faduca-Delaware Pool?

A Yes, sir.

Q All right.

MR. KELLY: Mr. Examiner, are the witness' qualifications acceptable?

MR. NUTTER: Yes, they are.

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits 1 through 3 were marked for identification.)

Q (By Mr. Kelly) Please refer to what has been marked Exhibit Number One, which is a structure map and a plat of the area, and locate the wells

that were producing at the time of this last hearing in June, 1968?

A In June of 1968, we had Wells Number 69 and 70 in Section 34 producing.

Q And at that time, both of those wells were classified as oil wells, is that correct?

A Yes sir, that's correct.

Q Now, what has happened so far as those two wells since that time?

A Number 70 has been reclassified as a gas well effective March 1st, 1969, in accordance with the special field rules.

Q Is that since the special rules in this pocl define as gas well as having a GOR of thirty thousand to one, is that correct?

A That is correct, and this well was producing with a GOR in excess of thirty thousand to one.

Q Now, what new developments have occurred in the pool since June of '68?

A Since June of '68, we drilled Well Number 71 in the couthwest quarter of the northwest quarter, which was a dry hole. On the drill stem

test of the pay, what was considered to be pay, we recovered only water, with no show of oil or gas.

Well Number 72 in the southeast quarter of the southeast quarter of 33 has been completed in September of 1968. It's a dry gas well.

Well Number 73 just south of Number 72 was completed in December of 1968, also a dry gas well.

Q Both of these new wells, Number 72 and 73, are off-structure oil and gas contacts, is that right?

A Both wells are completed above the oilgas contact.

Q And as I understand it, you now have four completed wells in this pool, three of which are classified as a gas well and one which is still classified as an oil well?

A That is correct.

Q And actually, of the gas wells, Number 70 is the only one that's producing any oil at all?

A This is true.

Q All right; now, based upon the drilling

that has occurred in the area, in your opinion, what would be the defined limits of the pool?

A We feel that the pool limits have been established on the north, the east and the southeast portion of the pool due to dry holes in those areas.

Q So there still might be some drilling sites in the southwest or west?

^R This is true.

Q Does Texaco have any present plans to drill additional wells?

A At this time, we have no scheduled wells to be drilled, but it's highly probable that we could drill one or more gas wells in the gas area.

Q All right; now, refer to what has been marked Exhibit Number Two, which is a compilation of completion data on all the wells. Would you just briefly point out the significant factors there?

A Exhibit Two simply shows the completion date, completion interval, dirt-floor elevations, current status and the monthly production for April or May on each individual well.

Q Now, what is your actual daily oil production on your Wells Number 69 and 70?

б

A Well Number 69 is currently producing approximately six barrels of oil per day, and Number 70 is producing eight barrels of oil per day. These are current test figures, since this Exhibit Two was prepared before these tests were taken.

Q Pardon me, I -- what was your answer on that?

A Number 69 is producing six barrels of oil per day, and Number 70 is eight barrels of oil per day.

MR. NUTTER: Give us the gas while you're at it, Mr. Henson, please, on those two wells?

A Number 69, gas production is 700 MCF per month; Number 70, in the month of April, produced 3334 MCF.

Q (By Mr. Kelly) You can go ahead on those, 72 and 73.

A Number 72 is currently producing 1148 MCF per month; Number 73 is producing 4958 per month. Now, I might add that these figures were prior to setting a compressor to handle the gas from these wells, and they are -- are actually low to what

the wells have been potentially producing.

MR. NUTTER: Is the compressor on there now?

A The compressor has been set and is operating.

Q (By Mr. Kelly) Now, referring to what has been marked Exhibit Number Three, your crosssection, would you point out the gas-oil contact that you established on those?

A We have established a gas-oil contact in this pool of minus 1269-1265, as exhibited by the dashed line on the horizontal plane there at minus 1265.

Q Now, is there anything else you want to point out of significance on the cross section?

A You might show that Well Number 70 is completed across the gas-oil contact.

Q Now, I believe at the last hearing Mr. Wigham, the witness from Texaco, testified that in his opinion this was a solution gas drive reservoir with probable gas cap. Based on the more up to date studies, what is your opinion on this?

A We feel that it's a solution gas drive

with a large gas cap and just a small oil column.

Q Now, you have already given the current rate of your gas production on the wells?

A Yee, sir.

Q None of these wells appear capable of making their allowable based on the present field rules of three thousand to one GOR, is that correct?

A That's true.

Q Now, are all these wells now connected to a gas line?

A Yes sir, they are.

Q Now, based on the experience over the year, do you feel that the present field rules have allowed an efficient and economical development of this pool?

A Yes, I do.

Q Are you asking that any changes be made in the pool rules, or are you asking that they be made permanent at this time?

A We are asking that they be made permanent at this time.

Q Now, since none of the existing wells are capable of using their three thousand to one COR,

what is Texaco's position, why are they seeking the retention of that particular rule?

A We feel that a solution GOR of approximately three thousand exists in the oil column, and it's only logical that a limiting GOR should be stayed at or near that figure. Further, any additional gas well completions might possibly result in a top allowable well. Also, we feel that workover prospects exist in Wells Number 72 and 73, since they indicated that they would produce top allowable on our initial potential of the two wells.

Q What are your figures for your gas-oil ratio on that Number 69 oil well?

A We have established a solution GOR for Number 69 as an oil well, that being about three thousand to one. The initial potential test indicated a solution gas-oil ratio of thirty-three, ten, and we have had subsequent tests to run as low as twenty-seven fifty, but I might add that the cumulative producing GOR over the eighteen month history of the well has averaged thirty-five forty.

 \hat{u} And then if you were to bring in a good gas well or another oil well, it's possible that it

would be unfairly limited by a two thousand to one GOR?

A This is the way we feel. It wouldn't be unjustified, but penalized.

Q Now, do you feel that the retention of this three thousand to one GOR would in any way endanger the reservoir?

A We do not feel that there would be any detrimental effect on the reservoir from the three thousand to one GOR. It is possible that the oil ring could move up-structure. However, we feel that this oil ring, being relatively small, the change in the ultimate recovery would be small or negligible.

Q I see.

A It should be pointed out that within the two thousand to one limiting GOR, that the same problem would exist under the present characteristics of the reservoir. And I might say that it appears that Well Number 69 will be the only oil well completion in the pool due to its low economic value.

Q You are basically dealing with a gas reservoir here, aren't you?

A That's the way it looks.

Q Were Exhibits One through Three prepared by you or under your supervision?

A Yes sir, they were.

MR. KELLY: I would move the introduction of Applicant's Exhibits One through Three.

MR. NUTTER: Texaco's Exhibits One through Three will be admitted in evidence.

> (Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits 1 through 3 were offered and admitted in evidence.)

MR. KELLY: That's all we have on direct, Mr. Examiner.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NUTTER:

Q Mr. Henson, according to the Exhibit Five at the original hearing of this pool -- well, no, it's not according to the exhibit, it's according to a note made on this exhibit, it must be on some other exhibit, the estimated gas-oil contact at that time was 12 minus 1240?

A Yes sir, at that time. That's where we thought it was, but I -- but in reading the transcript, I know that you questioned maybe that we were completed in that Number 70 across the gas-oil contact, and it so happened that we weren't.

Q The only change which has been made in the last year as far as classification of wells is you have had a well that has gone from an oil well to a classification of a gas well?

A Yes, sir.

Q And there haven't been any changes -re-classifications in the opposite direction?

A No, sir.

Q So it would appear then, if anything, that the gas-oil contact is moving downwards rather than upward?

A Well, we haven't -- we feel that this 1265 is where the thing happened originally, and we don't feel that there has been any movement up of it, because actually we haven't produced enough volume out there, out of those two gas wells to effectively change that thing, change the pressure. These two were -- these three gas wells have been on production about three months and have a low cumulative recovery.

Q They are new wells then?

A Yes sir, as far as the producing part goes, they are new. They were completed in '68, but we didn't get them on the line until February the 18th of this year.

Q I see; and then when was this compressor installed?

A Its been in operation about one month.

Q So you will expect to see these withdrawals increase from those two gas wells?

A Yes, we do.

Q Actually, from all three of the gas wells, I suppose?

A Yes, sir. I have current test figures on those three wells since we installed the compressor.

Q I'd like to have those.

A Okay, on those three gas wells, Well Number 70 is producing 400 MCF per day, or twelve million per month; Number 72, 75 MCF, or two point twenty-five million per month; Number 73 is producing 350 MCF per day, or ten point five million per month. That's with the compressor.

Q So the Number 70 is the best gas well

you got there then, isn't it?

A According to the test, it is.

MR. NUTTER: Are there any further questions of this witness?

MR. KELLY: Mr. Examiner, I'd like to ask if the Commission has received a letter from New Mexico Electric Service Company, which is the purchaser of the gas. It probably came in today's date, but I have a copy of this, which was mailed.

MR. NUTTER: I don't think there's one in the case file.

MR. KELLY: I would like to include that in the record. It just indicates that they are purchasing our gas and have a market which will take all the gas that we can produce.

That's all we have.

MR. NUTTER: If there's no further questions of Mr. Henson, he may be excused.

(Witness excused.)

MR. NUTTER: Does anyone nave anything they wish to offer in Case 3786? We'll take the case under advisement.

Now, a fifteen-minute recess.

INDEX

WITNESS

BILLY R. HENSON

Direct Examination by Mr. Kelly2Cross Examination by Mr. Nutter12

EXHIBIT

MARKED

3

Applicant's 1 through 3 OFFERED AND ADMITTED

15

PAGE

STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

I, JERRY MARTINEZ, Notary Public in and for the County of McKinley. State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me and that the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings. to the best of my knowledge skill and ability. innin antin

)

Publ ary

My Commission Expires: January 24, 1970.

I do hereby wortify that the foragoing is a complote reserve of the pressodings the Equation bearing of Case do. 37. heard by ze on 7/9 19. 19.69 Kum. Sterling the Hexico Oil Concernation Commission

21.2

dearnier in depositions, hearings, statements, expert testimony, daily copy, conventions specializing in depositions, hearings, statements, expert testimony, daily copy, conventions 1120 simms heac, + 2, 0, dox 1002 + mone 243-4411 + albuquerdue, new mexico	BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION CONVISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico June 5, 1968 EXAMINER HEARING
C C V	IN THE MATTER OF:
DEALNEY-MEIEF FEDULTING SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEM 1120 SIMMS RUDG, • P. O. KOX 1092 • PHONE 243-4491 • A	Application of Texaco Inc. for) Case No. 3786 special pool rules, Lea County,) New Mexico.
-MOIOF FEDULTIN DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STAT	BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner
DEBLAIZING IN SFECIALIZING IN 1120 SIMMS NDD.	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

MR. NUTTER: We will call next Case Number 3786.

MR. HATCH: Case 3786. Application of Texaco Inc. for special pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico.

MR. KELLY: Booker Kelly of White, Gilbert, Koch and Kelly on behalf of the Applicant. I have one witness and ask that he be sworn.

(Witness sworn.)

(Whereupon, Exhibits 1 through 10 were marked for identification.)

CARL L. WHIGHAM, JR.

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KELLY:

Q Would you state your name, position and employer, please?

A I am Carl L. Whigham, Junior; employed by Texacc, Incorporated as Midland Division Proration Engineer, located in Midland, Texas.

Q Hould you briefly state what Texaco seeks by this application?

A We seek the adoption of special bool rules to govern the development and production of reserves from the

North Paduca-Delaware Pool in Lea County, New Mexico.

Q Referring to what has been marked Exhibit No. 1, which is a plat of the general area, would you explain that to the Examiner?

A Yes, Exhibit No. 1 is a plat of the North Paduca-Delaware Pool area. The development that has taken place to date is located in Section 34 in the center of the map. The first well drilled was completed in November, that's Well No. 69, designated as an oil well in the Southwest Quarter of Section 34. Also in that quarter section is the next well drilled, Well No. 70, which is designated here as a gas well. Immediately north of Well No. 70 is a proposed location for Well No. 71. Drilling operations were actually commenced on this well about three days ago. This map also shows all of the offset operators. There are seven offset operators that have been notified of this application.

Q This Section 34 is all in the Cotton Draw Unit, is that right?

A Yes, that's correct.

Q And the outer boundary of the Cotton Pray Unit is the east edge of Section 34?

7 Shat is correct.

Q Just for a matter of clarification, your

identification there of Township 24 South, Pange 32 East actually refers to the heavy line running along the bottom of Section 34, is that right?

N Yes. The Section 34 in which development bas occurred to date is in Range 32 Fast in Township 24 South.

Q Now, Exhibit No. 2 is a summary of your proposed rules. Would you briefly go over those for the Examiner?

A Yes. In our application for a hearing we summarize them very briefly, but we list them again here as an exhibit. The first provision we are requesting is 40-acre oil well spacing and 160-acre gas well spacing with administrative procedures for approval of non-standard proration units that may be comprised of less than 160 acres.

The second provision is that gas wells in this pool be defined as any well with a gas-oil ratio exceeding 30,000 cubic feet of gas per barrel of oil, and that an oil well be defined as any well that produces oil with a gas-oil ratio of less than 30,000. Thirdly, we are requesting a rule preventing the simultaneous designation of acreage to oil and gas wells.

Number four, we are requesting a limiting gas-oil ratio of 3,900 cubic feet of gas per barrel of oil. Humber five. we request a rule to provide gas well allowables equal

to top oil well allowables times the limiting gas-oil ratio times a fraction, the numerator of which is the number of acres assigned to the well and denominator, 40.

Six, we are requesting a rule that will provide for periodic annual gas-oil ratio tests to be reported to the Commission on the standard form C-116.

Seventh and last, we are requesting six months' balancing period with balancing dates of January the 1st and July the 1st of each year.

0 Were these rules basically patterned after the Double "X" Field, which is located a little over a mile north of the proposed field?

A Yes, they were. These two fields are very similar, so we choose to pattern these rules as nearly as possible to the Double "X"-Delaware Pool.

Q Can you explain to the Examiner what differences there are in the proposed rule and the Double "X" rules?

A Yes. There are twenty-two various rules in the Double "X"-Delaware Pool, but they can be consolidated into these seven provisions that we have requested here. The major differences are two in number, the first is that the Double "X"-Delaware Pool, about one and a half to two miles to the north, has a limiting gas-oil ratio of 2,000 to one, and we're

requesting 3,000 to one. Also, the Double "X"-Delaware Pool has a rule that provides for quarterly gas-oil ratio tests, and we are recommending that annual gas-oil ratio tests be taken.

Q I notice that in your application, or in the publication for this hearing, the publication sets out the location requirements and that is not in your summary. What location requirements are you requesting?

A We would suggest and recommend that wells be located no closer than 330 feet to tract boundaries and quarter, quarter section lines and no closer than 660 feet from other wells completed in the same reservoir.

9 Now, turning to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 3, which is vour structure map, would you explain that significance to the Examiner?

A Exhibit No. 3 is a structure map contoured with 50-foot contour intervals on top of the Delaware sand. The first thing it shows is the relationship between the North Paduca-Delaware Pool, located in Section 34 in the approximate center of the map and the Double "X" Pool, approximately two miles to the north, and the Paduca-Delaware Pool, approximately two miles to the south.

These three pools all produce from the Delaware

sand, which is the upper producing sand member of the Bell Canyon, which is the upper formation in the Delaware mountain group in the Guadalupean series. You will note from this structure contour map that all three of these pools are aligned on a north-south trending monocline. We believe that this North Paduca Pool is separated from the pool to the north and the one to the south primarily by permeability and porosity pinchouts.

Toward the south dry holes have been drilled between the North Paduca Pool and the Paduca-Delaware Pool. Also over in Section 35 a dry hole has been drilled in the Southwest Quarter. There have been no dry holes drilled between the Double "X" Pool to the north and the North Paduca-Delaware; however, the production or productivity of the wells is low and we believe that there is probably a porosity pinchout across there.

Q You feel there is a complete separation between the Double "X" Field and the North Paduca and the Paduca Field to the south?

A Yes. we feel these bools are quite similar, however, we do believe they are separate reservoirs. With only two wells drilled and producing to date in the Yorth Paduca-Delaware Pool, we cannot accurately delineate the limits of

this reservoir. However, we do feel that this reservoir will be similar to the many other Delaware reservoirs that have been developed in New Mexico, most of which will have a limit on the down-structure side due to oil-water contact.

Now, our log calculations and the production from the wells indicate that we are, in Well No. 69, at or near the oil-water contact.

MR. NUTTER: What about the well in Section 35? Was it water-productive?

THE WITNESS: I don't have the details on the production, but it undoubtedly was because it encountered the Delaware sand, as shown here on the log at a subsea depth of 1296, and all of the wells shown on this map will produce water if they're completed below or at that depth.

Q (By Mr. Kelly) Do you know what the water-oil contact up here in the Double "X" is?

A I believe that it is at approximately 1260 feet and also down in the Paduca-Delaware.

MR. NUTTER: About 1260?

THE VITURSS: Yes.

ME. NUTTER: So you anticipate 1260 here?

THE WITENES: Actually we have estimated that the oil-water contact in the Paduca-Delaware may be lower. We

think it may be at 1290 feet in this bool.

NR. NUTTER: But vou went west for vour first location after vour discovery, anyway?

Q.

THE WITNESS: We want updip.

MR. NUTTER: And got gas?

THE WITNESS: Yes, and some oil. Now updip we think the limits of this pool will be determined by porosity and permeability pinchouts that are caused by the increase in shaliness as you move updip in the Delaware sands. This will be confirmed, of course, by our later development.

Q (By Mr. Kelly) You have a summary of the reservoir data, which is Exhibit No. 1. Would you go through that for the Examiner?

A Yes. This shows, again, that the producing reservoir in this North Paduca-Delaware Pool is similar to other Delaware reservoirs. It has a high porosity and permeability of 26 percent and 23 millidarcies, respectively. The water saturation is about 47 percent. Here is where we have listed an estimated water-oil contact at a minus 1290. We estimate a gas-oil contact at 1240 subses depth. This gives a gross interval of 50 feet, however, we estimate that the net effective pay yould be only about 13 feet.

The gravity is 40.4 2.2.7. for the oil and 0.326

for the gas. The pressure is about 1713 P.S.I. at the present time in the reservoir and we list here that in our opinion this is a typical stratigraphic trap and the producing mechanism is solution gas drive.

0 What acreage is going to be dedicated or is dedicated to the two wells?

A Nell, at the present time the pool is operating under statewide regulations, so the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter would be dedicated to Well No. 69 and the Northwest Quarter of the Southwest Quarter would be dedicated to Well No. 70.

Upon approval of these rules the acreage for Well No. 69 will remain the same, however, the remaining 120 acres in the Southwest Quarter of Section 34 would be dedicated to Well No. 70.

Q Going on to Exhibit No. 5, which is your well data for your two wells, would you briefly go over that for the Examiner?

A Yes. This shows the limited development in this pool to date, with Wall No. 69 being completed last November and Well No. 79 being completed last March. Both wells are completed at approximately the same interval with regard to depth. As shown by a later exhibit, both wells are completed

in the same geological interval. We list on this exhibit the elevation of both wells. Applying this number to the completion interval we will find that Well No. 70 is completed exactly 20 feet higher structurally than Well No. 69. Well No. 69 is presently pumping at a rate of 11 barrels of oil and 17 barrels of water daily with a gas-oil ratio of 2070, whereas Well No. 70, the gas well, is flowing 27 barrels of oil, 30 barrels of water, with a gas-oil ratio of 27,630.

Q Now, Exhibits 6 and 7 are the logs of the two wells; do you have anything you want to add on that?

A Actually the information that is shown on the logs is also contained here in a tabular form on this Exhibit No. 5.

Q Go on to your completion and the test history of the two wells, which we have marked as Exhibits 8 and 9.

A Exhibits 8 and 9 show, firstly, the difficulty encountered in completing these wells. The wells require a considerable amount of stimulation before they will produce These exhibits also show that even after this type of treatment, the productivity is not high at all. It also indicates the questing to economics. We think that with the rules that have been recommanded, that this type of production could be economical.

then after several days of systeming all of the load fluid was

recovered and new oil was present in the well here. At that time a pump was installed and the first test taken November 23, 1967 showed 20 harrels of new oil and 46 harrels of vater produced during a 24-hour period with a gas-oil ratio of 2,400. Then over the next two or three months the production had declined to 11 harrels of oil and 17 harrels of water with a gas-oil ratio of 2,970. That's the same test that was reported previously on our exhibit entitled "Well Data Summary".

Then the next exhibit, Exhibit No. 9 gives the same information for Well No. 70. This well was completed in a very similar manner; however, as shown by these tests, it produces about ten or eleven barrels of oil with about 40 barrels of water, ...th a gas-oil ratio ranging anywhere from 27,630 up to in excess of 100,000 cubic feet of gas per barrel of oil.

0 Now, I take it you don't feel that any of the present wells or any of the future wells in this pool will probably be top allowable oil wells?

A It is not indicated by the production and test history of these two wells.

 Ω And you previously testified this is a solution oas drive?

A Yes.

Q Do you think that having a gas-oil ratio of 3,000 to one would have any effect on ultimate recovery in this reservoir?

A It should have no effect at all on ultimate recovery. The rate of withdrawals from this solution type reservoir should have no effect on any amount of oil that is ultimately recovered from the reservoir.

Q Do you have an opinion as to the effect that a 2,000 to one GOF would have on further development and, therefore, ultimate recovery in this pool?

A Well, with the standard 2,000 limiting gas-oil ratio, these wells would be penalized excessively, and unnecessarily, it would accomplish no useful purpose and also it would cause an operator to be reluctant to drill additional wells in this area if he thought that his wells would be unduly and unnecessarily penalized with regard to allowable.

Q Do you think that a 3,000 to one GOR would have any adverse effect on anyone's correlative rights in the area?

A No, it should not.

() I take it that in your opinion, hased on the definition of a das well, that you would propose that Well No. 79 would probably become a gas well?

A Yes. The most recent test shows that it has a gas-oil ratio of 27,000 some odd cubic feet per barrel of oil. We haven't mentioned yet, but this well has only produced about once a week. We do not have it connected to a gas outlet at the present time, so for conservation purposes we only produce it a minimum amount and we feel that this gasoil ratio will go higher if we produce it continuously.

Mong these same lines I can advise that there are two gas lines in the very immediate area of this development and we feel that as soon as we find out what rules we'll be operating under, and also complete Well No. 70, correction, Well No. 71, we will have a better idea, much better idea of how much gas will be available and we anticipate no difficulty whatsoever in negotiating a contract to sell all the gas that is purchased.

Q You have an Exhibit No. 10 which is your total performance, do you have anything you want to add on that?

A Yes. I would like to say that Exhibit No. 10 represents the production of oil, water and gas on a monthly basis since development. However, since Well No. 60 and Well 70 are somewhat different, these duryes represent an average of that you right call two entrates, to they're not very indicative of individual work performance.

We have already discussed the bests that have been made on these two wells and their producing capabilities, and that information would be much more valid on an individual well basis than anything that could be determined from Exhibit No. 10. So this exhibit serves primarily to show how much oil, water and gas has been produced from the North Paduca-Delaware Pool since it was discovered last November.

Q I assume, based on the information you now have, that you feel that an oil well could drain 40 acres and a gas well 160 acres?

A Yes, we do. And, of course, this spacing is statewide spacing, so we think that the future development can proceed under that type of regulation.

Q In your opinion, would the granting of this application prevent waste and promote the efficient production of hydrocarbons in the proposed pool?

A Yes.

Q And protect correlative rights of all operators?

A Yes, we think so.

Q Were Dabibits 1 through 10 prepared by you or under your supervision?

N Yes, they were.

MR. KFLLY: I move the introduction of Dexaco's

Exhibits 1 through 10.

MR. NUTTER: Texaco's Exhibits 1 through 10 will be admitted in evidence.

(Whereupon, Exhibits 1 through 10 were offered and admitted in evidence.)

MR. KELLY: That's all I have, Mr. Examiner, on direct.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NUTTER:

Q Hr. Whigham, you had a very brief perforated interval in both of these wells, the No. 69 is perforated from 4795 to 4800, which is only five feet. The other well, which is the gas well, is perforated from 4764 to 74, so it's only perforated ten feet there. Is there any possibility that you have got a gas-oil contact and that that ten-foot interval in the No. 70 has cut that gas-oil contact?

A There is a possibility that the perforations in Well No. 70 could be very near to the gas-oil contact.

Q Because, of course, you only have ten feet perferated but you have twice as many feet of perforations as you do in the other well.

A Yes. Yes, that's possible. Let up refer back to our well data exhibit, Exhibit No. 3. Mell No. 79 would have
a completion interval based upon sea level of 1253 to 1268. The ten feet you referred to, and then we have estimated our gas-oil contact at a minus 1240, so our estimate at this time is that the gas-oil contact is some 18 feet above the top of the perforations in Well No. 70.

Q How do you account for the high gas-oil ratio here, then?

A Well, there is undoubtedly a transition zone and we- Q You are in the transitional zone?

A Actually I would imagine that if we were completed another 18 feet up we might get maybe, well, close to dry gas.

Q This is what's concerning me, Nr. Whigham. You are asking for a 3,000 to one GOR here and if you go up here, go on up-structure and get well above the gas-oil contact and get into a dry gas zone and start taking gas out at the current rate of 58 barrels of oil par day top allowable times 3,000 times 30 days a month, you will be taking out five million cubic feet of gas a day. I'm wondering if you are going to complete the gas cap that might be here and cause the migration of oil up-sand and wet those gas sands, thereby losing oil.

A If Suture development indicates that to be the case, then there would be a very small oil rim lying on structure

17

trending north and south and this might well, then, essentially be a gas reservoir.

Q Do vou know up here in the Double "X" Field this Tennaco gas well which is there in Section 22, is it a dry gas well or is it a well that makes oil and gas, or what?

A Well, I'm not familiar with this Pouble "X" Pool. However, with the several oil wells that are indicated east of that well, it would appear to me that Well No. 2, the gas well, would probably be completed in the gas cap.

Q Of course, it's a good half mile from the nearest oil well to the gas well. Here on your location you went a quarter of a mile away and change from an oil well to gas well.

A Yes.

Q It may be that you have some dry gas if you keep on going west up-structure before you get to this porosity and permeability pinchout?

A That may be true. It could certainly happen that Well No. 71 being on the strike with Well No. 70 may not, well, we don't know what that will show, it should be a well cimilar to Well No. 70, but any future development will probably be westward and possibly at that time we will have a better idea of what type of reservoir this may be.

0 Invidentally, for the sale of the record, that five

million that I was talking about awhite ago would be for 40 acres. If you had 160 acres for a gas well you would be producing over twenty-one million?

A Yes.

Q Is there any gas can that you know of in the Paduca-Delaware sand down in your pool to the south? The exhibit doesn't show any gas wells in this portion of the pool, anyway.

A That's right. Let me refer to another map that I have with me that shows in more detail that Paduca-Delaware Pool. I don't believe there are any gas wells in the Paduca-Delaware.

No, I have another map here that shows the entire Paduca-Delaware Pool and there are no gas wells designated on this particular map. This map has been presented to the Commission at other Texaco hearings concerning the Cotton Draw Unit area, so you have this map on file. It indicates no gas wells in this immediate area.

A 20.

A I looked that up a few days ago. I don't remember exactly where it was. It was removed from this area, so I

19

didn't investigate it.

Q It's a similar type of pool to this, however, isn't it?

A I don't know. I don't know exactly what type it is.
It was removed from this area so I didn't investigate it.

0 How long do you think it will be before the No. 71 is completed, Mr. Whigham?

A Probably one month from now we should have a test on it.

Q And you didn't have any other locations proposed right at this present time?

A No, we don't. However, Mr. Beard, who holds half interest in the half section immediately west of this area, has indicated an interest in the development in this area and it's quite possible that a well will be drilled in the near future in the East Malf of Section 33.

9 He'd probably wait the outcome of No. 71 before he drilled that?

A Yes. Texaco has one-fourth interest in the Bast Half of Section 33. I think all of this is shown on Exhibit No. 1. Texaco has a fourth, Baul Lippett has a fourth and Beard Oil Company has one-half interest, and all three of these parties have expressed interest in Eurther

20

development.

O We were talking about Exhibit 5 awhile ago, we got these subsea elevations of these perforated intervals on the No. 7 well, what would that be for the No. 69? Have you got those figured out?

A Yes. The completion interval would be from 1278 to 1283.

Q That's where you had your twenty feet difference then, between 58 and 70?

A Yes.

MR. NUTTER: Are there any other questions of Mr. Whigham?

MR. KELLY: Just one or two questions.

REDIRDCT EXAMINATION

BY MR. RELLY:

Q The proposed rules are proposed as temporary or permanent rules?

A Well, we have proposed them as permanent rules. Needless to say, Texaco will be, and other operators will be obtaining as much reservoir information as possible in order to more accurately delineate the reservoir and define its producing characteristics. We would, of course, have no objection at all to these rules being projulgated on a temporary basis for, say, a twelve-month period. We expect to have additional information, certainly, by that time.

Q If the Commission wanted to continue jurisdiction of this case, say, to find the effect that higher GOR ratio would have, you would have no objection?

A Not at all.

NR. NUTTER: Along those same lines, in the event that the Commission approves these pool rules you have proposed here while this pool is being investigated on a temporary basis, wouldn't it be advisable for the Commission to schedule the GOR tests more frequent than once a year in order to detect migration of oil up-structure?

THE WITNESS: Yes. We have considered that, Mr. Examiner, and there would be no objection to submitting these tests more frequently.

MR. NUTTER: At least during the period of development and fluctuation in the pool?

THE WITNESS: Absolutely. We will have the gas-oil ratio available, if you would like to see them more frequently, and I believe probably you would, we would have no objection at all to quarterly or semi-annually gas-oil ratio tests.

UR. NUTURP: You further understand that in the event the Commission enters the order and approves the designation certain wells as gas wells and certain wells as oil wells, that it would be prohibited to produce the gas and flare the gas until such a time as they had been connected?

THE WITNESS: Yes, we understand that. We feel, as I mentioned previously, that we can get suitable contract and can sell all the gas that will be produced.

MR. NUTTER: This would not only be gas well gas, but casinghead gas as well?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

HR. NUTTER: Very good. Any further questions of Mr. Whigham? He may be excused.

> MR. NUTTER: Do you have anything further, Mr. Kelly? MR. KELLY: No.

(Witness excused.)

MR. NUTTER: Does anyone have anything further to offer in Case 3786? We will take the case under advisement, and the hearing is adjourned.

23

	MITNESS		PAGE
CARL	L. WHIGHAM		
	Direct Examination by "	r. Kolly	2
	Cross Examination by Mr	. Nutter	16
	Fedirect Examination by	Nr. Kelly	21
	EXHIBIT	MARKED	OFFERED AND ADMITTED

Exhibits	ĩ	~	10	2	2	16
MALL DE CO			and the second sec		-	

.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss COUNTY OF DERNALILLO)

I, ADA DEARNLEY, Notary Public in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby cortify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; and that the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

Witness my Hand and Seal this 9th day of July, 1968.

Gda X NOTARY

My Commission Expires: June 19, 1971.

; wartify that the former to the 3786 un a ell Cenessiana de

specializing in: Depositions, Heatings, State Hents, Expert Testimony, Daily Copy, Conventions BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico January 6, 1971 dearnley-meier reporting service, inc. SIMMS BLDD. • P.O. BDX 1092 • FNOME 243-4491 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO EXAMINER HEARING IN THE MATTER OF: Case No. 3786 being reopened pursuant Ì to the provisions of Order No. R-3437-A,) which Order extended for a period of 18) months the special rules and regulations for the North Paduca-Delaware Pool, Lea Case No.) County, New Mexico. All interested per-3786) sons may appear and show cause why the) (Reopened) gas-liquid ratio limitation of 3,000 cubic) feet of gas per barrel of liquid hydrocarbons should not be reduced, and why the special rules and regulations should not be discontinued. 8 BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING



<u>E. 0. 1908/ER</u> Divert Excellecton by Mr. Between Cross Examination by Mr. Nutter ņ dearntey-meier version OFFERED AND <u>Costa de Elo</u> <u>EXI1225</u> <u>MAR(D)</u> . د Applicent's Exhibits Nos. 1 & 2 COLOCIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIOUS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DALLY COPY, CONVENTIONS * YARA REDUCTION 102X 1002*PHONE 245-6691*ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 67103 Protocological Uank HEDG, East*Albuquerpque, New Mexico 67108

PAGE

•

This is Case No. 3786, reopened, in MR. HATCH: 1 the matter of Case No. 3786 being reopened pursuant to the 2 provisions of Order No. R-3437-A, which Order extended for 3 a period of 18 months the special rules and regulations for 4 5 the North Paduca-Delaware Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. MR. BATEMAN: I am Ken Bateman; I am an attorney 6 earnley-meier associated with White, Gilbert, Koch and Kelly of Santa 7 8 Fe, and I am appearing for Texaco, the original applicant 9 in this case. I have one witness, F. D. McCarter. 10 E. D. MCCARTER 11 called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was SPECIALIZING INF DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTION 12 examined and testified as follows: BOX 1092+PHONE 243-6601+ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103 < BLDG. EAST+ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. BATEMAN: 15 Mr. McCarter, have you previously appeared be-Q 16 fore the Commission and had your qualifications entered 17 as a matter of record? 18 A I have. 19 Are you personally familiar with the are in Q 20 question in this case, Case No. 3786? CONAL DANK BLDG. 21 А E an. .0.1 22 As a matter of obrohology, would you say the Q 14.04 23 1.4 original application was heard before this Comm ssion 24 on June 5, 1968, and that temporary rules were issued 25 in Order No. R-3437-A?

3

PAGE

Δ Yes. i And a second hearing was held on June 9ch, 1959, 2 Q 3 and I believe you were present at that time? Α I was present, but I did not give testimony. 4 5 But you were present? Q 6 A I was present. dearnley-meier 🐇 7 An order at that hearing was issued on July 17th, Q 8 1969. Would you review for the Commission the developments, 9 if any, that have taken place since that date in July of 10 1969? 11 Since July of 1969 there have been no new wells А SPECIALIZING INI DEPOSITICHS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTION 12 drilled in the North Paduca-Delaware Pool. The only change 10 × 1092 + PHONE 243-6631 + ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103

 13 which has occurred since that time is in Well No. 70, which 14 is Unit L of Section 34. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibits Nos. 1 and 2 16 were marked for identification.) 17 THE WITNESS: It has been reclassified from gas 18 to all. 19 BY MR. BATEMAN: 20 What is the classification of a gas well under Q Žİ. the rules? IONAL BANK . • F'. O. 22 Under the rules an existing well is classified Å. 1.00.11 23 as a gas well with SCR in exercise of 20,000-to-1, and will 1775 24 No. 70 was a perforated demonstrate the new continent, and 25 is not complete a from Sub C depth of mina. 1991.

A

PAGE

		PAGE			
	 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•
1 .			- -		

erven - Merinen IV. rennelle jokitske intender john i op Smeller Herender i daar van Frankrijver

1.3 5. e te 10 <u>e br</u> $\sim c_{1} c_{2}$ - ' J a ti 000 111 ł, 0 15 tat ton 0 ere e · --۲, 16 10 ٠. 1.1 \odot 0 . arra ć 0 . $^{\circ}$ pool.

rould, Q The о£ Ξ, 10 delector Relat lo. 5 200 · - • • 7 \circ đ 0 10 , 1 1 rett े <u>(</u> .

Q - 26 you thik to be Reviewed do. (, vi the i cosy of Borns 2416, tool i journe the lot of the cosy of the well?

> interverting of the forget of the set of the forget of the set of the

25

dearnley-meier regrete

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SPECIALIZING IN. DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS

(A) SPARS REDG. P.O. BCX 1092 PHONE 243-6691 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103 (Proc. Raylonal, Dank Bldg, East Albuquerque, New Mexico 87108

	1	
	2	so, d., chima in in Sher on Mi, cash his Mi ann b,
	3	ing an 31 202 of ga n one day witte politice id by inductions.
	4	water modestion.
	5	q de Well Mo. 70 dept
	6	A lip to day, gon, and the selecter the merity low
	7	the retention of the gas refer and the oil miss. We sill
	8	probably work over Well Ro. 23, and I could give a date
	9	nov, but it rould depend on the operator on her second
	10	will be equally money. It is not very perspersive for
	11	coric-over buccure the gas those this pool is por being
	12	produced through a compressor, it does have to be con-
	13	pressed to 650 pounds.
87108	14	Q . And all of the produced gas in the book in boing
8 0 2 1 2 3	15	sold at that point?
ž × ⊥ Z L ×	16	A The easing, it was sold to Phillips.
RQUE.	17	Q Do you have anything Justion to add?
30 0 00	18	A ho, 7 do noc.
ASTOAL	19	Q - We we Exhibits Woo. I and 2 propagad by you
LDG, EAS	20	or poder your diffection?
∂ANK ELDG, EAST⊕AL	21	A Clary at the
S S Z D	22	and a state of the
C NATIONAL	23	
	24	

PAGE

BY MAL REPART

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2411 2 22

dearnley-meier 👘

SPECIMENTING INE DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS

WAR BLDC.-P.O. BOX 1092-PHONE 243-6691-ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103 MATTOMAL BANK BLDC. EAST-ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

methore in the determine post:

Thus is not to t.

CA. REFERRED to the new property of Surting of Mr. Medarter.

> TR. DATENN:: No.

IR. NUTTER: If there is nothing further, us will take this matter under advisement.

STATE OF MEN HERICO) SSシシ COUNTY OF SAUCA ME

I, RICIARD L. DOE, a Const Accounter, do heroby contrily that the foregoing and attached Verneculat of derring before the New Mexico Cil Conservation Commission was terente " by the cash the same to a true and comparing preserve of the second concludings, to the basis of my knowledge, detti dad mitting.

hald

WELL DATA

NORTH PADUCA DELAWARE POOL

OPERATOR-LEASE	WELL NO.	COMP DATE	COMPLETION INTERVAL	D.F. ELEV	STATUS	DATE	<u>80</u>	<u>8</u> #	MCF	GOR
TEXACO Inc. Cotton Draw Unit	-59	11-26-67	47951-48001	35171	Р	5-69	200	300	708	3500
	#70	3-8-68	47641-47741	35 0 6 '	F	4-69	76	79	33 34	43961
	*72	920-68	4766'-4774'	3510'	F	5-69		15 5	1148	
	*73	12-28-68	4768'-4778'	35131	F	5-69	.	310	4958	-

* Gas Woll

BEFORE EXAMINER - COLORER
or compression is contracted with $\frac{1}{2}$, the strengt no. 2
CANE 14. 378'6



May 26, 10-8 Roy 14-66



SUMMARY OF PROPOSED RULES

- 1. 40 Acre Oil Well Spacing and 160 Acre Gas Well Spacing with administrative procedure for approval of non-standard gas proration units of less than 160 acres.
- 2. Gas Well defined as a well producing with a gas-oil ratio of 30,000 or more cubic feet of gas per barrel of oil and an Oil Well defined as a well producing with a gas-oil ratio of less than 30,000 cubic feet of gas per barrel of oil.
- 3. Simultaneous dedication of acreage to oil and gas wells prohibited.
- 4. Limiting gas-oil ratio of 3,000 cubic feet of gas per barrel of oil.
- 5. <u>Gas Well Allowable equal to top oil well allowable times the limiting gas-oil ratio times a fraction, the numerator of which is the number of acres dedicated to the particular gas well and the denominator of which is 40.</u>
- 6. Annual Gas-Oil Ratio tests shall be taken during July of each year and reported to the NMOCC on Form C-116 by August 10th of each year.
- 7. Balancing dates on production from gas wells to be January 1, and July 1 of each year.

BEFORE EXAMINER NUTTER CE CINSERVATION COMMISSION EXHIBIT NO. CASE NO. -

Barically Walter an de ser al ser Maria Cara da C Cara da
SUMMARY OF RESERVOIR DATA NORTH PADUCA POOL

Avg. porosity: 26.1% (log analysis) Avg. permeability: 23md. (core analysis #69) Avg. water saturation: 47.4% (log analysis) Water-oil contact: -1290' (Est.) Gas-oil contact: -1240' (Est.) Avg. net effective pay thickness: 13' Oil gravity: 40.4° API × Gas gravity: 0.826 (Air=1) Reservoir pressure: 1713 Type of reservoir: Stratigraphic Trap × Producing Mechanism: Solution Gas Drive ×

top----

.31.0



CONTION DRAW #69 COMPLETION AND TEST HISTORY

- 11-8-67 Perf w/2 jspf <u>4795' 4800'</u>. Swab 12 hrs. Rec 19 BLW, 12 BFW, trace cil, good show gas.
- 11-10-67 Acidize w/500 gallons 15% NEA. Max P 900#. Min P 400#. ISIP 600#. IR 1/2 BPM. Swab 3 hrs. Rec 33 BLW. SI 12 hrs.
- 11-11-67 Frac w/1500 gals ref oil w/1500# sand. Max 3800#. Min 3000#. IR 6 BPM.
- 11-11 to 11-16-67 Swabbing load oil and formation water.
- 11-17-68 Swab 9 hrs. Rec 22 BLO + 9 BNO + 31 BFW.
- 11-23-67 Pump 24 hrs. Rec 20 BNO, 46 BFW, GOR 2400-1

PROVIDE EXAMINITY BUTTER

11-29-67	On 24 hr Pot. Test Pump	23 BNO 38 BFW	GOR 4410
1568	Pump 24 hours	14 BO 6 BW	64 MCF GOR 4571
4-4-68	Pump 24 hours	8 BO 15 BW	31.4 MCF GOR 3925
4-17-68	Pump 24 hours	11 BO 17 BW	32.7 MCF GOR 2970

Well now producing approximately 11 BOPD and 17 EMPD.

COTTON DRAW #70 COMPLETION AND TEST HISTORY

3-5-68	Perf w/2 jspf 4764 - 4774 Treated w/100 gal mud acid, 250 gal kerosene, 500 gal SAF, 250 gal kerosene, 900 gal mud acid. Max 2800#. Min 900# ISIP 700#. IR 2.3 BPM. Swb 12-1/2 hrs. Rec 56 BLW, 4 BNO and 13 BFW. Flowed 3 hrs & died. Rec 3 BNO and 1 BFW.
3-7-68	Frac w/2000 gal ref oil, 2000# sand. Max 4500#. Min 4000#. IR 4.6 B/M, ISIP 1200#. Flow 3 hrs. Swb 3-1/2 hrs. Flowed 17-1/2 hrs. Rec 73 BLO, 42 BND and 17 BFW.
3-9-68	Flow 12 hrs OPT 63 BO 12 SW GOR 2750
4-3-68	Flow 24 hours 23 BO 30 BW 729 MCF GOR 31,696
4-4-68	Flow 24 hours 8 BO 36 BW 871 MCF GOR 108,875
4-5-68	Flow 24 hours 8 BO 41 BW 778 MCF GOR 97,250
4-6-68	Flow 24 hours 6 BO 39 BW 700 MCF COR 116,667
4-9-68	Flow 24 hours 8 BO 36 BW 656 MCF GOR 82,000
4-25-68	Flow 21 hours 27 BO 30 BW 746 MCF COR 27,630
4-26-68	Flow 25 hours 15 BO 43 BW 623 MCF GOR 41,533
4-27-68	Flow 27 hours 10 BO 40 BW 589 MCF 40R 58,900

Well is currently produced once a week and averages 30 BO each day it is produced.



Operator TEXACO Inc.		NEW MEXICO OIL CO GAS - OIL	DNSERVATION COMMISSIO	N
P.O. BOX 728 - H		8824U	TYPEOG	LEA
LEASE NAME		OCATION DATE C	$\frac{ TEST - (\mathbf{X}) }{ T } = \frac{ TEST - (\mathbf{X}) }{ T }$	
COTTON DRAW UNIT	69 K 34	T R TEST	SIZE PRESS AL	ALLY LEAST PROD. DURING TEST
	70 L 34	32 11-23-7	0 P	RAV. OIL GAS BELS. OIL BELS. M.C.L. CHAS
	72 p 33	11-17-7	C P _ 1	2 10 40.0 17 44 25
	73 A 4		Shut In	18 40.2 11 49 445
		25 32 11-30-70	F 1" 650 Ga	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			PEFOR	28 0
				RE EX ANIMED MUTTO
			CISEN	NERVAINING CC.
				the Successed
No well will be assigned an allowat	ele greater in			
No well will be assigned an allowab During gas-oil ratio test, each well located by more than 25 percent. Operator increased allowables when authorized by the Gas volumes must be reported in MC will be 0.60. Report casing pressure in lieu of tubi	I shall be produced at a re is encouraged to take	of oil produced on the official test	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
will be 0.60. Report at a	F measured at a pressure b	intage of this 25 percent toleraney	e in order that well can be a well	I hereby certify that the above information is true and complete to the best of
Gas volumes must be reported by the Gas volumes must be reported in MC will be 0.60. Report casing pressure in lieu of tubi Mail original and one copy of this re Rule 301 and appropriate pool rules.	ng pressure for any well prop port to the discui	ducing through casing	ture of 50° F. Specific gravity bas	is true and complete to the best of my know- ledge and belief.
Mail original and one copy of this re Rule 301 and appropriate pool rules,	district office ,	of the New Mexico Oil Conservatio	on Commission in accordance to	
				(Sisuarara)
				December 22, 1970 (Date)
-				

.

Case # 3786 Blip # 608

ala 1997 - Angela Angela 1997 - Angela
CASE 3786: Application of TEXACO INC. FOR RULES FOR THE NORTH PADUCA-DELAWARE POOL, LEA COUNTY.

5

F

í