Case 110.

4161

Appliestion, Transcripts;

Small Exhibits, Etc.

Mary Tool Park Police of the State of the St

BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico July 9, 1969

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

Application of Roger C. Hanks for special pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico.

Case No. 4161

BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner.

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

Danie		1
Page	7	1
	****	Contract of the last of the la

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

EXAMINER	HEARING	(A معلى موجود الله الله الله الله الله الله الله الل	
SANTA		NEW	MEXICO

Dill Wells	REPRESENTING	LOCATION F. W.O.
Dill Wells	Par American	Ft. Wary
Runder Wills	White Giller Horba kells	`
Poger Hanks W.T. Probandt	Roger Mere	Moderal
1 ()		
F. Sperly	Sperlinghold I thing	albugy
lud Dubaini	Ru Binan	Saidu Ac
D 777 8 Siller	Toyaco	Datel
ly R. Hawon Ingram	TEXACO BEST AVAILABLE COP	Kos we
n L. Ingram		Midlan
B. Simmons son to Kellph	Mobil Kellat : tox	Sails 7
CLL H VINEY	Sam Born Oil 6	Midlan
we leving	Jan yn Comple	Moscoel
Se levia	Telling & Stevens	Sach ?

MR. NUTTER: Next case is 4161.

MR. HATCH: Case 4161, application of Roger C. Hanks for special pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico.

MR. HINKLE: Clarence Hinkle from Hinkle, Bondourant and Christie appearing on behalf of Roger Hanks.

We have two witnesses we would like to have sworn, Mr. Probandt and Mr. Hanks.

(Witnesses sworn.)

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits 1 through 6 were marked for identification.)

MR. HINKLE: These are the official exhibits that have been marked. There's another copy. You want to take the stand?

MR. PROBANDT: Yes, sir.

MR. HINKLE: There are six exhibits -- go ahead and sit down -- that have been identified, and Exhibit Number Three is on the wall here, this large exhibit.

W. T. PROBANDT

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. HINKLE:

- Q State your name and your residence?
- A Bill Probandt, Midland, Texas.

 MR. NUTTER: How do you spell your last

name?

- A P-R-O-B-A-N-D-T, Probandt.

 MR. NUTTER: And your first name is Bill?
- A Bill, initials W. T.
- Q (By Mr. Hinkle) Are you associated with Roger Hanks?
 - A I am.
 - Q And in what way?
- A I am a partner with Mr. Hanks, as well as being a geologist.
- Q Have you previously testified before the Commission?
 - A I have not.
- Q State briefly your educational background and your experience as a geologist?

A I have a BS Degree in geology from the University of Texas. I have an MS Degree in geology from Texas Tech. I have approximately ten years' experience in petroleum and related fields, mostly in New Mexico and West Texas.

Q Are you familiar with the development of this -- that Roger Hanks has engaged in in Southeastern New Mexico?

A Yes, sir.

Q Are you familiar with the application of Roger Hanks in this case?

A Yes, I am.

Q Have you made a study of the particular area that's involved in this application?

A Yes, I have.

Q With all the wells that have been drilled?

A Yes.

Q Have you also made a study of the Bough "C" producing areas in Southeast New Mexico?

A Yes, sir.

Q What is Roger Hanks seeking to accomplish by this application?

A Seeking the promulgation of special pool

rules for the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool, including the temporary spacing of a hundred and sixty acre well, and eighty-acre allowable.

Q Have you prepared, or has there been prepared under your direction, certain exhibits for introduction in this case?

A Yes, sir.

Q Refer to Exhibit Number One, and explain what this is and what it shows?

A Exhibit Number One is an index map with colored dots affixed to critical wells within a two-mile radius of the affected area. The legend is in the left upper center of the map. Brown, San Andres; blue, Cisco; green, Pennsylvanian, and orange Devonian. There are arrows further keying the Hanks wells.

Q What is the yellow acreage?

A The yellow acreage indicates Hanks' holdings, or the acreage directly affected in this area.

- Q That's oil and gas lease holdings?
- A That's correct.
- Q There's one area there which is the south

half southeast of 10 which is not solid yellow. What does that indicate?

A This is an eighty-acre tract held by Mobil Oil Corporation which would be included in the temporary hundred and sixty acre spacing if so ordered by the Commission for dedication to the Fina State Well, the southernmost well indicated.

Q Now, refer to Exhibit Two and explain that?

A Exhibit Two is a sub-surface map on the top of the Cisco line, is contoured on fifty-foot intervals. It traces the Cisco development through the critical deep wells in the immediate area.

Q What does this structure indicate?

A It indicates to me the northwest trace of the main Mescalero structure, and indicates that -- as shown by blue shading, that the Cisco is present where shown, mainly over the crest of the structure.

Q Do you have any further comments with respect to Exhibit Two?

A No, sir.

Q Exhibit Three has been placed on the wall here. Will you refer to it and explain it?

A As so stated by Mr. Hanks, this is a link cross section running through the well that penetrates the Cisco cone within the immediate area of interest, and the cross section has been run so as to include all wells that are traced on the sub-surface map, and the area of interest that extends to the cross sections has been constructed using electric log copy.

The first correlative structure marker is in the Wolf Camp. The interval is between this structural marker and the marker bed below the Cisco, and further, we have color red and blue showing the Cisco zone itself with porousity. There's further --

Q What does the red indicate and the blue indicate?

A The blue is indicative of the lime or carbonate buildup in the Cisco, the gross extent of sand, whereas the red indicates the net extent of the porousity within the main Cisco zone.

Completion attempts have been made in four wells in here. The completion attempts on the wells here were unsuccessful. Section 8 --

Q Which wells were successful and which were unsuccessful?

A All right, the first two as shown here were unsuccessful.

- Q What is that, what well is it?
- A Cities Service Number 1-BL.
- Q That's the first well shown on Exhibit Three?

A That is correct, sir. The second one is the Cabine Exploration White State 1-A, the second well. Completion attempts were not successful in either one of these.

Q All right; what about the third well?

A The third well is the Roger C. Hanks
Fina State Number One, the third well in progression
from "A." This well was completed as a Cisco
producer. The fourth well, Roger C. Hanks Zapata
State, the fourth well in succession, was also
completed from the same correlative interval in
the Cisco as a producer. The fifth well, Cabine

State A-1, did not attempt a completion.

Q Why wasn't it -- why didn't they attempt to complete it?

A I don't know, sir.

Q All right; and it was plugged and abandoned?

A Yes, sir; I believe its been converted to a cement well.

Q All right.

A We have three additional wells, two Fina and one Texaco. These are off the main structure, but still deep enough to have cut the zone, so are indicated here. There was no completion attempt.

I would surmise that there was not sufficient showing of oil porousity to lead them to believe a commercial producer could be made.

Q What does this Exhibit Three indicate as a whole, show?

A It indicates the presence of the Cisco zone and the character of it, as well as tracing the massive porousity, the buildup present where the Cisco is developed to its optimum extent. It further shows that the zone is not present, and

we would suppose commercial, by tracing the position of the zone off structure where it tends to change.

Q Do you have any further comments with respect to Exhibit Three?

A No, sir.

MR. NUTTER: Mr. Probandt, before you leave the exhibit, would you explain what the green is on the last four wells, and the brown on three of them?

A Yes, I will. As you leave the main, shall we say the most obvious and optimum area of development where the Cisco has gross lime, gross porousity, you are passing through a zone where the -- you are passing into a zone where the zone tends to assume a different character, a different identity, therefore you are getting into another realm of deposition, and these other beds come in as markers.

I have attempted to carry my correlation. effectively away from the definite area here by illustrating some marker beds and adding these brown, green and yellow colors so that they'll somewhat stand out and give us other zones that may be

correlated back into this and further tie our Cisco correlations.

MR. NUTTER: They are simply some additional marker beds on those --

A That's right.

MR. NUTTER: -- four wells there that don't occur on the other wells?

A They occur, but I would say that we are passing from one sequence of deposition into another, with the transition zone being here, and whereas this one may be developed here. Maybe there's an additional zone, as there are here, here or here, and to the best of my ability, I would say that the marker beds are traced as definitely correlated ---

MR. NUTTER: The transition zone that you indicated you have, your transitional zone would be in that Cabine State K Number 1?

A Yes, sir. Please notice the double circles around the first five wells here. This indicates that the zone was present that had -- should have oil in the first one there and completed in the second two. It was not tried, though, remember, in the fifth well.

MR. NUTTER: Now, this well that your log

refers to as the Cabine State K Number 1 is the fifth well on the cross section?

A Yes, sir.

MR. NUTTER: Is that the well that's identified as the Number 2 Well in the northeast quarter of the southwest quarter of Section 11?

A Yes, sir. If you would notice on the lease map, there are several leaseholds indicated, Ray, Cabine, Wellmack. However, the Wolf Camp or Cisco test came under this, too. It's the most recent log on it, most legible and recent log.

MR. NUTTER: So while it's identified as Number Two on the plat, it's the Cabine State K Number 1 that's indicated on the log?

A Yes, sir.

MR. HINKLE: That's all. Wait, just sit down. They may want to ask you some questions. That's all the direct of this witness.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NUTTER:

Q Now, Mr. Probandt, on your Exhibit Number One, you have the two Cisco wells identified in blue and then down at the south end of the pool you've got the two Fennsylvanian wells. Where are those wells producing from?

A They are producing from zones that are distinct from another occurrence to the north or south. They would be under the Mescalero Canyon, and possibly there's a strong buildup. The structure is higher there. We are getting another zone buildup.

- Q That has nothing to do with this Cisco --
- A That's correct.
- Q -- formation?
- A Yes.
- Q It's a completely different zone in the Pennsylvanian?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Was any attempt made to complete in the Cisco zone in this Cabine State K 1?
 - A No, sir.
- Q Well, do you think that there's porousity in that well that would --
 - A I see some porousity in -- on this particular

log. I believe it's a radioactivity or gamma-nutra log.

- Q That's the red in lications --
- A Yes, sir.
- Q -- red marks on there that you have indicated no completion attempt?

A That's right, sir. Now, I refer you to this red shading on the right hand side of the log. This does carry over into this zone. It's a -- somewhat thinner, but that's about all I could say.

Were you going to testify, or will Mr. Hanks testify as to what you feel is the gross pay here and the net pay and what your net feet of porousity would be in this --

A I would like to defer to Mr. Hanks.

MR. NUTTER: 1 see; are there any further questions of Mr. Probandt?

MR. HINKLE: No.

MR. NUTTER: You may be excused.

(Witness excused.)

ROGER HANKS

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. HINKLE:

- Q State your name and your residence?
- A Roger Hanks, Midland, Texas.
- Q You are the applicant in this case?
- A That's right.
- Q Have you previously testified before the Oil Conservation Commission?
 - A I have.
- Q Your qualifications as a petroleum geologist are a matter of record with the Commission?
 - A That's correct.
- Q You heard the testimony of Bill Probandt.

 Do you agree with his testimony?
 - A I do.
- Q He's indicated that two wells have been drilled by you in Section 10. Will you give briefly the history of the Number 1 and Number 2 wells?
- A The Zapata State well to the northeast northeast of 10 was a re-entry of a well that was

previously drilled in 1957 by Zapata offshore --

Q How deep was that well?

A The well was bottomed in the top of the Devonian and I went in, reamed the well and cemented, strung five and a half casing at nine thousand and sixty-six, I believe and misplaced the hole and --

Q When did you go into the hole, approximate date?

A May of 1968, and completed the well, perforated approximately twenty feet using the old Zapata log, which was an electric log, and it's not exceptionally good information. However, we had a fairly good stem test in the Zapata and had to decide whether to run casing in the hole or not, because they tested it and got gas to surface and three thousand feet of oil, six thousand feet of water, I believe, and twenty-nine hundred sixty pounds of bottomhole pressure, and re-tested after they run their log and decided to -- elected not to sink pipe, and the well was abandoned and the lease was picked by the Ralph Lowe estate, and I believe there was even an application to re-enter this hole for the San Andres, and by Jack McClelland,

I believe, of Roswell, and he went into the hole and drilled out the plugs and never did do any work on this thing, so we washed to bottom, washed it to nine thousand, and --

Q You got a farmout from the Ralph Lowe estate?

A Yes, I made a farmout from Ralph Lowe. We completed the well on downhole by hydraulics, installing a cold pump and a -- various operations along that line, and --

Q When was it completed?

A The well was completed in June of 1968, and I've forgotten the potential class.

Q What was the potential for it?

A I've forgotten. Seems like it's a hundred and twenty-five barrels of oil and eight hundred barrels of water, but I -- it escapes me. The well was -- acted like it had fairly good reservior energy, and over a period of four hundred days now, which is a year and a month, it has produced twenty-eight thousand barrels of oil and approximately two hundred fifty-seven thousand barrels of water.

MR. NUTTER: What were those figures again,

please?

A Twenty-eight thousand oil, two hundred fifty-seven thousand water.

MR. NUTTER: Thank you.

Q (By Mr. Hinkle) Did you take the pressure at the time you completed the well, bottomhole pressure?

A No sir, I did not. My -- I used my information that Zapata had. The well had not been produced, the zone had not been produced anywhere up to there, so we didn't see that it was necessary to take a test, buildup test until later, and then in November of '68 I contacted American Petrofina and negotiated a farmout in the southeast quarter of Section 10 --

Q That's the north half of the southeast quarter?

A Yes sir, northeast southeast of Section 10. We then drilled a well from the grassroots to approximately nine thousand, eighty feet, and during the course of drilling this well we encountered the Zapata zone as shown by the log and ran a drill stem test over this zone. However, when we got our drill stem test out, we found out that -- that we had actually covered two

zones of porousity, so we had gas to surface there in five minutes and about nine hundred feet of oil and about three thousand feet of water and twenty-six hundred -- twenty-six hundred twenty-two pounds of bottomhole pressure, so the bottomhole pressure, we tended to discount it because it was not a true bottomhole pressure of the Zapata zone, since it was covering another above, slightly above it.

Q You mean as related to the original pressures that were taken in the Number One?

A Yes, sir. I would tend to say that it was really of no value, other than the fact that there was pressure somewhere, but we couldn't tell you exactly where. So we ran casing on the well and completed in the zone below the Zapata, a zone in the Zapata zone and one above it, and over a short interval there, and potentialed the well for a hundred thirty-two barrels of oil, and I gave --

Q Three --

A Three hundred forty-nine barrels of water. And that well has, since we completed it in March, its produced sixty-nine hundred barrels

of oil and sixty-three thousand barrels of water.

Q Have you since made bottomhole pressure tests of these wells?

A Yes sir, I have. I have made a recent -June the 23rd, I believe. That's in the Exhibit
Number Five.

Q Now, have you made a study of what might be the reservoir pressure of abandonment of these two wells?

A Well, I'm not an engineer, and I hired the firm of Bailey, Sipes, Williamson and Runyan, who have represented me before, and to make a study of this pressure data that was granted -- given to them, and Mr. Sipes, I'm sorry to say, is in Houston today and could not appear and to explain --

Q Refer to Exhibit Four.

A -- his formula. What Mr. Sipes has shown here --

Q That's on Exhibit Four?

A Yes sir, is that with the original bottomhole pressure and the current bottomhole pressure that was run June 23rd, which is Exhibit Number Five, he's showing that -- the relatively abandonment conditions on a hundred sixty-acre spacing versus eighty-acre spacing. In effect, he's saying that abandonment conditions, that due to the economical rate, at seventeen barrels of oil and a hundred nineteen water and the fluid viscosity and the formation capacity and the wellbore pressure, that this is the absolute economical limit of these wells.

He -- he is showing that based on a hundred sixty acre spacing that we will abandon this well at an optimum condition of five hundred five PSI. Based on eighty-acre spacing, we will abandon the same wells at four hundred eighty-two PSI.

Q Does this indicate that you would recover substantially the same amount of oil off one well drilling on a hundred sixty acres as you would on drilling eighties?

A Yes sir, it does.

Q Now, Exhibit Number Five refers to that, is that the --

A This is a copy of the bottomhole pressure chart that I hired Coleman Engineering of Hobbs,

New Mexico, to run on June the 21st when the Zapata well was off. I pulled the tubing and ran a forty-four hour buildup test on it, and we got twelve hundred seventy-one pounds of bottomhole pressure.

Q How much drop does this indicate from the original pressure that was taken when the well was drilled?

A Twenty-nine sixty to seventeen hundred pounds in just about exactly one year.

Q What does that drop indicate?

A It indicates, with the amount of water that it's producing, that it's -- it's a high water cut reservoir and very little oil, at those ratios.

Q It's going down rather rapidly?

A Yes, sir. I would tend to discredit the twenty-six hundred pounds that we got on the Fina in February, because that would give us a tremendous drop. That's why I said that I think the twenty-six hundred pounds represents that -- some other zone, and is not a true indication of this particular -- the massive zone. It looks like to

me that with that effective porousity, there's approximately twenty feet of zone throughout, that that's consistent, that it could be considered to be the productive interval, that this line is the most massive. This is thicker here, but it looks like to me this is the most effective, and you wouldn't get over twenty feet.

Q From this information, have you formed any opinion as to whether the well would effectively and efficiently drain any more than a hundred and sixty acres?

A Yes sir, I think it will effectively drain --

Q Have you made a study of the economics of drilling in this area on a hundred sixty and eighty acres?

A Yes sir, I have. I have -- under my direction, Mr. Sipes prepared for me a -- using his engineering methods, a calculation of the stock tank, barrels of oil in place --

Q Are you referring to Exhibit Number Six?

A Excuse me, yes sir, Exhibit Number Six, showing the economics of the Mescalero Cisco. He's

giving the ultimate recovery of stock tank barrels of oil with the information that he has at this time, seventy three thousand barrels of oil, two wells in the field, thirty-six thousand, five hundred barrels per well. He's given the oil at three sixteen. Gross revenue, economical life of two years. Operating cost of fifteen hundred dollars a month, and these are hydraulic operations.

Q Is that a realistic figure, the fifteen hundred dollars per month?

A I'm sorry to say it is, yes. The salt water disposal and the prorated lifting cost of pulling these fixed casing pumps is exactly -- this figure is out of my books. The development cost is -- included in this development cost is the well's prorata part of salt water disposal, which is running in any case right at twenty thousand dollars a well, so we have seventeen miles of disposal line over in the northeast northeast of ll.

Q That's where you are disposing of the water for both of these wells at the present time?

A There's a PVC line that connects those two wells and goes -- gravities over to the new --

no-pit pee, and we dispose of the water there. The development cost in this case would be only for the Fina, because the cost on the Zapata was considerably less because it was a re-entry. I don't exactly have those figures with me, but it's less than a hundred thousand dollars, somewhere less than that.

Q This would indicate a net loss of a hundred forty-four thousand, six hundred forty. Is this on both wells or just the one well?

A No sir, this is on both wells, because he gave a total barrels on the wells, so it would be a net loss for both wells, assuming both wells were drilled, so the loss will be less than that if his reserve figures are correct.

Q If you had had these figures at the time you completed the number one well, would you have drilled the number two well?

A No, I would not, no. These are things we don't know. We drill for them.

Q Now, what are your recommendations to the Commission with the -- with respect to the adoption of the special pool rules for this area?

A I was asking that the Commission grant a temporary spacing of a hundred sixty acres to these wells, spacing being -- or the acreage being the governmental quarter section, a well in the center of any forty, with a hundred and fifty foot tours.

We are asking this for a year to determine -- I intend to make some more pressure studies on my Fina when it is down, when the pump goes out on it, and see if we can contemplate in some way --

Q And justify the drilling of any additional wells?

A Yes, sir. The purpose here is that I think at this time we really don't have enough information on the production history of the Fina, that it has been long enough, and I have experimented with various pumps and chokes and what not, but I think that we will conclusively know in a year.

Q You feel at the end of the year you will know conclusively --

A Yes, sir.

Q -- because of the production history and

additional information which would -- may be accumulated on possible other drilling?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q As to whether one well would effectively and efficiently drain one hundred sixty acres?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Have you contacted or had any contact with the offset owners with respect to this application?
- A I have. I have contacted seventeen of the offset operators in this area, and we have --
 - Q Have you had any response from them?
- A As of this morning, I have had eight responses, eight for and --
 - Q Is it nine total?
- A Nine total. Eight for the application, and Fina's objecting. They have eighty acres.
- Q Did Fina give any reason why they objected?
- A I don't think so, they just object. I don't have the letter, do you have it? This letter was received in my office on the --
 - Q Are you referring to the letter from --

- A This is from --
- Q -- Fina?

received on July the 1st, 1969. "Dear Mr. Hanks:
Reference is made to your letter of -- dated
June 18th whereby you requested American Petrofina's
permission to communitize the subject lease with the
south half of the southeast quarter of Section 10,
10,30, 2, Lea County, New Mexico, previously owned
by Mobil Oil Corporation. This will serve to advise
that American Petrofina does not consent to the
communitization of these two leases under the terms
you requested, and therefore we intend to proceed
in preparing an assignment under the basic terms
and obligations as set forth in our format agreement
dated November 8, 1968."

- Q And that's the format agreement under which the Number 2 well was drilled?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Now, does Petrofina own any other acreage in this area except that 80?
 - A No sir, that's all they have.
 - Q Who are the ones that you obtained waivers

from or communication from indicating approval of the application?

A Mobil Oil Corporation, an offset operator; Hilario Oil and Gas, offset operator; Getty Oil, offset; Del Lee, Incorporated, offset; Southern Royalty, Reading and Bates, offset operators; Charles B. Read, offset operator; Ralph Lowe estate, offset operator, and --

MR. HINKLE: We would like to have these letters filed. I don't think it's necessary to -- do you want them all as one exhibit, or --

MR. NUTTER: They can just be a matter of record, without being an actual exhibit.

MR. HINKLE: All right.

- Q (By Mr. Hinkle) Now, Mr. Hanks, in your opinion, will the adoption of special pool rules along the lines that you have recommended be in the interests of conservation and prevention of waste?
 - A Yes, I think it will.
- Q In your opinion, will this prevent the drilling of unnecessary wells and tend to protect correlative rights?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q Do you have any further information you'd like

to give to the Commission with respect to this application?

A No sir, not at this time.

MR. HINKLE: We would like to offer into evidence Exhibits One through Six.

MR. NUTTER: Applicant's Exhibits One through Six will be admitted in evidence.

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits 1 through 6 were offered and admitted in evidence.)

MR. HINKLE: That's all the direct.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NUTTER:

- Q Mr. Hanks, you were referring to the pressure drawn on this reservoir?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q What were the original pressures in the Zapata well and how were they determined?
 - A By drill stem tests, twenty-nine sixty.
 - Q 2960 on drill stem tests?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q That's on the Zapata --
- A Yes, sir. They ran a test and then re-tested. In both tests, the bottomhole pressures

were the same, and they were flat and failed to indicate --

Q Do you know the interval that was drilled -- drill stem tested when they got that twenty-nine sixty pounds?

A It's -- do you have it with you? Bill has it. I'll give it to you in just a second, Dan, he has it. The first test was from 8867 to 8944, which was a 77-foot interval. Then they came back and re-tested from 8876 to 8920, isolating the zone, with 44 feet in that interval.

Q And your porousity in the well is evidently from about -- well, you tell me where the porousity is.

- A Okay, just a minute. From 8915 to 40.
- Q And your perforated interval then is --

A Wait a minute, I'm looking on the gas detector form there. 8900 to 8925, that's approximate.

Q So the drill stem tests covered a -- substantially the area of porousity?

- A Yes sir, both of them, and they re-tested.
- Q Where are you perforating in that well,

Mr. Hanks?

- A From 8900 to 8922, two shots perforated.
- Q Now, has any other pressure ever been run on the well except the pressure that you ran, that you had Coleman Engineering run?
 - A No, sir. That was just only last month.
- Q So your forty-four hour buildup pressure then was twelve hundred seventy-one pounds on that well?
- A Yes sir, and we have two tests to go by in 1957, that twenty-nine sixty, and that's all we have.
- Q Now, there aren't any other wells in the area that have produced from this zone and aren't producing now, are there?
 - A No, sir.
- Q So the drawdown from twenty-nine sixty to twelve hundred seventy-one pounds has been the result of thirty-four thousand, nine hundred barrels of production?
- A Yes sir, that's the cumulative of the two, plus the three hundred something barrels of water.
 - Q Right; you are making approximately ten to

one barrels of water to oil?

A Yes sir, about that ratio, yes sir.

Volumetrically, I don't think you can put that much fluid under either one of those wells as far as the calculation on the fluid that has already produced. They have been draining --

Q Now, you mentioned that you didn't think that the drill stem test pressure on your Fina State Number 1 was indicative of the reservoir conditions because the drill stem tests covered too wide an area and produced from another zone?

A Yes, sir.

Q What was the interval, what was the DST on that one?

A The drill stem test of the Fina State was from 8856 to 8925.

Q And your shutin pressure on that one again?

A The shutin pressure was -- excuse me, in the initial was 2647, the final was 2622.

- Q 2647 was the initial, and the final SIP --
- A Yes sir, and it's 2622.
- Q And what did that drill stem test yield?

A Gas to surface in six minutes, loaded at the rate of two hundred twenty thousand cubic feet of gas per day, decrease on test of -- too small to measure. Recovered seventeen hundred feet of fluid, a hundred fifty feet of drilling mud, six hundred ninety feet of 48.6 gravity oil, eight hundred sixty feet of salt water.

- Q Now, back to that test that Zapata had --
- A Yes, sir.
- Q What were the recoveries on those two -- on those two drill stem tests?

A Yes sir, I'll give it to you right now.

Drill stem test Number 4, 8867 to 8944.

Q All right.

A In the tube, two hours; shut-in thirty minutes, very strong blow immediately; gas in four minutes at the rate of 675 MCF; blow decreased gradually throughout test; recovered 2200 feet of free oil, 4400 feet of salt water.

- Q Do you have that other drill stem test from 8876 to 8920?
 - A Yes, sir; you want the pressures on that?
 - Q I've got the pressures. Well, the 2960,

the final shut-in was on which one of the tests?

A On the 2815, Dan, is where it is. This is on the first test. Now, the second test --

this is from 8876 to 8920; tool on that, two hours and thirty minutes; strong blow of air immediately, decreasing throughout the test; gas to surface in thirty minutes; gas volume measured, too small; recovered 300 feet of oil and gas, cut drilling fluid, and 5800 feet of oil and gas, cut salt water, with the 2960 pounds bottomhole pressure.

Q That's the one then on the 2960?

A Yes, sir; now, the interval of the Zapata drill stem test as shown on this log, we can see it right here on the Fina --

Q This is the Fina well?

A Fina.

Q This is the Fina, and this is yours, on the Zapata.

A The interval of that drill stem test covered the porousity -- the porousities on up here. The zone of this drill stem test on the Fina was from 8856 to 8925. That one just barely got into it and it covered the porousity right in here, which

gave up some oil on the test.

Q What is the perforated interval in the Fina well?

A Here, right here and right here.

MR. HINKLE: A little louder, so the reporter can hear you.

Q (By Mr. Nutter) Do you have those?

A Yes sir, I do. 8970 to 80, two shots preferred; 8917 to 35, two shots preferred. I don't see the other zone there. 8890 to 8901, that's the upper zone. That was in the government test.

Q So you actually have two sets of perforations on that well which are below the area of the drill stem test and one set of performations that is in the area of the drill stem tests?

A Right. I selectively completed those zones with a bridge plug to isolate them and ran production tests on each zone and then co-mingled them as the Cisco, which it is a part of that same common source. However, the Zapata is not completed in any of those.

Q The reason I was being careful to get this pressure information and these perforated

intervals in here, Mr. Hanks, is because your one zone has depleted down to --- your one well has depleted down to thirteen hundred pounds reservoir pressure, isn't that what your drill stem tests show?

- A From virgin pressure.
- Q All right, from virgin pressures, right?
- A Right.
- Q And it's either one or the other, you don't have pressure in one or it's a failure to communicate across the reservoir?

A Yes, sir. I have suffered from that same problem in trying to determine which one it's coming from. However, we will draw a bottomhole pressure when this well is off again, but you see, I might -- I won't really know if that is a true bottomhole pressure from the middle, lower or upper zone as compared with the Zapata.

- Q Perforated intervals are not really equivalent, anyway.
 - A No sir, they are not.
 - Q Not completely equivalent?
 - A We have to correlate the logs to see the

interval.

MR. NUTTER: Are there any further questions of Mr. Hanks?

Q (By Mr. Nutter) Mr. Hanks, this new law that the legislature recently passed and went into effect on July the 1st related to spacing or proration units with divided mineral interests provides that the -- that any commission order that increases the size of a standard spacing or proration unit for a pool or extends the boundary of such a pool shall require dedication of acreage to existing wells in the pool in accordance with the acreage dedication requirements for said pool.

We haven't made any determination as to just how this thing should be applied, but what is your suggestion as to the dedicated acreage for these two wells that are in the pool at the present time?

A I would -- my recommendation is that
we -- as I requested in my order, that we dedicate
the northeast quarter of Section 10 and the southeast
to the -- excuse me, the northeast quarter of Section 10
to the Zapata and the southeast quarter of Section 10

to the Fina State.

Q Your intention then is to communitize it to the 80 in that southeast quarter to form a standard unit?

A Yes, sir. I have permission from Mobil to communitize in the event the Commission grants this request.

MR. NUTIER: I see, thank you. Are there any further questions?

MR. HINKLE: That's all I have.

MR. NUTTER: That witness may be excused.

(Witness excused.)

MR. NUTTER: You have nothing further in

this case, Mr. Hinkle?

MR. HINKLE: That's all.

MR. NUTTER: Does anyone have anything

to offer in Cause Number 4161?

We'll take the case under advisement.

T N D E X

WITNESS		PAGE
W. T. PROBANDT		
	ation by Mr. Hinkle tion by Mr. Nutter	3 12
ROGER HANKS		
	ation by Mr. Hinkle tion by Mr. Nutter	15 30
÷		
EXHIBIT	MARKED	OFFERED AND ADMITTED
Applicant's 1 through 6	2	30

STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

I, JERRY MARTINEZ, Notary Public in and for the County of McKinley, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me and that the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: January 24, 1970.

i do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case to . 136 Inc.



OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO P. O. BOX 2088 SANTA FE GOVERNOR
DAVID F. CARGO
CHAIRHAN

LAND COMMISSIONES ALEX J. ARMIJO MEMBER

STATE GEOLOGIST

A. L. PORTER, JR.
SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

July 16, 1969

Mr.	Clar	cence	Hinkle	
Hin]	cle,	Bond	urant &	Christy
Atto	rney	s at	Law	
Post	: Off	ice 1	Box 10	
Rosy	vell,	New	Mexico	88201

Re:	Case No.	4161	
	Order No.	R-3798	
	Applicant:		
	Roger	C. Hanks	

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

ALP/ir
Copy of order also sent to:
Hobbs OCC X
Artesia OCC
Aztec OCC
Other

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 4161 Order No. R-3798

APPLICATION OF ROGER C. HANKS FOR SPECIAL POOL RULES, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on July 9, 1969, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel S. Nutter.

NOW, on this 15th day of July, 1969, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

- (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That the applicant, Roger C. Hanks, seeks the promulgation of special rules and regulations for the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including a provision for 160-acre spacing and provation units and the assignment of 80-acre al. wables.
- (3) That the applicant has not established that the wells in the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool can efficiently and economically drain and develop 160 acres or that the establishment of special rules and regulations, even on a tempogary basis, would prevent the economic loss caused by the drilling of unnecessary wells, avoid the augmentation of risk arising from the drilling of an excessive number of wells, prevent reduced recovery which might result from the drilling to too few wells, or otherwise prevent waste or protect correlative rights.

-2-CASE No. 4161 Order No. R-3798

(6) That the subject application should be denied.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

- (1) That the subject application is hereby denied.
- (2) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

OXL CONSPRVATION COMMISSION

DAVID F. CARGO, Chalrman

ALEX J. ADMINO, Me be

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

AMERICAN PETROFINA EXPLORATION COMPANY GULF COAST DIVISION

1606 First City National Bank Building HOUGTON, TEXAG 77002 713 225-6673

JUL 3 1989

MIDLAND OFFICE

July 1, 1969



NORTH MESCALERO HEARING

HINKLE, BONDURANT & CHRISTY ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO

Mr. Roger C. Hanks 606 Wall Towers West Midland, Texas 79701

RE: North Mescalero Area
St.Lse. K-117
Lease No. NM-2400
N/2 SE/4 Section 10
105-32E, Lea County, New Mex.

Dear Mr. Hanks:

Reference is made to your letter dated June 18, 1969 whereby you requested American Petrofina's permission to communitize the subject lease with the S/2 of the SE/4 of Section 10, 10S-32E Lea County, New Mexico, previously owned by Mobil Oil Corporation.

This will serve to advise that American Petrofina Exploration Company does not consent to the communitization of these two leases under the terms you requested and therefore we intend to proceed in preparing the assignment under the basic terms and obligations as set forth in our Farmout Agreement dated November 8, 1968.

Very truly yours,

AMERICAN PETROFINA EXPLORATION

COMPANY.

J. R. Chaney District Landman

JRC:e1

606 WALL TOWERS WEST MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

July 2, 1969

Ralph Lowe Estate P. O. Box 832 Midland, Texas 79701

Application of Roger C. Hanks for Special Pool Rules for the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including provision for 160-acre spacing and proration units and 80-acre allowables

Gentlemen:

The undersigned respectfully requests your support in the above described hearing scheduled as Case 4161 on the Examiner's docket Wednesday, July 9, 1969.

The purpose of the hearing is to show evidence to the Oil Conservation Commission that the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool warrants 160-acre spacing because of areal continuity and identity of the producing zone; we maintain that one well will effectively and efficiently drain 160 acres or more and that it is not economically feasible to drill wells on either 40 acres or 80 acre units. Our belief is that the establishment of special pool rules as herein outlined will be in the interest of conservation and the prevention of waste and will tend to protect correlative rights.

Page - 2 -

May we further remind you that time is of the essence and ask your immediate attention to this request.

Very truly yours,

ROGER C. HANKS

(W. T. Probandt)

WTP:kw

C OMP.	any /	mpille	WE CS	TATE	
		Lan			
DATE	7-	7-69			
A PPR	OVED				

DISAPPROVED

606 WALL TOWERS WEST MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

July 2, 1969

C. B. Read P. O. Box 2126 Roswell, New Mexico 88201

Application of Roger C. Hanks for Special Pool Rules for the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including provision for 160-acre spacing and provation units and 80-acre allowables

Gentlemen:

The undersigned respectfully requests your support in the above described hearing scheduled as Case 4161 on the Examiner's docket Wednesday, July 9, 1969.

Re:

The purpose of the hearing is to show evidence to the Oil Conservation Commission that the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool warrants 160-acre spacing because of areal continuity and identity of the producing zone; we maintain that one well will effectively and efficiently drain 160 acres or more and that it is not economically feasible to drill wells on either 40 acres or 80 acre units. Our belief is that the establishment of special pool rules as herein outlined will be in the interest of conservation and the prevention of waste and will tend to protect correlative rights.

Page - 2 -

May we further remind you that time is of the essence and ask your immediate attention to this request.

Very truly yours,

ROGER C. HANKS

(W. T. Probandt)

WTP:kw

COMPANY Charles B. Read	Ī		
ВУ			
DATE July 3, 1969			
APPROVED X LILOS KINDISAPPROVED			्र - इ

606 WALL TOWERS WEST MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

July 2, 1969

Reading & Bates, Inc. Balck Bldg. Odessa, Texas 79760

Re: Application of Roger C. Hanks for Special Pool Rules for the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including provision for 160-acre spacing and proration units and 80-acre allowables

Gentlemen:

The undersigned respectfully requests your support in the above described hearing scheduled as Case 4161 on the Examiner's docket Wednesday, July 9, 1969.

The purpose of the hearing is to show evidence to the Oil Conservation Commission that the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool warrants 160-acre spacing because of areal continuity and identity of the producing zone; we maintain that one well will effectively and efficiently drain 160 acres or more and that it is not economically feasible to drill wells on either 40 acres or 80 acre units. Our belief is that the establishment of special pool rules as herein outlined will be in the interest of conservation and the prevention of waste and will tend to protect correlative rights.

Page - 2 -

May we further remind you that time is of the essence and ask your immediate attention to this request.

Very truly yours,

ROGER C. HANKS

(W. T. Probandt)

WTP:kw

A PPROVED	DISA	PPROV	ED	 	
DATE 7-3-69					
BY Jon K Oanglelf					
COMPANY Reading & Bates, Inc.					

606 WALL TOWERS WEST MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

July 2, 1969

Southland Royalty Company 1405 Wilco Bldg. Midland, Texas 79701

Re: Application of Roger C. Hanks for Special Pool Rules for the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including provision for 160-acre spacing and proration units and 80-acre allowables

Gentlemen:

The undersigned respectfully requests your support in the above described hearing scheduled as Case 4161 on the Examiner's docket Wednesday, July 9, 1969.

The purpose of the hearing is to show evidence to the Oil Conservation Commission that the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool warrants 160-acre spacing because of areal continuity and identity of the producing zone; we maintain that one well will effectively and efficiently drain 160 acres or more and that it is not economically feasible to drill wells on either 40 acres or 80 acre units. Our belief is that the establishment of special pool rules as herein outlined will be in the interest of conservation and the prevention of waste and will tend to protect correlative rights.

JUL - 3 1969

southland royaltý cô. nddeand, texas

Page - 2 -

May we further remind you that time is of the essence and ask your immediate attention to this request.

Very truly yours,

ROGER C. HANKS

(W. T. Probandt)

WTP:kw

COMPANY Southland Royalty Co.			
BY Atton C. Sorbish		**************************************	
DATE 7-3-(69			
10000UEQ	DISAPPROVED		

606 WALL TOWERS WEST MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

July 2, 1969

Del-Lea, Inc. P. O. Box 1889 Hobbs, New Mexico 88240

Application of Roger C. Hanks for Special Pool Rules for the North-Mescalero-Cisco Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including provision for 160-acre spacing and provided units and 80-acre allowables

Gentlemen:

The undersigned respectfully requests your support in the above described hearing scheduled as Case 4161 on the Examiner's docket Wednesday, July 9, 1969.

Re:

The purpose of the hearing is to show evidence to the Oil Conservation Commission that the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool warrants 160-acre spacing because of areal continuity and identity of the producing zone; we maintain that one well will effectively and efficiently drain 160 acres or more and that it is not economically feasible to drill wells on either 40 acres or 80 acre units. Our belief is that the establishment of special pool rules as herein outlined will be in the interest of conservation and the prevention of waste and will tend to protect correlative rights.

Page - 2 -

May we further remind you that time is of the essence and ask your immediate attention to this request.

Very truly yours,

ROGER C. HANKS

(W. T. Probandt)

WTP:kw

COMPANY

DATE____

APPROVED_

DISAPPROVED_

606 WALL TOWERS WEST MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

July 2, 1969

Getty Oil Company P. O. Box 1231 Midland, Texas 7970



Re: Application of Roger C. Hanks for Special Pool Rules for the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including provision for 160-acre spacing and proration units and 80-acre allowables

Gentlemen:

The undersigned respectfully requests your support in the above described hearing scheduled as Case 4161 on the Examiner's docket Wednesday, July 9, 1969.

The purpose of the hearing is to show evidence to the Oil Conservation Commission that the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool warrants 160-acre spacing because of areal continuity and identity of the producing zone; we maintain that one well will effectively and efficiently drain 160 acres or more and that it is not economically feasible to drill wells on either 40 acres or 80 acre units. Our belief is that the establishment of special pool rules as herein outlined will be in the interest of conservation and the prevention of waste and will tend to protect correlative rights.

Page - 2 -

May we further remind you that time is of the essence and ask your immediate attention to this request.

Very truly yours,

ROGER C. HANKS

(W. T. Probandt)

WTP:kw

COMPANY botty Dil	La company of the second secon
8Y E. Pierce, Dist. Prod.	—— Manager
DATE 7-3-69	
APPROVED Y	DISAPPROVED

606 WALL TOWERS WEST

July 2, 1969

Lario Oil & Gas Company P. O. Box 155 Midland, Texas 79701

Re: Application of Roger C. Hanks for Special Pool Rules for the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including provision for 160-acre spacing and proration units and 80-acre allowables

Gentlemen:

The undersigned respectfully requests your support in the above described hearing scheduled as Case 4161 on the Examiner's docket Wednesday, July 9, 1969.

The purpose of the hearing is to show evidence to the Oil Conservation Commission that the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool warrants 160-acre spacing because of areal continuity and identity of the producing zone; we maintain that one well will effectively and efficiently drain 160 acres or more and that it is not economically feasible to drill wells on either 40 acres or 80 acre units. Our belief is that the establishment of special pool rules as herein outlined will be in the interest of conservation and the prevention of waste and will tend to protect correlative rights.

Page - 2 -

May we further remind you that time is of the essence and ask your immediate attention to this request.

Very truly yours,

ROGER C. HANKS

(W. T. Probandt)

WTP:kw

mirixm		
COMPANY Large (1919) Co.		
BY N. C. Echase		
DATE July 7, 1969		
APPROVED X	DISAPPROVED	-

606 WALL YOWERS WEST MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

July 2, 1969

Mobil Oil Corporation Midland, Texas

Re: Application of Roger C. Hanks for Special Pool Rules for the North-Mescalero-Cisco Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including provision for 160-acre spacing and proration units and 80-acre allowables

Gentlemen:

The undersigned respectfully requests your support in the above described hearing scheduled as Case 4161 on the Examiner's docket Wednesday, July 9, 1969.

The purpose of the hearing is to show evidence to the Oil Conservation Commission that the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool warrants 160-acre spacing because of areal continuity and identity of the producing zone; we maintain that one well will effectively and efficiently drain 160 acres or more and that it is not economically feasible to drill wells on either 40 acres or 80 acre units. Our belief is that the establishment of special pool rules as herein outlined will be in the interest of conservation and the prevention of waste and will tend to protect correlative rights.

Page - 2 -

May we further remind you that time is of the essence and ask your immediate attention to this request.

Very truly yours,

ROGER C. HANKS

(W. T. Probandt)

WTP:kw

COMPAN	Motor Oil	Corp.
BY	Da B. Stall	
DATE	7/8/69	:

APPROVED YES

DISAPPROVED

SOLAR OIL COMPANY

MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701 2101 W. TEXAS AVE P. O. BOX 5114 AC 915 682-2031

July 10, 1969

MAX E CURRY DAROYL R CURRY WILLIAM STAPLER

MAIN OFFICE GOE

*89 JUL 11 PH 1 47

Mr. Roger C Hanks 606 Wall Towers East Midland, Texas



Re: Your letter of 8 July 69 relating to proration in the S. Prairie (Cisco)
Pool, Roosevelt County, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

Upon consideration of the well capabilities in the area surrounding our Louise No.1, (located in Unit I, Section 21, T-8-S, R-36-E, Roosevelt County, New Mexico), we feel that the needs of conservation cannot be met by the use of 160 acre spacing. This lease offsets what we believe to be your leases in the S. Prairie Cisco Pool. We feel that spacing greater than 80 acres will undoubtedly result in the failure to recover substantial oil reserves.

Therefore, we feel that we must oppose your application to re-define the limits of the S. Prairie Cisco Pool according to your letter, copy attached.

Yours very truly,

SOLAR OIL COMPANY

(Signed) DAROYL R. CURRY

Daroyl R. Curry Attachment

cc: New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Santa Fe, New Mexico

				A	T Y	1. 411	្តែក្រុក្សា ប្រជុំ ៤១	นี้ ระเกิด	,	inical in the	7 7	1		
		1277 77 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		8	111.301	tere territaria		-3		2		 	17.	·
	* # # "s" ampenjeta:	\$1,1,	Etientie icopy thoses 0 4 1 75 62		Cities Service	Consider			[asmed	. ~		\$ - 26 - 77	Land to the	4.
	1	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	Con No. 2 to 2	1,11 & Cers (19) (46:15-2-18)	Ly trans atal		Varie Ainsworth	Corp.elal Acisan Ainsmarth	1 Start 39. 11941 8 65 2210 8 65	Stole menseth	Helson Kinsmorth	A frankryng: 2 f (* 1 a m. 7) 2 f (* 1 a m. 7)	3 6 6 6 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Pre15.
	endig end	Figure nerge Biggs 18 1 Streng Constant The gray sta	A 2-20-418	ir malage"	.p'= afaire!! 37 73 Cateons	Coler Service	\$11.0	Service 16 + 71	Cieres	Service 20 - 71	Wiehe	e! Shearn,els!	- دونون	1819 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)
			\$1 275 E \$1175.			A Caymen	Francesteris							4000 1 fg
	Ser Allie	5 D F 7 P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P		3— — I	Frencie Lenis 4 - 1 - 73 03*0452	S.P. Vates	6316432	Allentic	W 5 Kilray 6-1-72 0249948		* 1)	2	7 .	trant estant
	Ress Wennegs Phil	rud	S 3			060821 Astanlis(asi) S. worth, Ur.	U.S.	05055 AB Hare 174 051751	41.0073.73 2007.00 2007.00	1. V Carpelat	v.	•	DR F	U.S. 14
-	\$125 - 1/20-15 Leve Stor	A STATE OF THE STA	1916 F2: Lere 54:	VernoSeizell	ې Ali	nlie	Allentic	5. P. Yeles 1 - 20 - \$((3)		Alv. 7 eeb		Shearnetal	79.6 G	thu eral ney
	4. 11 64	1.38 31 - 1.25 Earls 1.25 1.36 1.36 1.36 1.36 1.36 1.36 1.36 1.36	ero 5-14-15	Oriene Oriene	105070 E-8 0485754 E-8 4-34-6 18-7-64	275 . 1	85065	Roswell LoSolle	Low	20 10	1601	17.	Tamiraram	
_	Eut Bengennen Gene Beil	10333 1634 1634 1634 1634 1634 1634 1634 1	7.	11.059H 1H	Sunseller	6 Castel	Cit Serv	1,8. 5,69 5,40,000,000 7,540,000 7,540,000	1 teste, elel	Shell		3 Micheel Shearn-Fee		Pice Grad
	0:307:9	The Response	Harrison	Huber Corp.	ALCX STATE	Taller.		(Nat Frou)	Victoral 0113/a	0140435	1 300000	neri) Freich		F. 67 C
-	v. s. N. G. Masferd (\$men	U.S.	wi berratelet	**************************************	17 Polic (1 to 18	1/ PS 3	(Ten	es 5 Massey in Gash rell 91	Fice! Est, eral	U.S.	U.:	S. Bridge	2. A.	والزالم ووزأه
	131 232 2423	\$11.75 \$15.64 \$1.75 \$1.75	sectors of			C 6 0	Pet Co	in at Tex	C67192	Jack	1954	KGS KGS KGS KGS KGS KGS KGS KGS KGS KGS	# 1.1 p	4////
_	200 (1987) 2 (1990) (19	KEP 0.P.		Organia Organia	Line Stage	1 1	2	2	2.0	1011 20:50:50 10:00 10 10:00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		8 - Tri- XGS		GLE Unite E. Nearburg
	Section 1	1 347766 1 363766 7037 \$	5) F1'E	rita) 48 Lene Star	11167 F503					1 1	C552416			•1 Ziacemāki
<u>, </u>	L'S VI.	U.S. 2143435		F25_2 S. W.M. Fed (Costs):	VIA 10 - U.			Fed'	U.S. D x Dovis(S)	Goge Knoz (5)	J.I.ONeill Jr.	Fed Se'+co"	2574 1 110 2574 1 240	
	America Cylindra	Shell 12 3-175 16 250236 63	1:13 1:13 1:15 1:15 	Poste Henks	tsatistics (Stambera	Conc Stor Lene 5-1: 65 Ster 1: 5-1: 60 1: 72 03:28423	Lore Star 12 1-72 0378435	B. Rendi Constead	1/21ec 3-1-12 6(3435)	All-Rich	11-17-69 11-10-69 1-1-70	of Cresto: Stores Stores	7. ** 7	63431 378
	U.S. 25 11 5 11 10 1	30	\ \ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\		Mebi	Fed*		27	?	6 2 2 3 3	2	5	Lei (6.)	(- 8 (74(65* (30
	For Amer. 3 - 15 - 71 45 mun Conten Costee	BTA.	75-			r. Petro.	Mebil ABP Coless	C.B. Read 6-1-72 027256i	874	1:11:3		Sta et Shell	Cerstel 3	1001
	1020 H W W 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102	mino!	о ^н о г	5.	U.	Amer. Petr. 2-1-76(2) 5 = 5 = 617		<i>;</i> .s.	All Grack	H Beete 72 0	⊕ (11/2)	Steern-Fee 2 crill U.S. F200	υ,	Fed·Hazer* S
	For A - 10 Plant Son	Ciry (I)	Amer. Trad. 6 - 28 - 61 6 E - 1197	Cob: 1	Morrista 01 Azt 3: 1: 0236	ec 12 20	Aziec 3-1-18 0236%1	Shell HBP esacit	Skelly, afol (+1+kich (14)	Littie Yeler) 83263 Ailanlie	© Anomicood	Curica Standing	Yates Orig	E.E.Neark
	W. ALLISON	centes (S.F. Feat)	9.71				•		2	ed-Yales	Ationic Control of the Control of th	5 6 7 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	44 £ •3	31
•	36	Slene* 415 115		1C 02	rice Erco Oca	3	H	Ni)	Gulf iiost	Allentie 04 015		Paris (vil.) "amedicajeam Same	0 1	• 5
		9 / W	**************************************	· 1	Wingife'	4.5	وده الا ا	1	M.F. 64 111 • 1	elersichur. 140 g "Fée Cull !!	ene • 5	iliten gi	. Tolex	**************************************
	PRIETATIVIA A PROPERTY COL	STERNEY POSTEDY POST		MECHANICA	(FORCE PROFESSION			Jan Jansen	***************************************		**************************************	oras de l'entr'	. Section of the Contraction of	-
			,,, l		7.1.	1	1	············	<u></u>					
STREET, ST.		·		a para para para para para para para pa	the second	ara da	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	a sala ya kabup		3 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.			. YARAN KARANTAN	

606 WALL TOWERS WEST MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

July 8, 1969

MAINTOFFICE

289 JUL 11 PH 1 47

Solar Oil Company 2101 West Texas Midland, Texas 79701



Re: Application of Roger C.
Hanks to re-define the
South Prairie Cisco Pool
and the Middle Allison
Pennsylvanian Pool in
Roosevelt and Lea Counties,
New Mexico, for 160-acre
spacing and 80-acre
allowables

Gentlemen:

Reference is made to my letter of June 30, 1969, requesting your support in the above hearing scheduled by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission on July 9, 1969, and re-scheduled for hearing on July 23, 1969.

I would like to apologize to the operators of the South Prairie Cisco Pool in that my letter to each of you was too broad in scope and I should have more specifically stated the exact acreage I am requesting to be deleted from the South Prairie Cisco and added to the Middle Allison Pennsylvanian.

I am requesting that the NE/4 of Section 29 be deleted from the South Prairie Cisco and be added to the Middle Allison Pennsylvanian. I am also requesting that the NW/4 of Section 28 be deleted from the South Prairie Cisco and be added to the Middle Allison Pennsylvanian. In addition to this, I am requesting that the balance of Section 29, the SE/4 of Section 30, and the N/2 of Section 32 be included in the Middle Allison Pennsylvanian Pool. This acreage is not presently spaced in either field.

My apologies to the South Prairie operators for not being more specific.

Your attention and support regarding this hearing is respectfully requested.

Very bruly yours,

Roger C. Hanks

RCH:rm

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - JULY 9, 1969

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING - SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Elvis A. Utz, Alternate Examiner:

CASE 4160:

Application of Roger C. Hanks for pool redelineation, Roosevelt County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the redelineation of certain pool boundaries to include the deletion of the NE/4 of Section 29 from the South Prairie-Cisco Pool and the extension of the Middle Allison-Pennsylvanian Pool to include all of Section 29, the SE/4 of Section 30, and the N/2 of Section 32, all in Township 8 South, Range 36 East, Roosevelt County, New Mexico.

CASE 4161:

Application of Roger C. Hanks for special pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the promulgation of special pool rules for the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including a provision for 160-acre spacing and proration units and the assignment of 80-acre allowables.

CASE 3786: (Reopened)

In the matter of Case No. 3786 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-3437, which order established special rules and regulations for the North Paduca-Delaware Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including provisions for the classification of and spacing for oil and gas wells and a special gas-liquid ratio limitation. All interested parties may appear and show cause why the special rules and regulations should not be discontinued.

CASE 4162:

Application of Western States Producing Company for a dual completion and salt water disposal, Roosevelt County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dually complete its State 30 Well No. 2 located in Unit M of Section 30, Township 7 South, Range 33 East, Roosevelt County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from the Chaveroo-San Andres Pool and the disposal of produced salt water through 8 5/8 X 4 1/2 inch casing-casing annulus into the Yates, Seven Rivers, and Queen formations in the open-hole interval from approximately 1825 feet to 3785 feet.

- CASE 4163: Application of Pan American Petrolsum Corporation for a non-standard gas promation unit, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of a 181-acre non-standard gas promation unit for its Pike Federal Well No. 1 located in Unit A of Section 6, Township 23 South, Range 38 East, Tubb Cas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, said unit to comprise the N/2 N/2 of said Section 6.
- CASE 4164: Application of Mobil Oil Comperation for an unorthodox location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to drill its Fristoe Well No. 8 at an unorthodox location 2470 feet from the North line and 430 feet from the East line of Section 3, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, Langlie Mattix Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 4165: Application of Sam Boren for special pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the promulgation of special pool rules for the East Bagley-Pennsylvanian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including a provision for 160-acre spacing and proration units and the assignment of 80-acre allowables.
- CASE 4166: Application of Sam Boren for a dual completion and salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dually complete his Barbera State "A" Well No. 1 located in Unit P of Section 6, Township 12 South, Range 34 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from the East Bagley-Pennsyvlanian Pool and the disposal of produced salt water through the intermediate casing-production casing annulus into the San Andres and Glorieta formations in the open-hole interval from approximately 4060 feet to 6562 feet.
- Application of Charles B. Read for a dual completion and salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dually complete his Hobbs "Y" Well No. 1 located in Unit J of Section 29, Township 12 South, Range 34 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from the East Hightower-Pennsylvanian Pool and the disposal of produced salt water through the intermediate casing-production casing annulus into the San Andres, Glorieta, Yeso, and Abo formations in the open-hole interval from approximately 4195 feet to 7720 feet.

- CASE 4168: Application of Charles B, Read for salt water disposal,
 Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled
 cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water
 into the Seven Rivers Reef formation in the open-hole
 interval between 3783 feet and 3797 feet in his Sinclair
 State Well No. 1 located 2310 feet from the South and
- State Well No. 1 located 2310 feet from the South and West lines of Section 2, Township 21 South, Range 33 East, Lynch Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 4169:

 Application of Mask, Jennings, Keohane and Westall for an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicants, in the above-styled cause, seek an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, which order prohibits the disposal of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil on the surface of the ground in Lea, Eddy, Chaves and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico, after January 1, 1969. Said exception would be for applicants' wells in the E/2 NW/4 and the NE/4 of Section 2, Township 19 South, Range 31 East, Shugart Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicants seek authority to dispose of salt water produced by said wells in an unlined surface pit located in Unit C of said Section 2.
- CASE 4170: Application of H. C. Hood for pool redelineation, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the redelineation of the High Plains-Pennsylvanian Pool by the deletion of the SW/4 of Section 14 and the SE/4 of Section 15, Township 14 South, Range 34 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

Satut tr.	1	•	1 12 1 29 1	g in the service of	1 DVAR TO 46 CONTROL	grand 4
d 19 1 111	20	L.C Genetal	22-	C Ciego stal		
\$5 \$ \$ \$2 \$2 \$ 4 \$ \$2 \$2 .4		J. C. Pickard 91 1 70(2) 2197480	Antenada 12-1-69123 867611	Crostal States	Allies Corn Shill of Corn Shil	AVING A
r v.e	5 ! = ! •	L.C. Strappetal	05 M1	Xing Res 3 2 : 60	P. C. Panhagan	01A 1 188 10913
J. M. Huber, Corp.	and the same of the particular and the same		JC AK AK	Amerada Freeda (17.6312)	king Res	37972
0162061 - Steerier	7-1-71 3-1-72 - 075.2.951 -0239243	J.M. Huber, Corp Cities Service	Pickard Grafe Grafe Assec.	11-1-69 g) (6161) 661611 U.S.	(Shall) 1230 RC Hones Pt (Shell) (Kerth Crouse (Superior)	Shallon (S)
023921	u s		(Lariota y s ut	10 000 pt	Yeager barmsteraggreitt L Word Megyffin 7 24 75 25 858 420	⊕ ,
ervice Superior	Superior 1 Superior 4.1.72 1 3.1.72	28 Cities Service	Graffe 6 afe Assocs	Humble J.B. Russell	Humble 12-1-71	
02392/3	0246900 0259213 Cities Service	0347425	C 07480 3 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	0421714 0211302	8 - 3 - 73 02 1302 047:214	0,000 60
k Reh Inc Cterrer etc	0347425 0.5 16.1	U.S.M. E.C. Casper, etal	LE Couper, etal Armerrang, eta	trasting Very M. Guttin	U.S.W.). Morg. McGwiffin	Marg. Ve Gurlin 1 Dulan
Humble 12- 15- 74 N: 4534	Gulf 9-19-77	Cities Service Cities Serv. 9-1, 69(2) 19-1, 70(2) 063549 U.S. N. 18197607	Cities Service 3 30 70 0 A	Humble 8 - 1 - 73 0421214	R.Lowe Est So.Roy. 5.718 - 77 6 20 - 77	Shell Coasts
	ر 250 اع ق	Cities Serv. 9:1:70(2)	JENIII M. J. WAYSTER	Superior 1 70(2)	15 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Time ?
3 :	Cities Service Midnest	olotago us	Cesper 34 A Year Frong	1 0611ES 1 1 2 3 W 1 - 35	Gan Set Corp	man 31
	1 - 13 - 15	Midwest 8 · 6 · 69	C. & waller etal of grants	Amerada 11-1-69:21 861611	9.19.77 20 1 617	
Sector :	Store	irene H. Adams ES.Holman, etal	Yeagar a a restrong Mil. Reith Crouse	U.S.M.I. SASSASSASSASSASSASSASSASSASSASSASSASSAS	3 · 20 · 72 V · 2787	urus t Morg. NeGuttin
Gulf	THERE PRINTED PRINTED	MILE PARTE PRINE PRINE	nge dame banne binne	Grand Harris Harris Lario et al 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	State 625 (95) The History State Panamer, Panamer, Panamer,	Fon Amer.
2-18-79 L-2476 HO	4 × 17 × 12 + + 10 ± +	Midwest 4 17-72 4 2366 2366 2	No Bid	1 1440 1	L-1504 1 16449	6 - 20 - 77
·o	San Andm	Phillips Sandgafe	Sincleir Er daar Forsts Abs 1/58	te e' b'King Res Westokn Ti 9700 CALTRES	, and the second	
leura carrie	Getty Oit Midwest 7 - 18 - 77 12 - 202 76	Ei 411 - 4	Roger Honks (14) 1113 4-15-79 Value 13	Tidewater 2 2 - 21 1 King Resources 16 1 16 - 78	Gent Pet Corp etal 9-19-77	143µ (* 6
Cities Service 1: 19 - 75 K- 4663 35 !!	13.00	1 %.	1 2800 3541	J. L. yestellan Listo	L-275	ro spat f
51010	Cisco	⊗' State	State	J Lyucciellon, L. 570	State	51 51 12 51 12 6.
urver	J. M. Huber Midwest 4 : 17 - 72 12 - 20 - 74 K-2368 K-6527 10 9	\$16 Cities Serv. \$16 - 73 \$1	Rolph Lowe Bosh	Caben Expl Sunroy 100 a 69	RCHanta; GeHu 0:10255; 9:19:77 L:257 63 E	87A 5 • 16 • 7 * K 6 9 5 2
Ş∳M. } 1		12 25	(Zapara - 5*, (Zapara, elan	FATIS Meniarcy etal	AMSONE	74444 A 538
)	Gellucii J.M. Sluber	9	J.M. Kelly : 1455	We Date Lines 201	7 12	70 T3 4 5 1 7 Pon Amer
	Gelly (i) J. M. Suber 7 (4 - 77 4 17 - 72 1 127 6 - 2368 13 73 10 45	Ø 1 7 19 18	J.M. Kelly 1975 197	We Orse ! Thasor	11-31-77 Pon Amer. 1-493 5-21-78 1-558 1-557 75 68 17 60	6 - 18 + 78 L-956
Stele	(De Kouriau	State	State City	Stan Kankese	State	(4) 16.16. \$ 22.22 \$falt
PonAmer.	J.M. Hyber Selfy Oil	W.B. Yorborough J.M. Huber 7: 10-73 7-17-72	Roger Hanks Wil-Me 1-21-73 WAite-St		Mcbit Wright Wright Midwest 1879 5-20-79 5-20-7910-3-71 1 12836 1-3286 G.B.	
L-8-2 U	K-2502 1-7-10-77	14.31	50 93 (J. Wrellher, 77)	Service	2613 (2876) (2876) (3 B. 2613 (2876) (3 B. 2613 (2876) (3 B. 2776)	68794c 2
18	Gulf i 3-18-79 L-2646 7	1c	1123 Williams	Wind State of the	3	G B.Hallmon 1 - 16 - 72 70 1111 5 18
\$1.5A19	13 33 IJ.M. Huber 1 7 17 72	Huber 7-17-72 C.B.Read K2602 12-19-77 10.40 1 1-485	S. IS 77 E.O.		Shell 12 19-71 K- 2033	U.S.
Survey 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 10	K2002	1 220	нар Се	33		es eine d
Stole Gellu	State Sugrau DY Huber	Huber . Southland Roy	Rolph Lone Cities Service	Slow	State Nine Ren Co. Midwest	Nine Ach. Co.
9,19,77	A 18-73 (7:17:72 2: 18:74 K2602 L K:3901 10:81 L 204	2-16-70 1 104425-05 K-170 : 8-5143 11 11 '40'	240 C Es Service	3 - 13 - 61 Nine - 6ch Inc	Midwest 10-3-71 693-42 2 G.B.Hallman
	TV2962 OVA12-31-62	J. M. Kuber			6-11-73 11 L '	49 3: 4: 2 1 - 18 - 72 Nine Reh. Co.
\$ 9 L	Bell Pelr 20	K2603 10 90	22 104409	1 0/R) 45	Midwest 6.17:79	Allied Ch
IJ.M.Huber	<u>5</u> ;8		State and Sinc.	K-103 / U.C.	(6858 (254 (497 - 582	BBB 3 1875 JACOS VOICE GIBE (Fors
7.17.72 K.2603 St. 16.10.83	State Rich Inc. State	State Synator Cal.	W. E.	Service Control	66 ST4 State State M.I. State Nine Rch. Co	103 SAL & VOU Gebel Crars 103 SAL & CATOL ST 104 SC 105 SAL 105
8 9 19.77	Getty 7-17-72 3-19-77 K2003 Sunroy L-277 10.23	Cities Service MWJ 12-15-74 5-20.79	Composition of Model	Sun 3 1 Shell	1-M (20)	Continental 4 - 11 - 72 K-2369
10ber 7-17-72 K2603	Sunray 1-277 (0.23)	l li	A WENCOLELANT	or of the second	Sec. Medil 10-13-73 Ei 4300 K3635	
K2609 30 10.23 1 Midwest Widwest	J.M. H. 5.7 29	28		2608 Stolls	10 11.965 1193 2n 3144 24 3168 25 Abo 1355	Stoliz & Co Stoliz & Co Fyndamental St. OAB 20 67 TTER 30 - 30
8-16-76 A-16-76 1-6107 - 6166 24-21 7-02	6-21-70 X-556		Antweir McCiellon	H8F 176	Abo 1355 0 0 1351 6 0 A 2 - 11 - 61	⊕ 'So Rey 1 21 (1.293 44
er:	Bell Petr. Hober	Austral Mayers. Storeof NEA McClellan & TD10312 TO 4244 Storeomy 76 65		Hobbe Pipe & Supply to 4785 State	State	Store
QS State	K-556 State 182603 I.G.McCorver Superior	Statžoja i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		9	State NineRch.Co.	Nine Ren. Co.
<u> </u>	9: 20: 78 1: 19: 75 K: 6370 K: 4664 35: 25 1: 22: 22		Ashmune: Mebinard State Mebinard Mebina	8-15-77 L/90 16-2	Jiff Lane 31 6 - 20 - 77	so react Coby
34 1 K2603		fene diet.	1 21 79 L 2356		6 - 20 77 Fundamental	Stail NTO I
Union clidwest	32	Fig. 5-14-64 33 AcAlester Huber 1 16-16-70 7-17-72 Kees 1 K2603	Sun, etal Tom Brown, Drig	Brown - 35 Brown - 35	36 State ⊕ 10 40 40	Stoltz e. Co-Clark Cebat Co
]} K 4725 r 6266	**************************************	Kass 1 Tagga 1	Σ τ 16 (ψ /2 − [(ons recovered to the second	1 0/4/2/22/6<	
			31			4

E091 % C.

Antori

BEFORE	EXAMINER NUTTER
	ENVATION CONTACTOR
	EXHIBIT NO.
CASE NO	- 7/0/

yackie vra Vad Yotel d 19 10 1006	20	L.C. Cooper, eta!	22 100	L Copper etal	L C Copperetal	Celeat 4
40, 1334 6/4 6 55 co	••• •••	1 C Pickard 7 4 70(2) 2 2 4 8 2	Amerade (\$-8: 69(8) (\$616)	Coastal States	Allied Chem Allied Chem Country Countr	New Area and a large
U.S	5 1 5 1 7	U. \$ U) L. C. Cropenatol	US Caleer Vary McGorr	2 Ameroda Fetatai Morg McGuli	Margaret Masaffinetai	06-421 (Miles 1981) 5
J.M. Huber, Corp. 7:11:71 0152061	JM HabenCorpl Superior 7-1-71 3-1-77 0152761 0110213	M. Huber, Com Gilles Service	Fictord Grafe, Grafe, Assoct	Amerada Amerada 11-1-59(2)	(Shelling 25 IRC Honks As (Shelling 25 Chell) Keith Crouse (Superior)	Shellor 15
Service Superior 3 - 1 - 12 0218213	u. ş	0152041 0152061	Morg Ate Guff	1838 pt 28	Yeager tarmstrang well to be used to be the second	The state of the s
31 331.77	Superior Superior 0246500 3 -1 - 72		Grafe Grafe Grafe Grafe Grafe Assoce C 6/480 Grafe Assoce C 6/480	7	Humble 12-1-7 8 1-73 628302	Shell Her BIA Richardson C-274
k Rch Inc L.C Cooper, etai	Cities Service Dayses Days	U.S.M.1 L.C. Cooper, efail	C Cooperatel Armstronger	reger ly s. w.	Morg. McGaffin	W. F. Richarden 4 106
Humble 12+15-74 X-4534	Gulf 9 13: 77 L-250	Cilias Service Cilies Serv. 9-1-6912) 9-1-70-2: CESSAS U.S. M. 6310748-7 L.C. CEPSAS (CILIAR)	Cities Service Lario etal	\$43/2/4	R Lovie Est So. Roy. 7:18 77 5:20:77	Shell Coas
ં જ્યા	17 ⁸	Cities Serv. 9:1-70:29 01097400 0 us ur 03:900 04 Hintertocome	1 1 17 1995	1 517 5125 2 7 5 10 7		una t
31	Cities Service Midnest 1-13-75 6-20-77 1-4663 1-545 1-545	33 Midwest 8 - 8 69	C. F. watter at al . Hanny . L. C. Capper, at al . U. K. Hr	Ameroda (1-1-69(2)	Gen Fet Corp 11 19 18 9 19 17 16 1 19 18	June 1 31
State) State	irene H. Adams ES.Holman jetat	Yeagar Ademstrong HI Keith Crouse	U.S.M.I. South U.S. M.C. Margarita		Morg. NeGulfin
Gulf 2 (8:79 L :2476	130 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2	Milly Capric Private Valsue 7 Midwest 4 : 17 : 72 K-2906 4 : 4581 A	5: 20: 79 ACTOR Sentetion	A CASE I	Pan Amer Pan Amer	Fon Amer
на	y - 100 &	Philips Landgale	/ _ / !!! 78!	his of King St.	\$321 6 \$10.50	1 12 19
Cities Service	Gelly Oil Midwest 7 - 18 - 77 12 × 48 24 10 W	# 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Ruger Hn Ne Strang	Ziegener KingReschter	3eti. Pet Ciepietal 9-19-77 1-278	443). (
x - 4663 35 !!	l State	Stote	\\\\\ \\\ \- \ \- \ \- \ \- \ \- \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	B'nd Bullon State - 1556	Cisco 501	to sat ! S State &
prver	J.M. Huber Midwest 12 · 20 · 76 K-2368 K-6627	Sehie Cities Serv. 81ele 4 · 16 · 73 11 6174 K · 3202	Rolph Lowe Bxg St. Bxg St. Bxg St. Bxg St. St. Bxg St. St. Bxg St. St.	been Expl Surray of 11 to 75	#CHonks: Geffu 11 955 9-19-77 L-257 63 5	87A 5 - 16 - 27 K-CSE2
,		37 4 - 16 - 73 13 111	(30,000 ST) (30,000 (30)) (30,00) (30,00)	2522	Virgon Call C	RO (100
	GettyOit J.M.Huber 7 · 16 · 71 4 · 17 · 72 1 · 127 K- 2368 1 · 127 10 8 9	© 1 7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10			Getty Pon Amer Cul	Pon Amer. 6-18-78
Stote	Stale	State	Stole 4500	Sunral Sun	5100 St	7- Pon Amer. 5-18-78 71-15-88 22-82 51-94
1 - 10 - 78 L-542	-1000 T F. 158 F	7 15 73 7 17 17	Rager Hank Wil-104 7050 1-21-79 White-51 2-2 1-23-90 109-124 Herispie 5032 157-16-16-16-16-16-16-16-16-16-16-16-16-16-	(Malil) Cit. Serv 188 Mobil	Mobil (Wright Wright Midweat + 5-20-79 5-20 7918-3-71	Midwest Sup. 10 3 71 7:
10	Gulf t 3 18-79		903 (Fraher, Jr.) Orlico (Fraher, Jr.) (1. 1923) (2. 176) (Fraher, Jr.) (3. 100) (Fraher, Jr.) (3. 100) (Fraher, Jr.) (4. 100) (Fraher, Jr.) (5. 100) (Fraher, Jr.) (6. 100) (Fraher, Jr.) (6. 100) (Fraher, Jr.)	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	[juints	6.8.Hallmon 1 - 16 - 72
Synroy Synroy Daysis	13 32 (J.M. Huber 17 17 72	Huber 6 C.B.Read K2C02 12 13 77 10.40 L495	8:16:77 E O	Cylin zervice is 48 Mg	Shell 12:19:71 K:2033	instat d' 18 U.S. Nine i
Siete	Stote .	State 10	32 Store 1824	2-8N Slote	State Nine Ach Co.	स स्पर हैं Nine Rch.Co
Geffy 9.19.37 11.67	4 16 73 4-16-73	Huber SouthlandRoy 7:77-72 2: 10:74 K2602 K-3901 10:11 20 U	Rolph Lowe Cities Service 2-16-70 TD4425-05 K-170 R-5943	Continental (Circs Service Fr40 100-5884 B-5125	Sun - 10-3-71 3 - 18 - 61 Nine 6 06 671 Rch.Inc	Midwest 10-3-71 473142 & G.B.Hollmon
19	77.507	J.M. Huber 17-17-72 K2602-10-60	•	*#-23*	Del Leo 6 17 78 1181	Nine Rch Co.
			22 TD4400 A Disc.	(Shell ora) fastor K-351 / L C. Sunfoy Horris	Midwest 6 17-79 4-18-77 4-5559 12-27	Allied Ch
	Coproca ala Rch.Inc. State	ge iggi signal Bu dill se o State signal on ést.	McElroy Roy	Horris St	State Nine Rch Co.	376001 2 LU 1415Ac a Majer Glabel CFors MAP Survay St 010442 3 18 14 3 Sel Sta. 213 Nine Rch.Co
Getty I-A	Geffy 17-17-77 5-19-77 12-223 17-17-77 10-23 17-17-77 10-23 17-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-	Cities Service MWJ 12-15-74 5- 20 79 1-2994 50-20 10-20	(enc. Mobil 1986)	State Sun 3 Shall Shall Co co co	I-M A	Continental 4 - 17 - 72 K - 2369
7 17 72 K2609		1 19	Westolere's man		Sec.Mebii :0 - 15 - 73 El 4300 K 3635 El 31365 II 90 On 3186 Sa 3308 OR	Stolla C.Co. Eyrdgmental-St. GAS 20 67
X 6767 X 6266	M. Huber 29 6-21-70 K-556 - 115		3 For Institution	48P 1 5-16-77 HBP 17-651 6-11-6	開想。井ス	(134 137 - 30 30 30 30 30 30 -
QA Si si e	8ell fetr. Huber 17:17-72 1-11-73 K2603 X-316 State 10.23	Austral Mayer & States Australia Mayer & States Australia McClellon - & States Australia & States & S	Mescalero (Mumble SE/4) State	Hobbs Pipe G Supply to State	State Nine Rch. Co.	Nine Rch. Co
数 型 X-536	3.McCorver Superior 9 20 76 1 18 75 K 6370 K 4664 35 26 22 22	1:2995	Shmune 3E1 Mobil 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	8-15-77 1-190 16%	0.H.Stellz 6-17-Lane St 6 - 20 - 77	SO.R
1) detsi Huber 17:17:72	1	(Sunray)	C.B. Reod		D.H.Stoltz 6-20-77 Fundamental	\$ Feu → NTDI
Union Midwest 2:16-75 9-16-76 3 X 4725 I X-6266		chleete Huber	(C) Sun, etal Tom Brown, Drig	Brown Midwest 16 Sun 9 19 77	9) 11 0 3 10 12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Stolfz e. Ca - Clark Cobot (
			7:			
341 TJS45 L	32	Pig.5-14-64 33	- 11 보고 1· - 1·	1 9 19 77	36 State	weed31

BEFORE	EXAMINER	NUTTER
	EDVATION OF	

EXHIBIT NO.

CASE NO._

1/6/

CALCULATION OF THEORETICAL RESERVOIR PRESSURE AT ABANDOMENT

Example Well Roger C. Hanks-Zapata State No. 1 .

Abandonment Conditions:

Oil rate, Bb1/D	17
Water rate, Bb1/D	119
Fluid viscosity, cp	0.48
Formation capacity, Md. ft.	142
Wellbore pressure, psi	0

For 160 acre spacing

$$P_e - P_w = \frac{Q + \ln r_e/r_w}{7.07 \text{ kh}}$$

$$P_e - 0 = \frac{145 + (0.48) \ln 1491/1}{7.07 + (0.142)}$$

$$P_e - \frac{505 \text{ psi}}{2}$$

For 80 acre spacing

$$P_e = 0 = \frac{145 (0.48) \ln (1054/1)}{7.07 (0.142)}$$

$$P_e = \frac{482 \text{ psi}}{10.48 \cdot 10.142}$$

BAILEY, SIPES, WILLIAMSON & RUNYAN, INC. 1100 V & J TOWER MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701 L. D. SIPES, JR., P.E./zt JULY 7, 1969

BEF	ORE E	AIMAX	IER NUTTER
OIL			COMMESSION
		EXHIBIT N	
CAS	E NO.	11/6	



COLEMAN PETROLEUM ENGINEERING COMPANY

HOBBS, NEW MEXICO

BOTTOM HOLE	PRESSURE RECORD
OPERATOR OGER C HANKS, LJO, FIELD MESCALERO FORMATION CLSCO LEASE ZAPATA STATE WELL NO. 1 COUNTY LEA STATENCY MEXICO DATE 6-21-69 TIME 11:30 AM. Status OPEN Test depth 8901' Time S. I. The last test date. Tub Pres. O BHP last test. Cas. Pres. BHP change. Elev. 4333'GL Fluid top. 5378' Datum (-4568)** Water top. NONE Temp. @ 1580 F. Run by WEAVER Cal. No. A2419N Chart No. 1	Depth Pressure Gradient 0 0 - 2000 0 - 4000 0 - 6000 217 .109 8000 914 .349 8901 (4568) 1242** .364 **MID POINT OF CASING PERFORATIONS
0 500 1000 1500	SSUFC



COLEMAN PETROLEUM ENGINEERING COMPANY

PHONE EXPRESS 3:3813
ALL GRIMES
P. O. BOX 1829
HOBBS, NEW MEXICO

BOTTOM HOLE PRESSURE RECORD

OPERATOR ROSER C. HANKS, LTD. PIELD N. MESCALERO FORMATION CISCO	1		
FIELD N. MESCALERO FORMATION CISCO	Depth	Piessure	Gradient
PACEZAPATA STATE WELLNO. T	0	0	-
COUNTY LEA STATENEW MEXICO	2000	O	-
DATE 6-23-69 TIME 7:30 AM	4000	9	. 005
COUNTY LEA STATENEW MEXICO DATE 6-23-69 TIME 7:30 AM Status SHUT IN Test depth 8901' Time S. I. 44.0 HRB. test date.	6000	257	.124
rum e r 44.0 ERS at test date =	8000	937	, 340
Tub Pres O BHP last test.		-4568)1271**	. 371
Cas. Pres. BHP change 52001			
Jatum_ (-4568)**Water top NONE Jatum_ (1580 F. Run by. WEAVER_	B.W.U.	Danie Or Chains	Dencontribue
Datum NONE	T TIVE TO	POINT OF CASING	LEKI OKATIOKS
Cemp. @ 1580 F. Run by. WEAVER			
Cal. No. A2419N Chart No. 1	1		
Pro	ssure		

_																									P	re	3	U	re																						
	Ω						.5	QΩ)				1	O(00)			٠	1	50	QÇ)																												
/ -																						I																H													
		4				ij'n							;									1					░				H						+::		H											113	4
											7::											ļi.																													
1.																	##				***	#		***		:				4	##		-			:::			##			÷									
		بذاذ			H										***	-									7.5								#	#										1		H		-			
	1				1							H	:::							14				:::	H						##	-	H	Щ		Щ		iii	::::				##	1	ii.	4				****	
			Щ		Щ						Щ											#					Ш			ifi								i.							ļi:		Щ				
1	ŧ::		11									Ш									:					鯔			Ш											Ш						Ш				::::	133
	<u>/ (</u>)C	Q																			H								:																					
																																															Ш				
	[[]																					ļ,:								:1:																					
	垣		::1		†);;;						1																			:::																					
		ii.	٦																																																
	Ĭ	i	Ĭ	*>	\leq						†						-		H																																
2	il:			***			<u></u>	ij						•							:::			:::															1				1								
		1::	+					\geq						:::				-					-		-																						-				
_		12	4			<u>;</u>	H			``.																								1-1				i:H					###					Щ			
5			-									``.	Ų							ij														7																Щ	
ទ						L						4		×					!:.				:::				Ш														Ш							Ш			
	88	10	3	:::			Ш	Ш								\mathbf{i}	×		Ш	ш			!!!		:::			:::								Ш		!!!				Ш	Ш			ļi.			Ш		
																																		::::					Eii							<u>liii</u>					
3.													::1		liji			tiii														121																			
			9																	ii.																															
																										!::::																									盟
			÷													ili										<u>:::</u>				1												::			::::						#
1	1				::::												11								::::																		***						:::		#
ł	#		#				#							***		#						H.								1::	***		:::		H						-		##								
	#		#																			:::		::[#												₩		##					::::	#	
			ا				Ш	Щ													Ш	ш	<u> </u>	<u>::[</u>			1	ш				Щ										₩	Ш								
																								∷	2																										
		×																			Ш									1						= !!												: IK			

BEFORE EXAMINER NUTTER
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
____EXHIBIT NO. ____
CASE NO. ______161

PHONE EXPUISS 3-3813

611 GRIMES

P. O. DOX 1820

HOBBS, NEW MEXICO

POGER C. HANKS, LTD.

WELL: ZAPATA STATE, NO. 1

FIELD: HOPTH MESCALERO CISCO

CHPONOLOGICAL PRESSURE DATA

			ELAPSE	D .				вир в
			TIME		PRODUCTION	SURFACE	PPESSURE	
	STATUS OF WELL	TIME	HRS. M	IN.	80/D	TRG.	CSA.	8001, 66
	OPEN-PULLED				•			
6-21	TUBING, KOBE PUMP							- *
	E ON BOTTOM W/BOMB	2 1 . 20 . 414				·•		1000
	& SHUT IN SHUT IN	11:30 AM	1 (0 0	<u>-</u>	0	_	1242 1242
	ShO! IN	12:30 PM 1:30	_	00	_	n		1242
	n.	2:30		0.0	-	ñ		1242
	11	3:30		00		ő		1242
	11 .	4:30		0.0		0	_	1242
	1. (c) 11. (c)	5:30	6	0 0	 +1	0	, - -	1242
	ff	6:30		0.0	-	0	~	1242
	11	7:30		0 0	-	0	•••	1242
2 0.	u u	8:30		0.0	•	0		1242
e de la composition della comp		9:30		0.0	-	0,	-	1242
	to the state of th	10:30		00	-	0		1242
c ^^	11	11:30		0 0 0 0	•••	. 0		1242 1242
6-22		12:30 AM 1:30		00	<u>-</u>	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1242
\$	Tr.	2:30		30		ő	- · · · - ·	1242
) 3	11	3:30		ão	_	ő	-	1242
	er e	4.30		0.0	•	C		1243
	tt	5:30		00		0	• -	1245
S.	ff	6:30	19 0	0 (0 .	-	1246
291(11	7:30		30	*** *	0	-	1248
	11	8:30		0,0	· -	0	and the second	1248
		9:30		0	_	0	· -	1248
		10:30		00		0	→	1251 1252
		11:30 12:30 PM		00		0	·	1254
ŀ	11	1:30 PM		0		n e	_	1255
	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	2:30		0		0		1256
	51	3:30		10	-	Ô	-	1257
	, tr	4:30		00	· ••	0	~	1257
js r	u e u	5:30		0.0	2	0	•	1257
	H .	6:30	31 0	0		0	· —	1257
	n,	7:30	32 0	0	· - ·	0	-	1,257.
	$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}$	8:30	33 0	0	-	0	_	1259
i	u u	9:30		0	~	0	.	1259
	11	10:30		0		0	· · ·	1259
	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11:30) N	-	0	-	1259
5-23	11	12:30 AM		0.0		0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1260 1262
		1:30		0	-	0	- -	1262
		2:30 3:30		00	. -	0	_	1265
	u.	5;30 4:30		0	- 	0		1266
Q.		5:30		0		ŏ	<u>.</u>	1268
	**	6:30		0	A Company	n	<u> </u>	1269
ŭi.	PULLED BOMB	7:30		0		0		1271
	& RUN STATIC		4. 4				nananan Ngjar	
	GRADIENT	4			A	er er til en jo Grand		4 · .
			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				No. of the North	

WEIL ECONOMICS NORTH MESCALERO (CISCO) FIELD

Calculation of Gross Income for an Average Well with an $87.5\ \%$ Working Interest

Ultimate Field Recovery, STB	73,000
Number of Wells	2
Average Ultimate Recovery per Well, STB	36,500
Oil Price, \$/STB	3.16
Gross Revenue, \$	96,360
Economic Life, Years	2
Operating Costs @ \$1500/month	36,900
Total Net Revenue, \$	60,360
Development Cost, \$	205,000
Net Profit (Loss), \$	(144,640)



BAILEY, SIPES, WILLIAMSON & RUNYAN, INC. 1100 V & J TOWER MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701 L. D. SIPES, JR., P.E./zt JULY 7, 1969

CLARENCE E. HINKLE
W E. BONDURANT, JR.
8 & CHRISTY IV
LEWIS C. COX, JR.
PAUL W. EATON, JR.
CONRAD E. COFFIELD
HAROLD L. HENSLEY, JR.
STUART D. SHAHOR
C. D. MARTIN
PAIL J. KELLE, JR.

LAW OFFICES
HINKLE, BONDURANT & CHRISTY

600 HINKLE BUILDING

ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO 88201

June 13, 1969

MIDLAND TEXAS OFFICE

521 MIDLAND TOWER

(91914) 3-4601

COLUMN TEXAS OFFICE

TELEPHOYE (505) 622-6510

Case 4/6/

Oil Conservation Commission Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Gentlemen:

We enclose herewith in triplicate application of Roger C. Hanks for special pool rules for the North Mescalero-Cisco Fool in Lea County. I gave Dan Nutter the information with respect to this application and it is my understanding that he is going to include the same on the examiner's hearing for July 9.

Yours sincerely,

HINKLE, BONDURANT & CHRISTY

By Clarence E. Neikle

CEH:cs Enc.

DOCKET MAKED

Date 6-25-69

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

APPLICATION OF ROGER C. HANKS
FOR SPECIAL POOL RULES FOR THE
NORTH MESCALERO-CISCO POOL, LEA
COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, INCLUDING A
PROVISION FOR 160 ACRE SPACING
AND PRORATION UNITS AND THE ASSIGNMENT OF 80 ACRE ALLOWABLES.

Case 4/6/

F-

co rd.

Oil Conservation Commission Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Comes Roger C. Hanks, of Midland, Texas, acting by and through the undersigned attorneys, and hereby makes application for the promulgation of special pool rules for the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including a provision for 160 acre spacing and proration units and the assignment of 80 acre allowables, and in support thereof respectfully shows:

- 1. That applicant has heretofore completed a discovery well located in the NEXNEX Section 10, Township 10 South, Range 32 East, N.M.P.M. in the Cisco formation and the Oil Conservation Commission on December 1, 1968, by Order R-3562, designated the NEX Section 10, Township 10 South, Range 32 East as constituting the "North Mescalero-Cisco Pool".
- 2. That since the completion of the initial test well applicant has completed a second well within less than one mile from the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool, which well is located in the NE\(^1\)SE\(^1\) Section 10, Township 10 South, Range 32 East, N.M.P.M. There is attached hereto, made a part hereof, and for purposes of identification marked Exhibit "A", a plat showing the location of the wells referred to above.
- 3. That from the information available applicant believes that one well will effectively and efficiently drain 160 acres or more and that it is not economically feasible to drill wells on

either 40 acre or 80 acre units. It will prevent the drilling of unnecessary wells and be in the interest of the prevention of economic waste to adopt special pool rules establishing 160 acre spacing and proration units and applicant believes that it is advisable to provide for 80 acre allowables.

- 4. That applicant believes that the establishment of special pool rules along the lines above indicated for the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool will be in the interest of conservation and the prevention of waste and will tend to protect correlative rights.
- 5. Applicant requests that this matter be set down for hearing at the examiner's hearing to be held on July 9, 1969.

Respectfully submitted,

ROGER C. HANKS

Member of the Firm of

HINKLE, BONDURANT & CHRISTY

Attorneys for Applicant

Box 10

Roswell, New Mexico

		4		MERCHANICA FARRA SAFE									
	1 !	1 (100 (a)) 1 (30 (a))			Tom Gran Corpe Corpe Feet 10 41	ATTE OF THE STATE	frungt	ोंग रेडिड हैं। - महाम - १९६८ हैं। - से	- 1 - 1 - r -	13 et a 13 et a 151 dengen 161 dengen	Charat free	0 11/10 . (.)	
	بر ع د	4 mr (162 12-12-67-61 867621	()	Compage	Partition (24,	Same I	19 564 60 664 60 664 60 7 7 8	54 Sinciale (1) 03:424 (2) 68:4	Postalia.	1 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/	21 - 1400 31 • 17 (36) 1403 - 1	17.
in the second	US Creek	Washington Comments of the Com	America	Ameroda discosti	Sept. C	Representation	G Shell	missis se	County Style .			Salation & R	· 1.
-	C. C.	27 An to a	A STATE OF THE STA	26	Yanger L Warg	18.8 seed	Saria Asian Constitution of Sarian Constitution	30 30 533	Change 2	Tr IA	Could Striet Tr 25 egg Menson skegel	Congression S	
A Company of the Comp	Cried Service	100061		1 020302 27 Galaina	8 Love . Est	S LES M. Guffin	TO THE STATE OF TH	Visit of State	Constitute of 23 Constitute o	Control of	Scender with	1027	- 11
ST LEE	12 . 4 . 67	100	Service -	Hemple # 1 - 72 - 542 (21) \$	7 - 10 - 77 1 - 105 35 25	1 20 77	inne (31	11 17 20	i forese Tr 21	Control Trive	fee or	
	C. E water eta S C Conser, eta Venyer	A VOLUMENT VI	Amerada 12-1-62-21 161431	Amerada Belesin History History Property Marie	54.354°Cor 9 19.77 1.273	61 "Cife" 1 - Shell	inact Voc'h	US A1		Transport	Constat States	Coastal State	94.5
			1	Ning Hellsurges	Pan Amer.	Pan American Control of the Control		1919 1917 1919 1919 100 Amer. 100 - 77 10-61 10-22-52	CBE	pini, Paritu. Kad 17.	Vari (118) (114) Carrier (1454)	Anno amproper u Transport (1988) Transport Transport	
			Tidens er 2 · 21 · 77 Le 122 161 161 Te L. McCtellod'	I King Pascures L Listo	اروا	Pri Corp.etal 15: 77 : 275	ir one o	6 Sun		Coatlal Islates	6 Alling Cha	Sections (1,7
	Rolph Lone EDG- 4983		etoi Ottori Erind Bulistis Cabeen Englossis	Surrey	Thank do	1119 14-77 - 2 5 2 6 3 5	5 13 15 17 5 - 18 5 - 1	Sun. 31 54 104523 104523165 6-77	Slot C R Rec 5-16-3 K-535 1756	d 17	Allica 10 to 12 to	Chem	-
1	7 M RET 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		Pedford William	3	3 etly Po	15 - 25 15 - 29 15 - 20 15 - 20	onas Po	Amer. 18 - 18 - 25	All'ed	hemical 8- 77	Allian Chin	9'	-
4		Mil-Ne Wite-Ser (O	Cities Service 18 Service 18 Service 19 Service	(a): Kastussa kasu i 0; ee 188 ktobil	Second Second	Socony Midwest Libid 1 31 65 1 5 21	12 8441 /	Superior 7 : 1: 7: 0156929	state WineR.	un use	Stel Nine Ren Sconginst	Seem only	-
	0+1 Lea	Section 1	Cervice Cervice Color Co	A DA		i-min	69746 2 G 8, Hallmon 1 + 15 + 78 73 stee 3	I8	. 17	gorrant 17355	Mid	6	
<u>10</u>	<u>32 s.</u>	the Hillie	S1	99e 	K. 2333 14 4 <u>S</u> Slate	Signatural	iti car C	U.S. MI Nine Rch. Co. Rch. Co	Siele ! Nine R:	ILL n.Co MP Grace	857 339 544 Nine Fi		13/3
	k-15-70 k-150 uu	Cities Secules Trains OS Secules Secul	01 04 05-5114 05-5114 05-653	9	Sun 3-19-61 66-611	Mine Ren Co Mine Mine Mine Co	CI at 1 GB. HI	inest 3-71 silman - 72 Rch Co.	North North Savara	11 - 13 - 78 L - 1651 - 26 W	1 2 3 3 3 5 C 1 21 T 1		
)	McEirojf	2 104433, 2511	S. Harri	Shell Crey France Libit L. Co.	Midwest 4:18:77 6:658 14:27	Selection of the select	onn verd Sign	9	37 59 	Tex Fac State 1000 200 SAF	Migra 12 19 (2) 16 0\$1.63 (2) 22	°1. № \$УЛУ, s «м».	
	17/0		5,7.3	€) (100 kg/s) (100 kg	144 (4)		Centin	enta:	i , 1 - 31	79	C 8 3 5 6 7 65 157	 	
	Ricens 2	A Contract of the contract of	200 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	60% Statts 50% 577 6 \$257 17 55	20 314 24 3108 2 4to 1353 5cv 11516 043-11-61	5 State	0 30 kon. (133 kon. (133 kon. (133 kon.) (133 kon.) (133 kon.) (133 kon.) (133 kon.) (133 kon.) (134 kon.)	3. Res. H 20-77 H 442 13-92	29 Unia 7-18 1-18 55 \$4-44	,	03-10-10-1	Misser S Amplied of Con	
	\$ () () () () () () () () () (-14	51:	ite	State System System	C/17 C 0.H. Stell 1:	Nine R	Sa Bar	บาเลก	-	Umpa g	(H 2 66 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	7.7
	3 (8) (1) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8	Sun etal for the waters is at 12	16-0-31 -35		D.H.375'12	fyndamental 6 slate 6 slate	100 d 3 100 fe Continue 100 fe Continue 100 fe Continue		32 467 EY,		3	6 8 Road 10 7 25 3 725 75 125 75	
			Andrew Property	Sonia	81316 77	glogen (Specie	سبب مدسمه	10 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	Will have been been been been been been been be	VV (Tax)		•• •	
		Caprora Sci	one.	6 - 18 5 8 2 2 Cyclin (1) 5 Norther (1) 5 6 6 6 Norther (1) 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	edition of the second	ice for	HOSE		day or	15 g 12 63 20 12 63		1	
		. Swa	C.				•	(Ameraiga)	55 Kari	Latin to series to any		(Guri	1

Cas 4161

EXHIBIT "A"

GMH/esr

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

RECORDS CENTER

APPLICATION

APPLICATION OF ROGER C. HANKS FOR SPECIAL POOL RULES, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. CASE No. 4161

Order No. R-3798

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on <u>July 9</u>, 1969, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner <u>Daniel S. Nutter</u>.

NOW, on this <u>day of July</u>, 1969, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

- (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That the applicant, Roger C. Hanks, seeks the promulgation of special rules and regulations for the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including a provision for

(4) That the production history of the third well in the pool, applicant's well completed in April, 1968, is insufficient

(3) That the evidence presented at the hearing disclosed experienced a very rapid decline in production which would indicate that the pool reserves are either extremely limited or the area of drainage is very small, or both.

(2) That the applicant, Pennsoil Company, seeks the promulagetion of special pool rules for the South Corbin-Wolfcamp Oil Pool, Lea County, Mew Mexico, including a provision for 160-acre apacing and proration units.

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

C. HANKS for special rules for the NORTH MESCALERO-CISCO POOL.