

ATWOOD, MALONE & CAMPBELL
LAWYERS

JEFF D. ATWOOD
ROSS L. MALONE
JACK M. CAMPBELL
CHARLES F. MALONE

ROSWELL PETROLEUM BUILDING
ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO
AUGUST 22, 1953

AUG 24 1953

Mr. Bill Jacey
Chief Engineer
Oil Conservation Commission
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Bill:

Enclosed is a suggested form of Order in case No. 900. If you or George Graham have any suggestions in connection with it, I would appreciate it if you would call me collect. This was done rather hastily and I trust you will check it carefully.

With best wishes, I am,

Sincerely, yours,

For Mr. J. L. Malone

ENC/RLM
Enc.

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
P. O. BOX 871
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

August 3, 1953

Mr. Jack Campbell
Atwood, Malone and Campbell
Petroleum Building
Roswell, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Campbell:

The application you submitted in behalf of Blackwood & Nichols Company for establishment of proration and drilling units in certain tracts in Township 30 North, Range 7 West, has been set up as Case 566 and is scheduled to be heard by the Commission on August 20, 1953, at the regular hearing.

Proper advertisement has been made.

Very truly yours,

W. B. Macey
Chief Engineer

WBM:nr

C
O
P
Y

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

P. O. BOX 871

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

January 21, 1955

Greenbrier Oil Company
327 South Adams
FORT WORTH, TEXAS

Gentlemen:

As you requested, we are sending you basic information and material in three fairly representative cases brought before this Commission on the issue of compulsory communitization. The material includes the following:

Case 586: Application;
transcript of August 20, 1953, hearing;
copy of Order R-357.

Case 595: Application;
transcript of October 15, 1953, hearing;
copy of Order R-396.

Case 596: Application;
transcript of October 15, 1953, hearing;
copy of Order R-398.

I will greatly appreciate your returning this when you have completed your study, as these documents are from our permanent files.

Very truly yours,

L. B. MACHY
Secretary-Director

WBM:mr

Encl.

C
O
P
Y

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING
CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION
COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW
MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CON-
SIDERING:

CASE NO. 566
ORDER NO. R-357

THE APPLICATION OF BLACKWOOD AND
NICHOLS COMPANY FOR AN ORDER POOLING
PROPERTIES IN THE W/2 OF SECTION 17,
E/2 OF SECTION 18, E/2 OF SECTION 19,
AND W/2 OF SECTION 20 IN TOWNSHIP
30 NORTH, RANGE 7 WEST, INTO FOUR
SINGLE DRILLING AND PRORATION UNITS
CONTAINING 320 ACRES EACH.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This matter came on regularly for hearing on August 20, 1953
at 9:00 A. M. at Santa Fe, New Mexico before the Oil Conservation Commission
of New Mexico, hereinafter called the "Commission".

NOW, on this 28th day of August, 1953, the Commission having
considered the testimony adduced and exhibits received at said hearing, and
being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law,
the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That applicant, together with others, is the owner of the en-
tire working interest under oil and gas leases covering the E/2 of Section 18
and E/2 of Section 19, Township 30 North, Range 7 West, San Juan and Rio
Arriba Counties, New Mexico.

(3) That applicant, together with others, is the owner of the
working interest under oil and gas leases covering the W/2 of Section 17
and the W/2 of Section 20, Township 30 North, Range 7 West, Rio Arriba
County, New Mexico, except Lots 6 and 7 in Section 17 and Lots 1 and 2 in
Section 20 of said Township and Range.

(4) That all of said above described property is situated within
the Blanco (Mesa Verde) pool as designated by the Commission,

(5) By its Order No. R-110 the Commission established a uniform
spacing plan for the Blanco (Mesa Verde) pool. Such plan provides for
drilling units of not less than 320 acres of land in the shape of a rectangle,
wells to be located 330 feet from the center of either the NE/4 or SW/4 of
each section.

(6) By its Order No. R-110, the Commission established proration
units of 320 acres each for the Blanco (Mesa Verde) pool.

(7) All owners of the working interest and all owners of the royalty interests underlying the tracts described in Sections 18 and 19, Township 30 North, Range 7 West, have agreed to the pooling of their properties into drilling and proration units in conformity with Order No. R-110, except owners of certain royalty interests underlying patented tracts in the E/2 of Section 18 and E/2 of Section 19 of said Township and Range, who have refused to pool said royalty interests.

(8) All owners of the working interests except owners of Lots 6 and 7 in Section 17 and Lots 1 and 2 in Section 20 and all owners of the royalty interests underlying patented tracts in the W/2 of Section 17 and the W/2 of Section 20 have agreed to the pooling of their properties into drilling and proration units in conformity with Order No. R-110. Owners who have not pooled said interests voluntarily have refused to do so.

(9) Unless the application is granted applicant will be deprived of its opportunity to recover its just and equitable share of the oil or gas, or both, in and under the tracts here involved by reason of a uniform spacing plan heretofore adopted by the Commission

(10) No persons affected by said Order who have refused to voluntarily pool their tracts have appeared.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That in accordance with Section 13 (c) of Chapter 168, Laws of 1949, the following described properties are hereby pooled to constitute four separate drilling and proration units in conformance with Order No. R-110 heretofore entered by this Commission:

1. W/2 Section 17, Township 30 North, Range 7 West.
2. E/2 Section 18, Township 30 North, Range 7 West.
3. E/2 Section 19, Township 30 North, Range 7 West.
4. W/2 Section 20, Township 30 North, Range 7 West.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

E. L. Mechem
Edwin L. Mechem, Chairman

E. S. Walker
E. S. Walker, Member

R. R. Spurrier
R. R. Spurrier, Secretary

S E A L

~~Answer~~

(72 N. W. 1/4)

SA

613 E. 1st St. P. O. Box 1000

≡

SAUL A. YAGEL

MARIAH YAGEL

ME. GRIMP - z

W. 12th St. P. O. Box



7

21/11/17


Case 566

ATWOOD, MALONE & CAMPBELL
LAWYERS

JEFF D. ATWOOD
ROSS L. MALONE
JACK M. CAMPBELL
CHARLES F. MALONE

ROSWELL PETROLEUM BUILDING
ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO

July 28, 1953



Mr. R. R. Spurrier
Oil Conservation Commission
Box 871
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Spurrier:

Enclosed please find original and one copy of the application of Blackwood & Nichols Company for the establishment of drilling and proration units in Sections 17, 18, 19 and 20, Township 30 North, Range 7 West in the Northeast Blanco Pool.

I would appreciate it if these could be processed for the hearing at the August 20th meeting of the Commission.

Very truly yours,

Jack M. Campbell
for ATWOOD, MALONE & CAMPBELL

JMC:jm
Enc.

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
P. O. BOX 871
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

August 3, 1953

C
O
P
Y

Mr. Jack Campbell
Atwood, Malone and Campbell
Petroleum Building
ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO

Dear Sir:

A copy of the attached notice in Case 566 has been sent on this date, via registered mail, to the designated individuals whose addresses you furnished in behalf of your client, Blackwood & Nichols Company.

In addition, proper advertisement of the case has been made in publications in Santa Fe, San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico.

Very truly yours,

George Graham, Attorney

GG:mr

the following: (1) the 1/2 of Section 10
into a single drilling and production unit; pooling all property interests
in the 1/2 of Section 11 into a single drilling and production unit;
pooling all property interests in the 1/2 of Section 12 into a single
drilling and production unit; and the pooling of all property interests
in the 1/2 of Section 22 into a single drilling and production unit, all
in Township 30 North, Range 7 West, County of Lincoln, Nebraska, for the purpose of enabling
applicant or others to comply with the federal oil and gas leasing and production
act requirements relating to the National Oil and Gas Lands Policy, 30 U.S.C.
and the National Oil and Gas Lands Policy, 30 U.S.C. Section 251-255.

APPROVED BY THE BOARD:

All members of the Board
have read and approved this
order and the pooling of the
interests in the 1/2 of Section 10,
the 1/2 of Section 11, the 1/2 of Section 12,
and the 1/2 of Section 22.

WITNESSED:

On the 10th day of the month of June, 1964, at Lincoln, Nebraska,
I, the undersigned, County Clerk of Lincoln County, Nebraska, do hereby
certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the order of the
Board of Commissioners of Lincoln County, Nebraska, as the same appears
in the minutes of the Board of Commissioners of Lincoln County, Nebraska,
for the month of June, 1964, and that the same is a true and correct
copy of the order of the Board of Commissioners of Lincoln County, Nebraska,
as the same appears in the minutes of the Board of Commissioners of Lincoln
County, Nebraska, for the month of June, 1964.

Witness my hand and the seal of the County of Lincoln, Nebraska, this
10th day of June, 1964.

County Clerk of Lincoln County, Nebraska

Attorney at Law

Attorney at Law

Attorney at Law

Attorney at Law

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

P. O. BOX 871

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

September 9, 1953

C
O
P
Y

Mr. Jack Campbell
Atwood, Malone and Campbell
Petroleum Building
ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO

Dear Mr. Campbell:

We enclose two officially signed copies of Order R-566 issued
in Case 566 for transmittal to your client in the case, Black-
wood and Nichols Company.

Very truly yours,

WBM:mr

W. B. Macey
Chief Engineer

Case 566

Roswell, New Mexico

July 27, 1953

TO: NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

COMES NOW, Blackwood and Nichols Company, Applicant,
and states:

1. (a) Applicant, together with Nelson and Edward Morris, Ltd., Stanolind Oil and Gas Company, and A. M. Lloyd, is the owner of the entire working interest under oil and gas leases covering the E $\frac{1}{2}$ of Section 18 and E $\frac{1}{2}$ of Section 19, Township 30 North, Range 7 West, San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico, situated within the Blanco (Mesa Verde) Pool.

(b) By its Order No. R-110 this Commission, in Section 1 (a), 1 (b) and 1 (c) established a uniform spacing plan for the Blanco (Mesa Verde) Pool, such plan providing for drilling units of not less than 320 acres of land in the shape of a rectangle, wells to be located 330 feet from the center of either the Northeast or Southwest quarter of each Section.

(c) By Section 3 of said Order R-110, proration units of 320 acres were established.

(d) By Section 3 (a) of said Order R-110 and by Section 13 (c) of Chapter 168, Laws of 1949, this Commission is authorized to require pooling of properties or parts thereof under the enforcement of a uniform spacing plan or proration units.

(e) All owners of the working interest and all owners of the royalty interest underlying the tracts in Sections 18 and 19 have agreed to the pooling of their properties into drilling and proration units in conformity with Order R-110, except owners of certain royalty interests underlying approximately

95 acres in the E $\frac{1}{2}$ of Section 18 and 25 acres in the E $\frac{1}{2}$ of Section 19.

(f) Unless this Commission requires the pooling of all interests underlying these tracts, applicant will be deprived of the opportunity of recovering his just and equitable share of the oil or gas, or both, in the pool.

WHEREFORE, Applicant requests the Commission to enter its order pooling properties in the E $\frac{1}{2}$ of Section 18, Township 30 North, Range 7 West into a single drilling and proration unit and pooling properties in the E $\frac{1}{2}$ of Section 19, Township 30 North, Range 7 West into a single drilling and proration unit.

2. (a) Applicant, together with Phillips Petroleum Company, Nelson and Edward Morris, Ltd., Stanolind Oil and Gas Company and A. M. Lloyd, is the owner of the working interest under oil and gas leases covering the W $\frac{1}{2}$ of Section 17 and the W $\frac{1}{2}$ of Section 20, Township 30 North, Range 7 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico except Lots 6 and 7 in Section 17 and Lots 1 and 2 of Section 20.

(b) By its Order No. R-110 this Commission, in Section 1 (a), 1 (b) and 1 (c) established a uniform spacing plan for the Blanco (Mesa Verde) Pool, such plan providing for drilling units of not less than 320 acres of land in the shape of a rectangle, wells to be located 330 feet from the center of either the Northeast or Southwest quarter of each Section.

(c) By Section 3 of said Order R-110, proration units of 320 acres were established.

(d) By Section 3 (a) of said Order R-110 and by Section 13 (c) of Chapter 168, Laws of 1949, this Commission is authorized to require pooling of properties or parts thereof under

the enforcement of a uniform spacing plan or proration units.

(e) All owners of the working interest, except owners of Lots 6 and 7 in Section 17 and Lots 1 and 2 in Section 20, and all owners of the royalty interest, except owners of approximately 25 acres in the $W\frac{1}{2}$ of Section 17 and approximately 25 acres in the $W\frac{1}{2}$ of Section 20, have agreed to the pooling of their properties into drilling and proration units in conformity with Order R-110.

(f) Unless this Commission requires the pooling of all interests underlying these tracts, Applicant will be deprived of the opportunity of recovering his just and equitable share of the oil or gas, or both, in the pool.

WHEREFORE, Applicant requests the Commission to enter its order pooling properties in the $W\frac{1}{2}$ of Section 17, Township 30 North, Range 7 West, into a single drilling and proration unit and pooling properties in the $W\frac{1}{2}$ of Section 20, Township 30 North, Range 7 West into a single drilling and proration unit.

BLACKWOOD AND NICHOLS COMPANY

By


Jack M. Campbell

for ATWOOD, MALONE & CAMPBELL

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
STATE OF NEW MEXICO

CASE 566: In the matter of the application of Blackwood & Nichols Company for an order pooling all property interests in the E/2 of Section 19 into a single drilling and proration unit; pooling all property interests in the E/2 of Section 18 into a single drilling and proration unit; pooling all property interests in the W/2 of Section 17 into a single drilling and proration unit; and the pooling of all property interests in the W/2 of Section 20 into a single drilling and proration unit, all in Township 30 North, Range 7 West, NMPM, for the purpose of enabling applicant and others to comply with the uniform spacing and proration unit requirements established for the Blanco-Mesaverde Pool, San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico, by Commission Order No. R-110.

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING


August 20, 1953

BEFORE: Honorable Ed. L. Mechem, Governor
Honorable E. S. Walker, Land Commissioner
Honorable R. R. Spurrier

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF LOS ALAMOS)

I hereby certify that the within transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Commission is a true record of the same to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

TRANSCRIBED at Los Alamos, New Mexico this 2nd day of September, 1953.


Audrey M. Henriksen
Notary Public

My commission expires September 22, 1955.

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Regular Hearing

9:00 a.m., August 20, 1953

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm Jack Campbell of Roswell, New Mexico appearing for Blackwood & Nichols, the applicant in Case No. 566.

I would like to make an opening statement to the Commission with reference to this case. The application here is brought under Section 13-C of the Conservation Act, which provides that if the pooling of properties is not agreed upon, it may be required by the Commission where under a uniform spacing plan already adopted by the Commission, any owner of the property within that area who would otherwise be deprived of its right to recover its fair share of the oil and gas.

The area involved here is four 320-acre drilling units in San Juan County in the Blanco-Mezaverde gas pool. The area here is within the exterior boundaries of the Blanco unit agreement, but this application does not contemplate and no effort is being made to require anyone to commit their acreage, royalty or working interest to the unit agreement and if the application is granted, accounting would have to be handled in such a manner that the owners of these interests would - - which are pooled under the spacing plan, would recover the same amount of oil as they would in the absence of the unit agreement.

It is our position that the owners of the acreage within this

four 320-acre drilling units who have joined the unit agreement and have voluntarily pooled their acreage and we are seeking here to get the Commission to pool the acreage which has not been committed to the unit, but since it is pooled into 320-acre drilling units and not be required to be committed to the unit agreement itself.

I have two witnesses - Mr. McAfee and Mr. Foster Morrell - will you swear them please?

K. E. McAfee.

having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. CAMPBELL:

Q. What is your name, please?

A. K. E. McAfee.

Q. Where do you live?

A. Oklahoma.

Q. Do you have some position with Blackwood & Nichols?

A. I am the oil conservation supervisor.

Q. In that position, are you acquainted with the application of Blackwood & Nichols in Case No. 566?

A. I am.

Q. Have you become acquainted with the ownership of working interests and royalty interests within the four 320-acre tracts involved in this application?

A. I have.

four 320-acre drilling units who have joined the unit agreement and have voluntarily pooled their acreage and we are seeking here to get the Commission to pool the acreage which has not been committed to the unit, but since it is pooled into 320-acre drilling units and not be required to be committed to the unit agreement itself.

I have two witnesses - Mr. McAfee and Mr. Foster Morrell - will you swear them please?

K. E. McAfee,

having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. CAMPBELL:

Q. What is your name, please?

A. K. E. McAfee.

Q. Where do you live?

A. Oklahoma.

Q. Do you have some position with Blackwood & Nichols?

A. I am the oil conservation supervisor.

Q. In that position, are you acquainted with the application of Blackwood & Nichols in Case No. 556?

A. I am.

Q. Have you become acquainted with the ownership of working interests and royalty interests within the four 320-acre tracts involved in this application?

A. I have.

Q. Would you state what proportion of the working interest in the W/2 of Section 17, the E/2 of Section 18, E/2 of Section 19 and the W/2 of Section 20 have been committed to the Blanco unit agreement?

A. Well, the working interests have all been committed except a small tract owned by T. H. McElvain which includes Lots 1 and 2 in the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 20 and Lots 6, 7, and 8 -- no, 6 and 7 of Section 17. I believe in all, that is about 52.12 acres in Section 20 and about 46.52 acres in 17 that have not been committed to the unit.

Q. And can you state what proportion the royalty interests have not been committed to the unit within these four tracts?

A. Well, the McElvain leases are federal leases and of course, federal royalties are committed. But there is some land that the working interests are committed so I assume that the royalty under the McElvain lease is not committed and then there are tracts 63 and 65 which is -- tract 63 is 120 acres and tract 65 is 40 acres. The royalty owners of those two tracts which comprise 160 acres have not been committed.

Q. Does Blackwood & Nichols own a portion of the working interests in what is shown as Tract -- strike that question. I will hand you what has been marked as Exhibit A in Case 566 and ask you to -- if you have examined that?

A. Yes, I have.

Q. What is that, Mr. McAfee?

A. That is an ownership plat of the tracts described in the application.

Q. Referring to that ownership tract, the tract which you have referred to is shown on that Exhibit A as tract 45?

A. Yes.

Q. And that is the tract on which the royalty interests have not been committed to the unit agreement?

A. That's right.

Q. Does Blackwood and Nichols own a portion of the working interest under that tract?

A. They do.

Q. What area is covered by the lease itself?

A. There are two leases, as a matter of fact. What is the north 120 acres, shown as tract 45, is covered by one lease. According to the original survey was the W/2 of the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ and the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 18. The south 40 acres of tract 45 is covered by another lease and under the original survey and as patented that was the north east northeast of Section 19. Now the resurvey quit that so that the 520 acres lies partly in Section 18 and partly in Section 17 and the south 40 acres partly in Section 19 and partly in Section 20, as indicated in this exhibit.

Q. When do these lease expire during the absence of production?

A. They are supposed to expire September 1st, 1953 unless the -- production is being conducted.

Q. In your position as general counsel for Blackwood & Nichols, have you made an effort to obtain a voluntary pooling agreement with the royalty owners under these two leases?

A. I personally have never been able to discuss this with Mr. McKivain -- Michaels, I believe it is and I had several conferences with three -- at one time they agreed to this voluntary commitment but later told me that Mr. Michael did not agree to sign so they have been requested.

Q. Mr. McAfee, if the Commission pools the acreage here involved, is it your -- do you agree under your lease to compensate the royalty owner in the same manner as he would be compensated in the absence of any unit agreement in this area?

A. Certainly. That is our expectation, if it becomes part of a pool unit, we will pay the royalty to the -- on the well in the same manner as if a unit agreement were not present.

MR. SPURRIER: Are there any other questions of this witness? If not, the witness may be excused.

FOSTER MORRELL,

having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. CAMPBELL:

Q. Will you state your name, please?

A. Foster Morrell.

Q. Where do you live?

A. Roswell, New Mexico.

Q. What is your position?

A. I am now, at present, a petroleum consultant.

Q. In connection with your work as petroleum consultant, have you had occasion to become acquainted with the ownership of acreage in the area involved in Case No. 566 before this Commission?

A. I have.

Q. How did you have occasion to become acquainted with this?

A. At the request of Blackwood & Nichols, to assist them in connection with the northeast Blanco unit. And further, through the preparation of a map of the acreage involved in the present application.

Q. I hand you what has been identified as Exhibit A, in Case No. 566, and ask you to say if you prepared that map?

A. I prepared this plat.

Q. What does it reflect?

A. It reflects the ownership of lands located within the four 320-acre proposed pooling field.

MR. CAMPBELL: We would like to offer Exhibit A in evidence in this case.

MR. SPURRIER: Without objection, it will be admitted.

Q. Now, Mr. Morrell, I hand you what has been marked Exhibit B in Case 566 and ask you to state what that is?

A. Exhibit B in Case 566 is a certified copy of the original survey of the General Land Office, Township 30 North, Range 7 West.

Q. And when was that original survey made?

A. The survey was made in 1882.

Q. Mr. Morrell, with respect to the study of the ownership within that area, do you know whether patents were issued in the area involved in this case on the basis of the description in the original survey?

A. To my personal knowledge, some patents were issued on the basis of the original survey.

Q. Do you know whether a patent covering tract 45 on Exhibit A was issued in -- by description on the original survey?

A. ~~XXXXXX~~ It was.

Q. Do you know whether the oil and gas leases now covering tract 45, which are part of the subject of this hearing are described by the patent description?

A. They are.

Q. I now hand you what has been marked Exhibit C in Case 566 and ask you to state what that is?

A. Exhibit C in Case 566 is a photostatic copy of the independent re-survey made by the General Land Office of Township 30 North, Range 7 West.

Q. What was the date of that re-survey?

A. The re-survey was completed during 1940.

Q. Referring to Exhibit C there, what was the effect of the re-survey upon the patent of Tract 45 involved here in this case?

A. The effect of the re-survey was to cause what was originally described as the W/2 of the SE of 18 and the SE/4SE/4 of 18 and the

NE/4NE/4 of Section 19 to now be approximately 850 feet/^{east} of that original land description and approximately 100 feet south.

Q. You can probably illustrate that better by that exhibit.

A. Exhibit B in Case 566 is an enlarged photostat of Section 17, 18, 19 and 20. This enlargement more graphically demonstrates the shift in the land line as resulted from the independent re-survey. From the exhibit that you have, you will see on Tract 45 in the east corner there is a number and if you find Tract No. 2 in the extreme northwest portion of Tract 45, that point numbered 2 would originally have been the center of 18 and that demonstrates the east shift.

Q. In other words, Mr. Morrell, as I understand you, where this Tract 45 covered by these two leases which describes them in the original survey - shows them, the result has been that where those were once in two of the 320-acre units, they are now partly in four of the 320-acre units.

A. That is correct.

MR. CAMPBELL: I believe that's all.

MR. SPURRIER: Does anyone have a question of Mr. Morrell? If not, the witness may be excused.

MR. CAMPBELL: I would like to correct the record to this extent. A portion of the drilling units or these drilling spacing units are located in San Juan county and a portion in Rio Arriba county by virtue of being on one side of the river or the other.

I would like to explain to the Commission briefly what the change in the situation by the re-survey has done with reference to

these fee leases. As I said at the outset, the purpose here the Commission has already set up in its Case No. -- in its order No. B-110, spacing units of 320-acres in this particular field and in order to obtain all of the oil and gas to which we are entitled under these fee leases and still conform to the Commission's spacing pattern, which is the NE/4 and the SW/4 of each section in this field it is necessary for us to ask the Commission to pool this acreage.

The effect upon the royalty owner will be exactly the same as if the well were drilled on their acreage because they would get as royalty their proportionate part of the 320-acre production or 320-acre allowable if the area happened to be on gas proration.

I would like to have the record show also that Exhibits E, C and D were offered in evidence.

MR. SPURRIER: Without objection, it will be admitted. Does anyone else have a comment in this case?

MR. GRAHAM: Was there any response from those people?

MR. MORRELL: No. As I understand it, the Commission furnished the persons who had not committed their acreage to the voluntary pooling agreement or committed ^{it} to the unit agreement with registered notices with reference to this particular case and we have had no direct response from any of the persons whose acreage had not been committed.

MR. SMITH: J. K. Smith of Stanolind Oil and Gas Company. We would like to join with Blackwood & Nichols in its application for the requested order.

MR. SPURRIER: Anyone else?

MR. WALKER: Mr. Campbell, did any of the royalty owners who did not wish to enter into this unit agreement state any reason for their desire not to enter into it?

MR. CAMPBELL: Perhaps Mr. McAfee can answer that question, since he was contacting these people.

MR. McAFEE: There are four owners and each -- well, I don't think they have an undivided 1/4th each, but there are four people who own the royalty under this 160 acres. They expressed the opinion -- the three to whom I talked expressed the opinion that this order would be appropriate and they couldn't complain if it were issued but they did not want to join the unit because they felt like that with this order they'd get develop now, by joining the unit it would be three, four or five years before it would be fully developed and they would be getting their maximum checks. And that was the sole basis for declining to join the unit, as I understand it.

MR. GRAHAM: That's by reason of their early exploration?

MR. McAFEE: Yes, they have early exploration (expiration).

MR. SPURRIER: You are referring to the joining unit agreement?

MR. McAFEE: Yes, I'm talking --

MR. SPURRIER: The acreage within a 320 drilling unit?

MR. McAFEE: That's the reason for declining to join the unit, which is a 33,000 acre unit.

MR. MACEY: Did I understand you correctly to say that some of the working interest owners had not signed up?

MR. McAFEE: You will notice there outlined in green in Exhibit A, the portion of federal lease owned by Mr. McElvain that has not been committed to the unit agreement neither has it been voluntarily pooled by such agreement. I assume that the effect will be that if this order was issued and these wells were drilled in the W/2 of Section 20 in the SW/4 would be the orthodox location for the well under the spacing order in the field, that the working interests would participate in the production without having to share in the participation, and you would also of course be required to pay its proportionate share of the cost of the well.

If the cost of the well could not be agreed upon by the ones who drill the well and Mr. McElvain, the statutes then provide that the Commission will have to decide, - - what would be a fair cost for the well to be charged against the acreage. But in order to get his proportionate acreage proportion of the production, he bears his proportionate part of the cost of the well, but he still gets exactly what he would get under the present spacing order if this order were not entered.

MR. SPURRIER: Anyone else? If not, we will take the case under advisement and move on to case 567.

Case 566

Loswell, on order.

July 27, 1933

TO: NEW MEXICO OIL COMMISSION, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

COMES NOW, Blackwood and Nichols Company, Applicant,
and states:

1. (a) Applicant, together with Nelson and Edward Morris, Ltd., Standard Oil and Gas Company, and W. W. Lloyd, is the owner of the entire working interest under oil and gas leases covering the $\frac{3}{4}$ of Section 18 and $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 19, Township 30 North, Range 7 West, San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico, situated within the Blanco (Mesa Verde) Pool.

(b) By its Order No. 1-110 this Commission, in Section 1 (a), 1 (b) and 1 (c) established a uniform spacing plan for the Blanco (Mesa Verde) Pool, such plan providing for drilling units of not less than 320 acres of land in the shape of a rectangle, wells to be located 330 feet from the center of either the Northeast or Southwest quarter of each section.

(c) By Section 3 of said Order 1-110, proration units of 320 acres were established.

(d) By Section 3 (a) of said Order 1-110 and by Section 13 (c) of Chapter 168, Laws of 1929, this Commission is authorized to require production of proration units for the enforcement of a uniform spacing plan for the Blanco (Mesa Verde) Pool.

(e) All owners of the working interest and all owners of the royalty interest in the Blanco (Mesa Verde) Pool have agreed to the production of proration units for drilling as provided in said Order 1-110, except owners of certain royalty interests valuing approximately

37916377I

379! 6377I

WHEREFORE, Applicant requests the permission to enter its order pooling properties in the $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 18, Township 30 North, Range 7 West into a single drilling and production unit and pooling properties in the $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 19, Township 30 North, Range 7 West into a single drilling and production unit.

(b) By its Order No. 4-110 this Commission, in Section 1 (a), 1 (b) and 1 (c) established a uniform spacing plan for the land (and water) area, and plan provided for drilling units of not less than 320 acres of land in the shape of a rectangle, wells to be located 150 feet from the corner of either the northern or southern quarter of each section.

Section 15 (c) of Chapter 1, Act No. 10, which is amended to authorize the required provision reported as page number four.

(c) All owners of the working interest, except owners of lots 6 and 7 in Section 17 and lots 1 and 2 in Section 20, and all owners of the royalty interest, except owners of approximately 25 acres in the $\frac{1}{2}$ of Section 17 and approximately 25 acres in the $\frac{1}{2}$ of Section 20, have agreed to the pooling of their properties into drilling and proration units in conformity with Order 3-110.

(f) Unless this Commission requires the pooling of all interests underlying these tracts, Applicant will be deprived of the opportunity of recovering his just and equitable share of the oil or gas, or both, in the pool.

WHEREFORE, Applicant requests the Commission to enter its order pooling properties in the $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 17, Township 30 North, Range 7 West, into a single drilling and production unit and pooling properties in the $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 29, Township 30 North, Range 7 West into a single drilling and production unit.

DEVELOPMENT OF A NEW COPY

by Jack M. Campbell
Jack M. Campbell

Doc. 1000, ADP 20.1.101.

379! 6377I

Post Office Department
OFFICIAL BUSINESS



Return to OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Street and Number,
or Post Office Box,
Box 871

REGISTERED ARTICLE


No. 1067

Post Office SANTA FE N.M.

INSURED PARCEL

No. _____ State _____

Post Office Department
OFFICIAL BUSINESS



Return to OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Street and Number,
or Post Office Box,
Box 871

REGISTERED ARTICLE

No. 1068

Post Office SANTA FE N.M.

INSURED PARCEL

No. _____ State _____

Post Office Department
OFFICIAL BUSINESS



Return to OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Street and Number,
or Post Office Box,
Box 871

REGISTERED ARTICLE

No. 29669

INSURED PARCEL

No. _____ State _____

Post Office Department
OFFICIAL BUSINESS



Return to OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Street and Number,
or Post Office Box,
Box 871

REGISTERED ARTICLE

No. 1069

Post Office SANTA FE N.M.

INSURED PARCEL

No. _____ State _____

Post Office Department
OFFICIAL BUSINESS



Return to OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Street and Number,
or Post Office Box,
Box 871

REGISTERED ARTICLE

No. 29670

INSURED PARCEL

No. _____ State _____

Post Office Department
OFFICIAL BUSINESS



Return to OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Street and Number,
or Post Office Box,
Box 871

REGISTERED ARTICLE

No. 1071

INSURED PARCEL

No. _____

Post Office SANTA FE N.M.

State _____

Received from the Postmaster the Registered or Insured Article, the number of which appears on the face of this Card.

1 771. 2744

2 Admiral
(Signature of addressee's agent—Agent should enter addressee's name on line ONE above)

Date of delivery 8/4/53

RETURN RECEIPT

Received from the Postmaster the Registered or Insured Article, the number of which appears on the face of this Card.

1 771. 2744

2 Admiral
(Signature of addressee's agent—Agent should enter addressee's name on line ONE above)

Date of delivery 8-5-53 1953

RETURN RECEIPT

Received from the Postmaster the Registered or Insured Article, the number of which appears on the face of this Card.

1 771. 2744

2 Admiral
(Signature of addressee's agent—Agent should enter addressee's name on line ONE above)

Date of delivery 8-5-53 1953

Received from the Postmaster the Registered or Insured Article, the number of which appears on the face of this Card.

1 771. 2744

2 Admiral
(Signature of addressee's agent—Agent should enter addressee's name on line ONE above)

Date of delivery 8-5-53 1953

RETURN RECEIPT

Received from the Postmaster the Registered or Insured Article, the number of which appears on the face of this Card.

1 771. 2744

2 Admiral
(Signature of addressee's agent—Agent should enter addressee's name on line ONE above)

Date of delivery 8-5-53 1953

RETURN RECEIPT

Received from the Postmaster the Registered or Insured Article, the number of which appears on the face of this Card.

1 771. 2744

2 Admiral
(Signature of addressee's agent—Agent should enter addressee's name on line ONE above)

Date of delivery 8-5-53 1953

RETURN RECEIPT

Received from the Postmaster the Registered or Insured Article, the number of which appears on the face of this Card.

1 _____
(Signature or name of addressee)

2 _____
(Signature of addressee's agent—Agent should enter addressee's name on line ONE above)

Date of delivery _____ 1953

Form 3806-S (Rev. 2-27)

Receipt for Registered Article No. 1

Fee paid 30 cents. Class postage 1

Declared value 16 Surcharge paid, \$


Return Receipt fee 7 Spl. Del'y fee

Delivery restricted to addressee:

In person or order Fee paid
Accepting employee will place his initials in space
indicating restricted delivery.

NOTICE TO SENDER—Enter below name and address of addressee as an identification. Preserve and submit
this receipt in case of inquiry or application for indemnity.

7th T.H. 7th & 1st
(Name of addressee) (P. O. and State of address)



Form 3806-S (Rev. 2-27)

Receipt for Registered Article No. 1008

Fee paid 32 cents. Class postage 1

Declared value 16 Surcharge paid, \$


Return Receipt fee 7 Spl. Del'y fee

Delivery restricted to addressee:

In person or order Fee paid
Accepting employee will place his initials in space
indicating restricted delivery.

NOTICE TO SENDER—Enter below name and address of addressee as an identification. Preserve and submit
this receipt in case of inquiry or application for indemnity.

Marion Yager
(Name of addressee) (P. O. and State of address)



Form 3806-S (Rev. 2-27)

Receipt for Registered Article No. 1009

Fee paid 30 cents. Class postage 1

Declared value 16 Surcharge paid, \$

Return Receipt fee 7 Spl. Del'y fee

Delivery restricted to addressee:

In person or order Fee paid
Accepting employee will place his initials in space
indicating restricted delivery.

NOTICE TO SENDER—Enter below name and address of addressee as an identification. Preserve and submit
this receipt in case of inquiry or application for indemnity.

Marion Yager
(Name of addressee) (P. O. and State of address)



Form 3806-S (Rev. 2-27)

Receipt for Registered Article No. 1010

Fee paid 30 cents. Class postage 1

Declared value 16 Surcharge paid, \$


Return Receipt fee 7 Spl. Del'y fee

Delivery restricted to addressee:

In person or order Fee paid
Accepting employee will place his initials in space
indicating restricted delivery.

NOTICE TO SENDER—Enter below name and address of addressee as an identification. Preserve and submit
this receipt in case of inquiry or application for indemnity.

Marion Yager
(Name of addressee) (P. O. and State of address)



Form 3806-S (Rev. 2-27)

Receipt for Registered Article No. 1011

Fee paid 32 cents. Class postage 1

Declared value 16 Surcharge paid, \$


Return Receipt fee 7 Spl. Del'y fee

Delivery restricted to addressee:

In person or order Fee paid
Accepting employee will place his initials in space
indicating restricted delivery.

NOTICE TO SENDER—Enter below name and address of addressee as an identification. Preserve and submit
this receipt in case of inquiry or application for indemnity.

Marion Yager
(Name of addressee) (P. O. and State of address)



Registered Mail—Fees for indemnity limited to:

No indemnity	30¢	\$100	85¢	\$500	\$1.35
5	40¢	200	95¢	700	1.45
25	55¢	300	\$1.05	800	1.55
50	65¢	400	1.15	900	1.65
75	75¢	500	1.25	1,000	1.75

(Fees subject to change—Consult postmaster)

The fee on domestic registered matter without intrinsic value and for which indemnity is not paid is 30 cents. A fee of not less than 55 cents must be paid on registered matter valued in excess of \$25.

Domestic registered mail is subject to surcharges when the declared value exceeds the maximum indemnity covered by the registry fee paid. Fees on domestic registered C. O. D. mail range from 80 cents to \$2.10. Indemnity claims must be filed within 1 year (C. O. D., 6 months) from date of mailing.

Consult postmaster as to the registry fees chargeable on registered parcel post packages for foreign countries.

e7-16-19433-6

Registered Mail—Fees for indemnity limited to:

No indemnity	30¢	\$100	85¢	\$500	\$1.35
5	40¢	200	95¢	700	1.45
25	55¢	300	\$1.05	800	1.55
50	65¢	400	1.15	900	1.65
75	75¢	500	1.25	1,000	1.75

(Fees subject to change—Consult postmaster)

The fee on domestic registered matter without intrinsic value and for which indemnity is not paid is 30 cents. A fee of not less than 55 cents must be paid on registered matter valued in excess of \$25.

Domestic registered mail is subject to surcharges when the declared value exceeds the maximum indemnity covered by the registry fee paid. Fees on domestic registered C. O. D. mail range from 80 cents to \$2.10. Indemnity claims must be filed within 1 year (C. O. D., 6 months) from date of mailing.

Consult postmaster as to the registry fees chargeable on registered parcel post packages for foreign countries.

e7-16-19433-6

Registered Mail—Fees for indemnity limited to:

No indemnity	30¢	\$100	85¢	\$500	\$1.35
5	40¢	200	95¢	700	1.45
25	55¢	300	\$1.05	800	1.55
50	65¢	400	1.15	900	1.65
75	75¢	500	1.25	1,000	1.75

(Fees subject to change—Consult postmaster)

The fee on domestic registered matter without intrinsic value and for which indemnity is not paid is 30 cents. A fee of not less than 55 cents must be paid on registered matter valued in excess of \$25.

Domestic registered mail is subject to surcharges when the declared value exceeds the maximum indemnity covered by the registry fee paid. Fees on domestic registered C. O. D. mail range from 80 cents to \$2.10. Indemnity claims must be filed within 1 year (C. O. D., 6 months) from date of mailing.

Consult postmaster as to the registry fees chargeable on registered parcel post packages for foreign countries.

e7-16-19433-6

Registered Mail—Fees for indemnity limited to:

No indemnity	30¢	\$100	85¢	\$500	\$1.35
5	40¢	200	95¢	700	1.45
25	55¢	300	\$1.05	800	1.55
50	65¢	400	1.15	900	1.65
75	75¢	500	1.25	1,000	1.75

(Fees subject to change—Consult postmaster)

The fee on domestic registered matter without intrinsic value and for which indemnity is not paid is 30 cents. A fee of not less than 55 cents must be paid on registered matter valued in excess of \$25.

Domestic registered mail is subject to surcharges when the declared value exceeds the maximum indemnity covered by the registry fee paid. Fees on domestic registered C. O. D. mail range from 80 cents to \$2.10. Indemnity claims must be filed within 1 year (C. O. D., 6 months) from date of mailing.

Consult postmaster as to the registry fees chargeable on registered parcel post packages for foreign countries.

e7-16-19433-6

Registered Mail—Fees for indemnity limited to:

No indemnity	30¢	\$100	85¢	\$500	\$1.35
5	40¢	200	95¢	700	1.45
25	55¢	300	\$1.05	800	1.55
50	65¢	400	1.15	900	1.65
75	75¢	500	1.25	1,000	1.75

(Fees subject to change—Consult postmaster)

The fee on domestic registered matter without intrinsic value and for which indemnity is not paid is 30 cents. A fee of not less than 55 cents must be paid on registered matter valued in excess of \$25.

Domestic registered mail is subject to surcharges when the declared value exceeds the maximum indemnity covered by the registry fee paid. Fees on domestic registered C. O. D. mail range from 80 cents to \$2.10. Indemnity claims must be filed within 1 year (C. O. D., 6 months) from date of mailing.

Consult postmaster as to the registry fees chargeable on registered parcel post packages for foreign countries.

e7-16-19433-6