-ase Mo. 791 Replication, Transcript, Smill Exhibits, Etc. andard proration

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION P. O. BOX 871 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

January 17, 1955

Amerada Petroleum Corporation Dremer D Monument, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. D. C. Cappe

Gentlement

We attach a copy of Order R-573 issued under date of January 13; 1955, in Case 797.

Very truly yours,

W. B. Macey Secretary - Director

WEMINT

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 797 Order No. R-573

THE APPLICATION OF AMERADA PETROLEUM CORPORATION FOR AN ORDER GRANTING APPROVAL OF AN EXCEPTION PURSUANT TO RULE 5 (a) (EUMONT) OF ORDER NO. R-520 IN ESTABLISHMENT OF A NON-STANDARD GAS PRORATION UNIT OF 240 CONTIGUOUS ACRES CONSISTING OF THE E/2 NE/4 OF SECTION 11, AND THE W/2 W/2 OF SECTION 12, TOWN-SHIP 20 SOUTH, RANGE 36 EAST, NMPM, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, IN THE EUMONT GAS POOL.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on December 16, 1954, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before the Gil Conservation Commission, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission".

NOW, on this $\underline{/3}^{2^n}$ day of January, 1955, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the records and the testimony adduced at said hearing, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due notice of the time and place of hearing and the purpose thereof having been given as required by law. the Commission has jurisdiction of this case and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That pursuant to the provisions of Rule 5 (a) of Order No. R-520, the Commission has authority to permit the formation of a gas proration unit consisting of other than a legal section after notice and hearing by the Commission.

(3) That the applicant, Amerada Petroleum Corporation, is the owner of oil and gas lease or leases in Lea County, New Mexico, covering the land concerned herein which comprises other than a legal section, described as follows:

> TOWNSHIP 20 SOUTH, RANGE 36 EAST E/2 NE/4 of Section 11 W/2 W/2 of Section 12

containing 240 acres more or less.

-2-Case No. 797 Order No. R-573

(4) That applicant, Amerada Petroleum Corporation, has a producing gas well on the aforesaid lease or leases known as Amerada Petroleum Corporation Andrews No. 1, located 660 feet from the north line and 660 feet from the west line of Section 12, Township 20 South, Range 36 Fast, NMPM, Lea County, New Maxico.

(5) That said well was completed and on production prior to August 12, 1954, the effective date of Order No. R-520, and is located within the limits of the pool heretofore delineated and designated as the Furnont Gas Pool.

(6) That the royalty interest in the lease or leases covering the proposed proration unit is of common ownership.

(7) That the entire non-standard proration unit as berein proposed may be assumed to be productive of gas from the Eumont Gas Pool.

(8) That it is impractical to pool applicant's leases with adjoining acreage in the W/2 of Section 12 and the NE/4 of Section 11, Township 20 South, Range 36 East, and that there have been no objections to the formation of the proposed 240-acre non-standard proration unit.

(9) That unless a proration unit consisting of applicant's aforesaid acreage is permitted, applicant will be deprived of the full use and value of the lease or leases herein described and the well located thereon.

(10) That the creation of the proration unit herein described is in the interests of conservation and will protect correlative rights.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the application of Amerada Petroleum Corporation for the approval of an non-standard provation unit in the Eumont Gas Pool be and the same is hereby approved, and a provation unit consisting of the following described acreage is hereby created:

> TOWNSHIP 20 SOUTH, RANGE 36 EAST Section 11: E/2 NE/4 Section 12: W/2 W/2

(2) That applicant's well, Amerada Petroleum Corporation, Andrews No. 1, located in the NW/4 NW/4 of Section 12, Township 20 South, Range 36 East, NMPM, shall be granted an allowable in the proportion that the above described 240-acre proration unit bears to the standard or orthodox proration unit for the Eumont Gas Pool, all until further order of the Commission.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico on the day and year hereinabove



State of New Mexico Ull Conservation Commission hu 7 Lu Jøhn F. Simms, Chairman E. S. Walker, Member W Bmace W. B. Macey, Member and Secretary



	BEFORE THE	
	(Dil Conservation Commission Santa Fe, New Mexico	
IN THE MATTER OF:	}	
70	7	
CASE NO	<u></u> J	
-		
	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
	INANGCRITT OF TROCELDINGS	
、		
	ADA DEARNLEY AND ASSOCIATES	
	COURT REPORTERS ROOMS 105, 106, 107 EL CORTEZ BUILDING	
	TELEPHONE 7-9546 Albuquerque, New Mexico	

.

December 16, 1954	and an and a state of the state
IN THE MATTER OF: Application of Amerada Petroleum Corporation for approval of a 240-acre non-standard gas prora unit in the Eumont Gas Pool: E/2 NE/4 of Section and W/2 W/2 of Section 12, in Township 20 South, Range 36 East.	No. 797
BEFORE:	
Mr. E. S. (Johnny) Walker Mr. William B. Macey	
TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING (See case No. 796)	
R. S. CHRISTIE,	
called as a witness, having been previously duly s 796, and having testified in said case, further te	worn in Case No stified as foll
DIRECT EXAMINATION	
By: MR. WOODWARD:	
Q Mr. Christie, will you describe the non-	- -standard prorat
unit proposed by Amerada in Case 797.	
A A non-standard proration unit in 797 inc	ludes the west
half of the west half of Section 12, Township 20	South, Range 36
East and the east half of the northeast quarter of	of Section 11, i
Township 20 South, Range 36 East.	
Q Is all the acreage in this proposed unit	contiguous?
A Yes, it is.	
Q Is it under the same leasehold and owner	ship?
A Yes, it is.	
Q Where is the unit well located?	
A The unit well is a gas well located in t	the northwest
ADA DEARNLEY & ASSOCIATES STENOTYPE REPORTERS ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO TELEPHONE 3-6691	

quarter of Section 12, Township 20 South, Range 36 East.

Q Was this well completed before the effective date of order 420?

A Yes, I believe it was.

Q What acreage is presently attributed to that well for allowable purposes?

A At the present time the west half of the west half of Section 12 is contributed to the unit.

Q You are then asking that the east half of the northeast quarter in Section 11 be added to this unit, that is an 80 acre tract across the section line, be added to the acreage presently attributed to this well?

A That is correct, yes, sir.

Q In your opinion will this affect the formation of other proration units in Section 11?

A No. I don't believe it will.

Q In your opinion is this 80 acre tract productive?

A Yes, it is.

Q Will the formation of this unit protect the correlative rights and prevent waste?

A In my opinion it will, yes, sir.

Q All offset operators in Sections 11 and 12 have been notified of this proposed unit?

A Yes, they have.

Q That is the extent of our direct examination.

MR. MACEY: You have an exhibit?

MR. WOODWARD: Yes, Amerada's Exhibit A in case 797.

MR. MACEY: Any objection to the introduction of Exhibit A

ADA DEARNLEY & ASSOCIATES STENOTYPE REPORTERS ALBUQUERQUE. NEW MEXICO TELEPHONE 3-6691

in Case 797? If not, the exhibit will be received. Any questions of the witness?

MR. RHODES: I have one.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By: MR. RHODES:

Q Mr. Christie, I note on the ownership map that the acreage immediately to the east, that would be the east half of the northwest quarter of Section 12 and also the west half of the east half of Section 12 is also owned by Amerada as your Bird lease, is it not? A That is correct, yes.

Q Is that acreage already dedicated to a gas well in the area? A No, it is not.

Q It is not?

Yes.

A

A No, we are planning at the present time to drill a well for that particular 320 acres.

MR. WOODWARD: Mr. Christie, what is the difference in the ownership in the proposed unit and the Bird lease?

A The west half is -- the east half of the southwest quarter is different royalty interest than the remainder of that Bird lease, it requires some unitization.

Q I see. You say the same unit all under the mineral ownership?

A The proposed unit is all government land, federal lease.

Q Federal unit? A Yes. Q Federal lease? A Yes. MR. RHODES: And the royalty ownership is identical?

> ADA DEARNLEY & ASSOCIATES STENOTYPE REPORTERS ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO TELEPHONE 3.6691

MR. MACEY: Are you through, Mr. Rhodes? MR. RHODES: Yes, sir. MR. MACEY: Any other questions of the witness? If not,

the witness may be excused.

(Witness excused.) MR. MACEY: Take the case under advisement. Proceed to the next case, No. 804.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO) ss.

I, MARGARET McCOSKEY, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission at Santa Fe, New Mexico, is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have affixed my hand and notarial seal this 23rd day of December, 1954.

Notary Pypolic-Court Reporter

My commission expires August 15, 1956.

> ADA DEARNLEY & ASSOCIATES stenotype reporters ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO TELEPHONE 3-6691

) .

۲ ۰

Berazas// 3 + 1 0 / • 2.. 1₩ 4. 4 . 5 . 5 . 4. 2. 1 5• 1.0 1. 5. 8• 3 • 2. Augus L USA 10.00 dar í a Gulf Cont. Sheri *** fme , ed t æ "E [~] 1. 1. 5 • 1. 70-14 / • "z "O" ----40 7. 3• 2. 2.0 R-14 - 13--Case 797 Eg A Amenad 1

pred

2.0 R

1.

Meroda

1.0

1

2.54

のないないでいったの

2 • • • •

16

. . . . 12 of NELL 12, 2 . **,** . : ' . is sent , in •ر،

1.4.1.1 ı

AMERADA PETROLEUM CORPORATION

U.S.A. "H.W. Andrews" Lease E/2 of NE/4 Sec. 11 and the W/2 Sec. 12, T206, R368 Lea County, New Mexico

Scale 1" - 2000'



2-0

ł

AMERADA PETROLEUM CORPORATION P. O. BOX 2040

TULBA 2. OKLAHOMA

Can iff

Drawer D Monument, New Mexico November 6, 1954

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission State of New Mexico Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

NALL CEFEDE CCC

M.N. 9:14

Re: Application for Exception to Rule 5 (a), Order No. R-520 for Amerada Andrews No. 1, Eumont Gas Pool.

Gentlemen:

By this letter of application Amerada Petroleum Corporation wishes to state the following:

- (a) That Amerada Petroleum Corporation Andrews No. 1 located 660 feet FNL and 660 feet FWL of Section 12, Township 20-S, Range 36-E, was recompleted as a gas well December 19, 1952.
- (b) That the subject well is producing gas from the Eumont Gas Pool and assigned a non-standard gas proration unit of 160 acres which comprises the W/2 of the W/2 of Section 12, Township 20-S, Range 36-E.
- (c) That the applicant proposes to increase the gas unit size from 160 to 240 acres by including the E/2 of the NE/4 of Section 11, Township 20-S, Range 36-E with the present 160 acre gas unit.
- (d) That the proposed non-standard gas proration unit consists of contiguous quarter-quarter sections.
- (e) That the proposed non-standard gas proration unit is a federal lease with one royalty interest.
- (f) That the proposed non-standard gas proration unit may reasonably be presumed to be productive of gas.
- (g) That the proposed non-standard gas proration unit does not exceed 5280 feet in length or width.
- (h) That by copy of this letter of application all offset operators are notified.

Oil Conservation Commission

Therefore, Amerada Petroleum Corporation requests that the Oil Conservation Commission set a certain day upon which this application may be heard and after said hearing to grant permission in order that applicant may increase the gas unit for the subject well as proposed

> Respectfully submitted, AMERADA PETROLEUM CORPORATION

By: N.C. Capps D. C. Capps District Superintendent

DCC/WGA/vh

cc: Sinclair Oil & Gas Company Box 1427 Hobbs, New Mexico

Continental Oil Company Box 427 Hobbs, New Mexico

Gulf Oil Corporation Box 1667 Hobbs, New Mexico

Sunray Oil Corporation V & J Tower Building Midland, Texas

Shell Oil Company Box 1957 Hobbs, New Mexico

The Texas Company 1001 McClintic Building Midland, Texas



۰. -

1

.