CASE 1768: Application of T. F. HODGE for rededication of acreage assigned 3 oil wells on Mary E. Wills Lease - Lea Co.

÷.

Case No 1768 Replication, Transcript, Smill Exhibits Etc.

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW LEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE MEANING CALLED BY THE DIE CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PERPORT OF CONSERVED FOR

> CASE N., 1768 Order No. R-1514

APPLICATION OF T. F. HODGE FOR THREE NON-STANDARD OIL PROBATION UNITS AND ONE UNOTIDADED OF AREL LOCATION OF THE JAC AT GAS FROM, LEA COUNCY, NEW REALTON

MARACE THE COLLISSION

BY THE COLL ISST Z:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 s'clock a.m. on September 35, 1959, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, Sefere Daniel 5. Nutter, Examiner Suly appointed by the Gil Conservation Commission of New Fexico, Bereinafter referred to as the "Commission," is accordance with Kule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

Now, on this $-\frac{2}{4}$ day of october, 1959, the Commission, a quorum soing present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Cxaminer, Daniel 5. Mutter, and using fully advised in the premises.

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Chemission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, T. F. Houge, is the owner and operator of Lots 1, 2, 3, and 4 in Section 33, Township 26 South, Range 37 East, M.P., Les County, New Dexico.

(3) That the applicant propuses the establishment of three 40-acre non-standard oil proration units in the Jalmat Gas Pool which together comprise a portion of the above-described acreage and which are more particularly described below:

> (a) Proposed provation unit no. 1 consists of the following-described 40-acre tract: Beginning at the Southwest corner of said Section 33; thence North 1000 feet; thence East 1505 feet; thence bouth 1000 feet; thence West 1595 feet back to the point of beginning.

-2-Case So, 1760 Order No. 8-1514

24

G. Proposed promation unit no. 2 consists of the following-described 40-acre tract: Beginning at the southeast corner of proposed promation unit no. 1; thence North 1095 feet; thence Dast 1595 feet; thence bouth 1095 feet; thence dest 1595 feet back to the point of Leginning.

(c) Proposed (reration unit as 2 consists of the following-described 40-acre tract: Beginning at the Southeast corner of proposed protection unit no. 2; thence North 1095 feet; thence dast 1595 feet; thence South 1095 feet; thence dest 1595 feet back to the point of Leginning.

(4) That the applicant proposes to dedicate the abovedescribed proration units respectively to wells drilled at the following-described locations:

> (a) A well located 600 feet from the South line and 660 feet from the West line of said Section 33.

(b) a well located one fact from the could line and 1980 feet from the dest line of said Section 33.

(c) A well located 660 feet from the bouth line and 1960 feet from the bast line of said bection 38, which location is unorthouox if the proposed unit is approved.

(5) That since proposed protation unit no. 3 includes a dry-hole drilled to the same common source of supply for which the non-standard units are sought, the entire 40-acre tract proposed as the protation unit cannot reasonably be presumed to be productive of oil from the Jalmat Cas Pool.

(6) That proration unit no. 3 should be limited to a 35.7-acre tract described as follows:

Beginning at the Southeast corner of proposed proration unit no. 2; thence North 1095 feet; thence East 1420 feet; then bouth 1095 feet; thence dest 1420 feet back to the point of Deginning. -3-Case No. 1768 Order No. R-1314

Ť

12

(7) That approval of the subject application as modified by finding no. o will neither cause waste nor impair correlative rights.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That a 40-acre non-standard oil proration unit in the Jaluat Gas Pool, Low County, New Mexico, comprising the following-described acreage te and the same is hereby cotablished:

> Beginning at the Southwest corner of Section SS, Township 26 South, Range S7 East, Welk, Gee County, New Mexico; thence North 1095 feet; thence East 1595 feet; thence South 1095 feet; thence West 1095 feet back to the point of Deginning. Said unit is to be dedlcated to a Well located 660 feet from the South line and 660 feet from the West line of said Section 35.

(2) That a 40-acre non-standard oil proration unit in the Jalmat Gas Fool comprising the following-described acreage he and the same is hereby established:

> Beginning at the southeast corner of the proration unit described in paragraph 1; thence North 1095 feet; thence East 1595 feet; thence wouth 1095 feet; thence West 1595 feet back to the point of beginning. Said unit is to be dedicated to a well located 660 feet from the South line and 1980 feet from the West line of said Section C3.

(3) That a SS.7-scre non-standard oil proration unit in the Jalmat Gas Pool comprising the following-described acreage be and the same is hereby established:

> Beginning at the Southeast corner of the proration unit described in paragraph 2; thence North 1095 feet; thence East 1420 feet; thence south 1095 feet; thence West 1420 feet back to the point of beginning. Said unit is to be dedicated to a well located 660 feet from the south line and 1900 feet from the East line of said bection 35.

-4-Case No. 1766 Order No. R-1514

(4) That the allowalle assigned to the 35.7-acre non-standard bil proration unit described in the preceding paragraph shall bear the same ratio to a standard allowable in the same common source of supply as the acreage in the non-standard bil proration unit bears to the acreage in a standard bil proration unit in the same common source of supply.

(5) That the effective date of this order is November 1, 1959.

DAME at Santa Fe, New Dexico on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEA MEXICO CIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

LB JOHN BURROUGHS, Chairman

Mungar AURRAY C. GERGAN, Comber

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Lember & Secretary



lcr/

ALC: NOT ALC: NOT

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION P. O. BOX 871 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

€ 15

Mr. | Atwoo P. O. Roswo Dear On be two c Conse No. 1

et.

.

9÷

October 27, 1959

Mr. Kirk Newman Atwood & Malone P. O. Box 867 Roswell, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Newman :

On behalf of your client, T. F. Hodge, we enclose two copies of Order No. R-1514 issued by the Oil Conservation Commission on October 26, 1959 in Case No. 1768.

Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

ir/

Enclosures: (2)

No. 1:-59

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING SEPTEMBER 30, 1959

Oil Conservation Commission - 9 a.m., Mabry Hall, State Capitol, Santa Fe, New Mexico

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or A. L. Porter, Jr., Secretary-Director.

CONTINUED CASE

CASE 1739: Application of Shell Oil Company for approval of a unit agreempt. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order approving its Henshaw Deep Unit Agreement comprising 4824 acres, more or less, of Federal and State lands in Township 16 South: Ranges 30 and 31 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

NEN CASES

- CASE 1760: Application of The Atlantic Refining Company for an automatic custody transfer system and for permission to produce more than 16 wells into a common tank battery. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing it to install an automatic custody transfer system to handle the production from all Horseshoe-Gallup oil wells on its Navajo "B" Lease comprising certain acreage in Township 31 North, Range 16 West, San Juan County, New Moxico.
- CASE 1761: Application of Stanton Oil Company, Ltde, for a pilot water flood project. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing it to institute a pilot water flood project in the Tuckey Track Pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, by the injection of water into the Queen formation through four wells located in Section 34, Township 18 South, Range 29 East.
- CASE 1762: Application of Newmont Oil Company for an unorthodox water injection well location. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing it to reopen and utilize for water injection a well located on an unorthodox location at a point 1620 feet from the North line and 1020 feet from the West line of Section 32, Township 16 South, Range 31 East, Square Lake Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.
- CASE 1763: Application of Southwestern Hydrocarbon Company for an order abolishing the Sawyer-San Andres and South Sawyer-San Andres <u>Gil</u> Pools in Lea County, New Mexico, and creating the Sawyer-San Andres <u>Gas</u> Pool; or in the alternative for an order extending the horizontal limits of the South Sawyer-San Andres Oil Pool to include the NE/4 of Section 6, the N/2 of Section 5 and the NW/4 of Section 4, Township 10 South, Range 38 East, Lea County, New Mexico, and removing all gas-oil ratio limitations for wells in said pool; or in the alternative for an order combining the Sawyer-San Andres and the South Sawyer-San Andres Oil Pools, as well as the intervening acreage, and removing all gas-oil ratio limitations for such pool.
- CASE 1764: Application of Standard Oil Company of Texas for an unorthodox gas well location. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing an unorthodox gas well location in the Atoka-Pennsylvanina Gas Pool, at a point 1850 feet from the Scuth line and 1650 feet from the East line of Section. 14, Township 18 South, Range 26 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

Docket No. 33-59 -2-

CASE 1765: Application of The Ohio Oil Company for a salt water disposal well. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the disposal of produced salt water into the Lower San Andres formation through its State B-4286 "A" Well No. 2, located in Unit F, Section 2, Township 17 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico. The proposed injection interval is from 5725 feet to 5968 feet.

- CASE 1766: Application of Northwest Production Corporation for an oil-oil dual completion. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the dual completion of its "S" Well No. 16-2, located in the SW/4 SW/4 of Section 2, Township 24 North, Range 4 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to produce oil from an undesignated Gallup oil pool and to produce oil from an undesignated Dakota oil pool through parallel strings of tubing.
- CASE 1767: Application of El Paso Natural Gas Products Company for permission to produce more than 16 wells in a common tank battery. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the production of a maximum of 35 wells in the Horseshoe-Gallup Oil Pool into a common tank battery. Said wells are located on applicant's Horseshoe Ute Lease comprising portions of Sections 27, 28, 33 and 34, Township 31 North, Range 16 West, San Juan County, New Mexico.
- CASE 1768: Application of T. F. Hodge for the rededication of acreage assigned to three oil wells in the Jalmat Gas Pool. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order rededicating the acreage assigned to three oil wells on his Mary E. Wills Lease. Section 33, Township 26 South, Pange 37 East, Jalmat Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant proposes to dedicate 40 acres to each of the three wells, said 40-acre units r. : to comprise a quarterquarter section or legal subdivision.
- CASE 1769: Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for approval of a unit agreement. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order approving its Northeast Hogback Unit Agreement, comprising 10,572 acres, more or less, in Township 30 North, Range 16 West, San Juan County, New Mexico.
- CASE 1770: Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for approval of a lease automatic custody transfer system. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the automatic custody transfer of oil produced from its Lois Wengerd Lease in Sections 23 and 24, Township 12 South, Range 37 East, Gladiola-Devonian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 1771: Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for approval of a lease automatic custody transfer system. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the automatic custody transfer of cil produced from its USA Malco Refinery "F" Lease, Section 1, Township 18 South, Range 27 East, Empire-Abo Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.
- CASE 1772: Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for approval of an automatic custody transfer system for four state leases in the Employ-Abo Pool, Eddy County. New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order amending Order No. R-1292 to provide for automatic custody transfer of oil commingled thereunder.

- - -

Docket No. 33-59

CASE 1773: Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for approval of two automatic custody transfer systems for soven federal leases in the Empire-Abo Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order amending Order No. R-1399 to provide for automatic custody transfer of oil produced into the two commingled tank batteries authorized therein.

- CASE 1774: Application of Continental Oil Company for a non-standard gas unit. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the establishment of a 160-acre nonstandard gas unit in an undesignated Tubb gas pool consisting of the E/2 NW/4 and the W/2 NE/4 of Section 15, Township 20 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, said unit to be dedicated to the applicant's Britt B-15 No. 10 Well, located in the SW/4 NE/4 of said Section 15.
- CASE 1775: Application of Continental Oil Company for a non-standard gas unit. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the establishment of a 160-acre nonstandard gas unit in an undesignated Tubb gas pool consisting of the E/2 SE/4 of Section 15 and the W/2 SW/4 of Section 14, all in Township 20 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, said unit to be dedicated to the applicant's SEMU Well No. 70, located in the NW/4 SW/4 of said Section 15.
- CASE 1776: Application of Continental Oil Company for an exception to the overproduction shut-in provisions of Order R-520, as amended by Order R-967, for nine wells in the Jalmat Gas Pool. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order allowing the following-described wells in the Jalmat Gas Pool to compensate for their overproduced status without being completely shut-in in order to prevent possible waste: Ascarate D-24 Well No- 1, Unit J, Section 24, T-25-S, R-36-E, Danciger A-8 Well No- 2, Unit P, Section 8, T-23-S, R-36-E, Jack A-20 Well No- 4, Unit G, Section 20, T-24-S, R-37-E, Jack A-29 Well No- 3, Unit H, Section 29, T-24-S, R-37-E, Meyer A-29 Well No- 1, Unit 0, Section 29, T-22-S, R-36-E, Meyer B-28 Well No- 1, Unit E, Section 28, T-22-S, R-36-E, State A-32 Well No- 4, Unit F, Section 32, T-22-S, R-36-E, Stevens A-34 Well No- 1, Unit E, Section 34, T-23-S, R-36-E, Wells B-1 Well No- 1, Unit A, Section 1, T-25-S, R-36-E, all in Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 1777: Application of El Paso Natural Gas Company for an exception to the overproduction shut=in provisions of Order R-520, as amended by Order R-967, for two wells in the Jalmat Gas Pool. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order allowing its E. J. Wells Lease Well No. 13, Unit L, Section 5, and its Wells B-4 Lease Well No. 1, Unit D, Section 4, both in Township 25 South, Range 37 East, Jalmat Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to compensate for their overproduced status without being completely shut-in in order to prevent possible waste.
- CASE 1778: Application of Olsen Oils, Inc., for an exception to the overproduction shut-in provisions of Order R-520, as amended by Order R-967, for four wells in the Jalmat Gas Pool. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order allowing the following-described wells in the Jalmat Gas Pool to compensate for their overproduced status without being completely shut-in in order to prevent possible waste: Cooper B Well No. 2, NE/4 NW/4 of Section 14, T-24-S, R-36-E, Myers B Well No. 1, SE/4 NW/4 of Section 13, T-24-S, R-36-E, S. R. Cooper Well No. 1, SE/4 NE/4 of Section 23, T-24-S, R-36-E, Winningham Well No. 3, NE/4 SE/4 of Section 30, T-25-S, R-37-E, all in Log County, New Mexico.

Docket No. 33-39 -4-

CASE 1779:

Application of Jal Oil Company for an exception to the overproduction shutin provisions of Order R-520, as amended by Order R-967, for four wells in the Jalmat Gas Pool. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order allowing the following-described wells in the Jalmat Gas Pool to compensate for their overproduced status without being completely shut-in in order to prevent possible waste: Legal Well No. 2, NE/4 SE/4 of Section 21, Dyer Well No. 3, SE/4 NE/4 of Section 31, Jenkins Well No. 2, NE/4 SW/4 of Section 29, Ropollo Well No. 1, SW/4 NW/4 of Section 28;

all in Township 25 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

<u>CASE 1780</u>: Application of Husky Oil Company for an exception to the overproduction shut-in provisions of Order R-520, as amended by Order R-967, for one well in the Jalmat Gas Pool. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order allowing its Montecito Woolworth Well No. 2, Unit M, Section 33, Township 24 South, Range 37 East, Jalmat Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to compensate for its overproduced status without being completely shut-in in order to prevent possible waste.

CASE 1781: Application of Texaco, Inc. for permission to continue producing an overproduced Jalmat gas well at a lesser rate. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing it to produce its C. C. Fristoe (b) NCT-4 Well No. 2, Unit M, Section 31, Township 24 South, Range 37 East, Jalmat Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, at a maximum rate of 2500 MCF per month for lease use until over production has been compensated for.

ig/



STERN UNIOP TELEGRAM W. P. MARSHALL, PRESIDENT The filing time shown in the date line on domestic telegrams is STANDARD TIME at point of origin. Time of receipt is STANDARD TIME at point of destination LA168 DB328 HAIL OFFICE CCC

PM -05 1959 OCT 1 -4 D FWB674 PD=FORT WORTH TEX 1 427 PMC= DAN NUTTER= OFL CONSERVATION COMMISSION SANTA FE NMEX:

SYMBOLS

DL=Day Letter

1201

NL=Night Letter

LT=International Letter Telegrad

=DURING THE TESTIMONY SEPTEMBER 30TH OF CASE # 17 68 . APPLICATION OF T. F. HODGE FOR THE REDUCTION OF AGREAGE ASSIGNED TO THE THREE OIL WELLS IN THE JALMAT GAS POOL, T INADVERTENTLY STATED THE LEASE IN THIS CASE WAS A STATE LEASE. PLEASE CORRECT MY TESTIMONY TO SHOW IT IS A FEDERAL LEASE=

K K AMTNI==

<u>_:30 #1768 •</u>

THE COMPANY WILL APPRECIATE SUGGESTIONS FROM ITS PATRONS CONCERNING ITS SERVICE

T. F. HODGE DIL PRUDUCER MI3 CONTINENTAL BANK BUILDING FORT WORTH 2, TEXAS

Oil Conservation Commission Box 871 Santa Fe. New Mexico

> Ro: Application to Alter Provation Units, Exception to Rule 104, T. F. Hodge -Mary E. Wills Federal Lease, Sec. 33, <u>I-26-S, R-37-E, Lea County, New Mexico</u>

Gentlemen:

Attached you will find a plat showing the T. F. Hodge - Wills Federal Lease in Section 33, 1-26-S, R-37-E, Lea County. Operator has six producing wells on this lease.

Wells 3, 6, and 7 are set up on forty-acre units and are allocated an allowable accordingly. Wells 1, 2, and 4 are set up on thirty-three acre units and the allowable is penalized in accordance with the acreage shortage. The short units were necessarily created since the south half of the north half of section 33 contains only 132 acres.

Since Well No. 5, located in the southeast corner of the section, was a dry hole and since no more development is contemplated, Operator respectfully requests the units under Wells 1, 2, and 4 be rearranged to allow fortyacre units. Each unit can be extended eastward a distance sufficient to allow each well a forty-acre unit. The attached plat shows the proposed altered units in red.

Operator respectfully requests that this application be set for hearing at the earliest convenient date.

Yours very truly,

T. F. HODGE

Ling Gideon

Leroy Gideon

LG:d enclosure









R-37-E

١ T.F. HODGE WILLS-FEDERAL 292 Ac. 58 JAL-MAT FIELD LEA CO. N.MEX. x 20 _ 3/2 1045×1420 = l 12 10 113560 11 507 Ĵ نور. نوبور 1,554,904 135.69 6 35 60 3 20 $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 430 1022 x 22 = 35.7 Alberto, 1095× 1595 = 40,09 43560 4600 52 80 0 00 46 2 0 10 à 5 Ō 1420 43,560 ţ 1, 533, 900 12/17 63,900 I T.

DEFORE THE CIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO SEPTEMBER 30, 1950. IN THE MATTER OF: PHONE CH 3-6691 Application of T. F. Hodge for the rededication of acreage assigned to three cil wells in the Jalmat Gas Fool. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order rededicating the screege CASE NC. assigned to three cil wells on his Mary E. Wills Lease, Section 33, Township 26 South, Range 37 1758East, Jalmat Gas Fool, Lee County, New Mexico. Applicant proposes to dedicate 40 acres to each of the three wells, said 40-apre units not to comprise a quarter-quarter section or letal subdivision. **BEFORE:** Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner. TRANSCRIFT OF PROCEEDINGS MR. NUTTER: Next case on the docket is 1768. MR. PAYNE: Case Number 1768. Application of T. F. Hodge for the rededication of acreage assigned to three oil wells in the Jalmat Gas Pool. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO MR. NEWMAN: Kirk Newman of Atwood and Malone, Roswell, New Mexico, appearing for the applicant. We have one witness. (Witness sworn.) 法出来法法 K. K. A M I N I, a witness, called by and on behalf of the Applicant, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.



DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. NEWMALL: State your name. à A My name is K. K. Amini, I'm a Petroleum Engineer with PHONE CH 3-6691 T. F. Hodge. Q Mr. Amini, have you previously testified before this Commission? A No, sir. Will you state briefly your educational qualifications? Q I'm a Fetroleum Engineer, I graduated from University A of Kansas, June 1959; I world for Sunray Cil Corporation until 1954, then with Delhi Oil for another year, and for the past four years I have been Petroleum Engineer and Managing Superintendent for T. F. Hodge. MR. NEWMAN: Are his qualifications accepted? MR. NUTTER: Yes, sir. Q. (By Mr. Newman) Would you refer to what has been marked as Applicant's Exhibit 1, and state what that exhibit is? NEW MEXICO This is a plat of Section 33, Township 26 South, A Range 37 East, and consists of 292 acres. **LBUQUERQUE**, <u>,</u> Does the entire section have only 292 acres? Is it a short section? A Yes, sir, small short section, 292 acres, and we have drilled, as of today, we have drilled seven wells on this lease, and we have three wells that have actually AC-acre units assigned



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

to it, and the other three, those three wells as are indicated, we are applying for a 40-acre unit for those three wells. What is the size in acres of a regular quarter-quarter section these wells are located on? Well, Numbers 1, 2 and 4, they are each 40-acre units. Å And the three wells on the lower tier are on how 23 1.4 many acre unit? All three of them are 40-acre units. A Those three are on 33-acre units, are they not? 0 Yes. This application is to enlarge those units to Ċ, 40-acres. Does your plat show all of the offset wells to this Q acreage, producing from this formation? Yes, sir, it does. A And the wells are producing from it? Q They are producing from the fates sand, which is Ą lenticular-wise sand lenses, which are virgin and they haven't been drained and completed in different sections, as indicated in ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO the cross section. Is it true that the south boundary line of this lease Q is the State of Texas? Yes, sir, it is. ĥ And who is the royalty owner, mimeral owner, under Q this reservoir? A The State of New Mexico.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

オ



PAGE

÷

2 Is the working interest ownership of the entire section there common?

A Yes, it is.

2 Would you refer to what bas been marked as the Applicant's Exhibit Number 2, the cross section, and state what that exhibit shows, and state which wells are included?

A This cross section is an East-West cross section --I mean, West to East, I'm sorry, through wells Number 1, Number 2, Number 6, Number 4, and Number 5 wells, it is more or less a schmatic cross section showing the producing permeable horizons encountered in these wells.

Q Would you state what the yellow markings are?

A These cross sections are made from a marker setting log, showing the norosity, or log send sections, and all of these sand sections are saturated with water, except those covered by the yellow streaks are water-bearing formation, and at the present time the Willis-Federal Number 1 produced above 3000, all the sand sections perforated in well Number 1. Well Number 2, 6 and 4 are producing from a sand section between intervals of 3250 to about 3270, that massive sand section; and Well Number 4 actually encounters the thickest zone that is encountered in this section, and after perforating the well, after treatment, the well flowed. We know that these wells are visual zones and disconnected from the Eastwise part of Jalmat Field in this field. Well Number 5, which up to date is a temperary standoned well, encountered the



CH 3-6691



same band, but only about three and a half to four feet is developed sand. We temporarily abandoned the well, we did not, you know, uppe in the well on Number 5, but more or less the remnant of that sand is present in that well, it actually does not show and it wasn't well developed.

2 Is the nature of the sand body encountered in your well Number 4, which offsets the well temporarily abandoned, such as you consider that the off in that area of that well would be drained, if the one that wasn't completed would be drained by Number 4?

A We certainly do, because this sand as indicated on the log, it has over 25.4 percent porosity, and it indicates that that well will drain more than 40-acres, that took no treatments, actually it was a dry well; recently we just fracked it.

Q Mr. Amini, our Exhibit Number 1, shows that we have offset wells on the Texas side of this pool, are those wells completed in the same formation?

A Yes, sir, well Number 12, 13, 14, are completed in that section.

Q And in your opinion, are they producing out of the same reservoir, same common source of supply?

A They definitely are, well Number 13 apparently is not a hundred fifty feet from our lease line.

 \mathcal{Q} On the basis of the short unit allowable for 33-acres assigned to this well, what is the approximate comparison of the



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. PHINE CH 3-6691
PHINE CH 3-6691PHINE CH 3-6691
PHINE C

PAGE Ó

producing rate from your wells, and the wells on the Texas side? A Wells on the Texas side are drilled on a 20-acre spacing bettern, and they are designated as wells in like field, and they are capacity allowable wells, and they produce 55 barrels a day for 50 days; they are prorated units, and they are only producing 33 barrels a day for 30 days, they are three or four times more.

MR. NUTTER: They produce 33 barrels a day?

A No, I'm sorry, they are provated, but on that basis, produce as 33-acre units.

MR. NUTTER: How much is your actual allowable on these wells 2, 3, and 4?

A Offhand, I couldn't say, but it is on the same ratio, 33/40 of the allowable.

2 (By Mr. Newman) Could your Number 5 well which is temporarily abandoned, be completed satisfactorily?

A Yes, it could, but I believe that as soon as, or later its production would be curtailed and dropped, and it wouldn't have been commercial. That is the reason that we chose not to run pipe on that well.

Q Is it your opinion that all of your acreage which will be allotted to, especially Number 4 -- do you consider that all of that acreage would be productive of oil, and if the application is granted on 40-acres, assigned to each well?

A To the beat of my knowledge, I definitely believe



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. phone ch 3-6131

it is productive, since well Number 5, besides sand alone, we got two other stringers that are in that Number 6, which is a good well, and I believe that probably most of the 40-acre unit assigned, Well Number 4 should be productive of oil.

Q and your opinion is that Well Number 4 drains the entire 40-acre unit as outlined in your Exhibit 1?

A Yes, sir.

Q Were these exhibits prepared by you, under your direction?

A It was prepared by myself, ycs, sir.
 MR. NEWMAN: That's all.
 MR. NUTTER: Any questions of Ur. Amini?

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NUTTER:

Q Mr. Amini, this well here in Texas, how far is that from the New Mexico line?

A Apparently it is less -- well, it could be 330, but when you drive by, it just looks a lot shorter than that to me; I think the plat is actually correct.

Q Is this plat drawn to scale? What fraction of an inch is that from the State line?

A I would say it was a hundred seventy-five feet.

Q Are they permitted to drill a location like this without a hearing, or was this location granted as a result of the detring?

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6631



 A No, they had a hearing on that, probably the surveyor has made a mistake, it should be 330 feet. Q These other wells are located 330 feet from the 3 line? A Yes, sir, definitely; more than 330. Q Who is the owner of that; ownerin Texas? A Dorbor Dunn drille! those wells. Q Now, Mr. Amini, if the Commission should authoriz these units that you have requested here, and they would have be described, how would you describe them? A The first one would be the Meat 40 acres of that ticular section, however, I think those are probably designate 1, 2, 5 and 4, West 40 acres, and then it would be the East 40 the West 80, and East 40 of the Woot 120, that is the way you it in Texas all the time; that is the way you describe it. Q You have no foctage description? A They are 1595 feet wide, calculated, actually. 						
Q These other wells are located 330 feet from the 3 line? A Yes, sir, definitely; more than 330.						
A Yes, sir, definitely; more than 330.						
A Yes, sir, definitely; more than 330.	cate					
Yes, sir, definitely; more than 330.Who is the owner of that; ownerin Texas?ADotter Dunn drilled those wells.QNow, Mr. Amini, if the Commission should authorizthese units that you have requested here, and they would havebe described, how would you describe them?AAThe first one would be the West 40 acres of thatticular section, however, I think those are probably designate1, 2, 3 and 4, West 40 acres, and then it would be the East 40the West 80, and East 40 of the West 120, that is the way youit in Texas all the time; that is the way you describe it.QYou have no footage description?						
Image: Second						
 A Doctor Dunn drilled those wells. Q Now, Mr. Amini, if the Commission should authoriz these units that you have requested here, and they would have be described, how would you describe them? A The first one would be the West 40 acres of that ticular section, however, I think those are probably designate 1, 2, 3 and 4, West 40 acres, and then it would be the East 40 the West 80, and East 40 of the West 120, that is the way you it in Texas all the time; that is the way you describe it. Q You have no footage description? 						
QNow, Mr. Amini, if the Commission should authorizthese units that you have requested here, and they would havebe described, how would you describe them?AThe first one would be the West 40 acres of thatticular section, however, J think those are probably designate1, 2, 3 and 4, West 40 acres, and then it would be the East 40the West 80, and East 40 of the West 120, that is the way youQYou have no footage description?						
NUTURAL Sector these units that you have requested here, and they would have be described, how would you describe them? A The first one would be the West 40 acres of that ticular section, however, I think those are probably designate 1, 2, 3 and 4, West 40 acres, and then it would be the East 40 the West 80, and East 40 of the West 120, that is the way you it in Texas all the time; that is the way you describe it. Q You have no footage description?	3					
 be described, how would you describe them? A The first one would be the West 40 acres of that ticular section, however, I think those are probably designate 1, 2, 3 and 4, West 40 acres, and then it would be the East 40 the West 80, and East 40 of the West 120, that is the way you it in Texas all the time; that is the way you describe it. Q You have no footage description? 	to					
A The first one would be the West 40 acres of that ticular section, however, I think those are probably designate 1, 2, 3 and 4, West 40 acres, and then it would be the East 40 the West 80, and East 40 of the West 120, that is the way you it in Texas all the time; that is the way you describe it. Q You have no footage description?	be described, how would you describe them?					
ticular section, however, I think those are probably designate 1, 2, 3 and 4, West 40 acres, and then it would be the East 40 the West 80, and East 40 of the West 120, that is the way you it in Texas all the time; that is the way you describe it. Q You have no footage description?	par-					
1, 2, 3 and 4, West 40 acres, and then it would be the East 40 the West 80, and East 40 of the West 120, that is the way you it in Texas all the time; that is the way you describe it. Q You have no footage description?	ticular section, however, I think those are probably designated					
the West 80, and East 40 of the West 120, that is the way you it in Texas all the time; that is the way you describe it. Q You have no footage description?	of					
it in Texas all the time; that is the way you describe it. Q You have no footage description?	do					
Q You have no footage description?						
A They are 1595 feet wide, calculated, actually.						
Q You mean from the West line of Section 33, to the						
Q You mean from the West line of Section 33, to the dotted line in between line number 1 and 2 is 1505 feet A Yes, sir.						
Y Adotted line in between line number 1 and 2 is 1505 feetAYes, sir.Q from that dotted line to the next dotted line?A1595 feet.						
Q from that dotted line to the next dotted line?						
A 1595 feet.						
<pre>4 Q From the next</pre>						
A 1595 feet.						
2 In other words, you have three lines outting ahea	d					

2 2

And the second



	and parellel	, and they are 1595 feet apart?			
	'n	Yes, sir, they are 1595 feet.			
	Q	And all the lines are 1595 feet long?			
	A	Yes, sir.			
	્ર	Now much actual show of oil did you have in the			
	Number 5 Wel	Ll, Mr. Amini?			
	Å	We had it was kind of shady, but we had three and			
	a half to fo	our feet of pay.			
	Q	Did you take a drill stem test on this?			
	A	No, sir, we did not.			
	Q	And the well did not produce any oil at all then?			
	A	No, we did not run pipe on this well; on the upper			
	section we had & feet, and & feet on the lower zone.				
	୍	How do you know you had any saturation on that?			
	٨	Well, we cored that too.			
	Q	You did core?			
	A	Yes, sir.			
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO	ହ	Do you have an analysis of that core?			
	A	That section was not analyzed; when it was, as I			
	understand it, it was shady.				
QUARQU	c c	You did not have the core analyzed?			
ALBU	A	We analyzed the upper sections.			
	Q	The two sections you analyzed, do they have any oil?			
	Å	Eight or nine millidarcies.			
		Any saturation?			

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

1



15 or 16 percent oil. Å Q On each of the two sections? Yes, sir. A MR. NUTTER: Any further questions of Mr. Amini? PHONE CH 1-6691 QUESTIONS BI MR. FAINE: What are you supposed to do with the acreage that is ્રે left here to the East of your unit, proposed unit for your Number 4 well? Well, that 12 acres that you are talking about --Å Is that what it is, 12 acres? ŵ Yes, sir. At this time, we are just going to leave A it idle until the time we flood, and we will probably drill another well. Do you have any present plans to drill a well on the Q East of your Number 6 well? Yes, we probably will drill it. A Will you drill it on the State line and dedicate 52 Q acres? NEW MEXICO Yes, sir. A MR. NUTTER: Any further questions of Mr. Amini? He ALBUQUERQU may be excused. Does anyone have any further witnesses to offer in 1768? Do you wish to offer your exhibits? MR. NEWMAN: We offer Exhibits 1 and 2. MR. NUTTER: Without objection, it will be entered in evidence. We will take the case under advisement.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.



STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

T, G. R. COMEALES, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Proceedings before the New Mexico Cil Conservation Commission was reported by me in stenctype and reduced to typewritten transcript by me and/or under my personal supervision, and that the same is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

35.

WITNESS my Hand and Seal, this, the 10th day of November, 1959, in the City of Albuquerque, County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico.

R. GONZALEZ, COURT Reporter.

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete ran if if the trospeaings in 1768 the T. Ι. 9-30 :5-54. neard of

Hann ... Examin**er** New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission



ALBUQUERQUE, HEW MEXICO



<u>X E C N I</u>

WITNESSPAGEK. K. AMINIDirect Examination by Mr. Newman2Cross Examination by Mr. Nutter7QUESTIONS by Mr. Payne10

		FOR		
NUMBER	EXHIBIT	IDENTIFICATION	OFFERED	ENTERED
App.1	Plat	2	10	10
# 2	Cross Section	L_{+}	10	10