CARE 1868: Application of SOUTHERN Der an exception to the "no-flare" prevision of Order R-1427. R

1

Caso Mo. 1852 Replication, Transcript, Smill Exhibits, Etc.



BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico January 13, 1960 IN THE MATTER OF: Application of Southern Union Gas Company for an exception to the "no-flare" provision of Order R-1427. Applicant, Case 1852 in the above-styled cause, seeks a 120day exception to the "no-flare" provision of Order R-1427 for its Whitley Well No. 1, located in the NW/4 of Section 17, Township 24 North, Range 9 West, Bisti-Lower Gallup Oil Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico. BEFORE: Honorable John Burroughs Mr. A. L. Porter Mr. Murray Morgan TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING MR. PAYNE: Application of Southern Union Gas Company for an exception to the "no-flare" provision of Order R-1427.

> MR. VERITY: George Verity appearing for the Applicant with Mr. A. S. Grénier of the Texas Bar. We should like to advise the Commission of the fact that as late as this morning at 8:30 A.M. we learned that the well that is involved in this application would not be hooked into the El Paso system because it falls within that category already testified about as not being economical to tie in. For this reason we would like to amend our

> > DEADNLEY - MEIER, & ASSOCIATES GENERAL LAW REPORTING ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO Phone Chopel 3-6691

application from one calling for temporary relief from the no-flare order to one calling for a permanent exception thereto. With that amendment I would like to call Mr. Wiediekehr to the stand.

MR. PAYNE: Mr. Verity, you are asking for administrative approval because the well is not economically feasible to connect?

MR. VERITY: We are asking for any kind of approval that this Commission seeks to give at this time. If you care to call that administrative rather than after hearing and notice, that's fine with us. We just want to get the exception.

MR. PAYNE: If the Commission granted you an administrative exception and put a six months' time limit on, would you have any objection?

MR. VERITY: Well, we have no objection. My suggestion would be that instead of doing it in that method, that a clause be placed in the order granting us an exception which provides that any time in the future it becomes economically feasible, that we be required upon motion by the Commission for show cause order and then we would hook it up, we would be very glad and we would be glad to have that clause inserted.

MR. PAYNE: All right.

MR. PORTER: Mr. Verity, the Commission attorney advises me that if Southern Union will give us a letter to the effect, or from El Paso to the effect that it is not economically

> DEARNLEY - MEIER & ASSOCIATES GENERAL LAW REPORTERS ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO Phone Chapel 3-6691

reasible to connect this well, that we can grant administrative approval and dispense with the case. MR. VERITY: Would you like testimony at this time to that effect? We'll give you the letter if you want it. MR. PAYNE: That's all we do need, Mr. Verity. MR. VERITY: Is the letter, very well. MR. PORTER: In that case, the Commission will dismiss Case 1852. MR. GRENIER: It may take us a day or two to get the letter to you. May we continue to operate during that period of time? MR. PORTER: Through the 15th. STATE OF NEW MEXICO SS COUNTY OF BERNALILLO) I, ADA DEARNLEY, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission at Santa Fe, New Mexico, is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have affixed my hand and notarial seal ability. this 35¹⁷ day of January, 1960. Jaa Dearney Notary Public-Court Reporter My commission expires: June 19, 1963. DEARNLEY - MEIER & ASSOCIATES GENERAL LAW REPORTERS ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO Phone Chapel 3-6691

1

 \mathbb{O}

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION P. O. BOX 871 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

January 19, 1960

5

Mr. George Verity 152 Petroleum Center Building Farmington, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Verity:

We enclose one copy of Order No. R-1580 in Case No. 1852 issued by the Oil Conservation Commission on January 18, 1960. A copy of this order is also being sent to Mr. Jameson in Dallas.

Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Secretary-Director

By: Ida Rodriguez

ir/

-

CEE Bittee Holdor

DEFORE THE OIL COMBRIVATION CONCLUSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE MEARING CALLED BY THE OIL GUNNERVATION GOMMINIZER OF MEN MERIOG FOR THE FURDORE OF COMMINERING:

> CASE No. 1852 Order No. 1-1500

APPLEONTION OF SOUTHERN UNION CAS CONSCRIPTION A 120-DAY INCREPTION TO THE "NO-FLARE" PROVISION OF ORDER NO. R-1427 FOR ONE WELL IN THE BISTI-LONER GALLUP OIL POOL, BAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW EXELCO

ORDER OF THE CONMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause cans on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on January 13, 1960, at Santa Fe, New Maxico, before the Oil Conservation Commission of New Maxico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission."

NOW, on this 18th day of January, 1960, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application and being fully advised in the premises,

FIEDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant seeks a 120-day exception to the "mo-flare" provision of Order No. R-1427 for one well in the Bisti-Lower Gallup Oil Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant requested that Case No. 1852 be dismissed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That Case No. 1852 be and the same is hereby dismissed.

Case No. 1852 Order No. 2-1580

---nr 1 and y **\$1** 27 New Marche ۵ ta 70. k Sai above designated.

EECO SENTE CO **60** 1 NTES TO 230

Bu Ø. - ANG 1

JOHN BURNO Chairman

MERRAY E. Mr NORGALI,

ėT.

1 Porter, Jr., N eretary



(株式市内には、株式市内市

¥ i Periodi

1.

vem/

No. 2-60

DOCKET: REGULAR HEARING JANUARY 13, 1960

Oil Conservation Commission 9 a.m., Mabry Hall, State Capitol, Santa Fe, NM

ALLOWABLE: (1) Consideration of the oil allowable for February, 1960

(2) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for February 1960 from six prorated pools in Lea County, New Mexico; also consideration of the allowable production of gas from seven prorated pools in San Juan, Rio Arriba and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico for February, 1960.

NEW CASES

- <u>CASE 1850</u>: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to consider amending Rule 303 of the Commission Rules and Regulations to provide an administrative procedure whereby the production from two or more separate common sources of supply may be commingled under certain conditions, particularly after separately metering or measuring the production from each of the said common sources of supply.
 - <u>CASE 1851</u>: Application of Skelly Oil Company for an exception to the "no-fle..." provision of Order R-1427. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks a 30-day exception to the "no-flare" provision of Order R-1427 for 24 wells in the Gallegos-Gallup Oil Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico.

CASE 1852: Application of Southern Union Gas Company for an exception to the "no-flare" provision of Order R-1427. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks a 120-day exception to the "no-flare" provision of Order R-1427 for its Whitley Well No. 1, located in the NW/4 of Section 17, Township 24 North, Range 9 West, Bisti-Lower Gallup Oil Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico.

- CASE 1853: Application of Standard Oil Company of Texas for an exception to the "no-flare" provision of Order R-1427. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks a 60-day exception to the "noflare" provision of Order R-1427 for 18 wells in the Bisti-Lower Gallup Oil Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico.
- CASE 1854: Application of Texaco Inc. for an exception to the "no-flure" provision of Order R-1427. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks a 60-day exception to the "no-flare" provision of Order R-1427 for three wells in the Bisti-Lower Gallup Oil Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico.

-2-Docket No. 2-60

<u>CASE 1855</u>: Application of Humble Oil & Refining Company for an exception to the "no-flare" provision of Order R-1427. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks a temporary exception to the "no-flare" provision of Order R-1427 for five wells in the Bisti-Lower Gallup Oil Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico.

CASE 1856: Application of Sun Oil Company for an exception to the "noflare" provision of Order R-1427. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks a 30-day exception to the "no-flare" provision of Order R-1427 for seven wells in the Bisti-Lower Gallup Oil Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico.

CASE 1857: Application of Kenneth Murchison for an exception to the "noflare" provision of Order R-1427. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks a temporary exception to the "no-flare" provision of Order R-1427 for two wells in the Bisti-Lower Gallup Oil Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico.

CASE 1858:Application of The British-American Oil Producing Company for
an exception to the "no-flare" provision of Order R-1427.
Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks a temporary
exception to the "no-flare" provision of Order R-1427 for
certain wells in the Bisti-Lower Gallup Oil Pool, San Juan
County, New Mexico.

CASE 1859: Application of Sunray Mid-Continent Oil Company for an exception to the "no-flare" provision of Order R-1427. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to the "no-flare" provision of Order R-1427 for one well in the Gellegos-Gallup Oil Pool and twelve wells in the Bisti-Lower Gallup Oil Pool, both in San Juan County, New Mexico.

CASE 1862: Application of Socony-Mobil Oil Company for an exception to the "no-flare" provision of Order R-1427. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks a temporary exception to the "noflare" provision of Order R-1427 for seven wells in the Bisti-Lower Gallup Oil Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico.

<u>CASE 1860</u>: Northwestern New Mexico nomenclature case calling for an order for the extension of existing pools in San Juan, Rio Arriba and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico:

> (a) Abolish the Huerfano-Dakota Pool for the purpose of joining pools producing from a common source of supply, to be known as the Angels Peak-Dakota Pool.

-3-Docket No. 2-60

1.0

- (b) Abolish the West Kutz-Dakota Fool for the purpose of joining pools producing from a common source of supply, to be known as the Angels Peak-Dakota Pool.
- (c) Extend the Aztec-Fruitland Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 20 Section 20:	NORTH,	RANGE 10	WEST	NMDM
Section 20:	S/2	10	ME91,	NMPM,

(d) Extend the Aztec-Pictured Cliffs Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 30 NORTH, RANGE 10 WEST, NMPM, Section 36: SE/4

(e) Extend the Ballard-Pictured Cliffs Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 23 NORTH, RANGE 5 WEST, NMPM, Section 6: W/2

TOWNSHIP 23 NORTH, RANGE 6 WEST, NMPM, Section 1: SE/4

(f) Extend the Blanco-Pictured Cliffs Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 29 NORTH, RANGE 9 WEST, NMPM, Section 6: NE/4

(g) Extend the South Blanco-Pictured Cliffs Pool to include: TOWNSHIP 27 NORTH, RANGE 7 WEST, NMPM, Section 2: W/2

(h) Extend the Blanco-Mesaverde Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 13 WEST, NMPM,

(i) Extend the Angels Peak-Gallup Oil Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 26 NORTH, RANGE 9 WEST, NMPM, Section 7: E/2 Section 17: W/2 Section 18: NE/4 Section 20: NW/4

-4-Docket No. 2-60

(j) Extend the Horseshoe-Gallup Oil Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 16 WEST, NMPM, Section 27: SE/4 SW/4 Section 28: SW/4 NE/4 & S/2 NW/4

(k) Extend the Verde-Gallup Oil Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 14 WEST, NMPM, Section 21: SE/4 SE/4 Section 22: SW/4 SW/4 Section 30: NE/4 SE/4

(1) Extend the Angels Peak-Dakota Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 26 NORTH, RANGE 9 WEST, NMPM, Section 7: All Section 18: All Section 19: N/2 Section 20: N/2

TOWNSHIP 26 NORTH, RANGE 10 WEST, NMPM, Section 1: All Section 2: E/2 & SW/4 Section 3: S/2 Section 23: E/2 Section 24: All

TOWNSHIP 26 NORTH, RANGE 11 WEST, NMPM, All of sections 1 thru 4 inclusive All of sections 9 thru 16 inclusive All of sections 21, 22, & 23 Section 26: N/2 Section 27: N/2 Section 73: All

TOWNSHIP 27 NORTH, RANGE 9 WEST, NMPM, Section 18: All Section 19: All

TOWNSHIP 27 NORTH, RANGE 10 WEST, NMPM, Section 6: W/2 All of sections 7, 8, 11, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 20, & 21, Section 23: E/2 Section 24: All Docket No. 2-60

Section 26: E/2 Section 29: W/2 A11 Section 30: Section 31: A11 Section 32: All Section 33: W/2 Section 35: E/2 TOWNSHIP 27 NORTH, RANGE 11 WEST, NMPM, All of sections 1 thru 28 inclusive Section 29: N/2 Section 30: N/2 All of sections 33 thru 36 inclusive TOWNSHIP 27 NORTH, RANGE 12 WEST, NMPM, All of sections 1 thru 18 inclusive and sections 23 & 24 Section 25: N/2 TOWNSHIP 27 NORTH, RANGE 13 WEST, NMPM, Section 1: All Section 2: E/2 & NW/4 Section 3: N/2 Section 4: N/2Section 5: E/2 TOWNSHIP 28 NORTH, RANGE 10 WEST, NMPM, All of sections 18, 19, & 30 TOWNSHIT 28 NORTH, RANGE 11 WEST, NMPM, Section 12: All (partial) All of sections 13 thru 16, 21 thru 28, and 31 thru 36 TOWNSHIP 28 NORTH, RANGE 12 WEST, NMPM, All of sections 19 thru 22, and 26 thru 36 TOWNSHIP 28 NORTH, RANGE 13 WEST, NMPM, Section 9: All (partial) Section 10: All (partial) All of sections 15 and 16 Section 17: E/2 Section 20: E/2 All of sections 21 thru 27 Section 28: E/2 Section 33: E/2.55 All of sections 34, 35, & 36

-5-

--6-Docket No. 2-60

> TOWNSHIP 29 NORTH, RANGE 13 WEST, NMPM, All of sections 27, 28, 33, & 34

(m) Extend the South Los Pinos-Dakota Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 7 WEST, NMPM, Section 13: All Section 14: S/2 Section 23: N/2

CASE 1861:

Southeastern New Mexico nomenclature case calling for an order for the creation of new pools and the extension of existing pools in Lea and Eddy Counties, New Mexico.

(a) Create a new oil pool designated as the Fowler-Upper Silurgan Pool and described as:

> TOWNSHIP 24 SOUTH, RANGE 37 EAST, NMPM, Section 22: E/2

(b) Create a new oil pool designated as the West Crossroads-Devonian Pool, and described as:

> TOWNSHIP 9 SOUTH, RANGE 86 EAST, NMPM, Section 31: SE/4

(c) Create a new gas pool designated as the East Hightower-Devonian Gas Pool, and despribed as:

> TOWNSHIP 12 SOUTH, RANGE 34 EAST, NMPM, Section 30: NW/4 NE/4

(d) Create a new gas pool designated as the Teague-Abo gas Pool, described as:

> TOWNSHIP 23 SOUTH, RANGE 37 EAST, NMPM, Section 21: SE/4

(e) Abolish the Baish-Abo Pool in Lea County, New Mexico, classified as an oil pool, described as:

> TOWNSHIP 17 SOUTH, RANGE 32 EAST, NMPM, Section 21: SE/4

(f) Extend the Allison-Pennsylvanian Pool to include: <u>TOWNSHIP 9 SOUTH, RANGE 36 EAST, NMPM</u>, Section 1: NE/4 -7-Docket No. 2-60

(g) Extend the Atoka-Penpsylvanian Gas Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 26 EAST, NMPM, Section 22: NE/4 & S/2

(h) Extend the Four Lakes-Pennsylvanian Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 11 SOUTH, RANGE 34 EAST, NMPM, Section 35: SE/4

(i) Extend the West Henshaw-Grayburg Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 16 SOUTH, RANGE 30 EAST, NMPM, Section 2: SW/4

(j) Extend the East Millman-Queen-Grayburg Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 19 SOUTH, RANGE 29 EAST, NMPM, Section 18: NW/4

(k) Extend the Shugart Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 19 SOUTH. RANGE 31 EAST, NMPM, Section 4: NE/4

CASE 1863:

1

Application of Phillips Petroleum Company for an exception to the no-flare provision of Order R-1427. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to the no-flare provision of Order R-1427 for five wells in the Bisti-Lower Gellup Oil Pool, Can Juan County, New Mexico.

CASE 1864:

Application of Skelly Oil Company for an exception to the no-flare provision of Order R-1427. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks a 30-day exception to the no-flare provision of Order R-1427 for 21 wells in the Bisti-Lower Gallup Oil Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico.

(over)

ig/

-8-Docket No. 2-60

CONTINUED FROM EXAMINERS HEARING

CASE 1841:

Application of Sinclair Oil & Gas Company for the creation of a new pool in the Potash-Oil Area and for the promulgation of special rules and regulations pertaining thereto. Appliof special rules and regulations pertaining thereto. Appliof special rules and regulations pertaining thereto. Appliof special rules and regulation in the Potash-Oil Area as new pool for Yates production in the Potash-Oil Area as defined by Order R-111-A, said pool to comprise the SE/4 NW/4 defined by Order R-111-A, said pool to comprise the SE/4 NW/4 of Section 16, Township 20 South, Range 33 East, Lea County, New Mexico, and such other acreage as may reasonably be proven New Mexico, and such other acreage as may reasonably be proven productive from the same common source of supply. Applicant further seeks the promulgation of special rules and regulations for said pool as follows:

A. Cable Tool Casing Program.

Same as Order R-1078 for Teas Pool.

B. Rotary Tool Casing Program.

Surface casing set at 600 feet and cement circulated. No salt protection string. Production casing to be set through pay from 3146 feet to 3232 feet (approximately) with cement circulated to at least 50 feet into surface casing.

NEW CASE

CASE 1865

> Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for an exception to the "no-flare" provision of Order R-1427. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks a 30-day exception to the "no-flare" provision of Order R-1427 for 7 wells in the Bisti-Lower Gallup Oil Pool and 4 wells in the Gallegos-Gallup Oil Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico.

Que 1852

LEGAL DEPARTMENT WILLIS L. LEA, JR. GENERAL ATTORNET

SOUTHERN UNION GAS COMPANY BURT BUILDING DALLAS 1, TEXAS na na t **December** 9, 1959

A.S. GRENIER WH. S. JAMESON WH. S. JAMESON JAS. R. WETHERBEE MERLE FLAGG WILL A. KNIGHT

Secretary N.M. Oil Conservation Commission Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

Forwarded herewith is the Application of Southern Union Gas Company for an exception from the requirements of Order No. R-1427 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations for one well operated by this Company.

It is our understanding that you have the authority to grant this exception by the terms of the original Order.

If for any reason you are unable to grant this exception, we would appreciate your having the matter referred to an examiner for a hearing at Santa Fe, New Mexico, at the earliest possible date.

Very truly yours, Milliam 5 Junesson

WSJ:ek

encls.

cc - Mr. Paul Clote

Docher mailed

Jenuny 4, 1960

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN UNION GAS COMPANY FOR AN EXCEPTION FROM THE REQUIREMENTS OF ORDER NO. R-1427

CASE NO.

APPLICATION

To The Secretary-Director of the Oil Conservation Commission of the State of New Mexico:

Southern Union Gas Company, (herein called "Applicant") hereby submits this its Application for an exception from the requirements of Order No. R-1427 of the Rules and Regulations of the Commission and in support of such Application respectfully represents and states:

1. Applicant is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware and is qualified to transact business in the State of New Mexico. The Applicant's principal place of business and principal office is located at Dallas, Texas, in the Burt Building, Dallas 1, Texas.

2. Applicant has heretofore drilled and completed in the Bisti-Lower Gallup Oil Pool the Southern Union Gas Company-Whitley No. 1 Well (herein called "Whitley Well") at a location in the NW^{1}_{4} , Section 17, Township 24 North, Range 9 West, N.M.P.M., San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant is the Operator and the owner of part of the working interest in such well. The remainder of the working interest is owned by Benson-Montin-Greer, Petroleum Center, Farmington, New Mexico.

3. The Whitley Well was completed and is now being operated as an oil well and the volumes of casinghead gas being produced therefrom as a necessary incident to oil production are now being flared on the lease.

4. Applicant's Albuquerque-Santa Fe Main Line is the only presently existing gas pipeline in the immediate vicinity of the Whitley Well. This line is operated at a pressure far in excess of the natural wellhead pressure of casinghead gas from the Whitley Well for the purpose of transporting adequate gas volumes to supply the Albuquerque and Santa Fe markets of the Applicant. Because of this pressure difference, delivery of gas from the Whitley Well into Applicant's nearby line can be accomplished only through installation and maintenance of compression and liquid extraction facilities. In the opinion of the Applicant, the volumes of casinghead gas which the Whitley Well might reasonably be expected to produce are insufficient, when considered in connection with the cost of installation and maintenance of compression and liquid extraction facilities, to make it economically feasible to install and maintain such facilities.

5. Applicant is informed that El Paso Natural Gas Company is constructing gathering lines to other oil wells in the general vicinity of the Whitley Well. Upon completion of this gathering system Applicant anticipates that it will be able to sell casinghead gas from the Whitley Well to El Paso Natural Gas Company. However, before such sale can be accomplished Applicant must negotiate a satisfactory casinghead gas sales contract with El Paso Natural Gas Company as Buyer, make application to the Federal Power Commission for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity authorizing such sale, and receive appropriate authorization from the Federal Power Commission. The length of time required to accomplish these prerequisites to sell casinghead gas from the Whitley No. 1 Well render it impossible for Applicant to stop the flaring of casinghead gas from the Whitley Well by December 31, 1959 by any means other than the shutting in of the well and the suspension of production therefrom until such time as the casinghead gas can be delivered to El Paso Natural Gas Company.

6. The Commission in its Order No. R-1427 has provided that no casinghead gas shall be flared from any well in the Bisti-Lower Gallup Oil Pool after December 31, 1959, but has provided that any Operator may obtain an exception from such order from the Secretary-Director of the Commission in cases where the granting of such an exception is necessary to prevent undue hardship. It is the opinion of the Applicant that unless the exception herein applied for is granted, undue hardship to this Applicant will result through loss of production from the Whitley Well.

7. To the best of Applicant's knowledge and belief the owners of leases offsetting the Whitley No. 1 Well thereby constituting parties interested in this Application are as follows:

-2-

Standard Oil Company of Texas Box 1581 Farmington, New Mexico

Gulf Oil Corporation Box 3313 Durango, New Mexico Forrest Oil Corporation 1610 Denver Club Building Denver, Colorado

Benson-Montin-Greer Petroleum Center Farmington, New Mexico

WHEREFORE, Applicant prays that the Secretary-Director of the Commission in accordance with the authority contained in Order No. R-1427, except the Southern Union Gas Company-Whitley No. 1 Well from the requirements of Order No. R-1427 for a period of 120 days next succeeding December 31, 1959; or if the Secretary-Director declines to grant administrative approval of this Application, that the matter be set down for hearing before an Examiner designated by the Commission at an early a date as possible, and that upon such hearing the Southern Union Gas Company-Whitley No. 1 Well be excepted from the requirements of Order No. R-1427 for a period of 120 days next succeeding December 31, 1959.

Respectfully submitted,

SOUTHERN UNION GAS COMPANY

APPROVE Legal Engr. Explr. Land Geol.

1959.

STATE OF TEXAS COUNTY OF DALLAS

Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared <u>J.C.Mid.</u>, Vice President of Southern Union Gas Company, who, upon his oath stated that he executed the above and foregoing Application on behalf of Southern Union Gas Company and that to the best of his knowledge and belief the matters and facts therein set out are true and correct.

Sworn to and subscribed this the gd day of _____

Notary Public in and for

Notary Public in and for Dallas County, Texas

My Commission Expires:

June 1, 1961

ETHUL I, EFRR Notory Debils Bailes County, Texas Ny Castale La, explosionate, 1944....

-3-