

1942 CASE 1942: Application of CONTINENTAL
For an oil-oil dual of the Jicarilla
Well No. 28-1 - Rio Arriba County.

Case No.

1942

Application, Transcript,
Small Exhibits, Etc.

CONTINENTAL OIL CO.
PROPOSED DUAL COMPLETION
J. CARILLA WELL No 28-1
SW SE SEC 28-25N-4W RIO ARriba COUNTY
NEW MEXICO

EX 4

Coal 1942

DRAWING IS NOT TO SCALE

ALL DEPTHS FROM: K.B. ELEV - 6942' MSL

GROUND ELEV. - 6932' MSL


SURFACE CSG: 10 3/4" - 32.75 # H40 @ 221'


1448' TOP OF STAGE CEMENT

STAGE COLLAR @ 3338'

2 3/8" O.D. TBG. TO 6505'

5670' TOP OF CEMENT





DRAFT
OEP:esr
April 29

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING
CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION
COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE No. 1942

Order No. R-1659

APPLICATION OF CONTINENTAL OIL
COMPANY FOR AN OIL-OIL DUAL
COMPLETION IN AN UNDESIGNATED
GALLUP OIL POOL AND IN AN UN-
DESIGNATED DAKOTA OIL POOL,
RIO ARriba COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on
April 27, 1960, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Elvis A. Utz
Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New
Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance
with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this May day of April, 1960, the Commission,
a quorum being present, having considered the application, the
evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Elvis A.
Utz, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required
by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the sub-
ject matter thereof.

-2-

CASE No. 1942

Order No. R-_____

(2) That the applicant, Continental Oil Company, is the owner and operator of the Jicarilla Well No. 28-1, located in the NW/4 SE/4 of Section 28, Township 25 North, Range 4 West, ^{NMPM}, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant proposes to dually complete the said Jicarilla Well No. 28-1 in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from an undesignated Gallup Oil Pool and the production of oil from an undesignated Dakota Oil Pool through parallel strings of 2 3/8-inch OD tubing.

(4) That the mechanics of the proposed dual completion are feasible and in accord with ^{sound} ~~good~~ conservation practices.

(5) That approval of the subject application will neither cause waste nor impair correlative rights.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That the applicant be and the same is hereby authorized to dually complete its Jicarilla Well No. 28-1, located in the NW/4 SE/4 of Section 28, Township 25 North, Range 4 West, ^{NMPM}, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from an undesignated Gallup Oil Pool and the production of oil from an undesignated Dakota Oil Pool through parallel strings of 2 3/8-inch OD tubing.

PROVIDED HOWEVER, That applicant shall complete, operate and produce said well in accordance with the provisions of Section V, Rule 112-A.

PROVIDED FURTHER, That applicant shall take packer-leakage tests upon completion and annually thereafter ~~on the anniversary~~ date of the well's completion or as directed by the Secretary-Director of the Commission.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

That jurisdiction of this cause is hereby retained by the Commission for such further order or orders as may seem necessary

-3-

CASE No. 1942

Order No. R-_____

or convenient for the prevention of waste and/or protection of correlative rights; upon failure of the applicant to comply with any requirement of this order, the Commission may terminate the authority hereby granted and require the applicant or its successors and assigns to limit its activities to regular single-zone production in the interest of conservation.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico-----

Re: Case 1942

Dear Sir,

Please be good enough to show
the maximum and minimum producing
formation and probable water zone
above the top of the Halys zone (6428')
in protest that the actual completion
is greater in the above case.

VTY.

E. R. W.

Examiner.

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING APRIL 27, 1960

Oil Conservation Commission - 9 a.m., Mabry Hall, State Capitol, Santa Fe
The following cases will be heard before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner, or Oliver E. Payne, Attorney, as alternate examiner:

CASE 1942:

Application of Continental Oil Company for an oil-oil dual completion. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the dual completion of the Jicarilla Well No. 28-1, located in the NW/4 SE/4 of Section 28, Township 25 North, Range 4 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from the Gallup formation and the production of oil from the Dakota formation through parallel strings of tubing.

CASE 1927:

(Continued)

Application of J. W. Brown for the establishment of special rules and regulations governing the Brown Pool in Chaves County, New Mexico, to provide for 2½-acre spacing in said pool.

CASE 1943:

Application of Gulf Oil Corporation for a dual completion and for an exception to the tubing requirements of Rule 107. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the dual completion of its Apache-Federal Well No. 8, located in Unit D, Section 8, Township 24 North, Range 5 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of gas from the Otero-Gallup Oil Pool and the production of gas from the Dakota Producing Interval through the casing-tubing annulus and the tubing respectively. Applicant further seeks an exception to certain tubing requirements set forth in Rule 107 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations.

CASE 1944:

Application of Great Western Drilling Company for establishment of a 200-acre non-standard gas proration unit in the Eumont Gas Pool. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order establishing a 200-acre non-standard gas proration unit in the Eumont Gas Pool consisting of the E/2 NE/4 of Section 32 and the E/2 NW/4 and NW/4 NW/4 of Section 33, all in Township 19 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, said unit to be dedicated to its Bordages Well No. 1 located 330 feet from the North line and 270 feet from the West line of said Section 33.

CASE 1945: Application of Permian Oil Company for an order force-pooling the interests in a 320-acre unit in the Dakota formation. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order force-pooling all Dakota formation mineral interests in the 320 acres comprising the E/2 of Section 5, Township 30 North, Range 13 West, San Juan County, New Mexico, the non-consenting mineral interest owners being Raymond H. Walker and Edith Walker, 1250 South Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, California, and Norman Roybark and Rose Ella Roybark, address unknown.

CASE 1946: Application of J. R. Cone for an exception to the over-production shut-in provisions of Order R-520, as amended by Order R-967, for two wells in the Jalmat Gas Pool. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order allowing the following-described wells in the Jalmat Gas Pool to compensate for their overproduced status without being completely shut-in in order to prevent possible waste:

Mobil-Myers Well No. 4, Unit I, Section 22

Pan American-Myers Well No. 1, Unit H, Section 22

both in Township 24 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 1947: Application of Phillips Petroleum Company for the establishment of two 80-acre non-standard oil proration units and one unorthodox oil well location. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order establishing two 80-acre non-standard oil proration units in the Kemnitz-Wolfcamp Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, each consisting of a portion of the S/2 of Section 25, Township 16 South, Range 33 East. Applicant further seeks approval of an unorthodox oil well location in said Kemnitz-Wolfcamp Pool, the location to be in the center of the NW/4 SE/4 of said Section 25.

CASE 1948: Application of Humble Oil & Refining Company for authority to commingle the production from several separate leases and for approval of an automatic custody transfer system to handle said commingled production. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks permission to commingle the Empire-Abo Pool production from certain leases in Sections 4, 8, 9, 16 and 17, Township 18 South, Range 27 East, Eddy County, New Mexico, all of such leases being in the Chalk Bluff Draw Unit. Applicant also seeks approval of an automatic custody transfer system to handle said Empire-Abo Pool production from all wells presently completed or hereafter drilled on said leases.

- CASE 1949: Application of Trice Production Company for approval of a "slim-hole" completion. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the "slim-hole" completion of its Four Lakes State Well No. 1, located in the NE/4 NW/4 of Section 11, Township 12 South, Range 34 East, Lea County, New Mexico, to be completed in either the Pennsylvanian or Wolfcamp formation at a minimum total depth of 10,000 feet.
- CASE 1950: Application of Sinclair Oil & Gas Company for permission to commingle the production from two separate leases. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks permission to commingle the West Teas Pool production from its State Lea 886 lease consisting of the S/2 NW/4 and N/2 NE/4 of Section 16 with the West Teas Pool production from that portion of its State Lea 6019 lease consisting of the NW/4 NW/4 and SE/4 of said Section 16, all in Township 20 South, Range 33 East, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 1951: Application of Texaco Inc. for a gas-gas dual completion. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the dual completion of the State "CH" Well No. 1, located in Unit H, Section 36, Township 20 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of gas from an undesignated Atoka gas pool and the production of gas from the South Salt Lake-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool through parallel strings of tubing.
- CASE 1952: Application of Texaco Inc. for establishment of a 476-acre non-standard gas proration unit in the Jalmat Gas Pool. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the establishment of a 476-acre non-standard gas proration unit in the Jalmat Gas Pool consisting of the N/2 of Section 4 and the NE/4 of Section 5, Township 24 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, said unit to be dedicated to the E. D. Fanning Well No. 6, to be located 660 feet from the North and West lines of said Section 4.
- CASE 1953: Application of Keohane, Saunders, Welch and Iverson for an unorthodox oil well location. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of an unorthodox oil well location for its Hinkle-Federal Well No. 7-B in the Shugart Pool at a point 330 feet from the South line and 2329 feet from the East line of Section 35, Township 18 South, Range 31 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

CASE 1954: Application of Shell Oil Company for approval of an automatic custody transfer system. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the installation of an automatic custody transfer system to handle the production from the Saunders (Permo-Pennsylvanian) Pool from all wells presently completed or hereafter drilled on the State A lease comprising the E/2 of Section 34, Township 14 South, Range 33 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 1955: Application of Shell Oil Company for approval of an automatic custody transfer system. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the installation of an automatic custody transfer system to handle the production from the Monument Pool from all wells presently completed or hereafter drilled on the State B lease comprising the NW/4 of Section 36, Township 19 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 1956: Application of Shell Oil Company for approval of an automatic custody transfer system. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the installation of an automatic custody transfer system to handle the production from the Townsend-Wolfcamp Pool from all wells presently completed or hereafter drilled on the State ETA lease comprising all or Section 8, Township 16 South, Range 35 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 1957: Application of Shell Oil Company for approval of an automatic custody transfer system. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the installation of an automatic custody transfer system to handle the production from the Denton (Devonian) Pool from all wells presently completed or hereafter drilled on the Priest lease comprising the N/2 of Section 1, Township 15 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

Case 1942

W. H. M. M.

Cont'l Oil Co.

1755 Glenarm Pl

Legal Dept

Denver 2 Colo.

ADDITIONAL DATA FOR DUAL COMPLETION

The well was drilled to a total depth of 7650' and cased as follows:

1. Surface casing, 10-3/4" at 221', cemented to the surface with 200 sacks cement.
2. Production casing, 7" at 7650', cemented from 7650' to 5670' using 300 sacks regular and 300 sacks stratocrete; also, cemented through a DV collar at 3338' from 3338' to 1448' using 150 sacks cement and 150 sacks stratocrete.

The well was completed in the Dakota Formation through perforations 7396' to 7450' and 7598' to 7617', the top of the Dakota having been encountered at 7395'. The Dakota Formation is characterized by sandstone lenses consisting of very fine to locally coarse grained sand, of low porosity, interbedded with shale. It is considered reasonable that oil or other hydrocarbons in the Dakota is from a common source and therefore, represents a single pool.

The well is now proposed as a dual in the Gallup interval of the Upper Cretaceous Age through perforations, 6520' to 6640' and 6690' to 6860'. The Gallup is separated from the Dakota by a non-porous shale interval of approximately 465'. The total Gallup facies is approximately 430' thick, the top of which occurs at approximately 6428' and the base at approximately 6860'. It is characterized by silty to sandy shale of low porosity and any contained hydrocarbons are considered indigenous to the shale section within which it is encased. For this reason production is considered from a common source and the accumulation represents a single pool.

The mechanics of the proposed dual will allow the Dakota and the Gallup to be produced separately through individual strings of 2-3/8" OD tubing and co-mingling within the well bore will be prevented by this tubing and a drillable Baker Model "D" packer set between the two zones of perforations at approximately 7325'. A sketch of this application is shown on the attached sheet.

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

7-3-58

APPLICATION FOR DUAL COMPLETION

Case 1942

Field Name West Lindrith			County Rio Arriba		Date
Operator Continental Oil Company			Lease Jicarilla		Well No. 28-1
Location of Well	Unit	Section 28	Township 25N	Range 1W	

1. Has the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission heretofore authorized the dual completion of a well in these same pools or in the same zones within one mile of the subject well? YES NO NO
2. If answer is yes, identify one such instance: Order No. ; Operator, Lease, and Well No.:

3. The following facts are submitted:	Upper Zone	Lower Zone
a. Name of reservoir	Gallup	Dakota
b. Top and Bottom of Pay Section (Perforations)	6520 to 6640 6690 to 6860 proposed	7396' to 7450' 7598' to 7617'
c. Type of production (Oil or Gas)	Oil	Oil
d. Method of Production (Flowing or Artificial Lift)	Flowing	Flowing

4. The following are attached. (Please mark YES or NO)

- Yes a. Diagrammatic Sketch of the Dual Completion, showing all casing strings, including size and setting, top of cement, perforated intervals, tubing strings, including diameters and setting depth, location and type of packers and side door chokes, and such other information as may be pertinent.
- Yes b. Plat showing the location of all wells on applicant's lease, all offset wells on offset leases, and the names and addresses of operators of all leases offsetting applicant's lease.
- c. Waivers consenting to such dual completion from each offset operator, or in lieu thereof, evidence that said offset operators have been furnished copies of the application. *
- Yes d. Electrical log of the well or other acceptable log with tops and bottoms of producing zones and intervals of perforation indicated thereon. (If such log is not available at the time application is filed, it shall be submitted as provided by Rule 112-A.)

5. List all offset operators to the lease on which this well is located together with their correct mailing address.

El Paso Natural Gas Products Co.

P. O. Box 1565

Farmington, New Mexico

6. Were all operators listed in Item 5 above notified and furnished a copy of this application? YES X NO . If answer is yes, give date of such notification March 29, 1960.

CERTIFICATE: I, the undersigned, state that I am the attorney of the Continental Oil Company (company), and that I am authorized by said company to make this report; and that this report was prepared under my supervision and direction and that the facts stated therein are true, correct and complete to the best of my knowledge.

*Don't
Miller
3-18-60*

William M. Griffith
Signature

Should waivers from all offset operators not accompany an application for administrative approval, the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission will hold the application for a period of twenty (20) days from date of receipt by the Commission's Santa Fe office. If, after said twenty-day period, no protest nor request for hearing is received by the Santa Fe office, the application will then be processed.

NOTE: If the proposed dual completion will result in an unorthodox well location and/or a non-standard proration unit in either or both of the producing zones, then separate application for approval of the same should be filed simultaneously with this application.

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

Date 4-28-60

CASE 1942

Hearing Date 4-27-60

My recommendations for an order in the above numbered cases are as follows:

Grant Continental's request for a ~~Gas~~
Oil-Oil Deal in ~~the~~ Undesignated Gallup
and Dakota pools. ✓

1. Cont - Jicarilla No. 28-1
SWSE - 28-25N-4W R.A. County.
2. Two strings of 2 3/8 OD tubing will be
used.
3. Model D or DA ^{Baker} packer will be used.
4. Usual Deal order.

E. A. [Signature]

Staff Member

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
P. O. BOX 871
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

May 9, 1960

Mr. Jason Kellahin
Kellahin & Fox
P. O. Box 1713
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Kellahin:

On behalf of your client, Continental Oil Company,
we enclose two copies of Order R-1659 in Case 1942
issued by the Oil Conservation Commission this date.

Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr.
Secretary-Director

ir/

Enclosures: (2)

C
O
P
Y

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING
CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION
COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE No. 1942
Order No. R-1659

APPLICATION OF CONTINENTAL OIL
COMPANY FOR AN OIL-OIL DUAL
COMPLETION IN AN UNDESIGNATED
GALLUP OIL POOL AND IN AN UN-
DESIGNATED DAKOTA OIL POOL,
RIO ARriba COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on April 27, 1960, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this 9th day of May, 1960, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Elvis A. Utz, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Continental Oil Company, is the owner and operator of the Jicarilla Well No. 28-1, located in the NW/4 SE/4 of Section 28, Township 25 North, Range 4 West, NMPM, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant proposes to dually complete the said Jicarilla Well No. 28-1 in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from an undesignated Gallup Oil Pool and the production of oil from an undesignated Dakota Oil Pool through parallel strings of 2 3/8-inch OD tubing.

(4) That the mechanics of the proposed dual completion are feasible and in accord with sound conservation practices.

(5) That approval of the subject application will neither cause waste nor impair correlative rights.

-2-
CASE No. 1942
Order No. R-1659

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That the applicant be and the same is hereby authorized to dually complete its Jicarilla Well No. 28-1, located in the NW/4 SE/4 of Section 28, Township 25 North, Range 4 West, NMPM, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from an undesignated Gallup Oil Pool and the production of oil from an undesignated Dakota Oil Pool through parallel strings of 2 3/8-inch OD tubing.

PROVIDED HOWEVER, That applicant shall complete, operate, and produce said well in accordance with the provisions of Section V, Rule 112-A.

PROVIDED FURTHER, That applicant shall take packer-leakage tests upon completion and annually thereafter on the anniversary date of the well's completion or as directed by the Secretary-Director of the Commission.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:


That jurisdiction of this cause is hereby retained by the Commission for such further order or orders as may seem necessary or convenient for the prevention of waste and/or protection of correlative rights; upon failure of the applicant to comply with any requirement of this order, the Commission may terminate the authority hereby granted and require the applicant or its successors and assigns to limit its activities to regular single-zone production in the interest of conservation.


DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION


JOHN BURROUGHS, Chairman


MURRAY E. MORGAN, Member


A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary



ESR/

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
Santa Fe, New Mexico
April 27, 1960

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

Application of Continental Oil Company for
an oil-oil dual completion. Applicant, in
the above-styled cause, seeks an order
authorizing the dual completion of the
Jicarilla Well No. 28-1, located in the NW/4
SE/4 of Section 28, Township 25 North, Range
4 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, in
such a manner as to permit the production of
oil from the Gallup formation and the pro-
duction of oil from the Dakota formation
through parallel strings of tubing.

Case 1942

BEFORE:

Elvis A. Utz, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

MR. UTZ: Case 1942.

MR. PAYNE: Case 1942, application of Continental Oil
Company for an oil-oil dual completion.

MR. KELLAHIN: Jason Kellahin, Kellahin & Fox, Santa Fe,
representing the applicant, and associated with Mr. William
Griffith who is a member of the Colorado, Illinois and Michigan
bars. Mr. Griffith will present the case.

MR. GRIFFITH: Our application is for an oil-oil dual
completion of our Jicarilla-Apache Well No. 28-1. We would like
to call three witnesses: a land man, Ken Kirtland; a geologist,

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO



Bill Hare; and a petroleum engineer, Ralph Vertrees. At this time I would like to ask that these three witnesses be sworn.

(Witnesses sworn.)

MR. GRIFFITH: Ken Kirtland will be our first witness.

MR. UTZ: Witness will take the stand.

KENNETH KIRTLAND

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. GRIFFITH:

Q Would you please state your full name and place of residence?

A Kenneth Kirtland, Durango, Colorado.

Q Are you an employee of Continental Oil Company, and if so, in what capacity are you employed?

A I am employed as Land Superintendent, Durango Division.

Q I hand you what has been marked as Exhibit 1 and ask you what that is?

A This is an exhibit showing our acreage in the Jicarilla-Apache Reservation, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.

Q Was this prepared by you or under your supervision?

A It was prepared under my supervision.

Q Will you testify to the accuracy of the shaded area on that exhibit?

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

A Yes, sir. This shows our leases in the west land plat.

Q And would you identify the location of the proposed dual completion?

A The proposed dual completion is in Township 25 North, Range 4 West, Section 28; the northwest of the southeast quarter.

Q At this location are there any interests other than Continental?

A Yes; El Paso owns the rights down to the base of the Pictured Cliff formation.

Q I would like to offer Exhibit 1 into evidence.

MR. UTZ: Do you want to offer them one at a time, or offer them when you finish your testimony?

MR. GRIFFITH: Either way.

MR. UTZ: Ordinarily we introduce them all at once.

MR. GRIFFITH: Do the Examiners have any questions of this witness?

MR. UTZ: Are there questions of the witness?

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. PAYNE:

Q Do you know where the nearest well dual completion completed in the same formation is in respect to your proposed dual?

A No.

MR. PAYNE: No further questions.

MR. UTZ: Do you have a witness who can answer that question?



MR. GRIFFITH: Yes, sir.

MR. UTZ: You may be excused.

MR. GRIFFITH: Our next witness is Bill Hare.

WILLIAM G. HARE

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. GRIFFITH:

Q Would you please state your name?

A William G. Hare.

Q Are you employed by Continental Oil Company, and if so, in what capacity?

A I am employed in the capacity of a geologist.

Q Have you ever testified before the New Mexico Conservation Commission before?

A No, sir.

Q Would you briefly outline your background and your qualifications as a geologist?

A I received a Bachelor of Science degree in geology from the University of Houston in 1951; year and a half of graduate work, University of Colorado, and for the past five and a half years have been in the employment of Continental as a surface and and subsurface geologist. The past three years have been devoted to the San Juan Basin exclusively.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

MR. GRIFFITH: I ask that Mr. Hare's qualifications as an expert witness as a geologist be accepted by the examiners.

MR. UTZ: They will be approved.

Q I hand you what has been marked Exhibit 2 and ask you to identify this.

A This is an induction electric log; I should say a partial portion of the induction electric log which was run by Continental Oil Company on this Jicarilla 28-1. I might state that the location as given on the log is in error. The location should be 1980 feet from the south and east lines of Section 28 instead of the southwest of the southeast quarter.

MR. UTZ: 1980 from what?

A From the south and east lines.

Q What is the deepest formation that well has penetrated?

A The Dakota.

Q From the electric log and the information received from drilling this well, can you describe this formation?

A Yes. I would describe this formation as a sequence of irregular thicknesses of interbedded, fractured sandstones, shales, with minor constituents of coal.

Q What is the thickness of the formation?

A Approximately 330 feet.

Q What is the average thickness of this formation in the surrounding area?

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

A Approximately 350 feet.

Q At what depth was the Dakota formation encountered?

A 7,395 feet.

Q Have you fully penetrated the Dakota formation?

A No, sir. The well T.D.'d approximately 80 feet from the top of the Jurassic-Morrison formation.

Q Have you encountered oil-producing sands in the Dakota formation?

A Yes.

Q In evaluating these oil-producing sands, what information did you have at your disposal?

A We had five-foot ditch samples and core data, and the core data was obtained from cores that were cut from 7,436 to 7,484, and from 7,595 to 7,643.

Q From this information were you able to determine the character of these producing sands?

A Yes. The sands may be characterized as fine to medium-grained, well-sorted, calcareously cemented sediments that exhibit good fluorescence, odor, and were bleeding both oil and gas. The section also, the sands, I should say, exhibited hairline fractures and the average porosity was approximately 6.2 percent. The permeability averaged 0.08 millidarcies.

Q Have you formed an opinion as to whether these producing sands have a common source of supply?



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

A Yes, sir. I think they do have, and that is based on their environment of deposition, being that the sand bodies are both underlain and overlain by black marine shales, and, in my opinion, the shales would be the source of hydrocarbons within the sands.

Q What formation overlies the Dakota formation?

A Directly overlying the Dakota is a sequence of 465 feet of impervious fine-grained clastics of silts and shale, and in an ascending order it may be broken down as follows: There is, directly over the Dakota, 20 feet of black-green argillaceous shale; 60 feet of calcareous shales, and argillaceous limes, Greenhorn; 165 feet of black mica shale; 120 feet of silts and shales, and approximately 80 feet of black mica shale, that comprises the Sanastee.

Q In your opinion, would this 465 feet of impervious clastics form a satisfactory barrier between the Dakota and the Gallup formations?

A Yes, sir, I think it would.

Q And what is the next overlying formation?

A Gallup.

Q What is the thickness of the Gallup?

A In this well the Gallup zone was topped at 6,428, bottomed at 6,860, giving it an over-all thickness of 432 feet, and included in this Gallup member would be zones of sand that would be interbedded in a matrix of marine shales and silts.



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-5691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

Q Have you encountered oil-producing zones in the Gallup?

A Yes, sir.

Q In evaluating these sands, what information did you have at your disposal?

A Again we had the five-foot ditch samples and core data, the cores being cut from 6,572 to 6,588 at which time low circulation was encountered and two feet was drilled, and then we cored from 6,590 through 6,641.

Q What is the character of these producing sands?

A They are very fine-grained sands of low porosity averaging 4.6 percent, and permeabilities of 0.19 millidarcies. These sands also exhibited good fluorescence, odor, staining, and were highly fractured.

Q Have you formed an opinion as to whether these producing sands have a common source of supply?

A Yes, sir. I think that, again, the environment of deposition here, these sand bodies being deposited in a matrix of marine shales, the shales would be considered the source of supply for the sands.

Q I hand you what has been marked Exhibit 3 and ask you if you can identify that?

A This is a complete reproduction of the induction electric log that Continental ran on their Jicarilla 28-1. Again I might state that the location is in error; the location should be 1980



from both the south and east lines of Section 28.

MR. UTZ: Is that a different log from your Exhibit 2?

MR. GRIFFITH: Yes, sir. This is a complete log.

MR. UTZ: You only have one copy?

MR. GRIFFITH: I think this log was submitted to the Commission before.

Q Any other producing formation in this well?

A Yes, sir. The Pictured Cliff is considered productive in this well, and the top of the Pictured Cliff was encountered at 3213, the base of the Pictured Cliff is considered at 3275.

MR. UTZ: This is marked on the Exhibit?

A Yes, sir.

Q In your experience can you geologically compare that area with any other?

A I firmly believe the area around the Jicarilla is very similar geologically. In the area, approximately two miles to the south, which would be in 24 North, 4 West, Northwest Production has established production in both the Gallup and Dakota formations.

Q MR. GRIFFITH: Do the Examiners have any questions to ask this witness?

MR. UTZ: Your engineer will be more familiar with your cementing, et cetera, will he not? Yes, I have a question to ask of this witness.

CROSS EXAMINATION

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO



BY MR. UTZ:

Q Do you have the Mesaverde tops marked on this log?

A Yes, sir, they are marked.

Q And what is their interval?

A The top of the Mesaverde on the log is marked as Cliff House at 4868; top of the Menefee, 4903; the top of Point Lookout, 5333; Crevitt Canyon, 5512.

Q I believe you said the top of the Pictured Cliff was -- I didn't take it down; what was it?

A The Pictured Cliff would be at 3213.

Q The base?

A The base would be 3275.

Q Did you have occasion to examine the samples in the Mesaverde section?

A Yes, sir.

Q Were they productive of gas or oil?

A From the samples they were void of hydrocarbon.

Q Did you test the Mesaverde section of this well?

A No, sir.

Q How does the log look insofar as the Mesaverde section is concerned? Does it look as though it were capable of production?

A In my opinion it doesn't look as if it was capable of production.

Q Is that what you are looking at there?

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

A Yes, sir.

Q You don't know whether you had any gas shows or not?

A No, sir. There was no log reading on there.

Q There could be some gas in the Mesaverde?

A The gas wouldn't show in the samples; that is true.

Q And you didn't DST it?

A No, sir.

Q Were there any other shows in the well from the base of Point Lookout down to the Gallup?

A No shows from the base of Point Lookout to the Gallup; no, sir.

Q Were there any shows from the top of the Pictured Cliff to the surface?

A No, sir.

MR. UTZ: Are there any other questions of the witness? If not, the witness may be excused.

MR. GRIFFITH: Our next witness is Ralph Vertrees.

RALPH W. VERTREES

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. GRIFFITH:

Q Would you please state your name?

A Ralph W. Vertrees.



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

Q And are you employed by Continental Oil Company, and if so, in what capacity?

A Yes, sir, I am employed in the capacity of Division Engineer of the Denver Division, headquarters in Denver, Colorado.

Q Have you ever testified in the capacity of an engineer before the New Mexico Commission before?

A No, sir; I have not.

Q Would you briefly state your educational background and qualifications as a petroleum engineer?

A I was graduated from the University of Texas in January of 1951 with a B.S. degree in petroleum engineering. I was employed by Continental during that same year, and have been in their employ continuously since that time with the exception of two years from October of '51 to October of '53, during which time I had been recalled to the armed services. Upon my release from the army I was assigned to Ville Platte, Louisiana, in the capacity of production engineer. I was transferred to Eunice, Louisiana, in the same capacity the following year, and in 1956 was transferred and promoted to district engineer in Kilgore, Texas. In 1957 I was returned to Eunice, Louisiana in the capacity of district engineer. In January, '59, I was transferred to our headquarters in Houston in the capacity of staff engineer in our Reserves and Evaluations section. In September of '59 I was promoted to my present position.

MR. GRIFFITH: May Mr. Vertrees' qualifications as an



expert petroleum engineer be accepted by the Examiner?

MR. UTZ: Yes, that's right.

Q I hand you what has been marked as Exhibit 4 and ask you to identify that exhibit.

Q It is a sketch of the mechanical installation of Continental Oil Company's Jicarilla Well No. 28-1, including the equipment for the proposed dual completion.

Q Was this exhibit prepared by you or under your supervision?

A It was prepared under my supervision.

Q Can you testify as to the accuracy of the material contained in the exhibit?

A Yes, sir; I can.

Q Would you please give a brief history of this well?

A Yes. If the Examiner would follow his diagram it might assist in the explanation. The well was spudded August 19, 1959; surface casing 10-3/4 inch size set and cemented at 221 feet. Cement returns were circulated to the surface. After reaching a total depth of 7,650 feet, 7-inch, 23 pound, N-80 was set and cemented in the following manner: Using the pump and plug method 300 sacks of cement and 300 cubic feet of Stratocrete were pumped around the base of the shoe and extended to a calculated top of 5,670. The 7-inch was also cemented through a stage collar at 3,338 feet, utilizing 150 sacks of cement and 150 sacks of Stratocrete. I might add, the purpose of these cement columns above the stage collar was to cement off the Pictured Cliff formation.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO



After setting this string the 7-inch was tested satisfactorily and report of this test is submitted to the Commission.

Q What was the completion procedure used?

A We perforated the Dakota between the intervals 7,598, 7,617 feet and hydraulically fractured it, utilizing 42,000 pounds of sand and 25,200 gallons of crude. We perforated an additional section in the Dakota between intervals of 7,396 and 7,450 feet. These perforations also were hydraulically fractured, utilizing 656,000 pounds of sand and 45,000 gallons of crude. The well was then brought in and potentialized on December 11, 1959 for 117 barrels of oil per day to a one-inch choke. The gravity of the crude 2,430 to 1 recorded. Bottomhole pressure of 2,530 pounds and bottomhole temperature of 204 degrees Fahrenheit were recorded. All this information has been reported to the Conservation Commission.

Q Would you please describe the manner of proposed dual completion of this well?

A Yes, sir. We propose to seat a Model D packer at approximately 7,325 feet. A temporary plug will be placed in this packer and the interval between 6,690 feet and 6,860 feet will be perforated and hydraulically fractured. We propose, then, to place a retrievable plug above these seats of perforations and perforate the entire 6,520 feet and 6,640 feet and hydraulically fracture the well, then remove the retrievable bridge plug, remove the temporary plug in the packer, run a string of 2 3/8 O.D. tubing, seat it in the packer at approximately 7,325 feet. This particular

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

string will serve the Dakota production. We will then run another string of 2 3/8 O.D. tubing at 6,505 feet or immediately above the Gallup perforations to serve the production from the Gallup formation.

Q Will this provide for separation of production in the well?

A Yes, sir, it will. Each zone will have its own tubing string through which production will be obtained.

Q What provision have you made at the surface?

A We propose to install a dual head and Christmas tree at the surface and the necessary surface facilities for each zone separately, consisting of separators, heater treaters and tanks and gas measurement facilities. Each zone will have its own separate facilities on the surface.

Q Will this manner of completion allow for separate reservoir pressure testing?

A Yes, the procedure will allow standard subsurface pressure gauge to be lowered through the tubing and measure each zone's bottomhole pressure separately.

Q Will there be separate packing leakage tests?

A Yes, sir. After seating the packer we will submit a packer leakage test and report the results to the State.

Q Does your contemplated completion make allowance for the determination of the gas-oil ratio from each zone?

A Yes, sir, the surface equipment that will be installed for each zone will allow measurement of the oil, water and gas produced



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

from each zone as separate from the other zones.

Q In your opinion, would oil produced from the Gallup zone be from a common source of supply, separate from the Dakota?

A Yes, sir, I believe this is the case. Geological information and core analysis indicates the Gallup to be a fractured formation and, therefore, would consist of a single -- all of the Gallup zones or sands within the Gallup zone, I should say, are connected to a single hydraulic source. This source is separate from the Dakota hydraulic source.

Q Why does Continental Oil Company desire to dually complete this well?

A For economic reasons, primarily. The reserve of the two zones is not believed sufficient to support a separate well for each zone.

Q Will this dual completion benefit the State of New Mexico?

A Yes, sir, it will in that it will allow immediate production of additional oil in the manner that will prevent the waste of resources of the State of New Mexico, and this oil may or may not be produced in the future due to poor economics of a separate well to each zone.

Q Are the correlative rights of the land owners protected?

A Yes, sir.

Q Do the Examiners have any questions of this witness?

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. UTZ:



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

Q What is the gravity of the Dakota oil?

A We do not have that measurement, sir, in this well. In offsetting well, Jicarilla 20-2 located in Section 20 it is measured as 40.0 degrees A.P.L.

Q Did you DST the Gallup in this completion?

A Yes, sir. The records indicate it was.

Q Do you have any information from the DST as to gravity pressures and so forth?

A I do not have them here. Our geologist has that information. If I may be allowed to discuss it.

Q Certainly.

Q We drillstem tested the interval from 6,579 to 6,641 feet, shutin one hour, opened two hours, and recovered 1,000 feet of heavy oil and gas cut mud. Do you desire the pressures?

Q Yes.

A The initial shut, 2,740 pounds, final, 2,590 pounds; initial flow 375, final flow was 420; initial hydrostatic 3,155 pounds, final hydrostatic, 3,140 pounds.

Q Did you measure the gas?

A No, sir.

Q You have no idea what the GOR is?

A No, sir; not in this well.

Q You didn't take the gravity of the liquids?

A No, sir.

Q Do you happen to know what the gravity of liquids is in



the nearest offset well, nearest to this well?

A The nearest well I have any knowledge of, sir, is Section 20, Jicarilla; 40 degrees A.P.I.

Q Same as the Dakota?

A No, sir; Dakota measured 45.0.

Q Do you have any idea what the GOR's are? They are going to be pretty low on this well.

A No, sir, I would assume the gas-oil ratio, this is an assumption, however, I assume that the gas-oil ratio of the Gallup will be in the neighborhood of 1500 to 2,000 to one. That is an assumption, however. I do not know what it will be.

Q I believe your geologist testified you did not test the Mesaverde section on this well?

A That is correct, sir.

Q Do you have any knowledge of any gas shows in this zone?

A No, sir, I do not.

Q You think there is a possibility that it does contain some gas?

A There is always a possibility when it wasn't tested. However, I don't consider it a real possibility in this case.

Q Mesaverde zone is left open behind the casing?

A A portion of it is, yes, sir. The top of the Mesaverde, as I believe Mr. Hare testified, is 4,868; the calculated top of the interval is 5,670 feet. Between that top and the base of the cement job we left 10.2 pound drilling mud behind the pipe.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

Q The base of Point Lookout is 5,333, isn't it?

A I am not prepared to testify to that.

Q Your geologist testified to that. The top of the cement circulated, 5,670?

A Yes, sir.

Q So the base of Point Lookout would be above the cement?

A Yes, sir.

Q The top of the Cliff House, 4,868, would also be below your section actually left open and only protected by mud?

A Yes, sir. I was considering that the Gallup was a portion of the Mesaverde series. That nomenclature, perhaps, is not correct.

Q Would there be any zones between 3,336 to 5,670 to your knowledge that would take any of the gas that might be in the Mesaverde section?

A No, sir, not with the 10.2 pound mud. I do not believe so. We had no indication of gas migration during drilling, no indication of pressures that would cause the displacement of the mud during drilling operations.

Q You are not testifying here, are you, that mud will actually prevent communication?

A No, sir; it tends to prevent it.

✓ For a certain period of time.

A Yes, sir. It would take a mud considerably heavier than that, more the consistency of cement, to do it.

Q The Pictured Cliff is protected with cement?



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

A Yes, sir.

Q Do you have knowledge of any shows above the Pictured Cliff?

A No, sir; I do not.

Q That is between 1,448 and 220 feet?

A No, sir.

MR. UTZ: Are there other questions of the witness?

BY MR. PAYNE:

Q Is a packer Model D a permanent type packer?

A Yes, sir.

Q Why do you use this type packer? Do you think they are better?

A They are easier to get in and out of, in our opinion. Whether they are better or not is a matter of opinion. I think once a permanent packer is set there is less chance of its leaking.

Q Easier to get in and out? Easier to retrieve tubing from?

A Yes, sir.

MR. UTZ: Are there any other questions? If not, the witness may be excused.

Do you wish to enter your exhibits?

MR. GRIFFITH: I would like to offer Exhibits 1, 2, 3 and 4 into evidence.

MR. UTZ: Without objection Exhibits 1, 2, 3 and 4 will be entered in the record. Do you have anything further?

MR. GRIFFITH: No, sir, except we feel this application



is in the interests of conservation and will not promote waste,
and pray that it be given favorable consideration.

MR. UTZ: Any other statements to be made in this case?
If not, the case will be taken under advisement.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

I, the Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing
and attached transcript of proceedings before the New Mexico Oil
Conservation Commission at Santa Fe, New Mexico, is a true and
correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

June Paige

Court Reporter

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
a complete record of the proceedings in
the Examiner hearing of Case No. 1942...
heard by me on *April 27* 19 *60*..

Examiner
New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO



NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
EXAMINER HEARING - ELVIS A. UTZ

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

REGISTER

HEARING DATE APRIL 27, 1960 TIME: 9 a.m.

NAME:	REPRESENTING:	LOCATION:
H W Olson	Shell Oil Co	Roswell
P. W. Huber	Shell Oil Co	Roswell
Chas. Chapman	attorney	Albuquerque
W. L. Giss	Phillips Pet	Butterfield, Okla
B.M. Anderson	Sumner	Midland
Jason Kellahan	Kellahan & Fox	Santa Fe, N.M.
William M. Huffelt	Continental Oil Co.	Denver, Colo.
Ralph W. Verhees	Continental Oil Co	Denver, Colo.
Ken Kirkland	" " "	Durango, Colo.
M. O. M. Loran	" " "	Durango, Colo.
Tom Nelson	Trice Prod Co	Santa Fe
Ed Trice	Trice Prod Co.	Midland.
Jack W. Nottingham	Trice Prod Co.	Midland.
John D. Mackay	Gulf Oil Corp.	Denver
Bill Kasten	" " "	Roswell
Roger K. Allen	" " "	Denver
Wm. Federico	Shell oil Co	Santa Fe, N.M.
J. Christy	Harvey, Dow & Hinkle	Roswell, NM

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

_____, NEW MEXICO

REGISTERHEARING DATE April 27, 1960 TIME: 9 a.m.

NAME:	REPRESENTING:	LOCATION:
<i>John Hampton</i>	<i>Great Western Oil Co</i>	<i>MIDLAND</i>
<i>J. L. Hopper</i>	<i>Adobe Oil Co.</i>	<i>Midland</i>
<i>John Huckabay</i>	<i>Great Western Oil Co.</i>	<i>Midland</i>
<i>H. N. Wade</i>	<i>Texaco Inc</i>	<i>Midland</i>
<i>G. L. Carpenter</i>	<i>Humble Oil & Ref Co</i>	<i>HOBBS, NM.</i>
<i>W. N. Perry Jr</i>	<i>Humble Oil & Ref Co</i>	<i>Hobbs, NM.</i>
<i>James J. Jorgensen</i>	<i>Great Western Oil Co</i>	<i>Roswell</i>
<i>Sam H. Fuddy</i>	<i>Santa Fe NM.</i>	<i>Midland</i>
<i>Lee White</i>		
<i>B. M. Keohane</i>	<i>Keohane Industries</i>	
	<i>et al</i>	

FREE DEPOSITION AND HEARING ROOMS

AIR CONDITIONED

IN DOWNTOWN ALBUQUERQUE

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

Ada Dearnley, President
Marianne Meier, Secretary

Over 10 years of
Reliable Service

MAY 25 AM 8:03

SUITE 1120 SIMMS BUILDING
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

P.O. BOX 1092

PHONE CH 3-6691

May 25, 1960

Specializing In:
DEPOSITIONS
HEARINGS
STATEMENTS
EXPERT TESTIMONY
DAILY COPY
CONVENTIONS

Oil Conservation Commission
P. O. Box 871
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention: Ida Rodriguez

Re: Case 1942

Dear Ida:

Please forward a copy of case 1942 at your earliest
convenience.

Thanks.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, INC.

BY Stella Montoya

Mailed May 26, 1960-ig
Returned 6-6-60

Our Experience Assures Superior Service