CASE 2110: Application of CHAMBERS & KENNEDY for an cil-cil dual of its MONTEREY STATE WELL NO. 3.

HAN STREET WAS ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE

10.10

. New Markey of Markey 時間になっていた

OSE // 2.110 Ipplication, Transcript, Smill Exhibits, Etc.

DRAFT

RSM/esr October 19

# BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

m 120

,0

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 2110 Order No. <u>R- 1867</u>

APPLICATION OF CHAMBERS AND KENNEDY FOR AN OIL-OIL DUAL COMPLETION IN AN UNDESIGNATED YATES POOL AND IN THE NORTH SHUGART-QUEEN GRAYBURG POOL, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, UTILIZING PARAILZL STRINGS OF SMALL-DIAMETER TUBING.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

# BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on October 19, 1960. at Santa Fc, New Mexico, before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this <u>day of October</u>, 1960, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, <u>Daniel S</u>. <u>Nutter</u>, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

11

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Chambers and Kennedy, is the owner in the NE/4
 and operator of the Monterey State Well No. 3, located 660 feet
 AUX 4
 From the North line and 1650 feet from the West line of Section
 32, Township 18 South, Range 31 East, NMPM, Eddy County, New
 Mexico.

(3) That the applicant proposes to dually complete the abovedescribed Monterey State Well No. 3 in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from an undesignated Yates pool and the production of oil from the North Shugart-Queen Grayburg Pool through parallel strings of 2-inch tubing installed within a string of 7-inch casing set at total depth. CASE NO. 2110

-2-

(4) That the mechanics of the proposed dual completion are feasible and in accord with good conservation practices.

(5) That approval of the subject application will neither cause waste nor impair correlative rights.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That the applicant, Chambers and Kennedy, be and the same is hereby authorized to dually complete its Monterey State Well in the NE(4 NUT4 No. 3, located 660 feet from the North line and 1650 feet from the West line of Section 32, Township 18 South, Range 31 East, NMPM, Eddy County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from an undesignated Yates pool and the production of oil from the North Shugart-Queen Grayburg Pool through parallel strings of 2-inch tubing installed within a string of 7-inch casing set at total depth.

<u>PROVIDED HOWEVER</u>, That the applicant shall complete, operate, and produce said well in accordance with the provisions of Section V, Rule 112-A.

<u>PROVIDED FURTHER</u>, That the applicant shall take packerleakage tests upon completion and annually thereafter, or as *required* directed by the Secretary-Director of the Commission.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

That jurisdiction of this cause is hereby retained by the Commission for such further order or orders as may seem necessary or convenient for the prevention of waste and/or the protection of correlative rights; upon failure of the applicant to comply with any requirement of this order, the Commission may terminate the authority herein granted and require the applicant or its successors and assigns to limit its activities to regular single-zone production in the interest of conservation.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

DIAGRAMMATIC SKETCH OF DUAL COMPLETION INSTALLATION CHAMBERS & KENNEDY Monterey State Well No. 3 BEFORE EXAMINER NUITTER 1-N, 32-18-31, Eddy Co., N. MOIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION LAL EXHIBIT NO. conferring our 8 5/8" Csg. @ 801' 50 Af Circ? not certain, Top of cement 1598 BHP weak-probably grow 30° GOR #4 312 2 3/8" EUE Tbg. not flow. R Upper Pump @ 2600 Top @ 2616 To Be Perforated Upper Zone 2616 to 2630 Yates 0 ō Bottom @ 2634 aco corression protas 0 Providence 2078) Providence 2078) BHP weak will un for prant 30° IGOR 277 to 1 (ast) Top @ 3611' Lower 200 Lower Pump Perfs 3626 to 3636 0000 2nd Grayburg 200 al @1598 Bottom @ 3644 7" Csg. @ 3676 NOTE: Well to be pumped with two strings of rods and two units. Tubing, packer, and pump depths are approximate.

EXAMINER HEARING, WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 19, 1960

DOCKET:

Oil Conservation Commission - 9 a.m., Mabry Hall, State Capitol, Santa Fe, NM The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Ofiver

E. Payne, Attorney, as Alternate Examiner:

CASE 2099:

Application of Continental Oil Company for an automatic custody transfer system. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the installation of an automatic custody transfer system to handle the Eunice Pool production from all wells presently completed or hereafter drilled on the Meyer B-4 Lease consisting of the E/2 and the E/2 W/2 of Section 4, Township 21 South, Range 26 Fast. Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 2100:

Application of Continental Oil Company for an automatic custouy transfer system. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the installation of an automatic custody transfer system to handle the Maljamar Paddock Pool production from all wells presently completed or hereafter urilled on the William Mitchell "A" Lease consisting of the N/2 of Sections 19 and 20, Township 17 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Moxico.

CASE 2101:

Application of Cities Service Oil Company for an order forcepooling all mineral interests in a standard 60-acre oil proration unit. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order force-pooling all mineral interests within the vertical limits of the Southwest Gladiola-Devonian Pool in a standard 80-acre unit consisting of the S/2 SW/4 of Section 27, Township 12 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico. The non-consenting royalty owners include B. F. Turner and wife, George C. Koch and Wife, Hugh O. Sears and wife, Harry J. Kaindl and wife, and Clark and Judge Properties, a partnership./

CASE 2102:

Application of Amerada Petroleum Corporation for permission to commingle the production from several separate pools and for an automatic custody transfer system. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks permission to commingle the Blinebry, Drinkard, and Fusselman production from all wells on its Ida Wimberley lease comprising portions of Sections 24, 25 and 26, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant further seeks permission to install an automatic custody transfer system to handle said commingled production.

Docket No. 31-60 -2-

CASE 2103:

Application of Gulf Oil Corporation for an oil-oil dual completion. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the dual completion of its Vinson-Ramsay "B" Well No. 6, located in Unit K, Section 36, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from the Justis-Blinebry Pool and the production of oil from an undesignated Tubb Pool through parallel strings of 1½-inch tubing.

CASE 2104:

Application of Shell Oil Company for permission to commingle the production from separate pools. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks permission to commingle the Drinkard Pool and Tubb Pool production from all wells located in Section 4, Township 22 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, after separately metering the production from the Tubb Pool only.

CASE 2105:

Application of Shell Oil Company for permission to commingle the production from two separate pools from several separate leases and for an automatic custody transfer system. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks permission to commingle the Eunice and Eumont Pool production from all wells on four leases in Section 1, Township 21 South, Range 35 East, and Section 6, Township 21 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant further seeks authorization to install an automatic custody transfer system to handle said commingled production.

CASE 2106:

Application of Texaco Inc. for an oil-oil dual completion. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the dual completion of the State "BH" Well No. 3, located in Unit E, Section 11, Township 12 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from an undesignated Wolfcamp Pool and the production of oil from an undesignated Pennsylvanian Pool through parallel strings of tubing.

CASE 2107:

Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for permission to commingle the production from two separate pools. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks permission to commingle the Denton (Devonian) and Denton (Wolfcamp) Pool production from two wells on the W. H. Fort "A" lease comprising the E/2 of Section 25, Township 14 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, without separately metering the production from each pool. Docket No. 31-60 -3-

CASE 2110:

<u>CASE 2108</u>: Application of Chambers and Kennedy for an unorthodox oil well location. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of an unorthodox location for its Sinclair State Well No. 1 to be located in an undesignated Abo pool at a point 990 feet from the South line and 200 feet from the West line of Section 35, Township 17 South, Range 28 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

CASE 2109: Application of Jack S. Reaves for the establishment of a 52acre non-standard oil proration unit. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order establishing a 52-acre non-standard Delaware oil proration unit consisting of lots 3 and 4 of Section 36, Township 26 South, Range 28 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

> Application of Chambers and Kennedy for an oil-oil dual completion. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the dual completion of its Monterey State Well No. 3, located 660 feet from the North line and 1650 feet from the West line of Section 32, Township 18 South, Range 31 East, Eddy County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from an undesignated Yates oil pool and the production of oil from the North Shugart-Queen Grayburg Pool through parallel strings of 2-inch tubing.

ig/

Chambers & Kennedy

BUITE 607 MIDLAND NATIONAL BANK BUILDING MIDLAND, TEXAS OCTOBER 17, 1960

C. FRED CHAMBERS W. D. KENNEDY

> Mr. Daniel S. Nutter Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

**SHITTER** BEFORE SSION COIL ĊÅ

TELEPHONE

MU 3-4643

Re: Chambers & Kennedy Monterey State #3 1-N, 32-18-31; Eddy Co., New Mexico

Dear Mr. Nutter:

Chambers and Kennedy propose to dual-complete their Monterey State Well No. 3. This well is presently completed in the 2nd Graybury Inside 7-inch casing with perforations at 3626 to 3636. It is pumping an average of fifty-seven barrels of oil per day, no water, and a Gas Oil Ratio of 277 cubic feet per barrel.

The second zone that we propose to complete is the Yates section from 2616 to 2634. We presently have two wells on this lease pumping from the Yates zone, Wells number 1 and 4 noither of which are making any water.

The two zones in this well will be separated by a Brown Oil Tools Inc. By-Pass packer. This type of packer was presented to the Oil Conservation Commission in the hearing of the Chambers and Kennedy Case No. 2078 to dual-complete their Monterey State Well No. 2, and approval was granted in Order No. R-1784.

> Attached and presented as exhibits are: 1-diagrammatic sketch of installation 1-Radioactive log 1-Plat of adjoining property

We have not experienced a Corrosion or any other

production problem from these zones which would indicate we will not be able to effectively drain these reservoirs.

It is our thought that this is the most economical method of producing these two zones in this well.

Yours very truly,

CHAMBERS & KENNEDY

W. J. Alexander Production Engineer

WJA:ep

W. Charles and A

伝行にいたいには

GOVERNOR John Burroughs Chairman

# State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission

LAND COMMISSIONER MURRAY E. MORGAN MEMBER



A. L. PORTER, JR. Secretary director

STATE GEOLOGIST

P. O. BOX 871 Santa Fe

# October 24, 1960

Mr. Thomas McKenna Attorney at Law 302 East Palace Case No. 2110 Order No.<u>R-1807</u> Applicant:

Chambers & Reanedy

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Re:

Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Secretary-Director

ir/

Carbon copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCC X Artesia OCC A Aztec OCC

Other

# DEFORE THE OIL COMPERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 2110 Order No. R-1807

APPLICATION OF CHAMBERS AND KENNEDY FOR AN OIL-OIL DUAL COMPLETION IN AN UNDESIGNATED YATES POOL AND IN THE NORTH SHUGART-QUEEN GRAYEURG POOL, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

#### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on October 19, 1960, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Daniel S. Nutter Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this 24th day of October, 1960, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Daniel S. Mutter, and being fully advised in the premises,

PIRDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Chambers and Kennedy, is the owner and operator of the Monterey State Well No. 3, located in the NE/4 NW/4 of Section 32, Township 18 South, Range 31 East, MNPM, Eddy County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant proposes to dually complete the above-described Monterey State Well No. 3 in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from an undesignated Yates pool and the production of oil from the North Shugart-Queen Grayburg Pool through parallel strings of 2-inch tubing installed within a string of 7-inch casing set at total depth.

(4) That the mechanics of the proposed dual completion are feasible and in accord with good conservation practices.

(5) That approval of the subject application will neither cause waste nor impair correlative rights.

-2-CASE No. 2110 Order No. R-1807

est/

The second second

# IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That the applicant, Chambers and Kennedy, be and the same is hereby authorized to dually complete its Monterey State Well No. 3, located in the NE/4 NW/4 of Section 32, Township 18 South, Range 31 East, NMPM, Eddy County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from an undesignated Yates pool and the production of oil from the North Shugart-Queen Grayburg Fool through parallel strings of 2-inch tubing installed within a string of 7-inch casing set at total depth.

PROVIDED HOWEVER, That the applicant shall complete, operate, and produce said well in accordance with the provisions of Section V, Rule 112-A.

<u>PROVIDED FURTHER</u>, That the applicant shall take packerleakage tests upon completion and annually thereafter, or as required by the Secretary-Director of the Commission.

# IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

That jurisdiction of this cause is hereby retained by the Commission for such further order or orders as may seem necessary or convenient for the prevention of waste and/or the protection of correlative rights; upon failure of the applicant to comply with any requirement of this order, the Commission may terminate the authority herein granted and require the applicant or its successors and assigns to limit its activities to regular single-zone production in the interest of conservation.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

JOHN BURROUGHS, Chairman

Mallora

MORGAN Member MURRAY

112 A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

PAGE 1 BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico October 19, 1960 EXAMINER HEARING 3-6691 IN THE MATTER OF: £ DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. Application of Chambers and Kennedy for an oiloil dual completion. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks an order authorizing the dual completion of its Monterey State Well No. 3, located 660 feet from the North line and 1650 feet from the West line of Section 32, Township 18 South, Range 31 East, Eddy County, New Mexico, Case 2110 in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from an undesignated Yates oil pool and the production of oil from the North Shugart-Queen Grayburg Pool through parallel strings of 2-inch BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner TRANSCRIPT OF HEAPING MR. MORRIS: Application of Chambers and Kennedy for an oil-oil dual completion. MR. MC KENNA: Mr. Examiner, Thomas Mc Kenna, Mc Kenna and Sommer, Santa Fe, New Mexico, appearing for Chambers and Kennedy. We have one witness, Mr. Jack Alexander. Mr. Reporter, would you mark this one for identification which contains a letter to the Commission, dated October 17th, accompanied by a diagrammatic sketch. MR. ALEXANDER; Also a log and plat of the area of the adjoining wells. MR. MC KENNA: Contains a log and also a plat of the

fel.

11

t 6

11

1 ¥ 9

1.0

ŕη

ē.

tes a 1.24

i.

-----

1

1.504

1----

LBUQUERQUE,



adjoining wells.

MR. NUTTER: We will identify the plat as Applicant's Exhibit No. 2 in Case 2110. The diagrammatic sketch as Applicant's Exhibit 3, and the log as Applicant's Exhibit No. 4.

MR. MC KENNA: Considered as the applicant's informal application.

(Whereupon, witness sworn)

# W. J. ALEXANDER

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

# DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MC KENNA:

Q Would you state your full name, please.

A William Jackson Alexander.

Q Mr. Alexander, what is your job or employment with Chambers and Kennedy?

A I am employed as Retroleum Engineer in charge of production.

Q Have you testified heretofore before this Commission?

A I have.

Q Have your qualifications been accepted by this Commission?A They have.

MR. MC KENNA: Mr. Examiner, may we proceed?

MR. NUTTER: Yes, sir; please proceed.

(By Mr. Mc Kenna) Now, Mr. Alexander, referring to

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

3-6691

t

622.0

141

1-4

14 1-5

1.4

ыİ

∳÷₹

19

1.1

.....

PAGE 2

Exhibit No. 2, if I remember correctly, it is the diagrammatic sketch of the proposed dual completion, can you advise the Commission whether or not you have prepared this sketch or whether or not it was prepared at your direction?

A I prepared it personally.

Q Can you explain to the Examiner and Commission the purpose for what you are desiring to secure here today?

A Our proposal is a dual completion in the second Grayburg and Yates zone, which are separated by one thousand feet. The well is presently producing from the second Grayburg, it's been on production some nine months and we now propose to dually complete with the Yates zone. The well has a seven inch casing, we propose to use two strings of two and three-eighths tubing with two pumping facing them, face to face.

Q Can you explain to the Commission your plans for plans, if you have any, for segregating the common sources of supply beyond what you have already stated?

A We have now on this lease, wells producing from three different zones. We have separate batteries for each zone. These wells will likewise be produced in the batteries of like zones. Q Have you had any tests of the casing to determine if there are any casing leaks at all?

A The casing was initially tested prior to the time we fractured the lower zone and we had no casing leaks or faulty cement at that time.

 $\{I,$ 



PAGE 3

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

MEXICO

MEW

ALBUQUERQUE,

1.3

1.4

.13 12

12

14 14

主要

1.2

1.1

HONE CH 3-6691

		PAGE 4
Y-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.		Q Have you installed the packer yet?
	- - -	A No, there is no packer in this well.
		Q Can you explain to the Commission what type of packer
	PHONE CH 3-6591	you intend to use?
		A We propose a Brown oil tool by-pass. This was presented
		to the Oil Conservation in Chamber's and Kennedy's Case No. 2078
		and requesting permission on the Monterey State No. 2, and was
		approached in our 1784.
		Q Do you believe this packer will do the job?
		A At this depth and pressure differential we expect a
		hook-wall packer to be sufficient to hold any differential that
		might exist in these pays. These are weak in bottom hole pressure
		and therefore, do not present a problem as far as differential pressure.
EIER		Q Do you anticipate any corrosion problems?
<i>V-M</i>		A We do not.
[±]		Q Any gas-oil-water problems?
DEARNLI	XICO	A No.
DEA	ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO	Q What is Exhibit No. 1, which is also the letter of Octo-
H		ber the 17th; can you explain to the Commission what you state in
		this letter?
	<b>₹</b>	A In the letter we set forth the two zones we intend and
		would propose to dually complete, giving the tops and bottoms of
	-	the two zones, gave the average production over the past ten years
	1	of the well that is now presently completed in the second Gravburg

----

. Na Salatan



Let me clarify that and say that Well No. 3 is presently completed in the second Grayburg; it has averaged fifty-seven barrels of oil and no water, the gas-oil ratio is 277 cubic feet per barrel **for** the past ten days. The second zone, of course, we propose to complete in, would be the Yates, sections would occur in 26, 16 and 27, 34. We presently have the two zonce producing on this lease from the Yates Section. We had to discuss the packer and the fact it had been accepted by the Commission.

Q Would you explain to the Commission what the Exhibit is, is No. 3, is that the log?

A Is that log No. 3 or 4?

MR. NUTTER: The log is No. 4.

A The radioactive log was taken by the western company and marked as Exhibit 4. The tops and bottoms of the two zones are marked in red and the perforations of rated intervals we propose to make, also, in red.

Q And what is Exhibit No. 3?

A That is the diagrammatic sketch.

Q Can you also explain to the Commission what Exhibit No. 2 is?

A Exhibit No. 2 is a plat of the offsetting wells and the property owners in the immediate area and each well is marked in the zone of which it is presently producing from.

Q Now, do you feel this proposed plan will efficiently drain the reservoirs?



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

sere Sore

139

5

PAGE 5

PAGE 6

Yes, I do; because we will have equipment of the size that you would ordinarily install if these wells were singularly com-

pleted. Have you tested the Yates? Well No. 4 has recently been completed and has recovered Q approximately one thousand barrels of new oil above the oil used in treatment, and is presently producing at the rate of 63 barrels

Do you know what the gravity is of the contemplated Yates per day. Q

Roughly, thirty degrees gravity; all the oil in this oil? area, whether it be from the Penrose-Yates or Grayburg, is in the

Do you believe that your request is in keeping with the neighborhood of thirty aegrees.

principles of conservation?

Q

TUC.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE,

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

إسرع

1.11

.

្រះ

ų 

<u>ار د</u>

78 1527

> 4.1 .....

TAN A

Do you think that will impair any correlative rights? Yes, we do. А

Q MR. MC KENNA: I believe that is all I have. I do not. A MR. NUTTER: Any questions of Mr. Alexander?

(No response.)

CROSS-EXAMINATION

Mr. Alexander, the five and five-eighths inch pipe, it is BY MR. NUTTER: bet at in this at 801, how much cement was used in that string of

			PAGE 7
	6.	cement?	
		A Fifty sacks.	
		Q Was the cement circulated?	
	169	A I am not certain. That was instal	led by Kincaid and
	СН 3-6691	Watson on a turn-key basis; it was a cable to	o the hole. I am not
nc.	PHONE	certain whether it was or was not circulated	<ul> <li>The second se Second second sec</li></ul>
E E		Q The seven inch is at 3676, how many	y sacks were used there
71C1		<b>Λ</b> Two hundred sacks.	
ERV		Q I think the top is indicated at 159	98, correct?
S.	-	A Yes, sir.	a de la companya de La companya de la comp
REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.		Q Now, you state the gravity in both	of the zones is expect
ORI		ed to be approximately thirty degrees. Do yo	ou have any information
EP(		that would indicate what the gas-oil ratios w	vill be?
R R		A I took this in the past week. We t	cook a gas-oil ratio
Y-MEIER		on the Grayburg Section No. 3 Well, which is	277 to one and that is
-MI		in this letter.	
LEY		Q How about the Yates, what do you ex	pect for the gas-oil
RNI	<u>S</u>	ratio there?	
DEARNLE	ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO	A The Yates in Well No. 4, has a gas-	oil ratio of 312.
E.	QUE, NI	Q So you expect both zones will be re	latively low gas-oil
	BUQUER	ratios then?	
•	¥	A Yes.	
		Q How about bottom hole pressures?	
		A We have not taken any bottom hole p	ressures on any of
		these wells, on that they are rather weak; th	ey will not sustain

当相关

1

145 **1** 

54**4** 11 12**5** 

haa Haa

1-1 1 1-1

| . ¥ | . . ! . .

1--) (51

> ی در ا د ا میکور



		PAGE 8
Y-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.		a column of oil.
		Q Both pressures are weak?
		A Yes.
	E CH 3-6691	Q You can't have too much differential across the packer
		then?
	PHONE	A That is correct.
		Q Do you expect both wells will have to be pumped, boun
		zones will be pumped from the initial date of dual completion?
		A Yes, we do. Our No. 4 Well, we attempted to get it to
		flow by swabbing and we swabbed after it was fracked and we put in
		660 barrels of oil and it bled back 250, and after running tubing,
		we swabbed back the remainder of the 660 barrels of load and could
		not get it to kick out. We do not expect one location away from it
RR		to flow.
EIEI	Ч	MR. NUTTER: Any further questions of Mr. Alexander?
IM-		(No response.)
		MR. NUTTER: You may be excused.
DEARNLE	100	MR. MC KENNA: Mr. Examiner, I move that Exhibits 1 through
EA	W MEX	4 be admitted.
Q	ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICC	MR. NUTTER: Chamber's Exhibits 1 through 4 will be
	BUQUER	admitted. Do you have anything further?
	Y	MR. MC KENNA: No.
		MR. NUTTER: Does anyone have anything they wish to offer
1 14		in Case 2110?

(No response.







NAUMARY COMPANY AND COMPANY AND COMPANY AND COMPANY

ar in the second se

Supervised and the second second second

PAGE 11

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ) ss COUNTY OF BERNALILLO ) I, LEWELLYN NELSON, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission at Santa Fe, New Mexico, is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have affixed my hand and notarial seal this 18 day of November, 1960. Sewellen 1 Helson Notary Public-Court Reporter My commission expires: T do hereby certify that the foregoing a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 2000 June 14, 1964. heard by us on lolig lexico 012 Conservation Commission

PHONE CH 3-6691

1-4

1.1

1-1

と言い 12日 一日の 一日の 日日の 日日の 日日の

13

1.7

1

.....

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

-harden berge

