CASE 2131; ROBINSON BROTHERS

in the second

Haidi

110.1

DECEMBER 11, 1961 hearing

52 Belieting Transcript, The Exhibits, Etc.

GOVERNOR EDWIN L. MECHEM CHAIRMAN

State of New Wexico **G**il Conservation Commission

LAND COMMISSIONER E. S. JOHNNY WALKER MEMBER



STATE GEOLOGIST A. L. PORTER, JR. SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

an anti

P: 0. 20X 971 SANTA FE

December 21, 1961

Re:

Mr. Howard Bratton Hervey, Dow & Minkle P. O. Box 10 Roswell, New Mexico

Case No. R-1839-A Order No. Applicant:

Robinson Brothers Oil Producers

2131

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

ir/

Carbon copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCC	×	·	
Artesia OCC	×		
Aztec OCC			
OTHER			

No. 33-61

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - MONDAY - DECEMBER 11, 1961

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING - SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner, or Daniel S. Nutter, as alternate examiner:

CASE 2447: (Continued)

Application of Humble Oil & Refining Company for approval of a pressure maintenance project in the Cha Cha-Gallup Oil Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks permission to institute a pressure maintenance project in the Cha Cha-Gallup Oil Pool by the injection of water into certain wells located on the Navajo Indian Reservation in Sections 13 through 29 and 33 through 36, Township 29 North, Range 14 West, San Juan, New Mexico. Applicant further seeks the promulgation of special rules and regulations governing said project.

CASE 2429: (Continued)

Application of Standard Oil Company of Texas for approval of the Jurnegan Point Unit Agreement, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Jurnegan Point Unit Agreement embracing 10,240.84 acres, more or less, of State and fee lands in Township 24 South, Ranges 24 and 25 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

<u>CASE 2450</u>: Application of Texaco Inc. for an exception to Rule 309-A, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to Rule 309-A to permit the Abo production from its State "AB" Lease, located in Section 6, Township 18 South, Range 35 East, Lea County, New Mexico, to be transported prior to measurement on said lease to applicant's State "R" (NCT-1) Lease, located in said Section 6.

CASE 2462: Application of Texaco Inc. for three triple completions, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the triple completion of its V. M. Henderson Well Nos. 7, 8 and 9, located in Units F, E, and G, respectively, Section 30, Township 21 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from each well from the Penrose-Skelly, Paddock, and Drinkard Pools through parallel strings of 2 3/8inch tubing cemented in common well bores. Docket No. 33-61

CASE 2451: Application of The Ohio Oil Company for a dual completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks permission to complete its Les Unit Well No. 6, located in Unit J of Section 11, Township 20 South, Range 34 East, Lea County, New Mexico, as a dual completion (conventional) adjacent to the Lea-Pennsylvanian Gas and Lea-Devonian Pools, with the production of gas from the Pennsylvanian formation and the production of oil from the Devonian formation through parallel strings of 2 3/8-inch tubing. Application of Southwest Production Company for an order CASE 2452: pooling all mineral interests in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool in the W/2 of Section 7, Township 30 North, Range 11 West, San Juan County, New Mexico. Interested parties include Maleta Y. Brimhall, Phoenix, Arizona, and Barbara Brimhall Burnham, Aztec, New Mexico.

Application of Southwest Production Company for an order CASE 2453: pooling all mineral interests in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool in the E/2 of Section 7, Township 30 North, Range 11 West, San Juan County, New Mexico. Interested parties include Harold Marion Brimhall and his wife, Maleta Y. Brimhall, both of Phoenix, Arizona,

> Application of Socony Mobil Oil Company, Inc., for an exception to Rule 303 (a), Les County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to Rule 303 (a) to permit the commingling of the production from the Denton-Devonian and the Denton-Wolfcamp Pools on its T. D. Pope lease, comprising the S/2 of Section 26 and the W/2 of Section 36, Township 14 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant proposes to meter the production from one pool only and to allocate production to the other pool according to the subtraction method; the API gravity of the crude from one of the pools is greater than 45° .

CASE 2455:

CASE 2454:

Application of Hondo Oil & Gas Company for an unorthodox oil well location, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of an unorthodox oil well location in the Empire Abo Pool 660 feet from the East line and 2590 feet from the North line of Section 25, Township 17 South, Range 28 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

-2-

Docket No._33-61

CASE 2131: (Reopened)

In the matter of the application of Robinson Brothers Oil Producers for the establishment of 320-acre gas proration units in the TV-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, Chaves County, New Mexico. Case 2131 will be reopened pursuant to Order No. R-1839 to permit the applicant and other interested parties to appear and show cause why the TV-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool should not be developed on 160-acre proration units.

Application of Great Western Drilling Company for a unit agreement and for a waterflood project, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Malmar Unit Agreement, covering 1,360 acres, more or less, in Township 17 South, Ranges 32 and 33 East, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant further seeks authority to institute a waterflood project in the Maljamar (Grayburg-San Andres) Pool by the injection of water into the Grayburg-San Andres formation initially through six wells located in Sections 7 and 18, Township 17 South, Range 33 East, and in Sections 12 and 13, Township 17 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico, said project to be governed by the provisions of Rule 701.

CASE 2457:

CASE 2456:

Application of Murphy II. Baxter for a waterflood project in the Maljamar (Grayburg-San Andres) Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-siyled cause, seeks permission to institute a waterflood project in the Maljamar (Grayburg-San Andres) Pool in Section 13, Township 17 South, Range 32 East and Sections 17 and 18, Township 17 South, Range 33 East, Lea County, New Mexico, with the injection of water initially to be through four wells located in Section 18, Township 17 South, Range 33 East; said project is to be governed by Rule 701.

<u>CASE 2458</u>:

Application of Zapata Petroleum Corporation for a waterflood project in the Maljamar (Grayburg-San Andres) Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks permission to institute a waterflood project in the Maljamar (Grayburg-San Andres) Pool in Sections 17 and 20, Township 17 South, Range 33 East, Lea County, New Mexico, with the injection of water initially to be through three wells located in said Sections 17 and 20; said project is to be governed by Rule 701.

iqg/

DRAFT

form 12/15

JEW/esr December 15, 1961

> BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

OF THE STATE OF THE STATE IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE No. 2131 Order No. R-1839-A

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ROBINSON BROTHERS OIL PRODUCERS FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF 320-ACRE GAS PRORATION UNITS IN THE TV-PENNSYL-VANIAN GAS POOL, CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on December 11, 1961, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Elvis A. Utz Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this ______ day of <u>December</u>, 1961, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Elvis A. Utz _____, and being tully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the evidence presented indicates that the TV-Fennsylvanian Gas Pool in Chaves County, New Mexico, can be efficiently and economically drained and developed on 320-acre proration units.

(3) That to require development of the subject pool on 160-acre proration units might cause the drilling of unnecessary wells.

(4) That development of the subject pool on 320-acre proration units will not cause waste.

(5) That the temporary special rules and regulations promulgated for the subject pool by Order No. R-1899, entered in Case No. 2131 on December 14, 1960, should be made permanent. -2-CASE No. 2131

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the temporary special rules and regulations pro mulgated for the TV-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool by Order No. R-1839, entered in Case No. 2131 on December 14, 1960, are hereby made permanent.

(2) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 2131 Order No. R-1839-A

· Jress an agente to (1 milit

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ROBINSON BROTHERS OIL PRODUCERS FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF 320-ACRE GAS PRORATION UNITS IN THE TV-PENNSYL-VANIAN GAS POOL, CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on December 11, 1961, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this <u>21st</u> day of December, 1961, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence addeced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Elvis A. Utz, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the evidence presented indicates that the TV-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool in Chaves County, New Mexico, can be efficiently and economically drained and developed on 320-acre proration units.

(3) That to require development of the subject pool on 160-acre protation units might cause the drilling of unnecessary wells.

(4) That development of the subject pool on 320-acre proration units will not cause waste.

(5) That the temporary special rules and regulations promulgated for the subject pool by Order No. R-1839, entered in Case No. 2131 on December 14, 1960, should be made permanent. -2-CASE No. 2131 Order No. R-1839-A

77

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the temporary special rules and regulations promulgated for the TV-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool by Order No. R-1839, entered in Case No. 2131 on December 14, 1960, are hereby made permanent.

(2) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Maxico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

EDWIN L. MECHEM, Chairman



esr/

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

bet for Dec. 11 Ex ling.

H. HERVEY 1874-1953 IRAM M. DOW MENCE E. HINKLE W. E. BONDURANT, JR. SEORGE H. HUNKER, HOWARD C. BRATTON C. BRATTON 5. 8. CHRISTY IV LEWIS C.COX, JR. PAUL W. EATON, JR. CONRAD E. COFFIELD HAROLD L. HENSLEY, JR.

LAW OFFICES HERVEY, DOW & HINKLE HINKLE BUILDING ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO Nevember 16, 1961

Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr. New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Re: Case No. 2131 Order No. R-1839 TV-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool

Dear Mr. Porter:

The above Order created the TV-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool and established 320 acre gas proration units on a temporary basis. It provided that the case should be called for basis. before the Commission at its negular monthly bearing hearing before the Commission at its regular monthly hearing in December of 1961, at which time the operators should in pecemper of 1901, at which time one operators should appear and show cause why 160 acre proration units should not be established.

Robinson Brothers, who were the applicants in the case have no strong feelings one way or another as to having the matter heard before the full Commission or before an Examiner. In view of the fact that the pool is very small it does not appear to me to be a policy case, and if you feel that the full Commission cannot afford the time, we certainly have no objection to having the matter heard by an Examiner. I doubt that it will be a long case as there is very little additional evidence or information. Frankly, I do not know at this point whether we will request permanent rules or ask a further temporary order. Under either circumstance, I do not believe the case will be lengthy.

Very truly yours,

HERVEY, DOW & HINKLE

Jule

Howard C. Bratton

HCB:1m

cc:

Robinson Brothers Oil Producers Suite 142 Allen Building Midland, Texas Attn: Mr. Anderson

PAGE 1 BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVIATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico December 11, 1961 HONE CH 3-6691 DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. IN THE MATTER OF: - And CASE NO. Application of Robinson Brothers Oil 2131 Producers for the establishment of 320-acre gas proration units in the T-V Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, Chaves County, New Mexico. Case 2131 will be reopened pursuant to Order No. R-1839 to permit the applicant and other interested parties to appear and show cause why the TV-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool should not be developed on 160-acre proration units. BEFORE: Elvis A. Utz, Examiner TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING MR.UTZ: Case 2131. MR. MORRIS: Case 2131: In the matter of the appli-ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO cation of Robinson Brothers Oil Producers for the establishment of 320-acre gas provation units in the TV-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, Chaves County, New Mexico. MR. BRATTON: Howard Bratton, Roswell, New Mexico, on behalf of the applicant. We have one witness. (Witness sworn.)

h

FARMINGTON, N. M. PHONE 325-1182 DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. EROUE, N. M. PHONE

MR. UTZ: Are there other appearances in this case? RANDALL MONTGOMERY,

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BRATTON:

Q Will you state your name, occupation and place of business?

A Randall Montgomery, Hobbs, New Mexico, independent geologist.

Q Did you testify in the hearing on this matter which was held a year ago?

A I did.

Q Have you qualified before this Commission as an expert witness?

A I have.

Q Have you kept track of the events and developments in this pool during the intervening year?

A I have.

Q Review very briefly, if you would Mr. Montgomery, what the situation was a year ago at which time we asked for temporary 320-acre spacing in this pool.

A A year ago we had a shut-in gas well called the Robinson Brothers Manley-Elliott No. 1 located in Township 11 South, Range 31 East, in Section 22. At that time we had had

PAGE 3

no production history at all other than drillstem test data. We came to the Commission and asked for 320-acre spacing and since that time we have been producing gas and we have taken two bottom hole pressure tests on this well in order to comply with the request of the Commission to obtain as much data as we possibly could to show the Commission why we need 320-acre spacing.

TON, N. M. 325-1182

٩

Inc.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE,

ALBUQUERQUE, N. M. PHONE 243.6691

13

279**8** 274**8** Q Mr. Montgomery, referring to what has been marked Exhibit 6 in the original hearing, I believe that's the plat of the Mississippian pools in the general area. Would you detail very briefly what your testimony was as to the nature of this reservoir as compared to other similar reservoirs throughout Eddy County?

A My testimony was that this is producing from the stratigraphic horizon as all the pools on the map; and that they're all very similar in character to a degree. Ours was perhaps complicated by the fact that we had a coarser grained reservoir and a more erratic appearing field than perhaps some of the others. Altogether there are a number of one-pool reservoirs. In this formation it would appear that we have added another one pool reservoir. That's due to the fact that since the hearing there has been a third dry hole drilled in the area we are now. We now have a dry hole to the North, to the Northwest and due South of the producing well.

Q That would be shown on what was marked as Exhibit No.



PAGE 4

Was there a plat on that exhibit which showed the Robinson Brothers well and the two dry holes to the North? in the hearing.

Since that time has there been added a dry hole in the Yes, sir, it did. South Half of Section 22 directly south of the Robinson Brothers

There has Trice Production drilled a well 1650 from the South and 1980 from the West of that same section. That well? well was cored throughout its entire interval. I have a log showing the sample description and it indicated that the whole section was dense. It had shaled out. There was one thin sand in the upper portion of it. However, it was going to be noncommercial and the well has since been plugged and abandoned. So this has confirmed your testimony that this is a meandering type of reservoir and apparently is blocked off to the North, West and South and could only possibly extend or

FARMINGTON. N. M. PHONE 325-1182

-----1-94

r.es

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUERQUE, N. M. PHONE 243-6691

meander to the East? Yes, sir, or around the well bores. Now, what information have you developed since the Λ.

hearing a year ago, Mr. Montgomery? Since a year ago we have produced this well and have taken two bottom hole pressures, as I stated earlier. The first one was on July the 11th of 1961, the bottom hole pressure at that time was 3,901 pounds. We had a gas gradient in the We took our tubing all the way. There's no fluid in the hole.

- 5 PAGE

second bottom hole pressure December 8, 1961, and we had the same result. During the producing--The same pressure? MR. UTZ:

FARMINGTON, N. M. PHONE 325-1182

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE.

ALBUGJERGUE, N. M. PHORIE 243-6691

Inc.

1 **111**

5 554

Sir? The same pressure? А No, there was a 254 pound drop in pressures. Based MR. UTZ: on that interval, from the two bottom hole pressure tests that we have, the well has produced 717 MCF per pound drop. Originally, the only pressure we had was a drillstem pressure which was 4,392 pounds. I have reason now to doubt the accuracy of that test based on the producing life and the two new bottom hole pressures that we have. The reason for saying that is that the drillstem test bottom hole pressure was 4,392 pounds, and to the date that we took our first bottom hole pressure test with conventional bottom hole bombs, we produced 487 MCF per pound drop using the drillstem test bottom hele pressure and the initial bomb test. From the original bottom hole pressure drillstem test bomb and then the conventional bombs that we ran on December 8th of 1961, we produced approximately 555 MCF per pound drop. Now, taking the period of time from when the two bombs were run and ignoring the drillstem test bomb, we produced at the rate of 717 MCF per pound drop. So we are talking about a 75 per cent apparent increase in the reserves after producing approximately nine months, due to these differences in bomb Therefore, I would tend to disregard the pressure pressures.



taken with a drillstem test at this time.

Q What conclusion do you draw from the pressure information that you have obtained during the year, Mr. Montgomery?

A It indicates on the basis between the interval of time of the two bombs that were run, we are looking at the range of 2.6 billion cubic feet of reserves.

Q On the basis of the information a year ago, what were your estimates of reserves at that time?

A Seven billion cubic feet.

Q So that the value of the reservoir on the basis of the present information is approximately 35 per cent of what you estimated a year ago?

A Yes, sir, it is.

Q On the basis of the information you have obtained, in your opinion would it be economically feasible to drill an additional well in this reservoir?

A It appears not. It would not be feasible. The wells would cost approximately \$520,000 and we're looking at gas, say if it sold for ten cents an MCF, have only \$265,000 run. I'm not saying we're selling it for ten cents. Actually we're getting a little more than that, plus our distillate production.

Q On the basis of the addition dry hole and the pressure information, it would appear that you would probably have a onewell field.

A That would be my opinion.



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE,

5 in 1

in 1

TON. N. M. 325-1182

PHONE

Inc.

Q And that this one well would drain the field?

Yes, sir. In the event a second well was drilled in A the field, well, then the economics on this particular well would no longer be satisfactory.

Do you have anything further that has developed during Q the year, Mr. Montgomery?

"ARMINGTON, N. M. PHONE 325-1182

A

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUOUEROUE, N. M. PHONE 243.6691

Q

1-74

ph [***

I would just point out that our distillate gas-oil ratios have been increasing and we would anticipate that they will continue to increase. We were producing initially right at 16,000 to 1, and the latest information we have it's in the range of 22,000 to 1.

So that the total value of the recoverable distillate Q is dropping steadily?

Yes, sir, and it will continue to drop till such a A point as it reaches the evaporation stage and at that time it will be a slight increase. We can expect nothing but an increasing GOR which would probably run in the range of 80 to 100,000 to one, perhaps another 10 to 15 per cent decline in pressure in the reservoir.

In your opinion will the one well effectively drain Q 320 acres?

We have every indication that it is a high productive A well and there's good transmissibility so we will be able to drain this.

That is the evidence that was submitted at the original

非成金计划运动员的资本问题。是因为1.5%因为11年间的第三人称单数的资源通过的产生。



PAGE 7

PAGE 8

hearing?

N. W.

PHONE 325.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

č 669

243.

PHONE

1-2-1

\$7.13

A That's correct.

Q Is there anything further you care to state, Mr. Montgomery?

A No, sir, not at this time.

MR. BRATTON: Mr. Examiner, we would move the evidence which was introduced in the original case be considered in connection with this application and not burden the Examiner with repeating that evidence other than the brief synopsis presented here. That concludes our direct evidence.

MR. UTZ: The evidence in the original case will be entered into the record of this case.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. UTZ:

Q Mr. Montgomery, what are the pool limits at the present time, do you happen to know?

A I believe it's the North Half of that section.

MR. BRATTON: I believe that is correct.

MR. UTZ: North Half?

MR. BRATTON: It's exactly the North Half of the section.

Q As far as you know at this time, you don't intend to do any more drilling in the area?

A No, sir, we cannot justify drilling of an additional well. We feel this well will drain it and in the event a second

well is drained, that will even lower reserves far below what they are now.

Q Robinson Brothers owns the entire North Half?A Yes, sir.

Q There's no royalty difference of any nature between the Northwest and Northeast Quarters?

A No, sir, they're all the same.

Q If this is only a one-well pool, then in the absence of gas proration--well, even with gas proration it wouldn't make any difference whether you had 160 or 320, would it?

A In the event we should lose the Northeast Quarter of the section because of the order written by the Commission, it's conceivable that someone might move into the pool and drain it and drill a well which could drasticelly reduce the economics payout of this well.

Q You mean drill over to the East?

A Yes.

Q At the Texas Company acreage?

. A Yes. Of course, by the pool rules they could drill on that acreage you are referring to, but I'm referring to the Northeast Quarter of our North Half.

Q If you don't drill it, who would drill it?

A If anyone investigated it thoroughly, I'm sure they wouldn't but that isn't always the case.

Q You own the acreage, don't you?

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE,

PHONE

FARMINGTON, N. M.

Inc.

\$5.3

124 1 224

141

PAGE 9

PAGE 1.0

A To date we do, yes, sir.

Q Well, will not your well hold that acreage?

I'm not qualified, I haven't read the exact terms of A the lease. I'm not qualified to answer that.

I'm just trying to figure out how anyone would move Q in and drill the Northeast Quarter of your acreage?

I may be mistaken.

Α

FARMINGTON, N. M. PHONE 325-1182

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ROUE, N. M. 243.6691

ALBUQUEROUE

13

13

1.3

123

MR. BRATTON: Mr. Examiner, I believe what Mr.

Montgomery is getting to, if the pool rules should be 160 acres, conceivably you could have a termination of the lease as to

the Northeast Quarter. We would not anticipate it, but that is a possibility. Then as he said conceivably somebody with tax money and without full investigation might be talked into drilling a well on there, which would be an econonomic disaster. for them and for Robinson Brothers. We believe that the one well will drain the acreage. That's probably about all that there will be drilled in there, but we cortainly would feel that the one well will drain it and that it could and should be prorated or spaced on 320 acres.

(By Mr. Utz) When was the first production from this Q well?

March 1961. A

How much gas has the well produced to date? Q



PAGE 11

A To date it's produced approximately 413,000 MCF.

Q That was what, to what time?

A December 8, 1961.

Q The date you took the last pressure?

A Yes. I might add that for the month of November, that we do not have the gas figures yest from El Paso and I have estimated the volume for November and the first eight days in December at the rate that we have been producing it, which was slightly in excess of one million a day.

> MR. UTZ: Any other questions of the witness? MR. MORRIS: Yes.

BY MR. MORRIS:

FARMINGTON, N. M. PHONE 325-1182

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUERQUE, N. M. PHONE 243.6691

1 × 1

mi Est

1 101-0

i.

Q Is it your proposal that the rules that have been in effect in this pool for the last year or so as promulgated by Commission Order B=1839 be made permanent?

A Yes, we do. However, we also plan to take bottom hole pressures every six months throughout the life of this well. That information will always be available to the Commission if they so desire.

MR. BRATTON: Mr. Morris, we would suggest and we would hope that the Commission would make the rules permanent. If the Commission should feel that a further one-year extension is advisable, why, of course, that's within the Commission prerogative, and as Mr. Montgomery says, we are going to take further pressure tests straight along.



PAGE 12 We actually feel if we can get at least one or two А more points, we will be in a little better shape because initially we were depending on the bottom hole pressure tests FARMINGTON, N. M. PHONE 325-1182 from the drillstem test tool and the information now indicates it wasn't a satisfactory bottom hole pressure. DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. MR. UTZ: It's pretty generally understood that a good amount of shut-in bottom hole pressure tests are not satisfactory isn't it? That's the only information we had at the time. Ôf A Ьđ course, we had produced no gas at the previous hearing, We 1:4 used any tools we had available. . MR. UTZ: Are there any further questions? 1-24 . (Witness excused.) di. MR. UTZ: Any statements in this case? The case will be taken under advisement. ALBUQUERQUE, N. M. PHONE 243.6691

PAGE 13

STATE OF NEW MEXICO 1 SS. COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

I, ADA DEARNLEY, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill, and 'ability.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have affixed my hand and notarial seal this llth day of December, 1961.

Qua Dearnley COURT REPORTER-NOTARY PUBLIC

My commission expires:

June 19, 1963

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUEROUE, N. M. PHONE 243.6691

faile įģ

۶**.**:

-14

1.1

- - - - -

es q

> I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 21.3. 19.6. heard by hie on - Examiner mall.

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission

n her stand and a stand and a stand a s





QJe Bobistion, Transcript, This Exhibits, Etc.







648

Darrell W. Smith Co.

Box 1105 . Midland, Texas

El Paso Natural Gas Company Wilco Building Midland, Texas

AD Andrew Martin Re: Well No. 1 La-Eddy Com

Gentlemen:

Attached are the results of core analysis of the Atoka formation in the above well.

The Atoka was cored from 10,712 feet to 10,771 feet with a water base mud and all of the sandstone was analyzed by Full Diamater Corestudy.

The analyzed interval can be divided into two zones. They are from 10,712 feet to 10,736 feet and from 10,736 feet to 10,762.5 feet. Their average physical properties are tabulated on an attached sheet. A comparison of these physical properties will indicate the relative producing capabilities of the two intervals. To reasonably estimate the ultimate recovery from a gas reservoir it is necessary to have more data than is supplied by a core analysis.

The porosity was determined using a Boyle's law gas expansion porosimeter.

BEFORE EXAMINER NUTTER ON CONSERVATION COMPSCION EXHIBIT NO. _ 3/37 NO LWA:br

Yours very truly,

DARRELL W. SMITH COMPANY

fr- Lawrence W. Smith Registered Professional Engineer

laboratory and offices * * West Highway 80



Darrell W. Smith Co. CORE FOOTAGE SUMMARY

.

1.1.Bes

THE REAL PROPERTY OF

CORE INFORMATION

Intervals cor ···	from10,712	to771
	from	to
	from	to
Footage of formation cored	59	
Recovery	59	1.2
Feet of core received at laboratory for analysis	59	59
Number of representative samples selected for analysis	50	
Feet of core represented by selected samples	50.5	
Feet of core compared to tested samples		
feet of shale and or dense barren material not analyzed	8.5	. 1
Total footage of core accounted for in laboratory analysis	59.0	59.0

AVERAGE PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

-94

Footage	Permeability	Porosity	Fluid Saturation Residual Oil	Water
10,712-10,736	201	11.9	1.2	16.3
10,736-10,762.5	40	5.8	Trace	24.2



Darrell W. Smith Co.

Box 1105 . Michand, Texas

FULL DIAMETER CORE STUDY

Formation Atoka Sand OperatorEl Paso Natural Gas Company Field Wildcat Well No. 1 Leonard State Location 1980' FNL 660' FWL Sec 22-175-29E

Depths 10,712-10,771

Date October 25, 1957 Lab No. 937A

SAMPLE REPRESENTATIVE		PERMEABILITY, MD.	EFFECTIVE	SATURATION % OF PORE SPACE		OESCRIPTION	
ND.	OF FEET	FOOTAGE	HORIZONTAL VERTICAL	POROSITY	RESIDUAL	WATER	
				=			
		1		q,712-10,7			
		1	Recovered	0,712-10,7	22 (10 Ft	.)	
31	10,712-13	1.0	250	13.2	3,8	19.7	SS Cg FQG
32	10,713-14	1.0	249	13.6	3.7	14.7	SS M-Cg FQG
33	10,714-15	1.0	175	11.2	3.6	17.9	SS M-Cg FQG
34	10,715-16	1.0	29	12.0	3.3	15.0	SS M-Cg
35	10,716-17	1.0	61	12.5	Trace	13.6	SS Mg
36	10,717-18	1.0	50	11.8	Trace	16.1	SS M-Cg FQG
37	10,718-19	1.0	30	9.3	4.3	20.4	SS Mg Sh ptg
38	10,719-20	1.0	69	9.2	4.3	21.7	SS M-Cg
39	10,720-21	1.0	289	11.0	3.6	19.1	SS M-Cg FQG
40	10,721-22	1.0	265	12.2	3.3	13.1	SS M-Cg FQG
			Core No. 3 1	0,722-10,7	84 (12 Ft)	
				0,722-10,7)	
41	10,722-23	1.0	178	10.4	Trace	12.5	SS F Cgr FQG
42	10,723-24	1.0	257	12.3	Trace	12.2	SS F-CGr FQG
43	10,724-25	1.0	238	12.1	Trace	14.9	SS F-Cgr FQG Sh ptgs
44	10,725-26	1.0	250	11.5	Trace	19.6	SS M-Cg FQG Sh Ptgs S
45	10,726-27	1.0	259	12.5	Trace	11.3	SS F-Cg FQG Sh Ptgs S
46	10,727-28	1.0	206	12.3	Trace	18.9	SS F-Cg Large FQG Sh ptgs
47	10,728-29	1.0	200	11.7	Trace	13.7	SS F-Cg FQG Sh Ptgs S
48	10,729-30	1.0	176	12.4	Trace	17.3	SS F-Mg FQG Sh Ptgs S
		ł					
l l							
}	,					1	

PAGE NO. 2___

Statistics of the second statistics and the second

OPERATOR El Paso Natural Gas Company

11

LAB NO. 937A

BAMPLE REPRESENTATIVE				PERMEABILITY, MD. EFFECTIVE		BATURATION % OF PORE SPACE		DESCRIPTION
ND, OF FEET	FODTAGE HORIZONTAL VERTICAL	PURUSIII	WATER					
			-					
								he she she she is
49	10,730-31	1.0	184		14.0	Trace	16.4	SS F-Mg FQG Sh ptgs S
50	10,731-32	1.0	170		12.5	Trace	13.6	SS F-Cg FQG Sh ptgs S
51	10,732-33	1.0	67		10.3	Trace	15.5	SS F-Cg FQG Sh ptgs S
52	10.733-34	1.0	13		12.3	Trace	17.3	SS F-Cg FQG Sh Ptgs VF
			Core N	. 4 10	,734-10,7	1 (37 F)	
			Recove		,734-10,7			
53	10,734-35	1.0	158		12.7	Trace	13,4	SS M-LG SL lmy
54	10,735-36	1.0	> 1000		12.1	Trace	24.0	SS M LG S1 1my
55	10,736-37	1.0	8.7		6.4	Trace	20.3	SS M-LG S1 1my IF
56	10,737-38	1.0	2.1		5.8	Trace	25.9	SS M-LG S1 Imy
57	10,738-39	1.0	7.0		6.0	Trace	11.7	SS M-LG S1 1my
58	10,739-40	1.0	> 1000		2.3	Trace	8.7	SS M-LC S1 Imy VF
59	10,740-41	1.0	0.11		3.3	0	27.3	SS M-LC S1 liny
60	10,741-42	1.0	0.64		6.5	Trace	16.9	SS M-LG S1 1my VF
61	10,742-43	1.0	0.62		4.5	Trace	4.4	SS M-LG S1 Imy Sh ptgs VF
62	10,743-44	1.0	0.62		7.8	Trace	11.5	SS M-LG S1 liny VF
	10,142-44							
63	10,744-45	1.0	10.6		7.8	Trace	12.8	SS M-LG S1 Imy VF
64	10,745-46	1.0	0,83		5.4	Trace	38.9	SS M-LG S1 Imy Sh ptgs VF
65	10,746-47	1.0	2.3		4.7	Trace	19.1	SS M-LG S1 Imy Sh ptgs
66	10,747-48	1.0	0.14		5.7	0	8.8	SS M-LG SI Imy Sh ptgs Styo
67	10,748-49	1.0	0.64		8.4	Trace	15.5	SS M-LG SI 1my VF
68	10,749-50	1.0	0.42		5.7	0	35.1	SS M-LC S1 lmy Sh ptgs
		1.0	0,42		4.5	ŏ	28.9	SS M-LG S1 lmy Sh ptgs
69	10,750-51	1.0	0.02		5.4	Ő	7.4	SS M-LG S1 Imy VF
70	10,751-52		0.02	0	5.3	ŏ	24.5	SS M-LC S1 1my VF
71	10,752-53	1.0	1.0		7.0	Trace	18.6	SS M-LG SI Imy MRF
72	10,753-54	1.0	1.0		1.0	LACE		Jo ii bo or any line
73	10,754-55	1.0	1.4		3.9	Trace	64.1	SS M-LG S1 1my Sh ptgs
74	10,755-56	1.0	13.4		4.3	Trace	53.5	SS F-MG S1 1my Sh ptgs
75	10,756-57	1.0	1.3		6.8	Trace	67.6	SS F-MC Shy
76	10,757-58	1.0	1.9		8.1	Trace	51.9	SS M-LG S1 1my Sh ptgs
77	10,758-59	1.0	0.14		6.7	0	7.5	SS M-LC S1 1my Sh ptgs
78	10,759-60	1.0	1.0		7.0	Trace	10.0	SS M-LG S1 1my Sh ptgs
79	10,760-61	1.0	1.4		5.5	Trace	20.0	SS M-LG S1 1my Sh ptgs
		• -		1				
			1		1		i	
· · · · ·			(1	1 1			



Self-us survival line Found for the

1. 10.

<u>SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS</u> FOR THE PROPOSED ROBINSON PENNSYLVANIAN GAS POOL

E1#1

<u>ERE 1.</u> Any well drilled a distance of one mile or more outside the boundary of the proposed Robinson Pennsylvanian Gas Pool shall be classified as a wildcat well. Any well drilled less than one mile outside the boundary of the proposed Robinson Pennsylvanian Gas Pool shall be spaced, drilled, and operated in accordance with the Rules and Regulations in effect in said proposed Robinson Pennsylvanian Gas Pool provided said well is projected to the Morrow zone.

<u>HULE 2.</u> (a) That each well drilled or recompleted in the proposed Robinson Pennsylvanian Gas Pool shall be located on a tract consisting of appreximately 32D acres comprising any two contiguous quarter sections of a single governmental section, being a legal subdivision of the United States Public Lands Survey.

(b) The Secretary of the Commission shall have authority to grant an exception to Rule 2 (a) without notice and hearing where application has been filed in due form and where the following facts exist and the following provisions are complied with.

1. The non-standard gas provation unit consists of contiguous quarter-quarter sections or lots.

2. The non-standard proration with lies wholly within a single governmental section.

3. The entire non-standard gas proration unit may reasonably be presumed to be productive of gas.

4. The length or width of the non-standard gas provation unit does not exceed 5200 feet.

5. That applicant presents written consent in the form of waivers from all offset operators and from all operators coming interests in the section in which any part of the non-standard gas proration unit is situated and which acreage is not included in said non-standard gas proration unit.

o. In live of Faragraph 5 of this Rule, the applicant may furnish proof of the fact that all of the aforesaid operators were notified by registered mail of his intent to form such non-standard gas provation unit. The Secretary of the Commission may approve the application, if, after a period of 30 days following the mailing of said notice, no operator has made objection to the formation of such non-standard gas provation unit.

D.K. C. S. S

Page 2

<u>BULE 3.</u> (a) That no well shall be drilled to or recompleted in the proposed Robinson Pennsylvanian Gas Pool nearer than 660 feet to a governmental section line nor nearer than 330 feet to a governmental quarter-quarter section line.

(b) The Secretary-Director of the Commission shall have authority to grant exception to the requirements of Rule 3 (a) without notice and hearing where a verified application therefor has been filed in due form and the necessity for the unorthodox location is based on topographical conditions or is occasioned by the recompletion of a well previously drilled to another horizon.

Applicants shall furnish all operators within a 5280-foot radius of the subject well a copy of the application to the Commission, and applicant shall include with his application a list of names and addresses of all operators within such radius, together with a stipulation that proper notice has been given said operators at the addresses given. The Secretary-Director of the Commission shall wait at least 20 days before approving any such unorthodox location, and shall approve such unorthodox location only in the absence of objection from any offset operators. In the event an operator objects to the unorthodox location the Commission shall consider the matter only after proper notice and hearing.

<u>RULE 4.</u> Each gas purchaser in the proposed Robinson Pennsylvanian Gas Pool shall take ratably from all wells producing from said common source of supply, sportioning its takes during any given calendar year among said wells on the basis of the acreage dedicated thereto.

RULE 5. No natural gas nor casinghead gas produced from the proposed Robinson Pennsylvanian Gas Pool shall be flared or vented unless specifically authorized by the Commission after notice and hearing.

MRE 6. The monthly gas production from each well and from each common source of supply shall be metered separately and the gas production and associated liquid hydrocarbon production therefrom shall be reported to the Commission in accordance with the applicable Commission Sules and Regulations.


NOTE: ANCE OF	35	US Smelling, Refg. & Mining	1 5-5 470 +	Phillips	33	
LUDED ON MAP	U S.	1-10-61 \$144 \$101e	a.maret State	- 12-11-61- ce 1618 //	W.R. Anderson Ed. 3. Kolmans	Hajor Ol Devr Hajor Ol Devr
17 49 18 11 46 07 1 10 166 1 2 - 65 1 - 2 - 65	PubcoDev.Co 11-16-64		6-39-66 13-40.06 12-40.0611-40.12 American Trading & Prod 12-18-56 6-33-76 06422	4-4016 11-40 20 12-44 20 12-62 20 Sketty Clark M. Clifford 5-18-52 (1-19-67 246.79Ac		TO HITS ALL TO ALLON TO HITS ALL TO ALLON MALENT TO HITS ALL TO ALL MALENT TO HITS ALL TO ALL TO MALENT TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO MALENT TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO MALENT TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO MALENT TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO MALENT TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO MALENT TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO MALENT TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO MALENT TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO MALENT TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO MALENT TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO MALENT TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO MALENT TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO MALENT TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO MALENT TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO MALENT TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO MALENT TO ALL TO MALENT TO ALL TO MALENT TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL TO ALL T
3	Guif 1-11-44 2-3651 2	Sunnay :0-19-80 440.44AC 	6	1 06-1907		3 Carper Drig co. and Sa
Guite S-13-44 E-12051	Skelly Sielly Siele 14-16-51	D.B. Anderson Midd'L' 1: 9144 10:1-61 09510 01:62 23.A. 5/e/e		10-14-66 06 257 <i>State</i> Munatable, attain and Star	SAND GATE Siale	11-14-44 2-4440 State
61315 	Doneid B. Anderson	and all the second and a second second		Champlin Ref Sun 11-10-51 10-16-66 12-10-51 03 289	Sohio 9.18.66 00.177	Zapata Ralph Lows Arth 1 1-10-03 Mil 12-10 pr
14:0-52 Gulf 0	ч USA.	12 12.2544 U.S.A. 5/01e	3-55.67 	Lone Star 5.21.41 0.6853 Lone Star 1::0-61 Lone Star Frod State 5-15-61 66957	Sohio 1 (1) 11-01/2 (1) 00 1023 (1) 00 10 (1) 00 1	Lone Star 5.21-67 06 993 J. D. Humter 8/p/g 18-18-88
Reid 14.12 13-28-61 062532 Ralph Lows 3-38-61	With McKinley 4-10-82) Sulf 	Cleves 1-18 65 Hunt land 4-20-64	1-54.95 Lone Ster Prod 6-18-67 JAmer. Trading 5-600 106937 0 1-1-66 1 06 10	Skelly 3-10-63 5-21-67 Lone Star Prod 0-18-67 0-18-67	Tesses- Sesboard Sunray 4-10-65 12-10-61	Skally Skally Store
S Jevinger Oil Internationet Inc., etal	(1996) Skelly 1965 300Ac. 51 Midde Syafe (Slates 0-th-62	Jaies - 10 funite Middle 13 taies - 15 55 55 15 16 16 16 8.762 - Union Oni	15-40.07 ⁺ 18 T-40.15 ¹ State	Sohio W.D. Sterrett 12-11-67 12-10-61 00-1623 5/a/e		
7 () 4 / 5 / 7 11 8. energi () 11 8. energi ()	Nancock Gil Sujf (Gulf 3- 20-66 112-11-80 8 9 860 112-11500	Middle Allentic Mag 8-11-62 11-10-62 2-10-60 Aflentic S- Aflentic Herney	- 4417 Tide Water Šonroy Mid Cont. 9-21-64 F-4425] Sonroy Mid Cont. 8-24-67 (Billow) (Billow) (Billow)	M. A. Machris 3-10-63	Cont1 Sunray 11:14-61 4-10-65 Sunray] Continenta: 8.20-61 12:10-61 6 400	McElroy Rch (% Cities Prod.Ca HBR 1 100
	SoPatexpl.Co. Gulf	Skelly lie-lo-6	3-40-241		4 1251 - 21 - 17.555 4 300 4 300 5 4 300 5 10-43 5 10-45 5 10-45 5 10-45 5 10-45 5 10-45 5 10-45 5 1	Guil Hop 22 E. Stat State State McEtroy Rch Mice Mar McEtroy Rch Mice Mar McEtroy Rch Mice Mar McEtroy Rch Mice Mar McEtroy Rch
	Humble 4-18-65	T.P.C.& O. Atlantic 5.0167 2.21.66	1:49.57 Surrey Mid Cont. Senrey Mid Cont. 5:19-48 Ga -962 Ga -962 F-40.77 F-40.77 Smeit 12:19-61	es-rez1 - cer.efan 1 J U.S. 5. Smelting f 2:0-61 6-19-64 11 2:019-4 11 11 Entered 11 II-10-66 11 II-10-66 11 II-10-66	Tide Water Bulf 9 21-64 5.20-66 8 59862	Arten and Million and Arten and Arte
	26 [16CR0' 1264 1264 1264 1264 1 5701 5707 1 5707 1 5707 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	n 🔊 👘	2-62151 - 30 U.S.Smetting 7.R.C.60. 9-19-68 5-15-66 8-64-9 U.S E-10054 Fiel34-15-meth 20/6		6 un ray- Mid-Cantineni 2.18.66 Sid-Cantineni 2.18.66 Sid-Cantineni 2.18.66	Petr. Prod. Ca 1/27 - 119 millety. Craig & 019 01:0 Haines 199 01:0 E & Bids D & Bids / AF' AF' D & Bids / Af' Millety. Star / Af' Millety. Star / Af'
Gat Canyor, *	Phillips	Midda Statest Bill-62 Tenn. Gas 1-18-67	-4657 Taxas Gulf 3-10-621 Sunray-Mid-Cont/ Tex- 4-17-62 Gulf E-3564 280-340c, 3-10-62		iunreg-sild Cont. ¹ Eulf 7-13-60 I 3-10+66 08-4038 E 9862	TB. 10987 MAG-20101 Add Multiland Hilliand 11-10-61 B-10-57 -01 -01 -01 -01 -01 -01 -01 -01 -01 -01
	Gulf tree	Yexae 9-10-61	Texas Guif 3-10-61 Tide Water 5-81-64		Tranges Tillers Guifes 1-10-62 1-18-66 1- 4- 41 ichers Palls Monsentechem	E.12.65 Wickers Pet. E.3033 Vickers Pet. E.3173 +1 E.11642 - Harriste

. siare	31E	state 112-1	m- 60	siaie		5/8/" CAP	ROCK	51ale 1-458215-41 86 (2	ная	State 04 244	NOZE	5101e 	
A CI K Hunt	154100 (1-44.85 1541769) Atlant Cont. 4-10-6 3.8.7 83.89A 1.8.7 1	NC	5 L Weller, ct.: Crowley luck, Part	Allantici Mic Allantici Mic 4-18-851 Cont 124.6944 H.B.F L State	' `R	Ameraday Ameraday Riski provi Statistica A.G. Rosehing, ek	Texas Co. 11-17-63 91.30Ac-	Raiph Lowe 10-20-65 177.69 Ac 17.69 Ac	Texas Co. 11-17-61 91.84 Ac Acbel Oil 1-15-67 16 00-501	:72:18Ac. 	Mag.? T.P.C.86 5-10-56 1-10-65 1-10-65 	Monsanta Eunice H 5-5635 ⁺ = Wm M. Raley	Chem.
48,67 31 Hund, Tr 14-19-67 21 20 47	(0. M. Mille) Phillips 6-1-57 0.4410	Aileniic 7-1-67 03794 029277	0. N. Mills Phillips 9-1-61 0 4410 5.	Altantic 4-:3-69; !	Allanlic 3-10-63	Tide Water 2-18-63 Tide Noter 9-21-64 St	Tilde Water	H. Hunt Amerada L. 13:11-20 6-11-88 20:55-6 R. Hunt 17:15-85 12:25-55-6 12:25-55-6	To sease	Ause Honeyin 2200 4454 4545 4545 4545 4545 4545 4545 4545 4545 4545 4545 4545 4545 4545 4545 4545 45555 45555 45555 45555 45555 45555 45555 45555 45	e Cham. 3-7-61 ien Oil - 21-60 Boundursent Jr. nie Komper erf I. Boing my, ele Kal Mape - 12 nesnie Chem.	5-36.44 5-36.60 ⁺	inclair - 21-64 E- 3463
En Liueni, te Liess ei YZA I K. Kilchen	H.A. Keinath 11-1-66 025754 U.S.	Tide Water 7-21-69 St	ale	Allantic Lion 4-14-63 (11-17-63 11-17-63 Lion - Allantic 11-17-68 (4-19-68 g		Anderson S-1 N.C. V Pauline C.R.E	- Prichard 6-61 valler Crowlay, et 21 Roling	John M. Kell 2-10-63 403 Ac. TO Ameradar State		L 4487 MOI A BAAS MOI A DA TOB HA MAR TOB HA MAR TOB A COS TE TOTT A COS	12 nsante Chern. -7-Gl Johnson Johnson Trisworth polinson, elei ne Wood		-75100 ne 514 11.201 0630
475' 479 ^{-†} \$.Welch 5-17-61 445 ^{-†} 18		2/123 RayLet Tide 1-1-1-52 Water - 7-21-03 C Kern McGet 1-10-04 E5551	0. M. Mills Phillips 8-3-61 0.4410	Jakat. 1 (31) Namer 1 999- 6-10-63 water Jake L. Hamon 12-18-66 06:441	9-10-62		Ina. M. J. Withing Xelly J. Withing 1-19-64 9-16-60 06-1400 06-1401 10-15-67	Jno. M. Kelly Jn 9-16-66 06-1940 Stote	o. M. Keliy 1-19-64	Sunray Mid-Carl	Robet Logan 3-13-53	2-36.92 ⁻¹	17490 17490
usst Ien F. Pyor	J.L.Xidd,eta	R Clave Land Hits-64 Ring Las Lajurgas S State	Bryan Bell 4-1-87 032794 U.S.	Wistern Dev. Ce. 10 - 15 - 67 06 - 1401 57	P (Take L. / Hemon / Is-II-63 // L // L	A N Biz elei Mrs. M. Budd	3			ATT AN	(Texas dulf)	- 18.64 Attec Delhi- Ti Delhi- Ti Mattie N. Josephine C	
BT Phillips 8-1- 944 5.50 - Bryani 4-1-6 0317 8.60 9.1 9.1 9.4 9.4 9.4 9.4 9.4 9.4 9.4 9.4	810 Beri — — — — — 7 94	Samedan Tayas 2-18-44 H.B.P 00-1986 TBH	(Magnolic)?	(O.M. Mills) = Phillips = 1-61 04410 US. E.J.James	Atlantic 4-16-67 	Magnolia 2-11-52	2	Amereda MOOF Coleman W Rebin A.L. Com	RE	184 7exas 87		Atlantic It+rgt Saaf (E.A.Metzger)	Ame 1
nerada 99 99 	je-20-63	12-19-68 HEP	ulana Luhnsun ¹ 4 krois C.T.Ismorth Is una F.I.S. (2007) iow.Sroit antiga E.J. Jamaa A.B.R.P.Fort	6ruan Bell 411-67 032784 [WB Norris Uls 61-59 1 06829	Antrada (x 6P Aziantic) 4-16-67 06 767 State	Anderson - Rich - 5 - 21 - 67 OG 057 - Simeden - Simeden - Siero - Genete	L conard Oil & M C. W.Robinson, M L.A. Green Field	Amered J.E.Stephene J J.H.Moore J Allie M.Le			19-10418 C.Sali Pautolan Carlo J. S. Staphana T.J.M. Moore -	1	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
ey Lee In-In-In-In-In-In-In-In-In-In-In-In-In-I	4-12+67 08-749	3-27-00 10-	u)f 18-84 9 256	Humble Superier 4-16-87 6-16-87 5-16-82 5-16-82 5-16-82 5-16-82 1-31-68 4-16-82 4-16	(Macnelia)? (z-le-60)? Atlantic 3-8-63 8	Sun 12-19-66 66427	Raiph Lowe 18-20-63		5 PF - 5 (6 1 F	Bi 91 FarTaxas Bis 02 Samadan 1	Nagnolia J. H. Moore P. Carter, a Au	M.M.]6 6 4 4 0
	<i>'e</i>	Texae Mer Sia	Humble 4-16-67 08-166 /e 41- 066-186	Siala	Lura Managan Navard Janninga E. J. Jamas Wine T.Schean Re	57.	L.C. Harris 8-20-67 08-1207 8/e	ALTER Rasse [Brained [B-11-83] R.Hant R. Tid PL-17-88] 24.57660 fe	empter	an the set	1001-Ring hh-19-52 Ches.1.Caple 10 06-5005	i-B.Ze ⁻¹ W.E. Methers	
Sun i	E.A.Hanson 3-10-83	Roger L. 8-19- 06-41 	-44 1	1.8. Hodges 2 10-55	 Lion Hencluly 		10-011 12:10:00 7-10-07 . Kerr. Me dee 7-10-01 63:57	bigradi (6. West, Jangr 8. (7.45) 5. (6. (1. 1. 1. 8. 7340)		3	6 herples 012 4-10-62	Sunray	Cenii: B·
-1 61010		Murpi 4-10-82 13 8-6188 60 <i>Stat</i>		44-1-10 576	10 T-10-62	Lion	26556 Hoasie Kunt, Tr 18:18-63	Raiph Lowe L-19. CT 06387 Texas wint 6/2/e Adminit	Mc Ges 3-14-61 5-5351 5-65 4-16-65 1	E. S/aphons ohn H. Mogra	Nc Alaes Tak Nass er Fuel 1 ar Poel 1 - 10-12 6 /ar/e		J. 8. 4 7. 6 8
4'5-4:56 (1- horodo H.B.P. +	Union 18-19-84 484.834c	-4112 19-41.87 19-4 Norshig G 2.18-6 			,	M.A. Machrie	1404 14696 4 Texas 8-16-63	HIN Y GAL ISLAN	1 +s1			-	F-46 X

Se free

ないたまし

		WELLS POSTED T	0 JUN 2 3 1960	the second second second second second	T WORTH, TEXA		
		OWNERSHIP POST	ED TO	-			1-E "
1.1 (1.1)	E.W.Willard	U.S. Smelting 2:15-66	(Luther Jullins) L + L	4.00 86 18.58.90 18.38.97 16.38 96 (Frances Network) Carletrai F-0.86 4 1 L F-0.86 2.1 51	4:3998 '3 (8.02 1 48.86 ') 42.18 Emmett D. White Arna Barla 4:1 6 3-1 67 020975 021537	4 40,16 13 40,18 13 40 32 14 40 76 Arina Bertz San Antonia, fishada 1 3,11.67 0\$1537	4 40 15 'h 40 14 K
0.544board 2.441 064355	064315	629 **Ac. 5-68/8 2		Mobil E-en.me 4 6 LutinznCollins 3 en.m ⁻⁴	Anna Bactz 3:1:67 021537	Emmett D. White 4-1-56 020975	Superior 1972 3772 4770 7172 7075
	A	Slafe	4.5.	0.5 A	USA USA		J.11.1015
10.1.60	Chas. B. Renau 2.1-69 054613	Hando D.S.And-ruch 31:00 Joletw Fasken Jr. CC 2065 9. 1 (58) 60 		Mabil Frances N McLeant L.L.G Teras Casasa Luther Collins	Wm.Hjorth Casper, Wyoo 3.1. GT 327476 8	Nm. Hjorth Emmett D. White 3:1:67 4:1:66 027476 020975 9	Union Oil 3.20-66 29.55
01		Chas 6. Renaud Emmeth D.While 3-1-69 5-1-66 064613 011404	12 Mobil 2 1. 41 (Luth: r Jellins) (Luth: r Jellins) 44359 KSA	7-10-55 ⁻¹ 7-40-55 ⁻¹ 2.5:A-	U 5.1	U.S.A.	51) C-11F E15+0
	5.4 Chas 8.Renaud 1.1.67 054612		Mobil Oil , option 2.1.61 0.05359 (Luthar Cultins 3%)	Mobil Mobil I de te I de te (Luffice Culling 059760	Wm. Hjorth 5-1.60 040234	Guif E-11-31 	Gulf 'o .co
	5	14	13 Inwest Mopping Co.	14010 14	17 PSA 950	(4.5. Smelling, Ref. & Mining) 7-10-61 85748 Statu	G E Hall Jam Auld) 1-18-42 Den Auld Yam. Lung Flengeen Cising E. Mand Hall 3 P. Johnson
0	а. 	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1-473	0hio 5-15-66 E-13351	Gulf (3.6 40)	(Atlantic)
	hio 1 40 4 4 13 14 42	Honolulu (4.1.60) 051889 3 29.42	Henolulu (4.1.60) 059889 2.18-52	1437 - 084411 4.1.10		Gulf Denaulo) Gulf 1211-60 2 465 12-10-60 1 16 65	6.19.66 E 1015
. 2	2	23	24	3 + 32 ⁻¹ 13 7 + 31 ⁻¹	Shell Chio Oil 7-17-66 5-15-66 06-9 Wholester E:3052	Guif Sketty Set (1188- Guif Sketty Set (1188- 1186- 11	
		0.8A	U.S.A	541 / Au 05.A.	si s	E 8755 State 06-1011	
. (Mesterc	Reese Cievetand 2.28 42 Texaco-Seab'd.	Mandluiu (3.1.60) 59989 22862	Hanolulu (4.1.60) 055889 2.18-62	Bonnie Holmaren	Fulf 6-17-61 Atlanfic 12-11-60 Sorray Mid-Cent- 8-21-60 8-21-60	Gulf Gulf 12-21-64 12-21-64	(Shell 7:17- 240 000
- and - 05	(C.E. A000/ 1995) 110-42 27	26	25	0 8.4 90 Union W.F.Rahliff 2.1040 4 80 tc - 447 59 0438 52 4 800 4 800 tc - 400 50 0438 52 8 800 100	A., 83.3WN 9-7244 9 1341 Earl R. King VS Edw. P. De Vicen Vs	Culf 4240 P44	E. ASSET T.P.C. M. D.S. S. J.L. Mer 2. S. E. S. Mer 2. S
1015 1958 <i>M.M.</i> 19-62 <i>V.S</i>		USA	Geo P. Foncher E. 4151 T. 9.38 E. G. Levick-H. M. Mila (18-82	1-44-76 Sun O'l Atlantic Small	Stata Shell J.C., L.C.Harri 1 516 55 5000 (20.30) 1 5240 00 100 5340 00 100	Sohie Cil 5 15 66	Correct .
EO (At	441107 441107 441170	203154	54204 1 1 3.15-65 1 1 5.2776 1 1	13-1466 110-1-1-1 14:015 1 1	U.G.Sm.Jiling Malin 6-10-62 [6106 	8, 6-15 7 6-5142	

ē.

A. S. Grander

U.	3.4	. 0.5			ete -	i m 53 " Seigh regident G 27 Bredit , Min		U.S. Mellin 410	2 74 m	Are Are 7.8 65 Hisit	5 Ro. C 1. 4. 65 4	61119 349 70 11- 60 Y= EISIE \$ 7,000
12:26 11:00 34 	Taraco-Seaboard 4-16 sa)c4 061831	11 cc 116 11 12 c c 116 11 13 c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	6 tt 43 18 19 14 H 4 Keinsth 8 1.30 062915 4 5 4	Allantic Allantic Chinantic Ibach 21, 21 Oblico 00020		R 3	IE States I	tican Roach A costaine 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			6 R Semedar	abot Carbon
6 / { Trigg} }	38.61 HA Shirting Keinath 11.164 81.60 036104 96219/5 7 236.68 4		3	14. Jan 19.	H.a Keingth B-1.60 062915 U.S.4-5-	Union (Cint C Ballard) L-: 61 C64230		Umon Ning W Velom Yanh 2 (61 0 J I	36 6 21-10 9	15amadan Pennase 15amadan Pennase 15-10-53 15-10-53 14tlantie 9-11-60	Af!anti 7:10-67 //	
E 1159 Mc p - 770 Pring 1320 Niss 10030 Dev. 101600 70.101603 P/8 5141	OIL TIS	а.н neidregatoj	E C Stillwagon 	US,S.R. B.M. Co. E.E.Jometi ^{ru M.}	8.8 j.s.	imes ?	Same 3.1	dan Oil ,	37103 HPC 2-8-70 1/4 C. 9 Goilagh Lis Modere?	H.Hunt Tr Stationar	Grusif B: 0 E1 J Stat	
ic. M.Trigg) 15 15 15 15	Union 6 1.60 7 35.65 010019	H. A Keinsth 9.1.60 062915	Linion Linion NinaM Netean	Atlantic (N. vl. Roach) 2	Otio Cil 3 10 63 420 Ac		1.1-61 004230 	Savedan 12-1-2: Savedan 10-3:c3 Atlantic 2.E.James 5 accountion stat	011 CA		(5) 5 20 63 5 20 60 5 20 60 5 20 60 5 20 5 20 60 5 20 60 5 20 60 5 20 60 5	in the second
Aftenfic Opt. Mrs. Teng G. Williamson	5-35-91 6-32-96 <i>U.S.A.(19)</i>	Union (1) na 13 McLead Roach 3 1 81 3 31 60 20 5 4 (~)	1	941711 + 	Au Maria	Cinc 5125 Shr 5620 Noternets To.11753 10.11753 N.Humite. @11 (12 Artugase Attugase 15.11253	samed 9-10 F iv fire Ba <u>E 4482 OF</u>	an Cil -64 - A.E. Fagen - A.H. Ele - A		HET	Reynolder 23 Reynolder 23 Mane State	Raiph Nizeia E E James
tic Cp7. Villiam son First Roswell Co. A 5-18-33 10-1-59 583894	2 4 96 - Mianfie Unio 1. GI 36 4 60	f**antie B.anche 51.61 Trigg 2011 11 199 2011 11 199 336704 E E.ames(s)	Inion Alartic	+ A Keinath 6027915	Atlantic (N:-3 M M:L Reach 2.1.61 004160		4 12 - 61 500 Notin	41,41 9.550 6 DIA12 23-52 500 8193.	Samedan 2 - 19-000 24 - 1945	Texaco (nc. 7-21-63	5776-63 (1) Inter (9) 7-3763	
Attentic 6.1 61 Foatage 7 Tauline 1 7100 9.1-61	4 34 14 9 2.5.4	E E James		E.E. James	Ress (Sinelsi 11-60 068101 F U.S.A.	Asa Proctor Athur N. Nell	C.N. Z. G.L.Morrell- Bi Arthur	Pearce	Pan American 3-18-64 06-8179 Texaco Inc. 7-21-53	Samedan Samedan 19 Sinces		
Chas 5 Hill 5 16-51 062600	T 16 12	M. Trigg 60 04:1	AHa (Noia M. 37 2.1. 34 34 2.2. 2	ean Reach) al //	A DAY AND A	riscior PNOF	Husky Oit 8.15.64 Asa Proctori Nanctiti - Orella 2	Husky Oil 8-1-64 Whenderson, dut 2-nderson, dut poctor. Surf.	CE Hinkell 7.253// 8 13411 3 8 P 3	(C.E.Hinkel 7-7-53 ?		U.S. Smelting : 10-13-64 Atlantic W.E.Lee 8 10 61 1:2:53 W.E.Lee Synshine
61 60 74	T 16 30 ⁻¹	5.4	E. S Card	Jaines y C. Sykes i	ertup	trgo Ci (At) 87 GUP, Sur 82 Miliansdal Mai	11-1 11-1 11-1 11-1 11-1 11-1 1-1 1-1 1	kýcii 1 64 15 64 - Est, etal James=====	6-24-10 1	E 4451 2104 01 4 10254 200 210 1 4 10254 200 210 1 1 1 1 1 4 AM Lee	514	5-20-53 1:2:53 2:2:53 2:2:53 2:2:53 5:10-53
Aliz 6- 15.63	T-36-48	0411	9-0-49 7-1 88P	colnc. 1.63 Jul Parrar 1.63 1.1.6-53		ro Oils N. Wie, Sar Misela: W 3	Constitute furth SA 1427 AU Into -127 AU	Atlantic 9-23-60 8. Civin Sur 4 c.m. Sursell Ma	Allantic • z3-60 8.7 Culp. Sur. ELMANNA etal.	*2nn 335 Trans. 1.28 69 1.206- 5004 1.205- 5004 35	Sunray 11 10-61	* <u>19 19 64</u>
	4 51. 21	5.4	10 174 Courte 1 16 53 Sit 49 16 53 HE P 16 53 HE P 16 53 HE P 17 21 63 St	RoyLee 1.1 et 2.4/2 PPC to 6.11.61	Afrantic Nus M. Wi Roach) y 21.61 (64140	8 r. Culp	6-21-70 3*818	Lora Lee,etal	toralee,etal E e cop	5146. 19-40-56 /1 40 07	Samadan Samadan Silo 53	5-10-101 he
101	Billie 231 262	Mirian	4 34.65 13 39 64 1 <u>+</u> +	i a i i i	4.33 64 13.33 12 			3032	Amerada 61:351 W.L.Allard	C.E.Hinkel N.B.P.	Wm. 1 1.5.5 Spurch 7 5 3 10 St 1 18 18 Whatey 1 8655 1 10.18.63 1 1964 1	O. Imerada 53 Tide- water Amerada

ACCORDANCE AND

Ŋ

÷

AFFORE EXAMINER NUTTER	Proposed	E4 -1 B-2-
Charley Hon M. SPECI		
CAST TO. PROPOSED RO	<u>FOR THE</u> JINSON PENNSYLVANIAN GAS PC	

1

<u>RHE 1.</u> Any well drilled a distance of one mile or more outside the boundary of the proposed Robinson Pennsylvanian Gas Pool shall be classified as a wildcat well. Any well drilled less than one mile outside the boundary of the proposed Robinson Pennsylvanian Gas Pool shall be spaced, drilled, and operated in accordance with the Rules and Regulations in effect in said proposed Robinson Pennsylvanian Gas Pool provided said well is projected to the Morrow zone.

<u>RULE 2.</u> (a) That each well drilled or recompleted in the proposed Robinson Pennsylvanian Gas Pool shall be located on a tract consisting of approximately 320 acres comprising any two contiguous quarter sections of a single governmental section, being a legal subdivision of the United States Public Lands Survey.

(b) The Secretary of the Commission shall have authority to grant an exception to Rule 2 (a) without notice and hearing where application has been filed in due form and where the following facts exist and the following provisions are complied with.

1. The non-standard gas provation unit consists of contiguous quarter-quarter sections or lots.

2. The non-standard proration unit lies wholly within a single governmental section.

3. The entire non-standard gas proration unit may reasonably be presumed to be productive of gas.

4. The length or width of the non-standard gas proration unit does not exceed 5200 feet.

5. That applicant presents written consent in the form of waivers from all offset operators and from all operators owning interests in the section in which any part of the non-standard gas proration unit is situated and which acreage is not included in said non-standard gas proration unit.

6. In lieu of Paragraph 5 of this Rule, the applicant may furnish proof of the fact that all of the aforesaid operators were notified by registered mail of his intent to form such non-standard gas proration unit. The Secretary of the Commission may approve the application, if, after a period of 30 days following the mailing of said notice, no operator has made objection to the formation of such non-standard gas provation unit. Page 2

<u>RULE 3.</u> (a) That no well shall be drilled to or recompleted in the proposed Robinson Pennsylvanian Gas Pool nearer than 660 feet to a governmental section line nor nearer than 330 feet to a governmental quarter-quarter section line.

(b) The Secretary-Director of the Commission shall have authority to grant exception to the requirements of Rule 3 (a) without notice and hearing where a verified application therefor has been filed in due form and the necessity for the unorthodox location is based on topographical conditions or is occasioned by the recompletion of a well previously drilled to another horizon.

Applicants shall furnish all operators within a 5280-foot radius of the subject well a copy of the application to the Commission, and applicant shall include with his application a list of names and addresses of all operators within such radius, together with a stipulation that proper notice has been given said operators at the addresses given. The Secretary-Director of the Commission shall wait at least 20 days before approving any such unorthodox location, and shall approve such unorthodox location only in the absence of objection from any offset operators. In the event an operator objects to the unorthodox location the Commission shall consider the matter only after proper notice and hearing.

<u>RULE 4.</u> Each gas purchaser in the proposed Robinson Pennsylvanian Gas Pool shall take ratably from all wells producing from said common source of supply, apportioning its takes during any given calendar year among said wells on the basis of the acreage dedicated thereto.

RULE 5. No natural gas nor casinghead gas produced from the proposed Robinson Pennsylvanian Gas Pool shall be flared or vented unless specifically authorized by the Commission after notice and hearing.

<u>RULE 6.</u> The monthly gas production from each well and from each common source of supply shall be metered separately and the gas production and associated liquid hydrosarbon production therefrom shall be reported to the Commission in accordance with the applicable Commission Bules and Regulations.

TRICE PRODUCTION COMPANY

P. O. BOX 1471 LONGVIEW, TEXAS TELEPHONE PLAZA 3-3331 November 28, 1960

DISTRICT OFFICE 03 WILCO BUILDING MIDLAND, TEXAS

JOHN W. RUWWE

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Sante Fe, New Mexico

> Re: Docket 35-60 Case No. 2131

Gentlemen:

It is our understanding that on November 30, 1960, in the subject docket and case, the Commission will consider the application of Robinson Bros Oil Producers for 320 acre spacing for production of natural gas from its Manry Elliott No. 1 well, located in Section 22, Township 11 South, Range 31 East, Chaves County, New Mexico.

Trice Production Company contemplates the drilling of a Pennsylvanian test well located South of the above described Manry Elliott No. 1 well, such well to be commenced by Trice within the near future.

As an offsetting operator, Trice Production Company agrees with Robinson Bros Oil Producers that proper spacing for Pennsylvanian natural gas production in this area should be 320 acres. From present production history, it is the opinion of Trice that an 11,000 foot well cannot be economically justified in this area on 160 acre spacing.

Very truly yours,

TRICE PRODUCTION COMPANY

BY: Joh W. Ruwwe, District

E HEALER SO UNDER

John W. Ruwwe, Di stri Wanager

JLP/deo

(Char 2131

HIRAM M DOW CLARENCE E. HINKLE W E. BONDURANT, JR. 1969 COT BONDUMAN, J. GEORGE H. HUNKER, J. HOWARD CLARATTON ! S. B. CHRISTI IN LEWIS C. COX, JR. PAUL W. EATON, JR. CONRAD E. COFFIELD

LAW OFFICES HERVEY, DOW & HINKLE HINKLE BUILDING ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO

TELEPHONE MAIN 2-6510 Post Office Box 547

October 26, 1960

Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr. New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Porter:

Robinson Brothers Drilling Company hereby makes request for a hearing before an Examiner of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission.

It is requested that a hearing be schedule at the earliest possible date to designate a Pennsylvanian Gas Pool comprising the N_2^1 of Section 22, T. 11 S., R. 31 E., N.M.P.M., Lea County, New Mexico. It is further requested that the hearing cover the establishment of pool rules for the gas pool. Chaves

One specific pool rule which will be requested will be the establishment of 320-acre spacing or drilling units in the pool.

It will be appreciated if you will set this matter down for hearing at the earliest available Examiner docket.

Very truly yours,

HERVEY, DOW & HINKLE

By thomas that

HCB:db

applicants name and caunty changed on per telephone conversation of 10/31/60. Rom

NEW ADDRESS AND ADDRESS AND ADDRESS ADDRE

· New Mexico



THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS NEWS-LETTER IS PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL, FOR LIMITED PUBLICATION ONLY. NO PART MAY BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT SPECIFIC PERMISSION, COPYRIGHT 1957, NEW MEXICO STATEHOUSE REPORTING SERVICE.

THIS WEEK AT A GLANCE:

December 2, 1960 Vol. 4 - No. 43

- Empire-Abo Interest Sold to Pan Am Page 1
- * Official Tally Counts Out Amendment 2 Page 1
- * Joe Leonard Will Head Independent Group Page 2 * Jack Campbell Trying for Sneaker's Job - Page 3
- * Jack Campbell Trying for Speaker's Job Page 3 * Report November 30 Hearing - Page 1
- * Report November 30 Hearing Page 4 * New Locations, Completions - Page 7

HONDO SELLS CHUNK OF PRODUCTION TO PAN AM IN CHALK BLUFF DRAW AREA

A joint announcement issued this week reveals details of a transaction through which Pan American Petroleum Corporation has purchased Hondo Oil and Gas Company's working interest in about 2,000 net acres in the Empire-Abo area of Eddy County.

The purchase includes working interest in three gas wells producing from the Pennsylvanian and five Abo reef oil wells, these in the Chalk Bluff Draw Unit operated by Humble Oil & Refining Company. There are a number of other potential locations on the acreage.

> Also included: the Abo rights on two 40-acre tracts two to three miles east of the unit - the State EA and EB Leases, on each of which there is one producing oil well. These two leases are adjacent to several other producing tracts owned jointly by Hondo and Pan Am, and operated by the Latter.

The properties are located in Townships 17 and 18 South, Ranges 27 and 28 East, Eddy County. Current production from the seven oil wells is approximately 340 net barrels daily, and from the gas wells about 1500 MCF FD.

The 7500-acre Chalk Bluff Draw Unit, which is operated by Humble, has production from the Empire-Abo and Red Lake-Pennsylvanian Pools. The important Empire-Abo Pool, discovered by Pan American and Hundo (then Malco Refineries, Inc.) late in 1957, has seen rapid development and now is one of the state's major pools. The two companies have continued to be very active in the pool's development. Jointly they own about 100 wells, with a daily average production of about 6,000 barrels.

The two companies also own the Empire-Abo gasoline plant some 13 miles southeast of Artesia, which started gas processing operations in September with an initial compressor capacity of 10 million cubic feet per day, with processing vessels designed for twice that amount.

This week's announcement was made by C. F. Bedford of Fort Worth, vice president and division manager of Pan American, and by Robert O. Anderson and Donald B. Anderson of Roswell, Hondo officials.

Annual Legislative Session? The Vote Is NO

Amendment 2, which would have meant a session of the state legislature each year, was defeated by a vote of 61,340 to 58,405 on November 8. First returns indicated otherwise, but when busy state officials sized up actual returns this week, they discovered that voters didn't want the extra 30day session. This means the usual single session, starting in 1961 on January 10.

Annual Meeting Gets Good Turn-Out

JOE LEONARD NAMED 1961 PRESIDENT OF INDEPENDENT PRODUCERS AND ROYALTY OWNERS

Joe Leonard of Leonard Oil Company, Roswell, this week was elected president of the Independent Producers and Royalty Owners Association of New Mexico for the coming year. At the same time, three new directors were selected for one-year terms: Raymond Lamb, Artesia, and Dick Hall and Tom Ingram T. Roswell.

, 'he Association held its annual meeting Tuesday in Roswell, heard speeches Jy Walter Famariss, Jr., Hobbs, Famariss Oil & Refining Company; Tom Wiley, state superintendent of public instruction; Bill Hutcheson, president of the new Security National Bank of Roswell, formerly executive vice president of the Midland National; and Will Harrison, New Mexico's leading political commentator and columnist.

> As a point of business, the board of directors of the group resolved to do whatever possible to support the position of Phillips and others who are seeking to have the Federal Power Commission abandon dual pricing in the Permian Basin area, according to General Counsel Jack Campbell.

This year's meeting drew a good attendance of about 125, with a 'no-speech' banquet Tuesday night topping it off. U.S. Rep. Tom Morris attended the meeting, as did A.L. Porter, secretary-director of the Oil Conservation Commission.

Will Harrison in a brisk luncheon speech alternated entertainment with straight facts, tossed out a few sharp comments on the recent election. Referring to the lieutenant governor's race, in which Oilman ...om Bolack now has a margin of 288 votes over his Democratic opponent, Joe A. Montoya, Will gave a run-down on the careers of the two Joe Montoyas (one of them now a congressman).

> "Now there is hardly anybody in New Mexico who can distinguish between Joe A. Montoya and Joe M. Montoya," he said, "and when voters see them both on the same ballot....they just vote for both and make sure they voted for the one they like. This made it awfully hard on Tom Bolack. He had to run against two Joe Montoyas but he did scramble through and became the first Republican besides Ed Mechem to be elected to anything in the statehouse since 1930."

Harrison handed out a few compliments to the industry - termed it "the most respected industry in New Mexico," basing this on the fact that "the oil people in New Mexico go their own way and mind their own business" and maintain no oil block in the legislature and no oil lobby at La Fonda.

> "The oil industry has had its lurid years in New Mexico like everywhere else," he said, "but you guys must be getting old. The business is tiptoeing along now with the decorum and propriety of a retired madam who has inherited a house next to a Baptist church."

Walter Famariss of Hobbs, who is now the state's only independent refiner, took a world-wide look at the oil economy and pinned it down to its effects on this state. "A great shift in world oil economics is occurring," Famariss said. "The most frightening part of this shift is the entry of Soviet crude oil in the free-world markets at cut price rates and the undoubtedly soon-to-come introduction of Soviet-produced petroleum products into the same markets."

And turning to New Mexico itself, Famariss told the independents: "The unvarnished fact is that your oil is being shoved right out of the market; be it design or happenstance, it is a fact. Your oil occupies no more space in the New Mexico market than finished diamends do in the Union of South

Africa....New Mexico is your market, but you sure don't have it....New Mexico is the only major oil-producing state which has a net import of product - that is, does not produce the products it consumes.

"You surrender in products consumed in New Mexico crude cil markets of 25,500 barrels per day on a net balance basis. Actually forgetting the net balance basis, your loss is many times this: New Mexico surrenders to other states and loses annually an economic value of \$93,170,000 - almost one-tenth of a billion dollars. If this alone were corrected, the prosperity which would fall to our state is unbelievable."

INCIDENTAL INFORMATION: At this writing, there has been no official announcement as to whether court contest of the recent election will be made, as related to the positions of governor and lieutenant governor. It was announced this week that $\frac{E_{\rm d}}{Hartman},$ who has been state comptroller during previous Mechem administrations, will take the job again. Long interested in the oil business, Hartman has spent the last couple of years in Farmington. Governor Burroughs plans to leave Sunday for Phoenix for the Interstate Oil Compact Commission meeting, which of course will be attended by many state officials and others directly connected with the oil industry. Right now it appears that the only hearings held by the Oil Commission during this month will be these already advertised for December 12 and 14. There are as yet no orders in the Escrito de novo case heard a couple of weeks ago (see November 18 report) or in the two Ohic 80-acre cases also heard at the regular November 16 hearing Both the Oil Commission and the Land Office are now installed in their new quarters, and this has taken much valiant effort. Moving was quite a big jcb, but even the Oil Commission's Univac came through with only slight readjustment necessary. This week's examiner hearing was held in the OCC conference room quite comfortably One of the busiest men in New Mexico this week: Jack Campbell of Roswell. He helped run the meeting in Roswell Tuesday of the Independent Producers and Royalty Owners Association, then rushed to Sania Fe for an OCC hearing Wednesday, in which he had two important cases (see below). He also spent a lot of time in Santa Fe conferring with other members of the state house of representatives in an effort to wind up as speaker of the house. Speaker last term was <u>Mack Easley</u> of Hobbs, who no doubt hopes to win the position again. Jack has just been re-elected to his fourth straight term in the lower house. <u>Bill Culbertson</u>, San Miguel County rancher who was majority floor leader in 1959, has also been mentioned as a possibility for this job Everybody was pretty surprised this week to look up and discover that one of the constitutional amendments which had been presumed passed just didn't quite make it after all (see Page 1). First unofficial returns indicated that voters had looked with favor upon this measure, which would have provided another session of the legislature of 30 days during even-numbered years, and which also would have provided a later starting date for the regular session - a fine idea, because as the date now falls, a new governor is confronted with a meeting of the legislature almost before he has time to take a good look around the office. These past three weeks brought so much controversy about records for the two top state jobs that nobody thought much more about the amendment. However, official records finally brought out the actual truth: the amendment was not approved by the voters. This means no extra session, and also means that the legislature will as usual start its session on the second Tuesday in January Next week this publication will try to carry a few nighlights from speeches and papers presented by members of the New Mexico delegation to the ICCC meeting in Phcenix.

Case Added to December 12 Docket

A single case (Case 2116) has been added to those already adverticed for graminar hearing on December 12 by the Oil Commission (see November 25 report). It is Humble's application for approval of oil-oil dual completion of its D. H. Crockett Well No. 1 in 21-15S-36E (Unit C) and would mean production of oil from both the Caudill-Wolfcamp and Caudill-Devonian Pools.

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS: NOVEMBER 30 OCC EXAMINER HEARING, SANTA FE

With Chief Engineer Dan Nutter as examiner, the Oil Conservation Commission considered several cases in hearing November 30, with these under consideration:

* El Paso proposes oil-oil dual (Case 2125). El Pasc Natural Gas Company, represented by Attorney Garrett Whitworth and Gerald A. Hickson, proration engineer, proposed oil-oil dual completion of its Lusk Deep Unit No. 1 in 19-19S-32E to obtain oil from undesignated Bone Springs and Pennsylvanian pays through parallel strings of tubing. The well was completed October 5, 1960. The lower pay, the Strawn lime, on a 6'20" test produced 151 barrels of 50.1-gravity oil (GOR 6 days after completion was 2771-to-1). The upper pay, the Bone Springs dolomite, produced 42.2 barrels in 12 hours, gravity 39.1, GOR 1539 after 6 days. Shut-in pressure information: Strawn 3086#, Bone Springs 1330#, this psig at surface.

> Hickson cited the good pressure differential and marked difference in gravities as means of determining leakage, if any. El Paso plans to use two packers - a hydraulically set Brown Oil Tool 17-2-C packer set at 8717, above the Bone Springs perforations, and a lower separation packer to be set at 11,134, above the Strawn perforations; this would be a retrievable HS-16-1 packer, Hickson explained.

* Tidal asks approval of unorthodox location (Case 2126). Tidal Oil Company, represented by Morris B: Jones, Albuquerque consulting engineer, described Tidal Oil Company's need for approval of unorthodox location for its Pictured Cliffs test at a point 1150' FSL and 2040' FWL 21-24N-1W, Rio Arriba County. It wasn't until the second well was drilled that the company discovered that the No. 1 well was not located at the proper surveyor's stake, hence the request. There appeared to be some terrain difficulty which stood in the way of drilling at the surveyed site.

* Three requests from Sinclair (Cases 2127, 2128, 2129). With Homer Burton as attorney and R. M. Anderson, Midland, senior petroleum engineer, as witness, Sinclair Oil & Gas Company presented reasons for three separate requests: first, it asked permission to commingle production from two separate pools for handling by ACT. Involved are three Devonian completions and four Dean Permo-Pennsylvanian completions, this including three duals. Andersch explained that ownersip is common, horizontally and vertically, and that a package unit prefabricated in Sinclair's Tulsa shops will be used. The Pennsylvanian production will go through a p.d. meter, then commingled with the Devonian, which will be determined by subtraction. Wells apparently are now producing at about 3/4 assigned allowable; no price advantage or disadvantage will result from commingling of the gravities. The lease concerned is Sinclair's State Lea 396 in 35-15S-36E.

Next, Sinclair proposed commingling of production from two separate pools underlying its T. D. Pope Lease, W/2 36-14S-37E - Denton-Devonian and Denton-Wolfcamp, present and future wells, and for an ACT system to handle this. Now involved: 8 Devonian wells, 5 Wolfcamp. Anderson said this is more complicated because Kobe pumping equipment is to be installed for the Devonian. In this case, Sinclair plans to meter the Wolfcamp side. Neither zone is now producing top allowable. The lease is fully developed except for three possible Wolfcamp wells. In this case, too, the commingling will bring no price change. A vapor-recovery unit is now being installed. Page 4 Third, a final commingling request from Sinclair: this involves not only two separate pools (Denton-Devonian and Denton-Wolfcamp) but also two separate leases in 14S-37E; the Whitman 'A', NE/4 Section 26, and the Whitman 'B', E/2 Section 23. This commingling would be after separate measurement, and the oil also would be handled by a proposed ACT set-up. The 'A' Lease has 4 Devonian wells, 2 Wolfcamp, and the 'B' has 2 Devonian, 1 Wolfcamp, all wells pumping. Here again Kobe equipment will be used on the Devonian. Here again, no price change.

* San Juan Basin operators need force pooling (Case 2130). With a single hold-out, one Sam Carson of Farmington, Redfern and Herd, Inc., Midland, need a force pooling order to permit operation of a unit consisting of W/2 29-29N-11W in the new Basin-Dakota Pool. John Redfern, president of the company, said that from all indications the entire 320 will be productive of gas from the Dakota, and his company hopes to drill a well in the near future. Carson's interest is 1/8 of 1/8 of 40 acres, and Redfern said he believes that Carson's failure to join perhaps results from lack of understanding, inasmuch as deinial would actually deny him his fair share of Dakota reserves. The lease can be held only by production.

* Robinson Brothers ask for new gas pool (Case 2131). Robinson Brothers Oil Producers through Attorney Howard Bratton and Randall Montgomery, Hobbs, independent geologist, asked establishment of a new gas pool for Pennsylvanian production consisting of N/2 22-11S-31E, Lea County. Their proposed rules, with a flexible spacing pattern, are virtually the same as those now existing for the Bagley-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool 10 miles east.

The Robinson well, the only Morrow sand in the area now capable of producing, tested 22,900,000 CAOF (cu. ft. per day), with the pay at about 11,000'. Montgomery said the pay zone is about 30' thick, a white quartzitic, very coarse-grained sand, semi-angular. As to the fluid produced, the sample was very light (about the color of a martini), 57° - what he described as a typical condensate, "almost like machine oil. He termed the sand very porous and permeable, and the reservoir tortuous and meander-ding, producing from the same sand strike as the Empire, Red Lake and Atoka.

He considered that the operator might get a 6-to-1 on 320, only 3-to 1 on 160. The Robinson well cost about \$215,00 to \$220,000, even though it was entry of an old hole. He advocated a 'wait-and-see' allitude in drilling while further information is developed.

Chester Skrabacz, Midland consulting geologist who sat on the well during completion, described difficulties in completion in order to get encouraging response. Acid and perforating treatment ran around \$30,000, he said (interval 10,865 - 10,915). Even so, it was impossible to attain again the full 22 million cu. ft. PD indicated by DST. It was his conclusion that the formation is so permeable that it takes a lot of the cement, and that the operator was fortunate to get back the amount of production he did.

J. T. Hollis appeared for H. L. Hunt, also an operator in the pool, agreeing in substance with the Robinson witnesses but advocating even wider spacing - 640, if possible.

* <u>Humble presents two requests</u> (Cases 2132, 2133). Attorney Howard Bratton and Lee Perry, Hobbs, senior petroleum engineer, detailed two requests from Humble Oil & Refining Company: first, for permission to commingle production from several pools underlying the State 'V' Lease in 10-21S-37E. This would affect 10 oil wells, none top allowable, producing from six zones. These would be metered together, with production distributed by well tests, Perry said. Also affected: a dual gas well, with its condensate to be metered. Then production from all 8 prorated pools would be commingled into common tankage.

Involved are one Blinebry oil well, 2 Brunson oil wells, 2 Drinkard oil wells, 3 Hare oil wells, a Tubb oil well, a Wantz-Abo oil well, and the dual Blinebry-Tubb gas well. Total production in October 1960 was 145 BOPD, with a definite trend of declining production. (In fact, Perry said that 2 Hare wells are now off production.) The dual gas well adds 34 BPD of 52-gravity condensate for a real total of 179 BOPD. He described the equipment and measuring procedures, said "Salvage at the existing battery alone will save \$6,000. In addition, with the continued depletion of this lease we would have to add four treaters costing \$16,000 to present facilities to handle the water production."

Humble's second request proposed an ACT system for handling of Callup production (undesignated pool) from present and future wells on its Navajo 'L' Lease, Sections 25, 26, 35 and 36 in Township 29 North, Range 14 West, San Juan County. Also sought: permission to store production from more than 16 wells in common tankage. Five wells are now completed, a sixth in process of completion, and two more are just about ready to start operations.

> The lease is about six miles southwest of Farmington, in line with a proposed extension of the Cha Cha-Gallup Pool. If the extension is approved, the lease will produce about 2500 BOPD and would need 10,000 barrels tankage. With ACT, two 500-barrel tanks will be sufficient.

He described the ACT system - a conventional type similar to others already in use in the Farmington area, with the usual safety devices. He said Four Corners Pipeline has approved the system as purchaser.

* <u>Nearburg & Ingram need rules for new area</u> (Cases 2134, 2135). Nearburg & Ingram, Roswell operators with Jack Campbell as counsel, presented three witnesses in outlining plans for operation of a new oil pool for Pennsylvanian production - NW/1, 35-12S-37E, Lea County. Simultaneous and related applications involved dual completion of their Midhurst No. 1, NW/NW 35-12S-37E, as a dual to produce oil from an undesignated Pennsylvanian pool and from the Southwest Gladiola-Devonian Pool through parallel strings of tubing. Further, they proposed an ACT system to handle the production from two common sources of supply.

Tom Ingram as a geological engineer described the area and characteristics of the two horizons, in which Atoka perforations are 11,119-11,124 and Devonian 12,188-12,198, and he believes that the two zones are separated by impermeable barriers. The operators hope for the same type order as that issued for the Southwest Gladiola-Devonian Pool - 80 acres, with flexible pattern. They consider the two reservoirs relatively co-extensive, plan a dual-completion program.

The Atoka flowed 358 BO in 17 hours, GOR 4000, gravity 52, 3565# bhp on DST. The Devonian gravity is also 52, GOR 650, bhp 4640#. Ingram considers that pressures show excellent permeability, and he believes that the well can drain at least 80 acres. Cost of an Atoka well alone is \$200,000. On 40, he felt it would not be economically feasible. They expect 2 to 4 more wells in the area.

Ralph Gray, Artesia consultant, presented deatils of the pronosed dual completion, utilizing 2 strings of 2-1/16" tubing and a Baker Model D packer; George Williams, Midland, divisional sales engineer for Mid-Continent, described the planned ACT installation, which he said is similar to several already in use in SE New Mexico. Operators do not plan to meter the Devonian separately, would meter the Pennsylvanian and then deduct, thus saving \$500 per installation. It was brought out, however, that any metering system required by the OCC will be used.

Operators hope to produce the dual, obtain as much information as possible, and present it to the Commission in July, when the Southwest Gladiola case will be called again under terms of its temporary rules. Attorney Earl Hartley spoke up for his clients, Lowe Land Company and others, concurring in the operators' request.

December 2, 1960

Completions from Northwestern New Mexico were not available this week, but will be carried in next week's report.

NORTHWESTERN NEW MEXICO

NEW LOCATIONS

Basin Dakota

PD 6800, Basin

PD 7000, Basin

PD 7075, Basin

PD 7000, Basin

PD 6200, Basin

PD 1000, Basin

PD 1000, Basin

PD 5300, Bisti

PD 5860, Undesig

PD 5650, Totah

PD 5700, Escrito

NEW LOCATIONS

Abo test

Queen test

Grayburg test

Ellenburger test

Wildcat

Wildcat

Wildcat

PD 6225, Elev 5652 DF

PD 5100, undesignated

Gallup test, elow not given

Dakota test, elev 6436 GL

Dakota test, elev 6584 DF

Dakota test, elev 6699 DF

Dakota test, elev 5944 GL

Dakota test, elev 5844 GL

Dakota test, elev 5063 DF

Dakota test, elev 5146 GL

Gallup test, elev 6571 GL

Gallup test, elev 6115 OL

Gallup test, elev 5804 GL

Gallup test, elev 6748 GL

East Millman-Queen Grayburg

Dual: Dakota & Gallup tests, elev

6900 CL, PD 7100, Devils Fork & Undesig

*

Texas National 1 Moncrief Govt Pan American

3 Navajo Tribal G

J Glenn Turner 61-4 Huerfanito Unit

Kay Kimbell 2 Bolack

Kay Kimbell 1 Bolack

Southwest Production 1 Newberry Fed

Southwest Production 4 Edgar Fed

R L Bayless 1 Neva

R L Bayless 1 Brenda

H F Sears

1-27 Navajo

Aztec 8-0 Robinson

Aztec 12-G Hagood

Val R Reese 2-22 Lybrook

Edna W Merrion Trust 1 Edna

SOUTHEASTERN NEW MEXICO

Gulf, 1 Eddy State DF

Western Yates 165 St 648 Tract 15

Simms & Reese 1 TPState

Humble 2 Bandana Point Unit

J F McAdams 1 Nettie Lewis Fed

December 2, 1960

1-24N-6W 2175/N 1850/E RA 1-24N-6W 1190/N 990/W RA 17-31N-12W

22-29N-12W

20-29N-14W

4-26N-9W

660/N 2210/W

1450/N 1190/E SJ

1850/N 1650/E SJ

SJ

SJ

SJ

RA

990 S&W/L SJ 15-27N-12W

1750/S 1650/W SJ

7-30N-17W 330/S 430/S

8-30N-17W 330/S 1650/E SJ

27-25N-11W 660/N 2080/W

22-28N-13W 660/N 1980/E SJ

34-29N-13W 910/W 2050/S SJ

22-24N-7W 2060/S 660/E RA

7-24N-6W 790 S&W/L

2g-175-29E 1980/S 660/W Eddy

> 15-198-28E 1650 S&E/L

30-195-28E 1980 S&E/L

2-235-23**5** 660/N 1980/E

13-185-25E 330 S&W/L San Andres test Bitter Lake

J Grady Wright 1 McCallister Fed

Sinclair 25 H E West B

Wm & Ed Hudson 20 Puckett B

Kersey & E A Hanson 2 Denton

William Hudson 2B Federal

Humble 29 ACBD Unit

Donnelly Drilling 1 Featherstone

Sinclair 1 Federal Eddy 193

Lone Star Production 2 Federal N M

Coastal States Gas 2 Federal Hayes

Cities Service 1 Turner D

Amerada 1 State V C

John M Kelly 1 State PE

Cities Service 2 State BF

Texaco 2 G E Gordon NCT-1

Tennessee Gas 2 State E L Bradley

Texaco 3 G E Jordan Fed NCT-1

SOUTHEASTERN NEW MEXICO

Ernest A Hanson 4 Levick State D

Lawrence C Harris 1 Read State

Sinclair 72 Turner B SP

Chambers & Kennedy 2 Monterey State

Western Yates 163 State 648 Tract 15 660 N&W/L

Eddy

3-175-318 660 S&E/L

28-16**8**-29E

25-17**S-31E** 660/S 1980/W

21-185-295 330/S 1650/E

6-185-27E 1650/E 2310/N

17-185-27E 1650/S 330/E

5-195-31E 2310/N 330/E

28-225-21B 1650/N 2055/E

20-85-36**E** Roose 660/S 1980/E

30-85-37E 660 S&E/L

27-128-37E Lea 660/S 1980/W

36-175-355 2050/5 589/W

11-185-36E 1980 S&W/L

16-208-33B 1980 S&W/L

15-255-32E 1980 S&W/L

16-255-32E 660 N&E/L

22-255-32E 1980/N 660/W

15-118-27E Chav 330 N&E/L

11-175-28E 1980/N 660/E

20-175-31E 330/S 1980/E

32-185-31**B** 660 N&W/L

15-195-28E 330/S 1980/W San Andres test Forest undesig

Grayburg test Grayburg-Jackson

Grayburg-San Andres test Maljamer

Queen test Turkey Track undesig

Grayburg-San Andres test Red Lake

Abo test Empire-Abo undesig

Queen test Culwin undesig

Abo test Wildcat

Bough test Undesig

Bough test Bluitt-Penn

Devonian test SW Gladiola-Devonian

Abo test Wildcat

Queen-Grayburg test Wildcat

Yates test Undesig

Delaware test Undesig

Delaware test Undesig

Delaware test Undesig

COMPLETIONS

Prod Form Queen, Coyote-Queen McWood PL conn 11/18

Prod Form Penrose, East Red Lake-Queen Cactus PL conn 11/14

Prod Form Abo Reef, Cedar Lake-Abo undesig T&NM PL conn 11/16

Prod Form Yates sand, Undesig Cactus PL conn 11/21

Prod Form Queen, East Millman, undesig Continental PL conn 11/16

Man Bulles Streams Friday and States and States

Len Mayer 2 Barbara Federal

No. of the second second

Capoco Corporation 1 Spencer

R E Sutton 1 Humble State

Tennessee Gas 3 G E Jordan USA

Cosden 1 Federal D

Gulf 2 W M Rinewalt

Humble 20 NM State M

Sinclair 19 J H McClure

Chase Petroleum 1 Cox Federal

Eddy 29-198-31E 2310/S 990/W

36-85-14E 330 S&E/L

16-25S-27E 660 N&W/L

Lea 15-255-32E 660/N 1980/W

29-85-36**5** 660 N&E/L

4-225-37E 1874/N 750/W

29-228-37E 1980 N&W/L

19-245-38E 1650/s 1980/E

1-95-36**B** 660 N&W/L

Prod Form Yates, N Hackberry-Yates Cactus PL conn 11/19

P&A Wildcat

PR.A Wildcat Frod Form Delaware, Undesignated pool Vactus PL conn 11/15

Prod Form Bough C, Undesig McWood PL conn 11/17

Prod Form Grayburg, Penrose-Skelly Shell PL conn 11/4

Prod Form Drinkard and Langlie-Mattix T&NM PL conn 11/9

Prod Forms Queen and Drinkard, Dollarhide T&NM PL conn 11/22

Prod Form Pennsylvanian, Allison-Penn McWood PL conn 11/17

 $\left| \frac{1}{2} \right|$

December 2, 1960

Page 9

NO. 35-60

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING, WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 30, 1960

Oil Conservation Commission - 9 a.m., STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, Santa Fe. N.M.

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Oliver E. Payne, attorney, as alternate examiner:

- CASE 2125: Application of El Paso Natural Gas Company for an oil-oil dual completion. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the dual completion of its Lusk Deep Unit Well No. 1, located in Unit A, Section 19, Township 19 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from an undesignated Bone Springs pool and the production of oil from an undesignated Pennsylvanian pool through parallel strings of tubing.
- CASE 2126: Application of Tidal Oil Company for an unorthodox gas well location. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of an unorthodox gas well location in an undesignated Pictured Cliffs pool at a point 1150 feet from the South line and 2040 feet from the West line of Section 21, Township 24 North, Range 1 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.

CASE 2127:

Application of Sinclair Oil & Gas Company for permission to commingle the production from two separate pools and for an automatic custody transfer system. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks permission to commingle the production from the Dean Devonian and Dean Permo-Pennsylvanian Pools from all wells presently completed or hereafter drilled on its State Lea 396 Lease consisting of the NE/4, S/2 NW/4, and N/2 SW/4 of Section 35, Township 15 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico, after separately metering only the production from the Dean Permo-Pennsylvanian Pool. Applicant further seeks permission to install an automatic custody transfer system to handle said commingled production.

CASE 2128:

Application of Sinclair Oil & Gas Company for permission to commingle the production from two separate pools and for an automatic custody transfer system. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks permission to commingle the production from the Denton-Devonian and Denton-Wolfcamp Pools from all wells presently completed or hereafter drilled on its T. D. Pope Lease, consisting of the W/2 of Section 36, Township 14 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico after separately metering only the Denton-Wolfcamp production. Applicant further seeks permission to install an automatic custody transfer system to handle said commingled production. Docket No. 35-60

CASE 2129:

-2-

Application of Sinclair Oil & Gas Company for permission to commingle the production from two separate pools from two separate leases and for an automatic custody transfer system Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks permission to commingle, after separate measurement, the production from the Denton-Devonian and Denton-Wolfcamp Pools from all wells presently completed or hereafter drilled on the following-described leases:

> Whitman "A" Lease, NE/4 of Section 26 Whitman "B" Lease, E/2 of Section 23,

both in Township 14 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, and for permission to install an automatic custody transfer system to handle said commingled production.

CASE 2130:

Application of Redfern and Herd, Inc., for an order force-pooling a standard 320-acre gas proration unit in the Basin-Dakota Pool. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order force-pooling all mineral interests in the Dakota Producing Interval in the W/2 of Section 29, Township 29 North, Range 11 West, Basin-Dakota Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico. The following are among the interested parties:

Aztec Oil & Gas Company, Summit Oil Company, Ruth Schrecht, Edwin A. Schrecht, Ella Blaise, and Sam Carson.

CASE 2131:

Application of Robinson Brothers Oil Producers for the creation of a new gas pool and for the promulgation of special rules and regulations therefor. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the creation of a new gas pool for Pennsylvanian production consisting of the N/2 of Section 22, Township 11 South, Range 31 East, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant further seeks the promulgation of special rules and regulations governing said pool including a provision for 320-acre gas proration units.

CASE 2132:

Application of Humble Oil & Refining Company for permission to commingle the production from several pools. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks permission to commingle the production from the following pools underlying the State "V" Lease consisting of the SW/4, W/2 SE/4, and NE/4 SE/4 of Section 10, Township 21 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico: Blinebry Oil Pool, Brunson Pool, Drinkard Pool, Hare Pool, Tubb Oil Pool, Wantz Abo Pool, Blinebry Gas Pool and Tubb Gas Pool, with allocation to the various pools being based on monthly well tests except that separate metering and separation facilities would be used for oil well and gas well completions. -3-Docket No. 35-60

CASE 2133:

CASE 2134:

4.3

Application of Humble Oil & Refining Company for an automatic custody transfer system and for an exception to Rule 309 (a). Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks permission to install an automatic custody transfer system to handle the Gallup production from an undesignated Gallup pool from all wells presentduction from an undesignated on its Navajo "L" Lease duction from leted or hereafter drilled on its Navajo "L" Lease is consisting of all or portions of Sections 25, 26, 35 and 36; Township 29 North, Range 14 West, San Juan County, New Mexico.

Township 29 North, Range 1. Township 29 North, Range 1. Application of Nearburg & Ingram for a dual completion, for permission to commingle the production from two separate pools, and for an automatic custody transfer system. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the dual compleabove-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the MW/4 of tion of its Midhurst Well No. 1, located in the NW/4 NW/4 of Section 35, Township 12 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Section 35, Township 12 South, Range 37 East, Lea County of Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from an undesignated Pennsylvanian Pool and the production of if rom the Southwest Gladiola-Devonian Pool through parallel oil from the Southwest Gladiola-Devonian Sources of supply strings of tubing. Applicant further seeks permission to igommingle the production from said two common sources of supply from all wells on its Midhurst Lease consisting of the NW/4 of said Section 35 and for permission to install an automatic custody transfer system to handle said commingled production.

CASE 2135:

Application of Nearburg & Ingram for an order creating a new oil pool and for the promulgation of temporary special rules and regulations therefor. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the creation of a new Oil pool for Pennsylvanian production consisting of the NW/4 of Section 35, Township 12 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant further seeks the promulgation of temporary special rules and regulations governing said pool including a provision for 80-acre proration units.

其10月6日和1月1日月月月月月月月月月日,1月1日月月1日,1月1日月日,1月1日月日,1月1日月日,1月1日日,1

ig/

GUVERNOR John Burroughs Chairman

State of New Wexico Oil Conservation Commission

LAND COMMISSIONER MURRAY E. MORGAN MEMBER

STATE GEOLOGIST A. L. PORTER, JR. Secretary Director

December 14, 1960

Re:

P. O. BOX 871 SANTA FE

Mr. Howard Bratton Hervey, Dow & Hinkle Box 547 Roswell, New Mexico

Case No. 2131 Order No. R-1839 Applicant:

Robinson Brothers Oil Prodeers

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Secretary-Director

ir/

Carbon copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs				
Artesi	a 00	CI	**************************************	
Aztec	000			

Other Mr. Jason Kellahin

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 1669 Order No. R-1417-A

APPLICATION OF PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORPORATION FOR AN ORDER PROMULGATING TEMPORARY SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR THE ATOKA-PENNSYLVANIAN GAS POOL, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, TO PROVIDE FOR 320-ACRE SPACING.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on May 18, 1960, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission."

NOW, on this 25th day of May, 1960, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony presented and the exhibits received at said hearing, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

酸

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Pan American Petroleum Corporation, seeks an order continuing the temporary Special Rules and Regulations governing the Atoka-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, in effect until June 1, 1961.

(3) That the conditions existing in the said Atoka-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool at the present time are substantially the same as were the conditions when Order No. R-1417 was entered, and that such temporary Rules and Regulations should, therefore, be continued in effect until June 1, 1961.

(4) That this case should be heard again by the Commission at its regular monthly hearing in May, 1961, to permit the applicant and all other interested parties to appear and show cause why 320-acre spacing in the Atoka-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool should be continued in effect.

(5) That the testimony presented establishes that the said Atoka-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool should be extended to include certain additional acreage.

and the south of the second

-2-CASE No. 1669 Order No. R-1417-A

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the temporary Special Rules and Regulations now in effect in the Atoka-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, as promulgated by Order No. R-1417, be and the same are hereby continued in effect until June 1, 1961.

(2) That this case shall be called for hearing before the Commission at its regular monthly hearing in May, 1961, to permit the applicant and all other interested parties to appear and show cause why the Special Rules and Regulations in effect in the Atoka-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool should be continued beyond June 1, 1961.

(3) That the horizontal limits of the Atoka-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, be and the same are hereby extended to include the following acreage:

> TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 26 EAST, NMPM Section 28: N/2 Section 29: All

> > "Tursa di Angela di A

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION JOHN BURROUGHS, Chairman

MURRAY E. MORGAN, Momber

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Mombor & Secretary

esr/

SEAL

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 2131 Order No. R-1839 NOMENCIATURE

APPLICATION OF ROBINSON BROTHERS OIL PRODUCERS FOR THE CREATION OF A NEW GAS POOL FOR PENNSYLVANIAN PRODUCTION IN CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, AND FOR THE PROMULGATION OF SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR SAID POOL.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on Nevember 30, 1960, at Santa Fe, New Maxico, before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this 14th day of December, 1960, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Daniel S. Nutter, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Robinson Brothers Oil Producers, seeks an order creating a new gas pool for Pennsylvanian production to be designated as the TV-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, consisting of the N/2 of Section 22, Township 11 South, Range 31 East, NMPM, Chaves County, New Mexico.

(3) That the establishment of 320-acre gas provation units in the TV-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool on a temporary basis is justified by the evidence presented as to drainage.

(4) That the 320-acre units should comprise any two contiguous quarter sections of a single governmental section.

(5) That no well should be drilled to or recompleted in the TV-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool nearer than 990 feet to a governmental section line nor nearer than 330 feet to a governmental quarter-quarter section line; provided however, that the

-2-CASE NO. 2131 Order No. R-1839 NOMENCLATURE

Secretary-Director of the Commission should have authority to grant exception to the foregoing well location requirements.

(6) That this case should be heard again by the Commission at the regular monthly hearing in December of 1961 to permit the applicant and all other interested parties to appear and show cause why 320-acre proration units in the TV-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool should be continued in effect.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That a new pool in Chaves County, New Mexico, classified as a gas pool for Pennsylvanian production, be and the same is hereby created and designated as the TV-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, consisting of the following described acreage:

TOWNSHIP 11 SOUTH, RANGE 31 EAST, NMPM Section 22: N/2

(2) That temporary special rules and regulations for the TV-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool in Chaves County, New Mexico, be and the same are hereby promulgated as follows, effective December 31, 1960.

SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR THE TV-PENNSYLVANIAN GAS POOL

RULE 1. Each well completed or recompleted in the Pennsylvanian formation within one mile of the boundary of the TV-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool and not nearer to nor within the boundaries of another designated Pennsylvanian gas pool, shall be drilled, spaced, and produced in accordance with the special rules and regulations hereinafter set forth.

RULE 2. (a). Each well completed or recompleted in the TV-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool shall be located on a tract consisting of approximately 320 acres comprising any two contiguous quarter sections of a single governmental section, being a legal subdivision (half section) of the United States Public Lands Survey. For purposes of these rules, a unit consisting of between 316 and 324 surface contiguous acres shall be considered a standard unit.

(b) The Secretary-Director shall have authority to grant an exception to Rule 2(a) without notice and hearing where an application has been filed in due form and where the unorthodox size or shape of the tract is due to a variation in the legal subdivision of the United States Public Lands Survey, or where the following facts exist and the following provisions are complied with:

(1) The non-standard unit consists of

-3-CASE No. 2131 Order No. R-1839 NOMENCLATURE

contiguous quarter-quarter sections or lots.

(2) The non-standard unit lies wholly within a single governmental section.

(3) The entire non-standard unit may reasonably be presumed to be productive of gas from the TV-Fennsylvanian Gas Pool.

(4) That the applicant presents written consent in the form of waivers from all offset operators and from all operators owning interests in the section in which any part of the non-standard unit is situated and which acreage is not included in said non-standard unit.

(5) In lieu of Paragraph 4 of this Rule, the applicant may furnish proof of the fact that all of the aforesaid operators were notified by registered mail of his intent to form such non-standard unit. The Secretary-Director may approve the application if, after a period of 30 days, no such operator has entered an objection to the formation of such non-standard unit.

<u>RULE 3. (a)</u> Each well completed or recompleted in the TV-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool shall be located no nearer than 990 feet to the outer boundary of the quarter section nor measure than 330 feet to any governmental quarter-quarter section line.

(b) The Sucretary-Director shall have authority to grant exceptions to Rule 3(a) without notice and hearing where an application therefor has been filed in due form and the necessity for the unorthodox location is based on topographical conditions or is occasioned by the recompletion of a well previously drilled to another horizon.

Applicants shall is raish all offset operators and all operators within the section in which the subject well is located a copy of the application to the Commission and shall stipulate to the Commission that proper notice has been furnished to all such operators. The Secretary-Director may approve the application if, after a period of twenty days, no offset operator has entered an objection to the proposed unorthodox location.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

That this case shall be called for hearing before the Commission at its regular monthly hearing in December of 1961, at which time operators in the subject pool shall appear and show cause why the TV-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool should not be developed on 160-acre provation units.

-4-CASE No. 2131 Order No. R-1839 NOMENCLATURE

esr/

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Burnary Joh JOHN BURROUGHS, Chairman

MURRAY E. MORGAN, Hember

all aloga

Eden, A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

DRAFT

RSM/esr

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

December 9

USM 12/12

1.36.000

5.

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF ROBINSON BROTHERS OIL PRODUCERS FOR THE CREATION OF A NEW GAS POOL FOR PENNSYLVANIAN PRODUCTION VIN CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, AND FOR THE PROMULGATION OF SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR SAID POOL.

2131 CASE NO. Order No. R-NOMENCLATURE

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on November 30, 1960, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before <u>Daniel S. Nutter</u>, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, bereinafter referred to as the "Commission " in accordance Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations. day of December, 1960, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Daniel S. , and being fully advised in the premises, Nutter

That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject

That the applicant, Robinson Brothers Oil Producers, matter thereof.

seeks an order creating a new gas pool for Pennsylvanian production to be designated as the Big FON-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, 1. A. 1. consisting of the N/2 of Section 22, Township 11 South, Range

31 East, NMPM, Chaves County, New Mexico. that it probabiNty Is a reasonabl (3) \ That there 160 gas wells o dri rdingly economical ac be POS1: not Pennsylvanian Ga Big FOR in said at lead Li Q patt nel 1.9 5. data is obtained. poo ्रोग -83 (Kel Produceler That the establishment of 320-acre gas proration units whtil such time as in the Big Boot Pennsylvanian Gas Pool on a temporary basis is justified by the evidence presented as & drainage.

-2-CASE NO. 2131

(#) That the 320-acre units should comprise any two contiguous quarter sections of a single governmental section.

(b) That no well should be drilled to or recompleted in the **Hy** Foot-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool nearer than 990 feet to a governmental section line nor nearer than 330 feet to a governmental quarter-quarter section line; provided however, that the Secretary-Director of the Commission should have authority to grant exception to the foregoing well location requirements.

That this case should be heard again by the Commission at the regular monthly hearing in December of 1961 to permit the applicant and all other interested parties to appear and show cause why 320-acre proration units in the **Bly-Foot** Pennsylvanian Gas Pool should be continued in effect.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That a new pool in Chaves County, New Mexico, classified as a gas pool for Pennsylvanian production, be and the same is hereby created and designated as the Big Foots Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, consisting of the following described acreage:

TOWNSHIP 11 SOUTH, RANGE 31 EAST, NMPM Section 22: N/2

(2) That temporary special rules and regulations for the TV-Big-Foot-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool in Lea County, New Mexico, be and the same are hereby promulgated as follows, effective December 31, 1960.

SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR THE BLG FOOT PENNSYLVANIAN GAS POOL

RULE 1. Each well completed or recompleted in the Pennsylvanian formation within one mile of the boundary of the **Brg-Foot TV**-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool and not nearer to nor within the boundaries of another designated Pennsylvanian gas pool, shall be drilled, spaced, and produced in accordance with the special rules and regulations hereinafter set forth.

RULE 2. (a) Each well completed or recompleted in the **Big TV-**Front Pennsylvanian Gas Pool shall be located on a tract consisting of approximately 320 acres comprising any two contiguous quarter sections of a single governmental section, being a legal subdivision (half section) of the U. S. Public Lands Survey. For -3-CASE NO. 2131

purposes of these rules, a unit consisting of between 316 and 324 surface contiguous acres shall be considered a standard unit.

(b) The Secretary-Director shall have authority to grant an exception to Rule 2(a) without notice and hearing where an application has been filed in due form and where the unorthodox size or shape of the tract is due to a variation in the legal subdivision of the United States Public Lands Survey, or where the following facts exist and the following provisions are complied with:

(1) The non-standard unit consists of contiguous quarter-quarter sections or lots.

(2) The non-standard unit lies wholly within a single governmental section.

(3) The entire non-standard unit may reasonably be presumed to be productive of gas from the **Dig-Feet** Pennsyl vanian Gas Pool.

(4) That the applicant presents written consent in the form of waivers from all offset operators and from all operators owning interests in the section in which any part of the non-standard unit is situated and which acreage is not included in said non-standard unit.

(5) In lieu of Paragraph 4 of this Rule, the applicant may furnish proof of the fact that all of the aforesaid operators were notified by registered mail of his intent to form such non-standard unit. The Secretary-Director may approve the application if, after a period of 30 days, no such operator has entered an objection to the formation of such non-standard unit.

RULE 3. (a) Each well completed or recompleted in the Ber.

(b) The Secretary-Director shall have authority to grant exceptions to Rule 3(a) without notice and hearing where an application therefor has been filed in due form and the necessity for the unorthodox location is based on topographical conditions

-4-CASE No. 2131

or is occasioned by the recompletion of a well previously drilled

Applicants shall furnish all offset operators and all operato another horizon. tors within the section in which the subject well is located a copy of the application to the Commission and shall stipulate to the Commission that proper notice has been furnished to all such operators. The Secretary-Director may approve the application if, after a period of twenty days, no offset operator has entered an objection to the proposed unorthodox location.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

That this case shall be called for hearing before the Commission at its regular monthly hearing in December of 1961,at which time operators in the subject pool shall appear and show cause why the Big Foot Pennsylvanian Gas Pool should not be developed on

160-acre proration units.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

Line of the second s

PAGE 1 BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION State Land Office Building Santa Fe, New Mexico November 30, 1960 EXAMINER HEARING PHONE CH 3-6691 IN THE MATTER OF: DEARNLEY-MELER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. Application of Robinson Brothers 011 Producers for the creation of a new gas pool and for the promulgation of special rules and regulations therefor. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the crea-Case 2131 tion of a new gas pool for Pennsylvanian production consisting of the N/2 of Section 22, Township 11 South, Range 31 East, Chaves) County, New Mexico. Applicant further seeks) the promulgation of special rules and regu-) lations governing said pool including a pro-) vision for 320-acre gas proration units. BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING MR. NUTTER: The hearing will come to order. We will take next Case 2131. MR. MORRIS: Application of Robinson Brothers Oil Producers for the creation of a new gas pool and for the promulgation NEW MEXICO of special rules and regulations therefor. ALBU QUERQUE, (Witness sworn.) MR. BRATTON: Howard Bratton, appearing on behalf of applicant, Robinson Brothers Oil Producers. We have one witness. Any other appearances in this case? MR. NUTTER: MR. KELLAHIN: Jason Kellahin, Kellahin and Fox, Santa

şa **k**

1.4

្រន

1 1

1

1

國國

1

릙

1.9

Fe, representing Hunt Oil Company. Please proceed. MR. NUTTER: RANDALL MON'TGOMERY called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as 3-6691 follows: £ DIRECT EXAMINATION PHONE Inc. BY MR. BRATTON: Will you state your name, address, and occupation? DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Q Randall Montgomery, Hobbs, independent geologist. Α Have you previously testified before this Commission as Q an expert witness? I have. A Are you familiar with the area and subject application? Q I am. А Will you briefly give the purpose of the application, Q the nature of the request made? (Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit 1 marked for identification.) It is indicated in Exhibit 1, which is an entity of ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO Α special rules and regulations for the proposed Robinson Pennsylvanian gas pool, where we asked for 320-spacing for a gas condensate reservoir which was encountered at approximately 11,000 feet. It provides for notice and approval for the Secretary of Commission for unorthodox proration or nonstandard units. It provides that

a well not be closer than 660 feet to a governmental section line,

4.e.f

1.4

¶≈8

ţ,



PAGE 2

nor closer than 330 feet to a governmental quarter-quarter section. Actually, this is for all practical purposes a copy of an existing order in the Pennsylvanian gas pool, which is the closest gas pool we have to this proposed area.

Q Now, Mr. Montgomery, the rules are drafted as proposed rules for the pool, do you suggest to the Commission that they be permanent rules or temporary one year rules?

3-6691

5

Inc.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE,

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

1.1

A Certainly we would like to have a permanent order, but if the Commission feels that more wells will give more information, we certainly will; we would certainly appreciate having at least a year order.

> (Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit No. 2 marked for identification.)

PAGE 3

Q Would you refer now to your Exhibit No. 2, which is a plat of the pool and cross section of the wells drilled in the area. The Robinson Brothers Well is the only well capable of producing at the present time and is located in the Northcast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 22, Township 11 South, Range 31 East, Chaves County. The other two wells on the cross section are dry holes that were drilled in the area. Not the well, the Southeast Quarter, Southeast Quarter of Section 16 being corresponding to the Oil Conservation Commission's records, the Hassie Hunt State C No. 1 well, this well was drilled back in 1951. The two subjectives, primarily the Devonian on the log, goes on deeper than what's indicated on the cross section, as I have so



indicated. The other two wells were purposely for this Hassie Hunt, the Morrow Sand, and one was producer of the Robinson Brothers Well; the first well was the Samedan after the Robinson Brothers Well, and as you can see, as illustrated on the cross section, the gas sand pinched for all practical purposes. There were some thin sand beds in the well, and I have indicated those in yellow color. MR. NUTTER: Mr. Montgomery, what is the line of this

cross section on this plat here?

A Actually, it's a structural cross section starting at the lowest well and going to the next well.

MR. NUTTER: The Samedan Deborde No. 1, is this Section

A Yes, sir, and the Hassie Hunt C No. 1, which is Southeast of 16.

Q (By Mr. Bratton) So it runs, the Samedan Well is west to the well that is labeled as Hudgens on the plat?

A Yes, sir.

Q And according to the Commission's records it was drilled on the name of Hudgens and the cross section comes back to the Southeast to the Robinson Brothers Well?

A Yes, sir, the reason for that, as I say, following up structure and that is poor in this particular area, because of excellent development of sand in the Robinson Well, and going up structure to the Hassie Hunt Well, the sand tended to shale out and pinch out. However, you will notice on the cross section they

waaaad



PAGE 4

MEXICO

NEW

ALBUQUÉRQUE,

3
took a drill stem test on the portion of this area, which may be correlative, and recovered 52,000 cubic feet of gas, and then the sand, which in my opinion is probably correlative to the pay, actually had a higher reading to the gas analyzer than was on the well at the time it was drilled, and also, the samples indicate there were shows in that particular sand; thereby indicating the dry holes, not necessarily meaning this reservoir is not under property where these wells are at.

Q That is the North Half of 22?

A That is all that we are interested in.

Q That is where the Robinson Brothers Well is located?

A Yes.

Q The other wells on the cross section are in the immediate vicinity, as reflected by the Examiner's question?

A Yes, sir.

Q Your cross section indicates in your opinion, Mr. Montgomery, the probability of continuity of the sand in the area?

A It does. Probably the sands are deposited in the lower area and the lower area to the south of the southwest, the well capable of producing.

Q Is there anything further you would like to bring out in connection with this Exhibit?

A I believe that is all.

Q Pressures?

I did want to point out that pressures were relatively



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Albuquerque, new mexico

٤.

):# 1.3

1 1

3-6691

IJ

Inc.

similar to the area, particularly the Robinson Brothers, 4500 and 2500 pounds, that was forty-five minute shut-in pressure on the drill stem test. The shut-in on the Hassie Hunt Well, as you note, were 4225, that was a forty-five minute shut-in; I would like to stand corrected there, the other one was an hour and fifteen minutes on the Robinson Well, indicating a possibility that probably was not as good as in the Hassie Hunt Well or the pool didn't take as long as shut-in pressure and may have reflected the same bottom hole pressure if it had been left over the same length of time. (Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit

No. 3 marked for identification)

Q Refer now to your Exhibit No. 3, which is the whole Robinson zone.

A Exhibit No. 3 is a graph picture of a four point test on the well; there is good alignment on three points, the first point did not line up right off, it still indicates the well is far better than average character, and it produced 4,330,000 cubic feet with seventy-two draw down under pressure, again substantiating the sample description of the well that it is a porous and permeable formation.

Q In your opinion, that reflects excellent permeability in this well?

A Yes, sir.

3-6691

£

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

NEW MEXICO

ALBUQUERQUE,

1

1.3

108

(Whereupon, Exhibit No. 4 marked for identification)

Refer now to your Exhibit No. 4, which is the log of the

Robinson Brothers Well.

A The log of Robinson Brothers Well -- I direct your attention to the example you have, and I do not have all the information I would like to on this. The area colored in yellow indicates the pay zone, which is a good thirty foot thick. I also have a lithological description on that scale. I want to point out that the lithology in the pay zone is a white quartzitic, very coarse-grained sand, semi-angular sand, very porous grained, no cementation. This is poor, due to the geologic history of this geologic time. We notice on Exhibit 2 where this bed had shaled out. Here we have a very coarse and angular sand, indicating that the source of this supplier for this sand was very near.

Q Is there anything further you would care to point out in connection with the log?

A I believe that is all.

Q If you would give the Examiner the one that has been colored, the point you want to point out.

MR. NUTTER: We will mark that 1. That is Exhibit 4, correct?

MR. BRATTON: 4, yes.

Q (By Mr. Bratton) Your Exhibit No. 5, Mr. Montgomery, is a sample of fluid from this well?

> (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 5 marked for identification)

A This is a sample of the fluid that was recovered from



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUERQUE,

.....

14 14

1.1

11

1.18

11

151

) 1-3 3-6621

Đ

the separator on this particular well. It looks like it is straw colored, gravity 57 degrees, fits all the visual descriptions you have for typical condensate. It's a little bit dead right now, it's been sitting around in the office for about a year.

MR. NUTTER: Is the production from this well sour? A No, this is sweet. It's almost like machine oil, if you would like to put your finger in it.

> (Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit No. 6 marked for identification)

PAGE 8

Q (By Mr. Bratton) Now referring, Mr. Montgomery, to the general area of Southeast New Mexico, please refer to your Exhibit No. 6, which is a regional structure contour map.

A Exhibit No. 6 is a structural contour map, the contoured top of the Missippian limestone; the reason this particular horizon was picked, was because of the good consistent point throughout the area, which is easily picked; it docan't pinch out and change the lithology as does the Pennsylvanian Section. During the geologic study the sand was very stable, and that was the reason this particular horizon was picked close to the pay zone and gives you a good picture of what was happening at that time. Within the Missippian --- at the time, I would like to point out particularly the Bagley area of the northwest corner of the map, the contours are circulating and indicating much more relief in most of the other areas, and then point out the area of our well, which is in 11-31, and want you to note how close it is to this mountainous



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

70-**4** 32,**≰**

1.2

1.15 | 1

}×ø

1.4 1.4 1.4

4.7

3-6691

£

area, and then recall to what I said about what the sand looked like in the producing horizon. In my opinion, I think that probably was what was happening at this time. During the Missippian time, we had a terrible disastrous -- I mean we had mountains uplifted, the relief somewhat bearing in the Sandia Mountains, rather the mountains to the east of us here would be Santa Fe Creek. I think now the situation would be this well was probably drilled, say, in the Santa Fc Creck, Santa Fe Creek, carrying more coarsegrained sediments down its course than would be the sediments being deposited on the hills surrounding Santa Fe Creek. I think that explains why the wells in the immediate area were dry; they shaled out, whereas the sand in the Robinson Brothers Well was very coarsegrain, very porous, very angular. I would like to relate further to the strike of the contours, it falls on about the same datum as the Empire, Pennsylvanian or the Red Lake and the Atoka Pool. So it is related structurally and suratographically to a great degree to these pools.

PHONE CH 3-669

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

NEW MEXICO

LBUQUERQUE,

t.Ja

Q Do you have a number of pools marked in red on that map, Mr. Montgomery, what are those pools; are they all Pennsylvanian gas pools?

A Yes, sir, they all are Pennsylvanian gas pools in the area of this map.

 $\ensuremath{\Omega}$ And most of them from the same sand, from this Morrow sand?

A Yes, for all practical purposes, all of them are.



PAGE

Q Now, is your analysis of this sand that it is not a blanket type sand, it is more a meandering type sand?

PHONE CH 3-6691

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

113 1 3

. . .

Yes, sir, it's not blanket in the sense we think of as А the Eumont pay or the blanket on the Mesa Verde pay or any other major gas pools in New Mexico. The sand body undoubtedly follows a very tortuous course; I think that has been proven not in just this area, but the other areas. Not particularly the Empire Pennsylvanian Pool, there are four dry holes in the immediate area of the power well. By the way, the Empire Pennsylvanian is probably one of the best gas wells in New Mexico; there are probably not over a dozen wells that have produced more dry gas than has this Empire gas and indicates tremendous reserves yet to be recovered from it. To the Atoka, Grayburg-Atoka Pool, Ernest Leonard Well, there are three dry wells surrounding it; the El Paso is a very excellent well producing from this very same sand body, but yet brown in places in these shale beds, and then in the Anderson, two dry holes surrounding it, and the Fren, and also, producing from this same horizon, one dry hole in this pool that I do not have on this map.

Q Of those pools depicted on there, Mr. Montgomery, which pools are on 320-acre spacing?

A The Empire Pennsylvanian and the Atoka Pennsylvanian.

Q Most of the rest of them are one well or two well pools, aren't they?

A One and three well pools, and one two well pool, all



have more than one well, and all definitely 160-acre spacing. I want to point out that the Bagley is on 320, as I stated earlier.

Q Anything else you would care to point out in connection with that regional structure?

A The Bagley-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool does not produce from the same sand as do all these other pools I have mentioned; that is the reason it wasn't included in the cross section, but I believe that covers it.

> (Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit No. 7 marked for identification.)

Ω Now, you have depicted on there a cross section; that is
Exhibit No. 7, is a cross section depicted on your structure map?
A Yes, sir, it is indicated by the line marked"AA Prime".

 Ω Please refer to your Exhibit No. 7.

A The purpose of this cross section is to show the relationship stratographically and structurally, that of pools that we are proposing that have the same sand.

MR. NUTTER: Is this line "AA Prime" on Exhibit 6? A Yes, sir. I pointed out earlier that the Empire Pennsylvanian and the Atoka and the Red Lake Pennsylvanian were for all practical purposes on strike, and the other wells were producing from a far greater depth than here. The other colored vertical is Morrow sand, is vertical, and each of these wells is producing from it, with the exception of the last log on this cross section; hut I have correlated that interval with it, as indicated, being



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

5-4 6-1 8-1

544

PHONE CH 3-6691

part of the sand pay as based on the shows that were indicated from that well, and further, we did not have the same type of log available on the Robinson Brothers Well, and further, the Robinson Brothers Well didn't go down to the top of the Pennsylvanian, which is the basis for all of these correlations. That is the only reason that log is not on this cross section.

MR. NUTTER: The top of the Missippian, you mean?

A Yes, sir, the top of the Missippian, the Missippian limestone.

Q (By Mr. Bratton) What does this cross section show with relation to the relative structural position of the proposed Robinson Pool, with the pools which are presently on 320-acre spacing? A Shows it is essentially flat and same position structurally and stratographically in the same interval.

Q Anything else you would care to point out in connection with this Exhibit?

A I believe that is all.

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit No. 8 marked for identification)

Q Refer to Exhibit No. 2, Mr. Montgomery.

A Exhibit 8 is a core analysis on the El Paso Leonard No. 1, which is in the Grayburg-Atoka Pool. Although it's forty some miles removed, this is the best information we have, and the reason I am using it as an Exhibit, I want to point out what the relative permeabilities are in this Morrow sand. They are quite



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING

14

1.4

0.9

1.1

3-6691

£

SERVICE, Inc.

high, as the core analysis shows. Average permeability in this particular well was two hundred and one hundred millidarcies in the main pay. When you look at this in detail, foot by foot description, you run into many in three or four or five or some in excess of a thousand millidarcies, and looking at the sand of the Robinson, you are probably looking at a reservoir that exceeds this. Q From all available information, you would have better

permeability in the Robinson Brothers Well than you would in this well?

A Yes, sir. And in the Atoka, from the core analysis I had an opportunity to look at it before, the permeability.

Q The permeability would be greater in the Robinson Pool?A Yes, sir.

Q Anything else you would care to point out in connection with this core analysis?

A No, sir, that is all.

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit No. 9 marked for identification.)

Q Refer to your Exhibit No. 9, which is a decline curve on the Dow A No. 3 Well.

A The Skelly A No. 3 is located in the Fren Pool, it was one of the fields that was developed on 160-acce spacing. There were four wells drilled in the area, three of them were producers, all three were drilled within approximately twelve months of each other, and after relatively short production history, Well No. 21



3-6691

Đ

Inc.

REPORTING SERVICE,

DEARNLEY-MEIER

NEW MEXICO

ALBUQUERQUE,

was abandoned, and then apparently it was due to a rapid decline and productivity and could have been some well bore damage in that well. Then also in Well No. 6, takes were drastically reduce and the operator indicated that it was -- they wanted to produce this field more slowly than some of the other pools in the area had been produced and apparently they were wise in doing that, since the pool was on such close spacing. But you will notice the decline curve prior to the time the cuts were made in Well No. 6; in the abandoned Well No. 21, the decline curve indicated reserves ranging from two and a half million cubic feet; whereas, shortly after No. 2 was abandoned and No. 6 was cut in takes, the decline curve now indicates the well is going to somewhere around five billion or which is the quality well now, and it was when the other

wells were producing.

3-6691

PHONE CH

Inc.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE,

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

1.5

14

13

₽₹

2

This is in the Fren Pool? 0

Yes, sir. Ä

What does this indicate to you as to whether that pool Q should have been developed on 160 or 320-acre spacing?

Well, probably this pool might do well to break even to pay for the investment in it. Probably without counting the four A dry holes; whereas, if it had been drilled on 320-acre spacing, the pool would have made money. These were very expensive wells; they are considerably deeper than the Empire and Atoka or Robinson Well, which would make one difference for the costs, and too, they were drilled back in days when we didn't worry about the cost and

wanted to know how soon we could get it done, and drilling contractors have cut down considerably since then, and still all of the money wasn't invested and not going to make any money on 160, whereas they would have on 320.

3-6691

3

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

MEXICO

NEW

ALBUQUERQUE,

92.**)** 1. - -

3.3

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit No. 10 marked for identification)

Q Now, refer to your Exhibit No. 10, Mr. Montgomery, which is a decline curve on your Pan American AB No. 1 Well.

A Exhibit No. 10 is a decline curve and you will notice it is much flatter than the Skelly Well, even at its best projection. Volumetrically this well only indicates it should recover somewhere in the range of three million cubic feet of gas. However, if you put it on the pressure versus production curve, well, you will come up with a reserve in excess of twenty-eight million feet, quite a large reserve.

Ω What does this indicate to you, the type of reservoir you are dealing with?

A Again, I think that tends to prove what we were talking about a short time ago. We have a meandering reservoir and we have four dry holes around this well, probably one of the largest gas wells in New Mexico. Volumetrically if we use the information as cut in seven-eighths inch hole, you'd have three tubes, and where if we had approximately twenty-eight million cubic feet of gas drainage with the blanket type sand, sand that is probably following extreme courses.



Q Does that indicate to you this well has already drained more than 320 acres or could drain more than 320 acres?

PAGE 16

A Yes, it does very definitely. It is already producing in excess of six million cubic feet.

Q Is this pool we are talking about now, the Robinson Brothers, substantially similar to the Empire, in your judgment?

A Yes, sir, it is.

3-6691

Đ

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

SUQUERQUE,

3.5#

Q And to the Atoka, likewise?

A And to the Atoka, likewise.

Q Anything else you would care to bring out in connection with that Exhibit?

A That is all.

MR. NUTTER: Do you have a copy of that Exhibit you were just referring to?

A Yes, sir.

MR. NUTTER: Now those are in the Empire?

A Empire, yes.

Q (By Mr. Bratton) Have you made a study of the possible economics of the area under discussion?

A I have. We have very little information to go on other than geologic information. We have a log information in pools of 160 and the Fren Pool, we have a log information on the Empire Pool developed on 320, and log information on the Atoka drilled on 320. To be redundant, they are all similar, there is information there to support what I say. We are looking at a very tortuous

reservoir, but we have no production history on the Robinson Well to project a decline curve. We have no core analysis, we only have a gamma ray neutron log to look at to determine reserves; but I would say it probably is a little bit better comparable than , Inc. Рноме см 3-6681 possibly the Atoka Pool. Now, the Atoka Pool is on a temporary one year 320-acre Q spacing, is it not? DEARNLEY-MEJER REPORTING SERVICE, Yes, sir. And as far as the economics are concerned, did you anti-Ά cipate that you have approximately the same economic situation in the Robinson Brothers Pool as in the Atoka Pool? Yes, on 320 acres, it would probably be about six to one and on 160, about three to one; they compare individually to the Atoka Pool. On the basis of information available in either pool, you can make a conservative estimate or liberal estimate, but in either situation you are not talking about terrains? That is right, we are a gas condensate reservoir. Under any situation there are going to be a number of Α ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO dry holes to be supported out of the pool, are there not? Q Yes, sir, two dry holes already to be supported by the А pool.

Q In your opinion, would development on 660 result in economic waste, Mr. Montgomery?

A It would.

部に行いていたが、



Q On economic comparison, do you believe that spacing of the Robinson Brothers Pool on 320-acres for a year is justified?

A Yes, there is no harm that I can see would be done by taking the wait and see attitude to get a little production history to find out what we would have.

HONE CH 3-6691

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

LBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

Q On the basis of the similar reservoirs to which you have testified, the similar pools to which you have testified, would certainly not be justified?

A The Fren and Hudgens development is on too close a spacing, and consequently, will not make any money. The Empire and Atoka Pooi will be drilled on so orderly procedure, and of course, we have more information today than when the Fren Pool was drilled. The Fren Pool to my knowledge was the first gas condensate reservoir in New Mexico. We didn't know how they were going to react, they never react quite like they are going to.

Q Now, is the Robinson Brothers Well going to be on production shortly?

A We expect the connection on or about February the 1st. Q Would that information and additional wells which might be drilled, would be in position in a year to have additional information available?

A Yes, it's my understanding there are two wells committed to be drilled within the next six months and in the immediate vicinity.

<u>Q</u> Would you care to summarize the evidence which you have



given here, Mr. Montgomery, with particular reference to the rules which you have recommended?

A Well, I feel that there is a very definite possibility of considerable economic waste if this pool is to be forced to drill on 160-acre spacing before we know whether the reservoir will support 160-acre spacing. Again I want to point out that this is a treacherous reservoir, and to point out all the information that we have to date, and the geologic similar point of information that apparently we have an excellent reservoir; we need time for additional wells to be drilled or other bits of production history before we know for sure what we do have; whether the theory will actually fit or not, that is what would like to know.

Q On the basis of comparisons you could make with the other pools which are on 320-acre spacing, all evidence would indicate that one well in this area will economically and efficiently drain at least 320 acres?

A Very definitely. The wells, cost of drilling these wells are rather expensive in this area; actually,220,000 dollars is what the Robinson Well is going to cost.

Q How much?

A Two hundred and fifteen or two hundred and twenty thousand.

Q What depth are we talking about?

A Approximately 11,000 feet. Also the cost of producing gas condensate reservoir are much more, they are in the normal



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

NEW MEXICO

ALBUQUECQUE.

.....

 $\{ \cdot, \cdot \}_{i=1}^{n}$

CH 3-6691

dry gas reservoir range because of your surface separation equipment, which the Examiner is very familiar with. We may even want to put in low temperature separation or perhaps dry absorbtion, plus all the usually low pressure separation on the surface.

Ω The rules which you have recommended, Mr. Montgomery, as to 320-acre spacing, do they locate the position of the wells in the 320 acres?

3-6691

Đ

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

A It states that the wells should not be closer than 660 feet from the section line or quarter section line, or closer than 330 feet to the quarter-quarter section line. Almost in exact copy of the Bagley-Pennsylvanian Pool, which is ten miles to the east of it.

Q Of the type of reservoir you think is present, do you think that rule fits the reservoir?

A I do. We don't know any advance terminologies, this well was a re-entry. At the time it was drilled, they had a drill stem test from this zone that indicated a large major gas reservoir, but our objective was the Pennsylvanian and no market for the gas. Later, these people came in and acquired the well and went back to the pay zone and still spent \$215,000 on the well, and have indicated where there are shows and two dry holes and who knows what technology lies in the next eight years, or effect or attitude there will be toward re-entering those holes. The pool also has to support the expense of building a twenty-five thousand foot pipe line.



	menting of your proposed rules
	Q In your opinion, would the granting of your proposed rules a one-year basis be in the interest of prevention of waste, and
on a	a one-year basis be in the interest of T
wou	uld they protect correlative rights?
	A They would. Q Is there anything further which you care to state in con-
neo	A That is all. The cost of dry hole ranges about one
	thousand dollars.
hu	Q Did you prepare Exhibits 1 through 10?
	A I did.
	Q And excluding Exhibit No. 5?
	A Except 8 and 5.
	A Except 8 and 5. MR. BRATTON: We would offer Exhibits Nos. 1 through 10 MR. BRATTON: We would offer Exhibits Nos. 1 through 10 in evidence, and if the Commission desires, we will withdraw Ex-
. 1	
r	hibit No. 5 or leave it with the ore MR. NUTTER: We will accept Exhibits 1 through 10, and
	you can have 5. (Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit) 1 through 10 received in evi-
DXIC	dence.) MR BRATTON: We have nothing further to offer by this
IE, NEW M	MR. BRATTON: We have nothing further the MR. BRATTON: We have nothing further the state Production Company witness. I would offer a letter from Tri State Production Company
BUQUERQL	in the immediate area.
ALI	who are owners of acreage in the Land as part of the record and no MR. NUTTER: Just offered as part of the record and no
	as an Exhibit?
	MR. BRATTON: That's right.
	won ne

it so in the line of the line

いたから

国家教授が行われたの時代

]

-post

معمر ا ا ا

,

بھیر - - - -- - - -

21-4

840

		PAGE 22
	MR. NUTTER: Any questions of Mr. Montgomery?	
	CROSS-EXAMT NATTON	an ann 184 an
	BY MR. KELLAHIN:	
169	Q Mr. Montgomery, in connection with the lithology	of this
СН 3-6691	formation, does it change rapidly in the Morrow sand?	
ONE	A Very rapidly.	
	Q Ranging from fine to medium coarse?	
	A Yes, it could. I would say this coarse grain san	d, thoug
1	could be of considerable volume and not of a blanket type,	as I sa
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	earlier; volumetrically, if we use the pattern, and if the	well wa
	drilled in the specific location, it would hit the shales a	nd the
	fine sand that borders this.	
	Q That was technically the productivity of the sand	?
	A Well, could cut down to the permeability for all	practic
	purposes.	
	Q That could effect your determination, one well wo	uld dra
	in excess of 320 acres, would it?	
0	A No, not unless it was too tight to shale through.	
MEXICO	Q You don't have any terrific pressurability in the	Robin-
E, NEW	son Well, do you?	
LBUQUERQUE	A No, sir, not able to obtain it.	
A LBUG	Q Have you made any reserve calculation on the logs	ti an tetrific conta t
а	A With the meter information I have available, yes.	•
	Q Could you give us an estimate on that?	
	A I might. Total reserves, in the range of about se	even

GRANA

		billion	cubic fect royalty. Talking about someone for the operato	>1
		about si	x and a half billion feet.	
		Q	You are talking about a 320 unit?	
	3-6691	A	Yes, sir.	
,	CH 3-6	Q	Now, on your Exhibit 9 you had depicted the Skelly-Dow	
ľ.	PHONE CH	Well. W	hat pool did you say that was?	
		A	The Fren-Pennsylvanian.	
TCE		Q	And what formation is it completed in?	
ERV		A	The Morrow gas sand.	
SI		ବ	The same sand you are talking about?	
INC		A	Yes, sir.	
)RT		Q	On the Pan American State "A" Lea 1 S Empire Pool?	
EPC		А	Yes, sir.	
R R		Q	What sand?	
IEF		А	The Morrow sand.	
VLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.		Q	And you think they are comparable wells insofar as the	
		producing	formations?	
	1	A	They are identical, yes, sir.	
- F	W MEXICO	ନ୍ଦ	You stated that you anticipated that two additional wells	
Q Maria and and a		would be	drilled in this area. Did you say where they are to be	
414	ALBU	А	I have no specific information, you understand. One is	
	.	to be dri	lled in the half section to the south.	
		ବ୍	Who is the owner of that?	
		1. A	I believe it's Hunt; Tri State.	

2 d.€ . .

> : - 4

Q Now, what amount of fluids does the Robinson Well produce?

A At the present time it produces about 50 barrels of condensate per million cubic feet of gas.

Q Do you expect on the basis of experience in other pools that would remain at that level?

A No, it's not the character of the condensate reservoir to -it's probably a retrograde type of reservoir. It's not normal for it to do that. If you would like some specific pools in the area, I have them available.

Q I would appreciate having one or two of them if it isn't too much trouble.

Q In the Fren-Pennsylvanian, talking about the Skelly-Dow "A" No. 3 Well, it started out with gas liquid ratio of 19,819 to 1. And the next year it was 24,792 to 1, and the next year it was 25,477 to 1. The next year it was 32,675 to 1. The following year it was 39,332, and 1959 it was 52,656; indicating near the lower stages of this retrograde reservoir the fluid we get at the surface is turning into the fluid in the reservoir. Not much is getting to the surface right now. At a point it all will turn to fluid in the reservoir.

Q Now, in your recommended rules and regulations, I notice you recommend a location not nearer than 660 feet to a governmental section line or nearer than 330 feet to the quarter-quarter section line. In your opinion, will a well so located drain the acreage



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

MEXICO

NCW

ALBUQUERQU

1

353

1.5

t:t

1.2

CH 3-6691

PHONE

dedicated to it as adequately as one located nearer than the center of the unit?

As the sands are the same, my determination, I would say А yes, very definitely.

Q Are Robinson wells in a location which would conform to your recommendations?

Yes. А

CH 3-6691

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

1-14

Q What is that location?

660 feet from the North, 1980 from the West. А

Q You recommended the unit have 320 acres. In your opinion, will a well in this sand drain in excess of 320 acres?

А Yes, it undoubtedly will, based on similar performances of wells located in the area, as indicated by the Empire-Pennsylvanian.

Q Do you have any objection to the temporary order of 640scre spacing?

Α No, sir.

MR. KELLAHIN: That's all the questions I have. Thank you.

MR. NUTTER: Any further questions of Mr. Montgomery? BY MR. PAYNE:

Q

Mr. Montgomery, what will be the market for this gas? Α The El Paso Natural Pipeline goes into their Plains-Gallup system.

Q Presently, there is no market?



PAGE 26 No, sir, it's unconnected. Å Now, is there any market for the condensate? Q It will have to be trucked, it will condensate. It is A hard to find someone to transport it. I can't always get posted CH 3-669 prices. I have checked, and the nearest possible source that we Inc. can get it to, our trucking bill will be twenty-four cents a barrel. DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Do you feel as if the temporary order which provides for Q. 320 proration units will provide for faster, not only for faster market, but for the wells being drilled faster? Yes, very definitely it would. Α Q And thereby obtaining production data at a sooner date? Yes. Α MR. PAYNE: Thank you. BY MR. NUTTER: Q Mr. Montgomery, what is the location of the Samedan Well north of Robinson Well? 24 -- 2320, 10 and 60. Α NEW MEXICO Q That is the southwest quarter of 16? Yes, sir. Α ALBUQUERQUE, And the Hudgens Well or the Hunt Well is in the southeast Q quarter of Section 16? Α Yes, sir. And the Robinson Well is in the northwest quarter of Sec-Q tion 22?

-

A Yes, sir.

Q Now, this well is an adjacent 160-acre tract?

A Yes, sir.

Q And you have one producer and two dry holes. In view of these, how do you contend you can develop a pool on 320 when you have two offsets on five 160 that are dry?

A Well, as indicated through time, we think it's tortuous sand development. This is when you look at the plan as Santa Fe Creek follows the coarse sand, it is deposited. This is based on the geological history of the area during that particular time. And then, too, I point out the possibility that maybe the two dry holes are on the very northern limits of the pool, and perhaps the main body of the pool is to the south and southwest.

Q And the Samelan and Robinson Wells are on adjacent 40acre tracts, are they not?

A That's correct.

Q Now, I think on the log you indicate that the perforated vertical is from 87 to 910 and there are four shots per foot?

Yes, sir.

Q And twenty-one abrasive shots?

A Yes, sir.

А

Q Why, in view of this extreme permeability you have here, why is it necessary to, after shooting the thing with four shots per foot to put in twenty-one abrasive shots?

A I'm not sure why the operator -- I, frankly, didn't know

DEARNLEY-MENER REPORTING SERVICE,

MEXI

NEW

ALBUQUERQUE,

£33

CH 3-6691

Inc.

why they used the abrasive shots. I know from looking at the samples and I know from looking at the drill stem tests, which is recorded on the log, that it certainly wasn't necessary to complete the well because it is very porous, very permeable sand. I might -

MR. BRATTON: If the Examiner please, we have here the geologist who was the president. We will put him on in a minute.

MR. NUTTER: You have the answer to the need of the abrasive shooting?

MR. MORROW: Correct.

Q Actually, of your 10 Exhibits, Mr. Montgomery, how many of them, not counting correlating the logs from wells up to fortyfive miles away, how many of them actually indicate the permeability or the ability of this well to produce, based on this well's characteristics only?

A The multi-point back pressure test we have.

Q Your log indicates that you have some angular, very porous non-comentation in this well?

A Yes.

PHONE CH 3-6691

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

Q This is information from the sample when the well was drilled?

A Yes, sir.

Q So two of the Exhibits of ten show the producing characteristics or possible permeability in one well?

A That is right.

Q And any evidence as to proper drainage from these other



wells located at various points on Exhibit No. 6 would depend on the correctness of the correlation and upon the sand being the same in the Robinson area as they are in some of these other areas?

A I testified they are the same, yes.

Q I say the accuracy of the Exhibits, these other Exhibits would depend on the correctness of the interpretation?

A Yes, sir.

3-6691

£

Inc.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE,

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

Q Well, now, if you recommended that in your pool rules that the well be located in any one particular quarter section of the section or the well could be located in either quarter-quarter section --

- A That is --
- Q -- or any quarter-quarter section?
- A Any quarter-quarter section.

Q In effect, then, you may be asking for 320-acre spacing or the well could be located on the 160 with 320 acres dedicated to the well?

A Miat is correct. That is as in the Bagley Pool.

Q Who owns the wells in the Fren Pool, Mr. Montgomery?

Skelly Oil Company.

Q They own all three of those wells?

A Yes, sir.

Α

Q Were these wells drilled on 160 spacing or were they drilled on locations which would be the same, could be the same as the locations which you have proposed would be approved on 320-acre



3)	ba	ci	ng	in	thi	9	pool	?
----	----	----	----	----	-----	---	------	---

3-6691

PHONE CH

Inc.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE,

MEXI

ALBUQUERQL

A They were drilled on 160. Of course, very apparently, if you restrict the location, it is possible you can have a cluster and still have a 320.

Q The Fren could have been developed in the exact manner it was developed, even under your existing or your proposed rules, could it not?

A Well, there would have been at least one less well drilled. Q Well, the three wells are located in three different sections, you can certainly get 320 out of each of those sections?

A They would have proved productivity on 320; I am presuming now, looking out of the back of the wagon, it's obvious that part of the acreage is dry. Say, if they dedicate 320 to the north well, it's obvious now part of that acreage was dry.

Q The Robinson Well, Pool, who would have productivity of 320, when there are two offsetting 40's; one producer and one dry hole?

A That's the reason we are asking for 320 spacing, in order to have a cluster of wells and the operators will move further away from your property to develop the acreage.

Q Well, now, under your proposed rules, could the dry holes that were drilled, then, properly have been drilled?

A well, they, yes, they would have.

Q So your rules wouldn't cause anyone to have to move farther away, necessarily, then, would it?



A	Right. Now, we are in the position of having two dry
holes the	t could be that close to us. The next people, they 're goi
	o get further away. So happens, this dry hole on the
	and on the south end you will actually have 320-acre
	attern to the south.
Q	Which is acreage which is owned by Tri State?
A	South half of 22.
Q	The acreage as appears on the Exhibit?
A	Yes. What happens, ownership does not always necessaril
reflect w	ho is going to be the operator, and Tri State is going to
be the op	erator.
Q	Of the south half of 22?
А	Yes, sir.
Q	Now, you stated that it cost two hundred fifteen thousand
to two hu	ndred twenty thousand to drill the Robinson Well?
Α	Yes, sir.
Q	Now, and then what would a well that is an old well the
entered?	
	Vor
A	Yes.
	How much for a new well?
Α	Approximately the same.
Q	One hundred eighty thousand for a dry hole?
А	Yes, sir.
Q	The ratio on this Hunt Well, did you give that?
	It's 19,946 to 1. I want to correct the decimal. 19,976

I am pointing out, as I pointed out earlier, this is a retrograde reservoir. This ratio will not be maintained for any substantial period of time. It will depend on pressure; the minute, as you know, when we get that particular point, then our ratio is going to skyrocket.

Do you anticipate there is going to be any consistency in Q the production of the liquids that are left in the reservoir at the time the gas is produced, by the encroachment of water into the sand?

We have no evidence it is a water drive. I would suspect . A it probably isn't. This is going to be pressure driven.

The Morrow sand is not characteristically known as water Q drive sand in Southwest New Mexico, is it?

A No.

Any liquids that do come out of the gas and are left in Q the reservoir as liquid will usually be left?

In the retrograde reservoir you are going to recover ap-Α proximately fifty percent of the total reserves. What happens, you have increasing ratio, liquid ratio and less fluid. Then, finally, you get to the point, what furnished the fluid into it is going to have further impact upon the gas and you will get some of it in that pattern. You are going to leave about fifty percent in there, which, I think, is an excellent water drive.

Do you think there is a possibility of secondary recovery Q at a depth such as this to recover the fifty percent that is left



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE,

NEW MEXICO

6 - A

11:5

3-6691

5

Inc.

page 33

in the reservoir?

CH 3-6691

SERVICE, Inc.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

1.1

13

A I say this, if this is a reservoir of major proportions and if they want unitization and are going to be reinjecting dry gas to pick up the liquids, that would be a possibility, yes, sir. If it connects, it will have to be a large reservoir to do that.

MR. PAYNE: You dedicated 320 to one of these wells. In this well you get a dry hole. How much acreage could be considered dry?

A Well, I think normally in determination of the data you have just an equitable determination of what is dry acreage, particularly after more wells are drilled.

Q In other words, you don't think the entire 320 should necessarily be considered dry?

A Not if you get a producer, no, sir.

Q If you get a dry hole?

A If you get a dry hole, oh, oh, no, I don't think it has ever been the Commission's policy to rule in that manner. I'm not, I mean just -- you get a dry holo and maintain that 40 is dry and the rest of it is --

Q Isn't the same on the producer, only 40 is producer and the rest is dry?

A That is quite possible, yes, sir. Very possible.

MR. NUTTER: Mr. Montgomery, speaking of Commission policy, you probably are aware that the Commission over a number of years has had the policy of not naming pools after the operator



that is operating in the pool. Do you have an alternative in the event the Commission should want to pool this previously set policy?

A I hadn't thought about the name very much either right
now. The Rose Well, the Venture, we might call it Channel 8 Pool.
Q This is tortuous channel this sand is laid in anyway,
call it the Tortuous Pool.

MR. NUTTER: Any further questions of Mr. Montgomery? BY MR. MORROW:

Q Mr. Montgomery, with relation to the question the Examiner was asking, you give your real and fixed locations within 320 acres, you suggest these rules are flexible locations, due to the nature of the reservoir. Is that your reason for suggesting the flexible location?

A Yes, sir. It's supported by the Pan American Exhibit where the volumetric reserves were three billion, probably actually produced twenty-eight billion in the four dry holes in the pool.

Q If the Commission should feel better on fixed location, you would have no objection?

A No, sir.

3-6691

S

Inc.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE,

ALBUQUERQUT, NEW MEXICO

Q With relation to the questions Mr. Payne was asking you as to the amount of acreage which should be considered dry or productive, in case of a dry hole or producer, you have the same problem when you have 160-320, do you not?

A Yes, sir.

Q Actually, you have there a mixed question of geology, and



the status and Commission policy, don't you?

A Yes, sir.

Q As to the Examiner's questions with relation to the dry holes immediately offsetting the Robinson Brothers Well, that same situation exists in the Empire Pool, does it not?

A It does.

CH 3-6691

Inc.

REPORTING SERVICE.

DEARNLEY-MEIER

MEXICO

NEW

UERQU

ALBUC

i e de la cale

10

1.1

Q And as the Examiner pointed out, we don't have anywhere near the information we would like to present, that's why we are asking them for one-year order in order to obtain time to assemble information?

A Yes, sir.

Q On the basis of what information we do have, as to comparative pools, you believe that a temporary 320 order would be justified?

A Yes. sir. I would like to point out one thing. We have been talking about these two dry holes. I do want to point out the Hudgens Hassie Hunt State "C" No. 1 Well was drilled approximately eight years ago. We did a post drill stem test on this well. We have shows indicating a gas well. We also did a post drill stem test on the re-entry that the Robinson Brother's made, but the operator plugged that up. The Hudgens Well may not be a dry hole. Technically, the Samedan is a dry hole, we don't think it is commercial right now. Intermittently, there is some pay in the Samedan Well. Intermittently, there could be quite a bit of pay in the Hassie Hunt Well completion. And the Samedan Well did not justify



completion under present day situation. You couldn't complete a gas well in this area back eight years ago, there was no possible market. The pipeline we plan on connecting to was not built until three or four years ago. If they had gotten a gas well, their nearest market would be seventy-five miles away.

Q Now you say Tri-State owns the south half of Section 22 in the area?

A They are the people who have entered the plea supporting 320-acre spacing in this case, yes, sir.

MR. BRATTON: Do you have anything further?

MR. MORROW: That's all I have.

MR. NUTTER: Mr. Montgomery, I notice on your Exhibit No. 2 that on the Samedan there is a pronounced kick developed at 920 on the Hassie-Hunt Well. Would this kick on these two wells corcrlate? Which is the well that is developing at about 950 on the Robinson Well?

A That's the point, the contour, the map is contoured on. Q On your plat on Exhibit 2?

A Yes, sir. I didn't point out that on reproduction, that line didn't show up very clearly. There is a very faint blue line, if you will note, connecting these points.

MR. NUTTER: Any further questions of Mr. Montgomery? You may be excused.

(Witness excaued)

MR. MUTTER: Do you have anything further?



DE ARNLEY-MEIER REFORTING

ALBUQUERQUE

s às

3-6691

Đ

Inc.

SERVICE,

PAGE 37

MR. BRATTON: We will put on one witness to answer the

(Wintess sworn) Examiner's questions.

CHESTER F. SKRABACZ, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as

DIRECT EXAMINATION follows:

, Inc.

.

 $\mathbf{F}_{i}^{\mathbf{A}}$

1.4

1

1 - 1

ALBUZVERQUE, NEW MEXICO

Will you state your name and occupation? DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, BY MR. BRATTON: My name is Chester Skrabacz. Consulting geologist of Q

А Have you testified as an expert witness? Midland.

Are you familiar with the Robinson Brothers Well in con-Q Yes, I have. А

Q, nection with this hearing? As a matter of fact, were you the resident geologist on Yes, I am, very much. Α

I witnessed samples, I ran the samples on these wells. Q, I helped pick the perforations. I witnessed the drill stem test, that well? and I also went out on location when the Samedan Deborde Well was being drilled here, this post drill, and looked at those samples.

The Examiner was questioning as to the completion practices I looked also at Hunt 1 "C" State. on the Robinson Brothers Well, Would you explain how it was com-

pleted and why it was completed in that fashion? Well, after the drill stem test indicated a pretty high A pressure gas well, the operator decided not to take too many chances. He ran his 52-inch casing down to 11,000 feet and cemented with 200 sacks of custommade cement and ran a temperature survey that indicated that cement to be up to 9750. And taking no chances, he went into the hole to perforate the pay from approximately 10,865 to 10,915. A slight correction in that log, and perforated through tubing using a tubing gun which we know is a very small powerful, very small limited power gun. They shot four shots per foot from this 10,865 to 10,915, and after swabbing the hole dry, they had no reaction. So they figured that the shots weren't penetrating horizontally to get into the pay, so they pulled the tubing out and ran in there and used a swing jet perforating gun, and there again it was perforated the same interval, four shots per foot, and the result of that was no reaction as to -- I believe they did have some small gas shows, nothing like the drill stem indicated, where it was over 22 million, and as a last resort, they came to the conclusion they may have cemented off their very permeable sand, and they brought in an abrasive jet gun and perforated twenty-one abrasive shots into the same interval, scattered into the 10,865 to 10,915 pattern, and this again gave them a slight increase of show, but still nothing like it had been on the drill stem test. So then they began acidizing it and the first acid job was approximately 1500 gallons of mud acid. Then they had very poor results

3-6691

Đ

Inc.

SERVICE,

REPORTING

DEARNLEY-MEIER

UQUERQL



and went back in with 8,000 gallons of mud acid and using some mothballs with the acid to possibly break through into the reservoir; and they had, I think, close to several cubic feet a day. As a last resort, they gave it 25,000 gallons of acid, using moth-balls, and this last acid job proved to be fairly or just mediocre with success. However, at this time the well came back to several million cubic feet a day, and they figured that was enough money spent. Their cost of that acid perforating ran to thirty thousand dollars. The check factor is very, very important. Since they got back some gas they were satisfied and for commercial reasons, and came back to give it the 4 point drawdown tests several weeks later. In this well we have 85 and 5/8 down to 3600 after 5½ down to 11,000. That's all I have to add to that.

3-6591

3

Inc.

REPORTING SERVICE,

DEARNLEY-MEIER

MEXICO

NEW

1-21

\$2.4

Q As a matter of fact, is it not the exception when bullets or jets will penetrate a good cement job?

A Usually -- that's right. Usually, a bullet will penetrate the casing and cement and may enter, let's say, less than 5 inches into formation, and the abrasive jet may go as much as ten inches. That is the most powerful gun, I believe, we have to date. We definitely concluded, and, I believe, the opinion of all of the engineers and geologists and people concerned, as well, that formation was so permeated with so much cement, and they were very fortunate to get it back. And of all the cases in the area, this is the first well compared, as Mr. Montgomery mentioned, the Fren area, Atoka area and the Empire area where this is the first well that had



such cement trouble.

Q Mr. Skrabacz, you heard the evidence of Mr. Montgomery in this case. Then, you were the resident geologist on this well, as a matter of fact, you originated the well?

A That's right.

Q In your opinion, do you corroborate the testimony of Mr. Montgomery as to the nature and lithology of this well and as to the correlation of the other Morrow Sand follows which re related it?

A I couldn't concur very definitely.

Q In your opinion, is this well a highly permeable well and capable of draining the 320 acres requested?

A Very much so.

MR. BRATTON: Nothing further. If the Examiner has any further questions --

MR. NUTTER: No further questions of Mr. Skrabacz. Do you have anything further? Does anyone have anything further in Case 2131? Recess until 1:15

(Noon recess)

MR. NUTTER: The hearing will come to order, please. We will resume now with Case 2131.

MR. KELLAHIN: Jason Kellahin, Kellahin & Fox, appearing for protestant, Hunt Oil Company. We will have one witness; I would like to have him sworn, please.

(Witness sworn)



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

CH 3-6691

PHONE
f	
	J. T. HOLLIS,
called	as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as
follows	
	DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR.	KELLAHIN:
Q	Will you state your name, please?
A	J. T. Hollis, H-o-l-l-i-s.
Q	By whom are you employed and in what position, Mr.Hollis
A	Employed by the Hunt Oil Company, Dallas, Texas. Region-
al geol	ogi st.
Q	Have you had any experience educational training as
geologi	st?
A	Ycs, sir. I am a graduate geologist. I have ten years'
experie	nce in the industry.
Q	Would you just outline briefly what your educational ex-
perienc	e has been?
Α	Received the Bachelor of Arts degree in geology at Baylor
Univers	ty 1950. Three years' geophysical experience South Louisi-
ana Sou	th Texas, off shore Texas and Louisiana. Experience in sub-
surface	and field geology in West Texas, and more recently I was
employe	by Phillips Petroleum Company in the capacity of reservoir
geologi	st and log analysis before joining Mr. Hunt a year and a
half ag	
Q	You've been with Hunt Oil Company for a year and a half?
A	That's correct.



 3-6691

Q Does the region which is under your supervision as regional geologist include the area involved in this hearing? A Yes, sir, it does.

MR. KELLAHIN: Are the witness' qualifications accept-

MR. NUTTER: Yes, sir. Please proceed.

Q (By Mr. Kellahin) Mr. Hollis, have you prepared a map showing the lease ownership in the area?

A I have, yes, sir.

(Whereupon, Hunt Oil Company's Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.)

Q Referring to what has been marked as Hunt Oil Company's Exhibit No. 1, would you discuss briefly what is shown on that Exhibit?

A That is a lease map of the area that we are concerned with showing portions of Chaves County, New Mexico. The acreage colored yellow is acreage under lease by Hunt Oil Company or its other organizations.

Q Now, have you made a study of the structure in the area involved here?

A Yes, sir, I have.

(Whereupon, Hunt Oil Company's Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)

Q Referring to what has been marked Hunt Oil Company's Exhibit No. 2, would you discuss that Exhibit?

A Exhibit No. 2 is a subsurface structure map of a portion of Chaves and Lea Counties, New Mexico. contoured on the map of the Mississippian limestone, and shows the structural configuration as seen on the Mississippian of the area in question.

Q Now, that structure map does not conform entirely with the structural maps which were prepared by the applicant in this case, does it?

A Well, perhaps, this certainly isn't quite as broad as is the previous map submitted. The area is a little more concise here and I have tried to use a little more detail, as much as I possibly could with the existing control.

Q What is your existing --

PHONE CH 3-669

Inc.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE,

MEXICO

NEW

UQUERQUE,

24

164

500

1.54

se de

1.4

A Existing control is wells shown on the map; the first well, the Hall State "S" No. 1 in Township 10 South 11, 31 East -excuse me -- I mean that would be Section 19 the Stanolind No. 1 Pole Cat Canyon in Section 32 -- excuse me -- I am mistaken about that. Let me make corrections. The -- I am sorry -- the Stanolind Well is in Section 34. These sections have not been marked. Southeast of that Stanolind Well, approximately three miles, is the Hammond and Debarde Pritchard Amarado State No. 1. That well is in 11 South, 32 East, Section 16, I believe that is. Four, approximately four and a half miles west of the Hammond Well is the Samedan No. 1 Debarde, Section 15, Section 31 East, Chaves, and immediately south is Robinson Brothers Monroe Ellicit, Section 22, 11 South, 31 East. Two and a half miles south of the Robinson



page 44

	Brothers Well in Section 34, is the Phillips Petroleum James 1-A,
	and the last well to the northeast, five miles approximately, the
	Atlantic No. 1 Federal, Section 7, Phillips. 11 South, 31 East.
1699-E	Q In your opinion, does that accurately reflect the struc-
CH 3-6	ture in the area involved?
PHONE CH	A Yes.
2	Q Have you prepared some cross-sections, Mr. Hollis?
-	A Yes, sir, I prepared two cross-sections, one essentially
	northeast, southwest and another one that is labeled AA Prime.
	Q On Exhibit No. 2?
0	A Yes, sir, on Exhibit No. 2. Also on Exhibit No. 2 an
	east-west cross-section BB Prime.
	(Whereupon,Hunt Oil Company's Ex- hibit No. 3 marked for identifi- cation.)
	Q Now, referring to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 3,
	are you finished?
	A One other thing. The shaded area which is shaded red on
	the structure map is the area we would like to see, we are propos-
	ing to form 640 gas units in the Morrow Sand.
	Q Does that complete your comments on that Exhibit?
Such La	A That completes that Exhibit.
ALI UQUERQUE, NEW	Q Referring to what has been marked Hunt's Exhibit 3, would
	you discuss that Exhibit, please, being north-south cross-sections?
	A We prepared Exhibit No. 3 which is cross-section AA Prime
	extending some seven miles northeast, southwest from the Hall State



Well to the Phillips No. 1 "A" James to show the presence of the Morrow Sand in these wells, or to show continuity of the Morrow in these particular wells. The northernmost or the well on the righthand end of the cross-section is the Hall No. 1 State. We go from there to the Stanolind Well further south to the Robinson Brothers south, and further south to the Phillips James Well. Now, I have lined these logs up on the approximate top of the bend and which I feel is a control tie marker on each log. A little bit difficult to see this right off, perhaps because all of these logs are not the same type survey. However, I feel confident these correlations are correct. The next correlation is the top of what I prefer to call the Morrow zone. The next line does not have any particular designation. I just threw that in there to show an additional correlation, trying to define this Morrow Sand zone as it appears to the logs, and the last correlation is the top of the Mississippian. I might add right here the Mississippian top on the Robinson Brothers Well was necessary to -- it was necessary to project that just a few feet because it didn't show up real good on the log. However, we did another log when this well was finally drilled by Hunt 011 Company which had a definite limestone calculation at that point, which would be 11,010 feet. To go a little further, if you observe the correlation on the top of the Morrow zone and the next line immediately above that, looka t that point in the Hall No. 1 State. We see Morrow sand developed there. This was not tested in this well as it was drilled, but it is present.

3-6691

Ð

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

MEXICO

1-1

644



The next well, the Stanolind Well, the sand is very much in evidence here. Incidentally, a drill stem test was conducted in part, actually the test included all of this zone and some additional, for the additional section, and there was a recovery of about 1875 feet of gas cut mud.

MR. NUTTER: Do you know if Stanolind was drill stem tested?

A This is in the Polecat Canyon, the drill stem tested 10,625 feet to 10,876 feet.

Q They recovered?

A The recovery was as follows: $23\frac{1}{2}$ gas in surface to ten minutes, no estimate. It recovered 1,875 of heavily gas cut mud. If you want this other information, the flow pressure ranged from 325 to 375 pounds. Shut-in pressure of 1,534 pounds in fifteen minutes.

Q They actually did make free gas to the surface?

A That's right.

Q No measurement of this?

A No measurement of it. Someone might raise the question about the shut-in pressure, this well being so low. However, it's been my observation on many, many drill stem tests shows shut-in pressure equilibrium in there on the shut-in for fifteen minutes. That zone correlates south into the producing interval in the Robinson Brothers Well. South of the Robinson Well is the Phillip 1 "A" James. The same is present here but is not as well developed.



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

154

11-12

1.5

t y

1.14

CH 3-669

But I think that is a definite correlation and this shows the continuity of the sand on the northeast, southwest line which is essentially seven miles in length.

Were there any tests on the last well referred to which Q is the Phillips?

The Phillips Well, no, sir. I don't think there were any A tests in that interval.

Does that complete your comments on Exhibit No. 3? Q

Well, I might say this, just because there were no tests Α run, in my opinion, that doesn't necessarily mean that sand will not produce because in many, many instances we see these grey sands where they have no show in them whatsoever in the cuttings. I just wanted to mention that.

> (Whereupon, Junt Oil Company's Exhibit No. 4 was marked for identification.)

Q Referring to what has been marked as Hunt's Exhibit 4, will you discuss that Exhibit?

Now, Exhibit No. 4 is east-west cross-section, east on Α the right-hand side of the section, from the Hammond and Pritchard Amarado State and which is in Lea County, it's west. And again using the Robinson Brothers Well as a key well, into the Hassie Hunt Tri-State 1-C there and finally to the Atlantic No. 1 Federal. Here again I made the same correlations, the logs are hung on the top of the bend on the Hammond Well. We see the Morrow Sand present from approximately 10,709 to 10,860, not too well developed there.



REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. DEARNLEY-MEIER NEW

MEXI

ALBUQUERQUE.

(100.0

t., 5

10.1

124

وندره

1.1.1

. - s

3-6691

Ð

Then we come west to the -- come into the Robinson Brothers Well again, and immediately west of there roughly, I will say, immediately, roughly half a mile is the Hassie Hunt No. 1 State "C." There is nothing very interesting that happens here, the sand has shaled out there, it's not present. And I might add right here our drafts might have a slight error on our correlation. I had to mark out the blue line print, or at least part of it, and pencil in the correct correlation of the top of the Morrow zone over to the Atlantic No. 1 Federal. You notice we come up-dip rather fast between the Hassie Hunt Well, and the Atlantic Federal, and naturally, the sand is not present with the Atlantic Well and the section where it should has practically disappeared. There may be 10 feet of it left. So, in summing this thing up, we can see the sand present, going from east to west over to the Robinson Brothers Well and as we proceed west, taking in the Hassie Hunt Well and the Atlantic Well, the sand is not developed, shaled out, or whatever may be the case.

3-669

£

SERVICE,

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING

MEXI

NEW

ALBUQUEF QUE,

۰.,

1.4

Q The basis of the information, then, how far to the east from the Robinson Well would you say the reservoir extends?

A Well, we've seen it in the Hammond, it's not as well developed but centerinly it extends almost to that, to that well, and I certainly feel like this is a continuous reservoir from approximately the Phillips Well north to the Hall Well, from the Robinson Brothers Well to the Hammond, and Atlantic Pritchard Well and is probably pressure connected.



PAGE 48

Q You heard the testimony of Mr. Montgomery in which he described the sand as laid down in tortuous fashion. Have you any comment on that testimony?

(c)

CH 3-6691

Inc.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE,

MEXICO

NEV

LBUQUERQUE,

1.5

A Well, of course, those things do happen. However, I feel like in this instance we can see the sand as more or less of a blanket condition within the confines of this area, by subsurface correlation.

Q Now, you heard Mr. Montgomery's testimony in regard to the lithology of the sand. Have you any comment on that testimony A Very characteristic of the Morrow sand is his description of lithology, the sand can change from coarse to medium to fine grain. However, it's been my experience in working the Morrow especially in the Oklahoma Famhandle and Western Oklahoma that does not necessarily preclude a good productivity from a gas well in the Morrow.

Q You heard Mr. Montgomery's testimony in regard to the permeability of the Morrow sand, in the area involved here. Are you in agreement with that testimony?

A Well, of course, in this immediate area we don't have any permeability figures either. I feel, I am of the opinion the Robinson Brothers Well has an extremely good permeability.

Q On what do you base that conclusion, Mr. Hollis? A Well, first of all, the productivity of the well itself, it's capability; secondly, I might add this, I have analyzed the

Robinson Brothers Well, I have worked several zones in here for



porosity. It ranges from 10 to 15 percent. I would like to point out there is a neutron current and in this range of porosity your neutron is not your best porosity device. This is probably pessimistic.

Q You are referring to which log?

A The Robinson Brothers Well.

Q And you say, in your opinion, that log may indicate a pessimistic porosity index?

A I want to make that clear. I think it is better than that. For instance, if we had a microlog of this well, I feel like we could have calculated porosities in the nature of twenty percent. I believe, it's my opinion, that that is characteristic of the Morrow in this area. We see fifteen percent on the neutron current. I think it's more than that.

Q Have you made any calculation of possible recoveries from this reservoir?

A Yes, sir, I have.

Q How did you make that study?

A I made a volumetric calculation using data mostly from the Robinson Brothers Well porosity shut-in pressure. Incidentally, I used -- I took a shut-in pressure of the Hassie Hunt Well into consideration. They are practically the same at that depth. T think there is variance of about 300 fcct. There isn't too much shift but it's representative. I assume a water saturation of thirty percent for this sand. For this porosity, it's been my experience, too,

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

标准

3-6691

3

that thirty percent or fifteen percent porosity is a good figure. It certainly is that, possibly even less, due to the fact that well hasn't produced any water. It's certainly very, very much below critical water saturation. From that data, I calculated my reserves.

Q What effective pay did you assign to it?

A For the reservoir, I used a net effective pay or net effect sand thickness of 20 feet for the reservoir. It's -- the Robinson Brothers have somewhat in excess of 20 feet, but the 20 feet is an average for the reservoir.

Q On the basis of that analysis, what would your conclusion be as to the reservoir?

A Based on my study, both from subsurface information, production information, log analysis, everything we did, the reservoir is continuous between the limits of the two cross-sections that I have submitted; is pressure connected, and certainly lends itself to 640-acre spacing.

Q What reserve figure did you arrive at there, Mr.Hollis?A I arrived at ten billion cubic feet.

Q That's on 640?

A That's 640 spacing.

• You stated that in your opinion one well would drain 640 acres. What is the basis of that conclusion?

A I base my conclusion on that, on the perimeters that we see in this well, porosity, water saturation, the permeability that

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

4 - 5 4 - 5 **4** CH 3-6691

is no doubt there. Good permeability, plus the fact that the sand is present, within the confines of my cross-sections and would certainly drain 640 scres.

It is your recommendation the pool be spaced on 640 acres? Q A

Yes, sir, that is our recommendation.

Would you accept such an order on a temporary basis until Q further reservoir basis is obtained?

A temporary 640? Α

Yes, sir. Q

Yes, sir. Α

In connection with the spacing of these wells, have you Q any comments on the recommendations which have been made by the applicant on well locations?

Well, the only thing I have to add to that is that the Α 330 feet footage figure used from a section line, I would recommend that be extended to 900 feet.

Q 660?

660 be extended to 990. That would present for better A protection of everyone's correlative rights.

Q Have you any other comments on the recommended rules which have been submitted by the applicant?

The only other commont I have, I feel like this area is А capable of draining 640 acres.

MR. KELLAHIN: Do you have any other comments to add, Mr. Hollis?



PHONE CH 3-6691 DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. MEXICO NEW

ALBUQUERQUE,

A Well, if I might make these -- a few general statements, I would like to do so. It's been the policy or the theory of Hunt Oil Company to advocate and operate on wide spacing. We feel that everyone's correlative rights would be better protected in that way and everyone will certainly get his or her fair share of the hydrocarbons present. We feel like, that 640 spacing will prevent waste. In other words, we feel one well will drain 640 acres as well, and will probably recover ultimately as much gas as a denser drilling program might. We think that 640-acre spacing will certainly lead to an orderly development program and will prevent the drilling of any unnecessary wells in this area.

Q Would you consider that drilling and developing the area on 320 spacing would constitute waste and drilling of unnecessary wells?

A Yes, sir, I think it will drain 640.

Q Certainly. You also agree, then, with the applicant, that a well drilled on 160 would constitute drilling of unnecessary wells?

A Yes, sir.

CH 3-6691

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

6.44

Q Then to the extent that the applicant seeks 320-acre sections?

A Yes, sir, we are.

......

Q You are seeking that the spacing be 640?

A Yes, sir.

Q Were Exhibits 1 through 4 prepared by you and under your



supervision?
A Yes, they were.
MR. KELLAHIN: I would like to offer Exhibits 1 through
4.
MR. NUTTER: Exhibits 1 through 4 will be accepted.
(Whereupon, Hunt Oil Company's Ex hibits 1 through 4 were received in evidence.)
Q (By Mr. Kellahin) Do you have anything else to add?
A Yes, sir, I have these additional comments. Assuming w
would establish 640 spacing, we would certainly want an allowable
based on the productive acreage in that given unit.
Q In other words, if there were a dry hole in portions of
the unit, would you consider that area to be productive?
A We would certainly want that acreage proved productive.
It might be a dry hole, it might be a fault if they exist. That
is the way we feel on that.
Q Have you as yet found any evidence in faulting in this area?
A Not right here. One other thing I would like to mention
is this: assuming 640 spacing units are granted, we would like to
locate a well per 640 acre unit, let's say the exact distance from
the center of the section, possibly, maybe a thousand foot toler-
ance from the center of the section.
Q Would that tolerance, in your opinion, take care of any
differientation in the structure sand development in the reservoir

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

1.

10¥

10**8**

, 2**.**∦

DE ADMIEV MEIED

Yes, sir. A Q Is that the reason you recommend that? Yes, sir. One other thing I would like to add, we feel А that other companies, major or otherwise, would certainly prefer 640-acre spacing formation out here. MR. KELLAHIN: That's all the questions I have, Mr. Nutter. MR. NUTTER: Does anyone have any questions of the witness? BY MR. BRATTON: Q Mr. Hollis, do you understand that the statewide rule on gas spacing is 160 acres? That's my understanding, yes, sir. А Would you prefer 320-acres to 160-acres? Q Α Yes, sir. Q So, in essence, your position with reference to the Robinson Brothers request is that we have been modest in your request? А Yes, sir. MR. BRATTON: I think that's all. May I? I appreciate the opportunity to come out here and А visit with the Commission my first trip out. I appreciate all the courtesies extended, and I speak for Hunt Oil Company also.

CH 3-6691

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

MR. NUTTER: You stated it was the policy of Hunt Oil Company to advocate wide space. Does the drainage, present drainage or drainage policy reflected, is that reflected by your appli-



cation here or statement here today?

A I might say this, Mr. Examiner, we prefer wide spacing. We feel like we will ultimately drain as much acreage as a denser drilling program would on that basis.

Q Do you have any actual basis for coloring these various sections red which you have colored red on your Exhibit No. 2, other than the belief this is a blanket type sand?

A We felt like that was -- these were the closest sections to the well that was to the closest completed well, and they should be first spaced. In other words, this 640-acre designation I have here in red could be extended or contracted pending future or additional development.

Q We already had some development in Section 15. which would tend to eliminate the section, wouldn't you think?

A Yes, sir, but I don't feel that entire 640 acres is entirely devoid of sand or is unproductive.

Q Now, another thing you stated, Morrow sand was developed in the Hammond Anderson-Pritchard State Well over there in Section 16, 11 South, 32 East. I note that on your contour map you show a synclinal low occurring in the west half of that Township and in the extreme eastern portion of Township 11 South, 31 East. Do you see Morrow sand across that synclinal low?

A No. I think this is --

Q Do you think it is productive of gas through that low? A I think it would be very likely.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

201

3-6691

PHONE CH

page 57

anyone have anything they wish to offer in Case 2131? We will
take the case under advisement.
n her sol a been and the constant of the second states of the second second states of the second states of the

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss County OF BERNALILLO)

I, Lewellyn Nelson, Court Reporter, in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported in machine shorthand and reduced to typewritten transcript under my personal supervision, and that the same is a true and corredct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

WITNESS my Hand and Seal this, thed 16th day of December, 1960, in the City of Albuquerque, County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico.

Kachen/ NOTARY PUBLIC

My commission expires:

June 19, 1965

CH 3-6691

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

(144) 다. (1 1)년

1

) [4

i și

1.4

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete second of the proceedings in the Exchiner hearing of Case No. 2131 in heard by me on 11. 30 19.60 heard by no on

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission



การสารและเห็นสารแรงการและสารแห่งสารสารแห่งการสารสารสารสารสารการการการสารสารสารสารสารสารสารสารสารสาร

-- 94 ··· PAGE 1 BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico December 11, 1961 FARMINGTON, N. M. PHONE 325-1182 1.1 DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. IN THE MATTER OF: CASE NO. Application of Robinson Brothers Oil Producers for the establishment of 2131 . . 320-acre gas proration units in the TV-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, Chaves County, New Mexico. Case 2131 will be reopened pursuant to Order No. R-1839 to permit the applicant and other interested parties to appear and show cause why the TV-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool should not be developed on 2 160-acre proration units. BEFORE: Elvis A. Utz, Examiner TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING MR. UTZ: Case 2131. MR. MORRIS: Case 2131: In the matter of the appli-cation of Robinson Brothers Oil Producers for the establishment of 320-acre gas proration units in the TV-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, Chaves County, New Mexico. MR. BRATTON: Howard Bratton, Roswell, New Mexico, on behalf of the applicant. We have one witness. (Witness sworn.)

MR. UTZ: Are there other appearances in this case? RANDALL MONTGOMERY,

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BRATTON:

FARMINGTON, N. M. PHONE 325-1182

Inc.

ENLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE,

DE

PHONE

Q Will you state your name, occupation and place of business?

A Randall Montgomery, Hobbs, New Mexico, independent geologist.

Q Did you testify in the hearing on this matter which was held a year ago?

A I did.

Q Have you qualified before this Commission as an expert witness?

A I have.

Q Have you kept track of the events and developments in this pool during the intervening year?

A I have.

Q Review very briefly, if you would Mr. Montgomery, what the situation was a year ago at which time we asked for temporary 320-acre spacing in this pool.

A A year ago we had a shut-in gas well called the Robinson Brothers Manley-Elliott No. 1 located in Township 11 South, Range 31 East, in Section 22. At that time we had had



no production history at all other than drillstem test data. We came to the Commission and asked for 320-acre spacing and since that time we have been producing gas and we have taken two bottom hole pressure tests on this well in order to comply with the request of the Commission to obtain as much data as we possibly could to show the Commission why we need 320-acre spacing.

Q Mr. Montgomery, referring to what has been marked Exhibit ó in the original hearing, I believe that's the plat of the Mississippian pools in the general area. Would you detail very briefly what your testimony was as to the nature of this reservoir as compared to other similar reservoirs throughout Eddy County?

A My testimony was that this is producing from the stratigraphic horizon as all the pools on the map; and that they're all very similar in character to a degree. Ours was perhaps complicated by the fact that we had a coarser grained reservoir and a more erratic appearing field than perhaps some of the others. Altogether there are a number of one-pool reservoirs. In this formation it would appear that we have added another one pool reservoir. That's due to the fact that since the hearing there has been a third dry hole drilled in the area we are now. We now have a dry hole to the North, to the Northwest and due South of the producing well.

Q That would be shown on what was marked as Exhibit No. 2

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUOUE. PHCNE.

Sale of the second states in the second s

FARMINGTON, N. M. PHONE 325-1182 in the hearing. Was there a plat on that exhibit which showed the Robinson Brothers well and the two dry holes to the North?

A Yes, sir, it did.

ARMINGTON, N. M. PHONE 325-1182

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

N. N.

PHONE

Q Since that time has there been added a dry hole in the South Half of Section 22 directly south of the Robinson Brothers well?

A There has Trice Production drilled a well 1650 from the South and 1980 from the West of that same section. That well was cored throughout its entire interval. I have a log showing the sample description and it indicated that the whole section was dense. It had shaled out. There was one thin sand in the upper portion of it. However, it was going to be noncommercial and the well has since been plugged and abandoned.

Q So this has confirmed your testimony that this is a meandering type of reservoir and apparently is blocked off to the North, West and South and could only possibly extend or meander to the East?

A Yes, sir, or around the well bores.

Q Now, what information have you developed since the hearing a year ago, Mr. Montgomery?

A Since a year ago we have produced this well and have taken two bottom hole pressures, as I stated earlier. The first one was on July the 11th of 1961, the bottom hole pressure at that time was 3,901 pounds. We had a gas gradient in the tubing all the way. There's no fluid in the hole. We took our

PAGE

second bottom hole pressure December 8, 1961, and we had the same result. During the producing--

MR. UTZ: The same pressure?

A Sir?

MR. UTZ: The same pressure?

No. there was a 254 pound drop in pressures. Based A on that interval, from the two bottom hole pressure tests that we have, the well has produced 717 MCF per pound drop. Original lý, the only pressure we had was a drillstem pressure which was 4,392 pounds. I have reason now to doubt the accuracy of that test based on the producing life and the two new bottom hole pressures that we have. The reason for saying that is that the drillstem test bottom hole pressure was 4,392 pounds, and to the date that we took our first bottom hole pressure test with conventional bottom hole bombs, we produced 487 MCF per pound drop using the drillstem test bottom hole pressure and the initial bomb test. From the original bottom hole pressure drill stem test bomb and then the conventional bombs that we ran on December 8th of 1961, we produced approximately 555 MCF per pound drop. Now, taking the period of time from when the two bombs were run and ignoring the drillstem test bomb, we produced at the rate of 717 MCF per pound drop. So we are talking about a 75 per cent apparent increase in the reserves after producing approximately nine months, due to these differences in bomb Therefore, I would tend to disregard the pressure pressures.

¹¹¹当时的时候,并且这些事实,这些时间,这些时间,我们还是这些事实,我们还是这些事实,我们还是这些事实,我们还是这些事实,我们还是这些事实。



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M. PHONE 325-1182

taken with a drillstem test at this time.

Q What conclusion do you draw from the pressure information that you have obtained during the year, Mr. Montgomery?

A It indicates on the basis between the interval of time of the two bombs that were run, we are looking at the range of 2.6 billion cubic feet of reserves.

Q On the basis of the information a year ago, what were your estimates of reserves at that time?

A Seven billion cubic feet.

Q So that the value of the reservoir on the basis of the present information is approximately 35 per cent of what you estimated a year ago?

A Yes, sir, it is.

ARMINGTON, N. M.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ILBLOVEROUE, N. M. PHCNE 243-6691 Q On the basis of the information you have obtained, in your opinion would it be economically feasible to drill an additional well in this reservoir?

A It appears not. It would not be feasible. The wells would cost approximately \$520,000 and we're looking at gas, say if it sold for ten cents an MCF, have only \$265,000 run. I'm not saying we're selling it for ten cents. Actually we're getting a little more than that, plus our distillate production.

Q On the basis of the addition dry hole and the pressure information, it would appear that you would probably have a onewell field.

A That would be my opinion.



Summer to an and the second second

And that this one well would drain the field? Yes, sir. In the event a second well was drilled in the field, well, then the economics on this particular well would Do you have anything further that has developed during no longer be satisfactory. I would just point out that our distillate gas-oil Q the year, Mr. Montgomery? ratios have been increasing and we would anticipate that they DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. will continue to increase. We were producing initially right at 16,000 to 1, and the latest information we have it's in the So that the total value of the recoverable distillate range of 22,000 to 1. Q Yes, sir, and it will continue to drop till such a is dropping steadily? point as it reaches the evaporation stage and at that time it will be a slight increase. We can expect nothing but an increas ing GOR which would probably run in the range of 80 to 100,000 to one, perhaps another 10 to 15 per cent decline in pressure In your opinion will the one well effectively drain in the reservoir. ALBUOUEROUE, N. M. PHONE 243-6691 We have every indication that it is a high productive Q 320 acres? well and there's good transmissibility so we will be able to That is the evidence that was submitted at the original drain this.

FARMINGTON, N. M. PHONE 325-1182

hearing?

NGTON, N. M. VE 325-1182

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE

A That's correct.

Q Is there anything further you care to state, Mr. Montgomery?

A No, sir, not at this time.

MR. BRATTON: Mr. Examiner, we would move the evidence which was introduced in the original case be considered in connection with this application and not burden the Examiner with repeating that evidence other than the brief synopsis presented here. That concludes our direct evidence.

MR. UTZ: The evidence in the original case will be entered into the record of this case.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. UTZ:

Q Mr. Montgomery, what are the pool limits at the present time, do you happen to know?

A I believe it's the North Half of that section.

MR. BRATTON: I believe that is correct.

MR. UTZ: North Half?

MR. BRATTON: It's exactly the North Half of the

section.

Q As far as you know at this time, you don't intend to do any more drilling in the area?

A No, sir, we cannot justify drilling of an additional well. We feel this well will drain it and in the event a second



well is drained, that will even lower reserves far below what they are now.

Q Robinson Brothers owns the entire North Half?

A Yes, sir.

325-1182

JNOH

NGTON,

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUERQUE, N. M. PHONE 243.6691 Q There's no royalty difference of any nature between the Northwest and Northeast Quarters?

A No, sir, they're all the same.

Q If this is only a one-well pool, then in the absence of gas proration-well, even with gas proration it wouldn't make any difference whether you had 160 or 320, would it?

A In the event we should lose the Northeast Quarter of the section because of the order written by the Commission, it's conceivable that someone might move into the pool and drain it and drill a well which could drastically reduce the economics payout of this well.

Q You mean drill over to the East?

A Yes.

Q

Q At the Texas Company acreage?

• A Y. S. Of course, by the pool rules they could drill on that acreage you are referring to, but I'm referring to the Northeast Quarter of our North Half.

Q If you don't drill it, who would drill it?

A If anyone investigated it thoroughly, I'm sure they wouldn't but that isn't always the case.

You own the acreage, don't you?



PAGE , 10

A To date we do, yes, sir.

Well, will not your well hold that acreage?

A I'm not qualified, I haven't read the exact terms of the lease. I'm not qualified to answer that.

Q I'm just trying to figure out how anyone would move in and drill the Northeast Quarter of your acreage?

I may be mistaken.

Q

N. H.

FARMINGTON, A

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE 243.6691

MR. BRATTON: Mr. Examiner, I believe what Mr.

Montgomery is getting to, if the pool rules should be 160 acres conceivably you could have a termination of the lease as to

the Northeast Quarter. We would not anticipate it, but that is a possibility. Then as he said conceivably somebody with tax money and without full investigation might be talked into drilling a well on there, which would be an econonomic disaster. for them and for Robinson Brothers. We believe that the one well will drain the acreage. That's probably about all that there will be drilled in there, but we certainly would feel that the one well will drain it and that it could and should be prorated or spaced on 320 acres.

Q (By Mr. Utz) When was the first production from this well?

A March 1961.

Q

How much gas has the well produced to date?



A To date it's produced approximately 413,000 MCF.

Q That was what, to what time?

A December 8, 1961.

Q The date you took the last pressure?

A Yes. I might add that for the month of November, that we do not have the gas figures yest from El Paso and I have estimated the volume for November and the first eight days in December at the rate that we have been producing it, which was slightly in excess of one million a day.

> MR. UTZ: Any other questions of the witness? MR. MORRIS: Yes.

BY MR. MORRIS:

Q Is it your proposal that the rules that have been in effect in this pool for the last year or so as promulgated by Commission Order R-1839 be made permanent?

A Yes, we do. However, we also plan to take bottom hole pressures every six months throughout the life of this well. That information will always be available to the Commission if they so desire.

MR. BRATTON: Mr. Morris, we would suggest and we would hope that the Commission would make the rules permanent. If the Commission should feel that a further one-year extension is advisable, why, of course, that's within the Commission prerogative, and as Mr. Montgomery says, we are going to take further pressure tests straight along.

"HARKERINER



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ГАRMINGTON, N. H. РНОИЕ 325-1182

We actually feel if we can get at least one or two A more points, we will be in a little better shape because initially we were depending on the bottom hole pressure tests from the drillstem test tool and the information now indicates it wasn't a satisfactory bottom hole pressure. MR. UTZ: It's pretty generally understood that a good

amount of shut-in bottom hole pressure tests are not satisfactory isn't it?

A That's the only information we had at the time. 0fcourse, we had produced no gas at the previous hearing. We used any tools we had available.

> MR. UTZ: Are there any further questions? (Witness excused.)

MR. UTZ: Any statements in this case? The case will be taken under advisement.



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALI-UQUERQUE, N. M. PHONE 243.6691

STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF BERNALILLO) 38.

I, ADA DEARNLEY, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill, and ability.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have affixed my hand and notarial seal this llth day of December, 1961.

URT REPORTER-NOTARY PUBLIC

PAGE

13

My commission expires: June 19, 1963

DIGARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUOVEROUE, N. M. PHONE 243.6611

1.04

::::

11.3

.44

Sec. Sec. ba

10100

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete reduced of the proceedings in the Examiner bearing of Case No. 21.71. Ke 11 ... 29 61

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission ... Examinor



Darrell W. Smith Co.

Box 1105 . Midland, Texas

October 25, 1957

El Paso Natural Gas Company Wilco Building Midland, Texas

Re: Well No. 1 Leonard State Eddy County, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

Attached are the results of core analysis of the Atoka formation in the above well.

The Atoka was cored from 10,712 feet to 10,771 feet with a water base mud and all of the sandstone was analyzed by Full Diameter Corestudy.

The analyzed interval can be divided into two zones. They are from 10,712 feet to 10,736 feet and from 10,736 feet to 10,762.5 feet. Their average physical properties are tabulated on an attached sheet. A comparison of these physical properties will indicate the relative producing capabilities of the two intervals. To reasonably estimate the ultimate recovery from a gas reservoir it is necessary to have more data than is supplied by a core analysis.

The porosity was determined using a Boyle's law gas expansion porosimeter.

Yours very truly,

DARRELL W. SMITH COMPANY

whand the

Pr Lawrence W. Smith Registered Professional Engineer

LWS:br

laboratory and offices + + West Highway 80



* K.

Darrell W. Smith Co. CORE FOOTAGE SUMMARY

:

Operator	El Paso Natural Gas C	ompany	Lab No.	937-A
Well No	1 Leonard State			
Formations	Atoka Sand			· .
Depths	10,712-10,771			
Field	Wildcat	County Eddy	State	New Mexico
Location	1980' FNL 660' FWL Se	c 22-175-29E		

CORE INFORMATION

			S. S
Intervals core	from_	10,712	to771
	from		to
	from_		to
Footage of formation cored		59	
Recovery		59	
Feet of core received at laboratory for analysis	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	59	59
Number of representative samples selected for analysis		50	
Feet of core represented by selected samples		50.5	
Feet of core compared to tested samples	, 	· · · · · ·	
Feet of shale and or dense barren material not analyzed		8.5	
			· · · · ·

59.0 59.0 Total footage of core accounted for in laboratory analysis_____

AVERAGE PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

			Fluid Saturation Residual Oil	Water
Footage	Permeability	Porosity		
			1.2	16.3
10,712-10,736 10,736-10,762.5	201 40	11.9 5.8	Trace	24.2



NAME AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTIONO

Daviell W. Smith Ca.

Box 1105 • Midland, Texas

FULL DIAMETER CORE STUDY

Formation Atoka Sand Prtohar 25 1057 OperatorEl Paso Natural Gas Company Field Wildcat 200 Well No. 1 Leonard State Depths 10,712-10,771

> . 18

SAMPLE	REPRESENTATIVE	FOOTAGE	PERMEABILITY.	LITY. MD.	EFFECTIVE POPOSITY	SATURATION % OF PORE SPACE	SPACE		
2	0. 1111		HORIZONTAL	VERTICAL	%	RESIDUAL	WATER	0555819710%	
	· · · ·								
	-		Core No		1d.712-10.7	010	·. ·		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Recovered	•	10,712-10,722	22 (10 Ft			
31	10,712-13	1.0	250		13.2	ی م	10	č	
32	10.713-14	0	076		12.6) r			
33	10,714-15		175				- 1 - 7	22 M-C8 FUG	
34	10,715-16	1.0	00		12.0	 • • • •	л	SS M-CG FUG	
35	10 716-17			-) U 1 C				
35					C. 21	Irace	13.6	Mg	
	10, 11, 10		2	<u> </u>	11.8	Trace	16.1	SS M-Cg FUG	
2	FT-81/ 01	1.0	30	ţ	6 .3	4.3	20.4	SS Me Sh pre	
38	10,719-20	1.0	69		9.2	4.3	21 7	N-Co	
39	,720-2	1.0	289		11.0	3.6			
4 0	10,721-22	1.0	265		12.2				
	-)) (80111	
			Core No	ო •	5	84 (12	~		
÷	-	- 11	Recovered		10,722-10,734	34 (12		. e ^t	
<u>ج</u> ا	10 722-23	-	178		10 %	Té a a a	ц С С	۲ ۲	
	10 CCL 01	/)) (C•71	187	
	47-C7/ 01	D.1	727		12.3	Trace	12.2	SS F-CGr FQG	
	10,724-25	1.0	238		12.1	Trace	14.9		
	10,725-26	1.0	250		11.5	Trace	19.6	M-Cc FOG Sh I	
45.	10,726-27	1.0	259		12.5	Trace	11.3	F-Co FOG Sh Pres	
	10,727-28	1.0	206		12.3	Trace	6 81	F-Co Laroe 10. Ch	
47	10,728-29	1.0	200		11.7	Trace	13.7	00 00 1	
48	10,729-30	1.0	176		12.4	Trace	17.3	F-MO FOC Sh Pros	
	-								
			· ,						۰. ۱
					· .·				



Darrell W. Smith Co.

Box 1105 . Midland, Texas

FULL DIAMETER CORE STUDY

 OperatorEl Paso Natural Gas Company Field Wildcat
 Formation
 Atoka Sand

 Well No. 1_Leonard State
 Location
 1980' FNL 660' FWL Sec 22-175-29E

 Depths
 10,712-10,771
 Date
 October 25, 1957
 Lab No.
 937A

BAMPLE	REPREBENTATIVE	FOOTAGE	PERMEABIL	LITY, MD.	EFFECTIVE SATURATE		ATION E SPACE	
NU.	OF FEET	FOUTAGE	HORIZONTAL	VERTICAL	POROSITY	RESIDUAL	WATER	OESCRIPTION
l I								
			Core N	þ. 2 İC	,712-10,7	22 (10 Ft) .	
			Recove	red 10	,712-10,7	22 (10 Ft		
31	10,712-13	1.0	250		13.2	3.8	19.7	SS Cg FQG
32	10,713-14	1.0	249		13.6	3.7	14.7	SS M-Cg FQG
33	10,714-15	1.0	175		11.2	3.6	17.9	SS M-Cg FQG
34	10,715-16	1.0	29		12.0	3.3	15.0	SS N-Cg
35	10,716-17	1.0	61		12.5	Trace	13.6	SS Mg
36	10,717-18	1.0	50		11.8	Trace	16.1	SS M-Cg FQG
37	10,718-19	1.0	30		9.3	4.3	20.4	SS Mg Sh ptg
38	10,719-20	1.0	69		9.2	4.3	21.7	SS M-Cg
39	10,720-21	1.0	289		11.0	3.6	19.1	SS M-Cg FQG
40	10,721-22	1.0	265		12.2	3.3	13.1	SS M-Cg FQG
			Core No	. 3 10	,722-10,7	4 (12 Ft)	
			Recover	ed 10	,722-10,7	14 (12 Ft))	
41	10,722-23	1.0	178		10.4	Trace	12.5	SS F Cgr FQG
42	10,723-24	1.0	257		12.3	Trace	12.2	SS F-CGr FQG
43	10,724-25	1.0	238		12.1	Trace	14.9	SS F-Cgr FOG Sh ptgs
44	10,725-26	1.0	250		11.5	Trace	19.6	SS M-Cg FQG Sh Ptgs S
45	10,726-27	1.0	259		12.5	Trace	11.3	SS F-Cg FQG Sh Ptgs S
46	10,727-28	1.0	206	Í	12.3	Trace	18.9	SS F-Cg Large FQG Sh ptgs
47	10,728-29	1.0	200		11.7	Trace	13.7	SS F-Cg FQG Sh Ptgs S
48	10,729-30	1.0	176	}	12.4	Trace	17.3	SS F-Mg FQG Sh Ptgs S
				}		{		
- 2						2		
I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				1	· 1	. 1	

PAGE NO. _2___

「ない」と思いた法

:1

OPERATOR El Paso Natural Gas Company

LAB NO. 937A

AMPLE	REPRESENTATIVE		PERMEABILITY, MD.		EFFECTIVE POROSITY	BATURATION		DESCRIPTION	
ND.	G OF FEET	FODTAGE	HORIZONTAL	VERTICAL	×	RESIDUAL	WATER		
							· · ·		
	10 100 01				14.0		500	DO THE FOO Shiston S	
49	10,730-31	1.0	184		14.0	Trace	16.4	SS F-Ng FQG Sh ptgs S	
50	10,731-32	1.0	170		12.5	Trace	13.6	SS F-Cg FQG Sh ptgs S	
51	10,732-33	1.0	67		10.3	Trace	15.5	SS F-Cg FQG Sh ptgs S	
52	10.733-34	1.0	13		12.3	Trace	17.3	SS F-Cg FQG Sh Ptgs VF	
			Core N	. 4 10	,734-10,7	71 (37 F)		
			Recover		,734-10,7				
}						1.5			
53	10,734-35	1.0	158		12.7	Trace	13.4	SS M-LG SL lmy	
54	10,735-36	1.0	> 1000		12.1	Trace	24.0	SS M LG S1 1my	
55	10,736-37	1.0	8.7		6.4	Trace	20.3	SS M-LG S1 1my IF	
56	10,737-38	1.0	2.1		5,8	Trace	25.9	SS M-LG S1 Imy	
57	10,738-39	1.0	7.0	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	6.0	Trace	11.7	SS M-LG S1 1my	
58	10,739-40	1.0	> 1000		2.3	Trace	8.7	SS M-LG S1 Imy VF	
59	10,740-41	1.0	0.11		3.3	0	27.3	SS M-LG S1 Imy	
60	10,741-42	1.0	0.64		6.5	Trace	16.9	SS M-LG S1 Iny VF	
61	10,742-43	1,0	0.62		4.5	Trace	4.4	SS M-LG S1 lmy Sh ptgs VF	
62	10,743-44	1.0	0.62*		7.8	Trace	11.5	SS M-LG S1 hay VF	
63	10,744-45	1.0	10.6		7.8	Trace	12.8	SS M-LG SI Imy VF	
64	10,745-46	1.0	0.83		5.4	Trace	38.9	SS M-LG S1 Imy Sh ptgs VF	
65	10,746-47	1.0	2.3		4.7	Trace	19.1	SS M-LG S1 1my Sh ptgs	
66	10,747-48	1.0	0.14		5.7	0	8.8	SS M-LG SI 1my Sh ptgs Styo	
67	10,748-49	1.0	0.64		8.4	Trace	15.5	SS M LG S1 1my VF	
68	10,749-50	1.0	0.42		5.7	0	35.1	SS M-LG S1 lmy Sh ptgs	
69	10,750-51	1.0	0,13)	4.5	0	28.9	SS M-LG S1 1my Sh ptgs	
70	10,751-52	1.0	0.02		5.4	0	7.4	SS M-LG S1 Imy VF	
71	10,752-53	1.0	0.11	}	5.3	0	24.5	SS M-LC S1 lay VF	
72	10,753-54	1.0	1.0		7_0	Trace	18.6	SS M-LG S1 Liny MRF	
73	10,754-55	1.0	1.4		3.9	Trace	64.1	SS M-LG S1 lay Sh ptgs	
74	10,755-56	1.0	13.4	1	4.3	Trace	53.5	SS F-MG S1 1my Sh ptgs	
7.5	10,756-57	1.0	1.3		ú . o	Trace	67.6	SS F-MG Shy	
1	-	1.0	1.9		8.1	Trace	51.9	SS M-LG S1 1my Sh ptgs	
76	10,757-58 10,758-59	1.0	0.14	Í	6.7	0	7.5	SS M-LG SI Imy Sh ptgs	
78		1.0	1.0		7.0	Trace	10.0	SS M-LG S1 Imy Sh ptgs	
79	10,759-60 10,760-61	1.0	1.4		5.5	Trace	20.0	SS M-LG SI 1my Sh ptgs SS M-LG SI 1my Sh ptgs	
7	10,700-01	1.0	1 44			mate	2010	os m-ko si iny su pigs	
							1. I		
			1		1)		



المدادة والم

· · · · · ·

Martin V. Martin Martin