				·		•
		X				
		and and a second se			en e	
			-2000 -2000 - 2000 -2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000	• • • • • • • • • • • •	اند میکند میں ان کا میں میکند میں میں بڑے	and the state of the
	a an an tha ann an tha tharac		CASE 2137 : Tor a non-	Application standard gas ta Pool. (D-2	of CAULKINS unit in the	
a da ang manang kang sa sa kang sa					00 <b>WEI</b> 1)	
			agenzation (1995) (1997) -	na Na sanatan ing kasara	n an	на жило разли
	•	an a	n an	and the second	u – su pour de Posto	an to the second se
an a						
and and the second s						
den en e						
						- 
e an an an that the term that franker with	en en sen en sen anne anne anne anne ann	grages de la contra de	an a	a series A series a series a series a series A series a s		
n de la companya de l Esta de la companya d Esta de la companya d						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
andra and an	alan sa	and a second sec			an dan Bartan Seri Jawa Barang Seri Barang	
		1×-1				
•						**************************************
а		an a				
	an a	an a		an a		
						· .
		ż.				
				N		
						ing an an an an
				-		
	an a		and a state of the second s	China Salaka Salaka Salaka Salaka	- Mariahan at Brich - Anto Shahar But	a fel a secondaria de la compañía de

2.11.220

ase Appliation, Transcript, Small Exhibits, Etc.

DRAFT

RSM/esr December 13

12 16 160

### BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OI! CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

2137 CASE NO. Order No. R- 1845

APPLICATION OF CAULKINS OIL COMPANY FOR A 320-ACRE NON-STANDARD GAS PRO-RATION UNIT IN THE BASIN-DAKOTA POOL, MIL Du SAN JUAN AND RIO ARRIBA COUNTIES, NEW MEXICO. منهم

## ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on December 12, 1960, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before <u>Elvis A. Utz</u> Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this <u>day of December</u>, 1960, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Elvis A. Utz \_, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

That due public notice having been given as required by (1) law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Caulkins Oil Company, is the owner and operator of the SE/4, S/2 NE/4 and S/2 SW/4 of Section 16, Rio Arriba Township 26 North, Range 6 West, NMPM, San-Juan County, New Mexico, which applicant proposes be established as a 320-acre non-standard gas proration unit in the Basin-Dakota Pool.

.(3) That the applicant is the operator of its D-268 Well located in the SE/4 NE/4 of said Section 16, to which well the proposed 320-acre non-standard gas proration unit would be dedicated.

(6) That the proposed 320-acre proration unit can reasonably be presumed to be productive of gas from the Basin-Dakota Pool.

(4) That the remainder of sail Section 16 is a 320-acre non-standard gas provation unit in the Basin - Daka to Peol delicated to the applicants PD-233 Well.

CASE No. 2137

(5) That establishment of the above-described non-standard gas proration unit will neither cause waste nor impair correlative rights.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That a 320-acre non-standard gas proration unit in the Basin-Dakota Pool consisting of the SE/4, S/2 NE/4 and S/2 SW/4 of Section 16, Township 26 North, Range 6 West, NMPM, County, New Mexico, be and the same is hereby established. Said unit is to be dedicated to applicant's D-268 Well located in the SE/4 NE/4 of said Section 16.

(2) That the above-described gas well be and the same is hereby assigned an acreage factor for allowable purposes in the proportion that the acreage in the non-standard gas proration unit bears to the acreage in a standard gas provation unit in the Basin-Dakota Pool, subject to the provisions of the Special Rules and Regulations for said pool.

-DONE-at-Santa-Fer-Now-Montcor-on-the-day-and-year-hereinabove-designated:

# IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

""OHONERAGE CULLE

That the applicant shall file Form C-128 with the Commission before January 15, 1961, and that the effective date of this order shall be February 1, 1961.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated. BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF CAULKINS OIL COMPANY FOR APPRO-VAL OF A NON-STANDARD PRORATION UNIT CONSISTING OF THE S<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, S<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, SECTION 16, TOWNSHIP 26 NORTH, RANGE 6 WEST, BASIN DAKOTA POOL.

Cace 2137

### APPLICATION

Comes now Caulkins Oil Company and applies to the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico for approval of a nonstandard proration unit consisting of the S<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, S<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, Section 16, Township 26 North, Range 6 West, N.M.P.M., to be dedicated to applicant's D-268 well in the Basin-Dakota Pool, and in support thereof would show:

1. The Caulkins Oil Company Well No. D-268 is located in the SEMNEN of said Section 16, and is completed for the production of gas from the Dakota formation as defined by the Commission.

2. Well D-268 presently has dedicated to it a 160-acre unit consisting of the  $S_2^{1}NE_{4}^{1}$  and the  $N_2^{1}SE_{4}^{1}$  of Section 16, by approval of the Commission.

3. By provisions of Order No. R-1627 issued in Case No. 1901 applicant's well No. PD-233 has been dually completed for production from the South Blanco-Pictured Cliffs Gas Pool and the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool, and has dedicated to it for Dakota production the N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , and N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 16.

4. Approval of this application will result in dedication of all of the acreage in said Section 16 for Dakota production, and is in the interests of conservation and the prevention of waste.

5. Attached hereto is a plat showing location of applicant's wells, lease ownership, and the proposed unit to be dedicated to Well No. D-268.

WHEREFORE, applicant prays that this application be set for hearing before the Commission's duly appointed examiner, and that after notice and hearing as provided by law, the Commission enter its order approving the non-standard unit as applied for.

-2-

S. Barreto B.

Respectfully submitted, CAULKINS OIL COMPANY

ELLAHIN & FOX . O. BOX 1713 anta FO

Santa Fe, New Mexico

ATTORNEYS FOR APPLICANT

T-15 T-137 #T 129 PC 129 R-126 T-125 DE-125 •7.137 Ø PC-127 PEIST 4 PC 131 茶 PC1社 T-151 TIL . T-114 • T-177 # PC-177 PE-188 ут рс-179 т 0 \*\*\* -đi pc-193 **0** T·207 A- 104 and an and the first some X Pi 136 \$ PC-2.19 (\*) 劳 ...... **.** 11 P 女/14 PIIBCO \$ 195 # PC-297 A PEILL ۲ D SCOTT TR.194 \*\* \$ PC 848 ₩ 80.345 1 2 XNME PUBCO CANNEDY EL PASO ☆ ¢ \* Proposed Unit [State] PD-233 Unit Server 1

7 Caulkins-Operated Acreage



Wells shown above with no prefix produce from the Pictured Cliffs formation only. D-204 is a dual Tocito and Dakota producer, and PD-233 is a dual Pictured Cliffs and Dakota producer. The prefix "T" indicates a Tocito well and "D" indicates a Dakota well.

AREA SURROUNDING CAULKINS OIL CO. STATE "A" NO. D-268, A DAKOTA PRODUCER LOCATED 1980' 1/N LINE AND 660' 1/E LINE, SEC 16 T26N RGW, RIO ARRIBA COUNTY. NEW MEXICO.

	BEFORE EXAMINER UTZ
	OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
I	Appl. EXHIBIT NO/
	CASE NO. 213/

EXHIBIT # 1 CASE # 2137 Date

KELLAHIN AND FOX ATTORNEYS AT LAW ATTORNEYS AT LAW 544 EAST SAN FRANCISCO STREET DICT POST OFFICE BOX 1713 NEW MEXICO

UCCA 2.2991

November 17, 1960

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Case 2137 P. O. Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

JASON W. KELLAHIN

ROBERT E. FOX

I am enclosing application for a non-standard prora-tion unit in the Basin Dakota Pool, for filing on be-half of Caulkins Oil Company.

It will be appreciated if this application can be set for the next examiner hearing.

Yours very truly,

Jason W. Kellahin Jason W. Kellahin

JWK:SS cc: Art Holland Frank Gray

Encl.



# No. 36--60 -

# DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING, MONDAY, DECEMBER 12, 1930

Oil Conservation Commission - 9 a.m., STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NM

The following cases will be heard before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner, or Oliver E. Payne, attorney, as alternate examiner:

- CASE 2136: Application of Byard Bennett for a non-standard gas proration unit and for an unorthodox gas well location. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the establishment of an 80-acre nonstandard gas proration unit in the Jalmat Gas Pool consisting of the E/2 NW/4 of Section 24, Township 25 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico, said unit to be dedicated to the Ascarte-Federal Well No. 1, located at an unorthodox location at a point 330 feet from the North line and 2310 feet from the West line of said Section 24.
- CASE 2137: Application of Caulkins Oil Company for a non-standard gas proration unit. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the establishment of a 320-acre non-standard gas proration unit in the Basin-Dakota Pool, San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico, comprising the SE/4, S/2 NE/4 and S/2 SW/4 of Section 16, Township 26 North, Range 6 West. Said unit is to be dedicated to the D-268 well located in the SE/4 NE/4 of said Section 16.
- CASE 2138; Application of Skelly Oil Company for permission to commingle the production from two separate pools. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks permission to commingle without separately measuring the production from the Penrose Skelly and Drinkard Pools from all wells presently completed on its Baker "B" Lease consisting of the SW/4 and the W/2 SE/4 of Section 10, Township 22 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico.
- <u>CASE 2139</u>: Application of Cosden Petroleum Corporation for the promulgation of special rules and regulations governing the South Prairie-Pennsylvanian Pool, Roosevelt County, New Mexico, including a provision for 80-acre oil proration units.

<u>CASE 2140</u>: Application of Humble Oil & Refining Company for approval of the North Kirtland Unit Agreement. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the North Kirtland Unit Agreement, which unit embraces 11,478 acres of Federal and State land in Township 30 North, Range 14 West, San Juan, New Mexico.

CASE 2141: Application of Honolulu Oil Corporation for approval of a unit agreement. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of its McKittrick Canyon Unit Agreement, which unit is to embrace 6708 acres of Federal, State and fee lands in Township 22 South, Ranges 25 and 26 East, Eddy County, New Mexico. Docket No. 36-- 60

CASE 2145:

-2-

Application of Oil Development Company of Toxas for off-lease storage of oil. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing it to store the East Crossroads-Devonian production from its Santa Fe Pacific Railroad Lease (S/2 SW/4 of Section 19, Township 9 South, Range 37 East) in a separate tank battery to be located on its Santa Fe Pacific Railroad Lease, Crossroads-Devonian Pool (NE/4 of Section 26, Township 9 South, Range 36 East) both in Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 2116:

Application of Humble Oil & Refining Company for an oil-oil dual completion. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the dual completion of its D. H. Crockett Well #1, located in Unit C, Section 21, Township 15 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from the Caudill-Wolfcamp Pool and the production of oil from the Caudill-Devonian Pool through the annulus between strings of 5½-inch casing and 2½-inch tubing and through 2½-inch tubing, respectively.

Case 2137 Heard 12-12-60 Rec. 12-16-60 & recommend that Caulking request los a 320 Ac. How lld. quit for their State "A" # D-268, 1880/10 660/5 lines 7 16-26N-6W be opproved. 2. Senit consists of: EGN-GW: Ser16. 512 NE14, 5E/4, 5/2 SW/4. Effecture dato of change should be 2-1-61, promilled a new c-128 is filled in the fifore 1-15-61. Hair the

GOVERNOR John Burroughs Chairman

# State of New Wexico Oil Conservation Commission

LAND COMMISSIONER MURRAY E. MORGAN MEMBER

STATE GEOLOGIST

A. L. PORTER, JR.

SECRETARY DIRECTOR

P.O.BOX 871

December 21, 1960

Mr. Jason Kellahin Kellahin & Fox Box 1713 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Re: Case No. 2137 Order No. <u>B-1845</u>

Applicant:

Caulkins Oil Company

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Secretary-Director

ir/

Carbon copy of order also sent to:

Sec. Aridene

Hobbs	OCC	<b>X</b>
Artes	la OCC	
Aztec	OCC	*

Other

# BEFORE THE CIL COMERNATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 2137 Order No. R-1845

APPLICATION OF CAULKING OIL COMPANY FOR A 320-ACRE NON-STANDARD GAS PRO-RATION UNIT IN THE BASIN-DAKOTA POOL, SAN JUAN AND RIO ARRIBA COUNTIES, NEW MEXICO.

### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

# BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on December 12, 1960, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this <sup>21st</sup> day of December, 1960, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the cyldence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Elvis A. Utz, and being fully advised in the premises,

#### FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Caulkins Oil Company, is the owner and operator of the SE/4, S/2 NE/4 and S/2 SW/4 of Section 16, Township 26 North, Range 6 West, NMPM, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, which applicant proposes be established as a 320-acre non-standard gas provation unit in the Basin-Dakota Pool.

(3) That the applicant is the operator of the D-268 Well located in the SE/4 NE/4 of said Section 16, to which well the proposed 320-acre non-standard gas provation unit would be dedicated.

(4) That the remainder of said Section 16 is a 320-acre non-standard gas proration unit in the Basin-Dakota Pool dedicated to the applicant's PD-233 Well.

(5) That the proposed 320-acre proration unit can reasonably be presumed to be productive of gas from the Basin-Dakota Pool. -2-CASE No. 2137 Order No. R-1845

(6) That establishment of the above-described non-standard gas proration unit will neither cause waste nor impair correlative rights.

# IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That a 320-acre non-standard gas proration unit in the Basin-Dakota Pool consisting of the SE/4, S/2 NE/4 and S/2 SW/4 of Section 16, Township 26 North, Range 6 West, NMPM, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, be and the same is hereby established. Said unit is to be dedicated to applicant's D-268 Well located in the SE/4 ME/4 of said Section 16.

That the applicant shall file Form C-128 with the Commission before January 15, 1961, and that the effective date of this order shall be February 1, 1961.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

7 JOHN BURROUGHS, Chairman

MURRAY E. MORGAN, Member

f. Carter, p. A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

140.00 CO.

esr/

PAGE 1

:	BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION SANTA FE, MEW MEXICO DECEMBER 12, 1960
	IN THE MATTER OF:
	CASE 2137 Application of Caulkins Oil Company for a non- standard gas proration unit. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the establishment of a 320-acre non-standard gas proration unit in the Basin-Lakots Pool, San Juan and Rio Arriba Coun- ties, New Mexico, comprising the SE/4, S/2 NE/4 and S/2 SW/4 of Section 16, Township 26 North, Range 6 West. Said unit is to be dedicated to the D-268 well located in the SE/4 NE/4 of said Section 16.
1	********
	BEFORE:
	Elvis A. Utz, Examiner.
	<u>TRANSCRIPT</u> OF <u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
	MR. UTZ: Case 2137.
	MR. MORRIS: Case 2137. Application of Caulkins Oil Com-
	pany for a non-standard gas provation unit.
	MR. KELLAHIN: Jason Kellahin, Kellahin & Fox, representi
	the applicant. We have one witness, Mr. Frank Gray.
	(Witness sworn)
	FRANK GRAY,
	called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as
	follows:
- 1	DIRECT EXAMIMATION

.

PAGE 2 BY MR. KELLAHIN: Would you state your name, please? Q Α Frank Gray. By whom are you employed and in what position? Q Production superintendent for Caulkins Oil Company. Α Q Mr. Gray, have you testified before the Commission as an expert witness and have your qualifications been accepted? Yes, sir. А MR. KELLAHIN: Are the witness' qualifications acceptable? MR. UTZ: Yes, sir. Let me ask for appearances at this time in this case. If there are none, you may proceed. Q (By Mr. Kellahin) Mr. Gray, are you familiar with the application in Case 2137? Yes, sir. A Would you state what is proposed in this case? Q It is proposed to dedicate the south half of the northeast A quarter, the southeast quarter, and the south half of the southwest quarter of Section 16, to the Caulkins Oil Company's State "A" Well No. D-268. (Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.) Now, referring to what has been marked as Caulkins Exhibit Q

3-6691

Đ

Inc.

p.c.d

i na

140

3.29

Ŀŧ

1.10

t::

1 8

23

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE,

NEW MEXICO

ALBUQUERQUE,

No. 1, would you state what that Exhibit shows?

A Shows the area surrounding the Caulkins Oil Company's State "A" D-268 in Section 16, 26 North, 6 West. It shows the ownership



PAGE 3

of all of the acreage adjoining Section 16. Also, the acreage, as proposed to dedicate to this 268 is colored in pink, and the remainder of the Section, which has already been dedicated to another well, shown in blue.

Q To what well is the acreage shown in blue dedicated? Caulkins 233. Α

3-6691 £

Α

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

LBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

152

141 144

All of it is immediately operated by Caulkins Oil Company? Q Yes, all of it.

Q Now, with reference to Well D-268, would you discuss briefly the history of that well?

The well was completed as a Dakota producer in April, А 1951, and it is located 660 feet from the East line and 1990 feet from the North line of Section 16. Now, it has been producing from Dakota sand since that time, and the acreage dedicated to it at the present time is the south half of the northeast and north half of the southeast. The working interest ownership is common to all, all of the acreage we propose to dedicate for this well, and also the royalty interest. All of it was part of the State East-E291-17.

Q Now, in your opinion, is all of the acreage you propose to dedicate that to previously productive of gas from the Dakota formation?

Yes; sir, it should be productive of the entire Section. ·A Q On what do you base that conclusion?

Α Just on the fact that we have wells both higher and lower structurally that produce from the Dakota send.



PAGE h

	Q In this area?
	A In that area, yes, sir.
	Q In your opinion, will the dedication of the acreage pro-
3-6691	posed impair correlative rights?
	A No, I don't think correlative rights would be impaired
<i>IC.</i> PHONE CH	nor would it cause any waste.
i, h	Q For what reason, Mr. Gray, do you not wish to dedicate a
ICF	half section to each of the two wells involved in this Section?
ERV	A The ownership of the acreage we have is common through
DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. New mexico	all the acreage. I think the ownership of the acreage we propose
ING	to dedicate to this well has the same working interest and royalty
)RT	interest. There is a difference in working interest between the
EPC	north half of the north half of this Section and the remainder of
R R	it. Now, then, the acreage we propose for this well is that por-
IEF	tion of Section 16-26-6, remaining after the 320-acre unit was es-
ME	tablished for the Caulkins Oil Company State P D 233 Well by Com-
EY.	mission Order R-1627, dated March 11, 1960.
	Q Was Exhibit No. 1 prepared by you?
EAR.	A Yes, sir.
DF QUE, NEW	MR. KELLAHIN: I would like to offer in evidence Exhibit
0	

That is all the questions. No. 1.

t :# 5-5-**8** i i é \$ ...**\$** 1-1 

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

MR. UTZ: Without objection, the Exhibit No. 1 will be entered into the record.

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit No. 1 was received in evidence.)



PAGE 5 MR. KELLAHIN: That is all the questions. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PAYNE: If your application were approved, then Section 16 in its Q 3-6691 entirety will be two Dakota gas wells, is that right? Ð Inc. Yes, sir. А What is the location of your D-268 lease, the footage Q DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, E hay location? 1980 from the North and 660 from the East. Α 137 Do you have an unorthodox location approved for this well Q. ( né pa I inasmuch as it's 660 instead of 790? MR. KELLAHIN: The well was drilled prior to the adoption of the spacing location. 1 MR. PAYNE: That is all. t ti 1.1 BY MR. UTZ: -The 268 was a singularly completed well? Q 14 Yes, sir. Α 1.1 Q The 233 is a picture Dakota? 11 MEXICO 1-1 Dual picture Dakota. А 1:19 NEW Q The reason you want this type of location is to line up 1.1 ALBUQI ERQUE, 133 the ownership in the Section? ٨ Yes. Are there any producing gas wells to the South of this Q area? Not in the Dakota, not in this immediate area. Α

PAGE 6

		Q But you have a Dakota well to the North in Section 9?
		A Yes, the D-204 B. A. Creek offset.
		Q There is none to the West?
	3-6691	A None to the West, no.
	Đ	Q None to the East, is that right?
nc.	PHONE	A That is right.
, <b>I</b>		Q None to the South?
7ICE		A There is a well in Section 24, but that will be quite a
ERV	•	ways to the East. The Caulkins Oil Company Sanchez No. 1 in the
SI		northwest of 24 is a Dakota producer now. That is to the East.
ING		Now, to the West, there is a Dakota well in Section 13. However,
RT		that is rather a long ways away. 13, 26, 7.
DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.	ar An Star An Star	Q Do you have any contours of this area that would tend to
R		show the productivity of the Dakota in the south part of this Sec-
IER		tion?
ME		A No, I don't have one prepared at this time.
EY-		Q Except your statement that there is Dakota production
INL	0	higher and lower than this Section?
<b>IAR</b>	ALBUQUERQUE, NEV' MEXICO	A That statement was on the information on the P in D 24 in
D	JE, NEV	Section 13 27-7, which is higher than the D-268 Well and the Caul-
	QUERQU	kins Oil Company D-204 in Section 9 and the Caulkins Oil Company
	ALBU	Sanchez No. 1 in Section 24. Now, the 204 Well is lower structur-
		ally and the Sanchez well is approximately flat with it, that is,
		it's approximately flat with the D-268 Well.
	1 A A	M. UTZ: Any other questions? The witness may be excused
	L.,	

`¥≦∎ - 1 1 ₹

s 1

∺¶ ः १९

1 1

(3**1** 

ه هه . ا محمد



PAGE 7

(Witness excused) MR. UTZ: Any other statements in this case? (No response) The case will be taken under advisement. MR. UTZ: DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 人情 rw**s** 5.54 574 12.3 ŧæ

\*\*\*\*\*\*

ş jan

-624

-.

PAGE 8

STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO )
I, ILEWELYN NELSON, Court Reporter, in and for the County of
 Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the fore-
going and attached Transcript of Proceedings before the New Mexico

Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me in machine shorthand and reduced to typewritten transcript under my personal supervision, and that the same is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

WITNESS my Hand and Seal this, the 6 day of Sec 1960, in the City of Albuquerque, County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico.

PUBLIC

My Commission expires:

June 14, 1964

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

1.4

1 2

儲

婜

10 

Į į

18

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner h of Case No. 213.7. heard by KZ. 6.0. New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission

