CASE 3021: Application of CHERRY BROS & CABOT CORP. for a tubingless completion.(State Well #1) .



Application, TrANSCripts, SMAll Exhibits FTC.

## WELL RECORD CHERRY BROS. & CABOT CORPORATION AUSTIN STATE #1 SE NW Sec. 19 T14S R36E Lea Co., New Mexico

OPERATOR: Cherry Bros. 1105 Lubbock Natl. Bk. Bldg. Lubbock, Texas ELEVATION: 3982'GL 4004'KB ZERO POINT: 18' above 2 7/8" Casing Head DATE DRILLING COMPENCED: 3-23-63 DATE DRILLING COMPLETED: 3-12-64 DATE FIRST OIL IN TANKS: 3-19-64 DATE OF POTENTIAL TEST: 3-28-64

SURFACE CASING RECORD: Ran 418' of new 48# H-40 13 3/8 casing, set at 438'. Cemented W/400 sacks.

INTERNEDIATE CASING RECORD: Ran 2300' of 24# and2316' of 32# J-55, new, 8 5/8" casing, set at 4640'. Comented W/600 sacks 50-50 Poznix 6% gel and 200 sacks neat cement W/2% calcium chloride.

#### PRODUCTION CASING:

Ran 10,495' of 2 7/8" 6.5/J-55, new casing, set at 10,513'. Cemented W/ 250 sacks 50-50 Poznix plus 18% by wt. of water of salt and 0.75% CFR-2, ran 44 Keatherford scratchers and 11 centralizers from 10,513 to 10,229. Ran HOWCO float shoe on bottom, with omega plug and stop collar @ 10,483'. (NOTE: Spotted 500 gal. Acetic Acid on top of plug).

#### PERFORATING RECORD:

Perforated 2 7/8" casing from 10,357 to 10,367 with 2 sidewinder jet charges per foot. Ran Welex Gamma Ray Correlation Log from 10,100 to 10,456.

#### LOGGING RECORD:

Ran Schlumberger IES, Sonicand Caliper logs from 4640' to 14,719' (TD). Ran Gamma Ray log from surface to TD. Ran Core Lab Mud Log from 9800 to 13,377.

DRILL STEM TESTS (4) All by Halliburton Lovington, N.M. DST #1--13,290 to 13377 tool open 1 hr. 35 min. Rec. 1510' WB and 890' very SL GCM. IHP 6886(45 min.); ISIP 1448; IFP 852; FFP 852; FHP 6886; (no final SIP).

DST #2-- 14,533 to 14,655'. THIS WAS A MISRUN.

DST#3--- 14,535 to 14,675. Tool open 1/2 hrs. Recovered 210' of drilling mud,. (slightly gas cut). IHP 7189; ISIP 1390(1hr.); IFP 100; FFP 1120; FSIP 1250 (1hr.);

DST #4-- 14537 to 14,719. Tool open 2 hrs. Recovered 2000' WB, 200' of drilling nud, and 100' of Brackish, muddy, water. IHP 6987; ISIP 5641 (1 hr.); IFP 1051; FSIP 2826 (1 hr.); FFP 1138; FHP6856.

#### SKABBING RECORD (AND STIMULATION):

3-19-64 Perforated, well went on fair vacuum. Swabbed 48 bbls. load water, 12 bbls. acid water, 56 bbls. filtrate water, and 30 bbls. new oil the first 10 hrs. Well was making good gas and fluid was cutting 25 to 35% drilling water at end of this 10 hr. test. (Fluid level 4000').

3-20-64--Shut in pressure after 12 hrs. was 750 psi. Opened well on  $\frac{1}{2}$ " choke and fluid hit surface in 1 min.; Casing pressure 230 psi.

Continued:

### MELL RECORD CHERRY BROS. & CABOT CORPORATION #1 AUSTIN STATE

SWABBING RECORD (continued):

3-20-64 (continued)... Made 20 bbls. oil in 30 min. and started to flow in heads. Ran swab twice and got well to flow stronger. No apparent free water was observed, but shake-out was 10% formation water( analysis by Halliburton). Oil contained drilling mud. Acidized well W/500 gal. MCA. Max. Pressure 3400 psi. © pumping rate of 4 bbls./min. Had break to 2800 psi. Ten Min. SIP was 650 psi. Commenced swabbing; recovered all acid water before well started flowing.

3-21-64 Produced 136 bbls. oil in 12 hrs. on  $\frac{1}{4}$ " choke.

3-22-64 Produced 248 bbls. oil plus 9% formation water on  $\frac{1}{6}$ " choke in 24 hrs. Tubing pressure 280 psi.

3-25-64 (TEST PRIOR TO POTENTIAL TEST). Flowed 332 bbls. of 41.4 OAPI oil and 17 bbls. formation water on 18/64ths choke in 24 hrs. Casing pressure 280 psi.

BOTTOM HOLE PRESSURE TEST (INITLAL). WELL WAS SHUT IN 87 HRS. Pressure was 3829 psia @ 10,362 (-6378). Surface pressure 753 psia. Fluid level 676' from surface. Had water from 10,000' to TD. (362'). BHT 149 °F.

POTENTIAL TEST:

Flowed 251 Bbls. 41.4 °API oil and 12 bbls. formation water (4.5% of fluid produced). on  $\frac{1}{4}$ " choke in 24 hr., Casing flowing pressure 280 psi., GOR 457:1, Chart indicated that flow was stabilized and steady.

#### GENERAL REMARKS:

During the drilling of this well, no abnormal pressures, lost circulation, deviation difficulty or any other problems were encountered. During the latter stages pf drilling, the mud was in excellent condition and the hole condition was very good. The crude that is being produced is classified as "intermediate Crude", therefore no corrosion problems are anticipated from the produced crude. The formation water being produced is also non-corrosive. CHERRY MADE & CANST CORPORATION Austin State #1 Les County, New Mexico

cost of this well to the casing point at 14,719 feet was \$210,000. Is not include costs of acreage acquisition or other deal costs. The to complete as a flowing well with 2 7/8" casing at 10,513' was approxtely \$18,000. An additional \$10,000 for the tank battery gives a total completion cost of \$28,000. The price of the oil is \$2.86 per barrel net.

The amount of recoverable reserves poses the big question. The log shows six feet of net pay with porosity of approximately 13.5%. If this well were allowed and could drain 80 acres it should produce approximately 800 barrels per acre. or 64,000 barrels with a solution gas drive recovery mechanism. If it would drain only 40 acres the recovery would be 32,000 barrels. It is possible that the recovery mechanism could be water drive or partial water drive. In that event, the ultimate recovery could be as high as 200,000 barrels. However, in the northern portion of the Caudill field where this zone is productive, the reservoir is driven by gas expansion.

By making a tubingless completion, the operator knew that the risk was not very great to make a thorough evaluation of this 6' section. If  $4\frac{1}{2}$ " casing had been set, the cost would have been at least \$10,000 greater than the \$18,000 actually spent and because of the risk in making a satisfactory completion plus the small amount of pay section, it is doubtful that the operator would have been justified in spending this additional amount for a conventional completion.

Sheli 5 8 20 60 6 86 6810	trian timen	50 Mat Gos 5 5 1 63	C NAN GOS	Competition         Competition <thcompetition< th=""> <thcompetition< th=""></thcompetition<></thcompetition<>	And the standards and the stan	
- 2	R D. Martin, 1.2 Ml unod, Ml Union, Union, 4-13-6' 4-10 61	4 14 45	min's Stevenstation	W.H. T.W.H. reund Ground 27 \$12:16 \$7 12:51	Chorles L. Harthcack, etch. N. H. Ground L. Union H. H. Ground L. Union H. H. St. L. Z. 67 J. 21-21	1410 Jesún 2000 - 1200 1410 - 2000 - 2000 1410 - 2000 - 2000 1410 - 1410
sia, Mi A. Elkan	J.M. Small- wood, MI Harvin E. Powell	State V State V I N Richardion Eat S. A. Richardson	Somuel A. Richardson	Ermer D. Ashton Va W I Allie Lombert Jas. A. Faster	Loonard Signal Sherd Chambers	Milling Milling Heilen Heilen Heilen Heilen Heilen Eines Jenes Dan Pur
Sheit Golf Ce	573679 11 5 - MI	60 1646 2 20 1201 9 1313 6 6649 40 2 1 Nondo 866 1 Non		Amerado G M Cone W 1 Cround Wentum Amerain J.C. Amerado 8 23 C.J. Kor Barnes 5 4 CS 0.M. Derece 2.70.77 4 C. C. Mardol 8 23 C.J. Kor D.C. Amerado 8 23 C.J. Kor Barnes 5 4 CS 0.M. Derece	Dr & C Droke,MI Jock DE May Lloy Jon	
Union 5 - 17 - 60 { K Seth Aleton, Mi	Union Union	W.H. Ground M.H. Phillips CP6-1-72 Reset J2 14 67 Frankie L.	Amerada 2 13-65	15112 L.C. Bivene, ew: Mi Amerage 	WH Ground Superior 2 14-60 6-1-66 1.0 Fairnon, Sur Dr. A.C. Dioke, Mi Jeck D. & Keil Corton, Mi	Moltie Frie. Cosyl Le Sura
or Superior 17 3 3 - 67 Union	Atornine Powell Chancyl Gulf 61 cecil Gulf 525251 61 52(3) 63 509	Contract of parada per Montreth, annual parada per Montreth, Charles and per States Charles and per	Sente manufé manuful Philips Chemilin Amerada 6-21-65 t okas 2-15-63	State KorlCoyton,S.W Skelly Tenneco 5-20-58 6-21-65 88-3888 6-31-65	Superior   6 - 1 - 60 	ATTERMI ATTERMIN Control 2 - 1 - Control Mark
14	U.S. MI Union   Union   Union 4-13-57-5-1 T-3-56	Hannet, dentiets Franke Latentiets Franke Latentiets Franke Latentiets Maniethethet Maniethethethethethethethethethethethethethe	5000 17 Philips 2 - 15 - 65	A ( A ) ( A	A.C. Oroke, Mt Buddy & Arthur Taylor 15	(
le. K.S. Head	4 18 61 I Union 4 IN 61 J. C. Union 4 IN 61 Fronkro Loror F Company		• Minas James de • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	25.63 Store 14	36 Choe. G. Wiggine, Mi Tyson M. Field, S Skelly	Mathia Eliz Fiald
571 3 - 2 - 67 Michael & Tunneil Michael ISuperior Superior 14 - 15 67 2 - 26-67 7. 1H.L. Tunnell, Mi	4 - 13 - 67	And the second s	Sincton Shelly Shelly 2-6-56 Hugh Holey, MI K S. Hend (S)	C.D. Gore, Mi JW MayaS.	C.G Wiggins, Ml Tyson M. Fielda	H I. Br J.W.S H T. Wolfe, 23
Gulf Colf 2-26-65 M Union 7-24-67	C9 Black web Historia	49(67	Atlantic 1-16 T2 K-2135 07 Hannerst, 07 Hannerst, 07 Hannerst,	Curtis Patterson, M	Sheily - 15 63 Cora L. Mc Danald Ya Ari. Sheily 6 - 21 - 63 M.L. Kington (S)	Jr4 MI Gorlond, H. Pior, stat, MI Doro June Par Swigen L G C
A.B.Cor, etn. M.I. S. Head Barbara J. Peterson 4 - 1 - 72 0228436	Churter Donovon H. Woodward, Donovon H. Woodward, Texoco El 1338 ( 1.61 States 4.7 (64 States) 4.7 (64 States)	7-24-63 00-06-12 10-06-12 10-06-12 10-06-12 10-06-12 10-06-12 10-06-12 10-06-12 10-06-12 10-06-12 10-06-12 10-06-12 10-06-12 10-06-12 10-06-12 10-06-12 10-06-12 10-06-12 10-06-12 10-06-12	Stote (PL. By-Um, (S) VA Weckvis 6-21-65 7-9113 8-20-95 7-9113		E C Lockey, MI 35 ar	6 176 - 7 18 19 19 5 5 1 7 18 19 19 5 1 2 6 4 8 19 1 6 4 4 5 1 2 - 2 6 4 8 19 1 6 4 4 5 2 - 2 6 - 6 8 19 1 6 4 4 8 - 2 6 6 6 1 6 1 6 4 4 8 - 2 6 6 6 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1
U.S., MI -26		16: 9:55 10: 9:55 10: 17:55 10: 17:55 10: 10: 17:55 10: 17:555	29 M.J. Wiggins 0000 m 18 64 ( 8553	Euromex Oil, R.E. Dougher 1/4 Mi - 28 - 77, etal, Mi - 28 - 10 1.C. Harris + B - 38 - 56	Hattie E Field H.C. Ormand, M 27	20
. 194 0303151 U.S. H.L.Monleith	Givisi 4-7-64 4-6-63 MaymieWiggins M. Him J.W. 1971ns	i ster a Under fine NI I cantes Moortath I cantes Moortath I cantes Moortath I cantes General I cantes States I cantes	Stote	min, divided Nattic Field,stat	W F. Green, Mi <sup>1</sup> L.G. Cousill Guilt	H,H McGee, eral, MI M.J Wiggine, (S) St
Union 4 · 23 · 67 8 · 22 · 67	<b>44</b> <sup>6</sup>	7.72.113i~	RL. Linena 17:1-67 7:1-67 7:1-67 7:1-67 7:1-7 60/0 60/0 8/1-6 7:1-7 8/1-6 7:1-7 60/0 1-7 8/1-6 8/1-6 8/1 8/1-6 8/1 8/1-6 8/1 8/1 8/1 8/1 8/1 8/1 8/1 8/1 8/1 8/1	1 8 800000011	4-18:55 Guil 4-8 (5 Mary E. Woodward, Mi Robert W. Boyd, Jr. (18),(5) 	(Henk Miller Pankm. Pa-1m1 Pan
	W.V. Reversion in J.L. Reed,	0.4.8035 4.6.64 Talogai a <sup>t</sup>	Chaster Bros- well, etcl., Mi J.B. Bres- well, 3/6 5 (renord E.T. Howell Sh 5 Aril	JE Simmon T.W. Bell, Mi J.B. Jeffrey(S) L G. Coudill,		5-3-65 (2-266) 101 3-13 68 1-7 7 7 55 11 M-37 1545 11 M-37 1547 6 7 6 M We set
Peori Monteith Union 1 2 20-72 4 K-2226 57 1 8310	20.5% F & 1 # 1 # 1 # 2 # 00.034	L.G. Coudie	1260021 12732 3120 724 724 724 724 726 726 726 1 Tide Woter 10 12765 1 t L 1	L L L		Gulf Fand
Gulf HBP Lasi Union   Gulf id. 12.20.721 HBP est   K.2726   271 B319	Rob'r. D. Goarlich, 1/2 Mi J. Hawthorne, S. E.M. I. Mumble 10-14 45   Atlantic 2 Sal 1 921-64	Native Lower 1'4 Mi J L. Reed, S&M 1 J L. Reed, S&M 1 J L. Reed, S&M 1 J L. Reed, S&M	Wm. Teas, etal, Ml 5 ( S Authorford,	Don Field (S) Mattie Field, et al 1	Carles Bills M 3 13/18_ IN Um IN IN IN UM IN IN IN UM IN IN IN UM IN IN IN IN IN IN IN IN IN IN IN IN IN IN IN IN IN I	Gulf 3-1-5-0 025584
1271 839 88 267 Stote, 1.K. Byrom,(S) im Ationtic	- EMTI State J.D. How thorne 'cc(S) Carl Alexander 'cc(S)	Coroner Oil M H (Cl Kinder	Jos, P. Cau dill, SE 3'844 J.P. Caudill, Secony Mobil NBP	S Jos. P. Coudill Second Vabil	J.P. Coudill State	US, MI Hobdy Gann, SRS ( Culi
+21-64 E:5477 5. M I J. D. Howtherne(3)		tesser i I Myrile Y. Kin Jos. L. Reed i del, Mi Mastri J	J P Governill, M I. Numble REP G I Michaelin, M I. N. S.N. Weens, Busiling Gordon Grains Without G. S.	d Gaan a Ny gaar a Ny gaar ang ang a	WOODWARD ARE	
Tenting the second seco	Art State Art State Art Art Art State Art Art Art Art Art Art Art Art Art Art	Texaco 10-70-63 39 99 a- d	HBP Jimst Magfgame Jimst Magfgame HBP	g C LE	T-14-S R-36- A COUNTY, NEW SC: 1" = 4,0	MEXICO
1 <u>0</u> Howthreness ter. Petrofing t-1+6507 055705	i Carl Alexander 12 (5)	Sunray NidCont Sunray NidCont IV-TL-55 C. 2466 Server 7		6 Shell • -68-		
	·					

DRAFT JMD/esr

## SUBORD ALL AND SOLDER LEAST AND A DESCRIPTION OF A OF CHARLES OF CHARLES

IN THE MAURER OF THE MOARING. CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION. COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 3021 pur

Order No. R-

APPLICATION OF CHERRY BROTHERS AND CABOT CORPORATION FOR A TUBINGLESS COMPLETION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

## ORDER OF THE COMMUSSION

## BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on April 8 , 19 64, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Daniel S Nutter . Examiner-duly appointed by the Oil Convervation Commission of New Mexico, - hereinafter referred to as the -"Commission, "-in-accordance with-Rule-1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations .-

, 1964, the Commission, NOW, on this\_\_\_\_ day of April a quorum being present, having considered the zaprkkaatkaxyxkke testimony, the record, **exidences, and the recommendations of the Examiner**, \_\_\_\_\_ and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicants, Cherry Brothers and Cabot Corpora-tion, seek an-exception to Rule 107 (e) of the Commission Rules and Regulations to complete their Austin State Well No. 1, located in Unit F of Section 19, Township 14 South, Range 36 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, as a tubingless completion to produce oil from the Pormo-Pennyilvanian formation at approximately 10,356 feet through 2 7/8-inch casing and all a symptom the 108/13 years

3) Lot mo your a construction

in the for the second the second s

De Mart Che assessment and the start of the

ASE NO. SELES

10 18 201

(4) Provide the second second

TH IS MURROWS CREEKED:

(1) the the application of the second of the device values of the comparison of the comparison of the comparison of the Communication and Regulations to permit the comparison of the their Adatan State Well No. 1, located in Unit 7 of Section 10. Township 14 south, Range 36 Mast, NMPM, Lee Constry, New Mexico, as a tubingless completion to produce oil from the Pormo- . Pennsylvanian formation at approximation 10. 356 rest through 2 7/8-inch easing at the complete of the test of the complete of the complete

(2) That jurisduction of this cause is rotained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may doem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year horeing above designated. MELL RECORD CHERRY BROS. & CABOT CORPORATION AUSTIN STATE #1 SE NN Sec. 19 TI4S R36E Lea Co., New Mexico

OPERATOR: Cherry Bros. 1105 Lubbock Natl. Bk. Bldg. Lubbock, Texas ELEVATION: 3982'GL 4004'KB ZERO POINT: 18' above 2 7/8" Casing Head DATE DRILLING COMPLETED: 3-23-63 DATE DRILLING COMPLETED: 3-12-64 DATE FIRST OIL IN TANKS: 3-19-64 DATE OF POTENTIAL TEST: 3-28-64

BEFORE EXAMINER NUTTER OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION (1) CASE NO. \_\_\_\_\_\_ CASE NO. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

SURFACE CASING RECORD: Ran 418' of new 48# H-40 13 3/8 casing, set at 438'. Cemented W/400 sacks.

INTERNEDIATE CASING RECORD: Ran 2300' of 24# and2316' of 32# J-55, new, 8 5/8" casing, set at 4640'. Comented W/600 sacks 50-50 Pozmix 6% gel and 200 sacks neat cement W/2% calciu: chloride.

#### PRODUCTION CASING:

Ran 10,495' of 2 7/8" 6.5#J-55, new casing, set at 10,513'. Cemented W/ 250 sacks 50-50 Poznix plus 18% by wt. of water of salt and 0.75% CFR-2, ran 44 Keatherford scratchers and 11 centralizers from 10,513 to 10,229. Ran HOWCO float shoe on bottom, with omega plug and stop collar @ 10,483'. (NOTE: Spotted 500 gal. Acetic Acid on top of plug).

## PERFORATING RECORD:

Perforated 2 7/8" casing from 10,357 to 10,367 with 2 sidewinder jet charges per foot. Ran Welex Gamma Ray Correlation Log from 10,100 to 10,456.

#### LOGGING RECORD:

Ran Schlumberger IES, Sonicand Caliper logs from 4640' to 14,719' (TD). Ran Gamma Ray log from surface to TD. Ran Core Lab Mud Log from 9800 to 13,377.

DRILL STEM TESTS (4) All by Halliburton Lovington, N.M. DST #1--13,290 to 13377 tool open 1 hr. 35 min. Rec. 1510' WB and 890' very SL GCM. IHP 6886{45 min.); ISIP 1448; IFP 852; FFP 852; FHP 6886; (no final SIP).

DST #2-- 14,533 to 14,655'. THIS WAS A MISRUN.

DST#3--- 14,535 to 14,675. Tool open  $1\frac{1}{2}$  hrs. Recovered 210' of drilling mud,. (slightly gas cut). IHP 7189; ISIP 1390(1hr.); IFP 100; FFP 1120; FSIP 1250 (1hr.);

DST #4-- 14537 to 14,719. Tool open 2 hrs. Recovered 2000' WB, 200' of drilling mud, and 100' of Brackish, muddy, water. IHP 6987; ISIP 5641 (1 hr.); IFP 1051; FSIP 2826 (1 hr.); FFP 1138; FHP6856.

SMABBING RECORD (AND STIMULATION): 3-19-64 Perforated, well went on fair vacuum. Swabbed 48 bbls. load water, 12 bbls. acid water, 56 bbls. filtrate water, and 30 bbls. new oil the first 10 hrs. Well was making good gas and fluid was cutting 25 to 35% drilling water at end of this 10 hr. test. (Fluid level 4000').

3-20-64-Shut in pressure after 12 hrs. was 750 psi. Opened well on 2<sup>m</sup> choke and fluid hit surface in 1 min.; Casing pressure 230 psi.

#### Continued:

## MELL RECORD CHERRY BROS. & CABOT CORPORATION #1 AUSTIN STATE

٩

### SKABBING RECORD (continued):

3-20-64 (continued)... Made 20 bbls. oil in 30 min. and started to flow in heads. Ran swab twice and got well to flow stronger. No upparent free water was observed, but shake-out was 10% formation water( analysis by Halliburton). Oil contained drilling mud. Acidized well W/500 gal. MCA. Max. Pressure 3400 psi. © pumping rate of 4 bbls./min. Mad break to 2800 psi. Ten Min. SIP was 650 psi. Commenced swabbing; recovered all acid water before well started flowing.

3-21-64 Produced 136 bbls. oil in 12 hrs. on  $\frac{1}{4}$ " choke.

3-22-64 Produced 248 bbls. oil plus 9% formation water on  $\frac{1}{6}$ " choke in 24 hrs. Tubing pressure 280 psi.

3-25-64 (TEST PRIOR TO POTENTIAL TEST). Flowed 332 bbls. of 41.4 9API oil and 17 bbls. formation water on 18/64ths choke in 24 hrs. Casing pressure 280 psi.

BOTTOM HOLE PRESSURE TEST (INITIAL). WELL WAS SHUT IN 87 HRS. Pressure was 3829 psia @ 10,362 (-6378). Surface pressure 753 psia. Fluid level 676' from surface. Had water from 10,000' to TD. (362'). BHT 149 °F.

#### POTENTIAL TEST:

Flowed 251 Bbls. 41.4 °API oil and 12 bbls. formation water (4.5% of fluid produced). on  $\frac{1}{2}$ " choke in 24 hrs., Casing flowing pressure 280 psi., GOR 457:1, Chart indicated that flow was stabilized and steady.

### GENERAL REHARIS:

During the drilling of this well, no abnormal pressures, lost circulation, deviation difficulty or any other problems were encountered. During the latter stages pf drilling, the mud was in excellent condition and the hole condition was very good. The crude that is being produced is classified as "intermediate Crude", therefore no corrosion problems are anticipated from the produced crude. The formation water being produced is also non-corrosive. CHERRY BROS & CABOT CORPORATION Austin State #1 Lea County, New Mexico

#### ECONOMIC ASPECTS

The total cost of this well to the casing point at 14,719 feet was \$210,000. This does not include costs of acreage acquisition or other deal costs. The cost to complete as a flowing well with 2 7/8" casing at 10,513' was approximately \$18,000. An additional \$10,000 for the tank battery gives a total completion cost of \$28,000. The price of the oil is \$2.86 per barrel net.

The amount of recoverable reserves poses the big question. The log shows six feet of net pay with porosity of approximately 13.5 %. If this well were allowed and could drain 80 acres it should produce approximately 800 barrels per acre. or 64,000 barrels with a solution gas drive recovery mechanism. If it would drain only 40 acres the recovery would be 32,000 barrels. It is possible that the recovery mechanism could be water drive or partial water drive. In that event, the ultimate recovery could be as high as 200,000 barrels. However, in the northern portion of the Caudill field where this zone is productive, the reservoir is driven by gas expansion.

By making a tubingless completion, the operator knew that the risk was not very great to make a thorough evaluation of this 6' section. If  $4\frac{1}{2}$ " casing had been set, the cost would have been at least \$10,000 greater than the \$18,000 actually spent and because of the risk in making a satisfactory completion plus the small amount of pay section, it is doubtful that the operator would have been justified in spending this additional amount for a conventional completion.

For Wishing 4/8/02 Cherry Brathers & Cabat Corp austin State WELL 401 F 19, 145 36E LEA tubinglear comple 27/8" EUE Cog 10,158 Boagh "" Pennaghraman 10,356 Permo-Perm

la 1997 - Andreas States (1997) 1997 - Andreas States (1997)

 ÷

# Cher-

÷ 1.

Pate Sal

1200 

÷ 9

Ť

n na La desarro de la companya de la La desarro de la companya de la comp . . .

ini, p

 $\{ (a_1, a_2, b_1, \dots, a_n) \}$ Conformation and a

್ಷ ಕ್ರೀ



J. BLAIR CHERRY VIC F. VASICEK UBBOCK NAT'L BANK BLDG. LUBBOCK, TEXAS FONTER B-9428 J.F. Vanicekton Gere

CHERRY BROTHERS OIL PRODUCERS A. W. CHERRY J. M. FULLINWIDER 1222 MERCANTILE BANK BLDG. DALLAS 1. TEXAS RIVERSIDE 8-6801

March 16, 1964

1964 MAR 17 AN 8:09

(an 3021

New Mexico Conservation Commission Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. Dan Nutter

Re: Cherry Bros. & Cabot Corp. Austin State #1 Sec. 19, T-14-S, R-36-E, Unit F Lea County, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

This is to confirm our telephone conversation of March 16, 1964 whereby Cherry Brothers and Cabot Corporation request exception to rule 107E on the well shown in caption. The operator proposes to make a tubingless completion from the Permo-Penn section at the approximate depth of 10,356<sup>1</sup>. The size of casing to be used is 2 7/8" ODEUE 6.5# J-55.

Thanking you, we are

**Cherry Brothers** 

Much By

V. F. Vasicek Consultant Petroleum Engineer

VFV:ad

DOCKET MAILED

Date  $\frac{3}{27/64}$ 

## DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - APRIL 8, 1964

9 A. M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Elvis A. Utz, Alternate Examiner:

<u>CASE 3020:</u> In the matter of the application of the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico upon its own motion for the abolishment and extension of the following pools:

> Abolish the Weir-Tubb Gas Pool in Township 20 South, Range 37 East;

Extend the Monument-Tubb Pool in Township 20 South, Range 37 East,

all in Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 3021: Application of Cherry Brothers and Cabot Corporation for a tubingless completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicants, in the above-styled cause, seek approval of the tubingless completion of their Austin State Well No. 1, located in Unit F of Section 19, Township 14 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico, to produce oil from the Permo-Pennsylvanian formation at approximately 10,356 feet through 2 7/8-inch casing.

CASE 3022: Application of Sinclair Oil & Gas Company for special pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the establishment of special pool rules for the North Vacuum-Devonian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including a provision for 80-acre spacing.

CASE 3023: Application of Cities Service Oil Company for salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water into the Ellenburger formation through its Hodges "B" Well No. 2 which is dually completed in the McKee and Ellenburger formations and located in Unit L of Section 1, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 3024: Application of Deane H. Stoltz for approval of a non-standard unit, a dual completion, and commingling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of a non-standard 80-acre unit in the North Bagley-Wolfcamp Pool comprising the SW/4 NE/4 and NW/4 SE/4 cf Section 22, Township 11 South, Range 33 East, Lea County, New Mexico, approval of the dual completion (conventional) of its Deane H. Stoltz State 262 Well No. 1, located in Unit G of said Section 22, to produce oil from the North Bagley-Wolfcamp Pool through 1 1/4inch tubing and to produce oil from the North Bagley-Upper Pennsylvanian Pool through the casing-tubing annulus by means of a hydraulic pump and authority to commingle production from the North Bagley-Wolfcamp and North Bagley-Pennsylvanian Pools into a common tank battery, computing production from the North Bagley-Upper Pennsylvanian Pool by the subtraction method.

CASE 3025:

5: Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for a unit agreement, Eddy County, New Mexico Applicant in the above-styled cause, seeks PAGE -2-APRIL 8, 1954 EXAMINER HEARING

> approval of the Long Draw Unit Area comprising 3514 acres, more or less, of State and Federal lands in Township 20 South, Ranges 23 and 24 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

CASE 3026: Application of Shell Oil Company for a waterflood project, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a waterflood project in the Jalmat Pool by the injection of water into the Yates formation through four wells in Sections 32, and 33, Township 26 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

<u>CASE 3027:</u> Application of El Paso Natural Gas Company for the adoption of a new form. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the adoption of a new form entitled Purchaser's and Operator's Monthly Report, said form to be for the optional use of those gas purchasing companies which also have gas production. Use of said form to report monthly purchases and production would be in lieu of the monthly purchasers report and the monthly producers report presently required. Copies of the proposed form are available at the Office of the Oil Conservation Commission, State Land Office Building, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

<u>CASE 3028:</u> In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to consider the revision of certain existing forms, the adoption of certain new forms, and the amendment of certain rules pertaining to the filing of forms.

> In the above-styled cause, the Commission proposes to consider the adoption of various forms patterned after the model forms recommended by the Interstate Oil Compact Commission for use in reporting all phases of oil and gas activity to state regulatory agencies. The forms have also been adopted and recommended by the Regulatory Practices Committee and the Executive Committee of the New Mexico Oil and Gas Association.

> Adoption of the forms by the Commission will also entail amendment to numerous rules and orders of the Commission, particularly in Section M of the Rules and Regulations, wherever reference is made to the title or form number of an existing form which would be revised, or where detailed instructions for completing and filing of forms would not be consonant with the proposed forms.

> It is further proposed to amend Rule 1121 of the Rules and Regulations and Rule 7 (A) of the General Rules and Regulations for Prorated Gas Pools in the State of New Mexico as promulgated by Order No. R-1670 to require that gas purchasers' nominations be submitted not later than the first day of the month during which the nominations will be considered at the monthly allowable hearing.

It is also proposed that said Rule 7 (A) be further amended to require that gas purchasers shall file a supplemental nomination for the purchase of gas each month.

Copies of all proposed forms are available at the office of the Oil Conservation Commission, State Land Office Building, Santa Fe, New Mexico. OFL CONCERVANION CON MESTON SANTA FE, NEW TEXICO

Date 4/21/64 Hearing Date 9 an 4/8, 302 i

My recommendations for an order in the above numbered cases are as follows:

Sula an arder anthorning applicants to complete their austin State to I located in think FOR Lee 19 7 14 SR 36 F Lea Co an a tulinger lamplica to produce from the Lawer Walfcaup formation (appl amended at hig) Heraugh 23 inch casing set of 10513 fiet. Perfs from 10357 to 10,367. There are no premure of or parrosion proceed anticipated which will make this type of pauplet. improchecalele, the interiolly and Handreen

DOVERNOR JACK M. CAMPBELL DHAIRMAN

State of New Mexico

## **Gil Conserbation Commission**



LAND COMMISSIONER E. S. JOHNNY WALKER MENNER

A D. BOX 871 BANTA FE STATE SECLOGIST A. L. PORTER, JR. SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

April 28, 1964

Re: Case No.\_\_\_

Order No. R-2693

Applicant:

Nr. Richard S. Norris Seth, Montgomery, Federici & Andrews Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 2307 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Cherry Brothers and Cabot Corp.

3021

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Very truly yours, Inter

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

ir/

Carbon copy of order also sent to:

Bobbs OCC \_\_\_\_

Artesia OCC

Astec OCC \_\_\_\_\_

OTHER Mr. Frank Irby

## BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 3021 Order No. R-2693

APPLICATION OF CHERRY BROTHERS AND CABOT CORPORATION FOR A TUBINGLESS COMPLETION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

#### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on April 8, 1964, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examinar Daniel S. Mutter.

NOW, on this <u>28th</u> day of April, 1964, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

### FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law. the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicants, Cherry Brothers and Cabot Corporation, seek authority to complete their Austin State Well No. 1, located in Unit F of Section 19, Township 14 South, Range 36 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, as a tubingless completion to produce oil from the Lower Wolfcamp formation through 2 7/B-inch casing set at approximately 10,513 feet with perforations from approximately 10,357 feet to 10,367 feet.

(3) That no pressure or corrosion problems should occur from the proposed completion.

(4) That the mechanics of the proposed completion are feasible and in accord with good conservation practices.

-2-CASE No. 3021 Order No. R-2693

(5) That approval of the subject application will prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

## IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED :

(1) That the applicants, Cherry Brothers and Cabot Corporation, are hereby authorized to complete their Austin State Well No. 1, located in Unit F of Section 19, Township 14 South, Range 36 East, HMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, as a tubingless completion to produce oil from the Lower Wolfcamp formation through 2 7/8inch casing set at approximately 10,513 feet with perforations from approximately 10,357 feet to 10,367 feet.

(2) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Campbell CAMPBELL) Chairman

ewalke WALKER, Member 8.

· lie

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

esr/





PAGE 2 MR. MUTTER: We will take next Case 3021. MR. PURRETT: Application of Chorry Brothers and Cabot Corporation for a tubingless completion, Los County, New Maxico. MR. MORRIS: Mr. Examinor, I'm Richard Morris of Soth, 243-660 Montgomery, Federici and Andrews, Santa Fe, New Mexico, appear-DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER ing on behalf of the applicants, Cherry Brothers and Cabot Corpo-Phone ration. We will have one witness in this case, Mr. Victor Vasicek, and ask that he be sworn in at this time. New Mexico (Witness sworn.) Jeneral Court Reporting Service (Whereupon, Applicants Exhibits A through E marked for identification.) VICTOR F. VASICEK, called as a witness, having been nerqi first duly sworn, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS: Mr. Vasicak, will you state your name, where you reside? Q My name is Victor F. Vasicok, I reside in Midland, A Bui Simms Texas. In what capacity are you associated with the appli-Suite 1120 cants in this case, Cherry Brothers and Cabot Corporation? А As a consultant petroleum engineer. Q Have you ever testified before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission, or one of its examiners? A No. Would you state briefly your educational background

Ð

	and your experience in the oil inductry?
	and your experience is the the teles of Science Pograd in Petroleum A I received a Bacheler of Science Pograd in Petroleum
	A I receive a machine an 1951. All my time
	A I received a mention Engineering from the University of Texas in 1951. All my time Engineering from the University of Texas in 1951. All my time
	the two loop apont in the work of the
	since graduation has control Brothers until January,
	Since graduation has cover in Since graduation has cover in the last ten years with Cherry Brothers until January, Area; the last ten years with Cherry Brothers until January,
$\geq$	- independent consultant pote
Æ	Q Are you familiar with the application in Case 3021?
0	Q Are you familiar with this case; I have A Yes, I am. I've been familiar with this case; I have
N	A Yes, Tam. Uvo boon familiar math
MC	e suis woll before it got staries, and three
.K(	been in charge of this well, and through the completion the complete drilling of this well, and through the complete drilling of this well.
7	the complete drilling of this well, and through the completion the complete drilling of this well.
ani	a so of ju. I actually completed it myself.
N	Q what is it that the applicants seek in this case?
E	A Cherry Brothers and Cabot Corporation sock to make a
LK	A Cherry Brothers and Caboo and Look 2.7/8-inch
I.M	A Charry Brothers and Cabot Corporation sook to make a tubingless completion out of this well, and set 2 7/8-inch
Q	casing rather than a conventional method.
METHR WILKINS and CROWNOVER	We what is it that the applicants seek in this case? Q What is it that the applicants seek in this case? A Cherry Brothers and Cabet Corporation seek to make a tubingless completion out of this well, and set 27/8-inch casing rather than a conventional method. Q Which well is it that we are concerned with here?
Ц У	Q Which well is it that we are the B Q Which well is it that we are the B Q Which well is it that we are the B Q Which well is it that we are the Cabot Corporation's
	Q Which Well and I've A Tt is the Cherry Brothers and Cabot Corporation's A Tt is the Cherry Brothers and Cabot Corporation's
	A It is the therity off Mumber 1 Austin State, located in Unit F, Section 19, Township Number 1 Austin State, located in Unit F, Section 19, Township
	Number 1 Austin State, located an Children, New Mexico. 14 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico.
ļ	14 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Maxico.
ן	Q Is the location of that well shown on the plat which
	Q Is the location of that well shown on the plat which has been marked Exhibit A in this case?
	has been marked Exhibite the an about there.
	A Yes, it is. It is as shown there.
	Q What else does that plat depict?
	The the location of the well, the owners of
	A Itgives the recur

^

offset operators, the accesage which is cross-hatched that is ewned by the applicants. It shows the Austin Unit Number 1-A gass well in the Mississippian. It also shows a dry hole drilled by Blackwood and Nichols to the southwest and northwestern most portion of the Caudill Field, and some more dry holes in that area to the north.

Q Would you give to the Examiner a brief history of the drilling and completion of the subject well?

On Pecember 4, 1963, Cherry Brothers and Cabot Corpora-A tion made application to drill a Pevonian test at this location. This application was subsequently approved by the Commission and the well was drilled as outlined to 14,719 feet and was found to bermed in the Number 1 Target, which was the Pevonian be Formation; the secondary target which was the Mississippiam gas zone at approximately 13,300 fest was also found to be berg and it was at this point that the operator started centralizing electrologs and scratching to try to determine whether they could bale out some way or another, and us found this anamoly there on the electrolog. If you want to, refer to the electrolog -- Pid you give them a copy? Maybe they den't want to at this point.

Q You are referring to the exhibits that have been marked E and F; one being an ISE log and one being a sonic log. I believe you have the interval marked on this?

DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Service Suite 1120 Simms Building Albuquerque, New Mexico Phone 243-669 PAGE 4



PAGE 5 Yes. It was marked on both where Cherry Brothers and Cabot found the zone at 10,357 to 10,368 -- 357 to 367, excuse me, ten foel. So you have about a ten foot --No, we only have a six foot section. I had a little 0 difficulty in corrolating the gamma ray log through that small 243-6001 pipe and my instructions were to be such and get it all, so T DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER **Phone** shot ten feet instead of six. You did go in and set pipe and perforate in this ۵ Mexico Yes, we did, as shown on the schematic diagram. soction? You are referring not o what has been marked Erhibit General Court Reporting Service A New Q Albuquerque, Exhibit C gives pretty well the whole picture as C in this case? to what took place relative to the casing program, the plug set and so forth. If you want me to elaborate a little bit--Suite 1120 Simms Building Starting from the bottom we set a 25 sack plug as was Q instructed by the Hobbs Pistrict Office of the Conservation Commission, at 14,700 and another plug over the Mississippian interval at 13,300, and another plug-in the top of the Pennsylvanian, which is 10,950. 2 and 7/8 inch casing was set at 10,513 feet, cemented with 250 seeks. The big problem, I'll go into a little discussion here of

New .

Suite 1120 Simms

what it was, in my opinion was to got a good coment job. This 2 7/8 inside a 7 7/8 hole can vertainly create a lot of problems As you can see on the diagram, I wish to point out that we did use CFR and two coments to try and induce turbulent flow while the well was being comented. We also used a number of feet of centralizors and scratchers across that zons, and an adequate amount of coment. From the results it was quite obvious that our cementing job was successful.

The perforations are as shown on this diagram and also 0 the procedure that you just outlined is also shown on Form C-103 which has been submitted to the Commission, is that correct?

That is correct. А

Q And that Form C-103 we had marked as Exhibit B in this caso?

Yes. A

Q Referring now to what has been marked Exhibit F, which is your company well record, will you point out some of the pertinent data concerning this well, that you've collected during the drilling of this well and the testing of it?

Furing the drilling of this woll, this zone that we A completed in was not tested, we waren't even mudded up at that time. We expected to get some shows in that Pennsylvanian section but this was actually a Lower Wolfcamp the way our geologist calls It's right above the bough section. We tested the it.



PAGE 6

Mississippian twice where that Aurtin Unit produces, and then we tested the Perorian twice with no recults. Fill go back to the computing of the well.

Q All right.

A We want on and set pipe, as wellined a few minutes ago. I spotted some weak acid on top of the plug, performed the casing, logged it first with Camma Ray Correlation Log and then perforated; the well want on a vacuum, slide vacuum. We commenced swabbing, recovered about 60 barrels of filtrate water-

Q This is through this perforations in the zong that you completed in?

A Yes. Filtrate water, and then almost every hour the oil started increasing, about 100 percent each hour. Pretty soon it started to flow. We shut it in over night, it filled the next day, but not very good. It's kind of as outlined, about six barrels on hour. We weren't quite satisfied with it, so we hit it with 500 gallons of mud acid, and then we kicked the well back off, and it commenced flowing about 16 barrels of oil per hour, approximately nine percent water at that time.

We ran a GOR after it had been shut in about 90 hours. First we took bottom hole pressure; bottom hole pressure was 3829 at 10,362 feet. We had 362 feet of water in the hole; that is approximately 600 feet down to fluid. We flowed the well about 30 hours prior to taking a GOR; the well stabilized very



DEARNLEY, METER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Service Suite 1120 Simms Building Albuquerque, New Mexico Phone 243-6691

well, as I could show on a chart which I have with us here, but it did stabilize. We powertially' the well with a 1 inch cheke, 25% barrels of oil por day, plus 12 barrols of water, which is about 41 percont of the total fluid. The flowing tubing pressure was at 243-660 approximately 280 pounds; the GORTwas 457 to 1. Thelievo that's about it. The well was completed and we ware shut in for storage. Mr. Vasiesk, I think you have already testified that 0 Pho: this Pennsylvanian zone was not one of your primary objectives in this woll. More is the nearest Pennsylvanian production with re-Mexico spect to the location of this wall? I would say in the Caudill Field, which is approximately Δ

three to four miles to the southeast. It's Poansylvanian production down there, too. Actually we think this is Lower Welfcamp now since we first filed for this. We find that the Caudill Field has at least two or three wells that produce from this zone. That's the nearest production. I believe there's also some possibly in the Gladiola. There's also production from this particular stringer in the Lower Wolfcamp.

Q What type of crude is it that you produce from this zone? A It's an intermediate crude; it's not sweet and not sour; non-corrosive as far as we know.

Q Is there any formation water?

A We produced, we experienced 57 parts per million chlorides, which would be Wolfcamp, we think. It is not corrosive.



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Service

Suite 1120

What are the economic aspects of this completion, Mr. Q Vasicek with respect to whether it would have been feasible to have sot larger diameter casing?

When we were deciding whether canot we should attempt A 243-660 a completion in this zone, it was my job to determine what the costs would be under different methods of completion and we found that by going the conventional route it would cost approximately \$10,000.00 more to set  $4\frac{1}{2}$  casing versus the tubingless completion. That doesn't sound like a lot of money, but in view of the fact Mexico that we can do a completion through 2 7/8 for approximately Vew \$18,000.00, percent gawise it would be a great deal when they could practically do the same job. Total cost of this well to the casing point which was 14,719 feet was approximately \$210,000.00 not counting acreage acquisition and other costs.

Ç. At the time that you set this pipe to test this zone. did you have any assurance that you were going to be successful Bui and get a successful completion?

Pefinitely not. At that point it was very speculative A as to what could be done shutting water off or getting a cement Suite 1120 job, and whether or not the zone was productive of gas or oil or It was strictly an electrolog interpretation. what.

Q When the time comes, Mr. Vasicek, when the well will no longer flow through this 2.7/8 inch tubing, what can be done to produce the well by artificial lift?



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CKOWNOVER Service General Court Reporting

Simms

Wo think, at least at the time we set pipe, decided Δ that inch and a half tubing could be run inside of this 2 7/8 casing, and some form of hydraulic life, something like a Kobe installation where you pumped fluid down the annulus or down the 243.669] tubing, could be employed and very adequately drain the reserves through artificial means under this location. We think that the Phone permeability of this formation and other reservoir characteristics such as the gravity would enable it to do this quite adequately. Were the exhibits that you have testified to, being Q

Mexico Exhibits A through F in this case, prepared by you or under your New direction, Mr. Vasicek?

> A They were prepared by me.

Albuquerque, MR. MORRIS: We offer those exhibits at this time, and that concludes the examination of Mr. Vasicek.

MR. NUTTER: What are the exhibit numbers?

MR. MORRIS: Exhibits A through F.

MR. NUTTER: Exhibits A through F will be admitted in evidence.

> (Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits A through F were admitted in ovidence.)

MR. NUTTER: Any questions of Mr. Vasicek? MR. IRBY: Frank Irby, State Engineers Office. I would like to have the witness describe the completion of the well in the upper part of the hole. He has brought it up to 10,356, as I under stand his testimony, but he hasn't said what is above that point.



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER Service General Court Reporting

Building

Simms

Suite 1120

MR. NUTTER: Will you briefly describe your intermediate

Yes, sir. 13 3/8 inch casing was sot at 419 foot, A cemented with 400 sacks and cement was circulated. An 11 inch hole, 243-6691 I think was drilled out from under the 13 3/8 and 8 5/8 inch casing was set in the top of the San Andreas Limestone, and the casing shop Phone was set at 4640. The easing was set, it was comented with 800 sacks; calculating 50 percent fillup, the top of the coment would be at approximately 2040. The 2 7/8 tubing, or casing, had a cal-Mexico culated fillup, according to caliper it should be close to 100 New percent, but the top of the cement would be at approximately 9237 on the 2 7/8 tubing or casing.

and surface string installation, and comonting?

## CROSS EXAMIMATION

Is the 13 3/8 casing set below the bottom of the Ogalala Q formation?

A Yes.

MR. IRBY: Thank you, that's all I have.

BY MR. NUTTER:

Suite 1120 No temperature survey was run on the cement on the 2 7/8 Q inch pipe?

No, no temperature survey was run. I considered it, and A I even considered running a bond log. The tools weren't adequate, they were too large for that type of installation. I decided that



PAGE 11

on the 2 7/8 on the 2 7/8 BY MR, IRBY:

Building

Simms

DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER

Jeneral Court Reporting Service

	PAGE
[	since we want to all this trouble to do it right in the first
	place, it probably wasn't necessary.
	Q And you estimate the top of the coment on the $2.7/8$ to
	be at 9327, but that's assuming a 100 percent fillup?
	A That is correct.
	MR. NUTTER: He may be excused.
	(Witness excused.)
	MR. NUTIER: Do you have anything further, Mr. Morri
2	MR. MORALS: No, sir, I do not.
1X2 IAT	MR. NUTTER: Poss anyone have anything they wish to
New Mexico	offer in Case 3021? We will take the case under advisement.
Albuquerque,	
Albu	
uilding	
Buil	
SMMN	
Suite 1120 Simms Bi	
511 a	
Swit	
ļ	

X

PAGE 1.3

STATE OF NEW MEXICO 35. COUNTY OF BERNALTLLO )

I, AFA FEARVLEY, Court Reporter, do hereby cortify that the foregoing and attached transcript of proceedings before the New Maxico Oil Conservation Commission at Santa Fe, New Maxico, is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF J have affixed my hand and notarial soal this 9th day of April, 1964.

Notary Public - Court Reportor

My Commission Expires: June 19, 1967

DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER

General Court Reporting Service

Albuquerque, New Mexico

Suite 1120 Simms Building

