CASE 3831: Application of KEWANEE OIL COMPANY FOR A WATELFLOOD PROJECT, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

متمليت

310

2 < 1

and in

1

ase Number Application Transcripts. Small Exhibits

BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

10

릴

APPLICATION OF KEWANEE OIL COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF A WATERFLOOD PROJECT IN THE ATOKA SAN ANDRES UNIT AREA. EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

7.16 Case No. 3831

APPLICATION

Comes now Kewanee Oil Company and requests the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission to approve a waterilood project in the Atoka San Andres Unit Area, Eddy County, New Mexico, and in support of its application states:

1. Coincident with the filing of this Application, Kewanee Oil Company also has filed an application seeking approval of the Atoka San Andres Unit Agreement covering 3,300 acres of privately owned land in Township 18 South, Range 26 East, Eddy County, New Mexico, as more fully described and shown on Exhibits A and B to the Atoka San Andres Unit Agreement which is attached to that Application.

2. Kewanee Oil Company proposes to institute secondary recovery operations in the Atoka San Andres Unit Area by injecting water into that portion of the San Andres formation that is described as the "Unitized Formation" in paragraph 1.2 on page 1 of the Atoka San Andres Unit Agreement. Applicant proposes to inject water through 28 injection wells whose location is shown and tabulated on Exhibits B and F attached to this Application.

3. Attached to this Application as Exhibit A is a plat showing the unit area and the ownership of acreage adjacent to the unit area.

4. Attached to this Application as Exhibit C is a map showing the structure of the Atoka San Andres Field in the unit area.

DOGRET MARED

5. Attached to this Application as Exhibit D is a log of a typical injection well for this project.

6. Attached to this Application as Exhibit E is a diagrammatic sketch showing the manner in which injection wells typically will be completed.

7. Attached to this Application as Exhibit G is a graph showing monthly oil production from wells in the unit area.

8. Approval of this application will prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

WHEREFORE, Kewanee Oil Company requests that this Application be set for hearing before the Commission or one of its examiners and that the Commission enter its order approving the proposed waterflood project.

-2-

MONTGOMERY, FEDERICI, ANDREWS, HANNAHS & MORRIS

By

P. O. Box 2307 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Attorneys for Kewanee Oil Company

GOVERNOR DAVID F. CARGO CHAIRMAN

State of New Mexico Gil Conservation Commission

LAND COMMISSIONER GUYTON B. HAYS MEMBER

 $\left(\begin{array}{c} \end{array} \right)$

AA	<u>.</u>	 •
1917 - 1917		
O. BOX 2088 SANTA FE		

Ρ.

STATE GEOLOGIST A. L. PORTER, JR. SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

August 20, 1968

Mr. Richard S. Morris Montgomery, Federici, Andrews, Hannahs and Morris Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 2307 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Case No	3831	_
Order No	R-3476	
Applicant:		
	Order No	Order No. <u>R-3476</u>

KEWANEE OIL COMPANY

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith is a copy of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case. Letter pertaining to conditions of approval and maximum allowable to follow.

Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

ALP/ir

Carbon copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCC x Artesia OCC x Aztec OCC State Engineer x

Other_

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION P. O. BOX 2088 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501

September 16, 1963

Mr. Richard S. Morris Montgomery, Federici, Andrews, Hannahs & Morris Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 2307 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

Reference is made to Commission Order No. R-3476, recently entered in Case No. 3831, approving the Kewane Atoka San Andres Waterflood Project.

Injection is to be through the 28 authorized water injection wells each of which shall be equipped with tubing zet in a packer, said packers to be set within 100 feet of the uppermost perforation. The casing-tubing annulus in each well shall be loaded with a corrosioninhibited fluid and shall be left open or equipped with a pressure gauge to facilitate detection of leakage in the tubing, casing or packer.

As to allowable, our calculations indicate that when all of the authorized injection wells have been placed on active injection, the maximum allowable which this project will be eligible to receive under the provisions of Rule 701-E-3 is 2436 barrels per day when the Southeast New Mexico normal unit allowable is 42 barrels per day or less.

Please report any error in this calculated maximum allowable immediately, both to the Santa Fe office of the Commission and the appropriate district provation office.

In order that the allowable assigned to the project may be kept currant, and in order that the operator may fully benefit from the allowable provisions of Rule 701, it behooves him to promptly notify both of the aforementioned Commission offices by latter of any change in the status of wells in the project area, i.e., when active injection

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION P. O. BOX 2088

SANTA FE. NEW MEXICO 87501

Mr. Richard S. Morris	
Montgomery, Federici,	Andrews
Hannahs & Morris	
Attorneys at Law	11 s
Santa Fe, New Mexico	

September 16, 1968

commences, when additional injection or producing wells are drilled, when additional wells are acquired through purchase or unitization, when wells have received a response to water injection, etc.

Your cooperation in keeping the Commission so informed as to the status of the project and the wells therein will be appreciated.

Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

ALP/DSH/ir

乀

11

cc: Oil Conservation Commission offices in Hobbs and Artesia, N. M.

Mr. D. E. Gray, State Engineer Office, Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 3831 Order No. R-3476

APPLICATION OF KEWANEE OIL COMPANY FOR A WATERFLOOD PROJECT, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on August 7, 1968, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Elvis A. Utz.

NOW, on this <u>20th</u> day of August, 1968, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Kewanee Oil Company, seeks permission to institute a waterflood project in its Atoka-San Andres Unit Area, Atoka-San Andres Pool, by the injection of water into the San Andres formation through 28 injection wells in Township 18 South, Range 26 East, HMPM, Eddy County, New Mexico.

(3) That the wells in the project area are in an advanced state of depletion and should properly be classified as "stripper" wells.

(4) That two pilot waterflood projects have been conducted in the subject area under authority of Commission Orders Nos. R-2955 and R-3192.

(5) That the proposed waterflood project should result in the recovery of otherwise unrecoverable oil, thereby preventing waste.

-2-CASE No. 3831 Order Mo. R-3476

(6) That the subject application should be approved and the project should be governed by the provisions of Rules 701, 702, and 703 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

IT IS THEREPORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant, Kawane Oil Company, is hereby authorized to inscitute a waterflood project in its Atoka San Andres Unit Area, Atoka-San Andres Pool, by the injection of water into the San Andres formation through the followingdescribed wells in Township 18 South, Range 26 East, NMPN, Eddy County, New Mexico:

Standard of TexasE. Holland1NE/4 SW/Ralph Rogers3SE/4 SE/Stroup4SE/4 NE/Stroup2SE/4 NW/Stroup6NE/4 NW/M. G. Johnson2NW/4 SW/	4 10
E. Holland1NE/4 SW/Ralph Rogers3SE/4 SE/Stroup4SE/4 NE/Stroup2SE/4 NW/Stroup6NE/4 NW/	14 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
Ralph Rogers3SE/4 SE/Stroup4SE/4 NE/Stroup2SE/4 NW/Stroup6NE/4 NW/	X :10
Stroup4SE/4NE/4Stroup2SE/4NW/Stroup6NE/4NW/	
Strcup2SE/4NW/Stroup6NE/4NW/	V
Stroup 6 NE/4 NW/	
	for a second
M G Johnson 2 NW / A SW /	
B. C. Aaron 1 SE/4 SW/	4 11
Mcbil Oil Corp.	
D. E. Fanning 1 NW/4 SE/	4 11
D. B. Fanning 4 SE/4 NE/	4 11
Reed Brainard 2 SE/4 SE/	4 11
Standard of Texas	
Vinther 1 SE/4 SW/	4 12
Higgins Unit 3 1 NW/4 SE/	4 12
Swearingen Unit 1 SE/4 NW/	4 12
Higgins Unit 2 1 HW/4 NW/	4 12
Mobil Oil Corp.	
D. E. Fanning 5 NW/4 SW/	4 12
	- -
Kewanee Oil Co.	5
Fanning "A" 2 NW/4 SE/	4 13
Leavitt "S" 9 SW/4 NW/	
	• • • •
Standard of Texas	
L. Vandagriff 1 SW/4 SW/	4 13
Leavitt 1 NW/4 NB/	

-3-CASE No. 3831 Order No. R-3476

5 1

(1) - continued from page 2 -

Operator	Well No.	Unit	Section
Kewanee Oil Co.			
Everest Bst.	2	SE/4 SW/4	. 14
Everest	- i ;	NW/4 SE/4	14
Fanning "S"	1	NE/4 SE/4	14
Leavitt "S"	4	NE/4 NE/4	14
Terry Tr. 2	2	NW/4 NE/4	14
Terry Tr. 3	- 3	SE/4 MW/4	14
Standard of Texas	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		* * *
Paul Terry	3	NW/4 NW/4	14
Kewanae Oil Co.	e		
Rogers "A"	1	NE/4 NE/4	23
Martin	2	NW/4 NW/4	23

(2) That the subject waterflood project is hereby designated the Kewanes Atoka San Andres Waterflood Project and shall be governed by the provisions of Rules 701, 702, and 703 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

(3) That monthly progress reports of the waterflood project herein authorized shall be submitted to the Commission in accordance with Rules 704 and 1120 of the Commission ules and Regulations.

(4) That Orders Nos. R-2955 and R-3192 are hereby superseded.

(5) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW ABXICO AVATION COMMISSION OIL COD ¥ DAVID CARGO, Chairman GUNTO amer Jr., Member & Secretary

Cure 383/ Heard \$ 7-65 Rec. 8-8-68 Herran Opprover Kervancis reques for conversion of 28 well to injetion. All welle shall be. Shute #

August 7, 1968, Examiner Hearing

Docket No. 23-68

CASE 3829:

- 3-

Application of Getty Oil Company for an unorthodox gas well Neation, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the abovescyled cause, seeks an exception to Rule 104 C II (a) to permit the drilling of a well at an unorthodox gas well location 1980 feet from the North line and 990 feet from the East line of Section 27, Township 12 South, Range 34 East, West Ranger Lake-Devonian Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. The N/2 of said Section 27 to be dedicated to said well.

CASE 3830:

CASE 3831:

CASE 3832:

CASE 3833:

Application of Kewanee Oil Company for a unit agreement, Eddy County, New Mexico, Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Atoka San Andres Unit Area comprising 3,360 acres, more or less, of Fee land in Township 18 South, Range 26 East, Atoka-San Andres Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.

Application of Kewanee Oil Company for a waterflood project, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a waterflood project in its Atoka San Andres Unit Area by the injection of water into the San Andres formation through 28 injection wells located in Township 18 South, Range 26 East, Atoka-San Andres Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.

Application of Sinclair Oil & Gas Company for a unit agreement, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Guadalupe Ridge Unit Area comprising 23,358 acres, more or less, of federal and fee lands in Townships 25 and 26 South, Range 21 and 22 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

Application of Petroleum Corporation of Texas for a non-standard gas proration unit and two unorthodox gas well locations, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of a non-standard gas proration unit comprising the N/2 SE/4 and SW/4 SE/4 of Section 13, Township 24 South, Range 36 East, Jalmat Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, said unit to be dedicated to applicant's Maggie Dunn Wells Nos. 2 and 3 located 930 feet from the East line and 1650 feet from the South line and 1650 feet from the East line and 1650 feet from the South line, respectively, of said Section 13. Applicant further seeks authority to produce the allowable assigned to said unit from either of the aforesaid wells in any proportion.

well

no.

Coltine.

Bertini

10 r

10 1

Unit

NE/SW

atoka San Conten Unit Tract 1

DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico August 7, 1968 EXAMINER HEARING dearnley-meier reporting service, inc. MEXICO WOW' NIN TEST (Consolidated) IN THE MATTERS OF: EXPERT Lauquérque. Application of Kewanee Oil Company for a unit agreement, Eddy County, Case No. 3830 - 1 STATE New Mexico. ł HEARINGS, Application of Kewanee Oil Company for a waterflood project, Eddy Case No. 3831) 5 DEPOSITIONS, County, New Mexico. ŏ 0.4 ź SIMMS BLDG. BEFORE: Elvis A. Utz SPECIALIZING Examiner 130 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit Number 1 in Case 3830 was marked for identification.)

MR. UTZ: Case 3830 and 3831.

MR. HATCH: Case 3830. Application of Kewanee Oil Company for a unit agreement, Eddy County, New Mexico.

Case /3831, Application of Rewanee Oil Company for a waterflood project, Eddy County, New Mexico.

MR. MORRIS: Mr. Examiner, I'm Dick Morris of Montgomery, Federici, Andrews, Hannahs and Morris, Santa Fe, appearing for the Applicant in each of these cases. I would move at this time that the cases be consolidated for purpose of receiving evidence.

MR. UTZ: The cases will be consolidated for the purpose of the testimony and a separate Order will be written on the cases.

MR. MORRIS: I have two witnesses, Mr. J. W. Graham and R. S. Allison, and ask they both stand and be sworn, please. (Witnesses sworn.)

J. W. GRAHAM

was called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MORRIS:

Q Mr. Graham, will you state your name, where you reside,

by whom you are employed and in what capacity?

A My name is J. W. Graham. I live in Tulsa, Oklahoma. I am employed by Kewanee Oil Company in the capacity of Joint Interest Superintendent.

3

Q Have you previously testified before the Commission or one of its Examiners and had your qualifications established and accepted of record?

A Yes.

Q Are you familiar with the application of Kewanee Oil Company in Case 3830?

A I am.

Q Would you refer to the brochure that has been marked as Exhibit 1 in Case 3830 and state what that exhibit is?

A Exhibit 1 is a copy of the Unit Agreement for the Atoka-San Andres Unit, Eddy County, New Mexico, the purpose of which is to unitize the oil and gas rights in the San Andres Formation under a group of leases comprising approximately 3360 acres in Township 18 South, Range 26 East for the purpose of secondary recovery.

Q Would you refer to Exhibits A and B contained in that brochure and state what those exhibits show?

A Exhibit A lists the tract numbers of various tracts within the unit, a description of the acreage, the number of acres in those tracts and the percent tract participation for each tract under Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the unit participation.

Exhibit B is a plat of the unit area which shows the tract numbers. It also shows the name of the current operator and the lease name and the wells within the unit area which have been completed in the San Andres Formation.

Q Under the Unit Agreement, what is the unitized formation?

A The unitized formation is a San Andres Formation or that subsurface portion of the unit area between the top of the San Andres and 920 feet below the top of the San Andres Formation.

Q You mentioned a two-phase participation arrangement under this Unit Agreement. Would you briefly describe that and point out the sections of the Unit Agreement that set this forth?

A Article 5 of the Unit Agreement covers tract participation--it's on Page 6, Mr. Examiner--wherein we state the formula that's used to determined Phase 1 tract participation and Phase 2 participation. Our purpose in having a two-phase formula for this unit was due primarily to there being a substantial amount of primary reserves left and we wanted some basis on which operators and royalty owners would maintain, approximately, their current income during the time that the remaining primary reserves are being produced, and then a Phase 2 formula which would equitably allocate secondary reserves.

Q Mr. Graham, what percentage of interest, of working interest, does Kewanee Oil Company have under Phases 1 and 2 of this operation?

A Kewanee Oil Company has 45.12473% of the working interest under Phase 1; 42.40326% under Phase 2.

Q Is Kewanee Oil Company designated as the operator of this unit?

A As unit operator, yes, sir.

Q Who are the other working interest owners in this unit? A There are two other working interest owners: Chevron Oil Company, whose operating name is Standard Oil Company of Texas, and Mobil Oil Company.

Q Do these three companies, including Kewanee, have 100% of the working interest in the unit?

A Yes.

Q And have Chevron, or Standard, and Mobil agreed to commit their working interests to the unit?

A Yes, they have executed a ratification of the Agreement. Q Concerning the royalty interests and the overriding royalty interests, all of the acreage in this unit is owned privately, none of it being State or Federal acreage, is that correct?

A That's correct.

5

Q What is your present status of commitment of the royalty and overriding royalty interest to the Unit Agreement? A As of now, we have on a unit basis 73.2876% of the royalty ratified under Phase 1; 72.6706% ratified under Phase 2. Q What are your prospects of obtaining further ratifications by the remaining royalty and overriding royalty interests? A We think they're very good, obtaining additional ratifications.

Q Under the provisions of the Unit Agreement, there are certain standards that have to be met before tracts are considered qualified for participation in the unit. Would you point out those provisions of the Unit Agreement?

A Those provisions are covered under Article 9 of the Unit Agreement.

Q Just very briefly, what does that Article provide? A It provides that a tract can be qualified for inclusion in the unit area by ratification of 100% of the working interests and 75% of the royalty interests; or lacking 75% of the royalty interests, working interest owners can indemnify and agree to hold harmless the other working interest owners on account of the inclusion of a tract in the unit area which has less than 75% royalty interest signed.

0 Under the second portion of Aricle 9 that you just referred to, what will be the situation with respect to tract

participation in this unit?

A As of now, we have 18 of the 30 tracts qualified with 75% or more royalty interest signed. All of the tracts operated by Mobil Oil Company have qualified. Those tracts operated by Kewanee Oil Company and Standard Oil Company of Texas which do not have 75% ratified will be qualified by indemnity agreement signed by those working interest owners.

7

Q So you will have 100% of the tracts qualified under the Unit Agreement?

A Yes.

Q Does the Agreement provide for an effective date?

A Yes, it does. Under Article 17, it provides that the Agreement will become effective at a time and date determined by the working interest owners and set forth in a certificate filed for record; the qualification for filing this certificate are that at least 85% of the unit area shall have qualified under the provisions of Article 9, a counterpart of the agreement to be filed of record and approved by any govermental authority necessary.

Q When do you hope to make the Agreement effective?

A We hope to make it effective September 1, 1968.

Q Was this Unit Agreement prepared by you or under your supervision?

A Yes.

MR. MORRIS: That's all I have of Mr. Graham on direct. MR. UTZ: The purpose of this unit is for secondary

recovery, is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

MR. UTZ: Are there any questions? You may be excused.

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit Number 1 in Case 3831 was marked for identification.)

1411

ß

R. S. ALLISON

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MORRISE

Q Mr. Allison, will you please state your name, where you reside, by whom you are employed and in what capacity? A My name is R. S. Allison. I reside in Tulsa, Oklahoma. I am employed by Kewanee Oil Company as Secondary Recovery

Engineer.

Q And have you previously testified before the Commission or one of its Examiners and had your qualifications established of record?

A Yes, I have.

Q Have you prepared a brochure of Exhibits for presentation in this case? A Yes, I have.

Q And is that marked as Exhibit Number 1 in Case 38317

9

A Yes.

MR. MORRIS: At this point, Mr. Examiner, I might point out that the brochure that was submitted with the application has had some changes made in it and should not be confused with the brochure that is weing submitted as the marked exhibit in this case. The changes concern the location of a couple of the injection wells; however, there's still the same number of injection wells. They are still located all in Township 18 South, Range 26 East, Eddy County, in accordance with the notice that was given in the case.

MR. UTZ: Probably should remove this from the file then.

MR. MORRIS: We'll be glad to take them back. MR. UTZ: Let me have that one there. I have a couple of notes on the top of it.

Q (By Mr. Morris) Mr. Allison, would you refer to this brochure marked Exhibit 1, and, first, refer to Exhibit A in that brochure and state what that is and what it shows.

A Exhibit A shows the proposed unit area shaded in gray, the adjacent ownership and the wells within the unit area and adjacent wells. MR. UTZ: What's this dark outline?

THE WITNESS: That has no significance in this hearing. Those are Kewanee operated leases.

Q Now, this shows not only San Andres wells, but also Grayburg wells in this area, is that correct?

A Yes, within the unit area, there's Grayburg reservoir also.

Q The San Andres wells are shown on Exhibit B, and Exhibit B does not show the Grayburg wells, is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q Referring to Exhibit B, what else does that show?

A Exhibit B shows the proposed injection wells circled.

Q What are the wells shown with the double or triple

circles around them?

A There are two wells with double circles there. Those two wells are San Andres wells which the Commission has previously granted permission for water injection.

Q Now, are these two wells -- you're referring to the well that is in the northeast of the northwest of Section 11 that has the designation 6-WI under the Standard of Texas lease, is that right?

A That's one of two wells, yes.

Q And the other one is located in the southwest of the northwest of Section 13 and carries the designation 9-S-WI?

Yes, that's correct.

Α

Q Are those two wells included within the 28 injection wells for which approval is sought under this waterflood project?

A Yes, those two within the 28 total wells.

MR. MORRIS: Mr. Examiner, as the witness has stated, these wells have previously been approved for waterflood, as waterflood wells. The well in Section 11 was approved for waterflooding under an application by Standard Oil Company of Texas in Case 3524, Order Number R-3192. The well in Section 13 was approved under an application by Kewanee for waterflooding in Case 3291, Order Number R-2955. In the event this application is approved, it might be appropriate for the Commission to rescind those previous Orders and just include those two wells in this waterflood project.

Q Mr. Allison, how many wells, total, are involved in this project?

A 58 wells.

Q And how many of those 58 are injection wells?

A 28.

Q What type of a waterflood pattern could this be called?
A I'd call it a modified five spot. It's as close as
practical to a five spot with the current well locations.

Q In your opinion, will this pattern of waterflooding give Kewanee adequate control of the waterflood throughout this

11

A Yes, it will.

Ω What is Exhibit C in this brochure?

A Exhibit C is a structure contour map on top of a main San Andres porosity zone within the unit area. It shows a nosing condition dipping to the east with a field development pretty much across the top of the nose. The contours show a fairly uniform rate of dip to the east which would indicate continuity across the unit area.

Q What is Exhibit D to the brochure?

A Exhibit D is a type log of the injection wells that are proposed.

Q You have the top of the San Andres Formation marked on this log?

A Yes. The top of the San Andres is identified on this log, 1,039 feet.

Q. What is your main porosity zone?

A The main porosity zone that the previous exhibit was contoured on is located on this log, the top at 1650.

Q Moving on to Exhibit E, what is that?

A Exhibit E is a schematic of a typical injection well completion technique which is proposed which, in detail, is two and three-eighths tubing, plastic coated on a packer with the packer set immediately above the producing perforations and an inhibited fluid in the tubing casing annulus with a pressure gauge at the surface.

Q All injection will be through perforations and below packer?

A Yes.

Q What is Exhibit F?

والتي تسبيه والمرجع الأسام المراجع

A Exhibit F is a table with data of the 28 proposed injection wells which shows the location of these wells, the casing, size, both surface oil string, the depth to which it sets, the cement that was used to cement the casing, the top of the cement, the total depth of the well and the completion interval.

Q From the information that is shown here with respect to the cementing of these wells, will the cementing program on each of these wells, in your opinion, be sufficient to give protection to the fresh water zones that exist in this area?

A Yes, in most cases, in all cases, the surface casing is cemented to the surface. The oil string is cemented to the surface in most cases and in the cases where it is not cemented to the surface, the cement is circulated up into the surface casing so that the entire interval is covered with cement in all of the wells.

Q What does Exhibit G show?

A Exhibit G is a decline curve for the unit area showing

the current rate of production as 14,000 barrels per month. This calculates to be approximately eight barrels per day per well, average.

Q For the wells that are presently producing, what does that calculate to be?

A That would calculate less than nine barrels per day.

Q Now, Exhibit H merely shows the old lease names and the new tract numbers under the lease agreement, is that correct?

A Yes. If the unit is approved, we would propose to rename the leases with tract numbers and leave the well numbers as they currently are, and this is a key between the current lease names and the proposed unit tract numbers.

Q What will be the source of water for this waterflood project?

A It will be fresh water purchased from one of the local ranchers.

Q And has this purchase already been arranged for and has the landowner from whom you are purchasing the water obtained approval of the State Engineer to use the water for this purpose? A Yes, in all cases.

Q At what rate do you intend to inject water into these wells?

A A maximum rate of 300 barrels per day per well at a thousand times maximum pressure.

Q And have you already arranged for sufficient water to inject into the proposed injection wells, sufficient to meet your requirements?

A Yes, the water has already been contracted for.
Q What would be your estimate of the total water requirement for this project?

A Well, that would be highly speculative, but my guess as to the total fresh wate: requirements would be in the range of two million barrels.

Q Will the water be recycled?

A Yes, produced water will be reinjected.

Q What is your estimate in terms of primary production of your production on secondary?

Secondary should approach 75% of ultimate primary.

Q Were Exhibits A through H contained in Exhibit Number 1 in this case prepared by you or under your direction?

Yes, they were.

MR. MORRIS: We offer into evidence Exhibit Number 1

in Case 3831.

Α

Ά

MR. UTZ: Without objection, Exhibit 1, Parts A through H, will be entered in to the record.

(Whereupon, Exhibit Number 1 of Case 3831 was admitted in evidence.) MR. MORRIS: That's all I have of this witness.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. UTZ:

Q With reference to Exhibit E, a part of Exhibit 1,
would you state whether you intend to load the annulus or not?
A Yes. I believe we would intend to load it with an
inhibited fluid and use pressure gauge at the surface.
Q And all the wells will be equipped in this manner?

A Yes, sir.

In regards to your well names for your injection wells, what was it specifically that you propose? To put the new tract number in place of the well number?

A Put the new tract number in place of the current lease name and to not change the well numbers.

Q I see. So that in our Order, for example, referring to Exhibit F, we would list that well as Kewanee Oil Company Tract Number 30?

A Yes, sir.

Q Well Number 1?

A Yes, sir. We would probably refer to the well as 30-1, would be the way we would prefer to have it referred to.

Q Well, the lease name will be Tract 30.

A Yes, sir.

Q

Is there the same number wells as there is on Exhibit H?

A Well, Exhibit H doesn't show the number of wells. Exhibit H might be confusing in that some of these lease names also have numbers following them, such as Kewanee Tract or Kewanee Terry Tract 3. That is a lease name or --Q Let me ask it another some Are all the injection wells

you intend to use included on Exhibit K?

A Yes.

MR. UTZ: Are there any other questions of the witness? You may be excused. Any statements in this case? MR. MORRIS: No.

MR. UTZ: Let's take a ten minute recess.

INDEX

WITNESS	PAGE
J. W. GRAHAM	
Direct Examination by Mr. Morris	2
R. S. ALLISON	
Direct Examination by Mr. Morris	8
Cross Examination by Mr. Utz	16

EXHIBITS

r Ar a state the second		Number			d for ification	Received Evidence		
	Applicant's Ex in Case Number		1		2	2010 1910 - 1910 1910 - 1910 1		
	Applicant's Ex in Case Number		1		8	15		

18

Â

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) SS. COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

I, CHARLOTTE MACIAS, Notary Public in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; and that the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. Witness my Hand and Seal this 27th day of September, 1968.

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: February 10, 1971.

> I do baroby partify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Brauiner beeping of face 50.3520, beand by as on file C. The 1965.

... Statiogr \mathcal{T}

New Mexico Oil Consurvation Cossission

ATOKA SAN ANDRES UNIT

 $\left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right)$

-

l i

5.4.

WATERFLOOD APPLICATION

August, 1968

allow are Exturbit B BEFORE EXAMINER LITZ OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CASE NO. 3831



3. |

• • • •

ŧ. |

ы. •

1. 1. 1

Ę9

5,

2.12

Α.	Proposed Unit Area & Adjacent Ownership
в.	- Proposed Injection Wells
c,	- Structure Contour Map
D.	- Typical Log of Injection Well
E.	- Sketch of Typical Injection Well
F.	- Proposed Injection Well Completion Data
G.	- Production Graph of Unit Area
H.	- Key to Unit Tract Numbers



EXHIE	IT	<u>''</u> F		
			- (
Intection	w.	11	ní	

Operator Lease Cement Well No. Total Degth npletion Interva Location Casing Depth Cement Top Keyanee Oil Co. 0_ 804 NE NE Sec. 23 122.8 480.44 Surfac 5/8 Surface 1050 Surface 900 Surface Surface 1/2" 5/8" 1804 150 sax 450 sax 150 sax 1698-1791 1 Martin Diasta?? NW NW 23 1382-1683 1/2" 1795 2.5-Everest-Est. 5/8" 450 sax 475 sax 586 sax 62 V SE SW 1100 1760 1760 1698-1708 Everest 1849 5/8" 761 Surface 280sax 360sax 500sax 500sax Surface Surface 1630-1710 1/2" 1848 738 3 Tanning - St Ż. NE 5/8" 30281 1703-1722 1/2" Surface 汫 2 1953 🗸 Fannin 22 r NW SE 13 💰 5/8 1095 Surface Sec 450 sax 250 sax 195 sax Surface Surface 1681-1833 1/2" 1953 Leavitt 1770' 900' 1770' 1576-1722 1/2" Surface . Leavitt uge 19 5/8" 1/2" 400 eax 380 sax 475 eax Surface Surface 1742' 14 4 1100 1740 1670-1680 417 Terry Tr. 2 1700' #2 1-NW NE Sec. 14 5/8 1100 Surface 1650-1664 1/2" 5/8" 1/2" 1700 360 max Surface 1095' 1740' 450 sax 475 sax Surface Surface V Terry Tr. 3 ~ 16 17431 #3 SE 1652-1660 Standard of Texas. 5/8" 1/2" 1852' 1194 Surface 295.ax 1813-1826 1849 Surface 13 1 1772' -Leavitt 20 NW NE Sec. 5/8" 1103 Surface 1770 1693-1706 1/2" 150 .ax Surface 1754 V-Vinther SÉ SW Sec. 12 4 5/8 1678-1690 150 sax 1/2" 1754 1108 1804 Surface 400' Higgins-Unit 3 12 # 2 1810 NW 55 1/2" 300 sax 1698-1761 Swearingen Unit -Surface 1800 10 12 + SE NW Sec. 924 1684-1729 1/2 300 aax 1794 Sirface Ģ Surface 400' 5/3 1/2 Higgins-Unit 2 1198 1764 NW 200 sax 1679-1706 1759 Paul Terry 1740 NW 5/8 1209 Surface 1738 1634-1666 1/2" 150 sax 700' V E. Holland -/ł Surface 1850' NE 10 1/2" 300 sax 1513-1748 1833 400' Surface Surface Ralph Rogers **L**____ 7 ŧ 1766 #3 SE SE Sec 10 5/8 12071 1/2 1765 265 sax 1636-1693 Stroup e 3 SE NE Sec. 10 ł 1747' 5/8 1239 Surface 150 sax 1672 - 1736 1/21 3744 7001 ٤. 1197 3 Stroup SE NW Sec. 11 × Surface 1746' #2 150 sax 1539-1584 1/2 Surface 1783* y Stroup 6-3-16 NE NW Sec. 11 k 5/8 1242 Surface Surface Surface 1/2" 1782 150 sax 1696-1706 5/8" 1/2" VM. G. Johnson 4 #2 1183' 1721 .w.> 1652-1686 250 sax 1720 Surface B. C. Aaron c られい SE SW Sec. 11 5/8 1158 Surface 1710 1611-1653 1/2 1696 '50 sax 700' Mobil 700 sax 350 sax 700 sax 1. NW cw ×. 8 c / 0 ... 1219 Surface 1750 1/2" 5/8" 1750' Surface 1670-1694 Sec. 11 > 6 1797 D. E. Fanning NW SF. Surface 1654-1672 350 sax 900 sax 350 sax 1/2" 1797 Surface 5 8 4 5 # V SE NE Sec. 11 🗡 1/2" 5/8" 1/2" 1288 Surface Surface V D. E. Fanning 1772 1680-1705 V Reed Brainard 91 12 V 8 5/8" 5 1/2" SE SE Sec. 11 💐 1799 750 max 1242' Surface 1680-1700 1799 350.sax Surface

T1-

Model Bulling (#9 Auggenelande 1 7 13 Sungersen 4 14 516 #14 Kunner Tilen 121 # 18 Higgins # 21 Str

1 1

13

1.5

FX.

11

27

-

100

Stal me Nill Vanderpart # 26 Waldrop # 28



EXHIBIT "H"

Old Lease Names and New Tract Number

New Tract No.

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

Standard of Texas -> Eve Holland > R. Rogers, et al Stroup >Johnson >Aaron Mobil ---Fanning Reed-Brainard Standard of Texas ->Higgins Com. 2 Mobil -> Brainard ~ Standard of Texas ->Swearingen Comm. Vinther . M Higgins Comm. 3 Higgins Comm. 1 Simpson Terry Kewanee ->Terry Tr. 3 >Terry Tr. 2 Terry Tr, 1 >Leavitt "S" Standard of Texas - Leavitt Higgins Kewanee -> Everest > Fanning "S" Fanning "A" >Everest Est. Standard of Texas - McNatt Vandagriff > Lee Vandagriff A Waldrop Kewanee ->Martin >Rogers "A"

18

H