CASE 3853: Application of TENNECO OIL COMPANY FOR A WATERFLOOD EXPANSION, LEA COUNTY.

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

-ase Num ber Application Transcripts. Small Exhibits

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 3853 Order No. R-3444-A

APPLICATION OF TENNECO OIL COMPANY FOR A WATERFLOOD EXPANSION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on September 4, 1968, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Elvis A. Utz.

NOW, on this 12th day of September, 1968, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That by Order No. R-3444, dated July 3, 1968, the applicant, Tenneco Oil Company, was authorized to institute a waterflood project in the Mesa Queen Unit Area, Mesa-Queen Pool, by the injection of water into the Queen formation through twelve injection wells in Sections 16, 17, and 20, Township 16 South, Range 32 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant now seeks amendment of Order No. R-3444 to delete the water injection wells previously authorized in the NE/4 SW/4 of said Section 16 and the NW/4 NW/4 of said Section 20, and to authorize for water injection two wells located in the NW/4 SE/4 of Section 16 and SW/4 NW/4 of Section 20. -2-CASE No. 3853 Order No. R-3444-A

(4) That the applicant further seeks an administrative procedure whereby said project could be expanded to include additional lands and injection wells in the area of the said project as may be necessary in order to complete an efficient injection pattern; that said administrative procedure should provide for administrative approval for conversion to water injection in exception to the well response requirements of Rule 701 E-5 of the Commission Rules and Kegulations.

(5) That approval of the subject application will not violate correlative rights and should increase the efficiency of the Mesa Queen Waterflood Project and result in greater ultimate recovery of oil, thereby preventing waste.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That Order (1) of Order No. R-3444 is hereby amended to read in its entirety as follows:

"(1) That the applicant, Tenneco Oil Company, is hereby authorized to institute a waterflood project in its Mesa Queen Unit Area, Mesa-Queen Pool, by the injection of water into the Queen formation through the following-described wells in Township 16 South, Range 32 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexicoi

WELL		NO.	Unit	Section	
Tenneco-Mesa Queen Un	{t -	2	NW/4 NE/4	16	
Tenneco-Mesa Queon Un	i t -	1	NE/4 NW/4	16	
Tenneco-Mesa Queen Un:	it -	6	SW/4 NW/4	16	
Tenneco-Mesa Queen Un:	it -	16	NW/4 SB/4	16	
Tenneco-Mesa Queen Un:	it -	9	SE/4 NE/4	16	
Tenneco-Mesa Queen Un:	lt -	5	SE/4 NB/4	17	
Tenneco-Mesa Queen Un:	it -	4	SW/4 NE/4	17	
Tenneco-Mesa Queen Un:	it -	18	SE/4 SW/4	17	
Tenneco-Mesa Queen Uni	lt -	11	NE/4 SW/4	17	
Tenneco-Mesa Queen Uni		10	NW/4 SW/4	17	
Tenneco-Mesa Queen Un:		20	SE/4 SE/4	17	
Tenneco-Mesa Queen Uni		24	SW/4 NW/4	20 "	

(2) That Order (2) of Order No. R-3444 is hereby amended to read in its entirety as follows:

"(2) That the subject waterflood project is hereby designated the Tenneco Mesa Queen Waterflood Project and shall be governed by -3-CASE No. 3853 Order No. R-3444-A

esr/

the provisions of Rules 701, 702, and 703 of the Commission Rules and Regulations;

<u>PROVIDED HOWEVER</u>, that the Secretary-Director of the Commission may approve expansion of the Tenneco Mesa Queen Waterflood Project to include such additional lands and injection wells in the area of said project as may be necessary to complete an efficient water injection pattern; that the showing of well response as required by Rule 701 E-5 shall not be necessary before obtaining administrative approval for the conversion of additional wells to water injection."

(3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO IL CONSERVATION COMMISSION DAVID F

A. L. PORIER, Jr., Member & Secretary

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION P. O. BOX 2088 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501

September 13, 1968

Mr. Charles White White, Gilbert, Koch & Kelly Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 737 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

Reference is made to Commission Order No. R-3444-A, recently entered in Case No. 3853, approving the revision of Tenneco's Mesa Queen Waterflood Project.

Additional water injection shall be through the two newly authorized water injection wells, each of which shall be equipped with tubing set in a packer approximately 50 feet above the uppermost perforation. The casing-tubing annulus shall be loaded with an inhibited fluid and left open or equipped with a pressure gauge to facilitate detection of tubing, casing, or packer leakage.

As to allowable, our calculations indicate that when all of the presently authorized injection wells have been placed on active injection, the maximum allowable which this project will be eligible to receive under the provisions of Rule 701-E-3 is 1008 barrels per day when the Southeast New Mexico normal unit allowable is 42 barrels per day or less.

Please report any error in this calculated maximum allowable immediately, both to the Santa Fe office of the Commission and the appropriate district proration office.

In order that the allowable assigned to the project may be kept current, and in order that the operator may fully benefit from the allowable provisions of Rule 701, it behooves him to promptly notify

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION P. O. BOX 2088

SANTA FE. NEW MEXICO 87501

-2- SANTA FE. Mr. Charles White White, Gilbert, Koch & Kelly Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 787 Santa Fe, New Mexico

September 13, 1968

both of the aforementioned Commission offices by letter of any change in the status of wells in the project area, i.e., when active injection commences, when additional injection or producing wells are drilled, when additional wells are acquired through purchase or unitization, when wells have received a response to water injection, etc.

Your cooperation in keeping the Commission so informed as to the status of the project and the wells therein will be appreciated.

Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

ALP/D M/ir

CCI

Oil Conservation Commission Hobbs, New Mexico

Mr. D. E. Gray State Engineer Office Santa Fe, New Mexico

GOVERNOR DAVID F. CARGO CHAIRMAN

State of New Mexico Gil Conservation Commission

LAND COMMISSIONER GUYTON B. HAYS MEMBER



STATE GEOLOGIST A. L. PORTER, JR. SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

1

September 12, 1968

SANTA FE

Mr. Charles White White, Gilbert, Koch & Kelly Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 787 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Re:	Case No.		
	Order No.		
	Applicant:	-,	
	Tennego (il Company	

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith is a copy of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case. Letter pertaining to conditions of approval and maximum allowable to follow.

Very truly yours,

4 h. Sortu, h.

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

ALP/ir

Carbon copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCC <u>x</u> Artesia OCC Aztec OCC State Engineer x

Other_

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 3790 Order No. R-3444

> > الم المجركة الم

APPLICATION OF TENNECO OIL COMPANY FOR A WATERFLOOD PROJECT, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE CONNISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on June 26, 1968, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Blvis A. Utz.

NOW, on this ______ day of July, 1968, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Tenneco Oil Company, seeks permission to institute a waterflood project in its Mesa Queen Unit Area, Mesa-Queen Pool, by the injection of water into the Queen formation through twelve injection wells in Sections 16, 17, and 20, Township 16 South, Range 32 East, MMPM, Lea County, New Mexico.

(3) That the wells in the project area are in an advanced state of depletion and should properly be classified as "stripper" wells.

(4) That the proposed waterflood project should result in the recovery of otherwise unrecoverable oil, thereby preventing waste.

(5) That the subject application should be approved and the project should be governed by the provisions of Rules 701, 702, and 703 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

-2-CASE No. 3790 Order No. R-3444

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant, Tenneco Oil Company, is hereby authorized to institute a waterflood project in its Mesa Queen Unit Area, Mesa-Gueen Pool, by the injection of water into the Queen formation through the following-described wells in Township 16 South, Range 32 East, EMPM, Les County, New Mexico:

WB	LLL .	No.	Unit	Section
Tenneco-Mesa	Queen Unit	- 2	MW/4 ME/4	16
Tenneco-Nesa	Queen Unit	- 1	NE/4 NW/4	16
Tenneco-Mesa	Queen Unit	6	SW/4 11W/4	16
Tenneco-Mesa	Queen Unit	- 15-16	12/4-51/4 M	15 St. 16-
Tenneco-Hesa	Queen Unit	- 9	SE/4 HE/4	16
Tenneco-Mesa	Queen Unit	- 5	SE/4 ME/4	17
Tenneco-Nesa	Queen Unit	- 4	SW/4 MB/4	17
Tenneco-Mesa	Queen Unit	- 18	SE/4 8W/4	17
Tenneco-Mesa	Queen Unit	- 11	NE/4 SW/4	17
Tenneco-Mesa	Queen Unit	- 10	NW/4 8W/4	17
Tenneco-Mesa	Queen Unit	- 20	SE/4 SE/4	17
Tenneco-Kesa	Queen Unit	22-	-NW/4 - MW/4	20
		24	Jul Nu	2

(2) That the subject waterflood project is hereby designated the Tenneco Mesa Queen Waterflood Project and shall be governed by the provisions of Rules 701, 702, and 703 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

(3) That monthly progress reports of the waterflood project herein authorized shall be submitted to the Commission in accordance with Rules 704 and 1120 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

(4) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

DAVID F. CARGO, Chairman

GUYTON B. HAYS, Member

SEAL

esr/

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

Care 3853 Heard. 9-4-68 Rec. 9- 6-68. Thank Denness permission to Substituto 2 injection wellefor 2 which was apparent in R-3XXY. a follows. Mera cenit # 16 for # 15 L L 7 24 for # 22 #16 locald. 2310/5+ E lea. 16-165-328 # 24 - 1650/ Nj660/w \$20-165-32E. Sujection to be in Queen zone and a grand in Piz XXX Third. A.

Docket No 26-68

September 4, 1968 Examiner Hearing (Case 3852 continued)

Range 34 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to produce oil from the Abo, Middle Pennsylvanian and Morrow formations, Vacuum Field, through parallel strings of tubing.

CASE 3651:

(2)

51: (Reopened)

In the matter of Case No. 3651 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-3315, which order created the North Morton Permo-Pennsylvanian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, and established 80-acre spacing units for said pool for a period of one year. All interested parties may appear and show cause why said pool should not be developed on 40-acre spacing units.

Application of Tenneco Oil Company for a waterflood expansion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the expansion of its Mesa Queen Waterflood Project, Mesa-Queen Pool, by the conversion to water injection of two additional wells located in the SW/4 NW/4 of Section 20 and the NW/4 SE/4 of Section 16, both in Township 16 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant further seeks an administrative procedure whereby said project could be expanded to include additional lands and injection wells as may be necessary to complete an efficient injection pattern.

CASE 3854:

Application of Sinclair Oil & Gas Company for salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water into the Yates formation in the perforated interval from 3636 feet to 3700 feet in its Ballard DE Federal Well No. 6 located in Unit L of Section 22, Township 20 South, Range 34 East, Lynch Field, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 3431: (Reopened):

In the matter of Case No. 3431 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-3100-A to permit Sinclair Oil & Gas Company to show cause why its W. H. Turner Well No. 1 located in Unit L of Section 29, Township 21 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, a dual completion in the Drinkard and Blinebry Oil Pools, should not be completed in accordance with the provisions of Rule 112-A of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

CASE 3855:

Application of Sunray DX Oil Company for a waterflood project, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a waterflood project by the injection of water into the Seven Rivers formation in the interval from approximately 3693 feet to 3733 feet in its H. D. Greer Well No. 1 located in Unit C of Section 21, Township 22 South, Range 36 East, South Eunice Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 3853:



TENNECO OIL COMPANY + P. O. BOX 1031 + 1800 WILCO BUILDING + MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

August 7, 1968

Car 3853

Apr learing

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr., Secretary Director P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

Tenneco requests administrative approval to convert the following Mesa Queen Unit wells in the Mesa Queen Field, Lea County, New Mexico, to water injection service.

UNIT WELL NO. 24: Located in the SW/4 of NW/4 Section 20, Unit Tract No. 15, T-16-S, R-32-E (Formerly Coastal States Mesa Gulf No. 1-E)

UNIT WELL NO. 16: Located in the NW/4 of SE/4 Section 16, Unit Tract No. 9, T-16-S, R-32-E (Formerly Antweil Humble State No. 1-J)

The above wells will be converted to injection instead of converting <u>Unit</u> <u>Well No. 15</u> located in the NE/4 of the SW/4 of Section 16, Unit Tract No. 5b (formerly Tenneco's Mobil State No. 3-K) and <u>Unit Well No. 22</u> located in the NW/4 of NW/4 of Section 20, Unit Tract No. 14 (formerly Shell's Decleva State No. 1-D) as was originally specified in Case No. 3790 and Commission Order No. R-3444 approving the Tenneco Mesa Queen Waterflood Project which became effective on August 1, 1968. <u>Unit Wells No. 15 and 22 will probably</u> be converted to injection later in the life of the flood.

In compliance with Statewide Rule '(01, three copies of the following are enclosed:

- 1. A plat showing the unit boundary, the existing unit wells, those wells proposed for injection under Order No. R-3444, and the additional two wells desired to be converted which are the subject of this letter.
- 2. Logs of Unit Wells No. 24 and 16 with completion intervals marked and the proposed new perforations in Well No. 24.

*68 AUG 8 AN 8 31

DOCKET MAILED

Date 8/22/68

TENNECO OIL COMPANY

August 7, 1968

3. Diagrammatic sketches of the proposed injection wells showing all casing strings, amount of cerent used, intervals to be injected into, tubing, type and location of packer, and other pertinent information.

Please note on the log and schematic diagrams that we prepose to perforate an additional zone in Unit Well No. 24 prior to converting the well to injection. These new perforations are opposite a transition zone of porosity containing both oil and water. Water injection will be into both the present and new perforations below a packer on 2-3/8" tubing. The required forms will be filed with the Commission prior to the workover. No additional perforations are required in Unit Well No. 16.

We will appreciate your administrative approval of this application as soon as possible.

Very truly yours,

TENNECO OIL COMPANY

J. McDonald District Superintendent

WVP:cw

Enclosures





. .

MESA QUEEN UNIT WELL NO. 24 是目的现象 SCHUMBERGER a said 1.272 COMPANY COASTM_ STATE_ GAS_PRODUCING 5 MESA OUEEN MESA GUE # 1 COMPANY
 Jac
 <thJac</th>
 <thJac</th>
 <thJac</th>
 STATE NEW HEXICO. Other Services HLL -Twp. 165 Pgr. 32E Permanent Datum <u>GE</u> log Measured From <u>KB</u> Drilling Measured From <u>KB</u> Elev.: KB 4363.4 D.F. GI 4353.4
 Dore
 6-24-65

 Run No.
 Oxte

 Deph-Driller
 3427

 Teph-Logger
 3418

 Jam. log latersol
 3413

 Top Log latersol
 0.1

 Casing-Conternol
 0.

 Casing-Cogger
 34.13

 Top Log latersol
 0.

 Casing-Cogger
 34.2

 State
 1.7
 County Uniter 8 5/2 326 County Congret 326Bar Sta Type fluid in Hile Salt CEL Dens Vere 10.2 35 Dens Vere 10.2 4 Den т West Juas Electrical Log Service Dallas 2, Texes 4313 930 3433 ELS) REFERENCE NG392H S COMPLETION RECORD SPUD DATE COMP DATE OST RECORD \mathbb{Z}^{2} CASING RECORD مصيحهم وعدار ويدور أورار GAMMA RAY Ŧ 1 1 1 Т 100 - + + DEMHS RESISTIVITY RESISTIVITY SPONTANEOUS-POTENTIAL ohins. m^{*}/m millivolts ohms. m*/m SWSC FR 3413 SWSC TD 3418 DRLR TD 3427 Elev: COMPANY COASTAL STATES GAS PRODUCING CO. સં HESA GULF # 1 WELL K9 4363.4 DF G1 4353.4 FIELD____ HESA QUEEN COUNTY LEA STATE NEW HEXICO 1-1-1 1 8 手巨 3463-3408 EXISTING PERFURATIONS PROPOSED NEW PERFORATIONS 3412-3420 31-12 Care 3853



MESA QUEEN UNIT WELL NO. 16 11 mars 《如下》》诗 电振荡 成于 SCIILUMBERGER and the second second Manual and the second second COMPANYMORRIS R. ANTHEIL WELL HUMBLE STATE # 1 WELL HUMBLE STATE # 1 FIELD HESA OUEEN COUNTY LEA LOCATION 2310' FROM S/L COUNTY LEA LOCATION 2310' FROM S/L COUNTY LEA COUNTY LEA LOCATION 2310' FROM S/L COUNTY LEA STATE NEW HEXICO Other Servicesi Twp. 165 Rge. 32E Permonent Datum: Gt. Log Measured From KB Drilling Measured From KB Elev. 4340 10 Fl. Above Perm. Datur Elev. K.8. 4350 D.F. G.1. 4340
 Dritting Messured from 00

 Date
 1.13-03

 Bran No.
 035

 Orgh-Dritter
 3500

 Desth-Doger
 3503

 Bitm. Log Interval
 3496

 Top Log Interval
 0.

 Cating-Dritter
 0.

 Cating-Dritter
 2.378

 Bit Size
 7/8**

 Type Folin In Mole S&LY
 e 6 Type fluid in Hole SALT Dans. | Vic. 10.4 36 pH | Fluid Loss 6 7 m Source of Sample Ja. @ Mass. Temp. 045. C 75 "F Re. @ Meas. Temp. @ "F Source Act. Temp. @ "F Ime Since Circ. 2 HOURS Moa. Re: Temp. Guip. | Locoion 2519 | HOBSS Recorded By HILSON Minessed By VELED + Reproduced By West Josas Clectical Log Service Dollas 2, Texas REFERENCE N4302L COMPLETION RECORD SPUD DATE COMP DATE OST RECORD Queen 3396 Queen 3396 X + 264 **M** 3400 -Week PERFORATIONS 3412-3416 S 0 9713 + 3 2 800 Acides 4700 TP Y. a. 11250 march TAT ITAL PEADING 500 al tire 1350 34/2 +938 4357 3×16 +931 7.<u>3. </u>9. <u>1.</u> 8, 100 125 CAMMA RAY INTERVAL TRANSIT TIME MICROSECONDS PER FOOT DEPTHS Carl 3853 CONTAINT MURANE B. AMINEIL SWSC FR. 3436 SWSC TO 3503... DRLR TO 3502... E'47: Y5, 4350... WHE HUMBLE STATE #_L 6210 MESE OVERY ¥5.4353... COUNTY LEA



MR. UTZ: The hearing will come to order, please. Case 3853.

MR. HATCH: Case 3853. Application of Tenneco Oil Company for a waterflood expansion, Lea County, New Mexico. MR. WHITE: Charles White of White, Gilbert, Koch and Kelly, Santa Fe, New Mexico, appearing on behalf of the Applicant. We have one witness to be sworn.

(Witness sworn.)

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits 1 through 5, inclusive, were marked for identification.)

2

MR. UTZ: Are there any other appearances? If there are none, you may proceed.

WALTER V. PALMER

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT_EXAMINATION

BY MR. WHITE:

 ≤ 1

Q Mr. Palmer, will you state your full name for the record, by whom you are employed and in what capacity.

A My name is Walter Palmer. I'm employed by Tenneco Oil Company as a petroleum engineer.

Q Have your professional qualifications previously been accepted by this Commission as a matter of record? A They have.

Q Will you briefly state what the petitioner seeks by the application?

A Tenneco appeared in Case Number 3790, hearing held June the 26th, 1968, requesting approval of the Mesa-Queen waterflood project. The Commission issued Order R-3444 dated July 3rd, 1968, approving same project.

The present hearing seeks to amend Order R-3444 substituting Unit Wells Numbers 16 and 24 in lieu of injection wells Number 15 and 22.

Q Do you also seek administrative approval to expand the area and add additional wells?

A Yes.

Q

MR. WHITE: If the Examiner, please, at this point, we ask that you take administrative notice of the record in Case Number 3790.

MR. UTZ: I will do so.

Q Will you refer to Exhibit 1 and explain the exhibit, please?

A Exhibit 1 is a plat of the Mesa-Queen Unit showing the location of the producing and injecting wells. Also shown in red are the subject wells, Number 16 and 24.

Will you point out the injection wells 15 and 22?

A Injection Well Number 22 is the direct north offset to Well Number 24. Injection Well Number 15 is the direct west offset to the well shown in red, Number 16. They were the original wells intended for injection on the first application, 22 and 15.

Q Why does Tenneco want this substitution and what brought about the application?

A On the original application, Wells Number 16 and 24 were single wells and single tracts operated by single operators and it was not known whether they would join the unit; therefore, they were not designated as injection wells. Subsequently, those tracts have joined the unit and it is desirable to use them as injectors instead of Wells 15 and 22.

Q Will this substitution affect the water project in any way?

A It will improve the aerial sweep efficiency of the water injection pattern.

Q What is the present status of wells, 24 and 16?
A 24 and 16 are both marginal, submarginal producers.
Q Will you refer to Exhibit 2 and explain your diagrammatic sketch?

A Exhibit 2 is a schematic diagram of Unit Well Number 16, one of the wells we would like to convert to injection, showing the location of the casing and the number of sacks of cement used to cement that casing. Five and a half inch was cemented at 3530 with 150 sacks. Estimated top of the cement, 2000 feet. Fresh water to be injected down the tubing at 300 to 600 barrels of water per day and approximately 1,000 to 1500 pounds per square inch pressure below a tubing packer set approximately 50 feet above the top of the perforations. Injection will be down two and three-eighths tubing and the annulus will be loaded with corrosion inhibited fluid, and the pressure gauge set at the surface annulus or left open to observe for any leaks.

ς

MR. UTZ: Two inch tubing?

THE WITNESS: Two and three-eighths inch.

Q What type of packer will you use and what will be its location?

A Be a tension-type packer about 50 feet above the top of the perforation as shown in the diagram.

Q Will you refer to Exhibit 3 and explain that, please? A Exhibit 3 is a schematic diagram of the other well, Unit Well Number 24, showing the same type of thing that I explained on the other diagram.

Q Same type installation?

A Yes, exactly the same type installation. The only difference here between, in Well Number 24 is we propose some new perforations and some additional Queen porosity. It will be the same type of completion with the annular corrosion inhibited fluid and the packer 50 feet above the top of the perforations

1.00

and two and three-eighths inch tubing.

Q In your opinion, will this proposed installation effectively segregate the injected water from the other zones?

A Yes, it should.

Ω Will you refer to Exhibit Number 4 and 5, respectively?

6

A Exhibit Number 4 is a sonic gamma-ray log of Well Number 16, showing the perforated interval. And Exhibit Number 5 is the same thing for Unit Well Number 24, also showing the perforated interval and the proposed new perforations.

Q Proposed new perforations are 3412, 3420?

A That's right, sir.

Q Does that conclude your testimony?

A I believe that's all I have.

Ω Were these exhibits prepared by you or under your

direction?

Α

They were.

MR. WHITE: At this time, we offer Exhibits 1 through 5 and that concludes our direct.

MR. UTZ: Without objection, Exhibits 1 through 5 will be entered into the record in this case.

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits Numbers 1 through 5, inclusive were entered in evidence.)

CROSS EXAMINATION

7

BY MR. UTZ:

Q Mr. Palmer, is it your intention to set a pressure gauge at the surface in the annulus?

A Yes, sir. We'll either do that or leave it open. Most probably use a pressure gauge.

Q And this is just plain tubing, uncoated tubing?

A Yes, sir.

 Ω Injecting fresh water?

A Fresh water purchased from Double Eagle Corporation of Roswell. The injected water will be treated with an oxygen scavenger for corrosion.

MR. UTZ: Are there any other questions of the witness? He may be excused. Statements in this case? The case will be taken under advisement.

2

I	Ν	D	Е	Х	
and the local division of the local division		-			

WITNESS	PAGE
WALTER V. PALMER	
Direct Examination by Mr. White	2
Cross Examination by Mr. Utz	7

EXHIBITS

Number	Marked for Identification	Received in Evidence
Applicant's Exhibits 1 through 5	2	6

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

31

I, CHARLOTTE MACIAS, Notary Public in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; and that the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. Witness my Hand and Seal this 4th day of October, 1968.

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: February 10, 1971.

> I do hereby certify that the forngoing is a condition research the proceedings in the sections herring of the by, 3853 here of no on section 1968.

Skeniner

Ray Marico Oil Conservation Consiston

.

ss.



EXHIBIT Í CASE 3853



ETODE EXAMINER UTZ Timero CASE 100. 385-3



UNIT WELL Nº 16 Here Summe 領理權 連邦 建精精 威尔 SCHLUMBERGER a a internetione de la sec Same and the second and so a co LOMPANY HORRIS R. ANTHEH A WELL HUMBLE STATE # WELL HUMBLE STATE # HILLO. HESA QUEEN STATE # COUNTY LEA COUNTY LEA COUNTY LEA COUNTY STATE # COUNTY LEA COUNTY LEA HUMBLE STATE # 1 STATE Other Services: LOWP COUNT Sec. 16 Twp. 165 Pgr. 32E Eler. 4340 10 Fl. Above Perm. Dolut Eler. K.8 4350 D.F. G.1. 4340 Permanent Datum: GL log Misasured From KB Dritting Measured From KB
 Date
 4-11-64

 Run No.
 Qyf

 Opho-Driller
 3500

 Depth-Dogger
 1503

 Dim. Leg Interval
 1496

 Dep Leg Interval
 Qyf

 Caving-Onitler
 Qyf

 Gaing-Onitler
 Qyf

 Sin Size
 2.7/8"

 Type Fluid in Mixle
 SL1
 4-11-64 QNE 3500 Dens. Visc. 10,4 36 pH Pluid Loss 6 7 6 Source of Somple 2n. @Meeb. Tevp. 045 ff. 75 3at @Meeb. Tevp. 045 An Q Mean Teny An Q Mean Teny Source An Teny En G BAT ime Since Circ. Max. Rec. Temp. Quip. [Location 2 HOURS Locar ded By 2519 H088 Reproduced By West Joras Electrical Log Service Dallas 1, Texes REFERENCE H4302L 12 COMPLETION RECORD SPUD DATE COMP DATE · · · · DST RECORD 1 4 5 0 2 1 33 9 5 4 3 6 4 3400 BEFORE EXAMINER UTZ 5. 5h 250 S. E. C. WHEN S MARSION Tenneco existi NO. 4 CASE NO. 3853 - Jul 500 4350 3412 1938 4357 3416 +934 EXHIBIT T CASE 3853 7.3.1.1.4, 100 125 CAMMA RAY DIFINS INTERVAL TRANSIT TIME AN UNITS MICROSECONDS PER FOOT COMPANY HUPBLE.R. AUMELL SWSC #1.34.34 SWSC 10_3503.... OFLE TO_3504.... EVA: WELL MUPPLE, STATE H.A PETA OVERN Jin.

UNIT No 24 a han a SCHUUMBERGER Name and the second NY__COASTAL STATES GAS PRODUCTIO COMPANY 2112 HESA GULF # A FILD_____HES HESA DUPEN 4227 STATE NEW HEXICO 660' FROM W/L 1650' FROM N/L 20 E Other Services HLL 1wp. 165 Rge 32E Permonent Datum _____GL Log Measured From ____KB Drilling Measured From KB Eker 4353.4 10_Ft. Above Perm. Dotur Elev.: K.8. 4363.5 O.F. G.L.4351.4 6-24-65 ONE 3427 3418 3413
 Dots
 6-24-65

 Non No.
 ONE

 Depth-Drifter
 3427

 Depth-Logger
 3418

 Byn. Log Interrol
 3413

 Top Log Interrol
 3413

 Course-Order
 8.5(8).326

 Gaing-Order
 7.78°

 Starp
 Lorger
 B_S/8. 321

 Bit Stee
 7.1/8".

 Type Fluid in Hole Salt GEL:

 Dent. [Vrc.
 10.2

 Diff Fluid Ion
 1.12m

 Succe of Sample Pli
 1.2

 Succe of Sample Pli
 1.2

 Succe of Sample Pli
 1.2

 Succe of Sample Pli
 0.4 4.91"

 Succe of Sample Pli
 0.4 5.9"

 Succe of Sample Pli
 0.4 4.91"

 Succe of Sample Pli
 0.4 4.91"
 By 4 Mean Temp Source, But But In 4 But Ima Since Circ. Man. Rec. Temp. Quip [location ecorded by Witnessed by West Juras Electrical Log Service Delles 2, Texas 4363 3433 ELSI REFERENCE NG392H G CONFLETION RECORD SPUD DATE COMP DATE DST RECORD CASING RECORD GAPPIA RAY -100 - |++ TTTTTTTT BEFORE EXAMINER UTZ SPONTANEOUS-POTENTIAL DEPTHS RESISTIVITY RESISTIVITY NORSERANDH - MARSHON millivolts ohms. m*/m ohms. m*/m impleo EXHIBIT NU. 5 COMPANY COASTAL STATES GAS PRODUCING CO. CASE NO. 3853 HESA GULF # 1 WELL MESA QUEEN FIELD. LEA STATE NEW MEXICO COUNTY EXHIBIT 5 CASE 3853 i š PREPOSED NEW PERTS 3412-3420