

### STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

## RECEIVED

### MAY 2 2 1979

Oil Conservation

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 6489 Order No. R-4831-A

APPLICATION OF J. V. FRITTS AND WM. B. BARNHILL FOR REVIEW OF ORDER NO. R-4831, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

### APPLICATION FOR REHEARING

On behalf of myself and Mr. Wm. B. Barnhill, (hereinafter called "Applicants") I wish to file this Application (in triplicate) for a rehearing of the above Order, which the Commission rendered in response to my Application for a Hearing on the applicability of the 200% penalty provided in Case No. 5267, Order No. R-4831 Applicants set forth below the respects in which they contend certain Orders or Decisions of the Commission are erroneous. This Application for Rehearing is filed pursuant to Section 70-2-25(A) N.M.S.A. 1978 Comp.

Order No. R-4831-A in Case No. 6489 ordered as follows:

1. That the Application of J. V. Fritts and Wm. B. Barnhill for the opportunity to join in the drilling of the Federal "B" well No. 1 located in Unit P of Section 1, Township 18 South, Range 26 East, N.M.P.M., Atoka-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, without being subject to the 200% risk factor provided in Division Order No. R-4831 is hereby denied.

Applicants contend that this Order is erroneous because it is based upon certain underlying Findings of Fact set forth in the Order which are Erroneous. Applicants' arguments as to the erroneous nature of said findings are set out below.

ERROR No. 1

Findings 10 and 11 of Order No. R-4831-A provide as follows:

(10) That the applicants in this case had no record title to their interest in the  $E_2^1$  of said Section 1 until January 17, 1975, on which date their leases were recorded in Eddy County.

(11) That the Applicants' predecessors in interest did not have record title at the time of the force pooling case held July 10, 1974.

In the "Memorandum of Title" filed by the attorney for Mewbourne Oil Company (hereinafter called "Operator") in the present case, it is stated that the attorney rendered to Operator an original title opinion on May 1, 1974 based upon an examination of abstracts certified to from inception of records down to March 20, 1974 at In this Memorandum of Title, the attorney sets forth 8:00 A.M. the chain of conveyances wherein "record" title to Tract 218 of the Fairchild Farm Lands in E<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> Section 1 purportedly vested in Anna Brown Home for the Aged, whom the examining attorney stated to be the "record" title owner as of the date of closing of the abstracts examined. Applicants contend that the title examiner was incorrect in his conclusion. As stated in the Memorandum of Title, "record" title to Tract 218 was acquired by Minnie Warmker and Kate Warmker by Deed dated January 3, 1910, and the next conveyance of "record" affecting Tract 218 was a guitclaim deed dated April 26, 1948 in which Katherine D. Warmker and Norma Warmker quitclaimed all of their interest in Tract 218 to the Anna Brown Home for the Aged. Applicant J. V. Fritts personally examined the county records and there was never a conveyance out of Minnie Warmker; owner of the remaining one-half interest of record in Tract 218, placed of record in the county records. From a title examination standpoint, Norma Warmker was a stranger to the "record" title to this tract as reflected by the County Records, and such a conveyance would put a title examiner on notice of a possible adverse claim to the "record" title to the land under examination. Before an examining attorney could certify as to ownership of the tract in question, he would be required to make an inquiry as to the nature of the claim of the stranger to the title of an interest in the land in question, that is, whether the stranger claimed title under or adversely to Minnie Warmker, the remaining "record" title owner. Applicants contend that such an inquiry would have resulted in the discovery that Minnie Warmker had died, survived by one sister, Katherine D. Warmker, one niece, Norma Warmker, and by one nephew, C. Raymond Buxman. Under the statutes of descent and distribution in effect in New Mexico at the time of the death of Minnie Warmker, the sister, niece and nephew each inherited a 1/3 of her 1/2"record" interest in Tract 218. Accordingly, as of the date of death of Minnie Warmker, C. Raymond Buxman became vested by operation of law with an undivided 1/6 interest in Tract 218 which was not conveyed to the Anna Brown Home for the Aged.

Further inquiry would have revealed that C. Raymond Buxman subsequently died on June 29, 1948 survived by certain heirs ("Buxman Heirs") prior to the time Operator sought force pooling order in Case 5267.

Attorneys for Operator have stated both in the Memorandum of Law and orally before this Commission in the present case that the Buxman Heirs, from whom Applicants obtained oil and gas leases, owned no interest of "record" in Tract 218 as reflected from the County Records. However, as noted above, having discovered a deed from a stranger to the "record" title of Minnie Warmker in Tract 218 in the abstract covering the County records, attorneys for Operator were put on notice of a possible adverse claim to the "record" title of this tract by the stranger to the title. It has been held in New Mexico that failure by a purchaser of land to inquire of a third party who had received from his vendor a deed conveying an interest larger than that owned of "record" by the vendor at the time of the conveyance to the third party, made the purchaser guilty of gross negligence for failure to make an inquiry of the third party that in the exercise of ordinary care he was required to make, and the Court held that he could not be considered a bona fide purchaser for value as against the third party (who was claiming under an unrecorded deed). <u>Sawyer v. Barton</u>, 55 N.M. 479 (1951). See also, Johnston v. Ryan, 43 N.M. 127 at 135, where it is stated:

It is Hornbook law that whatever puts a party upon inquiry is a sufficient notice where the means of knowledge are at hand, and if a party omits to inquire he is chargeable with all the facts which by proper inquiry he might have ascertained.

When attorneys for Operator state that the interest of the Buxman Heirs in Tract 218, from whom Applicants acquired oil and gas leases, was not of "record" as of March 20, 1974 (date of abstract certificate examined) then they are mistaken, because in the exercise of ordinary care upon discovery of a conveyance from a stranger to the record title to the Anna Brown Home of the Aged, they should have made inquiry as to the reason why no conveyance was taken from Minnie Warmker, the remaining record title owner of an undivided 1/2 interest, but from a stranger to the title. In fact, without this inquiry, the attorney examining title for Operator could not logically state that Minnie Warmker was divested of her 1/2 interest owned of record in this tract by virtue of a conveyance by a stranger to the title. Appropriate inquiry by the attorney for Operator would have reflected that Minnie Warmker was dead, and was survived by certain heirs, not all of whom conveyed to the Anna Brown Home for the Aged, and that in fact a partial interest was outstanding. It does not appear that this inquiry was ever made by virtue of the fact that the examining attorney, acting on behalf of Operator, failed to take note that a stranger to the title of Tract 218, rather than a record title owner thereof, made a conveyance to the Anna Brown Home for the Aged.

Assuming that Operator was put on notice of a possible adverse claim to the title of Tract 218 by virtue of the conveyance by a stranger to the title. Applicants submit that Operator had the duty as a prudent operator to make the inquiry called for under the circumstances, but that the inquiry was never made.

-3-

It is Applicants' understanding that under prior OCC decisions, for example, Order Nos. R-2150-A, R-2151-A, R-2068-B and R-2152-A (1962) (cited in "Compulsory Pooling of Oil and Gas Interests in New Mexico" by Richard Morris, 3 Natural Resources Journal 316 (1963)) the Operator seeking a force pooling order is required to make "diligent efforts to identify and to locate all owners of interest in the proposed proration unit". It is Applicants' understanding that the Commission still requires evidence from an operator seeking a force pooling order that a diligent effort to identify and to locate all owners of interest has been made. An examination of the case file in Case No. 5267 wherein original Order R-4831 was entered force pooling  $E_{\frac{1}{2}}$ Section 1, Township 18 South, Range 26 East, would reflect that Operator did not submit, as a part of his list of names of interest owners who did not lease, the Buxman Heirs through whom Applicants claim an interest, although Operator was under a duty to exercise due diligence to find them. If Operator was required to make a diligent effort to identify and locate all owners of interest in the area sought to be force pooled, then it would seem clear that it was under a duty of due diligence to try and ascertain the identity and whereabouts of any outstanding interest owners at such time as its attorney's examination of the County records reflected a deed on Tract 218 from a stranger in title rather than from a record title owner. A cursory inquiry, or no inquiry at all, as here, would not satisfy this duty. That part of Finding No. 11 of Commission-Order R-4831-A in the present Case is erroneous when it states that the Applicants' predecessors in interest did not have "record" title at the time of the force pooling case held July 10, 1974 for the reason that Operator failed to meet its obligation to make diligent efforts to identify and locate all owners of interest in the Tract 218 area upon discovery of a title irregularity by the examining attorney. Because Finding No. 11 is erroneous, Finding No. 10 has no applicability to the Order entered in Order No. R-4831-A.

Accordingly, Applicants request the Commission to review the Order entered in Order No. R-4831-A denying relief to Applicants because the Order is based in large part on said Finding of Fact Nos. 10 and 11, and to grant a rehearing thereon.

### ERROR NO. 2:

Applicants also contend that Findings of Fact 12 and 13 of Order No. R-4831-A are erroneous for the reasons set forth below. These Findings of Fact provide as follows:

> (12) That the Notice of hearing for Case No. 5257, as in all compulsory pooling cases, was in rem, and all interest owners, whether or not of record, were notified of the case and were given the opportunity to appear and testify and to make their interest known, and assure themselves the opportunity to join in the drilling of the well without being subject to the risk penalty provisions of said Order No. R-4831.

> > -4-

(13) That the Applicants did not avail themselves of this opportunity, and their Application at this time should therefore be denied.

Order Nos. 3, and 4, in Order No. R-4831 in Case No. 5267 require that Operator furnish the Commission and each known working interest owner in the force pooled area an itemized schedule of estimated well costs, and that within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him, any nonconsenting working interest\_owner\_shall\_have the right to pay\_his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his shares of reasonable well costs out of production, and that any such paying party shall remain liable for operating costs but shall not be liable for risk charges. From personal knowledge, Applicants know that Operator never furnished the Buxman Heirs, owners of an unleased 1/6 mineral interest in Tract 218, the schedule required in Order No. R-4831. After Applicants acquired leases from the Buxman Heirs, they offered to pay Operator their proportionate part of well costs and operating expenses, but Operator at that time, and to the present, has denied them the chance to participate in this fashion. It is the position of Applicants that since Operator failed to make diligent efforts to identify and locate the Buxman Heirs, and did not furnish to them the itemized schedule of estimated well costs as required in Order No. R-4831, that at least to the extent of the nonconsent 200% penalty provision, said Order was not binding upon the Buxman Heirs. Applicants do not dispute that portion of Finding of Fact No. 12 in Order R-4831-A in the present case which states that Case No. 5267 was in rem and that all interest owners, whether or not of record, were notifed of the case and were given the opportunity to appear and testify and to make their interest known and to assure themselves of the opportunity to join in the drilling of the well without being subject to the nonconsent penalty provisions of Order No. R-4831. However, it is the contention of Applicants that Findings of Fact No. 12 and 13 in Order R-4831-A are erroneous in that they would seem to indicate that only by appearing at the original force pooling hearing could an unleased mineral interest owner, whether in this or any other force pool action, be allowed the opportunity to participate in the proposed well by paying his proportionate share of estimated well costs. These Findings of Fact would seem to ignore the prior orders of the Commission in Order No. R-4831 which required Operator to furnish known working interest owners in the force pooled area an itemized schedule of estimated well costs and a chance to participate without the nonconsent 200% penalty. Applicants state that if Operator had made the required diligent efforts to identify and locate the Buxman Heirs, as discussed above, these unleased owners would have been required to have been given an opportunity to participate by paying estimated well costs as provided in Order No. R-4831. Applicants contend that the failure by Operator to meet its duty to make diligent effort to locate and ascertain the Buxman Heirs should not thereby excuse it from the orders contained in Order R-4831 requiring a schedule of estimated well costs be furnished to the Buxman Heirs

-5-

with the opportunity to participate in the well without being subject to the 200% nonconsent penalty provision.

Accordingly, Applicants request the Commission to review the Order entered in Order No. R-4831-A denying relief to Applicants because the Order is based in part on said Finding of Fact Nos. 12 and 13, and to grant a rehearing thereon.

### ERROR No. 3

Applicants also contend that by virtue of the foregoing matters, Finding of Fact No. 9 of Order No. R-4831-A in this case should be stricken inasmuch as it has no relevance to the issue of whether Applicants should be allowed to join in the drilling of the well by paying their proportionate part of actual well costs and operating expenses without being burdened with the 200% nonconsent penalty provided in Order R-4831 in Case 5267.

Accordingly, Applicants request the Commission to review the Order entered in Order No. R-4831-A denying relief to Applicants because the Order is based in part on said Finding of Fact No. 9, and to grant a rehearing thereon.

Respectfully submitted,

J. V. Fritts

-6-

cc: Wm. B. Barnhill Mewbourne Oil Company P. O. Box 7698 Tyler, Texas

DIRECTOR

JOE D. RAMEY

# OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO P. O. BOX 2088 · SANTA FE 87501 LAND COMMISSIONER PHIL R. LUCERO

May 1, 1979



STATE GEOLOGIST EMERY C. ARNOLD

Re: Nr. J. V. Fritts Box 868 Roswell, New Mexico 88201

. .

CASE NO.

ORDER NO.

Applicant:

J. V. Fritts and Wm. B. Barnhill

6489

R-4831-A

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Yours very truly, JOE D. RAMEY Director

JDR/fd

Copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCC	X
Artesia OCC	
Aztec OCC	

Other Tom Kellahin

### STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 6489 Order No. R-4831-A

APPLICATION OF J. V. FRITTS AND Wm. B. BARNHILL FOR REVIEW OF ORDER NO. R-4831, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

#### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9:00 a.m. on March 7, 1979, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission."

NOW, on this <u>30th</u> day of April, 1979, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony presented and the exhibits received at said hearing, and being fully advised in the premises,

### FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicants, J. V. Fritts and Wm. B. Barnhill, seek the review and interpretation of Order No. R-4831 to permit them the opportunity to join in the drilling of the Federal "B" Well No. 1 located in Unit P of Section 1, Township 18 South, Range 26 East, NMPM, Atoka-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, and to determine the applicability of the 200 percent risk factor.

(3) That Case No. 5267 was heard on July 10, 1974, by a Commission Examiner upon the application of Mark Production Company to force pool the E/2 of said Section 1.

(4) That notice of said hearing was properly given by the Commission pursuant to Section 65-3-6 NMSA 1953.

-2-Case No. 6489 Order No. R-4831-A

(5) That as a result of said notice being properly given, all interest owners in the E/2 of said Section 1 were notified that the tract was the subject of a force pooling case, and were therefore given the opportunity to appear at the hearing.

(6) That as a result of said hearing, the Commission issued the aforesaid Order No. R-4831 which pooled said lands and imposed a 200 percent risk factor for those not joining in the drilling of the well within the time limits set forth in this order.

(7) That Mark Production Company drilled said Federal B Well No. 1 on a compulsorily pooled 320-acre spacing and proration unit consisting of the E/2 of said Section 1 under the terms and provisions of Order No. R-4831.

(8) That the evidence in Case No. 6489 showed that Mark Production Company spudded the Federal "B" Well No. 1 on August 30, 1974, and completed it on November 22, 1974.

(9) That neither J. V. Fritts and Wm. B. Barnhill nor their predecessors in interest in the E/2 of said Section 1 joined in the drilling of said Federal "B" Well No. 1 within said time limit.

(10) That the applicants in this case had no record title to their interest in the E/2 of said Section 1 until January 17, 1975, on which date their leases were recorded in Eddy County.

(11) That the applicants' predecessors in interest did not have record title at the time of the force pooling case held July 10, 1974.

(12) That the notice of hearing for Case No. 5267, as in all compulsory pooling cases, was in rem, and all interest owners, whether or not of record, were notified of the case and were given the opportunity to appear and testify and to make their interest known, and assure themselves the opportunity to join in the drilling of the well without being subject to the risk penalty provisions of said Order No. R-4831.

(13) That the applicants did not avail themselves of this opportunity, and their application at this time is untimely and should therefore be denied. -3-Case No. 6489 Order No. R-4831-A

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the application of J. V. Fritts and Wm. B. Barnhill for the opportunity to join in the drilling of the Federal "B" Well No. 1 located in Unit P of Section 1, Township 18 South, Range 26 East, NMPM, Atoka-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, without being subject to the 200 percent risk factor provided in Division Order No. R-4831 is hereby denied.

(2) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.



STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

ALEX J. ARMIJO, Member

la Lany Co EMERY ARNOLD Member

JOE D. RAMEY, Member & Secretary

SEAL

dr/

· · ·			-		
			Page	<b>1</b>	
	1	STAT	E OF NEW MEXICO		
			D MINERALS DEPAR	TMENT	
. 4	2		ERVATION COMMISS		
			and Office Build	ing	
_	3		a Fe, New Mexico 7 March 1979		
	4		/ Match 1979	1	
		CO	MMISSION HEARING		
	5				
	6				
	Ŭ	IN THE MATTER OF:	Ś		
	7		, )	•	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application of		CASE	
	8	and William B.		6489	1
	9.	review of Order Eddy County, Ne			
	-	Lucy councy, Ne	)		
Õ 🖬 🗒 🗸	10				
V V V	11				
		BEFORE: Commissioner Ram Commissioner Arn			
	12	COMMITSSTORET ALL		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	13				
		TRAN	SCRIPT OF HEARIN	G	
	14				
	15				
	15		PEARANCE	C ·	
	16	AP	PEARANCE		
			12		
	17	For the Oil Conservation	Lynn Teschendor		
	18	Commission:		cr the Commission	
			State Land Offi Santa Fe, New M		
	19		build rey new i	CA100 07500	
An Bearland (1997) An Thursday (1997) An Thursday (1997)					
	20	For the Applicant:	J. V. Fritts, p	oro se	
	21		·	÷	
	22	For Mewbourne Oil Co.:	W. Thomas Kella		ļ
an an an an Araba an Araba An Araba an Araba Agus an Araba an Araba	23		KELLAHIN & KELL	AHIN	]
· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			500 Don Gaspar Santa Fe, New M	levico 87501	
	24		Danita re, New M	CATCO 01JOT	
			-		[
	25			2	
	e a				
	-				1
ter en	ي روينه هيو وينه الانه	a sha ta baya na sana ang sana	م مى مەربىيە مەربىيە		
			<ul> <li>Contract of the second sec second second sec</li></ul>	ghat an suis the State of State of States	
		•		λ.	
				•	
			÷		

Statement by J. V. Fritts Questions of Mr. Fritts by Mr. Kellahin A DONALD G. HADEN Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin Cross Examination by Mr. Fritts Questions of Mr. Fritts by Mr. Stamets EXHIBITS Mewbourne Exhibit One, Decree Mewbourne Exhibit Two, Letter Mewbourne Exhibit Three, Document Mewbourne Exhibit Four, Document Mewbourne Exhibit Five, Document Mewbourne Exhibit Six, Document Mewbourne Exhibit Seven, Document Mewbourne Exhibit Eight, Document Mewbourne Exhibit Nine, Document Mewbourne Exhibit Ten, Document Mewbourne Exhibit Eleven, Document Fritts Exhibit One, Document 

### INDEX

s (

ALTON BO

₹ ≻ Page

MR. RAMEY: We'll call next Case 6489. 2 Application of J. V. Fritts and William B. Barnhill for 3 review of Order No. R-4831, Eddy County, New Mexico. Ask for appearances at this time. 5 MR. FRITTS: I'm Mr. Fritts. I am not an 6 attorney so you'll kind of have to tell me what to do. 7 MR. RAMEY: All right. 8 MR. KELLAHIN: I'm Tom Kellahin of Santa Fe, 9 New Mexico, appearing on behalf of Mark Production Company, 10 and its successor in interest, Mewbourne Oil Company. 11 MR. RAMEY: Will all those that are going 12 to testify please stand at this time? 13 (Witnesses sworn.) 14 MR. RAMEY: All right, Mr. Fritts, I think 15 you will go on first. You can tell your story. 16 MR. FRITTS: All right, sir. 17 My name is J. V. Fritts and my address is 18 Box 868 in Roswell. 19 I own an undivided 1/12th working interest 20 in a 5-acre tract described as Tract 218 of Fairchild Farm 21 Lands, which is a part of a producing unit of 320 acres in 22 the east half of Section 1, 18 South, 26 East, Eddy County, 23 New Mexico.

William B. Barnhill owns an interest equal

to mine.

24

25

÷3

Our working interests have been confirmed by Court decree and a copy of the final decree has been furnished to the Commission and to Mark Production.

2

3

5

R

7.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SALLY WALTON BOYD CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 3030Plara Blanca (505) 471-3463 Senta Fe, New MoxIon 57501 Order No. R-4831 ordered the pooling of all mineral interests in this unit and designated Mark Production Company as operator. It also provided that any nonconsenting working interest owner be afforded the opportunity to pay his share of the estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well cost out of the production.

Neither I nor Barnhill or our predecessors in interest were ever furnished with an itemized schedule of estimated well cost or with an itemized schedule of actual well costs within ninety days following the completion of the well.

We object to being charged the penalty of 200 percent of well costs for risk involved, since we were never afforded the opportunity of paying our share in lieu of paying out on production.

We request an order allowing us to participate without a penalty in the actual well costs of the Federal "B" Well No. 1, located on this unit, and we ask that the operator be directed to pay us for 100 percent of the gross production allocated to our interests less our pro rata share of taxes deducted, and they should be

	Page
1	directed to furnish us a statement of actual well costs,
2	operating expenses, and the actual expenditures attributed
3	to our working interest.
4	And we also ask that all proceeds which have
5	not been disbursed within, say, fifteen days from date of
6	this order shall be placed in escrow in Eddy County, and
7	operator to furnish us with the detailed accounting of such
8	funds, together with name and address of escrow agent.
9	MR. RAMEY: Any questions? Mr. Kellahin?
10	
11	QUESTIONS BY MR. KELLAHIN:
12.	Q. Mr. Fritts, you indicated that you had a
13	Court decree perfecting yours and Mr. Barnhill's interest
14	in the 5 acres within the east half of Section 1.
15	Do you have a copy of that Court decree
16	here: today?
17	A Yes, I do. Also, the Oil Conservation
18	also has a copy.
19	Q. All right.
20	A. We just can use it?
21	Q I don't think there's any problem with that.
22	Mr. Fritts, I show you what I've marked as
23	Newbourne Oil Company's Exhibit Number One, and ask you if
24	that is a true and correct copy of the final decree to which
25	you made reference?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

SALLY WALTON BOYD CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 3131 Plana (501) 471-4443 Banta P. Nor Mordoo 81161 Ł

Yes, sir. t A. 2 Q That final decree was entered on the 12th day of June, 1978, was it not? 3 4 A. I'll take your word for it. Yes, sir, that's apparently what it says. 5 Q. All right. The forced pooling order which 6 7 you referred to was entered on July 30th, 1974, is that not 8 correct, Mr. Fritts? ĝ Ā. Yes. 10 Now, after July 30th, 1974, do you know Q. 11 when the well was completed? 12 Completion was reported on December the A. 13 28th, 1974. 14 The 28th of December, 1974 the well in the Q. 15 east half of Section 1 was completed. 16 Yes, A. 17 All right. When did you first acquire a Q, 18 record title ownership in the east half of Section 1? 19 I believe in -- I acquired my interest by A. 20 I believe seven oil and gas leases dated December the 26th, 21 1974. 22 Am I correct in saying that as of the date Q 23 of the forced pooling order, and as of the date of the com-24 pletion of the well pursuant to that order, neither you nor 25 Mr. Barnhill had an interest recorded with regards to the

WALTON BOY

ALLY W EATIFIED SH 20 Plante Bu

Page .....

7

east half of Section 1?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

ð

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ALTON BOYD

A. Let me get straight, now, you're asking if
 I have a recorded interest after the forced pooling order?
 Q. That's correct, yeah.

A. And prior to the completion of the well?Q. That's right.

A. No.

Q. All right. From whom did you obtain your interest in the east half of Section 1? You said you had seven oil and gas leases in this area.

A. Yes.

Q. Could you go down each one of those, indi-cate the date of the conveyance and from whom you receivedit?

A. All right. There are seven oil and gas leases and all of them are dated December 26th, 1974.

And I think I'm safe in saying that they're all recorded the same date, January the 17th, 1975.

Q. January 17th, 1975, they were placed of

record in Eddy County, is that correct?

A. Right.

Q. All right, sir. Would you go slowly now

-- either the lessor or the grantor in each

and list --

All right.

25

0.

A.

	? A. Q.	May I give you a little background?	:
	Q.		
Ø.,		Yes.	
	A.	This tract of land was deeded in 19	10 to
two women,	Minr	ie Warmker, W-A-R-M-K-E-R, and Kate	Warmker,
two sister	s.		
r		Minnie Warmker died in 1940. There	is no
probate in	Eddy	County.	
 ا سی	Q.	She apparently died intestate?	· · ·
	A.	Died intestate. In fact, she died	in Illi-
nois.			· . ·
		She left as her heirs three people:	Katheri
Warmker, w	ho is	the same person as Kate Warmker who	already
has a half	inte	rest.	
	Q.	That was a surviving sister?	
	A.	Right.	
	Q.	All right,	:
	A.		t a 1/3
of her hal	f to	Katherine Warmker.	
		She left 1/3 of her half, or a 1/6t	h, to
Norma Warm	ker,	who was a child of a deceased brothe	r, Henry
And there	were	no probates record in Eddy County on	those
people.			
	Q.	Okay.	
	<b>A.</b> /		r 1/6th,
	probate in nois. Warmker, w has a half of her hal Norma Warm And there people.	probate in Eddy Q. A. nois. Warmker, who is has a half inte Q. A. Q. A. of her half to Norma Warmker, And there were	Minnie Warmker died in 1940. There probate in Eddy County. Q. She apparently died intestate? A. Died intestate. In fact, she died in nois. She left as her heirs three people: Warmker, who is the same person as Kate Warmker who has a half interest. Q. That was a surviving sister? A. Right. Q. All right. A. So she left 1/3rd of Minnie left of her half to Katherine Warmker. She left 1/3 of her half, or a 1/6t Norma Warmker, who was a child of a deceased brothe And there were no probates record in Eddy County on people.

SALLY WALTON BOYD CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 1010 Place Banca (105) 471-3463 Santa Fe, New Mortice 51501 )

	Page
	in the 5 acres, to C. Raymond Buxman, B-U-X-M-A-N, and he
	was the only child of a deceased sister, Louisa C. Buxman.
· ·	3 Shall I go through it would be simpler
	if I'd just go through the title with you right now, is that
	5 all right?
	6 Q. Well, let me ask you a question at this
	7 point.
	8 A. All right.
	9 Q. Minnie died in 1940 and there was no pro-
у с. ;	
OYD MTER 4163	• bate of her estate.
	A. Right.
	2 Q When did the heirs of Minnie Warmker first
	<sup>3</sup> evidence by recorded instrument in Eddy County any interest
SALL CERTIFI Senti	4 in the east half of Section 1?
	5 A. There were no recorded instruments, to my
	6 knowledge.
	7 Q. Okay.
	8 A. You're talking about, now, the heirs of
	9 Minnie?
	A. Okay.
	2 Q All right?
	A. All right, now, you ready to start out
	again?
	5 Q. Uh-huh.

				Page	10
· ×	······································	1	A. C. Raymond Bu	xman died in 1947.	He died
		2	intestate. Now, his interest	, forget it for a	moment, and
		3	let's go back to Kate Warmker	, who had 1/2 inte	rest in
	/	4	her own right.		~
		<b>5</b>	Q. She was Minni	e's sister back in	1910.
		<i>.</i> 6	A. She was sh	e was one of the t	wo people on
		7	that deed.	·	
		8	Q. Okay.		
		9	A. Kate Warmker,	in her own right	and as an
, A	MTEN -1463 601	10	heir of Minnie Warmker, gave	a deed to the Anna	Brown Home,
	40 MEPO	111	and that was in 1948.		
	HORTHAN Minea (G Naw Mu	12	Q. This is the A	anna Brown Home for	the Aged in
- TLY W	Place Bi Acta 70.	13	Illinois.		
SAL S	CENT 1010	14	A. Right; right.	а. <sup>1</sup> 1	
, , , ,		15	Q. All right.		
1		16	A. That's now ca	alled something els	е.
		17	Norma Warmker	, who had inherite	d 1/3rd of
: :-		18	1/2, or a 1/6th, from Minnie,	, gave a deed to th	e Anna Brown
<u></u>		19	HOme for the Aged.	• •	
		20	Q. And when did	that deed appear o	f record?
	•	21	A. That was the	same deed, in fact	•
		22	Q. The 1948 deed	1?	
inter a		23	A. Right.		
~		24	Q All right.		
		25	A. That is all t	the interest that t	he Anna Brown
				e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	

Pan Home for the Aged ever acquired, 1 My interest comes, now, from the heirs of 2 C. Raymond Buxman, who died in 1947, intestate. 3 He left a widow. He left a son, Howard. He left a daughter, Irma Neece; a son, Warren Buxman; a 5 daughter, June Schreacke. He left a son, Charles Buxman, 6 He left a son, Eugene Buxman. He left a son, Roger Buxman. 7 8 Now then, I've got to go back. The son, 9 Howard Buxman, died and left no children but left a surviving 10 widow, Emma. 11 Am I going too fast for you? 12 No. Q. 13 Charles Buxman died, was never married, left A. 14 no children, so by law his interest passed to his mother, 15 Ella Buxman. 16 Roger Buxman, son of C. Raymond Buxman, 17 died intestate. He left his widow, a son, and a daughter. 18 I'll give you their names, if you'd like. 19 No, that's all right. I don't think that's Q. 20 important to us. 21 So there are no probates on any of these A. °22 people. 23 All right, it is that chain of title and Q. 24 those interests to which you perfected title as a result of 25 the quiet title suit --

WALTON BOY WALTON BOY SHORTHAND REPORT BARDER (606) 411-24 0, New MONTON 5150

		Page 12
	1	A. That's right.
	2	Q and the final decree entered on 12 June
	3	'78 in Eddy County Cause CV 78-133.
	4	A. Correct.
	- 5	Q. Okay.
	6	A. Now you asked me a question where did I get
	7	my title. Shall I give that to you?
	8	I have seven oil and gas leases from these
	9	people. I have an oil and gas lease from Ella Buxman, who
4 8 2 0 1 0 1	10	is a surviving widow of C. Raymond Buxman.
) 471-5 100-875	-11	Q. Okay.
Nex (60)	12	A. I say that she owns 1/4 of 1/6th that she
rte Bler No. N	13	inherited from her husband, and she has 1/7th of 3/24ths
3020 Plant	14	from her son, Charles, who died leaving no children and
	15	no widow. Yeah, no widow and no children.
	16	I have an oil and gas lease from Irma Buxma
	17	Neece, N-E-E-C-E. I say that she owned 1/7th of 3/24ths
÷.	18	interest from inheritance.
	19	I have an oil and gas lease from Emma Buxma
	20	Her interest came by inheritance from Howard Buxman and
	21	she owns 1/7th of 3/24ths interest.
	22	I have an oil and gas lease from Eugene
· · ·	23	Buxman, who inherited 1/7th of a 3/24ths from his father,
	24	C. Raymond Buxman.
14-j	25	
		A lease from Warren Buxman, who inherited
	•	

Ł

13 the 1/7th of a 3/24th interest from his father. 2 A lease from June Schreacke. She was a 3 daughter of C. Raymond Buxman and she inherited 1/7th of a 3/24ths. 5 I have an oil and gas lease from Alma Gloor, 6 G-L-O-O-R. She was formerly Alma Buxman. She's a surviving 7 widow of Roger Buxman, and she inherited 1/4th of 1/7th of a 3/24ths for her interest. 9 This same lease was executed by Ronald 10 deWayne Buxman, son of Roger Buxman, deceased. His interest, 11 good gracious, 1/2 of a 9/672, if I can ease this a little. 12 And his sister, Vicky Lynn Buxman, who had an equal interest, 13 the two of them together inherited 3/4ths of 1/7th of a 14 3/24ths interest, and it came from their father, Roger 15 Buxman. 16 And I say with those seven leases that --17 that I have a lease from all the mineral owners of the Ray-18 mond Buxman. í9 Now, you've supplied this final decree in Q. 20 your quiet title suit to Mewbourne Oil Company, have you 21 not? 22 A. I have. 23 And as a result of supplying that to Mew-Q. 24 bourne Oil Company, they have had prepared Division order

title opinions and Division orders, showing what your fraction

25

14

interest is in the east half of Section 1.

A. That's right.

Q. And there's no disagreement between you and Mewbourne Production about what that fractional interest is as of this date.

A. That's right, there's no disagreement.
Q And you have received all your money, with the exception of that portion being held with regards to the risk factor. You've received all other monies --

A. No, I won't go with you on that.

Q. Okay, tell me what you've received and what you have not received.

A. You mean in money?

Q Not in dollars but in general terms. Are you being paid sums by Mewbourne Oil Company for production from this well?

A. I'm being paid sums since about -- well,
 I'm receiving payments from them now.

Q. Okay.

⊴20

21

22

23

24

25

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

ALTON

A. For my -- for my working interest, and for another interest, which has no connection here.

Q. I'm only interested in the working interest ownership in regards to Tract 218.

A. And as far as I know, those payments are in order.

Page \_\_\_\_\_

15

The argument comes from June, '75, which was the date of first production. The fact of the matter is, I have an argument with Mewbourne on the way they're paying me, which is in addition to what I'm trying to establish here, and I don't think the Commission wants to fool with it, but I'll be glad to explain it, if it's necessary.

From June of '75 when the first production commenced through June of 1978 is the area of argument. I argue that they should not have assessed

me 200 percent.

Q All right, let me ask you this. How much money is involved in this 200 percent?

A. I wrote them a letter setting out what I thought was due me, and do you have a copy of it?

Let me just mention my other controversy and then we can forget about it.

Q. Well, let's not clutter the record, Mr. Fritts, unless it's relevant to the case here.

A. Well, it's not relevant until you ask me about total value which I think is due me, so in addition to the 200 percent penalty, I argue that they haven't paid me all the gas that was produced.

Q. Okay. Apart from that, I would like you to take a copy of what I've marked as Exhibit Number Two, which is your letter dated October 6, 1978, and indicate

NALTON BO HORTHAND NEPON HALL (111) 111-New Merico 871 2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	to me what the dollar amount is in controversy.
2	A. You mean after we the bottom line, is
3	that what you're interested in, or shall I go through the
4	whole-thing?
5	Q. Just the bottom line.
6	A. Roughly \$1580.00.
7	Q. As to your interest.
8	A. As to my interest and the same for Mr
9	Q And how about Mr. Barnhill?
10	A. The same to him.
11	Q. About \$1500.00 to Mr. Barnhill.
12	A. Right.
13	Q. Okay. Now I'm curious as to what first
14	led you, Mr. Fritts, to attempt to get these leases from
15	
16	
17	started checking records in the general area, particularly
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	looks like a good area for gas production, let's see if we
24	
25	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

<u>11</u>		
- 1		Page 17
	1	checked as far east as Section 6 of 18 South, 25 East, and
	2	it included Section 1. I made a take-off on it and I found
	3	immediately that your company had not leased the Buxman
	4	heirs, and I called them and made a deal with them, and I
	5	did it to make money.
	6	Q. At that point, Mr. Fritts, were you aware
	7	that a well had been completed in Séction 1?
Э	8	A. I was not.
	9	Q. You were not aware of that?
	10	A. I started checking before we started
<b>N BO</b> <b>11</b> <b>11</b> <b>11</b> <b>11</b> <b>11</b> <b>11</b> <b>11</b> <b>1</b>	11	checking before they formed it, and let me throw this in.
	12	Your company did not record the unitized agreement or a copy
LLY W FIED SH FIED SH FIET BL	13	of this forced pooling agreement until seven, oh, seven or
SAI CENT	14	eight months after I recorded my interest.
	15	So the records showed no evidence of forced
	16	pooling or even the fact that they were going to drill a
	17	well.
	18	Q. Did you acquire any surface ownership in
	19	the east
	20	A. I did.
	21	Q half of Section 1?
	22	A. I did.
	23	Q And when did you obtain the surface owner-
	24	ship?
	25	A. Well, let me let me stop you now. This
na na sana 19 Maria Maria		

٢٢.

e transforma da estas

. . . . .

is an entirely different chain of title.

Q. Okay, When did you acquire that interest?
A. A warranty deed was executed February the
7th, 1975. It was executed by the Good Samaritan Home.
It was executed to William B. Barnhill and it covered all
of the surface rights owned by the Good Samaritan Home plus
some mineral interest but your question was about the surface.

18

Page

Q That's right. At the time you acquired the surface interest did you make any inspection of the surface? A. I did not. Would you like to know why I didn't? Or does it matter?

Q. I don't think it matters, Mr. Fritts. You took it subject to the fact that their well was present located on the property and had you desired to do so, you could have inspected and found the well.

A. I suppose that's true. This is located in the flood plains of the Pecos River, and I --

Q. Mr. Barnhill is a geologist, is he not?A. Yes.

Q. And what is your -- do you have any expertise in oil and gas?

A. Not really. I'm a broker of oil and gas leases.

Q. But you consider yourself experienced in

SALLY WALTON-130YD CERTFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 1040 Plaza Blance (505) 411-345 Santa Fe, New Mexico 37601 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

-11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

19 matters of leasing oil and gas property? 2 I do. A. 3 Q As a reasonably prudent man with experience in oil and gas leasing, would you not examine the records 5 before the Oil Conservation Commission or one of its Divi-6 sions in the District Office with regards to what production 7 may have been completed or what wells may have been drilled 8 on any acreage in which you were interested? 9 I haven't ever done it in my life. A. 10 Q. . But those records are available to you 11 should you desire to look at them, are they not? 12 Certainly. A. 13 And you knew that at the time you acquired Q. 14 this interest. 15 I may have known it but I didn't --A. 16 You didn't do it. Q. 17 -- consider it necessary. I think you're A. 18 wrong but I can't argue with a well-known attorney. I 19 think that has to be recorded in the county to be of notice 20 to me. 21 MR. KELLAHIN: I believe I have no other 22 questions for Mr. Fritts. 23 MR. RAMEY: Any other questions for the 24 witness? He may be excused. 25 MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Ramey, I'd like to discuss

Page \_\_\_\_\_ 20

with you, before I present my case, some problems I have with this particular application.

1

2

7

8

g

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ica (505) W Mexico

ALTON

Mewbourne Oil Company would very much like the Commission to dispose of this matter in one way or another, but I'm concerned that the Commission lacks jurisdiction based upon what Mr. Fritts has told us in which to give him the type of relief he seeks.

It appears to me that the way the advertisement is written, based upon Mr. Fritts' letter of application, that he's seeking a review and interpretation of the forced pooling order, and I think fundamentally the Commission or Division lacks jurisdiction to review and interpret his forced pooling order. That's within the provence of the District Court.

In addition, it becomes very apparent that we are in a controversy as identified by Lynn Teschendorf, General Counsel for the Division, in her letter to Mr. Fritts, dated on February 9th, 1979, in which she indicates, "I've received your application for a hearing on certain matters pertaining to the above referenced case; however it appears that there may be some dispute as to record title and lease ownership of the 5-acre tract involved.

The Division has no authority to adjudicate titles or make determinations of ownership, but if you can come to some agreement with the operator, Mark Production Company, or obtain a Court decree as to the interests in this tract, I can proceed to docket this case."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21:

22

23

24

25

/ WALTON BOYD SHORTHAND REPORTER Blanca (665) 171-2462 Fe, New Mexico 575601 Page

Our problem is I think that the Commission is being asked to determine the status of the record title ownership as of the date of the forced pooling hearing and as of the date of the order.

Now, you'll have evidence that I'll bring to you that indicates that we believe the record title ownership as of the date of the hearing was, at least of record, in the Anna Brown Home for the Aged, and that that acreage was under lease to Felmont Oil Corporation.

Now Felmont, subsequent to the hearing, acceded to the order. They consented to the order and to the penalty factor. It was only subsequent, in June of 1978, some four years after the forced pooling order, that Mr. Fritts and Mr. Barnhill perfected their record title ownership in the property.

Mr. Fritts has just testified that from 1940, I guess, which is the death of Minnie Warmker, the sister of Kate, that there was nothing of record to clue the operator that either Mr. Fritts, Mr. Barnhill, or any of the Buxman heirs, had any record title ownership in this property. For some, whatever it was, thirty-four years there is nothing in the record to indicate that the ownership is otherwise than in the Anna Brown Home.

21

Now I don't believe the Commission has ever required any operator to go out and attempt to search for the unknown heirs of some party that may or may not have an interest in the acreage to be pooled. Ke've done this a number of times for Cities Service in Carlsbad, in which there are city lots in which the ownership is not even known. The procedure is to escrow those funds subject to the penalty factor and that if in a period of ten years the parties do not claim that interest, it escheats to the State, but it escheats to the State subject to the operating penalty risk factor.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

îi

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WALTON BOYD SHORTHAND REPORTER BRICH (805) 471-3462 Pe. New Messico 3720162 22

Page

We believe that the situation in here is similar to that, in that Mr. Fritts and Mr. Barnhill have now perfected by Court decree their interest in the property, and they are to receive their percentage interest, based upon the Division order written on this final decree.

Mr. Fritts indicates he has no problem with the percentage he's being paid. His question concerns whether the risk factor applies. It is our contention that it does; that first of all, the Commission should not adjudicate what the record title ownership is as of the date of the hearing, but even if you do attempt to take jurisdiction over that matter, why, it appears to me that it would have taken herculean efforts to determine who these unknown interests were, and that's not the operator's obli-

n en	1	Page 2.5
$\sim$	1	gation.
	ہ 2	But before we present our case, and to
	- 3	simply keep this case as simple as possible, we believe
	4	
		that the Commission at this point lacks jurisdiction to
	5	adjudicate the ownership as of the date of the forced pooling.
	6	MR. RAMEY: You may be right, Mr. Kellahin,
<u>.</u>	7	but I would like to hear what your witness has to say and
	8	get a complete record on this, and then if we don't have
	9	jurisdiction we will we will come out with an order such.
	10	MR. KELLAHIN: I'd like to call Mr. Donald
	11	Haden, please.
Keed Strange	12	
WAI Blance	13	DONALD G. HADEN
SALLY CERTIFIEC Sonte Place	14	
		being called as a witness and having been duly sworn upon
	15	his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:
	16 sz.	
	17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	18	BY MR. KELLAHIN:
	19	Q. Mr. Haden, would you indicate what your
	20	name is?
	21	A. My name is Donald G. Haden.
	c 22	
.1 	23	Q How do you spell your last name?
	24	A. H-A-D-E-N.
		Q. Mr. Haden, how are you employed?
	25	A. I'm District Manager for Mewbourne Oil

. .

· · · · ·

Page

24

Company in Midland, Texas, office,

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SALLY WALTON BOYD. CERTFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 2030 Place Blance (005) 471-2462 State FC, New Mexico 81601 Q. What are your duties as District Manager for Mewbourne Oil Company?

A. Well, primarily to oversee the exploration effort in western Texas and southeastern New Mexico and the Panhandle, including buying oil and gas leases, examining titles, and curing them, et cetera.

Q. Mr. Haden, what is the relationship between Mark Production Company and Mewbourne Oil Company?

A. That was simply a name change from Mark Production Company to Mewbourne Oil Company.

Q. Do your duties as District Manager for Mewbourne Oil Company include keeping track of correspondence and documents concerning wells drilled by Mewbourne Oil Company in Eddy County, New Mexico?

A Yes, it does.

Q. And do you maintain a record of the drilling and correspondence with regard to the Federal "B" No. 1 Well, located in the east half of Section 1, Township 18 South, Range 36 East, Eddy County, New Mexico?

A. Yes, we do.

Q. And does part of that record include certain documents and title opinions concerning the record title ownership of the working interest of the east half of Section 1? Yes, it does.

Q And are those documents and opinions obtained by you in the regular course of your business for Mewbourne Oil Corporation?

Page

25

A. Yes, it is.

Ă.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SALLY WALTON BOYD CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 2032Plaza Blanca (605) 471-1462 Santa Pe, New Mexico 67501 Q. Have you brought with you certain records concerning the record title ownership for the east half of Section 1, specifically with regards to Tract Number 218?
A. Yes, I have.

Q I show you what I have marked as Mewbourne Oil Company Exhibits Three through Eleven and ask you if those are documents which you're referred to concerning the ownership and title questions with regard to the east half of this section?

A. Yes, it is.

MR. KELLAHIN: If the Commission please, we move the introduction of Exhibits Three through Eleven. MR. RAMEY: They will be admitted.

Q Now, Mr Haden, let's go through those documents, if you please, and would you identify what Exhibit Number Three is?

A. Exhibit Three is the Order No. R-4831, being the forced pooling order, dated July 30th, 1974.

Q. Okay. Would you please refer to Exhibit Number Four and identify it?
26 Exhibit Four is a letter dated October 5th, 1 A. 1974, from Mewbourne Oil Company to Felmont Oil Corporation, 2 3 furnishing them an estimated well cost of the Federal "B" No. 1. 5 Refer to Exhibit Number Five and identify Q 6 it. It's a letter dated August the 6th, 1974, 7 8 from Mewbourne Oil Company to the New Mexico Oil Conservation 9 Commission furnishing them with an itemized schedule of 10 SALLY WALTON BOYD. CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 30202 BADGA (505) 471-2462 Santa Fe, New Mexico 81501 estimated well cost for the Federal "B" No. 1. 11 Q. Will you refer to Exhibit Number Six and .12 identify it? 13 This is a letter dated August the 6th, A. 14 1974, from Mewbourne Oil Company to Felmont Oil Corporation, 15 furnishing them with two copies of an operating agreement 16 for the Federal "B" No. 1. 17 Please refer to Exhibit Number Seven and Q 18 identify that. 19 That's a letter from Felmont Oil Corporation A. 20 to Mark Production Company dated August the 9th, 1974, rela-21 tive to the Federal "B" No. 1, acknowledging receipt of the 22 invoice and the AFE. 23 Q. Okay. 24 And acknowledging the operating agreement, A. 25 I guess that's it.

Q. All right, Exhibit Number Eight, now.
A. Exhibit Number Eight is a form filed with the United States Department of the Interior relative to Federal "B" No. 1, showing the location, the spud date of August the 30th, 1974, the date the total depth was reached on September 28th, 1974, and the date of completion of the well on November 22nd, 1974.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

.11.

.12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

LY WALTON BOYD FIED SHORTHAND REPORTER TAZA BLANCA (505) 471-2462 (ta Fe, New Morido: 57101 Q. Refer to Exhibit Number Nine and identify it.
A. That's a letter from Felmont Oil Corporation
to Mark Production Company, dated March the 12th, 1975,
acknowledging that they have been force pooled in the unit
and enclosing a copy of their lease from the Anna Brown
Home Unit, dated August the 9th, 1973.

Okay. Exhibit Number Ten.

A. Exhibit Number Ten is a letter dated December 22nd, 1978, from our attorney, Tevis Herd, with the law firm of Cotton, Bledsoe, Tye, Morrow, and Dawson in Midland, directed to Mr. J. V. Fritts, advising him that in his opinion that the record title reflected that the ownership was in Felmont at the time of the forced pooling order.

Q. Would you refer to Exhibit Number Eleven and identify it?

A. Exhibit Number Eleven is a memorandum of title by Tevis Herd, relative to the history of the title

28 Page 4 on the Federal "B" No. 1, 2 MR. KELLAHIN: That concludes my examination 3 of Mr. Haden. MR. RAMEY: Any questions of the witness? 5 MR. FRITTS: Please. 6 MR. RAMEY: Mr. Fritts, 7 MR. FRITTS: I have just a few. 8 9 CROSS EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. FRITTS: 11 Do you have a copy of your Division order Q, 12 at hand? 13 A. Yes, I do. 14 MR. KELLAHIN: Which one do you have? 15 Well, there's two. All I have were just A. 16 excerpts, so it will be requirement number sixteen of title 17 opinion Number 3046-A, and a copy of the third supplemental 18 Division order, opinion Number 3046-D. 19 Q, The very first sentence under requirement 20 Number Sixteen, it says, "Title to Tract 218 was acquired" 21 by Minnie Warmker and Kate Warmker." And it goes on to 22 describe the deed. 23 Under requirement on page eighteen of that 24 same title opinion, under requirement B, it says, "An in-25 vestigation should be made concerning the Minnie Warmker."

Y WALTON BC) D SHORTHAMD REPOR & Blanca (606) 171-Fe, New Mesidoo 875

I

Ł

2

2

ł

	1	I guess my question would be, did you carry							
	2	out that investigation?							
	3	A. I'm not in a position to answer that because							
	4	I was not employed by Mcwbourne at the time this opinion							
	5	was prepared.							
	6	Q. Then let's go on to on December the 19th,							
	7	1975, the third supplemental Division order, opinion Number							
	8	3046-D.							
	9	And that seems to be in the form of a letter							
	10	to Mark_Production.							
	11	MR. KELLAHIN: What's the date of that, Mr.							
	12	Fritts?							
	13	Q. December the 19th, 1975. This is, by the							
	14	way, more than a year after the well was completed.							
	15	On page two, skip down to where you see the							
	16								
	. 17	Good Samaritan Home owned an undivided 5/6ths mineral in-							
	18	toroct in Tract 218 at the time it executed the lease dated							
	19	August the 9th, 1973, to Felmont Oil, and that the remaining							
	20	the marious portions by my							
	2								
	2	2 Do you dispute that?							
	2	A. Dispute his statement here?							
	2	Q. Yes. Do you say that I did not own the							
		25 1/6th working interest?							

SALLY WALTON BOYD. CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 8030 Plaza Blacce (506) 471-2403 Santa Fe, Now Morton 87501. )

\_\_\_\_\_

~

· .		Page 30 ]
	1	A. I believe he's saying you did not have
	2	record title to that 1/6th.
	3	Q Well, will you define record title for me?
	4	A. Evidence that is filed of public record in
	5	the county wherein the land lies.
	6	Q. What was the record title on the date of
	7	the forced pooling order?
	8	A. Well, all I
	9	Q. Remember in your first Division order he
	10	says title to Tract 218 was acquired by Minnie Warmker and
D 80	11	Kate Warmker.
	12	A. In 1910.
LY W FED BH ta Fe, N	13	Q. In 1910, and his requirement was an investi-
SAL CERTI 1 202 0 P	14	gation should be made concerning Minnie Warmker.
	15	Why isn't Minnie Warmker and Kate Warmker,
• • •	16	the two sisters, who got a deed in 1910, why wouldn't that
	17	be record title if nothing had taken place since that time?
11	18	Would it be record title?
·	19	A. Well, I think the fact Minnie Warmker
	20	died in 1940.
	21	Q. There's nothing on record to show it.
	22	A. They can
	23	Q. I argue that the title at the time that you
المحصر	24	bought your lease, or at the time Felmont bought their
	25	lease, rather, excuse me, at the time you got the forced

1

5.5

	1							
	1.	pooling order, was Minnie Warmker and Kate Warmker. There						
	2	is nothin	g in t	he record to show her death.				
	3			So your attorney said make an investigation				
	4	concernin	g her,	and in his supplemental opinion that I just				
	5	mentioned	here,	he says, "It appears that Good Samaritan				
	6	Home has	5/6the	and Fritts has 1/6th."				
	7			I can't understand what you mean by record				
	8	title. I	f Minr	nie Warmker who has no probate of record, no				
	9	affidavit	s of l	neirship, if she and her sister Kate aren't				
· · · ·	10	record ti	tle, t	chen I don't I don't know what record title				
	11							
	12		A	I believe your lease didn't come from				
,	13	Minnie Wa	rmker,	though.				
	14	t gas 144	Q.	Well, maybe not, but did you notify Minnie				
	15	Warmker?	Did y	you serve her with an itemized schedule?				
	16		A	I can't				
	17		Q.	Of well costs?				
1 <sup>1</sup>	् 185		A.	tell you.				
	19			MR. KELLAHIN: Tell us where she was buried				
	20	and we'll be happy to serve her.						
	21		Ω Ω	Even after my title had been placed of re-				
	22	cord?	. <b>7</b> 4	Even after my title had been placed of re				
	23	coru:		ND VELLANTNA I'm not going to argue with				
	24		The State	MR. KELLAHIN: I'm not going to argue with				
	25	you, Mr.	r r t t t t	S∙la turina tu				
			Ø	It's been stated that that you didn't				

31

ł

Page

SALLY WALTON BOYD. CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 1010 Plaza Blanca (505) 471-2461 Sauta Pe, Ney Mosteo 87501  $\bigcirc$ 

know where these heirs, these Buxman heirs, were, is that true? And you couldn't serve them?

Page

A. True.

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WALTON

Q. I have here what is an oil and gas lease from those same heirs to Mark Production Company, covering another tract of land within a half a mile of this tract that's in controversy.

Will you tell me if that is a fair statement? A. Yeah, this is a lease dated August 10th, 1972, from what appears to be these heirs to Mark Production Company, relative to Section 12, 18 South, 26 East.

Q. Does it show the address of the lessors?A. Yes, it does.

Q. Couldn't you have used that address to notify these people in Section 1?

A. Well, I would think just it's not the same section of land and we have many, many tracts in Eddy
 County; New Mexico.

Q. Well, did you make a -- did you make a search?

A. Well, I can't answer that. Like I said, this is prior to my employment.

Q Well, I started writing to you in early 1975. Did you make a search then for the addresses of these people?

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Page	33
	1	A. I	was I came with Mewbourne	in September
	2	of '76.		
	3	Q. T	hen you wouldn't know that.	
	4	· M	R. RAMEY: What's the date on	that document?
• 2	5	А. Т	his one I have in my hand?	
*	6	M	R. RAMEY: Yes.	
	7	A. A	ugust the 10th, 1972.	
	8	M	R. FRITTS: Am I supposed to i	ntroduce that
- -	9	document?		
VD. 4168	10	, in M	R. RAMEY: If you so desire.	
DN BQ ND REPO ND REPO 05) 471	11	Ν	R. FRITTS: I guess I'd better	<b>:.</b>
VALTC VALTC	. 12	Q	Mr. Fritts continuing.) Mr. H	laden, among
CLY V FEED SI FAXA B	- 13	the documents that	t you have already introduced	is an oil
SALI CERTE 5010PL Sant	14	and gas lease fro	m Felmont Oil.	· .
	15	Ţ	ill you tell us what tracts it	t covers?
•	16	A. I	et's see, it covers Tract 320	of Section
	17	12 and Tract 218	of Section 1, both in Township	o 18 South,
	18	Range 26 East.		
	19	Q. 1	ract 320 is a tract 12 that's	on your oil
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	20	and gas lease her	re, is that right?	
	21	<u> </u>	es, that's correct.	-
	<b>22</b>	Q. 1	o Felmont Oil Corporation kne	w the title
$\bigcirc$	23	to the two tract	and included it in their	in their
	24	lease.		
4	25	A.	les.	

And your lease only covers Tract 320 in Section 12.

Q.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WALTON BOY

hance (505) 4 New Mexico

That's true; is that correct?

Well, would you -- would you say that the landman that was in charge at the time of all of this, should he not have known about the title to a tract that's just across the line?

Well, he would have if he'd have taken this A. lease, probably, from the Anna Brown Unit of the Good Samaritan --

If he had looked at the title and found the 0 Felmont lease, which covers Tract 218 in Section 1 and 320 in Section 2, would that not have alerted him to the fact that the Buxman heirs probably would have an interest in both tracts?

Well, that -- that would only be surmise that he would.

Okay. I have in my file a carbon copy of Q. a letter to your company, dated January the 20th, 1975, and I advised that I had acquired oil and gas leases on a 1/6th interest. Do you have a copy of that with you?

No, I do not.

A.

On March the 14th, 1975, I wrote another Q, letter to Mark Production. I gave the recording data on my leases and I again expressed the desire to commit my working

	Page 35
1	interest to the unit, and I asked you for a breakdown of
2	the cost.
3	Were you there in you weren't there in
4	' 75.
5	A. No, I was not.
6	Q Does your file have do you have that
7	letter with you?
8	A. No, I do not. This letter you just read
9	about? No, I do not.
10	Q. Then on October the 3rd of 1975 I wrote a
11	letter to Mark Production and I mentioned the first two
12	letters, and I pointed out that you were circulating a Divi-
13	sion order and that it was in error as to the ownership of
, 14	Tract 218.
15	Do you have a copy of that letter?
16	A. Is that what is the date of your letter
se 2 <b>17</b>	where you describe the amounts of money? That's the first
18	one that I've
19	Q. Is that the first one that you
20	A. That's the first one that I saw.
21	Q. But would those letters be in your file in -
22	I wrote the letters to Tyler. Would you have would your
23	office in Midland have received copies of them?
- 24	A. Only if they'd reproduced them and sent them
25	to us, since you directed your correspondence to Tyler.

1.

SALLY WALTON BOYD CENTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 3020 Plaza Blanca (505) 171-3452 Santa Po, New Moxico 57501

	1	Paya 3.5
	1	Q. But you have all all that's in your file.
	2	You have everything that's in your file?
	3	A. Well, predominantly, everything that I
	4	don't have the entire file here, if that's your question.
	5	Q. My copies are carbon copies, and I wouldn't -
	6	I don't suppose I'd be allowed to introduce them as
	· 7	MR. KELLAHIN: Certainly, Mr. Fritts, they
	8	could be reproduced and submitted after the hearing. I
	9	have no objection to your submitting those.
KTER 2462 501	10	Just set aside those letters you're inter-
5) 411 xico 81	11	ested in and we'll see that they get in the record.
tew Me	12	MR. FRITTS: All right. Now, those are the
laza Bb taza Bb ta Fe, 1	13	three letters that I have just briefly mentioned.
CENTFIED 3020Plaza Santa F	14	Am I taking up too much of your time?
	15	MR. RAMEY: Take as much as you need, Mr.
	16	Fritts.
;	17	Q. (Mr. Fritts continuing.) On June 14, 1978,
	18	I wrote a letter to Mark Production, which I furnished a
	19	copy of the final decree, and I furnished a copy of a De-
	20	claration of Interest between me, Barnhill, and Felmont.
	21	Is that in your file?
	22	A. I believe it is. I don't have it right
	23	here. At least we have the I believe, one of these sup-
	24	plemental Division order opinions here reflects this inform-
	25	ation that you described.

- . . .

ł

TON ROYD

SALLY WA

s: ₽

Q. Okay. On September the 25th, 1978, I asked for a complete accounting of well cost to Mewbourne Oil, and pointed out that there were some mistakes.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

์ 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SALLY WALTON BOY

Pane

There again, do you have --- you don't have that copy, do you?

A. No, I don't. Well, I have the -- I have the copy of your letter where you set those monetary amounts out.

Q All right, that's the next one. On October the 2nd, 1978, you have a copy of that, you have a copy of my letter -- excuse me. On October the 2nd, 1978, is a copy of a letter from you to me setting out the well costs. You don't have that?

A. I don't have that either. That comes from our Accounting Department in Tyler.

Q. I'd like to introduce this as part of my exhibits.

Would you read it?

A. The letter is dated October the 2nd, 1978, and it's to Mr. J. V. Fritts from our Tyler office.

"Dear Mr. Fritts. Attached is the information you requested as to the accounting of the total cost to drill and complete the Federal "B" No. 1.

The well did pay out in September of 1976, effective October 1, 1976.

Page \_\_\_\_\_ 38

You arc-correct to assume at that rate the well would have cost over \$1,000,000.

1.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

.12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LLY WALTON B FRED SHORTHAND REF PLAZA BLIDGA (605) 47 PLAZA BLIDGA (605) 47 Since Felmont was force pooled, they accepted the payout of 300 percent times the actual cost to complete the well. The total cost was \$445,052.78 times 300 percent equals \$1,335,164.34.

Please review Felmont's payout sheet for the method used to determine the figures for payout."

Q. Mr. Haden, why -- why does Felmont Oil have power -- why did they have any power to commit my interest to the forced -- to the penalty to the forced pooling?

Did they own -- did they own my interest? A. Our attorney -- our attorney records that they were the record owners at the time of the forced pooling order.

Q. Well, there again we come up to the -- to the business of what is record title. What interest did Felmont Oil have under lease at the time of the forced pooling order?

A. The percentage of this particular Tract 218 had a total interest in the unit of 1.578125 percent, which would include both royalty interest and working interest. Felmont Oil Corporation had reflected at

the time a 1.380859 percent working interest.

Upon finalization of this quiet title suit,

39 1 then, that was, of course, changed to the Division order 2 that was signed, which then showed that particular working 3 interest to be owned J. V. Fritts, .115072 percent; William B. Barnill, .115072 percent; Felmont Oil Corporation, 5 1.150715 percent. 6 So just because Felmont Oil owned a lease Q. 7 from the Anna Brown Home for the Aged, your company assumed 8 that they owned 100 percent at the time of this first -- when 9 you took out the forced pooling, is that correct? 10 That's true. A. 11 And you don't know of anyone in your organ-Q. SALLY WALTON CERTIFIED SHORTHAND R 12 ization who ran the records to see what happened to Minnie 13 Warmker? 14 No, I don't. A. 15 Would you have if you had been handling it? 0. 16 Would you have checked the title? 17 Well, we'd have made some attempt to. What A. 18 I'd have done was, since it was Felmont Oil Corporation's 19 lease, I would have presented them with the requirement and 20 let them make their search, because it was in their interest 21 to do so. 22 Did you do it? 0. 23 Well, I --A. 24 At the time of the well, before you drilled 0. 25 the well? Do you take out drilling -- do you have drilling

opinions madewhen you drill a well?

А.

A.

Yes, we do as a rule.

Q Did you find -- did you find that Minnie Warmker was involved in this title? Or your file docsn't show it, excuse me, you weren't there.

I wasn't there at that time.

Q Well, you've mentioned the next letter that I wrote, which is October the 6th, 1978. You have a -- you have a copy of that.

Where do you differ with me on the cost and the net figure that I say? Do you say I don't -- I'm not entitled to any of it?

A. Mr. Fritts, I'm -- that accounting schedule, all those calculations are made by our Accounting Department in Tyler. I did not prepare those well costs, but they're --I'm sure they're of record there.

Q. But you say that I didn't own -- that I wasn't able -- that I'm wrong on thinking that I should receive \$1583.

A. Well, we believe you're in error to the
 extent that you're claiming that you should not be subject
 to the 300 percent penalty.

We're not in disagreement as to your current record title interest under which you're now being paid. Q. You're only willing to pay me what I'm en-

LLY WALTON B THEED SHORTHAND REP DEPLACE BLACE (646) 47 DATA FE, New MALLON 47 ١

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page titled to now, not before. Okay. Well, I wrote one or two other letters to your company and never -- and never received a reply of any sort, and I finally wrote a letter saying that I was going to come up to the Oil Commission and appeal the whole thing if I couldn't get satisfaction, and I got a letter from -- from your attorney, and this has already been introduced, I think, as one of your exhibits, is that correct? That's true. A. Will you -- will you read -- will you read Ω this second paragraph?

MR. RAMEY: What exhibit is that, please? MR. KELLAHIN: Number Ten, Mr. Ramey.

41

I don't believe it's necessary to read it Q. out loud since everybody has it. Skip down to this part in -- oh, wait, why don't you start here, therefore you and William B. Barnhill.

Start in the middle of the second --A. Right. 0.

-- paragraph on page one of this letter, A. dated December 22nd, 1978.

"Therefore, although you and William B. Barnhill were not parties to the forced pooling order, neither did you nor any of your mineral owners have any interest of record at the time the forced pooling order was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

		Page 42
۲	1	entered, and it is our opinion that the order is binding on
	2	the working interest acquired by you from mineral owners
<u> </u>	3	who owned no interest of record, but who acquired their in-
	4	terest through the Anna Brown Home, who owned an interest
-	- 5	of record at the time of the order.
	6	We will be pleased to discuss this matter
	7	further with you at any time."
-	8	Q All right, I would like for you to point out
	9	where where I'm in error on the chain of title, Tell me
A HERE	10	how I acquired my interest through the Anna Brown Home.
N B() D REPOR	11	A. Well, I
ALTO ALTO ALTO ALTO ALTO	12	Q. Do you have any
	13	A. I didn't examine this title, but
SAL CENTIL Sur	14	Q Did your attorney show it in the in the
	15	Division order titles? Did he record it?
	16	A. I believe he requested that that interest
	17	be placed in suspense until you got this matter straightened
2	18	out by your quiet title suit.
	19.	Q All right, I got it straightened out by
	20	quiet title suit, as you all required, and there was not a
	21	word in there about stating that I acquired my interest
	22	through the Anna Brown Home.
,	23	Are you willing to admit that I acquired my
	24	interest by oil and gas leases from a number of heirs who
	25	inherited separate from any interest that might have been

ولأوراء معتش

н талары ул жайы т

43 owned by the Anna Brown Home? Did I make myself clear? 2 Let me say I've --3 A. Are you saying that -- are you saying that 4 0. 5 my 1/6th interest was deeded to me by the Anna Brown Home? 6 No, I'm not saying that. A. Why -- I don't understand why your attorney 7 0. 8 says it. 9 We would just have to review his requirements, A. WALTON BCYD SHORTHAND REPORTER Blanca (1955) 477 10 I suppose. 11 These heirs of Minnie Warmker --12 MR. KELLAHIN: Right, 13 -- they were not identified of record. A. 14 No, but your company took an oil and gas Q. <sub>c</sub> 15 lease that included part of them. 16 Minnie Warmker was of record and I maintain 17 that it was your duty, or your landman's in charge at the 18 time, it was his duty to run this title and find those 19 people. 20 Mr. Fritts, I'm not a lawyer, but it would Α. 21 appear to me that public notice is only given as to that 22 particular tract of land. 23 Right. Ω 24 And things are filed of record on that parti-A. 25 cular tract of land.

		Page 4 4
×.	1.	Q All right.
	2	A. Not some other tract of land.
-	3	Q. Then these two women were record title owners
	4	and one of the women deeded her interest to the Anna Brown
	5	Home. Am I stating that right?
	6	A. One of the women plus one other woman who
	7	is an heir.
	8	Q. Right. But we don't know anything about
	9	heirs. You don't know where Norma you have no notion
Q # 5.	10	A. She appears in the title in 1948.
N BO	11	Q. She appeared in the title by
ALTOI ALTOI	12	A. Quit claim deed.
ED SHO	13	Q quit claim deed.
SALI CENTE 303 0 PL	14	A. Anna Brown Home.
	15	Q Right, and it looks to me like it would be
	16	incumbent upon you to find out where she got her interest.
	17	She had no deed into her; we're talking about Norma.
	18	I, upon the receipt of this letter from Mr.
	19	Herd, I wrote him back pointing out why I thought he was
	20	in error.
×	21	Do you have that in your file?
	22	A. No, I
4.	23	Q. It's dated December the 26th, 1978.
	24	A. I don't believe I've seen that letter.
	25	Q. I'd like to introduce a copy of it.
		y i a like to incroduce a copy of it.

Page \_\_\_\_\_

45

I'm supposed to have one more.

On December 27th, 1978, I wrote him a second, just a day later, and I referred to his -- I referred to his two title opinions and pointed out that he agreed with my interpretation of the title, but you do not have -you don't have a copy of that in your file.

A. You mean you wrote him on successive days? Q. Right, I went -- J went back through his title opinion and found where he stated that title was in Minnie Warmker and Kate Warmker. He asked that title investigation be made on Minnie Warmker and in his third supplemental title he says Good Samaritan Home, or Anna Brown Home, owns 5/6ths, Fritts owns 1/6th, and he made no reference in that letter saying that Felmont Oil had the -- had committed me to being force pooled.

MR. FRITTS: I apologize, Mr. Examiner, for the amateurish presentation, but that's all I have to --

MR. RAMEY: That's fine.

MR. FRITTS: To say.

MR. RAMEY: Mr. Haden, where are these heirs located? Are any of them located in Eddy County? What's their addresses?

A. I don't believe they are, no, sir.

MR. RAMEY: Mr. Fritts, I think Mr. Stamets wanted to ask you a question.

LY WALTON BOY TED SHORTHAND REPORT ARE BLANCA (601) 471-3-A FG, NOW MORDON 87101 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

age 46

MR. FRITTS: Yes, Si

QUESTIONS OF MR. FRITTS BY MR. STAMETS:

Q Mr. Fritts, why have you waited so long to come in here?

A. Mark Production Company's attorney required that we carry out a quiet title suit to perfect this title. I immediately approached Felmont Oil and asked if they would join hands with me. They owned 5/6ths interest and I owned 1/6th. And it took me a year or two to get the decision out of them and it took another at least, another year for my attorney to carry out the quiet title.

Q. Did Mark make any promise to you about what they would do when this quiet title suit was completed?
A. No, sir. Their lawyer, in his -- in his title opinion pointed out defects, and said I'll require you to quiet title before these funds can be released.

So they made no promises one way or the

other.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Now you did not acquire an ownership of these leases until December 26th, '74.

Yes, sir.

A.

Q.

Q. And that was after the well was started.A. Yes, sir.

And Mark could not have given you notice of

		Page4.7
ы. <mark>ж</mark>	1	an estimated well cost before they started the well since
	2	you didn't own the leases before that time.
	3	A. No, sir.
	4	Q And although you've indicated that they did
	5	not give these heirs notice, they're not here
	6	A. Right.
	7	Q to testify and to say that.
	8	A. Well, can we go at it from a different angle
	9	Will Mark Production Company say they notified them?
5	10	Q Well, I'm not sure that that's
) 471-4	11	A. I'll take their word for it, sir.
bw Mexi	12	Q. I'm not sure that that's their responsibilit
ar Be. N	13	since this was called on your
Sont Sant	14	A. Well, you're asking me to prove a negative
	15	and all they have to do is say that they if they notified
	16	them, I'm out. My argument is dead.
	17	But they have never in any of the correspon-
	* 18 ~	dence that I've had with the company, there has never been
	19	an indication that they made $-\infty$ that they served anybody.
	20	And after December of '75 the Commission
	21	says that the operator will furnish an itemized schedule of
	22	actual cost within ninety days.
	23	I didn't receive that.
× .	24	Q. Apparently, though, there was some question,
	25	at least in Mark's mind, as to the validity of your owner-

Page \_\_\_\_\_

48

ship at that time, is that correct?

No, sir, according to their lawyer, the questions came on the heirship; there were no probates of record; and he required that we investigate the heirship.

They never -- there was never any talk about I never received any letters from them saying that you're not entitled to join in the well, or you can't have the costs, and so forth.

As soon as I recorded my leases I wrote them that I would like to join.

Q. When were you first aware that a well was being drilled on this property?

A. I don't know. It was very late. I, actually I think along about November, between November and December of '74.

Q And when did you start working to acquire these leases?

A. I don't know. I would say very close to that time. When I first started I didn't know about the well, but I did know before it was over.

Q. That's all I have.

MR. RAMEY: Any other questions of the witness? Do you have anything further, Mr. Kellahin? MR. KELLAHIN: Yes, sir, we'd renew our

motion to dismiss the case for lack of jurisdiction on be-

SALLY WALTON BOY CENTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORT 035 Plance (606) 4171-24 Santa Fe, New Moxico 8760 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

half of the Division to determine record title ownership as of the date of the forced pooling hearing and order. MR. RAMEY: Well, I won't rule on that motion at this time, Mr. Kellahin.

As I stated before, if we don't think we have jurisdiction we will so note in our order.

I guess that's a denial.

MR. KELLAHIN: Let me, because of that ruling, Mr. Ramey, let me summarize for you what our position is.

This is a difficult problem for any operator when he attempts to force pool acreage in which there are involved unknown heirs of deceased parties, and perhaps not for this case, but for other cases, it might be important for the Division, if it felt appropriate, to give the operator some guidance as to what is going to be required of him with regards to attempts to locate unknown heirs.

If you follow the statute, however, Mark Production, when they filed this forced pooling notice, did everything the statute required. There is nothing in the statute to require us to go out and seek the heirs of Minnie Warmker or C. Raymond Buxman, or any of these people. Now if that's a defect in the statute, perhaps the Commission ought to correct it by some rules

and regulations further defining what the operator is re-

LTON BOYD THAND REPORTER a (605) 471-2462 \* Medico 87691 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SALLY WA

quired to do for subsequent hearings.

This particular case is further complicated by the fact that legal counsel that prepared the title opinions concerning the ownership of the east half of Section 1 had come to the conclusion that the Anna Brown Home in Illinois purportedly had the entire 5 acres in Tract 218, and if you'll look at Exhibit Number Nine, which is a letter from Felmont to Mark Production, and the subsequent correspondence from Mr. Herd, the examining attorney, Felmont Oil Corporation also believed that they held 6/6ths working interest ownership within this tract, and it was not until June of 1978, some four years later, that it appears that Mr. Fritts and Mr. Barnhill perfected their interest in 1/6th of that 5-acre tract.

Pane

But as of the date of the order and hearing for the forced pooling case, if you examine the lease from Anna Brown Home to Felmont Oil Corporation, it purports to convey all of the interest in Tract 218.

The problem is further complicated by the fact that Mr. Fritts acquired his interest after the well was completed. I believe the completion date was sometime in November, about the 22nd of November of '74. Mr. Fritts has testified that his seven leases were all dated 26th December '74 and placed of record on January 17th, 1975. It is our contention, and I believe the

SALLY WALTON BOYD. CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 1014 Parts Banca (605) 471-9463 Santa Pe, New Mexico 87501 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5.0

evidence here established today, that Mr. Fritts took that interest subject to the terms of the forced pooling order, either by actual knowledge or constructive knowledge.

**,1**, .

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SALLY WALTON BOYD CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 30202Plaza Blance (506) 471-3402 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87601 Pane

51

In response to Mr. Stamets' questions he indicated that about this time he also learned of the existance of the well. We believe that a man of Mr. Fritts' expertise in oil and gas matters and looking for unleased acreage, as he's done here, knew or should have known of the forced pooling order, and that having waited another three years, he's effectively barred for failure to pursue this case earlier than that. Even if he's not barred, he has taken it subject to the risk penalty.

We believe that the record established through the testimony here today shows the operator exercised reasonable diligence in attempting to locate all the record title owners with regards to working interest on this particular tract.

If you'll -- before ruling on this case, if you'll do me the courtesy of reading the Exhibit Number Eleven, which is Mr. Herd's summary of title, it will show what Mr. Herd had in his mind when he examined this title.

The interest of the two Warmker sisters obtained in 1910 and later conveyed to the Anna Brown Home, I believe in 1948, shows that nothing transpired on this title for many, many years; that the only taxes paid were those being paid by the Anna Brown Home; that Minnie Warmker and her heirs, and some of those heirs dies and their heirs, none of these people probated this estate.

52

I think it puts an impossible burden upon an operator putting together a forced pooling case, to require him to search in that much detail to attempt to locate all this missing heirs.

I think in this case Mark Production Company and their successor in interest Mewbourne Oil Company have acted with diligence and that the Commission ought to deny the application of Mr. Fritts.

MR. RAMEY: Thank you, Mr. Kellahin.

Mr. Fritts, do you have anything you want

MR. FRITTS: No, sir, I think I've worn out my welcome with you, so I don't.

MR. RAMEY: The Commission will take the case under advisement and the hearing is adjourned. (Hearing concluded.)

ALLY WALTON BOY THEED SHORTHAND REPORT OPLAZE BLANCA (505) 471-3-2014 28, Now Mozico 37150 2014 28, Now Mozico 37150 1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to add?

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

Pao

I, SALLY W. BOYD, a court reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached Transcript of --Hearing before the Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability, knowledge, and skill, from my notes taken at the time of the hearing. Sully W. Boyd C.S.R. 

WALTON

Page 1 NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION COMMISSION HEARING SANTA FE \_\_, NEW MEXICO Hearing Date\_ MARCH 7, 1979 Time: 9:00 A.M. NAME Tom KEllphin REPRESENTING LOCATION KELLAhin Y Kellahi Spirita FR

## (FIVE YEAR PAID UP LEADE) OIL AND GAS LEASE

Form All Kall-Peerbaagh Press Resmall, Nam Mexico ,,72

Readed All 70. 988

THIS AGREEHENT made this 10th 67 of August 1872, between FLLA BUXMAN, a vidow: IRMA BUXMAN NEECE, and husband DUSTIN NEECE; ELLA BUXMAN, a vidow: WARREN BUXMAN AND DOROTHY BUXMAN; JUNE BUXMAN CHREAKE, EMMA BUXMAN, a vidow: WARREN BUXMAN AND DOROTHY BUXMAN; JUNE BUXMAN Land DUSDand, MARCUS SCHREAKE; EUGENE BUXMAN and wife BETTY BUXMAN Land ARC Production Company and Mark Production Company 1. Lease in consideration of 1. Lease for the purpose of the could be been provided and of the arrested and producing oil and sam har address the filler therefy unto Lease for the purpose of investigating exploring students and of the arrest and aming for and producing oil and sam har address the filler therefo, to product, say, take care of, week, transport, and wing the approve the following the following during the work of the following during the work of the following during the f

EDDY Courty, NEW MEXICO

**\*88** 

ve 65 Rev. (5 Year Lane) 10-57

TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 26 EAST, N.M.P.M., Section 12: Tract 320, said Tract being a part of the Fairchild Farm Lands as recorded in the plat records of the County Clerk of Eddy County, New Mexico

2. Without reference to the connectoriest, prostetion or envation at any time of drilling or other development operations and/or to the discovery, de-openit or exeasion at spinar of prediction of out or ma and without forther responds that the royalites herein provided, and notwithalanding any-openit or exeasion at spinar of prediction of out or ma and without forther responds that the royalites herein provided, and notwithalanding any-potent or exeasion at send and cr inclusion to only the for a term of fire years from this date (called "primary term") and as long thereinford as of an is prind acted from sand land or inclusion which said land is proved betwarder.

The regained the band by Lever, are: far on oil, operights of that produced and say of Leave into the gap line to much the which nay be connected. Leave may from time to price therefore preaching for the first matere produced on the date of prechases; ibs of from said land, and odd, so used of the premises or for the patterner of gamiline or o of the gas so odd or used, provided that on gas sold at the walls the sevents shall be sevents. . .... e to the I us on the outward of the set of promitine to time to white may be connected, taway way from time to time to provide out for the suffection of gassing or other produ-out gas sold at the wells the country shall be concernight of herewith buy gas is not being sold as one may pay and thereafter at shnual interacts the same of \$1.00 pre area bat as to shnup of during from this beam in baying quantum which, paying the s substance, pro-the well of one-while there is a alorty (10) days adared, this lines til the gas ou of in attenue. rell en this brane of in attenue. the date on which ask welk is shut auf terminate and it will be conserve be made by the check or dash of low first way, eash and mater from

A shall there in a shall be an interpretent of the part of the state of the stat computed after deducting any so used into a pair and, except mater from Looping wells, for a computed after deducting any so used d gas, or either of them, in hereby given the right and power to pail or combine the d gas, or either of them, with wither land have ye leaves in the immediate welling the read is accessing or advantation to with wither land in the property for explore, or in develop and captate in the second outler and that may be provident from and premiser. Units poside for out he athen a start of the second captage of the second from the foreign of the second of the authority having provided the second from the restore of while larger than there explore authority having provided the provide shall not subscript access in area. But here the authority having provide the provide stall not subscript our base to the provide the there are not a subscript having provide the provide the provide the provide the provide the provide the there are a solved provided as to only a start with the out or wate and the provide the provide the provide of the read as the area well actual with the out or who are note instance while the out or the provide the provide actual to be a provide unit. The approved here any static solution of the sections at the real wells that. There are a provide unit, the approved here are any hill be the developed to be out accesses as a provide unit. The approved here are any hilled, but it is the an explore the the state of the state as a provide unit. The section are approved as a state of the state of ble of producing will a quarted by this leave is instrument, wither leave whether or not t they of them, as har is performed in this leave childe on production, upit a provide production, allowation shall be provide a provide provide provide poster and production, whether textus never from any roll as productions. as of atomics our operations are origing were commented it deputations the point was covered by the lease, and it ded, shall be very defined and performed to the lease, and it ded, shall be very defined and performed, every the payment is be purpose of computing the royalizes to which impers of re-d gas, or either of them, produced from the point of the shall be used of them, produced from the point of the the state of them, produced from the point of the the shall be indexed in the point of the state of them, produced from the point of the end gas, or either of them, produced from the point and gas, or either of them, produced from the point of the total bushes of auffare acress instabilities in the total and gas, are either of them, or allocated to the land exected and gas, are either of them, or allocated to the land exected and gas, are either of them, the allocated to the land exected and gas, are either of them, the allocated to the land exected is the total cont, and prediction from a gas well will be considered product of the advected to the abeliance covered hereby to a the short of the best avelated to the hereby to the total and a short here been actioned to the New Weinter-t and the based of the base been actioned with the covered part of best avered are more a substance covered hereby to the total and the here been actioned with the covered was of as privilerant, from a it is privilerant, from a is to commit and and or (and, and its any modifier (if). In such event, the reme of any such access, the reme of any such accession of a cumputed and particular ment or which the isan he same may be securid-

words, in case such agreement, there also have the New Measure to provide and paid. This have shall be first disputed and paid. This have shall be first the completent of wells. The same shall be first disputed product dispute and product and product dispute and product dispute and product dispute and the result of the same dispute and the result before a shall have completed at y have thereon within the dispute dispute and the result of the result of the result of wells.
5. If at the significant of the primary term off or gas is not being produced on said land, or from land pooled thereon in their or presenting or constitute a dy have thereon within the dispute of the result have to have a shall have completed at y additional well are prosected with no time does and of the primary term of the lease and after rel or gas is produced from and land, or from land pooled there explicitly in the product have do dispute the result of a gas is produced from and land, or from land pooled in the explicit of the result in the product have do dispute completes and or gas is produced from and chall be a set of the term of the lease therease compared a produced from and all land, or from land pooled in the result in the product on so heat therease completes of a produced from and and, or from land pooled in the lease the lease therease and after the result of a set of gas is produced in the result of a set of the result in the product of the lease therease therease the set of the does and there therease and after the result of a set of gas is produced from and land, or from land pooled in the result in the product and the terms herease and of gas is produced in the constraint of a set of the result of the s constion of r from land po theremish, the in 60 days aff at than 60 co unled theremit

any surrender the have as to such particle or particles and be releved of all obligations as to the accesser surrendered. 6. Drawe shall have the right a draw and remove all causes, when we cannot be all provide all property and futures placed by Lesser on as being the right to draw and remove all causes. When required by Lesser, Lesser will bury fill pope lines below ordinary placed by Lesser on as being the right to draw and remove all causes. When required by Lesser, Lesser will bury fill pope lines below ordinary placed by Lesser, one as the upth of the part of any functions of the required by Lesser. Lesser will bury fill pope lines below ordinary placed by Lesser, and the upth of other party bereating any be assigned in which or in part, and the provisions beread shall extend to their being; encembers and to charge or discount in uncreating of the land or regarding, bucker accessible, shall device to enlarge the obligations or diminish the is to charge or discount in uncreating of the land or regarding, bucker accessible, has done until thirty 1381 days after Lesser shall have been furthered by a sere, and ru charge or discount as such unarching while be blacking on Lesser until thirty 1381 days after Lesser shall have been furthered by a reof is while transmitted by the context and any utigation bereader ability relaxing the owner of this bear or of a part of the context of an a reof is while transmitted and the research of any utigation bereader ability relaxing upon the owner of this bear or of a part in the part of the context parts and until furnished we cannot such terrain. If is us or mixe parts parties downganating an approximation for all.

4. The breach by Jonnee of any chiracton arising bereader shill not work to reverse payment or allowed by Jonnee of any chiracton arising bereader shill not much a forfolute or termination of the works restrict created bread not be grown for conclusion broader shill not much a forfolute or termination of the works restrict created bread not be grown for conclusion breader shill not much a forfolute or termination of the works restrict the termination of the works a forfolute or termination of the source observed in which as in part. In the exceeded the source observed in which as in part is the restrict of source observed in which as the much a forfolute or termination of the factor related by the decovery of all or rest in parts the termine the compliance with the decovery of all or rest in parts the source of products out and the source of the decision to a ball on the source of products of the observed or the source of products of the observed or the source of the source of termine of a source out the source of products of the new of the source of the source

3. Low re hereby increases and active to defend the title to said land and agrees that Lesser a comparison of either in abole or in part, and in event lesser does not, it shall be asbrogated to such cruicing hereard entry and astrongated to such compared to the same of the same occessing betweet der 1 owna an internat in proportionetely. She executing the same.

evented from complying with any express or implied concentrat of this I or yes therefore, by teams of scarcely of or inability to totals or y I as yes any order, rule or reclation of governmental authority, then and Lesser shall not be liable in damages for failure to comply there y such eause from conducting drilling or rememberg operations on or i repeated shall not be rounted against Lesser, asything in this lesser to 16 Should In

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, INW Irma Buxma Ella Buxman B urman Buxman Neece Buynian Dustin Neece arren pre Orothy Buxman Dorothy Butman Schreeke Marcus Schreeke Burman Betty Butman Eugene Busman

ELLE	THE
	ATION COMMISSION
Scula F	S, N My Mattico
Care No. 6489	Exchapit No. 2
Submitted by	Fritts
Hearing Date	
	المتوافقا في المحمد المناجلة عنه المنظمة التي المتعادية في المنطقة المستخدمة في الماد المحمد الم

Actober 3, 1975

Mark Production Company 330 Citizens Ban't Hullding Tyler, Texas 75701

> No: Fract 212 of Fairchild Farm Lands, Section 1, T-185, R-SOT, Mady County, New Hexico.

## Gontlemon:

-On-January 20th and again on March 14th (the latter being certified) I wrote letters to you concerning a working interest in the above described purcel which is a part of of your Fennsylvanian formation unit covering the East Half of Section 2.

I understand a division order is now being circulated on the unit but I have not received a copy. Will you please send me one, directing it to P. O. Box 363, Roswell, New Mexico 882017

A copy of the division order has been received by Mu. B. Barnhill, however, and it is our belief that it is in error as to Tract 218. We say that Felmont Oil Corporation only holds a leade on 5/6ths of the mineral rights from Good Samaritan Horm, and that Mr. Barnhill and I hold oil and gas leases on the remaining 1/6th from Ella Buxman, Irma Neece, Emma Buxman, Eugene Buxman, Warren Buxman, June Schreacke, Alma Gloor, Bonald PeNayne Buxman and Vicki Lynn Buxman. Theseeleases are recorded as described in my letter of March 16th.

You hold a lease from the Buxman heirs on Tract 320 in Sec. 12, T-18S, R-26E, will the title information in this file should be helpful in understanding our position.

The division order (s of course in error as to the division of royalty under Tract 218, since (Bernhill and I each claim an undivided 7/16ths interest in the undivided 5/6ths interest in the minerals claimed by Good Semaritan Home prior to our warranty desds. This leaves an undivided 1/8th of 5/6ths interest in name of Good immaritan Home, while the remaining 1/6th interest (subject to our oil and gas leases) is owned by the Burman heirs.

Mr. Barnhill and T wish to consist our working interest to the unit and stand ready to pay our prepartionate share of the costs.

Yours very truly, Division order to Bounkill shows JVF stax out a consister anna Bran and a consister Bountaile 1000 56307 WE 61380559 Bloort 125

cos Wa. E. 29rnaill

LECRE THE
OIL CONSTRUCTION COMMISSION
Sville Ed., Cole Milico
Care No. 6489 Manual No. 3
Submatic to the Fritts
Hearing Data
n an

March 14, 1975

ł

Mark Production Company -330 Citizons Bank Building Tyler, Texas 75701

Gentlemon:

On January 20th I notified you that I had acquired oil and gas leases on an undivided one-sixth interest in Tract No. 218 of Fairchild Fana Lands in Sec. 1, T-185, R-26E, Eddy County, New Mexico. The records show that you completed a gas well in SEASEA Sec. 1 on December 28, 1974. In that lotter I indicated my desire to join the 320-acre drilling unit of which Tract 218 is a part.

The leases to which I refer are owned jointly by myself and Wm. B. Barnhill, all are dated 12-26-74, and are recorded in Eddy County as follows:

Misc.	Book	123,				
						Warren Buxman
						June Schreacke
	. 1					Alma Cloor, Ronald De
	Misc.	Misc. Book	Misc. Book 123,	page page page page page	page 688 page 890 page 892 page 894 page 896	page 896 -

Alma Cloor, Ronald DeWayne Buxman & Vicki Lynn Buxman

As previously indicated we wish to commit our small working interest to the drilling unit and wish to be sure 'ator.

,	TIVN	CERTIFIED	<b>GNA</b>	<b>O</b> 380SNI	ISTERED,	RETURN RECEIPT, REGI	PS Forn 3311, Nov. 1973
		6. UNABLE TO DELIVER BECAUSE	(Complet	DATE OF OFLIVERY	(Always obtain signature of addressee or agent) I have received the article described above. SIGNATURE	DOPRESSED TO:	SENDER Concrete dens Variable     Add agree dens Variable     Add agree dens Variable     Add agree dens Variable     The following service is required following and deteild following service is required following     Show to whom and deteild following     bellVER ONLY TO ADDRESSIE a     show to whom and deteild bloored     DELIVER ONLY TO ADDRESSIE a     show to whom and deteild bloored     bellVER ONLY TO ADDRESSIE a
			2.	75			

sh us with a .eting the unit

truly,

CHECKNER VALIDATION COMMISSION Laborator e Analizo Com H. 6489 Labor No. 4 Submitted by Fritts Heading Data

January 20, 1975

Mark Production Company 330 Citizens Bank Huilding Tyler, Texas 75701

## Gentlement

It is my understanding that you have drilled and completed a gas well in the SE4 of Sec. 1, T-185, R-25E, Eddy County, New Mexico, designated as your No. 1 Federal.

I further understand that a drilling unit will be designated as covering the Fig of Section 1, and I am assuming you are the operator of the unit.

I have acquired oil and gas leaves on an undivided ong-sixth interest in Tract No. 218 of Frirehild Farm Lands subdivision, located in the 1948; of the Section, and am in the process of monording the documents. I would like to join this unit with my tiny interest and wanted to notify the operator before the paper work had been completed.

Yhars very truly,

na se se compositor de la c	- 1	and the state and the state	
		.»	N (Balance
648	a A		5
	1	Fritts	
Hearing Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- مىسىسى - مىلغانات بى مەن	2010 - 2010

# MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY

CURITS W. MEWBOURNE PRESIDENT P. O. BOX 7698 TYLER, TEXAS 75711 214 - 561-2900 1

October 2, 1978

Mr. J. V. Fritts P. O. Box 868 Roswell, New Mexico 88201

> Re: Federal "B" No. 1 Eddy County, New Mexico Pay-out Status

Dear Mr. Fritts:

Attached is the information you requested as to the accounting of the total cost to drill and complete the Federal "B" No. 1.

The well did payout in September of 1976, effective October 1, 1976. You are correct to assume at that rate the well would have cost over one million dollars. Since Felmont was force-pooled they accepted a payout of 300 percent times the actual cost to complete the well. The total cost was  $$445,054.78 \times 300\% = $1,335,164.34$ .

Please review Felmont's payout sheet for the method used to determine the figures for payout.

Very truly yours, (Miss) Gaylon Thompson

Attachments

### (PIYE YEAR PAID UP LEARE) OR AND GAS LEASE

Form 516 Rall-Poorbacy's Prom Pressol, New Mexico

Recent All 10. 105

Borrio, to produce, save, sake care of, treat, transport, and own and products, and housing ste employees, the following discribed land in summarise

YDDY \_ EDDY

TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 26 EAST, N.M.P.M., Section 12: Tract 320, said Tract being a part of the Fairchild Farm Lands as recorded in the plat records of the County Clerk of Eddy

County, New Mexico

3. Without inference to the commission of constrain at any time of deiling or other development operations and/or to the discovery, dominant constraints for the constraint of the discovery, dominant constraints and the constraint of the discovery and the constraint of the constraint of the discovery and the constraint of the discovery and the constraint of the discovery and the constraint of the constraint of the discovery and and long thereafter as old gas as produced from the discovery term" and and long thereafter as old gas as produced from the discovery term" and and long thereafter as old gas as produced from the discovery term".

into the pole line to which the most one most operation of the produced and as well inform pressions for the family state production to the date of pressions (think into the pression for the family state production the date of preshave) this or which and and which as seen of the productions or for the relation of preshave. This or which produced are well provided the contrast of the while the relative of the state of a state of the production of the state of the relative of the state of the state of the production of the state of the state of the state the state of the state the state of the the state of the the state of the the state of the the state of the the state of the the state of the the state of the the state of the the state of the the state of the sta

composes after inducting any so taxis 4 Lasson, at its column, as before recent the right and power to pool or combine d raw, or other of them, as the other land, have or feases an the inner-late victority th is necessary or advector to do so in order property to explore, or to develop and the New Recent Di Conservation. Conservation, or other lawful instruction of which in do-the fragment of the conservation of the property for explore, or to develop and the New Recent Di Conservation. Conservation of the states for a set which is a fragment of using people for gas here color about and advectoring extended for the area for a states and the states are a set of the solution of extended for the a states are solution and the states area of the solution of the state set of a states are states and the states area areas and the solution of the state set of the states area and the states area of the states area and the solution of the states of the states areas and a states are states and the states areas and the solution of the states of the states areas and and the states area areas and the states area areas and the solution of the states areas and and the states areas and the states areas and the solution of the states areas areas and the solution of the solution of the states areas and and the states areas areas and the solution of the sol The second provide the alternative of the provided that the provided the second to be second tob

8. If at the expiration of the prime ( let m oil or gas is not being produced on dealing or provoking constants in the constant have completed a dry hole thereou rational on and we'r or for drilling on provening of any additional well are prime it in the production of without gas on boy thereafter at oil or gas is produced from any term of the local and after rith or gas is produced from and land, or from the lease shall not terminate if Lesser commences correlations for drilling or pro-server, in free and after a point as the operations are produced bith he easy at of oil or poor, on boar thereafter as oil or gas is produced from and land, or form and after a point by deviation are produced from and and on the terms here it areas be deviated by the point from and and, or are substitue that hereafter as be deviated by an er by instant find for a are astuated at the terms for the any both and of drilling and with a for the or at the structure static ball on the terms for the any lettern of drilling and with the form of a

Louver, and e U. S. mail at berouf in who

they reach in the production in successful and evend, may be develoed by tensor up intrimmentation of preduction on and util. In the event is that if it is a more structure of the maniferent of a der how or the greation of preduction on and util. In the event is productive to the possible of the maniferent of a der how or the greation of preduction on and util. In the event is productive to the possible of the maniferent level and within the leaves of and defining the leave pression, or and reactly. Lower part is possible to be build be build be build be build to the same of a mills core-manaters, how any time pression to drift such offset will an a transfit pression are the same on perturbed of the same of a mills core-manaters, how are the such a driver the leave during the based as transfit pression as to be attended of the same of the same of a mills core-manaters, how are the such a che sort a such portion or perturbed of the same of a transfit pression as to the strenge outrendered.
4. Leaver shall have the right of any transfit of the respiration of the feare to remove all property and fisture placed by Leaver, leaver the which the same of out of any depth, and as well of a strenge out depth of any content of the same out and the transfit of the fear the transfit of other parts before and commental of the same on and have the subtrant to a same and the transfit of the same of any depth, and as well a did within two handred fort of any tendence of a same the same accessing and the provation bereat the unlinear the well grand by the same of any depth, and as well a same of a character of any depth, and as many the same of any depth, and as well a same of any depth of the same part and the provide of any depth of the same part and the provation bereat the unlinear the well and the same of any depth of any depth, and as well a same of any depth of any depth of any depth of any depth. The same a same and the same of any depth of any depth of any depth.

agreeu to defend the title to said load and agrees that & i part, and in event locare does so, it shall be asbecquied same. Without impairment of Senare's tight under the m or, in as under said and heat that the entire for sample route of the parties hamed as Senares fail to execute the

any express or implied conceant of this bear, for of scarrely of or sourcest to cleate or to take an explation of governmental authority, then while an its damages for favore to every for the source of the devices or reserving overstained on or from pro-against lower, anything in this lease to the aut 18. Shield Louise be pre-

TINESS WHEREOF, this metry at is exercised on the data first above Ella Euxnan Irma Buxman Heece Enma Buxman Buxman Buxman Dustin Necce Fune Buxinan Schreake Clisicale Marcus Schreake

No arrive wor nor noil

Decombor 26, 1978

Mr. Tovis Herd Cotton, Pledson, Tighe, Morrow & Dawson P. O. Box 2776 Midland, Texas 79701

> Re: Fodoral "B" No. 1 in E2 Sec. 1-185-26E Eddy County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Herd:

Planse refer to your letter of December 22d concerning the forced pooling order of the N. M. Oil Conservation Commission case No. 5267, Order No. R-4831, in connection with the above well.

It is stated that when this order was issued title to the mineral estate in tract 218 was vested of record in the Anna Brown Home. It is your ominion that the pooling order, therefore, was binding on working interests acquired by me from mineral owners who owned no interest of record but who acquired their interest through the Anna Brown Heme. It is my position that Anna Brown Home never owned more than 5/oths of the mineral estate. It is also my position that the owners of the remaining 1/6th of the mineral estate did not acquire it from Anna Brown Home but instead acquired it through inheritance in a chain of title having no connection whatsoever with Anna Brown Home. I would like to give you my reasons for these beliefs.

In 1910 0. M. Fairchild conveyed tract 218 to two persons: Minnie Warmker and Kato Warmker. In 1940 Minnie Warmker died intestate and her 1/2 interest passed in equal shares to Katherine D. Warmker, a sister; to Norma Warmker, child of deceased brother Henry; and to C. Raymond Buxman, child of deceased sister Louisa C. Buxman.

At this point in the title Kate Warmker owned 1/2 interest through the Fairchild deed plus 1/6th interest by inheritance from Minnie Warmker. Norma Warmker owned 1/6th interest by inheritance from Minnie Warmker. C. Raymond Burman owned 1/6th interest by inheritance from Minnie Warmker. All of the interests of Kate and Norma Warmker were conveyed to Anna Brown Home by deed. Now the title stood 5/6ths to Anna Brown Home and 1/6th to the heirs of C. Raymond Burman, so it is plain that Anna Brown Home never had any claim on the Burman 1/6th interest. All of my working interest comes from leases from the heirs of C. Raymond Burman; none comes from Anna Brown Home or its successor in interest.

Since the records of Eddy County did not show any probate proceedings as to the deceased persons involved in the estate of Minnie Warmker it might be argued that the title of record was vested in Minnie Marmker, and in Anna Brown Nome as successor in title to Kate Warmker. However, I believe you will agree that Anna Brown Nome did not ever hold more than 5/6ths of the record title, and that the people from whom I took oil and gas leases did not acquire their interests through the Anna Brown Home.
Mr. Tavis Herd

2 =

12-25-78

Instant, my dessors were the heirs of C. Raymond Buxman, who inherited his 1/6th interest from Minnie Warmker and she in turn acquired her interest from O. M. Fairchild in 1910. This 1/6th interest was not given the opportunity to pay its share of costs of the well although Order No. R-4831 provided all non-consenting working interests should be afforded such opportunity. Felmont Oil has no interest, right or authority as to our 1/6th working interest and could not commit our interest to the 200% penalty.

It is possible some confusion has been created by the fact that there were two separate transactions by me and Wm. B. Barnhill in connection with tract 218. We took oil and gas leases from the heirs of C. Raymond Buxman as to an undivided 1/6th mineral interest which was unleased at the time of the forced pooling order. In the same time period we purchased most of the mineral rights of the Anna Brown Home through its successor in title, Good Samaritan Home. These two transactions left us owning a working interest on 1/6ths of the minerals, and a royalty interest under the remaining ownership which is subject to the Felmont Oil lease.

I will appreciate it if you will examine your position on this dispute in view of the reasoning I have tried to set out.

Yours very truly,

Decomber 27, 1978

No onewor wor no cived

Mr. Tevis Herd Couton, Eledose, Tighe, Morrow & Dawson P. O. Box 2776 Midland, Texas 79701

Re: Federal "3" No. 1 in F2 Sec. 1-185-208, Eddy County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Herd:

Yesterday I responded to your letter of Tecember 22d concerning the forced pooling order . S. R-2831 in connection with the above well operated by Mewbourne Oil Co.

I have now re-read requirement No. 16 set out in your original title opinion on the above unit (No. 3016 dated 6-5-75) and also your third supplemental division order opinion (No. 3016-D dated 12-19-75). I would like to point out that in these opinions you agreed with my interpretation of the title to tract 218 as set out in my letter of December 26th.

In requirement No. 16 it was stated that title to tract 218 was acquired by Minnie Warmker and Kate Warmker in 1910, and that Katherine D. Warmker and Norma Warmker conveyed their interests to Anna Brown Home. Under requirement (b) you asked for an investigation concerning Minnie Warmker.

In the third supplemental opinion you stated "The title evidence obtained by J. V. Fritts reflects that the Good Samaritan Home amed an undivided 5/6 mineral interest in Tract 218 at the time that it executed the lease dated August 9, 1973, to Felmont Oil Corporation......"

In the same paragraph quoted above after the words Felmont Oil Corporation you continued "and that the remaining 1/6 mineral interest was owned, in varying proportions, by the lessors in the above described oil and gas lesses dated December 25, 1974, in favor of J. V. Fritts, lessee." There were seven of these lesses listed, from the heirs of C. Raymond Buxman.

To meet title defects in tract 218 you required a quiet title suit. This was carried out and a copy of the final decree in suit No. CV-78-133 was furnished to your client on June 14, 1978. I also furnished a copy of a Declaration of Interest entered into between J. V. Fritts, Nm. B. Farnhill and Felmont Oil Corp., setting out our respective interests in the cil and gas leasehold estate. Felmont Oil did not join in our quiet title suit. If they will be helpful to you I will be glad to send you a set of these documents.

CON COMMISSIO Maxico , Subratin Hec

Yours very truly,

J. V. Fritts P. O. Box 868 Roswell, New Mexico 88201

### MARK PRODUCTION COMPANY

CURTIS W. MEWBOURNE. FREMDONT

October 8, 1975

Mr. J. V. Fritts P. O. Box 868 Roswell, New Mexico 88201

> Re: #1 Federal "B" E/2 Section 1-185-26E Eddy County, New Mexico

330 CITIZENS BASK BUILDING

TYLER, TEXAS 75701 TELEPHONE(2(4) 597-3551

Dear Mr. Fritts:

Attached is a copy of Requirement #16 in connection with the above captioned. This requirement must be satisfied before we can circulate division orders to those involved. Any assistance you could give us in this regard will be appreciated.

Very truly yours,

### 16.

Title to Tract 218 was acquired by Minnie Warmker and Kate Warmker by Deed dated January 3, 1910, recorded Book 27, Page 633, Deed Records. By quit claim deed dated April 26, 1948, recorded Book 99, Page 387, Deed Records, Katherine D. Warmker and Norma Warmker quit claimed all interest in Tract 218 to the Anna Brown Home for the Aged, an Illinois corporation. Lease 27 analyzed above covering Tract 218 was executed by the Anna Brown Unit of the Good Samaritan Home of Quincy, Illinois, and we assume that this is the successor in interest to the Anna Brown Home for the Aged.

Tract 218 was sold for taxes to Joseph Lehmann and by Tax Deed dated October 12, 1920, recorded Book 46, Page 608, the tax collector of Eddy County conveyed Tract 218 to Joseph Lehmann. Thereafter, in quiet title suit No. 3227 in the District Court of Eddy County, Joseph Lehmann quieted title to Tract 218, naming as defendants, among others, Minnie Warmker and Kate Warmker. Judgment in this quiet title suit was entered October 23, 1920, vesting title to Tract 218 in Joseph Lehmann.

The Anna Brown Home for the Aged has been paying taxes on Tract 218, for at least the last ten years.

The records reflect a Warranty Deed dated February 7, 1975, recorded Book 221, Page 481, from Good Samaritan Home, an Illinois corporation, to William B. Barnhill conveying all of the surface rights owned by the grantor and an undivided 7/8 interest in the minerals owned by the grantor in Tract 218. Thereafter by Deed dated February 24, 1975, recorded Book 221, Page 494, William B. Barnhill and wife Catharine W. Barnhill conveyed to J. V. Fritts 1/2 of the rights acquired by William B. Barnhill in the Deed dated February 7, 1975, in Tract 218.

The records also reflect oil and gas leases covering Tract 218 in favor of J. V. Fritts as lessee from the following named parties: June Buxman Schreacke, a married woman dealing in her separate property, Ella Buxman, a widow, Irma Buxman Neece, a married woman dealing in her seprate property, Emma Buxman, a widow, Eugene Buxman, a married man dealing in his separate property, Varten Buxman, a married man dealing in his separate Mark Production \_\_\_\_mpany

-18-

property, Alma Buzman Gloor, a married woman dealing in her separate property, Ronald DeWayne Buzman and Vicki Lynn Buzman, both dealing in their separate property. All of these leases are dated December 26, 1974, and by assignment dated February 3, 1975, recorded Book 124, Page 314, Miscellaneous Records, J. V. Fritts and wife Ruth C. Fritts assigned an undivided 1/2 interest in these leases to William B. Barnhill. The records reflect absolutely no title in Tract 218 in any of the lessors in these leases to J. V. Fritts.

A portion (.086304%) of the royalty interest credited to William B. Barnhill and wife Catharine W. Barnhill is payable under Tract 218. and a portion (.219531%) is payable under Tract 231, and only that portion attributable to Tract 218 needs to be suspensed.

REQUIREMENT

The interests in production under Tract 218, being the above described portion of the royalty owned by William B. Barnhill and wife Catharine W. Barnhill, all of the royalty owned by J. V. Fritts and wife Ruth C. Fritts, the royalty owned by the Anna Brown Unit of the Good Samaritan Home of Quincy, Illinois, and the working interest of Felmont Oil Corporation, should be suspensed pending the following:

- (a) We should be furnished with evidence that the Anna Brown Home for the Aged, a Illinois corporation, the Anna Brown Unit of the Good Samaritan Home of Quincy, Illinois, and the Good Samaritan Home, an Illinois corporation (the grantor in the deed dated February 7, 1975, to William B. Barnhill), are one and the same entity.
- (b) An investigation should be made concerning Minnie Warmker and if she is deceased, we Should be furnished with certified copies of the probate proceedings conducted upon her estate.
- (c) We should be furnished with a certified copy of the conveyance or other instrument pursuant to which Norma Warmker acquired her interest in Tract 218.
- (d) We should be furnished with evidence of the title of all of the above named lessors in the leases to J. V. Fritts, and assuming that the evidence of such title can be obtained and furnished to us, the above named lessors should join in a stipulation of interest agreement with William B. Barnhill and wife Catharine W. Barnhill, J. V. Fritts and wife Ruth C. Fritts, and the Good Samaritan Home setting forth their respective ownership of the mineral estate in Tract 218.

(e)

) The current mineral owners determined in accordance with the stipulation of interest discussed above should obtain a deed covering Tract 218 from Joseph Lehmann and wife Linda Herbert Lehmann, or, in the alternative, such mineral owners should conduct a quiet title suit against Joseph Lehmann and Linda Herbert Lehmann or their unknown heirs.

Mark Production Company

<u>No. 3046-A</u> June 5, 1975

(f) Of the royalty interest credited to William B. Barnhill and wife Catharine W. Barnhill, OBELIX shows the range and act (21964)

-19--

### COTTON, BLEDSOE, TIGHE, MORROW & DAWSON

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

December 19, 1975

WM, M, COTTON RGBENT C, BLEDSOE CHARLES L, HIGHE WM C, MORHOW POREIT H DAWSON TEVIS HERD RICHARD T, MEMILEAN (CHARD T, MEMILEAN JOHN A. WOODSIDE JAMEB C. CONBIDINE BARRY N. BECK

SUITE 1930 WILCO BUILDING MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

P.O. BOX 2228

No. 3046-D

#1 Federal "B"

Mark Production Company 330 Citizens Bank Bldg. Tyler, Texas 75701

> THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL DIVISION ORDER OPINION covering the #1 Federal "B" well: E/2 Section 1, Township 18 South, Range 26 East, N.M.P.M., Eddy County, New Mexico, as to gas and associated liquid hydrocarbons producible from the Morrow Formation.

### Gentlemen:

We rendered an original division order opinion covering / the captioned lands on June 5, 1975, and supplemental opinions on August 8, 1975, and September 23, 1975, and have now examined certain curative material discussed herein with respect to the title to Tract 218 of the Fairchild Farm Lands, with respect to which we previously made certain requirements under Title Requirement 16 of our original opinion.

Tract 218 contains 5.05 acres and comprises 1.578125% of the captioned unit. In our original division order opinion we analyzed a lease (Lease 27 in the opinion) dated August 9, 1973, recorded Book 106, Page 988, Miscellaneous Records of Eddy County from Anna Brown Unit of the Good Samaritan Home of Quincy, Illinois, as lessor, to Felmont Oil Corporation, as lessee, cover-ing Tract 218, purportedly being a full interest lease, providing for a primary term of two years and for royalty of 1/8 on oil and gas. In our original division order opinion we credited the production payable under this lease as follows:

J. V. Fritts and wife Ruth C. Fritts	.086304% R.I.
William B. Barnhill and	
wife Catharine W.	•
Barnhill	.086304% R.I.
Anna Brown Unit of the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Good Samaritan Home of	
Qunicy, Illinois	.024658% R.I.
Felmont Oil Corporation	1.380859% W.I.

We have previously examined a Certificate of Merger dated September 23, 1970, which reflects that the Anna Brown Home for the Aged merged into the Good Samaritan Home of Quincy, Illinois, an Illinois corporation. The supplemental abstract which we examined in rendering our original division order opinion reflected a Warranty Deed dated February 7, 1975, recorded Book 221, Page 481, Deed Records, from the Good Samaritan Home, an Illinois corporation, conveying to William B. Barnhill all of the surface rights owned by the grantor and an undivided 7/8 interest in the mineral estate owned by the grantor in Tract 218.

Mark Production Company

 $\epsilon$ 

0

ď

. : :

Ż

Ű

Submited Hearing D

 $\Omega$ 

No. 3046-b December 19, 1975

Thereafter by Deed dated February 24, 1975, recorded Book 221, Page 494, Deed Records, William B. Barnhill and wife Catharine W. Barnhill, conveyed to J. V. Fritts 1/2 of the rights acquired by William B. Barnhill in Tract 218 from Good Samaritan Home in Deed dated February 7, 1975.

The supplemental abstract also reflected the following oil and gas leases covering Tract 218, all of such leases being dated December 26, 1974, and being in favor of J. V. Fritts as lessee, being five-year paid-up leases, all providing for royalty of 1/8 on oil and gas, the recorded references being to the Miscellaneous Records of Eddy County, New Mexico:

> (1) from June Buxman Schreacke, as her separate property, recorded at 123/896;

(2)from Ella Buxman, a widow, recorded at 123/886;

from Irma Buxman Neece, recorded at 123/888; (3)

(4) from Emma Buxman, a widow, recorded at 123/890;

(5) from Eugene Buxman, dealing in his separate property, recorded at 123/892;

from Warren Buxman, dealing in his separate (6) property, recorded at 123/894; and

(7) from Alma Buxman Gloor, Ronald DeWane Buxman and Vicki Lynn Buxman, recorded at 123/963.

By Assignment dated February 3, 1975, recorded Book 124, Page 314, Miscellaneous Records, J. V. Fritts and wife Ruth C. Fritts, conveyed an undivided 1/2 interest in these leases to, William B. Barnhill.

We have reviewed your correspondence with Felmont Oil Corporation and J. V. Fritts concerning the title to the mineral and deasehold estates in Tract 218 and have also examined certain title material obtained by J. V. Fritts which will be quite helpful in the final determination of the ownership of the mineral estate, which can be determined of record only through a quiet title proceeding.

The title evidence obtained by J. V. Fritts reflects that the Good Samaritan Home owned an undivided 5/6 mineral interest in Tract 218 at the time that it executed the lease dated August 9, 1973, to Felmont Oil Corporation, and that the remaining 1/6 mineral interest was owned, in varying proportions, by the lessors in the above described oil and gas leases dated December 26, 1974, in favor of J. V. Fritts, lessee. And, pursuant to the above described Warranty Deeds dated February 7 and 24, 1975, the undivided 5/6 mineral interest originally owned by the Good Samari-tan Home is now vested in J. V. Fritts as to an undivided 7/16, in William B. Barnhill as to an undivided 7/16, with the remaining undivided 1/8 thereof still being vested in the Good Samaritan Home.

As noted in our original opinion, Joseph Lehmann acquired title to Tract 218 by Tax Deed dated October 12, 1920, and in quiet title proceedings conducted in Cause No. 3227 in the District Court of Eddy County, Joseph Lehmann quieted title to Tract 218, naming as defendants, among others, Minnie Warmker and Kate Warmker, the predecessors in title to the interests of both the Good Samaritan Home and the Buxman heirs. However, Mr. Fritts! investigation reflects that Joseph Lehmann thereafter paid taxes on Tract 218

. . . . . . . .

only for the year 1922, and the abstracts reflect that the Good Samaritan Home has been paying the taxes assessed on Tract 218 for at least the last ten years.

Title evidence obtained by Felmont Oil Corporation reflects that Joseph Lehmann died intestate in the late 1940s without issue, survived by his widow, Josephine Linda Hebert Lehmann. Apparently, probate proceedings were conducted upon the estate of Joseph Lehmann in Cause No. 11020, Probate docket, 27th Judicial District Court, St. Landry Parish, Louisiana, in 1954, which proceedings found that Josephine Linda Hebert Lehmann war the sole and only heir at law of Joseph E. Lehmann. The copy of these proceedings which we have examined reflects that certified copies of these probate proceedings from St. Landry Parish were recorded in Book 6, Page 379 of the Sundry Probate Records of Eddy County, New Mexico on June 22, 1957. Additional title evidence obtained by Felmont also reflects that Josephine Linda Hebert Lehmann died November 30, 1955, leaving a will which was probated in Cause No. 11245, Probate docket, 27th Judicial District Court of St. Landry Parish, Louisiana, and in which she devised all of her property to Mary Lee Hoover, now the wife of Harold Deville. We have not examined a copy of this will of Josephine . Linda Hebert Lehmann. One of the items of title evidence obtained by Felmont reflects that in 1957, Standard Oil Company of Texas obtained an oil and gas lease from Mary Lee Hoover Deville covering a portion of Section 12, T-18-S, R-26-E, and it is quite possible that Mary Lee Hoover Deville will be claiming title to all of the mineral estate in Tract 218.

It is therefore our opinion that the undivided 1.578125% of production from the captioned unit attributable to Tract 218 must be held in suspense pending the successful conclusion of a quiet title suit determining the ownership of the mineral and leasehold estates in Tract 218.

We would advise that you furnish to Felmont Oil Corporation and J. V. Fritts copies of all title information which you have previously submitted to us and that you should also furnish them with a copy of this supplemental opinion and await their reply with respect to their conduct of a guiet title suit.

If you have any questions, please advise.

Very truly yours,

COTTON, BLEDSOE, TIGHE, MORROW & DAWSON

Terris Her Bv: Tevis Herd

TH: cm

ag Bartan Andre Standard and Bartan Angel A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Sul atite	and the second s
Hearing Eres	an a

FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF EDDY

FILED JUN 1 2 1978 IN MY

FRANCES M. WILCOX Clerk of the District Court

MMISSION

OFFICE

### IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF EDDY COUNTY

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

J. V. FRITTS, WILLIAM B. BARNHILL, ELLA BUXMAN, IRMA NEECE, EMMA BUXMAN, EUGENE BUXMAN, WARREN BUXMAN, JUNE SCHREACKE, ALMA GLOOR, RONALD DEWAYNE BUXMAN, and VICKI LYNN MATCHINSKY (formerly Buxman),

#### Plaintiffs,

CH

learing Da

vs.

THE UNKNOWN HEIRS OF THE FOLLOWING NAMED PERSONS: MARY C. WARMKER, WILHELMINE M. WARMKER, a/k/a MINNIE WARMKER, HENRY WARMKER, LOUISA C. BUXMAN, C. RAYMOND BUXMAN, HOWARD BUXMAN, CHARLES BUXMAN, ROGER BUXMAN, JOSEPH LEHMAN, a/k/a JOSEPH E. LEHMAN, a/k/a JOSEPH LEHMANN, JOSEPHINE LINDA HEBERT LEHMANN, a/k/a JOSEPHINE LINDA HEBERT LEHMANN;

MARY LEE HOOVER DEVILLE, if living, if deceased, her unknown heirs;

ALL UNKNOWN CLAIMANTS OF INTEREST IN THE PRÉMISES ADVERSE TO THE PLAINTIFFS,

Defendants.

### FINAL DECREE

THIS MATTER coming on to be heard before me this /2 day of June, 1978, and the Plaintiffs being represented by their attorneys, Hinkle, Cox, Eaton, Coffield & Hensley of Roswell, New Mexico, and it appearing to the Court that each and all of the Defendants were served with process as required by law, and it further appearing that none of the Defendants have entered their appearance or plead herein, and that the Clerk of this Court has issued a Certificate of Non-Appearance against all Defendants herein;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that each and all of the Defendants herein be, and the same hereby are, jointly and severally, adjudged to be in default, and this proceeding may be heard without further notice to such defaulting Defendants.

This matter coming on further to be heard and the Court having heard the evidence and being fully advised in the premises, FINDS:

No. CV-78-133

That the lands involved herein are situated wholly within Eddy County, New Mexico.

That the Defendants, and each of them, have been duly served with process in accordance with law, that the time for answering has expired, and that no answers denying Plaintiffs' claims have been filed.

### III.

II.

A. That the Plaintiffs, J. V. Fritts and William B. Barnhill, are the owners, in equal shares, of the oil and gas leasehold estate covering an undivided 1/6 interest in the following described lands in Eddy County, New Mexico, to-wit:

> Tract 218 of Fairchild Farm Lands, being a part of Section 1, Township 18 South, Range 26 East, N.M.P.M., and

> Tract 264 of Fairchild Farm Lands, being a part of Section 2, Township 18 South, Range 26 East, N.M.P.M.

and are the owners in equal shares of the oil and gas leasehold estate covering an undivided 1/3 interest in the following described lands in Eddy County, New Mexico, to-wit:

> Tract 265 of Fairchild Farm Lands, being a part of Section 2, Township 18 South, Range 26 East, N.M.P.M.

B. That the above leasehold estates covering the above described lands arise under and by virtue of the following described oil and gas leases:

(1) Oil and Gas Lease dated December 26, 1974, executed by June Buxman Schreacke, as her separate property, as lessor, in favor of J. V. Fritts, as lessee, recorded in Book 123, page 896 of the Eddy County records.

(2) Oil and Gas Lease dated December 26, 1974 from Ella
 Buxman, a widow, as lessor, to J. V. Fritts, as lessee, recorded in
 Book 123, page 886 of the Eddy County records.

--2-

(3) Oil and Gas Lease dated December 26, 1974 from Irma
 Buxman Neece, as lessor, to J. V. Fritts, as lessee, recorded in
 Book 123, page 888 of the Eddy County records.

(4) Oil and Gas Lease dated December 26, 1974 from EmmaBuxman, a widow, as lessor, to J. V. Fritts, as lessee, recorded inBook 123, page 890 of the Eddy County records.

(5) Oil and Gas Lease dated December 26, 1974 from Eugene Buxman, as lessor, to J. V. Fritts, as lessee, recorded in Book 123, page 892 of the Eddy County records.

(6) Oil and Gas Lease dated December 26, 1974 from Warren Buxman, as lessor, to J. V. Fritts, as lessee, recorded in Book 123, page 894 of the Eddy County records.

(7) Oil and Gas Lease dated December 26, 1974 from Alma Buxman Gloor, Ronald DeWayne Buxman, and Vicky Lynn Buxman, now Matchinsky, as lessors, to J. V. Fritts, as lessee, recorded in Book 123, page 963 of the Eddy County records.

### IV.

That the following Plaintiffs, subject to all valid and subsisting oil and gas leases of record in Eddy County, New Mexico, are the owners in fee simple of the following described real estate situated in Eddy County, New Mexico, to-wit:

> Tract 218 of Fairchild Farm Lands, being a part of Section 1, Township 18 South, Range 26 East, N.M.P.M., and

> Tract 264 of Fairchild Farm Lands, being a part of Section 2, Township 18 South, Range 26 East, N.M.P.M.

in the undivided interests as set forth hereinbelow:

### A. Surface Estate:

Name

### Interest

J. V. Fritts	5/12
William B. Barnhill	5/12
Ella Buxman, as her separate property	10/168
Irma Neece, as her separate property	3/168
Emma Buxman, as her separate property	3/168
Eugene Buxman, as his separate property	3/168
Warren Buxman, as his separate property	3/168
June Schreacke, as her separate property	3/168
Alma Gloor, as her separate property	3/672
Ronald DeWayne Buxman, as his separate	
property	9/1344
Vicki Lynn Matchinsky (formerly Buxman),	
as her separate property	9/1344

### B. Mineral Estate (Including Oil, Gas and Other Minerals):

Name	Interest
J. V. Fritts	35/96 35/96
Ella Buxman, as her separate property Irma Neece, as her separate property	10/168 3/168
Emma Buxman, as her separate property Eugene Buxman, as his separate property	3/168 3/168
Warren Buxman, as his separate property June Schreacke, as her separate property	3/168 3/168
Alma Gloor, as her separate property Ronald DeWayne Buxman, as his separate	3/672
Vicki Lynn Matchinsky (formerly Buxman),	9/1344 9/1344
as her separate property	2/ 1344

That the Anna Brown Unit of the Good Samaritan Home of Quincy, Illinois, an Illinois corporation, is the owner of the remaining undivided 5/6 of 1/8 interest in the oil, gas and other minerals under the above Tracts 218 and 264.

v.

That the following Plaintiffs, subject to all valid and subsisting oil and gas leases of record in Eddy County, New Mexico, are the owners in fee simple of the following described real estate situated in Eddy County, New Mexico, to-wit:

> Tract 265 of Fairchild Farm Lands, being a part of Section 2, Township 18 South, Range 26 East, N.M.P.M.

in the undivided interests as set forth hereinbelow:

A. <u>Surface Estate</u>:

### Name

Interest

J. V. Fritts	1/3
William B. Barnhill	1/3
Ella Buxman, as her separate property	10/84
Irma Neece, as her separate property	3/84
Emma Buxman, as her separate property	3/84
Eugene Buxman, as his separate property	3/84
Warren Buxman, as his separate property	3/84
June Schreacke, as her separate property	3/84
Alma Gloor, as her separate property	3/336
Ronald DeWayne Buxman, as his separate	
property	9/672
Vicki Lynn Matchinsky (formerly Buxman),	-
as her separate property	9/672

### B. Mineral Estate (Including Oil, Gas and Other Minerals):

Name

### Interest

J. V. Fritts	7/24
William B. Barnhill	7/24
Ella Buxman, as her separate property	10/84
Irma Neece, as her separate property	3/84
Emma Buxman, as her separate property	3/84
Eugene Buxman, as his separate property	3/84
Warren Buxman, as his separate property	3/84
June Schreacke, as her separate property	3/84
Alma Gloor, as her separate property	3/336
Ronald DeWayne Buxman, as his separate	· .
property	9/672
Vicki Lynn Matchinsky (formerly Buxman),	• • • •
as her separate property	9/672

That the Anna Brown Unit of the Good Samaritan Home of Quincy, Illinois, an Illinois corporation, is the owner of the remaining undivided 2/3 of 1/8 interest in the oil, gas and other minerals under the above Tract 265.

VI.

A. Mary C. Warmker was never married during her lifetime. She died intestate in the 1920's in Illinois, leaving as her sole and only heirs at law her three sisters and one brother: Wilhelmine Warmker, a/k/a Minnie Warmker, Katherine V. Warmker, a/k/a Kate Warmker, Louisa C. Buxman and Henry Warmker.

B. Wilhelmine M. Warmker, a/k/a Minnie Warmker, was never married during her lifetime, and she died intestate in Adams County, Illinois in 1940, survived by her sister, Katherine V. Warmker, a/k/a Kate Warmker, a nephew, C. Raymond Buxman, the sole and only heir of her predeceased sister, Louisa C. Buxman, and by one niece, Norma Warmker, the sole and only heir of her predeceased brother, Henry Warmker.

C. C. Raymond Buxman died intestate on June 28, 1947 survived by his widow, Ella Buxman, and seven children, Howard Buxman, Irma Buxman Neece, Warren Buxman, June Buxman Schreacke, Roger Buxman, Charles Buxman and Eugene Buxman, as his sole and only heirs at law.

D. Howard Buxman died intestate on April 17, 1966, without issue, and was survived by his widow, Emma Buxman, as his sole and only heir at law. E. Charles Buxman, who was never married during his lifetime, died intestate on June 15, 1948, survived by his mother, Ella Buxman, as his sole and only heir at law.

F. Roger Buxman died intestate on June 5, 1953, survived by his widow, Alma Buxman, now Gloor, and two children, Ronald DeWayne Buxman and Vicki Lynn Buxman, now Matchinsky, as his sole and only heirs at law.

G. Joseph Lehman, a/k/a Joseph E. Lehman, a/k/a Joseph Lehmann died intestate on August 3, 1946 in New Orleans, Louisiana, without issue. His estate was administered in Cause No. 11020, Probate Docket, 27th Judicial District Court, St. Landry Parish, Louisiana, and his sole and only heir at law was his widow, Josephine Linda Hebert Lehman.

H. Josephine Linda Hebert Lehman, a/k/a Josephine Linda Hebert Lehmann, died testate on November 30, 1955, without issue, and she was predeceased by her husband, Joseph Lehman. Her Will was probated in Cause No. 11245, Probate Docket, 27th Judicial District Court, St. Landry Parish, Louisiana, and under the terms of her Will, her entire estate was devised and bequeathed to Mary Lee Hoover, now DeVille. She was not survived by any issue.

BASED UPON THE ABOVE FINDINGS OF FACT, THE COURT CONCLUDES AS A MATTER OF LAW:

I.

That the Court has jurisdiction of the parties hereto and the subject matter hereof.

### II.

That Plaintiffs, J. V. Fritts and William B. Barnhill, are entitled to a decree quieting their interests in the lands and leases described in Finding of Fact III above.

### III.

That the Plaintiffs, J. V. Fritts, William B. Barnhill, Ella Buxman, Erma Neece, Emma Buxman, Eugene Buxman, Warren Buxman, June Schreacke, Alma Gloor, Ronald DeWayne Buxman, and Vicki Lynn

-6-

Matchinsky (formerly Buxman) are entitled to a decree quieting their fee simple interests in the lands and in the proportions as set forth in Findings of Fact IV and V above.

### IV.

That the heir or heirs at law of:

A. Mary C. Warmker, at the date of her death, were three sisters and one brother, Wilhelmine M. Warmker, a/k/a Minnie Warmker, Katherine V. Warmker, a/k/a Kate Warmker, Louisa C. Buxman and Henry Warmker.

B. Louisa C. Buxman, at the date of her death, was her son,C. Raymond Buxman.

C. Henry Warmker, at the date of his death, was his daughter, Norma Warmker.

D. Wilhelmine M. Warmker, a/k/a Minnie Warmker, at the date of her death were her sister, Katherine V. Warmker, a/k/a Kate Warmker, a nephew, C. Raymond Buxman, and a niece, Norma Warmker.

E. C. Raymond Buxman, at the date of his death, were his widow, Ella Buxman, and seven children, Howard Buxman, Irma Buxman Neece, Warren Buxman, June Buxman Schreacke, Roger Buxman, Charles Buxman and Eugene Buxman.

F. Howard Buxman, at the date of his death, was his widow, Emma Buxman.

G. Charles Buxman, at the date of his death, was his mother, Ella Buxman.

H. Roger Buxman, at the date of his death, were his widow, Alma Buxman, now Gloor, and two children, Ronald DeWayne Buxman and Vicki Lynn Buxman, now Matchinsky.

I. Joseph Lehman, a/k/a Joseph E. Lehman, a/k/a Joseph Lehmann, at the date of his death, was his widow, Josephine Linda Hebert Lehmann.

That Josephine Linda Hebert Lehman, a/k/a Josephine Linda Hebert Lehmann, at the date of her death, was not survived by any spouse or issue.

-7-

v.

WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the title, interests and estates of Plaintiffs, J. V. Fritts and William B. Barnhill, in and to the lands and leases as set forth in Finding of Fact III above be, and the same hereby are, established and quieted against the Defendants, and each of them; and said Defendants, and each of them, and all persons claiming by, through or under them, are forever barred and estopped from having or claiming any lien upon, right to, or title in the estates of these Plaintiffs adverse thereto; and that said Plaintiffs' estates in and to said lands and leases, as set forth in Finding of Fact III above, be, and the same hereby are, forever quieted and set at rest.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the title, interests and estates of Plaintiffs, J. V. Fritts, William B. Barnhill, Ella Buxman, Irma Neece, Emma Buxman, Eugene Buxman, Warren Buxman, June Schreacke, Alma Gloor, Ronald DeWayne Buxman, and Vicki Lynn Matchinsky (formerly Buxman) in and to the lands and proportions as set forth in Findings of Fact IV and V above be, and the same hereby are, established and quieted against the Defendants, and each of them; an that said Defendants, and each of them, and all persons claiming by, through or under them, are forever barred and estopped from having or claiming any lien upon, right to, or title in the fee simple estates of these Plaintiffs adverse thereto; and that said Plaintiffs' estates in fee simple in and to said lands and proportions as set forth in Findings of Fact IV and V above, be, and the same hereby are, forever quieted and set at rest.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

1. That the heir or heirs of law of:

3

a. Mary C. Warmker, at the date of her death, were three sisters and one brother, Wilhelmine M. Warmker, a/k/a Minnie Warmker, Katherine V. Warmker, a/k/a Kate Warmker, Louisa C. Buxman and Henry Warmker.

-8-

b. Louisa C. Buxman, at the date of her death, was her son, C. Raymond Buxman.

c. Henry Warmker, at the date of his death, was his daughter, Norma Warmker.

d. Wilhelmine M. Warmker, a/k/a Minnie Warmker, at the date of her death, were her sister, Katherine V. Warmker, a/k/a Kate Warmker, a nephew, C. Raymond Buxman, and a niece, Norma Warmker.

e. C. Raymond Buxman, at the date of his death, were his widow, Ella Buxman, and seven children, Howard Buxman, Irma Buxman Neece, Warren Buxman, June Buxman Schraecke, Roger Buxman, Charles Buxman and Eugene Buxman.

f. Howard Buxman, at the date of his death, was his widow, Emma Buxman.

g. Charles Buxman, at the date of his death, was his mother, Ella Buxman.

h. Roger Buxman, at the date of his death, were his widow, Alma Buxman, now Gloor, and two children, Ronald DeWayne Buxman and Vicki Lynn Buxman, now Matchinsky.

Joseph Lehman, a/k/a Joseph E. Lehman, a/k/a Joseph
 Lehmann, at the time of his death, was his widow, Josephine Linda
 Hebert Lehmann.

2. That Josephine Linda Hebert Lehman, a/k/a Josephine Linda Hebert Lehmann, at the date of her death, was not survived by any spouse or issue.

DONE in the District Court of Eddy County the day, month and year first above written.

-9-

JL. B. Walker DISTRICT JUDGE

CERTIFIED A TRUE COPY ENTERED ON have 12, 19.78 FRANCES M. WILCOX Clerk of the District Court 1J 14 Deputy 0

# IN THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT CO\_RT

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO, COUNTY OF EDDY, SS. FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF EDDY

J. V. Fritts, William B. Barnhill, Ella Buzman, Irma Neece, FILED JUN - 6 1978 IN MY Erra Buzman, Eugene Buzman, Warren Buzman, June Schreacke, Alma 11:00 W OFFICE Gloor, Ronald Dewayne Buzman, and Vicki Lynn Matchinsky (formerly FRANCES M. WILCOX Buzman), Clerk of the District Court

Plaintiffs,

CV-78-13

The Unknown Heirs of the Following Named Persons: Mary C. Warnker, Wilhelmine M. Warnker a/k/a Minnie Warnker, Henry Warnker, Louisa C. Burnan, C. Raymond Burnan, Howard Burnan, Charles Burnan, Roger Burnan, Joseph Lehman a/k/a Joseph E. Lehman, a/k/a Joseph Lehmarn, Josephine Linca Hebert Lehman a/k/a Josephine Linda Hebert Lehmarn;

Mary Lee Hoover Deville, if living, if deceased, her unknown heirs;

vs.

3

-All-Unknown Claimants of interest in the Premises Adverse to the Plaintiffs,

Defendants.

# Certificate of Non-Appearance

I Frances M. Wilcox	Clerk of the District Court, within the Fifth Judicial
District of the State of New Mexico, within and for the County of	Eddy so hereby certify that a Complaint in the above
entitled cause was filed in my office on the 12th	
It appears from the affidavit of Publication signed by	E. C. Cantwell, publisher of the
Carlsbad, Current-Argusa legal n	ewspaper printed in Eddy County, N. M., that the said
defendent. The Unknown Heirs of the Following Na (as shown above)	med Person: Mary C. Warkker, et al
legally served with process by four publication and the last publication being dated <u>May 9, 1978</u> my office <u>16 th</u> day of <u>May</u> I further certify that no appearance for the said defendant.	, as shown by the atfidavit thereon, filed in
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
; ;	
Witness my hand and seal of said court this <u>6th</u>	day of June , A. D., 19 78.

Frances M. Wilcox

CLERK

October 6, 1978

Mr. Curtis W. Mewbourne President Mewbourne Oil Company P. O. Box 7698 Tyler, Texas 75711

Rei F

### Federal "B" No. 1, Eddy County, New Mexico Tract 218 of Fairchild Farm Lands in E<sub>2</sub> Sec. 1-163-268 Division Order No. 2-012

Dear Mr. Mewbourne:

I own a small working interest in the above well which you operate, plus a smaller royalty interest. The same percentages are held by Wm. B. Barnhill.

My share of the production from this well had been in suspense pending a quiet title suit required by your attorney. This requirement has been met, and by your check dated 9-11-78 I was paid \$3,609.00 as my share of production commencing in June 1975 and running through June 1978. I questioned this payment, and have had some correspondence with your staff about the matter but still maintain I have not been properly paid, nor has Mr. Barnhill. I therefore will appreciate it if you and your staff will recompute the shares due us.

After finally receiving an accounting of the total cost of the well, on October 2, 1978, I find you are charging me 300% of the total well costs by including my interest in the Felmont Oil Company interest (which you show as .01578 in the statements of costs furnished to Felmont. If you refer to your final division order you will find that Felmont only has .0150715 interest. My working interest and that of Mr. Barnhill have no connection whatever with Felmont Oil and we should not be charged a penalty because Felmont was force pooled and elected to take the 300% route.

The pooling order provided for a penalty above the well costs attributable to each of the non-consenting working interest owners who had not paid his share of the estimated well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs was furnished to him. No such estimate of costs was ever served upon the heirs of C. Raymond Buxman whose interests were not under lease at the time of the order, nor was any such estimate of costs ever served upon Barnhill or myself after we acquired oil and gas leases from these owners. On the contrary, in a series of letters commencing January 20, 1975, I have offered to pay my share of such costs.

With your check of 9-11-78 I was furnished a statement of gross values only for gas and liquids for June 1975 through June 1978, but you deleted such values for gas for the period of February 77 through September 77, saying such sales went to Trigg account to balance under a gas balancing agreement. Mr. Curtis W. Meybourne

Since I had not received any payment whatever for anything prior to the 9-11-78 check I certainly am not liable for any underpayment which you may have made to Trigg or anyone else. I am entitled to my share of 100% of the production.

On 9-22-78 in response to my request for a complete accounting your company sent me another statement of values and on this one was shown the gas sales for February 77 through September 77, again all values shown being gross figures. The accompanying letter said the gross values on the statement could be reduced about 6% for taxes and thus arrive at the net values. Based on the gross values furnished on 9-22-78 and estimated taxes at 6% to reach net values, I celculate that my share of production should be as follows:

·		Gross value for gas June 7 Gross value for oil * Combined gross: Less estimated taxes of	/5-June u		2,984,887 330,211 3,315,098 108
		Estimated taxes	• , •	. 3	265,208
My RI: .00071919 My WI: .00115072 .00186991		Combined gross: Less estimated træs: liet value after taxes: t value:	2	15,098 65,208 49,890 6991	
	н. 1. л	Ny total interests	\$	5,703	• •
Well costs of: X my WI of: My share of cos	\$145,055 .00115072 ots: نه 511			,	•
· · · ·	Newbourne 011 our	es me for my total interest:	\$	5,703	
	I owe Meubourne ( Amount due i	Dil for cost of well:	\$	511 5,192	•
	Amount Newbourne Amount still	Oil has already paid: L due ma:	' <del>Ş</del>	3,609	1. 

Since Wm. B. Barnhill owns exactly the same interests in this well he has been under paid the same amount as I have calculated for myself.

### Yours very truly,

I. V. Fritts P. O. Born Acc.	
BEFORE Boswell, New Mex	C
OIL CONSTRUCTION COMMERSION Sonta Po, Now Monico	
Case No. 6489 Entribit No. 2	
Submitted, by Newbourne	
Hearing Date	

cc: Wm. B. Barnhill Box 1354 Roswell, New Mexico 88201

### BETARE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEX. )

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 5267 Order No. R-4831

APPLICATION OF MARK PRODUCTION COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

### BY THE COMMISSION:

- - - -

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on July 10, 1974, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel S. Nutter.

NOW, on this <u>30th</u> day of July, 1974, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Mark Production Company, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the E/2 of Section 1, Township 18 South, Range 26 East, NMPM, Atoka-Pennsylvanian Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant has the right to drill and proposes to drill a well at a location 990 feet from the South line and 990 feet from the East line of said Section 1.

(4) That there are interest owners in the proposed proration unit who have not agreed to pool their interests.

(5) That to avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, to protect correlative rights, and to afford to the owner of each interest in said unit the opportunity to recover or receive without unnecessary expense his just and fair share of the gas in said pool, the subject application should be approved by pooling all mineral interests, whatever they may be, within said unit.

(6) That the applicant should be designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

1	A STATE OF A
	OIL CONSEDUCE THE
1	Santa F
	Santa Fe, New Moxico Case No. 6489 Exhibit No. 3
s	Submitted by rewhy
ŀ	learing Date
	and the second se

-2-Case No. 5207 Order No. R-4831

(7) That any non-consenting working interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production.

(8) That any non-consenting working interest owner that does not pay his share of estimated well costs should have withheld from production his share of the reasonable well costs plus an additional 200 percent thereof as a reasonable charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well.

(9) That any non-consenting interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to object to the actual well costs but that actual well costs should be adopted as the reasonable well costs in the absence of such objection.

(10) That following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs should pay to the operator any amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and should receive from the operator any amount that paid estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

(11) That \$175.00 per month should be fixed as a reasonable charge for supervision (combined fixed rates); that the operator should be authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such supervision charge attributable to each nonconsenting working interest, and in addition thereto, the operator should be authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of actual expenditures required for operating the subject well, not in excess of what are reasonable, attributabto each non-consenting working interest.

(12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason should be placed in escrow to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership.

(13) That upon the failure of the operator of said pooled unit to commence drilling of the well to which said unit is dedicated on or before November 1, 1974, the order pooling said unit should become null and void and of no effect whatsoever.

### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That all mineral interests, whatever they may be, in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the E/2 of Section 1, Township 18 South, Range 26 East, NMPM, Atoka-Pennsylvanian Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, are hereby pooled to form a standard 320-acre gas spacing and provation unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a location 990 feet from the South line and 990 feet from the East line of said Section 1. -3-Case No. 526, Order No. R-4831

PROVIDED HOWEVER, that the operator of said unit shall commence the drilling of said well on or before the 1st day of November, 1974, and shall thereafter continue the drilling of said well with due diligence to a depth sufficient to test the Pennsylvanian formation;

PROVIDED FURTHER, that in the event said operator does not commence the drilling of said well on or before the 1st day of November, 1974, Order (1) of this order shall be null and void and of no effect whatsoever;

PROVIDED FURTHER, that should said well not be drilled to completion, or abandonment, within 120 days after commencement thereof, said operator shall appear before the Commission and show cause why Order (1) of this order should not be rescinded.

(2) That Mark Production Company is hereby designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(3) That after the effective date of this order and within 30 days prior to commencing said well, the operator shall furnish the Commission and each known working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized schedule of estimated well costs.

(4) That within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him, any non-consenting working interest owner shall have the right to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production, and that any such owner who pays his share of estimated well costs as provided above shall remain liable for operating costs but shall not be liable for risk charges.

(5) That the operator shall furnish the Commission and each known working interest owner an itemized schedule of actual well costs within 90 days following completion of the well; that if no objection to the actual well costs is received by the Commission and the Commission has not objected within 45 days following receipt of said schedule, the actual well costs shall be the reasonable well costs; provided however, that if there is an objection to actual well costs within said 45-day period the Commission will determine reasonable well costs after public notice and hearing.

(6) That within 60 days following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs in advance as provided above shall pay to the operator his pro rata share of the amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and shall receive from the operator his pro rata share of the amount that estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs. -4-Case No. 520/ Order No. R-4831

(7) That the operator is hereby authorized to withhold the following costs and charges from production:

- (A) The pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him.
- (B) As a charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well, 200 per cent of the pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him.

(8) That the operator shall distribute said costs and charges withheld from production to the parties who advanced the well costs.

(9) That \$175.00 per month is hereby fixed as a reasonable charge for supervision (combined fixed rates); that the operator is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportional share of such supervision charge attributable to each nonconsenting working interest, and in addition thereto, the operato is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionat share of actual expenditures required for operating such well, not in excess of what are reasonable, attributable to each nonconsenting working interest.

(10) That any unsevered mineral interest shall be considered a seven-eighths (7/8) working interest and a one-eighth (1/8) royalty interest for the purpose of allocating costs and charges under the terms of this order.

(11) That any well costs or charges which are to be paid out of production shall be withheld only from the working interests share of production, and no costs or charges shall be withheld from production attributable to royalty interests.

(12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason shall be placed in escrow in Eddy County, New Mexico, to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership; that the operator shall notify the Commission of the name and address of said escrow agent within 90 days from the date of this order.

(13) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary. -5-Case No. 5267 Order No. R-4831

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

هر /\_\_\_\_ I. R. TRUJILLO, Chairman



ć.

ALEX J. ARMIJO, Member 11

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

L

SEAL

dr/

### August 5, 1974

Mr. Joe Miller Felmont Oil Corporation Wilkinson-Foster Building Nidland, Texas 79701

> Re: Mark Production Company #1 Federal "B" E/2 Section 1, T-18-S, R-26-E Eddy County, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

Attached you will find an estimated well cost for the subject well in the total amount of \$403,277.00. Your net interest in the provation unit is calculated to be 1.578% of the working interest.

Also attached you will find an invoice in the amount of \$6,363.71, which is your portion of the estimated well cost. Your portion of the estimated well cost must be paid within thirty (30) days from the date hereof. You will be furnished an itemized schedule of actual well costs following completion of the well and any adjustments shall be made at that time between your advance of the estimated well cost and the actual cost.

All mineral interests within the subject proration unit have been pooled by Order of the Oil Conservation Commission and the above set out procedure for advance payments of estimated well cost has been set out by the Commission.

Very truly yours,

Curtis W. Mewbourne

CWM:Bjd

Attachments

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
Santa Fe, New Mexico Case No. 6489 Exhibit No. 4
Submitted by multure
Hearing Date

### ESTIMATED COST TO CASING POINT:

Ĺ

Survey and stake	\$ 200
Road and location	8,000
Surface damages and clean up	2,000
Drilling: Footage - 9600' @ \$13.35	128,160
Daywork - 4 days @ \$2,500	10,000
- 1 day @ \$2,400	2,400
Sample logging unit - 14 days @ \$140	1,960
Cement and cementing services	6,130
Conduction pipe - 200' of 16" @ \$12.29	2,458
Surface casing - 2000' of 8-5/8" @ \$9.00	18,000
Mud and chemicals and brine	25,500
Drillstom tostu - 3 @ \$1,350	4,050
Geological services	
Drilling overhead	1,450
Production supervision	1,050
Logging	5,700
Legal services	3,750
Equipment rental	1,000
Welding	300
Travel Expense	450
Trucking	1,800
New Mexico Tax @ 4%	9,010

\$234,268

### ESTIMATED COMPLETION COSTS:

	Producing casing - 9700' of 4-1/2" @ \$7.00	67,900	
	Cement and cementing services	5,000	
	Corrolation log and perforating	2,425	4
	Temperature survey and BHP	400	
	Treating	6,833	6
	Equipment rental.	750	
	Welding	400	
	Completion unit	3,000	
	Tubing - 9600' of 2-3/8" @ \$2.50	24,000	
	Float equipment and centralizers	850	
	Packer	1,550	
	Tank battery and flow lines	10,000	
	Wellhead	5,478	
	Stakpack - 750 BTU	13,200	
	Completion overhead and supervision	2,100	
•	Roustabout work, lines and connections	3,750	
	Legal services	500	
	Miscellaneous	1,000	
	Rig anchors	213	
	Safety control valves	1,460	
	Travel Expense	400	
	Dehydrator	9,200	
	Trucking	2,100	
	New Mexico Tax @ 4%	6,500	

169,009

\_\_\_\_\_

\$403,277

APPROVED:				
COMPANY :	· · · · ·	· · · · · · ·		
BY:				
DATE:			•	

TOTAL

MARK PRODUCTION COMPANY

BY:\_\_\_\_\_ DATE:

# August 6, 1974

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission ( P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

### Re: Case No. 5267 Order No. R-4831

### Gentlemen:

To comply with the Order of the Commission in Case No. 5267, you will find attached an itemized schedule of the estimated well costs for the #1 Pederal "B", located in the E/2 of Section 1, T-18-S, R-26-E, Eddy County, New Mexico.

These estimated well costs have been furnished to each known working interest owner with a request that each participate in the well and pay his share of the estimated well cost to the operator.

Very truly yours,

Curtis W. Mewbourne

CWM:Bjd

Attachment

THE ADDRESS OF CALLS IN THE TY A PLAN AND ADDRESS OF CALLS	Ł
BEFORE THE	ļ
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Pe, New Mexico	
Case No. 6489 Extent No. 5	a jaran ang
Case No. 670	1-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01
Submitted Ly Multime	
Hearing Dale	~

# August 6, 1974

Felmont Oil Corporation Wilkinson-Foster Building Midland, Texas 79701

Re: Mark Production Company #1 Federal "B" E/2 Section 1, T-18-S, R-26-E Eddy County, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

You will find attached two (2) copies of the Operating Agreement on the subject well. If these meet with your approval, please execute and return to this office one (1) copy, while retaining the second copy for your files.

Very truly yours,

Curtis W. Mewbourne

### CWM:Bjd

## Attachments



FELMORT OIL CORPORATION + P. O. BOX 2266 + 18 CATHD, TEXAS 7970X + 915 662 52

R H 831 60% 52.67

August 9, 1974

Mark Production Company 330 Citizens Bank Building Tyler, Texas 75701

Attention: Mr. Curtis W. Mewbourne

Re: Mark Production Company #1 Federal "B" E/2 Section 1, T18S, R26E Eddy County, New Mexico

1 (A)

Dear Sir:

We have received this date, your letter of August 5, 1974, enclosing an invoice for Felmont's share of the captioned well and AFE for same.

Felmont Oil Corporation has recently finished the drilling of two Morrow tests within the same field as your captioned well. Our wells have been drilled, completed and placed on production for approximately \$225,000, whereas your AFE states a cost of 403,000 plus dollars. You have also requested an advance payment of our share of this well without giving an immediate spud date or notice of rig availability or copies of any pooling designation. Your letter also refers to an Order of the Oil and Gas Commission from the State of New Mexico, whereby you state that the advance payment procedure has been set by the Commission and verbally, you have advised our Mr. Joe Hiller that if we elected to go non-consent that the Commission has set a 300% penalty. We have not been advised or notified of any forced pooling hearings in regard to our interest. In order that we may completely evaluate our position in this property, as outlined by you as to the penalty provisions, please send us copies of the Oil and Gas Commission orders as well as justification for the unreasonable high AFE.

Felmont Oil Corporation is an old established reputable firm and only in rare instances, where there have been a great number of operators, have we been requested to furnish advance money, our credit

	THE PART STORE STREET, SHE WAS DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY STREET, ST
BEFO	RE THE
OIL CONSERV	ATION COMMISSION
Senia Fo	s, Now Mexico
Case No. 64 89	Hxhibit No. 2
Submitted by	mentione
Hearing Date	
	ومحمد والمستعمل والمستعجر فيترك وستنفذ ومنتعدهم المتحد فتنافع المراجع والمحاري والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع

August 9, 1974 Page 2

is extremely good, and then only within 20 days prior to actual drilling commenced on said well. Of course Felmont, as always, will abide with the rules of governmental agencies involved.

### Very truly yours,

FELMONT OIL CORPORATION

They - Own

T. Verne Dwyer Vice President

TVD:dc

cc: Oil and Gas Commission State of New Mexico

e			CT-∆ T-P	-c 6	UBMIT IN	DUR	TE•	F	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
2		MENT O	F THE	INTERI	OR		e nuer		UNNATION AND RENTAL NO.
WELL COM		OR RECO	MPLETIO	N REPO	RT AN	ID LOC			ALLOTTER OR TRIBD NAME
1. TYPE OF WELL;				[				INIT AUAT	EMENT NAME
b. TYPE OF COMPL	ETION:			•		4			
			De DIFF."	U Other	·	·		ransi on i Federa	T TIRTI
Mark Produc		ny -1	. e*		. 7	•		WRILL NO.	
3. ADDREBS OF UPERAT		· · ·		-	•	•		L	POOL OR WILDCAT
330 Citizen 4. LOCATION OF WELL	8 Bank Bl	dges Tyler	Texas	75701 Th any State i	equircmer	14)*		Atoka	-
	* FSL & 9			E E	•	1	11.	REC., T., 1 OR AREA	L. H., OR BLOCK AND BURYET
At top, prode inter-	val reported be	low				:		L=185=	26E
At total depth		អ្នក ដ ស្រុក គ្រួ ស្រុ	น ม	. n		i	·		
			- 14. PERMI	17 NO. F.	DATE	183UID		COUNTY O	New Mexico
		RACHED 17. DAT		-	18. ELB	-	F, RKB, RT, GR	, ETC.)*	19. ELEV. CASINGHEAD
8-30-74   20. TOTAL DEPTH, MD A	9-28-74 TVD   21. FLU	. I ] 0, back t.D., MD &	11-22-74 TVD   22. II	MULTIPLE C	MPL.	1 23. INTE		TARY TOO	LS CABLE TOOLB
9248 <sup>1</sup>		9233 <sup>*</sup>	-   H	OW MANI*		DRIL	LED BT	XX	
24. PRODUCING INTERV	AL(8), OF THIS	COMPLETION-TO	P, BOTTON, NA	NE (ND AND	TVD)*	:	• :		25. WAS DIRECTIONAL BUEVET MADE
9039 - 9	076 <sup>1</sup> - Ma	orrow .				•			Yes
26. TYPE ELECTRIC AN		RUN 1 I	· ·			:	4.	.	27. WAS WELL CORED
Acoustic Ve	locity	<u></u>	INC RECORT	) (Report all a	Irinas set	in mell)	······································	<u> </u>	No
CASINO SIZE	WEIGHT, LB.	<b>1</b>	ET (MD)	HOLE SIZE			ENTING RECO	RD .	ANOUNT PULLED
16"	65#		200*	20"			240 sks	- <u></u>	None
<u>8-5/8"</u> 5-1/2"	<u>32</u> # → 24 17# → 15		,970 <sup>1</sup> ,248 <sup>1</sup>	<u>11"</u> 7-7/8	<del>n -  </del>		350 sks 700 sks		<u>None</u>
		e							
29. 8125	TOP (ND) ···	LINER RECORI	BACKS CENI	NTO I ACAPE	N (MD)	30. 812B		NG RECO	
						2-3		89581	8965
31. FERFORATION RECO	The flaternal a	ing and sumber)				<u> </u>			
9039 <sup>1</sup> , 9042			51 <sup>1</sup> , 905	2 <sup>1</sup> DEPT	A INTERV.			<b>*</b>	D OF NATERIAL USED
90561, 9059	<sup>1</sup> , 9064 <sup>1</sup>	90671, 90			9 - 90	76	2,600 g	als ac	:1d
9076 <sup>1</sup> - 13,	, 1/2" hol	les -			· · ·			a. <u>ti</u>	
		·	1		-	- <u> </u>			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
33." PATE FIRST PRODUCTIO		UCTION METHOD		PRODUCTIO		tune of num	f ( d #	- WETE	STATI'N (Producing Gr
11-20-74		lowing	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,.,,,		ישק עי געע י	**		Shut-in
DATE OF TEAT	HOURS TESTED	CHOKE BIZE	PROD'N. - TBOT -PE	FOR OIL-		GA8-M		TER-BIL	GAN-OIL RATIO
11-26-74	1 Hr CABING PRESSU	RE CALCULATED	OIL-BB	<u>→ '</u> ]	33 0.48мср.	33	WATER-BBL	0	OIL GRAVITY-API (COBL.)
2420	Pkr		79	2	8,13	86	0		59 <sup>0</sup>
34. DISPOSITION OF CAL Sold - Sout			-				TE	W. H.	, Cravey
35. LIST OF ATTACHM	ENTS				•				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Acoustic Ve 36. I hereby cortify t	LOCITY Lo	ng and attached	information for	rvey complete and	correct	as determin	ed from all a	vallable r	records
SIGNED Say	Joel !	$\mathcal{P}$ .	, <sub>1</sub> , ,	E Assis	•				Dec. 10, 1974
					ىر_مىيەرم	CULCLA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DAII	

BEFORE THE OIL CONCLEWATION COMMISSION Source For Work Molilico Ceso No. 6489 Intellit No. 8 Submitted by Werburg Heating Dale\_

FELMONT OIL CORPORATION + P. O. BOX 2266 + MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701 + 915 682:5231

JOE D. MILLER

March 12, 1975

Mark Production Company 1007 Gihls Tower West Midland, Texas 79701

Re: Tract 218, Section 1, T-18-S, R-26-E, Eddy County, New Mexico Our File: FNML-4994

Gentlemen:

Felmont Oil Corporation is the owner of certain leasehold interest in the captioned land, and we have been advised that Mark Production Company has completed a producing well on Tract 218 in Section 1, T-18-S, R-26-E in Eddy County, New Mexico. We are knowledgeable that we have been forced pooled into this Unit in order to protect not only ourselves but our royalty owners.

Would you please advise us the status of royalty distribution and the cost of drilling and completing this well in order that we may keep current with the payout status? We would also appreciate any information regarding gas and distillate contracts which you may negotiate.

For your files, we are enclosing a copy of our Oil, Gas and Mineral Lease dated August 9, 1973 from Anna Brown Unit of the Good Samarita. Home of Quincy to Felmont covering the captioned property, as well as two Warranty Deeds covering a change in mineral ownership.

Very truly yours,

FELMONT OIL CORPORATION

en

T. Verne Dwyer Vice President

TVD:mms Enclosures

cc: Mr. I. R. Trujillo, Chairman State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Santa Fe, NM 87501

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
Santa Fa, Marí Mexico
Care No. 6189 Extended No. 9
Submitted by menture
•
Heating Date

			,	
1	OIL, GAS A	AND MINER	AL LEASE	
	0+1		73	

THIS AGREEMENT, Mode this the Anna Brown Unit of the Good Samaritan Home of Quincy,

2130 Harrison Street, Quincy, Illinois 62301

avision-Taxas Standard Form

Producers 23 (Revised-Texas) With 840-Acre Ponling

band terem to end taket toriel ballion tertanistance or marsh and Felmont Oil Corporation hareinaftar colled lesses

1

1. Lessor, in consideration of <u>ten and no/100</u> Dollars (5 10.00). It is hard paid, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, and of the royalties herein provided and of the agreements of the lesses herein contained, hereby giant, leaves and lets exclusively unto lesses for the purpose of investigating, making issimagraph or other geophysical or geological tests and surveys, exploring, prospecting, drilling, mining and operating for and producting oil, gas and oil other interests, interest, where no products and oil other interests, herein to produce and other structures and things thereon to produce, save, take care of, treat, process, taba disconce the temport

neid micerals and other products manufactured therefrom the following described land in \_\_\_\_\_\_ Eddy County, New Mexico

Tract 320 of Section 12 and Tract 218 of Section 1, both in Township 18 South, Range 26 East of the NMPM, and in Fairchilds' Farmlands as shown by the plat of record in the office of the probate clerk and ex officio recorder of said county and state and containing 10 acres, more or less.

In the event a resurvey of sold lands shall reveal the existence of excess and/or vacant lands lying adjacent to the above described land and the lessor, his rs or assigns shall by virtue of his ownership of the lands above described have preference right to acquire said excess and/or vacant lands, then in that event s lesse shall cover and include such excess and/or vacant lands which the lessor, his heirs or assigns shall have the preference right to acquire by virtue of his ownership of the lands which the lessor, his heirs or assigns shall have the preference right to acquire by virtue of this owners of the land above described as and when acquired by the lessor; bid the lessor for the such excess and/or vacant lands of the such excess and/or vacant ends which the purpose of calculating the rental payments hereinafter provided for, sold land la

t<u>en</u> whether it actually comprises

estimated to comprise <u>ten</u> 2. This leave shall remain its for a term of PEX years from this date (called "primary term") and as long therefore as oil, gas, casinghead gas, casinghead, gas, casinghead gas, casinghead gas, casinghead gas,

anous resiminate as to both parties, unless on or before one (1) year from this date lessee shall pay or tender to the lessor of to the credit of lessor in this date, this leads before the privilege of defaring commencement of such operations for a period of one (1) year from this date lessee shall pay or tender to the lessor or to the credit of lessor in the depository back herein named, a rental of <u>ten and no/100</u> Dollors (5 10.00 like payments or tenders, annually, the commencement of operations for dilling may be further deferred for successive periods of one (1) year each during the primary term, fayment or tenders, annually, the commencement of operations for a period of one (1) year each during the primary term, fayment or tender may be made to the lessor or to the <u>State Bank & Trust Company</u> <u>assue of Quincy, Illinois</u> which bonk, or any successor thereof, shall continue to be the agent for the lessor and lessor's successors and asigns. It such back for any successor fail, or refuse to accept rental, lessee shall not be held in defoult until thirty (30) days ofter lessor shall deliver to lessee a recordable instrument designating another depository bank, and any depository charge is a liability of the lessor. The payment or tender of rental and shutin gas well regains may be made by check or draft of lessee, mailed or delivered to said bank or lessor, or either lessor, if more than one, on or before the rental paying date.

before the rental paying date. 5. Lessee is hereby granted the right to consolidate or unitize this lease, the land covered by it or any part or parts thereof as to all strate or any stratum with any other lond, lease, feases or parts thereof as to all strate or any stratum for the production of oil, gas, or any other mineral. Consolidation in one or more in-stances shall not exhaust the right of lessee hereunder to consolidate this lease or patition of the oil, gas and mineral estate into other or different units. Units consolidated for oil hereunder shall not exceed forty (40) acres plus a tolerance of len per cent [10%] thereof, provided that if any Federal or State law, Executive order, rule or regulation shall prescribe a spacing pattern for the development of the field or allocate a producing allowable in whole or in part on acreage per well, then any such units may embrace as much additional acreage as may be so prescribed or a may be used in such allocation or allowable. Lessee shall field written unit designations in the caunty in which the premises are focated. Such units may be designated either before or after the completion of wells. Disiling or reworking operations were upon or such production shall be treated for all purposes, except the payment of rayalites on production from the causcidated in this lease whether the well or wells be located on the land covered by this lease row. This lease consolidated in this lease any other production of a limitation or restriction of any other production of the toyalites on production of the toyalites on production of the toyalites on production or the consolidated any other provision of this lease. In the out of the consolidated in the stere the rest form the random described in this lease the wells of any other provision of the consolidated in the part or advision on production on the lond described in this lease on production of any other provision of this lease. This paragraph shall never be construed as a limitation or restriction of any other

a unit so consolidated only such portion of the toyolty stipulated herein is the amount of his acreage placed in the unit or his royalty interest therein on an acreage basis bears to the total acreage so consolidated in the particular unit involved.
6. If prior to discovery of oil, gas or other minerals, the production thereof should care from any cause, this lease should drill and obandon a dry hale or holes thereon, or id, other discovery of oil, gas or other minerals, the production thereof should care from any cause, this lease should not terminate if lesses compenses reworking or additioned drilling or teworking on or before the rental prying data exist ensuing after the expiration of two (2) months from date of completion, and abandonnent of soid dry hole or holes or the cessation of production. If a dry hale is completed and abandoned at any time during the last fourteen [14] months of the primary term and prior to discovery of oil, gas or other mineral is produced in some than sixt.
a doardonnent of soid dry hole or holes or the cessation of production is all land or land consolidated therewith, for the discovery of oil, gas or other mineral is a completed and abandoned at any time during the last fourteen [14] months of the primary term and prior to discovery of oil, gas or other mineral is a completed and abandoned at any time during the last fourteen [14] months of the primary term. II, at the septration of the primary term, oil, gas or other mineral is not being produced in a call consolidated therewith but lesses is hone engaged in coarcitos for dilling, mining, or reworking operations, we provide a dilling, mining or reworking operations, we provide a dilling the dilling in the prince the solution.
a terve shall have free us of ail, gas on dware from soid land, escent water from lessor's wells and tanks, for all eperations hereonds, including treperiod and this provide and the solution.
b terves inhelicity of and econdary reworking operations, devely op

thereof shall make payment of sold tentor. 2. Lassee shall not be liable for delays or difficults in its performance of any agreement or covenant hereunder due to force majeure. The term "force majeure" as employed herein shall means any act of God including but not limited to starts, floods, wathouts, londslid, s, and lightning: acts of the public energy wars, block deer, insurretion or rights strikes or lockor... epitemics or quartantine regulations; flows, wathouts, londslid, s, and lightning: acts of the public energy wars, block gavernmental officers or agants under color of authority, freight embryces or flowers; when such the structure of the federal. State, Municipal or other gavernments or gavernmental officers or agants under color of authority, freight embryces or Municipal to the subject energy wars, block color of authority to core is realized, under color by any inductions or Municipal tous, exempted or the realizion of any product, labor, space color of authority to core difficient or agants, there are no starts and the subject or the realized or the realized is and wented from conducting diffing operations, rewarking operations or conducting operations of the start and such time as such tow, ander, rule, regulation, reacest or force majeure is transmicted and for a period of start (50) days after such termistion erables of the subject of hubbles or impleted coverned to the start of starts of start should be suppended and incomparies on the labor of the sub-start against and the suppended and incomparies on the start should the subject of the subject of should be assessed of start should be suppended and incomparies on the should be this lease or impleted coverned to the wented from conducting difficiency terms, the should be suppended and incomations and this lease should continue in full force. If way period of supparies majeure is terminate in or the estate converted by it should be suppended and incomations and this lease shoul continues in full force. If way period of supparis si

goted to solve and, and notices that letter, at its obtion, may distinuing any jus, mongage as after that again goted to such him with the right to enforce some and apply remains and toyalies according to control to under the warranty in the event of failure of title, it is agreed that, if testor owns an interest in said land i rentals to be suid lessor staff be reduced proportionately should any one or note of the parties named store body time to surrender this lesse. In which we have 10. Lessor hereny mutuality and agrees to and land, and in the event lesses does so. It shall a satisfying same. Without impairment of lesses shan the ration less simple estate, then the rays we as lessors fail to execute this lease, it shall to unted less less this leave, in whole or in part, to leavor or his beirs and ass d in the county in which sold land is situated, thereupon, leave surrendered, and thereafter the rentals puyable hereunder shall be 11, testes, itakis tool is successors and assigns, shall have the right of any time to surrender delivering or moling a release thereof to the lessor, or by placing a release thereof of relax entering, of this concernent on the unit of a concernent of the proportion that the acceage covered hereby is reduced by soid release or releases. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we sign the day and year first above written. Anna Brown Unit of the Good Secarf Wh WITNESSES. Rome of Quincy, Quincy, Illipoisc ATTEST: (1. 1 Financial 🕔 Secretary 1,2.s.2 Preside STATE OF ILLINOIS COUNTY OF ADAMS BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared , known to me to be the person whose the Roger Mittelberg name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument as President of the Anna Brown, Unit of the Good Samaritan Home of Quincy, and acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed and in the capacity therein stated. GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE this 28th day of August , 1973. (0 Notary Public in and for Adams County, \_ Illinois My commission expires September 6, 1974. not the same to be her act and cleed, and declared that she had willingly executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed, not wish to remark it. GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE this the . A. D. 19\_ Notary Public in and for · · · · THE STATE OF TEXAS JOINT ACKNOWLEDGMENT COUNTY OF BEFORE ME, the wity, on this day personally opp and acknowledged to executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expre , hoving be owledged such instrument to be she did not wish to retract it. GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE this the 1 day A. D. 19. annynder af stenet his nær di utter for **v**er Selekter system <del>i</del>g variet i sker ser <del>som</del> S . 1 . 14 Notory Public in and for · · · · · · · · · · · WHEN RECORDED RETURN TO, OTh. 1973 or 10:40 o' dock A exemper!

1 . · · · · 31 a - 54 G . . . . . . .

988 and duly recorded in Book of the miscellanomia records of this mallie mak My. Deurmaine Esi Cumi C. Bo

	Approved by State Comptroller and ulard Form, Oct. 6, 1917 Half-Po- ugh Press, Roswell, New mexico Form 312
er mins	
	WARRANTY DEED
î	-Good Samaritan Home, an Illinois corporation,
	, for consideration paid, grantS to
	Wm. B. Barnhill, P. O. Box 1354, Roswell, New Mexico 88201
· .	the following described real estate in Eddy county, New Mexico:
a de la constante de la constan	All of the surface rights owned by Good Samaritan Home (Grantor herein) and an undivided seven-eighths (7/8ths) interest in and to all of the oil, gas and other minerals owned by Good Samaritan Home in and under and that may be produced from the following described land, to-wit:
	Township 18 South, Range 26 East, NMPM
	ENAL-4994-Section 1: Tract No. 218 of Fairchild Farm Land Subdivision
	Section 2: Tract Nos. 264 and 265 of Fairchild Farm Land Subdivision Containing 15 acres more or less.
	with warranty covenants. WITNESS Our hand and scal this 7th day of
	WITNESS Our hand and seal this 7th day of
	WITNESS Our hand and scal this 7th day of February 19_75. (Seal)
	WITNESS     Our     hand     and scal     this     7th     day of       February     19_75.     (Seal)       ATTEST:/     GOOD SAMARITAN HOME     (Seal)       Human     Gelle     By William McCleare     (Seal)
	WITNESS_OUr       handand sealthis7th       day of         February       19_75.       (Seal)         ATTEST:/       GOOD SAMARITAN HONE       (Seal)
	WITNESS_OUr       handand scalthis7th       day of         February       19_75.       (Seal)         ATTEST:/       19_75.       (Seal)         ATTEST:/       GOOD SAMARITAN HONE       (Seal)         ATTEST:/       GOOD SAMARITAN HONE       (Seal)         ATTEST:/       By William M. Hone       (Seal)         Milliam N. McCleery,       (Seal)         STATE OF XEXECUTE       Ss.
	WITNESS_our     handand sealthis7th     day of       February     19_75.     (Seal)       ATTEST:/     Intervention     (Seal)       ATTEST:/     GOOD SAMARITAN HONE     (Seal)       ATTEST:/     By William M. McCleary     (Seal)       /ILLINOIS     Secretary     Secretary     (Seal)       STATE OF XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
	WITNESS_OUP       handandandthis7thday of         February       19_75.         ATTEST:/
	WITNESS_our       handandandthis7th       day of
	WITNESS_OUT       handandandthis
	WITNESS_our       handandandthis
	WITNESS_our       handandandthis
	WITNESS_our       handandandthis
	WITNESS_OUP       handandandthis7thday of         February       19_75.         ATTEST:/
	WITNESS       OUT       handand sealthis7thday of         February       19_75.       (Seal)         ATTEST=/       COOD SAMARITAN HONE       (Seal)         Atterny       Coon for the the the classes       (Seal)         Atterny       Nulliam M. McCleery, President       (Seal)         STATE OF NEW MEXICO,       State of New MEXICO,       Notary Public
	WITNESS_OUF       handand sealthis7thday of         February       19_75.         ATTEST:/       GOOD SAMARITAN HOME         Harry W. Celle       (Seal)         Harry W. Celle       By Milliam M. McCleery, (Seal)         VITINOIS       Secretary         STATE OF XEXCENSION       Ss.         County of
	WITNESS       OUP       handandandthis
	WITNESS       OUP       handand scalthisfthis
	WITNESS_OUF       handandsealthis7thday of         February       19_75.         ATTEST-/       GOOD SAMARITAN HONE         JATTEST-/       Good Samaritan Home         JILLINOIS       Secretary         STATE OF XEXECONCOC       ss.         County of       Adams         The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this       day of
	WITNESS       OUP       handand scalthisfthis

•	WARRANTY DEED
	Wm. B. Barnhill and Catharine W. Barnhill, his wife,
	, for consideration paid, grant to
	J. V. Fritts, P. O. Box 868, Roswell, New Mexico
<u></u>	
the fo	llowing described real estate in <u>Eddy</u> county, New Mexico:
to al	One-half (1/2) of the surface rights owned by Wm. B. Barnhill and Catharine W. ill (Grantor herein) and an undivided seven-sixteenths (7/16ths) interest in and 1 of the oil, gas and other minerals owned by Wm. B. Barnhill and Catharine W. ill in and under and that may be produced from the following described land,
12-49	Township 18 South, Range 26 East, NMPM y#Section 1: Tract No. 218 of the Fairchild Farm Land Subdivision Section 2: Tract Nos. 264 and 265 of Fairchild Farm Land Subdivision Containing 15 acres more or less.
•	
9	
•	
•	
•	
with v	warranty covenants.
	VITNESS <u>our</u> hand and seal this <u>24th</u> day of February <u>1975</u> . (Seal)
£	Sebruary 1975. (Seal)
	Wm. B. Barnhill (Seal)
	Cathanie W. B my hul (See)
STAT	E OF NEW MEXICO, (6) Chaves ss.
Antes	he foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this day of February,
19 7	Wm. B. Barnhill and Catharine W. Barnhill, his wife.
<u>م</u> . م	UBLIC
	MENT NY NY Detalment 31, 1977. Staron R. Milo Notary Public
34 <b>3</b> ()(	Annual of the second
2-2-2	
STAT	E OF NEW MEXICO, Records of Deeds of said County.
	y cf Sedera Sis. <u>Millred Branch</u> County Cleri:
	hereby certify that this instrument was filed for By Allen Acies, Deputy
	Lon the day of fee Fees, \$
	Las under A. D., 1967
#### COTTON, BLEDSOE, TIGHE, MORROW & DAWSON

WM. M. COTTON ROBERT C. BLEDSOE CHARLES L. TIGHE WM C. MORDAW ROBERT M. DAWSON TEVIS HERD ROBERT K. HUDSON RICHARD T. MCMILLAN JOHN A. WOODSIDE JAMES C. CONSIDINE BARRY N. BECK GAYLEN L. GROCE DRUCE W. WOLITARSKY MICHAEL R. MCELIVRATH A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION ATTORNEYS AT LAW SUITE 1930 WILCO BUILDING MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

P. O. BOX 2776 TELEPHONE 1915: 664-5782

December 22, 1978

Mr. J. V. Fritts 404 Hinkle Building Roswell, New Mexico 88201

> Re: Federal "B" No. 1 Well, E/2 Section 1, T-18-S, R-26-E, Eddy County, New Mexico

#### Dear Mr. Fritts:

At the request of Mewbourne Oil Company I have reviewed the title to the captioned well and lands with specific reference to the forced pooling order entered July 30, 1974, in New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission case No. 5267, Order No. R-4831, which imposes a 200% penalty clause on nonconsenting working interest owners. At the time that this order was entered title to the mineral estate in Tract 218 of the Fairchild Farm Lands was vested of record in the Anna Brown Home for the Aged, an Illinois corporation, subject to an Oil and Gas Lease to Felmont Oil Corporation.

The title will reflect that J. V. Fritts and William B. Barhnhill acquired leases on other mineral interests in Tract 218 in December, 1974, these mineral owners being the Buxman heirs, who owned no title of record in the mineral estate in Tract 218 at the time that the Forced Pooling Order was entered. Therefore, although you and William B. Barnhill were not parties to the Forced Pooling Order, neither did you nor any of your minerals owners have any interest of record at the time that the Forced Pooling Order was entered, and it is our opinion that the Order is binding on the working interests acquired by you from mineral owners who owned no interest of record, but who acquired their interest through the Anna Brown Home, who owned an interest

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Sonta Fe, Kovi Mozho Case No. 489 Preside No. 10 Substitute by melone Hearing Date

## Mr. J. V. Fritts

Ł.

of record at the time of the Order.

We will be pleased to discuss this matter further with you at any time.

-2-

## Very truly yours,

COTTON, BLEDSOE, TIGHE, MORROW & DAWSON

By: Tevis Herd

TH:cm

cc: Mewbourne Oil Company 1010 Wall Towers West Midland, Texas 79701 Attn: Mr. Donald G. Hayden, District Manager

#### MEMORANDUM OF TITLE

Re: Federal "B" No. 1 Well, E/2 Section 1, T-18-S, R-26-E, Eddy County, New Mexico

This Memorandum is concerned with title to the mineral estate in Tract 218 of Fairchild Farm Lands located in E/2 of the captioned Section 1. The undersigned rendered an original title opinion on May 1, 1974, to Mark Production Company (now Mewbourne Oil Company) covering, among other lands, Tract 218 of the Fairchild Farm Lands in E/2 Section 1. Our title examination was based upon abstracts of title certified by Currier Abstract Company of Artesia, New Mexico, as covering Tract 218 from inception of the records to March 20, 1974, at 8:00 a.m., and as to Tract 218 the records reflect that Tract 218 was acquired by Minnie Warmker and Kate Warmker by Deed dated January 3, 1910. The next conveyance of record affecting Tract 218 is a Quitclaim Deed dated April 26, 1948, in which Katherine D. Warmker and Norma Warmker guitclaimed all of their interest in Tract 218 to the Anna Brown Home for the Aged, an Illinois corporation. Thereafter, Felmont Oil Corporation acquired an Oil and Gas Lease dated August 9, 1973, from the Anna Brown Unit of the Good Samaritan Home of Ouincy, Illinois (the successor to the Anna Brown Home for the Aged).

Felmont Oil Corporation was given notice of the proceedings in Case No. 5267 before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission in which Order No. R-4831 was entered July 30, 1974, pooling all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying E/2 captioned Section 1 and imposing a 200% penalty clause on nonconsenting working interest owners. Thereafter, Mark Production Company proceeded to drill the Federal "B" No. 1 well on E/2 Section 1, which well was commenced August 30, 1974, and completed November 22, 1974. These commencement and completion dates are significant, since all of the interests in Tract 218 now owned by J. V. Fritts and William B. Barnhill were acquired subsequent to the date that the well was drilled and completed.

J. V. Fritts and William B. Barnhill acquired Oil and Gas Leases covering Tract 218 from parties who are the heirs of C. Raymond Buxman, which leases are dated December 26, 1974. the time of the entry of the Forced Pooling Order none of the parties who executed Oil and Gas Leases to Fritts and Barnhill owned any interest of record in Tract 218 and Mark Production Company had no actual notice at that time of these parties interests, so none of these Buxman heirs were entitled to notice of the forced pooling hearing. Therefore, the Oil and Gas Leases acquired by Fritts and Barnhill from these Busman heirs, after the completion of the Federal "B" No. 1 well, were subject to the provisions of the Forced Pooling Order and the penalties imposed therein. We rendered an original division order opinion covering all E/2 Section 1 on June 5, 1975, based upon abstracts certified to April 1, 1975, at 7:00 a.m., and as of this time the records still did not reflect any mineral ownership in Tract 218 in any of the Buxman heirs who had executed Leases to Fritts and Barnhill. Therefore, we noted all of the above in our division order opinion as a result of which a quiet title suit was conducted in Cause

DEFORE THE
OIL CONSTITUTION OF A COMPANY SION
Sente Fay Constants
Core No. 6489 1 1 1 No. 11
Substitude by Mentyine
Hearing Date

No. CV-78-133 in the District Court of Eddy County, New Mexico, in which Final Decree was entered June 12, 1978, finally clarifying of record, only at this time, the heirship of Minnie Warmker and of C. Raymond Buxman. This quiet title suit reflects that Katherine D. Warmker and Norma Warmker were among the heirs of Minnie Warmker, and these parties conveyed all of their interest in Tract 218 to the Anna Brown Home for the Aged. Neither C. Raymond Buxman nor any of his heirs ever placed of record any notice that they were claiming a mineral interest in Tract 218 through Minnie Warmker, and, indeed, neither the ownership of C. Raymond Buxman nor any of his heirs was ever reflected of record in Eddy County, New Mexico, until the conclusion of the quiet title suit on June 12, 1978.

It is our opinion that the Forced Pooling Order is binding upon the working interests under the Oil and Gas Leases acquired by Fritts and Barnhill from mineral owners who owned no interest of record at the time of the forced pooling hearing. At the time of the forced pooling hearing in July of 1974, only the Anna Brown Home for the Aged owned any interest of record in Tract 218, having been conveyed its interest by one of the original owners (Katherine V. Warmker, aka Kate Warmker) and Norma Warmker, one of the heirs of Minnie Warmker. It is also significant that only the Anna Brown Home for the Aged had been paying taxes on Tract 218 for more than the past ten years preceding the forced pooling hearing.

Mr. Fritts attempts to place significance upon the fact that the interest of the Buxman heirs was not acquired through the Anna Brown Home for the Aged, but rather from their ancestor Minnie Warmker, who still owned an interest of record at the time of her death intestate in 1940. However, as of July, 1974, 34 years after the death of Minnie Warmker, nothing had been placed of record to reflect any ownership in Tract 218 by any of the heirs of Minnie Warmker, other than the Quitclaim Deed from Katherine D. Warmker and Norma Warmker to Anna Brown Home for The Oil and Gas Lease from the Anna Brown Home to the Aged. Felmont Oil Corporation purported to cover the entire mineral interest, and at the time of the order Felmont Oil Corporation understood that its Oil and Gas Lease from the Anna Brown Home for the Aged covered the entire mineral estate in Tract 218. It was only after the Forced Pooling Order and after the drilling and completion of the well that the ownership of the Buxman heirs was identified and leased. It should also be noted that the interest of the Buxman heirs had not been established of record within 90 days following the completion of the well, as reflected by our original division order opinion covering E/2 Section 1 and based upon abstracts certified to April 1, 1975.

> COTTON, BLEDSOE, TIGHE, MORROW & DAWSON A Professional Corporation

Tevis Herd By:

TH: cm

Dockets Nos. 11-79 and 12-79 are tentatively set for hearing on March 14 and 28, 1979. Applications for hearing must be filed at least 22 days in advance of hearing date.

#### DOCKET: COMMISSION HEARING - WEDNESDAY - MARCH 7, 1979

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION - 9 A.M. - ROOM 205 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

<u>CASE 6489</u>: Application of J. V. Fritts and Wm. B. Barnhill for review of Order No. R-4831, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicants, in the above-styled cause, seek the review and interpretation of Order No. <u>R-4831</u> to permit them the opportunity to join in the drilling of the Federal "B" Nell No. 1 located in Unit P of Section 1, Township 18 South, Range 26 East, Atoka-Pennsylvanian Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, and to determine the applicability of the 200% risk factor.

#### CASE 6398: (DE NOVO)

Application of Texas 011 & Gas Corporation for an unorthodox gas well location, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-suyled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location for the Wolfcamp and Pennsylvanian formations of its State Com Well No. 1, to be located 660 feet from the South and West lines of Section 18, Township 21 South, Range 26 East, Catclaw Draw Field, Eddy County, New Mexico, all of said Section 18 to be dedicated to the well in the Morrow formation.

Upon application of Texas 011 & Gas Corporation this case will be heard De Novo pursuant to the provisions of Rule 1220.

Docket No. 11-79

#### DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - MARCH 14, 1979

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Richard L. Stamets, Alternate Examiner:

- ALLOWABLE: (1) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for April, 1979, from fifteen prorated pools in Lea, Eddy, and Chaves Counties, New Mexico.
  - (2) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for April, 1979, from four prorated pools in San Juan, Rio Arriba, and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico.

CASE 6490: Application of L. C. Harris for a unit agreement, Chaves and Eddy Counties, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for his Walnut Draw Unit Area comprising 9,797 acres, more or less, of Federal, state and fee lands in Townships 15 and 16 South, Ranges 23 and 24 East, Chaves and Eddy Counties, New Mexico.

CASE 6491: Application of C & E Operators, Inc. for an unorthodox well location and a non-standard protation unit, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of an 80-acre non-standard gas protation unit comprising the E/2 SW/4 of Section 10, Township 30 North, Range 11 West, Aztec-Pictured Cliffs Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be located 1700 feet from the South line and 1760 feet from the West line of said Section 10.

#### CASE 6477: (Continued from February 28, 1979, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Sin 011 Company for a waterflood project. Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a waterflood project on its East Millman Pool Unit Area by the injection of water into the Queen and Grayburg formations through eleven wells located in Sections 12 and 13 of Township 19 South, Range 28 East, East Millman Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.

CASE 6492: Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the San Andres formation underlying the NE/4 NW/4 of Section 13, Township 17 South, Range 25 East, Eddy County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well. Page 2 of 6

Examiner Hearing - Wednesday - March 14, 1979

Docket No. 11-79

>

#### CASE 6072: (Reopened and Readvertised)

In the matter of Case 6072 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-5643 which order created the Travis-Upper Pennsylvanian Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, with provisions for 80-acre spacing. All interested parties may appear and show cause why the Travis-Upper Pennsylvanian Pool should not be developed on 40-acre spacing units.

CASE 6493: Application of Merrion & Bayless for gas well commingling, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the surface commingling, prior to measurement, of Pictured Cliffs production from the Hi Roll Wells Nos. 1 and 2 located in Units 0 and K of Section 35, Township 27 North, Range 13 West, San Juan County, New Mexico.

Application of Morris R. Antwell for an unorthodox gas well location and simultaneous dedication, CASE 6494: Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of his Mesa Macho Well No. 1 located in Unit O of Section 24, Township 20 South, Range 27 East, Morrow formation, Eddy County, New Mexico; the E/2 of said Section 24 to be simultaneously dedicated to the aforesaid well and to applicant's Macho Norte Well No. 1 located in Unit G of Section 24.

Application of Amax Chemical Corporation for the amendment of Order No. R-111-A, Eddy County, New CASE 6495: Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the amendment of Order No. R-111-A to extend the boundaries of the Potash-Oil Area by the inclusion of certain lands in Sections 23 and 24, Township 19 South, Range 29 East, Sections 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 19, 20, 23, 24, and 29, Town-ship 19 South, Range 30 East, and Sections 7, 8, 17, 18, and 19, Township 19 South, Range 31 East, all in Eddy County, New Mexico.

- CASE 6496: Application of Llano, Inc. for rescission of pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the rescission of Order No. R-3006, which promulgated 640-acre spacing for the Grama Ridge-Morrow Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant proposes that said pool be developed and operated under 320-acre spacing and well location requirements.
- Application of Llano, Inc. for an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of a well to be located 1650 CASE 6497: feet from the South line and 660 feet from the East line of Section 34, Township 21 South, Range 34 East, Grama Ridge-Morrow Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, the E/2 of said Section 34 to be dedicated to the well.
- CASE 6498: Application of Pogo Producing Company to limit application of pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks to limit the application of the Grama Ridge-Morrow Gas Pool Rules to the horizontal limits of said pool, being all of Sections 2, 3, 4, and 10, Township 22 South, Range 34 East and Sections 33 and 34, Township 21 South, Range 34 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 6499: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion for an order creating and extending horizontal limits and contracting vertical limits of certain pools in Chaves, Eddy, Lea, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico:

> CREATE a new pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, classified as a gas pool for Morrow production (a) and designated as the Antelope Sink-Morrow Gas Pool. The discovery well is Maddox Energy Corpora-tion State 32 Well No. 1 located in Unit I of Section 32, Township 18 South, Range 24 East, NNPM. Said pool would comprise:

## TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 24 EAST, NMPH Section 32: E/2

(b) CREATE a new pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, classified as a gas pool for Morrow production and designated as the Baldridge Canyon-Morrow Gas Pool....The discovery well is W. A. Moncrief, Jr. Baldridge Canyon Com Well No. 1 located in Unit G of Section 13, Township 24 South, Range 24 East, NMPM. Said pool would comprise:

#### TOWNSHIP 24 SOUTH, RANGE 24 EAST, NMPM Section 13: E/2

(c) CREATE a new pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, classified as an oil pool for Delaware production and designated as the Burton Flat-Delaware Pool. The discovery well is Yates Petroleum Corporation Stonewall EP State Well No. 3 located in Unit N of Section 19, Township 20 South, Range 28 East, NMPM. Said pool would comprise:

TOWNSHIP 20 SOUTH, RANGE 28 EAST, NMPM Section 19: SW/4

Page 3 of 6 Examiner Hearing - Wednesday - March 14, 1979

Docket No. 11-79.

(d) CREATE a new pool in Lea County, New Mexico, classified as an oil pool for San Andres production and designated as the East Crossroads-San Andres Pool. The discovery well is MGF Oil Corporation Santa Fe Railway Well No. 1 located in Unit A of Section 13, Township 10 South, Rauge 36 East, NMPM. Said pool would comprise:

#### TOWNSHIP 10 SOUTH, RANGE 36 EAST, NMPM Section J3: NE/4

(c) CREATE a new pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, classified as a gas pool for Atoka production and designated as the South Culebra Bluff-Atoka Gas Pool. The discovery well is Delta Drilling Company South Culebra Bluff Unit Well No. 1 located in Unit G of Section 23, Township 23 South, Range 28 East, NMPM. Said pool would comprise:

TOWNSHIP	23	SOUTH,	RANGE	28	EAST,	NMPM
Section 1	14:	E/2				

Section 23: All Section 26: All

٠.

(f) CREATE a new pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, classified as a gas pool for Morrow production and designated as the Dublin Ranch-Morrow Gas Pool. The discovery well is J. C. Barnes Oil Company Big Chief Com Well No. 1 located in Unit F of Section 22, Township 22 South, Range 28 East, NMPM. Said pool would comprise:

> TOWNSHIP 22 SOUTH, RANGE 28 EAST, NMPM Section 22: All Section 27: N/2

(g) CREATE a new pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, classified as a gas pool for Morrow production and designated as the Gardner Draw-Morrow Gas Pool. The discovery well is Phoenix Resources Company Gardner Draw Unit Well No. 1 located in Unit C of Section 20, Township 19 South, Range 21 East, NMPM. Said pool would comprise:

SOUTH,	RANGE	21	EAST,	NMPM
W/2				
N/2				
N/2				
	W/2 N/2	W/2 N/2	W/2 N/2	N/2

(h) CREATE a new pool in Chaves County, New Mexico, classified as a gas pool for Pennsylvanian production and designated as the Jubilee-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool. The discovery well is Tom L. Ingram Jubilee Well No. 1 located in Unit E of Section 28, Township 10 South, Range 29 East, NMPM. Said pool would comprise:

#### TOWNSHIP 10 SOUTH, RANGE 29 EAST, NMPM Section 28: W/2

(1) CREATE a new pool in Lea County, New Mexico, classified as a gas pool for Mississippian production and designated as the King-Mississippian Gas Pool. The discovery well is Cabot Corporation J. L. Reed Well No. 1 located in Unit H of Section 35, Township 13 South, Range 37 East, NMPM. Said pool would comprise:

#### TOWNSHIP 13 SOUTH, RANGE 37 FAST, NMPM Section 35: NE/4

(j) CREATE a new pool in Chaves County, New Mexico, classified as a gas pool for Atoka production and designated as the Lone Wolf-Atoka Gas Pool. The discovery well is Depco, Inc. Sundance A Federal Well No. 1 located in Unit J of Section 25, Township 12 South, Range 29 East, NMPM. Said pool would comprise:

#### TOWNSHIP 12 SOUTH; RANGE 29 EAST, NMPM Section 25: S/2

(k) CREATE a new pool in Chaves County, New Mexico, classified as a gas pool for Strawn production and designated as the Lost Lake-Strawn Gas Pool. The discovery well is Texas Oil & Gas Corporation O'Brien Well No. 1 located in Unit I of Section 11, Township 9 South, Range 29 East, NMPM. Said pool would comprise:

> TOWNSHIP 9 SOUTH, RANGE 29 EAST, NMPM Section 2: S/2 Section 11: All Section 14: N/2

Page 4 of 6 Examiner Hearing - Wednesday - March 14, 1979

Docket No. 11-79

(1) CREATE a new pool in Lea County, New Mexico, classified as a gas pool for Morrow production and designated as the Nest Mescalero-Morrow Gas Pool. The discovery well is Natomas North America, Inc. New Mexico State Well No. 1 located in Unit M of Section 19, Township 10 South, Range 32 East, NMPM. Said pool would comprise:

#### TOWNSHIP 10 SOUTH, RANGE 32 EAST, NMPM Section 19: W/2

(m) CREATE a new pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, classified as a gas pool for Permo-Penn production and designated as the Penasco Draw Permo-Penn Gas Pool. The discovery well is Yates Petroleum Corporation La Cama Com Well No. 1 located in Unit F of Section 20, Township 18 South, Range 25 East, NMPM. Said pool would comprise:

TOWNSHIP 18	SOUTH,	RANGE	25	EAST,	NMPM
Section 18:	S/2				
Section 19:	All				
Section 20:	À11				
Section 21:	W/2				
Section 30:	A11				
Section 31:	A11				

(n) CKEATE a new pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, classified as a gas pool for Morrow production and designated as the Siegrest Draw-Morrow Gas Pool. The discovery well is Yates Petroleum Corporation Siegrest JS State Com Well No. 1 located in Unit C of Section 30, Township 19 South, Range 24 East, NMPM. Said pool would comprise:

> TOWNSHIP 19 SOUTH, RANGE 24 EAST, NMPM Section 30: N/2

(o) CREATE a new pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, classified as a gas pool for Atoka production and designated as the North Turkey Track-Atoka Gas Pool. The discovery well is Amoco Production Company State ER Com Well No. 1 located in Unit G of Section 6, Township 19 South, Range 29 East, -NMPM. Said pool would comprise:

> TOWNSHIP 19 SOUTH, RANGE 29 FAST, NMPM Section 6: N/2

(p) EXTEND the Angell Ranch-Morrow Gas Pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 19 SOUTH, RANGE 27 EAST, NMPM Section 35: E/2

(q) EXTEND the Buffalo Valley-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool in Chaves County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 28 EAST, NMPM Section 17: S/2

(r) EXTEND the Cato-San Andres Pool in Chaves County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 8 SOUTH, RANGE 31 EAST, NMPM Section 5: NW/4 SW/4

(s) EXTEND the Cedar Lake-Morrow Gas Pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 17 SOUTH, RANGE 30 EAST, NMPM Section 25: W/2 Section 26: E/2

Section 36: NW/4

(t) EXTEND the East Chisum-San Andres Pool in Chaves County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 11 SOUTH, RANGE 28 EAST, NMPM Section 9: E/2 NE/4 Section 10: W/2 NW/4

(u) EXTEND the South Corbin-Wolfcamp Pool in Lea County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 33 EAST, NMPM Section 20: SW/4 Page 5 of 6 Examiner Hearing - Wednesday - March 14, 1979

#### Docket No. 11-79

(y) EXTEND the Double I. Queen Associated Pool in Chaves County, New Merico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 29 FAST, NNPM Section 24: NW/4 and E/2 SW/4 Section 36: NW/4 NW/4, S/2 NW/4 and SW/4

(w) EXTEND the Drinkard Pool in Lea County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 22 SOUTH, RANGE 37 FAST, NMPM Section 18: SE/4

(x) EXTEND the East Eagle Creek Aroka-Morrow Gas Pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 26 FAST, NMPM Section 7: N/2

(y) EXTEND the Grama Ridge-Morrow Gas Pool in Les County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 21 SOUTH, RANGE 34 EAST, NMPM Section 35: All

(z) REDEFINE the vertical limits of the Monument Tubb-Drinkard Pool in Lea County, New Mexico, to include only the Tubb formation and redesignate said pool as the Monument-Tubb Pool.

(aa) EXTEND the West Indian Basin-Morrow Gas Pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 21 SOUTH, RANGE 22 EAST, NMPM Section 23: E/2

(bb) EXTEND the Millman-Strawn Gas Pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOINSHIP 19 SOUTH, RANGE 27 FAST, NMPM Section 12: E/2

(cc) EXTEND the South Prairie-Wolfcamp Pool in Roosevelt County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 8 SOUTH, RANGE 36 EAST, NMPM Section 20: N/2

(dd) EXTEND the Querecho Plains-Bone Spring Pool in Lea County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 32 EAST, NMPM Section 34: NW/4

(ee) EXTEND the Richard Knob Atoka-Morrow Gas Pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 25 EAST, NMPM Section 7: All Section 18: N/2

(ff) EXTEND the Round Tank-Queen Associated Pool in Chaves County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 29 EAST, NMPM Section 30: NE/4

(gg) EXTEND the South Salt Lake-Morrow Gas Pool in Lea County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 21 SOUTH, RANGE 32 EAST, NMPM Section 5: Lots 11, 12, 13, 14 and SW/4

(hh) EXTEND the North Teague-Devonian Pool in Lea County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 23 SOUTH, RANGE 37 EAST, NMPM Section 22: NW/4

(11) EXTEND the Tomahawk-San Andres Pool in Roosevelt County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 7 SOUTH, RANCE 32 FAST, NMPM Section 30: SW/4 Page 6 of 6 Examiner Hearing - Wednesday - March 14, 1979

Docket No. 11-79

(jj) EXTEND the Twin Lakes-San Andres Associated Pool in Chaves County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 8 SOUTH, RANGE 28 EAST, NMPM Section 36: NE/4

#### 

Docket No. 12-79

#### DOCKET: COMMISSION HEARING - THURSDAY - MARCH 15, 1979

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION - 9 A.M. - ROOM 205 - STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

CASE 6222: (Rehearing) (Continued from March 2, 1979, Commission Hearing)

Application of Paul Hamilton for salt water disposal well shut-in, Lea County, New Mexico. Upon application of Paul Hamilton there will be a rehearing of Case No. 6222, Order No. R-5753. This case involves the application of Paul Hamilton for an order shutting down salt water disposal operations in the Texaco Inc., New Mexico State "BO" SWD Well No. 3, located in Unit D of Section 24, Township 11 South, Range 32 East, Moore-Devonian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. Pursuant to Commission Order No. R-5753-A, evidence at said rehearing shall be limited to evidence relating to data regarding water quality and water level obtained from an observation well completed next to the aforesaid SWD Well No. 3, and to other new evidence unavailable at the time of the original hearing of this case on May 31, 1978. Dockets Nos. 11-79 and 12-79 are tentatively set for hearing on March 14 and 28, 1979. Applications for hearing must be filed at least 22 days in advance of hearing date.

#### DOCKET: COMMISSION HEARING - WEDNESDAY - MARCH 7, 1979

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION - 9 A.N. - ROOM 205 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

CASE 6489:

<u>9</u>: Application of J. V. Fritts and Wm. B. Barnhill for review of Order No. R-4831, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicants, in the above-styled cause, seek the review and interpretation of Order No.
 <del>10</del> R-4831 to permit them the opportunity to join in the drilling of the Federal "B" Well No. 1 located in Unit P of Section 1, Township 18 South, Range 26 East, Atoka-Pennsylvanian Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, and to determine the applicability of the 200% risk factor.

#### CASE 6398: (DE NOVO)

Application of Texas Oil & Gas Corporation for an unorthodox gas well location, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location for the Wolfcamp and Pennsylvanian formations of its State Com Weil No. 1, to be located 660 feet from the South and West lines of Section 18, Township 21 South, Range 26 East, Catclaw Draw Field, Eddy County, New Mexico, all of said Section 18 to be dedicated to the well in the Morrow formation.

Upon application of Texas Oil & Gas Corporation this case will be heard De Novo pursuant to the provisions of Rule 1220.

7-30-74 order entered well spudded 8-20-74 <11-22-74 12-28-24 well completed 12-20-74 7 Leases dated 1-17-75 Leconder in Eady County 1-30-75 Frits offered to join 3-14-75 Re-wrote No-3-75 Dir order in error 9-25-78 Write - thoring wanted compile acets -Were mist Fritis were mistakes 5 6-15 1st date of production area of dispute ( 6-78 10-2-78 M's letter of well costs Newbourne nover notified heirs, How nuch of an apprt did newbourne have to nake to determine w I owners? N.B. - Order only require \*AFE to Known WI owners

## FELMONT OIL CORPORATION . P. O. BOX 2266 . MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701 . 915 682-5231

JOE D. MILLER

File

March 12, 1975

Mark Production Company 1007 Gihls Tower West Midland, Texas 79701

Re: Tract 218, Section 1, T-18-S, R-26-E, Eddy County, New Mexico Our File: FNML-4994

Gentlemen:

Felmont Oil Corporation is the owner of certain leasehold interest in the captioned land, and we have been advised that Mark Production Company has completed a producing well on Tract 218 in Section 1, T-18-S, R-26-E in Eddy County, New Mexico. We are knowledgeable that we have been forced pooled into this Unit in order to protect. not only ourselves but our royalty owners.

Would you please advise us the status of royalty distribution and the cost of drilling and completing this well in order that we may keep current with the payout status? We would also appreciate any information regarding gas and distillate contracts which you may negotiate.

For your files, we are enclosing a copy of our Oil Gas and Mineral Lease dated August 9, 1973 from Anna Brown Unit of the Good Samaritan Home of Quincy to Felmont covering the captioned property, as well as two Warranty Deeds covering a change in mineral ownership.

Very truly yours,

FELMONT OIL CORPORATION

T. Verne Dwyer Vice #resident

TVD:mms Enclosures



### J. V. FRITTS

404 HINKLE BUILDING PHONE - RES. 622-4006 - BUS. 623-4281 ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO 88201

> ADDRESS REPLY TO: P. D. BOX 868

#### January 25, 1979

Oil Conservation Division Energy and Minerals Department of State of New Mexico P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Case 6:189

Reference: Case No. 5267 Order No. R-4831, dated July 30, 1974

Gentlemen:

Acting for myself and Wm. B. Barnhill I wish to file this application (in triplicate) for a hearing on certain provisions of the above order of the Commission, which provided for compulsory pooling of all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the  $E_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$  of Sec. 1, T-18S, R-26E, NMPM, Atoka-Pennsylvanian Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, and designated Mark Production Company as operator of the unit.

This application for a hearing is made because a dispute has arisen over the share of costs of the well drilled on this unit which the Operator insists on assessing us as non-consenting working interest owners. The Operator says our interests are subject to a 200% charge for the risk involved in drilling the well, whereas we state we were never afforded an opportunity to pay our share of such costs to the Operator in lieu of payment out of production. We have been ready at all times to pay our share of actual costs upon receipt of a schedule of such costs. Operator at the present time is withholding funds due us far in excess of the amount needed to cover our pro rata share of actual well costs.

Order No. R-4831 provided that any non-consenting working interest owner should be afforded such opportunity to pay his share of actual well costs; that Operator should furnish such owners an itemized schedule of estimated well costs; that within 30 days after receipt of such an estimate any non-consenting working interest shall have the right to pay his share of such costs, thereby avoiding the 200% penalty provided for non-payment of such costs.

No schedule of estimated well costs was ever served on us or on the mineral interest owners from whom we acquired our oil and gas leases. No itemized schedule of actual well costs was served on us within 90 days following completion of the well, as provided in order No. R-4831. In fact, despite a number of requests for such a schedule we did not receive one until October 2, 1978, more than 3 years after first production in June, 1975, and by that time Operator was holding funds more than sufficient to cover our share of the well costs.

Our working interest consists of an undivided 1/6th interest in a tract of about 5 acres located in the  $E_2^1$  of Sec. 1, acquired by oil and gas leases from the heirs of C. Raymond Buxman, deceased, owners of 1/6th of the mineral rights which were unleased at the time the forced pooling order was entered. The remaining 5/6ths working interest in the tract is owned by Felmont Oil Corporation, acquired by oil and gas lease from Anna Brown Home which was the owner of 5/6ths of the mineral rights at the time the lease was executed.

#### Oil Conservation Division

Operator has stated that Felmont Oil was force-pooled and that company accepted a payout of 300 percent times the actual cost to complete the well, and because Felmont Oil did accept the penalty our 1/6th working interest was subject to the same penalty. This is wrong. Felmont Oil has no interest, right or authority of any kind in our 1/6th interest, and never at any time in the past did it possess such an interest. Our working interest is separate from any interest owned by Felmont Oil or any other owner and no part of our production should be applied toward the payment of any costs chargeable to Felmont Oil or any other owner.

Operator's attorney stated that Felmont's lessor, Anna Brown Home, owned all of the record title at the time of the pooling order; that our 1/6th working interest came from mineral owners who acquired their interest from Anna Brown Home. This is wrong. At the time the forced pooling order was entered the record title stood: Minnie Warmker 1/2; and Anna Brown Home 1/2 by deed from Kate Warmker. The actual title was different. In 1910 the tract was acquired by two persons: Minnie Warmker and Kate Warmker. Minnie Warmker died in 1940 and her 1/2 interest passed in equal shares to Katherine D. Warmker, a sister; to Norma Warmker, child of deceased brother Henry; and to C. Raymond Buxman, child of deceased sister Louisa C. Buxman. At the time of order No. R-4831 the actual title was:

<b>n</b>	/2		12 - <b>1</b> -	Warmker,		1		-		- A	A	Dan	11
		_	· KOTO	a orm cor	100	nga	muan		neen	1.0	anna	RPAUR	HAMA
- 4		_	nave	nathrett	NILO	nou	ETAGU	<b>C</b> .	accu	~~~	nina	DT OWT	nong

- 1/3 of 1/2 Katherine Warmker (by inheritance), who had given a deed to Anna Brown Home
- 1/3 of 1/2 Norma Warmker (by inheritance), who had given a deed to Anna Brown Home
- 1/3 of 1/2 C. Raymond Buxman, by inheritance from Minnie Warmker. He died in 1947 in possession of this interest, and his heirs are the owners today having never executed any conveyance of any sort to Anna Brown Home

From the above title discussion it should be clear that Anna Brown Home never at any time owned the 1/6th interest acquired by C. Raymond Buxman by inheritance from Minnie Warmker. It is this 1/6th interest which we hold through oil and gas leases executed by the heirs of C. Raymond Buxman.

We desire a hearing before an Examiner, at a time and place to be set by him.

In the hearing we ask that the Operator of the well on  $E_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$  Sec. 1-18S-26E be directed to only assess us our pro rata share of the actual well costs, and no more, and that Operator shall be forbidden to assess an additional 200% penalty. We also ask that all proceeds from this well which have not been disbursed shall be placed in escrow in Eddy County, New Mexico, and that Operator shall furnish us and your Division with the name and address of the escrow agent, together with a detailed accounting of the funds deposited.

The interested parties concerned in this application, to my knowledge, are as follows:

\*Mark Production Company, 330 Citizens Bank Bldg., Tyler, Texas 75701

and

### \*Mewbourne Oil Company, P. O. Box 7698, Tyler, Texas 75711

### 1010 Wall Towers West, Midland, Texas 79701

Wm. B. Barnhill, Box 1354, Roswell, New Mexico 88201

J. V. Fritts, Box 868, Roswell, New Mexico 88201

\*It may be that Mewbourne Oil Company is now the Operator of the unit but there is no evidence of such a change from Mark Production Company in the records of Eddy County, New Mexico. I have never been furnished with a copy of the operating agreement or with any document showing a change in operators.

Respectfully submitted,

J. V. Fritts

cc: Wm. B. Barnhill

Mewbourne Oil Company

#### J. V. FRITTS 404 HINKLE BUILDING PHONE • REB. 622-4006 -- BUS. 623-4281 ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO 88201

ADDRESS REFLY TO: P. D. BDX 668 January 25, 1979

Oil Conservation Division Energy and Minerals Department of State of New Mexico P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

#### Reference: Case No. 5267 Order No. R-4831, dated July 30, 1974

#### Gentlemen:

Acting for myself and Wm. B. Barnhill I wish to file this application (in triplicate) for a hearing on certain provisions of the above order of the Commission, which provided for compulsory pooling of all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the  $E_{2}^{\pm}$  of Sec. 1, T-18S, R-26E, NMFM, Atoka-Pennsylvanian Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, and designated Mark Production Company as operator of the unit.

This application for a hearing is made because a dispute has arisen over the share of costs of the well drilled on this unit which the Operator insists on assessing us as non-consenting working interest owners. The Operator says our interests are subject to a 200% charge for the risk involved in drilling the well, whereas we state we were never afforded an opportunity to pay our share of such costs to the Operator in lieu of payment out of production. We have been ready at all times to pay our share of actual costs upon receipt of a schedule of such costs. Operator at the present time is withholding funds due us far in excess of the amount needed to cover our pro rata share of actual well costs.

Order No. R-4831 provided that any non-consenting working interest owner should be afforded such opportunity to pay his share of actual well costs; that Operator should furnish such owners an itemized schedule of estimated well costs; that within 30 days after receipt of such an estimate any non-consenting working interest shall have the right to pay his share of such costs, thereby avoiding the 200% penalty provided for non-payment of such costs.

No schedule of estimated well costs was ever served on us or on the mineral interest owners from whom we acquired our oil and gas leases. No itemized schedule of actual well costs was served on us within 90 days following completion of the well, as provided in order No. R-4831. In fact, despite a number of requests for such a schedule we did not receive one until October 2, 1978, more than 3 years after first production in June, 1975, and by that time Operator was holding funds more than sufficient to cover our share of the well costs.

Our working interest consists of an undivided 1/6th interest in a tract of about 5 acres located in the  $E_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$  of Sec. 1, acquired by oil and gas leases from the heirs of C. Raymond Buxman, deceased, owners of 1/6th of the mineral rights which were unleased at the time the forced pooling order was entered. The remaining 5/6ths working interest in the tract is owned by Felmont Oil Corporation, acquired by oil and gas lease from Anna Brown Home which was the owner of 5/6ths of the mineral rights at the time the lease was executed.

#### Oil Conservation Division

1-25-79

Operator has stated that Felmont Oil was force-peoled and that company\_accepted\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ a payout of 300 percent times the actual cost to complete the well, and because Felmont Oil did accept the penalty our 1/6th working interest was subject to the same penalty. This is wrong. Felmont Oil has no interest, right or authority of any kind in our 1/6th interest, and never at any time in the past did it possess such an interest." Our working interest is separate from any interest owned by Felmont Oil or any other owner and no part of our production should be applied toward the payment of any costs chargeable to Felmont Oil or any other owner.

Operator's attorney stated that Felmont's lessor, Anna Brown Home, owned all of the record title at the time of the pooling order; that our 1/6th working interest came from mineral owners who acquired their interest from Anna Brown Home. This is wrong. At the time the forced-pooling order was entered the record title stood: Minnie Warmker 1/2; and Anna Brown Home 1/2 by deed from Kate Warmker. The actual title was different. In 1910 the tract was acquired by two persons: Minnie Warmker and Kate Warmker. Minnie Warmker died in 1940 and her 1/2 interest passed in equal shares to Katherine D. Warmker, a sister; to Norma Warmker, child of deceased brother Henry; and to C. Raymond Buxman, child of deceased sister Louisa C. Buxman. At the time of order No. R-4831 the actual title was:

1/	2	-	Kate	Warmker,	who	had	given	a	deed	to	Anna	Brown	Home	
----	---	---	------	----------	-----	-----	-------	---	------	----	------	-------	------	--

- 1/3 of 1/2 Katherine Warmker (by inheritance), who had given a deed to Anna Brown Home
- 1//3 of 1/2 Norma Warmker (by inheritance), who had given a deed to Anna Brown Home
- 1/3 of 1/2 ~ C. Raymond Buxman, by inheritance from Minnie Warmker. He died in 1947 in possession of this interest, and his heirs are the owners today having never executed any conveyance of any sort to Anna Brown Home

From the above title discussion it should be clear that Anna Brown Home never at any time owned the 1/6th interest acquired by C. Raymond Buxman by inheritance from Minnie Warmker. It is this 1/6th interest which we hold through oil and gas leases executed by the heirs of C. Raymond Buxman.

We desire a hearing before an Examiner, at a time and place to be set by him.

In the hearing we ask that the Operator of the well on  $E_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$  Sec. 1-18S-26E be directed to only assess us our pro rata share of the actual well costs, and no more, and that Operator shall be forbidden to assess an additional 200% penalty. We also ask that all proceeds from this well which have not been disbursed shall be placed in escrow in Eddy County, New Mexico, and that Operator shall furnish us and your Division with the name and address of the escrow agent, together with a detailed accounting of the funds deposited.

The interested parties concerned in this application, to my knowledge, are as follows:

Oil Conservation Division

### 1-25-79

\*Mark Production Company, 330 Citizens Bank Bldg., Tyler, Texas 75701

\*Mewbourne Oil Company, P. O. Box 7698, Tyler, Texas 75711 and 1010 Wall Towers West, Midland, Texas 79701

Wm. B. Barnhill, Box 1354, Roswell, New Mexico 88201

J. V. Fritts, Box 868, Roswell, New Mexico 88201

\*It may be that Mewbourne Oil Company is now the Operator of the unit but there is no evidence of such a change from Mark Production Company in the records of Eddy County, New Mexico. I have never been furnished with a copy of the operating agreement or with any document showing a change in operators.

Respectfully submitted,

J. V. Fritts

cc: Wm. B. Barnhill

Mewbourne Oil Company

#### Dockets Nos. 11-79 and 12-79 are tentatively set for hearing on March 14 and 28, 1979. Applications for hearing must be filed at least 22 days in advance of hearing date.

DOCKET: COMMISSION HEARING - WEDNESDAY - MARCH 7, 1979

# OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION - 9 A.M. - ROOM 205 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

CASE 6489: Application of J. V. Fritts and Wm. B. Barnhill for review of Order No. R-4831, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicants, in the above-styled cause, seek the review and interpretation of Order No. R-4831 to permit them the opportunity to join in the drilling of the Federal "B" Well No. 1 located in Unit P of Section 1, Township 18 South, Range 26 East, Atoka-Pennsylvanian Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, and to determine the applicability of the 200% risk factor.

#### CASE 6398: (DE NOVO)

Application of Texas Oil & Gas Corporation for an unorthodox gas well location, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location for the Wolfcamp and Pennsylvanian formations of its State Com Well No. 1, to be located 660 feet from the South and West lines of Section 18, Township 21 South, Range 26 East, Catclaw Draw Field, Eddy County, New Mexico, all of said Section 18 to be dedicated to the well in the Morrow formation.

Upon application of Texas Oil & Gas Corporation this case will be heard De Novo pursuant to the provisions of Rule 1220.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT 1 OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION State Land Office Building 2 Santa Fe, New Mexico 3 7 March 1979 COMMISSION HEARING 4 5 6 IN THE MATTER OF: Application of J. V. Fritts ) 7 CASE and William B. Barnhill for ) 6489 8 review of Order No. R-4831, ) Eddy County, New Mexico. 9 ) 10 Commissioner Ramey õ 11 BEFORE: Commissioner Arnold LY WALTON I FIED SHORTHAND RE 12 13 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 14 15 APPEARANCES 16 For the Oil Conservation Lynn Teschendorf, Esq. Legal Counsel for the Commission 17 Commission: State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87503 18 19 J. V. Fritts, pro se For the Applicant: 20 21 W. Thomas Kellahin, Esq. For Mewbourne Oil Co.: 22 KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN 500 Don Gaspar Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 23 24 25

Statement by J. V. Fritts Questions of Mr. Fritts by Mr. Kellahin DONALD G. HADEN Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin Cross Examination by Mr. Fritts Questions of Mr. Fritts by Mr. Stamets EXHIBITS Mewbourne Exhibit One, Decree Mewbourne Exhibit Two, Letter Mewbourne Exhibit Three, Document Mewbourne Exhibit Four, Document Mewbourne Exhibit Five, Document Mewbourne Exhibit Six, Document Mewbourne Exhibit Seven, Document Mewbourne Exhibit Eight, Document Mewbourne Exhibit Nine, Document Mewbourne Exhibit Ten, Document Mewbourne Exhibit Eleven, Document Fritts Exhibit One, Document 

INDEX

ALLY WALTON BOYD RTIFIED SHOATHAND NEPONTE Paoi

MR. RAMEY: We'll call next Case 6489. 2 Application of J. V. Fritts and William B. Barnhill for 3 review of Order No. R-4831, Eddy County, New Mexico. Ask for appearances at this time. 5 MR. FRITTS: I'm Mr. Fritts. I am not an 6 attorney so you'll kind of have to tell me what to do. 7 MR. RAMEY: All right. 8 MR, KELLAHIN: I'm Tom Kellahin of Santa Fe, 9 New Mexico, appearing on behalf of Mark Production Company, 10 and its successor in interest, Mewbourne Oil Company. 11 MR. RAMEY: Will all those that are going WALTON I SHORTHAND M 12 to testify please stand at this time? 13 (Witnesses sworn.) 14 MR. RAMEY: All right, Mr. Fritts, I think 15 you will go on first. You can tell your story. 16 MR. FRITTS: All right, sir. 17 My name is J. V. Fritts and my address is 18 Box 868 in Roswell. 19 I own an undivided 1/12th working interest 20 in a 5-acre tract described as Tract 218 of Fairchild Farm 21 Lands, which is a part of a producing unit of 320 acres in 22 the east half of Section 1, 18 South, 26 East, Eddy County, 23 New Mexico. 24 William B. Barnhill owns an interest equal 25 to mine:

Our working interests have been confirmed by Court decree and a copy of the final decree has been furnished to the Commission and to Mark Production.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

ĩĩ

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Order No. R-4831 ordered the pooling of all mineral interests in this unit and designated Mark Production Company as operator. It also provided that any nonconsenting working interest owner be afforded the opportunity to pay his share of the estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well cost out of the production.

Neither I nor Barnhill or our predecessors in interest were ever furnished with an itemized schedule of estimated well cost or with an itemized schedule of actual well costs within ninety days following the completion of the well.

We object to being charged the penalty of 200 percent of well costs for risk involved, since we were never afforded the opportunity of paying our share in lieu of paying out on production.

We request an order allowing us to participate without a penalty in the actual well costs of the Federal "B" Well No. 1, located on this unit, and we ask that the operator be directed to pay us for 100 percent of the gross production allocated to our interests less our pro rata share of taxes deducted, and they should be

directed to furnish us a statement of actual well costs, 1 oporating expenses, and the actual expenditures attributed 2 to our working interest. 3 And we also ask that all proceeds which have not been disbursed within, say, fifteen days from date of 5 this order shall be placed in escrow in Eddy County, and 6 operator to furnish us with the detailed accounting of such 7 funds, together with name and address of escrow agent. 8 9 MR. RAMEY: Any questions? Mr. Kellahin? 10 QUESTIONS BY MR. KELLAHIN: 11 ALTON 12 Mr. Fritts, you indicated that you had a Q. 13 Court decree perfecting yours and Mr. Barnhill's interest 14 in the 5 acres within the east half of Section 1. 15 Do you have a copy of that Court decree 16 here today? 17 Α. Yes, 1 do. Also, the Oil Conservation 18 also has a copy. 19 All right. Q. 20 We just can use it? Α. 21 I don't think there's any problem with that. Q. 22 Mr. Fritts, I show you what I've marked as 23 Newbourne Oil Company's Exhibit Number One, and ask you if 24 that is a true and correct copy of the final decree to which 25 you made reference?

		Page6
	1	A. Yos, sir.
	2	Q That final decree was entered on the 12th
	3	day of June, 1978, was it not?
	4	A. I'll take your word for it.
	5	Q Yes, sir, that's apparently what it says.
	6	All right. The forced pooling order which
	7	you referred to was entered on July 30th, 1974, is that not
÷	8	correct, Mr. Fritts?
	9	A. Yes.
NYD 1111	10	Q. Now, after July 30th, 1974, do you know
N BC 10 NEO 10 NEO 11 11 11	11	when the well was completed?
ALTC	12	A. Completion was reported on December the
LLY W PFIED St Plans Bi mta Po.	13	28th, 1974.
Sea CEA	14	Q The 28th of December, 1974 the well in the
· · · (	15	east half of Section 1 was completed.
	16	A. Yes.
·	17	Q All right. When did you first acquire a
•	18	record title ownership in the east half of Section 1?
	19	A. I believe in I acquired my interest by
۰ مربع محمد مربع المحمد الم	20	I believe seven oil and gas leases dated December the 26th,
	21	1974.
0	22	Q Am I correct in saying that as of the date
$\overline{\mathbb{C}}$	23	of the forced pooling order, and as of the date of the com-
~	24	pletion of the well pursuant to that order, neither you nor
	25	Mr. Barnhill had an interest recorded with regards to the
$\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{i}}$ .		

· -

7

•

	-	Page 7
	1	east half of Section 1?
	2	A Let me get straight, now, you're asking if
·	3	I have a recorded interest after the forced pooling order?
	4	Q That's correct, yeah.
	5	A. And prior to the completion of the well?
	6	Q That's right.
	7	A. No.
	8	Q. All right. From whom did you obtain your
	9	interest in the east half of Section 1? You said you had
OYD ONTER 041ER	10	seven oil and gas leases in this area.
ND NEP ND NEP	11	A. Yes.
WALT HORTHA	12	Q. Could you go down each one of those, indi-
	13	cate the date of the conveyance and from whom you received
S B C	14	it?
••	15 16	A. All right. There are seven oil and gas
	17	leases and all of them are dated December 26th, 1974.
	18	And I think I'm safe in saying that they're
	19	all recorded the same date, January the 17th, 1975.
	20	Q. January 17th, 1975, they were placed of
	21	record in Eddy County, is that correct?
	22	A Right.
Æ	23	Q All right, sir. Would you go slowly now
2	24	and list
	25	A All right.
		Q either the lessor or the grantor in each
	,	

م-بۇتىتىدىك

,

Ł.,

1

		Page8
· • •		
	1-	conveyance?
,	2	A May I give you a little background?
	3	Q. Yes.
r	4	A. This tract of land was deeded in 1910 to
	5	two women, Minnie Warmker, W-A-R-M-K-E-R, and Kate Warmker,
	6	two sisters.
-	7	Minnie Warmker died in 1940. There is no
<u>م</u>	8	probate in Eddy County.
	9	Q She apparently died intestate?
ŭ	10	A Died intestate. In fact, she died in Illi-
NEPORTER 471-446 50 87691	11	nois.
UNAH (101)	12	She left as her heirs three people: Katherine
Bhae	13	Warmker, who is the same person as Kate Warmker who already
CENTIFIED 1930 Plasa Santa P	14	has a half interest.
5	15	
	16 <sup>-</sup>	A. Right.
¢	17	Q All right.
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	NOT MA HAZING - 1
	22	in Eddy County on those
	- 23	
	2	
	2	5 A. The third interest is 1/3 of 1/2, or 1/6th,

ł

SALLY WALTON BOYD CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 1930 PARAN BIADOR (505) 711-3467 1930 PARAN BIADOR (505) 711-3467

SALLY W/ CENTIFIED BHC 2012 CPLARA BHC 2012 CPLARA BHC

د. 			Page 9
. ~		in the 5 acre	s, to_C. Raymond Buxman, B-U-X-M-A-N, and he
	2		child of a deceased sister, Louisa C. Buxman.
	3		Shall I go through it would be simpler
	4	if T'd just a	o through the title with you right now, is that
	5	all right?	
	6	Q	Well, let me ask you a question at this
	7	point.	nerry ree no use fou a quoscion as ones
	8	point.	All right.
	9		
	<b>J</b>	Q	Minnie died in 1940 and there was no pro-
	- 10 11	bate of her e	
NO HE NO	12	A.	Right.
WALT		Q.	When did the heirs of Minnie Warmker first
	13		ecorded instrument in Eddy County any interest
<u> </u>	14	in the east h	alf of Section 1?
	15	A.	There were no recorded instruments, to my
	16	knowledge.	
<i>4</i> .	17	Ç.	Okay.
	18	A	You're talking about, now, the heirs of
	19	Minnie?	
5	20	Q	That's right.
	21	A.	Okay.
	22	Q.	All right?
<u>}</u> .	23	Α.	All right, now, you ready to start out
<b>/</b>	24	again?	
	25	Q	Uh-huh.

2

L

1

-. **.** 

		Page 10
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	A. C. Raymond Buxman died in 1947. He died
•	2	intestate. Now, his interest, forget it for a moment, and
	3	
	4	let's go back to Kate Warmker, who had 1/2 interest in
·		her own right.
	5	Q She was Minnie's sister back in 1910.
<u>.</u>	6 5	A. She was she was one of the two people on
	7	that deed.
	8	Q Okay.
	9	A Kate Warmker, in her own right and as an
	10	heir of Minnie Warmker, gave a deed to the Anna Brown Home,
		and that was in 1948.
	12	Q This is the Anna Brown Home for the Aged in
V V VI B B VI V VI	13	Illinois.
SALL CENTIFI CENTIFI South	14	A Right; right.
	15	Q All right.
	: 16	A That's now called something else.
j det	17	Norma Warmker, who had inherited 1/3rd of
	18	
.0	19	1/2, or a 1/6th, from Minnie, gave a deed to the Anna Brown
	20	HOme for the Aged.
		Q And when did that deed appear of record?
n Milling (Kiling Kulut) S	21	A That was the same deed, in fact.
	22	Q. The 1948 deed?
()	23	A. Right.
	24	Q All right.
	25	A That is all the interest that the Anna Brown
$a_{\mu} = b_{\mu}$		

<>

Ł

		Page 11
	1	Home for the Aged ever acquired.
	2	My interest comes, now, from the heirs of
	3	C. Raymond Buxman, who died in 1947, intestate.
	4	He left a widow. He left a son, Howard.
	5	He left a daughter, Irma Neece; a son, Warren Buxman; a
	6	daughter, June Schreacke. He left a son, Charles Buxman.
	7	He left a son, Eugene Buxman. He left a son, Roger Buxman.
	8	Now then, I've got to go back. The son,
	9	Howard Buxman, died and left no children but left a surviving
- 7	10	widow, Emma.
	.11	Am I going too fast for you?
TON TON	12	Q. No.
WAL North	13	A. Charles Buxman died, was never married, left
SALLY CENTFED CENTFED Postary Bantary	د. 14	no children, so by law his interest passed to his mother,
· · · · · ·	15	Ella Buxman.
	16	Roger Buxman, son of C. Raymond Buxman,
n an	17	died intestate. He left his widow, a son, and a daughter.
	18	I'll give you their names, if you'd like.
	19	Q. No, that's all right. I don't think that's
ي. ا	20	important to us.
	21	A. So there are no probates on any of these
	22	people.
• ~	23	
C .	24	those interests to which you perfected title as a result of
	25	the quiet title suit

ł

1. a.e. a

12 Pag

	-1	A. That's right.
e de la companya de l	2	Q and the final decree entered on 12 June
	3	78 in Eddy County Cause CV 78- 33.
	4	A. Correct.
	5	Q Okay.
LTON BOYD TTHAND MEPONTER as (661) 471-3463 W Marico 57101	6	A. Now you asked me a question where did J get
	7	my title. Shall I give that to you?
	8	I have seven oil and gas leases from these
	9	people. I have an oil and gas lease from Ella Buxman, who
	10	is a surviving widow of C. Raymond Buxman.
		Q. Okay.
	12	A I say that she owns 1/4 of 1/6th that she
	13	inherited from her husband, and she has 1/7th of 3/24ths
SALL CENTIFI Sentifi Sentifi	14	from her son, Charles, who died leaving no children and
( 	15	no widow. Yeah, no widow and no children.
	16	I have an oil and gas lease from Irma Buxman
	17	Neece, N-E-E-C-E. I say that she owned 1/7th of 3/24ths
	18	interest from inheritance.
	19	I have an oil and gas lease from Emma Buxman
	20	Her interest came by inheritance from Howard Buxman and
.4	21	she owns 1/7th of 3/24ths interest.
	22	I have an oil and gas lease from Eugene
•	23	Buxman, who inherited 1/7th of a 3/24ths from his father,
	24	C. Raymond Buxman.
7.	25	A lease from Warren Buxman, who inherited

		Page 1.3
* *	1	the 1/7th of a 3/24th interest from his father.
	2	A lease from June Schreacke. She was a
	3	daughter of C. Raymond Buxman and she inherited 1/7th of
	4	a 3/24ths.
\$	5	I have an oil and gas lease from Alma Gloor,
	6	G-L-O-O-R. She was formerly Alma Buxman. She's a surviving
	7	widow of Roger Buxman, and she inherited 1/4th of 1/7th of
	8	a 3/24ths for her interest.
	9	This same lease was executed by Ronald
	10	deWayne Buxman, son of Roger Buxman, deceased. His interest,
	11	good gracious, $1/2$ of a 98822, if I can ease this a little.
AND NE NO NE	12	And his sister, Vicky Lynn Buxman, who had an equal interest,
WALT HOATH Blauca	13	And his sister, then together inherited 3/4ths of 1/7th of a the two of them together inherited 3/4ths of 1/7th of a
SALLY CERTIFIED CERTIFIED CONFIELD	14	3/24ths interest, and it came from their father, Roger
0 8 8	15	Buxman.
	16	And I say with those seven leases that
	17	the mineral owners of the Ray-
	18	
	19	mond Buxman.
		tuch surna Oil Company, have you
	20	
	21	notr
	2	
×.	2	
)	2	bourne Oil Company, they have had prepared Division order
tana N	2	title opinions and Division orders, showing what your frac-

interest is in the east half of Section 1.

A. That's right.

Q. And there's no disagreement between you and Mewbourne Production about what that fractional interest is as of this date.

Page

14

A. That's right, there's no disagreement.
Q. And you have received all your money, with
the exception of that portion being held with regards to
the risk factor. You've received all other monies --

A. No, I won't go with you on that.

Q Okay, tell me what you've received and what you have not received.

A. You mean in money?

Q Not in dollars but in general terms. Are you being paid sums by Mewbourne Oil Company for production from this well?

A. I'm being paid sums since about -- well,
 I'm receiving payments from them now.

Q. Okay.

A. For my -- for my working interest, and for another interest, which has no connection here.

Q I'm only interested in the working interest ownership in regards to Tract 218.

A. And as far as I know, those payments are in order.

SALLY WALTON BOYD CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 3020 Flats Blanco (665) 471-2463 Santa Fe, New Mession 87661 1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

.24

25

The argument comes from June, 175, which was 1 2 the date of first production. The fact of the matter is, 3 I have an argument with Mewbourne on the way they're paying 4 me, which is in addition to what I'm trying to establish 5 here, and I don't think the Commission wants to fool with 6 it, but I'll be glad to explain it, if it's necessary. From June of '75 when the first production 8 commonced through June of 1978 is the area of argument. 9 I argue that they should not have assessed 10 me 200 percent. SALLY WALTON BOYD CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 1020 Plaza Blanca (845) 411-2462 Statta Pe. New Messico 1501 -11 All right, let me ask you this. How much Û. 12 money is involved in this 200 percent? 13 I wrote them a letter setting out what I A. 14 thought was due me, and do you have a copy of it? 15 Let me just mention my other controversy 16 and then we can forget about it. 17 Q Well, let's not clutter the record, Mr. 18 Fritts, unless it's relevant to the case here. 19 Well, it's not relevant until you ask me A. 20 about total value which I think is due me, so in addition 21 to the 200 percent penalty, I argue that they haven't paid 22 me all the gas that was produced. 23 Okay. Apart from that, I would like you 0. 24 to take a copy of what I've marked as Exhibit Number Two, 25 which is your letter dated October 6, 1978, and indicate

1 to me what the dollar amount is in controversy. 2 Λ. You mean after we -- the bottom line, is 3 that what you're interested in, or shall I go through the Δ whole thing? 5 Just the bottom line. Q. 6 Roughly \$1530.00. A. 7 As to your interest. 0. 8 As to my interest and the same for Mr. --A 9 And how about Mr. Barnhill? Q 10 The same to him. А, 11 About \$1500.00oto Mr. Barnhill. Q 12 Α. Right. 13 Okay. Now I'm curious as to what first Q. 14 led you, Mr. Fritts, to attempt to get these leases from 15 the Buxman heirs back in late '74 and early '75. 16 Well, it's quite simple. Barnhill and I A. 17 started checking records in the general area, particularly 18 in Section 2, and in Section 34 of 17, 26, and intended to 19 buy minerals, assuming that the whole thing was leased, 20 and we succeeded in buying minerals and we were doing it 21 on information prepared by Mr. Barnhill, who is a geologist. 22 He outlined the broad area. He says, this 23 looks like a good area for gas production, let's see if we 24 can get in it. And then we expanded our area a little, 25 took in a little bit more each time I checked, and I finally

10

Y WALTON BOYD. SHORTHAND REPORTER L Blanca (505) 471-2462 Pe. New Mexico 87601
		Page 2.2
~	1	checked as far east as Section 6 of 18 South, 25 East, and
	2	it included Section 1. I made a take-off on it and I found
,	3	inmediately that your company had not leased the Buxman
	4	heirs, and I called them and made a deal with them, and I
	5	did it to make money.
	6	Q At that point, Mr. Fritts, were you aware
	7	that a well had been completed in Section 1?
	8	A. I was not.
r	9	Q. You were not aware of that?
o. 5 \$	10	A I started checking before we started
BOYI 8071		checking before they formed it, and let me throw this in.
HAND T (605)	12	Your company did not record the unitized agreement or a copy
WAL SHORT SHORT SHORT SHORT SHORT	13	
SALLY CERTIFIEC 502.0 Plaza Santa 1	14	of this forced pooling agreement until seven, oh, seven or
CERT Solo	e 15	eight months after I recorded my interest.
-	16	So the records showed no evidence of forced
	17	pooling or even the fact that they were going to drill a
		well.
	18	Q Did you acquire any surface ownership in
• . · ·	19	the east
2.	20	A. I did.
	21	Q half of Section 1?
	22	A. I did.
	23	Q. And when did you obtain the surface owner-
$\bigcirc$	24	ship?
	25	A. Well, let me let me stop you now. This

Page \_\_\_\_\_1.8------

is an entirely different chain of title.

Q. Okay, When did you acquire that interest?
A. A warranty deed was executed February the
7th, 1975. It was executed by the Good Samaritan Home.
It was executed to William B. Barnhill and it covered all
of the surface rights owned by the Good Samaritan Home plus
some mineral interest but your question was about the surface.

Q. That's right. At the time you acquired the surface interest did you make any inspection of the surface?

A. I did not. Would you like to know why I

didn't? Or does it matter?

Q.

Q I don't think it matters, Mr. Fritts. You took it subject to the fact that their well was present located on the property and had you desired to do so, you could have inspected and found the well.

A. I suppose that's true. This is located
in the flood plains of the Pecos River, and I -Q. Mr. Barnhill is a geologist, is he not?
A. Yes.

Q And what is your -- do you have any expertise in oil and gas?

A. Not really. I'm a broker of oil and gas leases.

But you consider yourself experienced in

SALLY WALTON BOYD FERTIFIED SHORTHAN REPORTER 010 PLALE BILDICA (010) 171-24 (02) 010 PLALE BILDICA (010) 171-24 (02) 010 PLALE BILDICA (010) 01150 1150 11 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

		Page 1.9
	1	matters of leasing oil and gas property?
	2	A. I do.
	3	As a reasonably prudent man with experience
	4	in oil and gas leasing, would you not examine the records
	5	before the Oil Conservation Commission or one of its Divi-
	6	sions in the District Office with regards to what production
	7	may have been completed or what wells may have been drilled
	8	on any acreage in which you were interested?
	9	A. I haven't ever done it in my life.
BOYD EPORTER 471-3462 87501	10	Q But those records are available to you
<u> </u>	11	should you desire to look at them, are they not?
ALTON ORIHAND ( ORIHAND ( ORIHAND ( New Medden	12	A. Certainly.
FIED SH FIED SH FIALE BI	13	Q And you knew that at the time you acquired
SALLY CERTIFIE 3020Plant Banta	14	this interest.
	- 15	A. I may have known it but I didn't
•	16	Q You didn't do it.
	17	A consider it necessary. I think you're
	18	wrong but I can't argue with a well-known attorney. I
	19	think that has to be recorded in the county to be of notice
	20	to me.
	21	MR. KELLAHIN: I believe I have no other
	22	questions for Mr. Fritts.
	23	MR. RAMEY: Any other questions for the
	24	witness? He may be excused.
	25	MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Ramey, I'd like to discuss

with you, before I present my case, some problems I have

Mewbourne Oil Company would very much like the Commission to dispose of this matter in one way or another, but I'm concerned that the Commission lacks jurisdiction based upon what Mr. Fritts has told us in which to give him the type of relief he seeks.

with this particular application.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SALLY WALTON CERTIFIED SHORTHAND P It appears to me that the way the advertisement is written, based upon Mr. Fritts' letter of application, that he's seeking a review and interpretation of the forced pooling order, and I think fundamentally the Commission or Division lacks jurisdiction to review and interpret his forced pooling order. That's within the provence of the District Court.

In addition, it becomes very apparent that we are in a controversy as identified by Lynn Teschendorf, General Counsel for the Division, in her letter to Mr. Fritts, dated on February 9th, 1979, in which she indicates, "I've received your application for a hearing on certain matters pertaining to the above referenced case; however it appears that there may be some dispute as to record title and lease ownership of the 5-acre tract involved.

The Division has no authority to adjudicate titles or make determinations of ownership, but if you can come to some agreement with the operator, Mark Production Company, or obtain a Court decree as to the interests in this tract, I can proceed to docket this case."

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Y WALTON BOYD D SHORTHAND REPORTER D BIADCA (806) 471-9462 Be, New Mexico 87601 21

Our problem is I think that the Commission is being asked to determine the status of the record title ownership as of the date of the forced pooling hearing and as of the date of the order.

Now, you'll have evidence that I'll bring to you that indicates that we believe the record title ownership as of the date of the hearing was, at least of record, in the Anna Brown Home for the Aged, and that that acreage was under lease to Felmont Oil Corporation.

Now Felmont, subsequent to the hearing, acceded to the order. They consented to the order and to the penalty factor. It was only subsequent, in June of 1978, some four years after the forced pooling order, that Mr. Fritts and Mr. Barnhill perfected their record title ownership in the property.

Mr. Fritts has just testified that from 1940, I guess, which is the death of Minnie Warmker, the sister of Kate, that there was nothing of record to clue the operator that either Mr. Fritts, Mr. Barnhill, or any of the Buxman heirs, had any record title ownership in this property. For some, whatever it was, thirty-four years there is nothing in the record to indicate that the ownership is otherwise than in the Anna Brown Home. Now I don't believe the Commission has ever required any operator to go out and attempt to search for the unknown heirs of some party that may or may not have an interest in the acreage to be pooled. We've done this a number of times for Cities Service in Carlsbad, in which there are city lots in which the ownership is not even known. The procedure is to escrow those funds subject to the penalty factor and that if in a period of ten years the parties do not claim that interest, it escheats to the State, but it escheats to the State subject to the operating penalty risk factor.

22

Page

We believe that the situation in here is similar to that, in that Mr. Fritts and Mr. Barnhill have now perfected by Court decree their interest in the property, and they are to receive their percentage interest, based upon the Division order written on this final decree.

Mr<sub>1</sub> Fritts indicates he has no problem with the percentage he's being paid. His question concernawhether the risk factor applies. It is our contention that it does; that first of all, the Commission should not adjudicate what the record title ownership is as of the date of the hearing, but even if you do attempt to take jurisdiction over that matter, why, it appears to me that it would have taken herculean efforts to determine who these unknown interests were, and that's not the operator's obli-

SALLY WALTON BOYD CERTIEID SHORTHAND REPORTER 1020 Plaza Blanca (505) 471-3462 Santa Pe, New Modeo 87501 3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

gation. But before we present our case, and to 3 simply keep this case as simple as possible, we believe that the Commission at this point lacks jurisdiction to 5 adjudicate the ownership as of the date of the forced pooling. 6 MR. RAMEY: You may be right, Mr. Kellahin, 7 but I would like to hear what your witness has to say and 8 get a complete record on this, and then if we don't have 9 jurisdiction we will --- we will come out with an order such. 10 MR. KELLAHIN: I'd like to call Mr. Donald 11 Haden, please. 12 13 DONALD G. HADEN 14 being called as a witness and having been duly sworn upon 15 his oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 16 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. KELLAHIN: 19 0. Mr. Haden, would you indicate what your 20 name is? 21 My name is Donald G. Haden. A. 22 How do you spell your last name? Q. 23 H-A-D-E-N. λ. 24 Mr. Haden, how are you employed? Q. 25 I'm District Manager for Mewbourne Oil A.

Company in Midland, Texas, office.

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BOYD

Y WALTON

Mexi

Q. Mhat are your duties as District Manager for Mewbourne Oil Company?

A. Well, primarily to oversee the exploration effort in western Texas and southeastern New Mexico and the Panhandle, including buying oil and gas leases, examining titles, and curing them, et cetera.

Mark Production Company and Mewbourne Oil Company?

A. That was simply a name change from Mark Production Company to Mewbourne Oil Company.

Q Do your duties as District Manager for Mewbourne Oil Company include keeping track of correspondence and documents concerning wells drilled by Mewbourne Oil Company in Eddy County, New Mexico?

Yes, it does.

A.

Q. And do you maintain a record of the drilling and correspondence with regard to the Federal "B" No. 1 Well, located in the east half of Section 1, Township 18 South, Range 36 East, Eddy County, New Mexico?

A. Yes, we do.

Q. And does part of that record include certain documents and title opinions concerning the record title ownership of the working interest of the east half of Section 1? Yes, it does.

Δ.

2

3

۸

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And are those documents and opinions obtained by you in the regular course of your business for Mewbourne Oil Corporation?

A Yes, it is.

Q. Have you brought with you certain records concerning the record title ownership for the east half of Section 1, specifically with regards to Tract Number 218?
 A. Yes, I have.

Q I show you what I have marked as Mewbourne Oil Company Exhibits Three through Eleven and ask you if those are documents which you're referred to concerning the ownership and title questions with regard to the east half of this section?

A. Yes, it is.

MR. KELLAHIN: If the Commission please, we move the introduction of Exhibits Three through Eleven. MR. RAMEY: They will be admitted.

Q Now, Mr Haden, let's go through those documents, if you please, and would you identify what Exhibit Number Three is?

A. Exhibit Three is the Order No. R-4831,
 being the forced pooling order, dated July 30th, 1974.
 Q. Okay. Would you please refer to Exhibit
 Number Four and identify it?

LY WALTON BC FIED SHORTHAND REPO Plaza Blanca (606) 471 164 Pc, New Maxico 37 A Exhibit Four is a letter dated October 5th,
 1974, from Mawbourne Oil Company to Felmont Oil Corporation,
 furnishing them an estimated well cost of the Federal "B"
 No. 1.

26

Q Refer to Exhibit Number Five and identify it.

A. It's a letter dated August the 5th, 1974, from Mewbourne Oil Company to the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission furnishing them with an itemized schedule of estimated well cost for the Federal "B" No. 1.

Q Will you refer to Exhibit Number Six and identify it?

This is a letter dated August the 6th,
 1974, from Newbourne Oil Company to Felmont Oil Corporation,
 furnishing them with two copies of an operating agreement
 for the Federal "B" No. 1.

Q Please refer to Exhibit Number Seven and identify that.

A. That's a letter from Felmont Oil Corporation to Mark Production Company dated August the 9th, 1974, relative to the Federal "B" No. 1, acknowledging receipt of the invoice and the AFE.

Q Okay.

And acknowledging the operating agreement,
 I guess that's it.

25

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

BOYD

WALTON

Q All right, Exhibit Humber Eight, now.
A Exhibit Humber Eight is a form filed with the United States Department of the Interior relative to Federal "B" No. 1, showing the location, the spud date of August the 30th, 1974, the date the total depth was reached on September 28th, 1974, and the date of completion of the well on November 22nd, 1974.

Q Refer to Exhibit Number Nine and identify it.
A. That's a letter from Felmont Oil Corporation
to Mark Production Company, dated March the 12th, 1975,
acknowledging that they have been force pooled in the unit
and enclosing a copy of their lease from the Anna Brown
Home Unit, dated August the 9th, 1973.

Okay. Exhibit Number Ten.

A Exhibit Number Ten is a letter dated December 22nd, 1978, from our attorney, Tevis Herd, with the law firm of Cotton, Bledsoe, Tye, Morrow, and Dawson in Midland, directed to Mr. J. V. Fritts, advising him that in his opinion that the record title reflected that the ownership was in Felmont at the time of the forced pooling order.

Q. Would you refer to Exhibit Number Eleven and identify it?

A. Exhibit Number Eleven is a memorandum of title by Tevis Herd, relative to the history of the title

ALLY WALTON BOYD RATIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 20 Place Bladce (205) 471-2462 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87101 1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

		Page
	1	on the Federal "B" No. 1.
	2	MR. KELLAHIN: That concludes my examination
	3	of Mr. Haden.
	4	MR. RAMEY: Any questions of the witness?
	5	MR. FRITTS: Please.
	6	MR. RAMEY: Mr. Fritts.
	7	MR. FRITTS: I have just a few.
~	8	
	9	CROSS EXAMINATION
053	10	BY-MR. FRITTS:
4 BOY REPORT 7 471-3 100 8750	11	Q. Do you have a copy of your Division order
NLTON RTHAND Tea (605 Wext	12	at hand?
LY WA	13	A. Yes, I do.
SALL CERTIEI Santi	14	MR. KELLAHIN: Which one do you have?
Ż	15	A Well, there's two. All I have were just
•	16	excerpts, so it will be requirement number sixteen of title
	17	opinion Number 3046-A, and a copy of the third supplemental
	18	Division order, opinion Number 3046-D.
	19	Q. The very first sentence under requirement
	20	Number Sixteen, it says, "Title to Tract 218 was acquired
	21	by Minnie Warmker and Kate Warmker." And it goes on to
	22	describe the deed.
- <u>1</u> .	23	Under requirement on page eighteen of that
A second second	24	same title opinion, under requirement B, it says, "An in-
	25	vestigation should be made concerning the Minnie Warmker."

I guess my question would be, did you carry out that investigation?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A.

WALTON BO

Page

29

A. I'm not in a position to answer that because I was not employed by Mewbourne at the time this opinion was propared.

Q. Then let's go on to on December the 19th, 1975, the third supplemental Division order, opinion Number 3046-D.

And that seems to be in the form of a letter to Mark Production.

MR. KELLAHIN: What's the date of that, Mr. Fritts?

Q. December the 19th, 1975. This is, by the way, more than a year after the well was completed.

On page two, skip down to where you see the title evidence obtained by J. V. Fritts reflects that the Good Samaritan Home owned an undivided 5/6ths mineral interest in Tract 218 at the time it executed the lease dated August the 9th, 1973, to Felmont Oil, and that the remaining 1/6th mineral interest is owned in various portions by my lessors.

Do you dispute that?

Dispute his statement here?

Q. Yes. Do you say that I did not own the 1/6th working interest?

		Page30
	1	A. I believe he's saying you did not have
	_ 2	record title to that 1/6th.
	3	Q Well, will you define record title for me?
	- 4	A. Evidence that is filed of public record in
	5	the county wherein the land lies.
-	6	Q What was the record title on the date of
	7	the forced pooling order?
	8	A. Well, all I
	9	Q. Remember in your first Division order he
	10	says title to Tract 218 was acquired by Minnie Warmker and
REPOR	11	Kate Warmker.
ATHAND REA (505	12	A. In 1910.
ED SHO	ି 13	Q. In 1910, and his requirement was an investi-
SALL CENTIFI Solo Pla Sunti	14	gation should be made concerning Minnie Warmker.
·	15	Why isn't Minnie Warmker and Kate Warmker,
•	16	the two sisters, who got a deed in 1910, why wouldn't that
	17	be record title if nothing had taken place since that time?
	18	Would it be record title?
	19	A. Well, I think the fact Minnie Warmker
	20	died in 1940.
•	21	Q. There's nothing on record to show it.
τ.	22	A. They can
	23	Q I argue that the title at the time that you
Х	24	bought your lease, or at the time Felmont bought their
	25	lease, rather, excuse me, at the time you got the forced

8 e.

1400-1400-

	1 ogo
1	pooling order, was Minnie Warmker and Kate Warmker. There
2	is nothing in the record to show her death.
3	So your attorney said make an investigation
- 4	concerning her, and in his supplemental opinion that I just
-5	mentioned here, he says, "It appears that Good Samaritan
6	Home has 5/6ths and Fritts has 1/6th."
7	I can't understand what you mean by record
8	title. If Minnie Warmker who has no probate of record, no
9	affidavits of heirship, if she and her sister Kate aren't
10	record title, then I don't I don't know what record title
- 11 <sup>°</sup>	is.
12	A. I believe your lease didn't come from
· 13	
14	a sta way potify Minnie
15	tradedule?
16	
17	
18	
19	9 MR. KELLAHIN: Tell us where she was buried
2	and we'll be happy to serve her:
2	have her been placed of re-
2	n cord?
	MR. KELLAHIN: I'm not going to argue with
	24 you, Mr. Fritts.
;	25 Q It's been stated that that you didn't

ļ

ł

11

ile - 6

SALLY WALTON BOYD CENTIFIED SHONTHAND REPORTER 2020Plazz Bhance (201) 171-3403 2020 Flazz Phance (201) 171-3403 Bauta Fe, New Morton 27501

know where these heirs, these Buxman heirs, were, is that Page true? And you couldn't serve them? I have here what is an oil and gas lease from those same heirs to Mark Production Company, covering 2 another tract of land within a half a mile of this tract 3 4 Will you tell me if that is a fair statement? 5 that's in controversy. 6 Yeah, this is a lease dated August 10th, 1972, from what appears to be these heirs to Mark Production 7 8 Company, relative to Section 12, 18 South, 26 East. 9 Does it show the address of the lessors? 10 VALTON BOYD TOTTHAND REPORTER TOTTHAND REPORTER MILE (1915) 471-3463 Mardio 871891 11 Couldn't you have used that address to Yes, it does. Q. . . 12 WALL β. Well, I would think just it's not the same 13 notify these people in Section 1? SALLY 14 section of land and we have many, many tracts in Eddy 15 16 Well, did you make a -- did you make a County, New Mexico. 17 18 Well, I can't answer that. Like I said, Q. 19 search? 20 Well, I started writing to you in early this is prior to my employment. Did you make a search then for the addresses of these 21 22 23 1975. 24 people? 25

33 \_\_\_\_ T-was --- I came with Mewbourne in September 2 of '76. Then you wouldn't know that. Q. 3 MR. RAMEY: What's the date on that document? 4 This one I have in my hand? 5 A. MR. RAMEY: Yes. 6 August the 10th, 1972. 7 - A. MR. FRITTS: Am I supposed to introduce that 8 9 document? If you so desire. MR. RAMEY: 10 MR. FRITTS: I guess I'd better. 11 LY WALTON F (Mr. Fritts continuing.) Mr. Haden, among 83 12 Q . . the documents that you have already introduced is an oil 13 SALLY CERTIFIED and gas lease from Felmont Oil. 14 Will you tell us what tracts it covers? 15 Let's see, it covers Tract 320 of Section 16 Δ. 12 and Tract 218 of Section 1, both in Township 18 South, 17 18 Range 26 East. Tract 320 is a tract 12 that's on your oil 19 Q. and gas lease here, is that right? 20 Yes, that's correct. 21 Α. So Felmont Oil Corporation knew the title 22 Q. to the two tracts and included it in their -- in their 23 24 lease. 25 Yes. Α.

1 And your lease only covers Tract 320 in 2 Section 12. 3 That's true; is that correct? Q. 4 Well, would you -- would you say that the 5 landman that was in charge at the time of all of this, should 6 he not have known about the title to a tract that's just 7 across the line? 8 Well, he would have if he'd have taken this A. 9 lease, probably, from the Anna Brown Unit of the Good 10 Samaritan -V WALTON BO SHORTHAND REPOR BIADCA (606) 471-3 10, New Medico 8761 11 If he had looked at the title and found the Q 12 Felmont lease, which covers Tract 218 in Section 1 and 320 13 in Section 2, would that not have alerted him to the fact 14 that the Buxman heirs probably would have an interest in 15 both tracts? 16 Well, that -- that would only be surmise 17 that he would. 18 Q, Okay. I have in my file a carbon copy of 19 a letter to your company, dated January the 20th, 1975, and 20 I advised that I had acquired oil and gas leases on a 1/6th 21 interest. Do you have a copy of that with you? 22 No, I do not. A. 23 On March the 14th, 1975, I wrote another Q. 24 letter to Mark Production. I gave the recording data on my 25 leases and I again expressed the desire to commit my working

BOYD

interest to the unit, and I asked you for a breakdown of 2 the cost. Were you there in -- you waren't there in 175. Α. No, I was not. Q. Does your file have -- do you have that 7 letter with you? 8 No, I do not. This letter you just read A. 9 about? No, I do not. 10 BOYD. REPORTER Q. Then on October the 3rd of 1975 I wrote a 11 letter to Mark Production and I mentioned the first two 12 letters, and I pointed out that you were circulating a Divi-13 sion order and that it was in error as to the ownership of 14 Tract 218. 15 Do you have a copy of that letter? 16 Is that -- what is the date of your letter A. 17 where you describe the amounts of money? That's the first 18 one that I've ---19 Is that the first one that you --Q 20 A. That's the first one that I saw. 21 Q. But would those letters be in your file in --22 I wrote the letters to Tyler. Would you have -- would your 23 office in Midland have received copies of them? 24 Only if they'd reproduced them and sent them Α. 25 to us, since you directed your correspondence to Tyler.

	. 1	Page 36
- -	1	Q But you have all all that's in your file.
	2	You have everything that's in your file?
	3	A. Well, predominantly, everything that I
	4	don't have the entire file here, if that's your question.
	5	Q. My copies are carbon copies, and I wouldn't -
÷	6	I don't suppose I'd be allowed to introduce them as
	7	MR. KELLAHIN: Certainly, Mr. Fritts, they
	8	could be reproduced and submitted after the hearing. I
	9	have no objection to your submitting those.
443	10	Just set aside those letters you're inter-
soruriata Banca (sos) 471-5145 Santa Fe, New Mexico 57601	11	ested in and we'll see that they get in the record.
ew Mex	12	MR. FRITTS: All right. Now, those are the
N. A.	13	three letters that I have just briefly mentioned.
8020Ph Sent	14	Am I taking up too much of your time?
	15	MR. RAMEY: Take as much as you need, Mr.
	16	Fritts.
	17	Q. (Mr. Fritts continuing.) On June 14, 1978,
	18	I wrote a letter to Mark Production, which I furnished a
	19	copy of the final decree, and I furnished a copy of a De-
	20	claration of Interest between me, Barnhill, and Felmont.
	21	Is that in your file?
	22	A I believe it is. I don't have it right
	23	here. At least we have the I believe, one of these sup-
	24	plemental Division order opinions here reflects this inform-
	25	ation that you described.

ł

SALLY WALTON BOYD

1	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Q Okay. On September the 25th, 1978, I asked
2	for a complete accounting of well cost to Mewbourne Oil,
3	and pointed out that there were some mistakes.
4	There again, do you have you don't have
5	that copy, do you?
- 6	A. No, I don't. Well, I have the I have
7	the copy of your letter where you set those monetary amounts
8	out.
·	Q All right, that's the next one. On October
10	the 2nd, 1978, you have a copy of that, you have a copy of
0X08 111-346 111-346 11	my letter excuse me. On October the 2nd, 1978, is a
NOT Obrew 15	to me setting out the well costs.
WAL SHORT SHORT SHORT	$t \rightarrow t \rightarrow$
SALLY CERTIFIED South F	that either. That comes from
	5 our Accounting Department in Tyler.
1	6 Q I'd like to introduce this as part of my
1. 1	7 exhibits.
and and a second se Second second second Second second	Would you read it?
	19 A. The letter is dated October the 2nd, 1978,
	and it's to Mr. J. V. Fritts from our Tyler office.
	21 "Dear Mr. Fritts. Attached is the informa-
	22 tion you requested as to the accounting of the total cost
	to drill and complete the Federal "B" No. 1.
	24 The well did pay out in September of 1976,
	effective October 1, 1976.
	ellective occord at -
	and a second s

You are correct to assume at that rate the well would have cost over \$1,000,000.

١

2

3

4

5

6

8

ĝ

10

11

.12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BOYD REPORTER Page

30

Since Felmont was force pooled, they accepted the payout of 300 percent times the actual cost to complete the well. The total cost was \$445,052.78 times 300 percent equals \$1,335,164.34.

Please review Felmont's payout sheet for the method used to determine the figures for payout."

Mr. Haden, why -- why does Felmont Oil have
 power -- why did they have any power to commit my interest
 to the forced -- to the penalty to the forced pooling?
 Did they own -- did they own my interest?
 A. Our attorney -- our attorney records that
 they were the record owners at the time of the forced pooling
 order.

Q. Well, there again we come up to the -- to the business of what is record title. What interest did Felmont Oil have under lease at the time of the forced pooling order?

A The percentage of this particular Tract 218 had a total interest in the unit of 1.578125 percent, which would include both royalty interest and working interest.

Felmont Oil Corporation had reflected at the time a 1.380859 percent working interest.

Upon finalization of this quiet title suit,

then, that was, of course, changed to the Division order 1 that was signed, which then showed that particular working 2 interest to be owned J. V. Fritts, .115072 percent; William 3 B. Barnill, .115072 percent: Felmont Oil Corporation. 4 5 1.150715 percent. So just because Felmont Oil owned a lease 6 Q. from the Anna Brown Home for the Aged, your company assumed 7 that they owned 100 percent at the time of this first -- when 8 you took out the forced pooling, is that correct? 9 That's true. 10 A. MALTON BOYD. MONTHAND REPORTER Janca (805) 471-2462 , New Morico 81501 And you don't know of anyone in your organ-11 Q. ization who ran the records to see what happened to Minnie 12 13 Warmker? No, I don't. 14 Α. Would you have if you had been handling it? 15 a Would you have checked the title? 16 Well, we'd have made some attempt to. What 17 Α. I'd have done was, since it was Felmont Oil Corporation's 18 lease, I would have presented them with the requirement and 19 let them make their search, because it was in their interest 20 21 to do so. Did you do it? 22 ۵ 23 Well, I ---A. At the time of the well, before you drilled 24 O. the well? Do you take out drilling -- do you have drilling 25

39

Page

ž

opinions madewhen you drill a well?

A Yes, we do as a rule.

Q Did you find --- did you find that Minnie Warmker was involved in this title? Or your file doesn't show it, excuse me, you weren't there.

A. I wasn't there at that time.

Q Well, you've mentioned the next letter that I wrote, which is October the 6th, 1978. You have a -- you have a copy of that.

Where do you differ with me on the cost and the net figure that I say? Do you say I don't -- I'm not entitled to any of it?

A. Mr. Fritts, I'm -- that accounting schedule, all those calculations are made by our Accounting Department in Tyler. I did not prepare to se well costs, but they're --I'm sure they're of record there.

Q But you say that I didn't own -- that I wasn't able -- that I'm wrong on thinking that I should receive \$1583.

A. Well, we believe you're in error to the extent that you're claiming that you should not be subject to the 300 percent penalty.

We're not in disagreement as to your current record title interest under which you're now being paid. Q You're only willing to pay me what I'm en-

LLY WALTON I TIERD SHORTHAND RE Place Bances (005) A dia Po. New Mexico 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 1 titled to now, not before. Okay. 2 Well, I wrote one or two other letters to your company and never --- and never received a reply of 3 any sort, and I finally wrote a letter saying that I was 4 5 going to come up to the Oil Commission and appeal the whole 6 thing if I couldn't get satisfaction, and I got a letter 7 from -- from your attorney, and this has already been intro-8 duced, I think, as one of your exhibits, is that correct? 9 That's true. Ă. 10 Will you -- will you read -- will you read A BOYD 11 this second paragraph? ALTON 12 MR. RAMEY: What exhibit is that, please? 13 MR. KELLAHIN: Number Ten, Mr. Ramey. 14 I don't believe it's necessary to read it Q. 15 out loud since everybody has it. Skip down to this part 16 in -- oh, wait, why don't you start here, therefore you and 17 William B. Barnhill. 18 Start in the middle of the second --19 Right. Q. 20 -- paragraph on page one of this letter, 21 dated December 22nd, 1978. 22 "Therefore, although you and William B. 23 Barnhill were not parties to the forced pooling order, 24 neither did you nor any of your mineral owners have any in-25 terest of record at the time the forced pooling order was

entered, and it is our opinion that the order is binding on the working interest acquired by you from mineral owners who owned no interest of record, but who acquired their interest through the Anna Brown Nome, who owned an interest of record at the time of the order.

Page

42

We will be pleased to discuss this matter further with you at any time."

All right, I would like for you to point out where --- where I'm in error on the chain of title. Tell me how I acquired my interest through the Anna Brown Home.

Well, I ---

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WALTON BOYD

0. Do you have any ---

A I didn't examine this title, but - 0. Did your attorney show it in the -- in the
 Division order titles? Did he record it?

A. I believe he requested that that interest be placed in suspense until you got this matter straightened out by your quiet title suit.

Q All right, I got it straightened out by quiet title suit, as you all required, and there was not a word in there about --- stating that I acquired my interest through the Anna Brown Home.

Are you willing to admit that I acquired my interest by oil and gas leases from a number of heirs who inherited separate from any interest that might have been

age	<u></u>	43	

owned by the Anna Brown Home? 1 Did I make myself clear? 2 Let me say I've --А. 3 Are you saying that --- are you saying that 4 0 my 1/6th interest was deeded to me by the Anna Brown Home? 5 No, I'm not saying that. 6 A. Why -- I don't understand why your attorney 7 Ũ 8 says it. We would just have to review his requirements, 9 Α. 10 I suppose. These heirs of Minnie Warmker -1:1. MR. KELLAHIN: Right. 12 --- they were not identified of record. 13 Δ. No, but your company took an oil and gas 14 Q. lease that included part of them. 15 Minnie Warmker was of record and I maintain 16 that it was your duty, or your landman's in charge at the 17 time, it was his duty to run this title and find those 18 19 people. Mr. Fritts, I'm not a lawyer, but it would 20 Λ. appear to me that public notice is only given as to that 21 22 particular tract of land. 23 Right. Q. And things are filed of record on that parti-24 Λ. 25 cular tract of land.

WALTON BOYE SHORTHAND REPORTE Blance (205) 471-241 e, New Mexico 87691

44 All right. Q. λ. Not some other tract of land. Ŋ Then these two women were record title owners and one of the women deeded her interest to the Anna Brown Home. Am I stating that right? One of the women plus one other woman who Α. is an heir. Right. But we don't know anything about Q. 9 heirs. You don't know where Norma -- you have no notion --10 She appears in the title in 1948. Α. Q. She appeared in the title by --Quit claim deed. A. 13 -- quit claim deed. Q. Λ. Anna Brown Home. 15 Right, and it looks to me like it would be Q. 16 incumbent upon you to find out where she got her interest. 17 She had no deed into her; we're talking about Norma. 18 I, upon the receipt of this letter from Mr. 19 Herd, I wrote him back pointing out why I thought he was 20 in error. 21 Do you have that in your file? 22 No, I ---Λ. 23 It's dated December the 26th, 1978. Q. 24 I don't believe I've seen that letter. Α. 25 Q. I'd like to introduce a copy of it.

ł

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

11

12

14

WALTON BOYD SHORTHAND REPORTER

On December 27th, 1978, I wrote him a second, just a day later, and I referred to his -- I referred to his two title opinions and pointed out that he agreed with my interpretation of the title, but you do not have -you don't have a copy of that in your file.

A You mean you wrote him on successive days? Q. Right, I went --- I went back through his title opinion and found where he stated that title was in Minnie Warmker and Kate Warmker. He asked that title investigation be made on Minnie Warmker and in his third supplemental title he says Good Samaritan Home, or Anna Brown Home, owns 5/6ths, Fritts owns 1/6th, and he made no reference in that letter saying that Felmont Oil had the -- had committed me to being force pooled.

MR. FRITTS: I apologize, Mr Examiner, for the amateurish presentation, but that's all I have to --

MR. RAMEY: That's fine.

MR. FRITTS: To say.

MR. RAMEY: Mr. Haden, where are these heirs located? Are any of them located in Eddy County? What's their addresses?

A. I don't believe they are, no, sir. MR. RAMEY: Mr. Fritts, I think Mr. Stamets wanted to ask you a question.

LY WALTON BOYD HED SHORTHAND REPORTER MAX BADICA (505) 171-2463 MEXICO (505) 171-2463 MEXICO (505) 171-2463 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11...

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## MR. FRITTS: Yes, sir.

QUESTIONS OF MR. FRITTS BY MR. STAMETS:

2 Mr. Fritts, why have you waited so long to come in here?

Mark Production Company's attorney required A that we carry out a quiet title suit to perfect this title. I immediately approached Felmont Oil and asked if they would join hands with me. They owned 5/6ths interest and I owned 1/6th. And it took me a year or two to get the decision out of them and it took another at least, another year for my attorney to carry out the quiet title.

Q. Did Mark make any promise to you about what they would do when this quiet title suit was completed?

A. No, sir. Their lawyer, in his -- in his title opinion pointed out defects, and said I'll require you to quiet title before these funds can be released.

So they made no promises one way or the

other.

λ.

Q.

1

3

Δ

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BOYD

WALTON BOY

SAL

Maca (505) New Meric

Now you did not acquire an ownership of Q. these leases until December 26th, '74.

Yes, sir.

Q. And that was after the well was started.

Yes, sir. A.,

And Mark could not have given you notice of

an estimated well cost before they started the well since you didn't own the leases before that time.

<u>17</u>

A. No, sir.

Q And although you've indicated that they did not give these heirs notice, they're not here

A. Right.

Q -- to testify and to say that.

A. Well, can we go at it from a different angle? Will Mark Production Company say they notified them?

Q. Well, I'm not sure that that's ---

A I'll take their word for it, sir.

12 Q. I'm not sure that that's their responsibility
 13 since this was called on your --

A. Well, you're asking me to prove a negative and all they have to do is say that they -- if they notified them, I'm out. My argument is dead.

But they have never in any of the correspondence that I've had with the company, there has never been an indication that they made -- that they served anybody.

And after December of '75 the Commission says that the operator will furnish an itemized schedule of actual cost within ninety days.

I didn't receive that.

Q. Apparently, though, there was some question, at least in Mark's mind, as to the validity of your owner-

CERTFIED 5 1030 Plaza 1 Santa Fe

TCa (505) W Mert

I BOYD

ALTON

1

2

3

5

6

8

<u>9</u>

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

48\_\_\_\_ Page ship at that time, is that correct? 1 No, siz, according to their lawyer, the 2 ñ. questions came on the heirship; there were no probates of 3 record; and he required that we investigate the heirship. 4 They never --- there was never any talk about, 5 I never received any letters from them saying that you're 6 not entitled to join in the well, or you can't have the 7 8 costs, and so forth. As soon as I recorded my leases I wrote 9 them that I would like to join. 10 SALLY WALTON BCYI When were you first aware that a well was 11 Q. being drilled on this property? 12 I don't know. It was very late. I, actually 13 A. I think along about November, between November and December 14 15 of '74. And when did you start working to acquire 16 Q. 17 these leases? I don't know. I would say very close to 18 ۸. that time. When I first started I didn't know about the 19 well, but I did know before it was over. 20 21 That's all I have. Q MR. RAMEY: Any other questions of the wit-22 ness? Do you have anything further, Mr. Kellahin? 23 MR. KELLAHIN: Yes, sir, we'd renew our 24 motion to dismiss the case for lack of jurisdiction on be-25

half of the Division to determine record title ownership as of the date of the forced pooling hearing and order.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SALLY WALTON BOYD CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER SOLOPAZA BANON (805) 411-1445 SOLOPAZA BANON (805) 411-1445 MR. RAMEY: Well, I won't rule on that motion at this time, Mr. Kellahin.

Page

49

As I stated before, if we don't think we have jurisdiction we will so note in our order.

I guess that's a denial.

MR. KELLAHIN: Let me, because of that ruling, Mr. Ramey, let me summarize for you what our position is.

This is a difficult problem for any operator when he attempts to force pool acreage in which there are involved unknown heirs of deceased parties, and perhaps not for this case, but for other cases, it might be important for the Division, if it felt appropriate, to give the operator some guidance as to what is going to be required of him with regards to attempts to locate unknown heirs.

If you follow the statute, however, Mark Production, when they filed this forced pooling notice, did everything the statute required. There is nothing in the statute to require us to go out and seek the heirs of Minnie Warmker or C. Raymond Buxman, or any of these people.

Now if that's a defect in the statute,

perhaps the Commission ought to correct it by some rules and regulations further defining what the operator is re-

Page \_\_\_\_\_

50

quired to do for subsequent hearings.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ALTON BOYI IONTHAND REPORTE ADCA (605) 471-24 This particular case is further complicated by the fact that legal counsel that prepared the title opinions concerning the ownership of the east half of Section 1 had come to the conclusion that the Anna Brown Home in 1111nois purportedly had the entire 5 acres in Tract 218, and if you'll look at Exhibit Number Nine, which is a letter from Felmont to Mark Production, and the subsequent correspondence from Mr. Herd, the examining attorney, Felmont 011 Corporation also believed that they held 6/6ths working interest ownership within this tract, and it was not until June of 1978, some four years later, that it appears that Mr. Fritts and Mr. Barnhill perfected their interest in 1/6th of that 5-acre tract.

But as of the date of the order and hearing for the forced pooling case, if you examine the lease from Anna Brown Home to Felmont Oil Corporation, it purports to convey all of the interest in Tract 218.

The problem is further complicated by the fact that Mr. Fritts acquired his interest after the well was completed. I believe the completion date was sometime in November, about the 22nd of November of '74. Mr. Fritts has testified that his seven leases were all dated 26th December '74 and placed of record on January 17th, 1975. It is our contention, and I believe the ovidence here established today. that the wellets took that interest subject to the terms of the forced porting order, either by solual knowledge or constructive knowledge. The refipence to the Standth' questions ha indicated that about this time he also learned of the existance of the well. We believe that a man of Mr. Fritts' expertise in oll and gas matters and looking for unleased acreage, as he's done have, knew or should have known of the forced porting order, and that having waited another three years, he's effectively harred for failure to pursus this case earlier than that. Even If he's not harred, he has taken it subject to the risk penalty.

Page

 $\mathbf{t}$ 

We believe that the record established through the testimony here today shows the operator exercised reasonable diligence in attempting to locate all the record title owners with regards to working interest on this particular tract.

If you'll -- before ruling on this case, if you'll do me the courtesy of reading the Exhibit Number Eleven, which is Mr. Herd's summary of title, it will show what Mr. Herd had in his mind when he examined this title. The interest of the two Warmker sisters obtained in 1910 and later conveyed to the Anna Brown Home, I believe in 1948, shows that nothing transpired on this title for many, many years; that the only taxes paid ware

ALLY WALTO NTFHED EHOATHAM SETARA BADOA (SO) Banka Fa, New Mas Ł

2

3

4

б

8

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

those being paid by the Anna Brown Home: that Minnie Warmker and her heirs, and some of those heirs dies and their heirs, none of these people probated this estate.

I think it puts an impossible burden upon an operator putting together a forced pooling case, to require him to search in that much detail to attempt to locate all this missing hoirs.

I think in this case Mark Production Company and their successor in interest Mewbourne Oil Company have acted with diligence and that the Commission ought to deny the application of Mr. Fritts.

MR. RAMEY: Thank you, Mr. Kellahin.

Mr. Fritts, do you have anything you want

to add?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SALLY WALTON BOYD CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 303071225 BLADGA (065) 411-3463 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

> MR. FRITTS: No, sir, I think I've worn out my welcome with you, so I don't.

MR. RAMEY: The Commission will take the case under advisement and the hearing is adjourned.

(Hearing concluded.)
REPORTER'S CENTIFICATE

SALLY WALTON BOYD CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 3010 PLAZE BAILOR (0.05) 471-2465 8 2002 F.S. New Modico 81501 I, SALLY W. BOYD, a court reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability, knowledge, and skill, from my notes taken at the time of the hearing.

Sally W. Boyd, C.S.R.

Page

53.

J. V. FRITTS 404 HINKLE BUILDING PHONE • RES. 622-4006 - BUS. 623-4281 ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO 86201

ADDREAB REPLY TO:

February 12, 1979

ONS

Nr. Lynn Teschendorf General Counsel Oil Conservation Division Energy and Minerals Department P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

#### Re: Case No. 5267 Order No. R-4831

Dear Mr. Teschendorf:

This is in response to your letter of February 9th. I have already obtained a court decree as to my interest and that of Wm. B. Barnhill in the five acre tract involved, so I am enclosing copies of the following documents:

Declaration of Interest between J. V. Fritts et ux, William B. Barnhill et ux and Felmont Oil Corporation, recorded in Misc. Book 156, page 521 of records of Eddy County, N. M.

Final Decree in Case No. CV-78-133, Fifth Judicial District, Eddy County, N. M., filed 6-12-78. This suit quiets the title of J. V. Fritts and William B. Barnhill in the oil and gas leasehold estate covering an undivided 1/6th interest in Tract 218 of Fairchild Farm Lands in Sec. 1, T-18S, R-26E, Eddy County, N. M., as described in Finding of Fact III, on page 2 of the Decree.

Copies of these documents were furnished to Mark Production Company on June 14, 1978.

The second paragraph of your letter correctly sets out the issues raised in my application.

Yours very truly Hith

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

BRUCE KING DOWNOR LABRY KEHOE

#### February 9, 1979

POST OFFICE DOX 2008 STATE LAND OFFICE DUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 07501 15051 827-2434

Mr. J. V. Fritts P. O. Box 868 Roswell, New Mexico 88201

> Re: Case No. 5267 Order No. R-4831

#### Dear Mr. Fritts:

I have received your application for hearing on certain matters pertaining to the above-referenced case. However, it appears that there may be some dispute as to record title and lease ownership of the five acre tract involved. The Division has no authority to adjudicate titles or make determinations of ownership, but if you can come to an agreement with the operator, Mark Production Company, or obtain a court decree, as to your interest in this tract, I can proceed to docket this case.

As I read your application, the issues appear to be whether you or your predecessor in interest was ever furnished with an itemized schedule of estimated well costs and with an itemized schedule of actual well costs, whether you were therefore afforded the opportunity to pay your share of well costs in lieu of paying out of production, and whether the risk factor of 200% should be applied to your working interest share. If this is not comprehensive, please let me know as soon as possible.

If you could also let me know when your title problems are resolved, I can docket this case immediately.

Very truly yours,

LYNN TESCHENDORF General Counsel

LT/fd

Sere Bar & St. Same

cc: Jason Kellahin Mark Production Co.

#### DECLARATION OF INTEREST

521

Case 6. 489

UU:124.

THIS DECLARATION OF INTEREST, made this <u>loth</u> day of <u>March</u>, 1978, by and between J. V. FRITTS and wife, RUTH C. FRITTS, and WILLIAM B. BARNHILL and wife, CATHARINE W. BARNHILL, whose address is P. O. Box 868, Roswell, New Mexico 38201 (hereinafter sometimes referred to as "Fritts" and "Barnhill") and FELMONT OIL CORPORATION, a <u>Delaware</u> corporation, whose address is P. O. Box 2266, Midland, Texas 79701 (sometimes hereinafter referred to as "Felmont").

## $\underline{W \ \underline{I} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{N} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{S} \ \underline{S} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{H}}:$

Notice is taken of the following:

1. On August 9, 1973, recorded in Book 106, page 988 of the records of Eddy County, New Mexico, Felmont acquired an oil and gas lease from the Anna Brown Unit of the Good Samaritan Home of Quincy, Illinois, an Illinois corporation, covering the following described land in Eddy County, New Mexico:

> Tract 218 of the Fairchild Farm Lands, being a part of Section 1, Township 18 South, Range 26 East, N.M.P.M.

Said lease purported to cover the full mineral interest in the above described land, whereas in fact, at the time of the execution thereof, the lessor owned an undivided 5/6 mineral interest therein.

2. On December 26, 1974, Fritts acquired oil and gas leases from the owners of the remaining 1/6 mineral interest under the above described land. The following is a list of each lessor, his/her undivided mineral interest in the above described land, and the Eddy County recording data of each said oil and gas lease.

Lessor	Interest	Recording Data Book/Page
Ella Buxman	10/168	123/886
Irma Buxman Neece	3/168	123/888
Emma Buxman	3/168	123/890
Eugene Buxman	3/168	123/892
Warren Buxman	3/168	123/894

522

۲

June Buxman Schreacke	3/168	123/896
Alma Buxman Gloor	3/672	123/963
Ronald DeWayne Buxman	9/1344	123/963
Vicki Lynn Buxman, now Matchinsky	9/1344	123/963

Fritts thereafter assigned an undivided 1/2 interest in the seven above described oil and gas leases to Barnhill on February 3, 1975, recorded in Book 124, page 314 of the Eddy County Records.

3. By virtue of all of the above described oil and gas leases, Fritts, Barnhill and Felmont are the absolute and unqualified owners of the oil and gas leasehold estate covering the above described land in the following proportions:

Fritts:	1/12
Barnhill:	1/12
Felmont:	5/6
	A11

4. The parties hereto are now desirous of agreeing among themselves as to the respective ownership of the oil and gas leasehold estate covering the above described land.

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the above premises and other valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged by each of the parties hereto, said parties do hereby agree as follows:

1. That the undivided interest in the oil and gas leasehold estate of the above described land, as of the date of the execution of this instrument, is as follows:

Fritts:	1/12
Barnhill:	1/12
Felmont:	5/6
	A11

2. This instrument shall operate as a conveyance running to and from each of the parties hereto as may be necessary to convey and constitute the title in the oil and gas leasehold estate of the STATE OF TEXAS ) ) COUNTY OF MIDLAND )

524

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this ML day of <u>March</u>, 1978, by <u>T. Verne Dwyer</u>, <u>Vice</u> President of Felmont Oil Corporation, a <u>Delaware</u> corporation,

ss.

My commission Expires:

.....

.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO, County of Eddy, m. ) hereby certify that this instrument was filed for record on the \_\_\_\_\_ day at pail at 1:05 o'clarge that, and duly recorded in Book 156 an Soll of the hecords of Mig

Notary Public

o lui

GERACTINE MARAFFEY County Clerk Deputy

4

-4-

above described land as hereinabove set forth, and this instrument shall be deemed to contain such words of grant as are necessary to constitute the above described title.

This instrument shall bind and benefit the parties hereto, their heirs, personal representatives, successors and assigns.

DATED this  $10^{75}$  day of <u>March</u>, 1978, but effective as of first runs.

Frit+s Catharine W.

Barnhill

523

ATTEST: ecretary STATE OF NEW MEXICO

COUNTY OF CHAVES

FELMONT OIL CORPORATION

Vice Presider Verne Dwyer

Sinda Kappelman

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this  $\frac{10^{\frac{4}{5}}}{March}$ , 1978, by J. V. Fritts and Ruth C. Fritts, his wife.

SS

My Commission Expires:

<u>otanber 12, 1981</u>

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ) SS. COUNTY OF CHAVES

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this of day of the lot of

UBLIC 0 My Commission Expires:

7

NC18

Sharon R. Mile Notary Public

Mr. J. V. Fritts Tom Kellehim Court order 6/12/78 July 30, 1974 date of Order Well completed 12/28/74 Lease ownership acquired 12/26/74 No recorded ownership prior to well completion. Seven oil + gos lesse 1/17/75 No recorded instrument in Eddy Co, heirs C. Raymond Baxmon Ello Buxmon 1/4 of 1/6 + 1/2 of 3/24 Leoses " Neece 1/7 of 3/24 Ermo 1/7 " Emme Ð Engene 11 1/7 Worren " June Schreecke. 1/2 11 1/1 of 1/2 of 3/24 Alma Gloor 1/2 07 -272 Fritts Monies received OK on present Nothing from June 1975 thru 1978 should not have acessed the 200% Teels that they are due \$1583 cach

Acquired surface in Secil on 2/7/75 Don Harden Haden Dist. Mar Newbound Mark Prod-Changed to Newbound. What is record title

J. V. FRITTS 404 HINKLE BUILDING

PHONE . RES. 622-4006 - BUS. 623-4281 ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO 88201

> ADDRESS REPLY TO: P. D. BOX 868

March 8, 1979

Ms. Lynn Teschendorf General Counsel Oil Conservation Division P. O. Box 3088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

#### Re: Case No. 6489

Dear Ms Teschendorf:

Yesterday morning I attended the hearing conducted on the application I made in the above case, which was for the purpose of review and interpretation of Order No. R-4831. Among the items I was allowed to introduce as exhibits was a copy of my letter dated January 20, 1975, to Mark Production Company. My carbon copy of this letter is very dim and this morning I found that I could not reproduce it so that it is readable.

I have therefore made a true copy of this letter, which is enclosed. If I am allowed to do so I ask that you attach this copy to the one now in the hearing file, so that the Examiners will be able to read it without difficulty.

Yours very truly,

H Vietta



# COPY FROM A CARBON COPY

#### J. V. FRITTS

404 HINKLE BUILDING PHONE - RES. 622-4006 --- BUS. 623-428 ROGWELL, NEW MEXICO 88201

ADDRESS REPLY TO: P. D. BDX 868

January 20, 1975

Mark Production Company 330 Citizens Bank Building Tyler, Texas 75701

#### Gentlemen:

It is my understanding that you have drilled and completed a gas well in the SE<sup>1</sup> of Sec. 1, T-18S, R-26E, Eddy County, New Mexico, designated as your No. 1 Federal.

I further understand that a drilling unit will be designated as covering the  $E_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  of Section 1, and I am assuming you are the operator of the unit.

I have acquired oil and gas leases on an undivided one-sixth interest in Tract No. 218 of Fairchild Farm Lands subdivision, located in the  $SW_4SE_4^1$  of the Section, and am in the process of recording the documents. I would like to join this unit with my tiny interest and wanted to notify the operator before the paper work had been completed.

Yours very truly,

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

I certify that the above is a true version of the letter I wrote and mailed on January 20, 1975, and was copied from the carbon copy which I retained. This carbon copy is a dim one and does not reproduce well on Xerox machines.

J. V. Fritts

ECEIVE MAR 0 9 1979 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION SANTA FE

#### BETTRE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEX. )

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 5267 Order No. R-4831

1

APPLICATION OF MARK PRODUCTION COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

#### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on July 10, 1974, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel S. Nutter.

NOW, on this <u>30th</u> day of July, 1974, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Mark Production Company, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the E/2 of Section 1, Township 18 South, Range 26 East, NMPM, Atoka-Pennsylvanian Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant has the right to drill and proposes to drill a well at a location 990 feet from the South line and 990 feet from the East line of said Section 1.

(4) That there are interest owners in the proposed proration unit who have not agreed to pool their interests.

(5) That to avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, to protect correlative rights, and to afford to the owner of each interest in said unit the opportunity to recover or receive without unnecessary expense his just and fair share of the gas in said pool, the subject application should be approved by pooling all mineral interests, whatever they may be, within said unit.

(6) That the applicant should be designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

That all mineral interests, whatever they may be, in (1)the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the E/2 of Section 1, Township 18 South, Range 26 East, NMPM, Atoka-Pennsylvanian Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, are hereby pooled to form a standard 320-acre gas spacing and proration unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a location 990 feet from the South line and 990

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That upon the failure of the operator of said pooled (13) unit to commence drilling of the well to which said unit is dedicated on or before November 1, 1974, the order pooling said unit should become null and void and of no effect whatsoever.

That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason should be placed in escrow to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof

That \$175.00 per month should be fixed as a reasonable charge for supervision (combined fixed rates); that the operator should be authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such supervision charge attributable to each non-consenting working interest, and in addition thereto, the operator should be authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of actual expenditures required for operating the subject well, not in excess of what are reasonable, attributab.

That following determination of reasonable well costs, (10) any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs should pay to the operator any amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and should receive from the operator any amount that paid estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

That any non-consenting interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to object to the actual well costs but that actual well costs should be adopted as the reasonable well

That any non-consenting working interest owner that does not pay his share of estimated well costs should have withheld from production his share of the reasonable well costs plus an additional 200 percent thereof as a reasonable charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well.

That any non-consenting working interest owner should (7) be afforded the opportunity to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production.

Case No. 5407 Order No. R-4831

-2-

-3-Case No. 526, Order No. R-4831

PROVIDED HOWEVER, that the operator of said unit shall commence the drilling of said well on or before the 1st day of November, 1974, and shall thereafter continue the drilling of said well with due diligence to a depth sufficient to test the Pennsylvanian formation;

PROVIDED FURTHER, that in the event said operator does not commence the drilling of said well on or before the 1st day of November, 1974, Order (1) of this order shall be null and void and of no effect whatsoever;

PROVIDED FURTHER, that should said well not be drilled to completion, or abandonment, within 120 days after commencement thereof, said operator shall appear before the Commission and show cause why Order (1) of this order should not be rescinded.

(2) That Mark Production Company is hereby designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(3) That after the effective date of this order and within 30 days prior to commencing said well, the operator shall furnish the Commission and each known working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized schedule of estimated well costs.

(4) That within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him, any non-consenting working interest owner shall have the right to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production, and that any such owner who pays his share of estimated well costs as provided above shall remain liable for operating costs but shall not be liable for risk charges.

(5) That the operator shall furnish the Commission and each known working interest owner an itemized schedule of actual well costs within 90 days following completion of the well; that if no objection to the actual well costs is received by the Commission and the Commission has not objected within 45 days following receipt of said schedule, the actual well costs shall be the reasonable well costs; provided however, that if there is an objection to actual well costs within said 45-day period the Commission will determine reasonable well costs after public notice and hearing.

(6) That within 60 days following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs in advance as provided above shall pay to the operator his pro rata share of the amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and shall receive from the operator his pro rata share of the amount that estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs. Case No. 520/ Order No. R-4831

(7) That the operator is hereby authorized to withhold the following costs and charges from production:

- (A) The pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him.
- (B) As a charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well, 200 per cent of the pro rata share or reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him.

(8) That the operator shall distribute said costs and charges withheld from production to the parties who advanced the well costs.

(9) That \$175.00 per month is hereby fixed as a reasonable charge for supervision (combined fixed rates); that the operator is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportional share of such supervision charge attributable to each nonconsenting working interest, and in addition thereto, the operato is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionat share of actual expenditures required for operating such well, not in excess of what are reasonable, attributable to each nonconsenting working interest.

(10) That any unsevered mineral interest shall be considered a seven-eighths (7/8) working interest and a one-eighth (1/8) royalty interest for the purpose of allocating costs and charges under the terms of this order.

(11) That any well costs or charges which are to be paid out of production shall be withheld only from the working interests share of production, and no costs or charges shall be withheld from production attributable to royalty interests.

(12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason shall be placed in escrow in Eddy County, New Mexico, to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership; that the operator shall notify the Commission of the name and address of said escrow agent within 90 days from the date of this order.

(13) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary. -5-Case No. 5267 Order No. R-4831

1 11

11111

SEAL

dr/

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

I. R. TRUJILLO, Chairman

ALEX J. ARMIJO, Member 12

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

#### August 5, 1974

Mr. Joe Miller Felmont Oil Corporation Wilkinson-Foster Building Midland, Texas 79701

> Re: Mark Production Company #1 Federal "B" E/2 Section 1, T-18-S, R-26-E Eddy County, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

Attached you will find an estimated well cost for the subject well in the total amount of \$403,277.00. Your net interest in the proration unit is calculated to be 1.578% of the working interest.

Also attached you will find an invoice in the amount of \$6,363.71, which is your portion of the estimated well cost. Your portion of the estimated well cost must be paid within thirty (30) days from the date hereof. You will be furnished an itemized schedule of actual well costs following completion of the well and any adjustments shall be made at that time between your advance of the estimated well cost and the actual cost.

All mineral interests within the subject proration unit have been pooled by Order of the Oil Conservation Commission and the above set out procedure for advance payments of estimated well cost has been set out by the Commission.

Very truly yours,

Curtis W. Mewbourne

CWM:Bjd

Attachments

## AUTHORITY FOR EXPENDITURE MARK PRODUCTION COMPANY - #1 FEDERAL "B" ATOKA (PENN) FIELD E/2 SECTION 1, T-18-S, R-26-E EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

### ESTIMATED COST TO CASING POINT:

s in the

Survey and stake	\$ 200
Road and location	8,000
Surface damages and clean up	2,000
Drilling: Footage - 9600' @ \$13.35	128,160
Daywork - 4 days @ \$2,500	10,000
- 1 day @ \$2,400	2,400
Sample logging unit - 14 days @ \$140	1,960
Cement and cementing services	6,130
Conduction pipe - 200' of 16" @ \$12.29	2,458
Surface casing - 2000' of 8-5/8" @ \$9.00	18,000
Nud and chemicals and brine	25,500
Drillstom tosts - 3 @ \$1,350	4,050
Geological services	900
Drilling overhead	1,450
Production supervision	1,050
Logging	5,700
Legal services	3,750
Equipment rental	1,000
Welding	300
Travel Expense	450
Trucking	1,800
New Mexico Tax @ 4%	9,010

\$234,268

### ESTIMATED COMPLETION COSTS:

Producing casing - 9700' of 4-1/2" @ \$7.00	67,900
Cement and cementing services	5,000
Corrolation log and perforating	2,425
Temperature survey and BHP	400
Treating	6,833
Equipment rental	750
Welding	400
Completion unit	3,000
Tubing - 9600' of 2-3/8" @ \$2,50	24,000
Float equipment and centralizers	850
Packer	1,550
Tank battery and flow lines	10,000
Wellhead	5,478
Stakpack - 750 BTU	13,200
Completion overhead and supervision	2,100
Roustabout work, lines and connections	3,750
Legal services	500
Miscellaneous	1,000
Rig anchors	213
Safety control valves	1,460
Travel Expense	400
Dehydrator	9,200
Trucking	2,100
New Mexico Tax @ 4%	6,500

169,009

\_\_\_\_

\$403**,**277

COMPANY	 		 
DV.			
BY:	 	·	 
DATE:	 		 

APPROVED:

TOTAL

MARK PRODUCTION COMPANY

BY:\_\_\_\_\_ DATE:

#### August 6, 1974

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Eox 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

#### Re: Case No. 5267 Order No. R-4831

#### Gentlemen:

To comply with the Order of the Commission in Case No. 5267, you will find attached an itemized schedule of the estimated well costs for the #1 Federal "B", located in the E/2 of Section 1, T-18-S, R-26-E, Eddy County, New Mexico.

These estimated well costs have been furnished to each known working interest owner with a request that each participate in the well and pay his share of the estimated well cost to the operator.

Very truly yours,

Curtis W. Mewbourne

CWM:Bjd

f

Attachment

#### August 6, 1974

#### Felmont Oil Corporation Wilkinson-Poster Building Midland, Texas 79701

Re: Mark Production Company #1 Federal "B" E/2 Section 1, T-18-S, R-26-E Eddy County, New Mexico

#### Gentlemen:

٩

You will find attached two (2) copies of the Operating Agreement on the subject well. If these meet with your approval, please execute and return to this office one (1) copy, while retaining the second copy for your files.

Very truly yours,

#### Curtis W. Mewbourne

#### CWM:Bjd

1. A. W.

نوب مربع

#### Attachments

ELMORT OIL CORPORATION + N. O. BEAK 2266 + MARTARD, ILXAS 79701(+ 915 662 626)

R H 831

August 9, 1974

Mark Production Company 330 Citizens Bank Building Tyler, Texas 75701

Attention: Mr. Curtis W. Mewbourne

Re: Mark Production Company #1 Federal "B" E/2 Section 1, T18S, R26E Eddy County, New Mexico

Dear Str:

We have received this date, your letter of August 5, 1974, enclosing an invoice for Felmont's share of the captioned well and AFE for same.

Felmont 011 Corporation has recently finished the drilling of two Morrow tests within the same field as your captioned well. Our wells have been drilled, completed and placed on production for approximately \$225,000, whereas your AFE states a cost of 403,000 plus dollars. You have also requested an advance payment of our share of this well without giving an immediate spud date or notice of rig availability or copies of any pooling designation. Your letter also refers to an Order of the 011 and Gas Commission from the State of New Mexico, whereby you state that the advance payment procedure has been set by the Commission and verbally, you have advised our Mr. Joe Hiller that if we elected to go non-consent that the Commission has set a 300% penalty. We have not been advised or notified of any forced pooling hearings in regard to our interest. In order that we may completely evaluate our position in this property, as outlined by you as to the penalty provisions, please send us copies of the 011 and Gas Commission orders as well as justification for

Felmont Oil Corporation is an old established reputable firm and only in rare instances, where there have been a great number of operators, have we been requested to furnish advance money, our credit Atric In eduction & Contage August 9, 1974 Page 2

is extremely good, and then only within 20 days prior to actual drilling commenced on said well. Of course Felmont, as always, will abide with the rules of governmental agencies involved.

Very truly yours,

FELMONT OIL CORPORATION

Mer. - Day

T. Verne Dwyer Vice President

TVD:dc

cc: Oil and Gas Commission State of New Mexico

(Rev. 8-63)		,									
(ACY, 0-03)	DEPARTM		THE IN	NTE	- BURMI RIOF		<u>۱</u>	her in-	11	udget l	UN AND REREAL NO.
	GEO	DLOGICAL	. SURVE	Y					LC+066		
WELL CON	APLETION O	R RECOM	LETION	REP	ORT .	AND	LOG	*	6. IF INDIAN.	ALLOT	TER OR TRIDE NAME
14. TYPE OF WELL		J GAR X	DAT D	Othe	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<b>.</b>		7. UNIT AURE	RHENT	NAME
b. TYPE OF COMP	WORK (TT DEEPSTC	רבן ייצועי <b>ר</b>							S. PARM OR I	RABE	NAME
2. NAME OF OPERATO			RESVE.	Olho	·r				Federa		
	ctionCompany		·						9. WELL NO.		
3. ADDREAS OF OPER		m1	m	101	•••	÷ ,	• 	* .	L 10. FIELD AN	POOL	, OR WILLICAT
4. LOCATION OF WEL	ns Bank Bldg	arly and in acc	rdonce with	any 810	ite regulr	cmenis	<u>, i jan</u>		ALOKA	Penn	a an
At surface 99	0* FSL & 990	FEL		e F		- 1	- Mar		31. NEC., T., B OR AREA	, н., с	H BLOCK AND BURYEY
At tup, prody inte	rval reported below	<b>.</b>	. <u>C</u>	at Na s		:			1-185-	26E	•
At total depth	;•••		ບຸ່ນ	н , П		:					
			14. JERMIT	10.1		DATE JE	SUED		12. COUNTY C FABISB	R	13. STATE
15. DATE APUDARD	16. DATE T.D. REACH	ED'L 17 DATE C	OMPL. (Read)	v to pro	 				Eddy T, OR, ETC.)*	19. 7	I New Mexico
8-30-74	9-28-74		-22-74		10.	LLBIA	3290		1, UN, EIC.J		
20. TOTAL DEPTH, MD		CH T.D., MD & TVI	22. IF M	MANT	COMPL.	. 1	23. INTE		BOTARY TOOL	.8	CABLE TOOLS
92481		233		****		<u> </u>		<u>&gt;  </u>	<u> </u>		WAS DIRECTIONAL
24. PRODUCING INTER	VAL(8), OF THIS COM	PLETION-TOP, B	OTTON, NAME	(MD A	ND TVD}*			÷		123	SUBVET MADE
9039 -	90761 - Morre	w			· ·					·	Yes
	ND OTHER LOGS RUN	1 1			,	 :				27. 1	AS WELL CORED
Acoustic V	elocity	<u> </u>	<u>.</u>				<u>. 1 </u>				No
25. CASINO BIZE	WEIGHT, LB.	CASIN	G RECORD (	Report of HOLE 8		i set in: I		ENTINO	RECORD		AMOUNT PULLED
16"	65#		00 <sup>1</sup>	20"	•	1	2	40 sl	<b>(</b> 3		None
8-5/8"	32# - 24#		701	11"				50 sl			None
5-1/2"	17# - 15.5	¥ <u>9,2</u>	48 <sup>1</sup>	7-7	/8"	· · ·	7	00 <u>s</u> ]	KS		None
	LIN	ER RECORD	<u>\</u>	<u> </u>		1	30.		UBING RECO	RD	·
BIZE		·	ACKB CEMENT	• 80	REEN (M	D)	8128		DETH SET (M		PACKER RET (ND)
·							2-3/	8"	89581		8965
31. PERFORATION REC	CORD (Interval, size a	nd number)		<u> </u>   83		<u> </u>	h cuor	FRACT	UPP CENER	5 5011	
	2 <sup>1</sup> , 9045 <sup>1</sup> , 9		* 9052		L.				URE, CEMENT		ATEBIAL USED
9056 <sup>1</sup> , 905	9 <sup>1</sup> , 9064 <sup>1</sup> , 9	067 <sup>1</sup> , 9068	<b>907</b> 4 <sup>1</sup>	9	039 -			2,600	) gals ac	id	
9076 <sup>°</sup> - 13	, 1/2" holes		ť				·				
				- I-							••••••
33.*		· · ·	P	RODUC	TION		]				······
DATE FIRST PRODUCT.	ION PRODUCTA	ON METHOD (Flo	wing, gas lift	, pumpi	Ing-oire	and ly	pe of pum	( <b>p</b> )		RTATI (-{n)	B (Producing or
11-20-74		ving	L	, 					<u> </u>		Shut-in
11-26-74	HOURS TESTED	24/64 <sup>1/1</sup>	PROD'N. FOR - TEST -PERIO		11.—BBL.	1	948HC 339		WATER-BIL	~	GAP-OIL RATIO
FLOW, TUBING PRESS.	CASING PRESSURE	CALCULATED	OIL-BBL.	<u>h</u>	. GAS-	<u>нсг.</u>	<u>.                                    </u>	WATER-	<u> </u>	01L G	RAVITY-API (CORR.)
2420	Pkr	24-HOUR RATE	79.2		8	,136	·		0		590
1. 1. <del>1</del> . 1. <del>1</del> . 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	AB (Sold, used for fue			· ·					TEST WITNES	- '	
Sold - Sou 35. List of Attach	thern Union (	Jas Compar	ıy	- 2	·				W. H.	Cra	avey
Acoustic X	elocity Logs	, Directio	nal Surv	vey			·		• •		• • •
36. I hereby corllfy	that the foregoing a	nd attached info	ormation is co	omplete	and corr	ect as	determine	d from	all available r	ecords	
signed Sa	for the	miles	TITLE	 	is. Natan	t Se	cretar	Y	DATI	e De	ec. 10, 1974
1.:	₹75 L.	and a state of the second s	4 S'mmmen far		· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	N	D	C!			

Ł

Ģ

Instructions and Spaces for Additional Data on Reverse Side)

FELMONT OIL CORPORATION . P. O. BOX 2266 . MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701 . 915 682-5231

JOE D. MILLER

March 12, 1975

Mark Production Company 1007 Gihls Tower West Midland, Texas 79701

Re: Tract 218, Section 1, T-18-S, R-26-E, Eddy County, New Mexico Our File: FNML-4994

Gentlemen:

Felmont Oil Corporation is the owner of certain leasehold interest in the captioned land, and we have been advised that Mark Production Company has completed a producing well on Tract 218 in Section 1, T-18-S, R-26-E in Eddy County, New Mexico. We are knowledgeable that we have been forced-pooled into this Unit in order to protect not only ourselves but our royalty owners.

Would you please advise us the status of royalty distribution and the cost of drilling and completing this well in order that we may keep current with the payout status? We would also appreciate any information regarding gas and distillate contracts which you may negotiate.

For your files, we are enclosing a copy of our Oil, Gas and Mineral Lease dated August 9, 1973 from Anna Brown Unit of the Good Samaritan Home of Quincy to Felmont covering the captioned property, as well as two Warranty Deeds covering a change in mineral ownership.

Very truly yours,

FELMONT OIL CORPORATION

T. Verne Dwyer Vice President

TVD:mms Enclosures

cc: Mr. I. R. Trujillo, Chairman State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Santa Fe, NM 87501

# OIL, GAS AND MINERAL LEASE

hereinafter colled lesses

Anna Brown Unit of the Good Samaritan Home of Quincy, THIS AGREEMENT, Mode this the 913 1273 between the

2130 Harrison Street, Quincy, Illinois 62301

13 33 (Revised-Tazas) With 640-Acre

hareination called lassor (whether one or more) and

Felmont Oil Corporation I. Lessor, in consideration of ton and no/100 Dollars (5 10.00). In hand paid, the tesses and less exclusively unto lesses for the purpose of investigating, making assimaging for other geophysical or geological tests and survey, exploring, prospecting, drilling, mining and operating for and producing all, gas and other structures and things therein to produce, save, take care of, treat, process, save station, save stations, telephone lines, and other structures and things therein to produce, save, take care of, treat, process, save stations, taken and transport

sid minerals and other products manufactured therefrom the following described land in \_\_\_\_\_\_ Eddy County, New Mexico

Tract 320 of Section 12 and Tract 218 of Section 1, both in Township 18 South, Range 26 East of the NMPM, and in Fairchilds' Farmlands as shown by the plat of record in the office of the probate clerk and ex officio recorder of said county and state and containing 10 acres, more or less.

In the event a resurvey of said lands shall reveal the existence of excess and/or vacant lands lying adjacent to the above described land and the lessor, his s, or assigns shall by virtue of his ownership of the lands above described have preference right to acquire said excess and/or vacant lands, thetc in that event lesse shall cover and include such excess and/or vacant lands which the lessor, his hairs or assigns shall have the preference right to acquire said excess and/or vacant lands, thetc in that event lesse shall cover and include such excess and/or vacant lands which the lessor, his hairs or assigns shall have the preference right to acquire by white of his owner-of the land above described as and when acquired by the lessor; and the lessor, his pairs or assigns shall have the preference right to acquire by thirt of his owner-the cash consideration paid for the acreage hereinabove mentioned. For the purpose of calculating the rental payments hereinafter provided for, said land is

snoll terminate as to both posities, unless on or before one [1] year from this date lessee shall pay or lender to the lessor or to the credit of lessor in the depository back herein named, a rental of <u>ten and no/100</u> Dollars (<u>5 10.00</u> which shall cover the privilege of defaring commencement of such operations for a period of one [1] year. In like manner and upon like payments or tender, annually, the commancement of such operations for a period of one [1] year. In like manner and upon like payments or tender, annually, the commancement of operations for dilling may be further deferred for successive periods of one [1] year each during the primary term. Payment or tender may be made to the lessor or to the <u>State Bank & Trust Company</u> <u>xxx of</u> <u>Ouincy, Illinois</u> which bank or any successor thereof, shall continue to be the agent for the lessor and lessor's successors and assigns. If such bank for any successor bank) shall fail, liquidate, or be succeeded by another bank, or any reactions for any reason fail, or refuse to accept rental, lesse shall not be hed in default until thirty [30] days offer fessor shall deliver to lessee a recordable instrument designating another depository bank, and any depository charge is a liability of the lessor. The payment or tender of rental and shut-in gas well rayalities may be made by check or droft of lessee, moiled or delivered to soid bank or lessor, or either lessor, if more than one, on or before the rental paying date.

before the rentol paying date. 5. Lassee is hareby granted the right to consolidate or unitize this lease, the land covered by it or any part or parts thereof as to all strata or any stratum with any other land, lease, leases or parts thereof as to all strata or any stratum for the production of ail, gas, or any other mineral. Consolidation in one or more in-stances shall not exhaust the right of lessee hereunder to consolidate this tease or partition of the oil, gas, or any other mineral. Consolidation in one or more in-stances shall not exhaust the right of lessee hereunder to consolidate this tease or partition of the oil, gas, or any other mineral. Consolidation in one or more in-stances shall not exhaust the right of lessee hereunder to consolidate this tease or partition of the oil, gas, or any other mineral. Consolidation in one or more in-stances shall not exhaust the right of lessee hereunder to consolidate this tease or partition of the oil, gas, or any other mineral. Consolidate for gas hereunder shall not exceed six hundred forty (640) acres plus a tolerance of ten per cent (10%) thereof, provided that if any Federal or State law, Executive order, rule or regulation shall prescribe a spacing pattern for the development of the field or allocate a producing allowable in whole or in part on acreage per well, then any such units may emberce as much additional acreage as may be as prescribed or as may be used in such allocation or allowable. Lessee shall file written unit designations in the caunty in which the premises are located. Such units may be designated either before ar after the completion of wells. Drilling or reworking operations and production on any part of the consolidated acreage shall be treated for all purposes hereof as if such drilling or reworking operations were upon or such production were from the lond described in this lease whether the well or wells be located on the land covered by this fease or not. The entire acreage consolidated into a unit never be construed

a unit so consolidated only such parties of the reporty stipulated herein as the amount of his acreage placed in the unit or his rayolay interest therein on an acreage basis bears to the total acreage so consolidated in the particular unit involved.
b) If prior to discovery of oil, gas ar other minerals, the production thereof should decide therewith lesses should drill and obardon a dry hole or holes thereon, or if, after discovery of oil, gas or other minerals, the production thereof should case from any cause, this leave shall not terminote if fesses comences reworking or additioned drilling operations within sixty (60) days instruction; (if it be within the primary term) compared or trader of trachols or commerces or certains for drilling or teworking on a before the rental projection is and a dobardoned of any time during the lost factures [14] months of the primary term and prior to distances of existing of production. If a dry hole is completed and abandoned of any time during the lost factures [14] months of the primary term in and prior to distances of the primary term. If, of the exploration of the primary term in a gas or other mineral is not here produced in operations well as in fact chings in reworking operations are protected in consolidated therewith, can tend with one tends with [15] (see the primary term. If, or the same or different wells with or tends to the tends or note that sity [50] consecutive days, and if they result in production, is long thereotier as oil, gas or other mineral is produced from sold lond or hand consolidated therewith. In the same or different wells (15) gas can der tends or tends or tends or tends or tends of the primary term in the print of the primary term in the tends of the primary term into a print diamate tends of the primary term into a different wells with no terminate the tends of the primary term into a sinter tends or tends the print of the

9. Issue shall note portion of said rentility out of the relation of the relation of the relation of the relation of said rentility of the relation of the

10. Lessor hereby warrants and agrees to actend the trite to sold rand, and agrees that lessen, of its option, may distingt only its, managing or utiled lice optiraid land, and in the event lesses does to, it shall be subrogated to such lien with the right to enforce same and apply reniods and toyoffice account here to ward satisfying some. Wilhout impointent of lessen's rights under the warranty in the event of failure of title, it is agreed that, it lessor owns an interest in said land less than the entities simple estates that the toyoffice accounts in the tautifules of the reduced proportionality, thould any one to an interest in said land less than the entities the simple estates that the toy of the

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we sign the day and year first above written.

WITNESSES	1. 11	/	ý,	1.1	1
ATTEST:	(1. A.	K	Vich	Avisi;	7
F	inancial	• S/	ecretar	у	

Home of Quincy, Quincy, Illinoisc. Presiden

Anna Brown Unit of the Good Second the

STATE OF	ILLINOIS	)
		:
COUNTY OF	ADAMS	3

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared <u>Roger Mittelberg</u>, known to me to be the person whoses the name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument as President of the Anne Brown. Unit of the Good Samaritan Home of Quincy, and acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed and in the capacity therein stated.

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE this \_\_\_\_\_\_ 28th day of August \_\_\_\_\_\_, 1973.

Notary Public in and for Adams Illinois County,

My commission expires September 6, 1974.

wife, acknowledged the same to be her act and deed, and declared that she had willingly executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed, and that she did not wish to retract it.

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE this the	doy of A. D. 19
	( ) )  ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )
	Notary Public in and for County, Texa
	$\mathbf{X}$
· · · ·	
n en	
E STATE OF TEXAS	JOINT ACKNOWLEDGMENT
UNTY OF	
d wife.	, known to me to be the persons whose names are subscribed to the foregoin
trument, and advnowledged to me that they executed the same for the purpose	$\mathbf{X}$
	having been examined by me privily and apart from h
band, and having the same fully explained to her, she, the sold	ocknowledged such instrument to be h oses and consideration therein expressed and had shad shad but wish to retract ir.
GIVEN UNDER MY JAAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE this the	
	e rearing a strategie and the
्रात्रः विवर्ष्ट्रस्य व्यक्ति का क्रुटिका व्यक्ति के हुईहित्या विद्वेति त्यात्र त्या वि कालकार्याय विवर्णनाय विद्यालय के लिल्हा के स्थिति विद्यालय विद्यालय के स्थिति	
	Notory Public in and far County, Tex
WHEN RECORDED RETURN TO:	This instrument was filed for record on the IOILL day
	Sestember 1973 01/0:40 order A
	and duly recorded in Book Ala Page Page of 1
	Trilocellanerica records of this offi
New 12 3 Control of the Control of t	Deraldike Manaffay
	TAA m h
	county clerk Eldis county, Decerma
	County clerk Eldis county, Macer ma Exp: Cumi C. Boys, Deputy

<del>9-27-5</del> 2		•			
	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	····	•
	······	WARRAN	TY DEED		
•••	Good Samaritan Hom	e, an Illinois co	erporation,		
•				consideration paid, grantS	
<del>،</del>	Wm. B. Barnhill, P	. 0. Box 1354, Ro			
	following described real estate	in Eddy		unty, New Mexico:	
. the	All of the surface			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·
and	an undivided seven-ei other minerals owned b duced from the following	ghths (7/8ths) in by Cood Samaritan	terest in and to a Home in and under	l of the oil, gas	
	Township 18 South,	Range 26 East, N	MPM	· ·	
FNAL-	-4994-Section 1: Trac Section 2: Trac	t No. 218 of Fair t Nos. 26h and 26	child Farm Land Su 5 of Fairchild Far	n Land Subdivision	
•	Containing 15 acre				
•				· · ·	
			··· · ·		
• .			•		.
		•			
• •		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·		
	•	_ · · · •	•	•	
. •			· · · · · · ·		
					. 111
			•		-
-					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
- - - -		•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	th warranty covenants	•	•		
wit	th warranty covenants. WITNESSOUT	hand and seal	this7th		-day of
wit		hand and seal. 19 <u>75</u> .	this 7th		-day of (Seal)
	WITNESS OUr		this7th 	42	(Seal)
	WITNESS OUR February		GOOD SAMARITAN HO By William P	NE Ja Huclessa	(Seal) (Seal)
	WITNESS OUR February TEST:/ Hany W. Cell		GOOD SAMARITAN HO By William P	ME In Muclean M. McCleery,	(Seal) (Seal) (Seal)
 AT	WITNESS OUR February TEST: Hany W. Cell /ILLINOIS ATE OF EXERCISENCES	 jecrétary	<u>GOOD SAMARITAN HO</u> By <i>William</i> William	ME In Muclean M. McCleery,	(Seal) (Seal)
AT ST. Cou	WITNESS OUF February TEST: Hany W. Cell /ILLINOIS ATE OF EXERCISES anty of Adams		<u>GOOD SAMARITAN HO</u> By <i>William</i> William Praside	NE Sulfuellery M. McCleery, nt.	(Seal) (Seal) (Seal)
AT ST. Cou	WITNESS OUR February TEST:/ Hany W. Cell /ILLINOIS ATE OF REPORTS		<u>GOOD SAMARITAN HO</u> By <i>William</i> William Praside	ME In Muclean M. McCleery,	(Seal) (Seal) (Seal)
AT ST. Cou	WITNESS OUF February TEST: Hany W. Cell ILLINOIS ATE OF EXERCISES anty of Adams The foregoing instrument was 10.5		<u>GOOD SAMARITAN HO</u> By <i>William</i> William Praside	ME M. McCleery, nt. of February	(Seal) (Seal) (Seal)
AT ST. Con	WITNESS OUF February TEST: Hany W. Cell ILLINOIS ATE OF EXERCISES anty of Adams The foregoing instrument was 10.5		<u>GOOD SAMARITAN HO</u> <u>By William</u> William Praside me this <u>7tl</u> <u>day</u> of Good Semaritan H	ME M. McCleery, nt. of February	(Seal) (Seal) (Seal)
AT ST. Con	WITNESS OUR February TIEST:		<u>GOOD SAMARITAN HO</u> <u>By William William</u> <u>Preside</u> me this <u>7tl</u> day of Good Semeritan H	ME M. McCleery, nt. of February Nome, an Illinois	(Seal) (Seal) (Seal)
AT ST. Con	WITNESS OUF February TIEST:		<u>GOOD SAMARITAN HO</u> <u>By William</u> William Preside me this <u>7tl</u> <u>day of Good Semeritan H</u> <u>ion.</u>	ME M. McCleery, nt. of February	(Seal) (Seal) (Seal)
AT ST. Con	WITNESS OUF February TEST: <i>Hany O.</i> <i>ILLINOIS</i> ATE OF EXERCISENCES anty of Adams The foregoing instrument was <i>The foregoing instrument was</i> <i>The </i>		<u>GOOD SAMARITAN HO</u> <u>By William</u> William Preside me this <u>7tl</u> <u>day of Good Semeritan H</u> <u>ion.</u>	ME ME M. McCleery, nt. of February Nome, an Illinois Decestican	(Seal) (Seal) (Seal)
AT ST. Con	WITNESS OUR February TEST:		<u>GOOD SAMARITAN HO</u> <u>By William</u> William Preside me this <u>7tl</u> <u>day of Good Semeritan H</u> <u>ion.</u>	ME ME M. McCleery, nt. of February Nome, an Illinois Decestican	(Seal) (Seal) (Seal)
AT ST. Con ST. Con ST. Con St. St. St. St. St. St. St. St. St. St.	WITNESS OUR February TEST: Hany W. Cell ILLINOIS ATE OF EXECUTIVE anty of Adams The foregoing instrument was William M. McCl Diperation, on behalf Commission expires Teb.		<u>GOOD SAMARITAN HO</u> <u>By William</u> William Preside me this <u>7tl</u> <u>day of Good Semeritan H</u> <u>ion.</u>	ME M. McCleery, nt. of February Nome, an Illinois Decesticane otary Public	(Seal) (Seal) (Seal)
AT ST. Con ST. ST. ST.	WITNESS OUR February TEST:		<u>GOOD SAMARITAN HO</u> <u>By William /</u> William Preside me this <u>7tl</u> day of of Good Semeritan H ion. <u>Sumula</u> N	ME M. McCleery, nt. of February Nome, an Illinois Decesticane otary Public	(Seal) (Seal) (Seal)
AT ST. Con ST. ST. ST.	WITNESS <u>OUF</u> February TEST: <u>Harry</u> <u>Harry</u> <u>Harry</u> <u>Millions</u> The foregoing instrument was <u>The foregoing instrument was</u> <u>The foregoing instrument</u>		<u>GOOD SAMARITAN HO</u> <u>By William /</u> William Preside me this <u>7tl</u> day of of Good Semeritan H ion. <u>Sumula</u> N	ME M. McCleery, nt. of February Nome, an Illinois Decesticane otary Public	(Seal) (Seal) (Seal) (Seal)
AT ST. Com ST. ST. ST. Con	WITNESS OUF February TEST:		<u>GOOD SAMARITAN HO</u> <u>By William /</u> William Preside me this <u>7tl</u> day of of Good Semeritan H ion. <u>Sumula</u> N	ME <u>ME</u> <u>M. McCleery,</u> nt. <u>M. McCleery,</u> nt. <u>M. McCleery,</u> <u>M. McCleery, <u>M. McCleery, <u>M. McCleery,</u> <u>M. McCleery,</u> <u>M. McCleery,</u> <u>M. McCleery,</u> <u>M. McCleery, <u>M. McCleery, <u>M. McCleery,</u> <u>M. McCleery,</u> <u>M. McCleery, <u>M. McCleery, <u>M. McClee</u></u></u></u></u></u></u>	(Seal) (Seal) (Seal) (Seal)
AT ST. Com ST. ST. ST. Con	WITNESS <u>OUF</u> February TEST: <i>Harry W. Cell</i> <i>ILLINOIS</i> ATE OF EXECUTIVE anty of <u>Adams</u> The foregoing instrument was <i>The foregoing instrument was</i> <i>The foregoing instrument was</i>		<u>GOOD SAMARITAN HO</u> <u>By William /</u> William Preside me this <u>7tl</u> day of of Good Semeritan H ion. <u>Sumula</u> N	ME <u>ME</u> <u>M. McCleery,</u> nt. <u>M. McCleery,</u> nt. <u>M. McCleery,</u> <u>M. McCleery, <u>M. McCleery, <u>M. McCleery,</u> <u>M. McCleery,</u> <u>M. McCleery,</u> <u>M. McCleery,</u> <u>M. McCleery, <u>M. McCleery, <u>M. McCleery,</u> <u>M. McCleery,</u> <u>M. McCleery, <u>M. McCleery, <u>M. McClee</u></u></u></u></u></u></u>	(Seal) (Seal) (Seal) (Seal) ,
AT ST. Cov ST. ST. ST. Cov	WITNESS <u>OUF</u> February TEST: <u>Harry</u> <u>Cell</u> <u>Harry</u> <u>Cell</u> <u>ILLINOIS</u> ATE OF <u>EXECTENTICE</u> anty of <u>Adams</u> The foregoing instrument was <u>The foregoing instrument was</u> <u>The foregoin</u>		<u>GOOD SAMARITAN HO</u> <u>By William</u> William Preside me this <u>7tl</u> day of Good Semeritan H ion. <u>Sumba</u> N Records of Deeds of s <u>Millal</u> By <u>Suund</u>	ME ME M. McCleery, nt. M. McCleery, M. McCl	(Seal) (Seal) (Seal) (Seal) ,
AT ST. Cov ST. ST. ST. Cov	WITNESS <u>OUF</u> February TEST: <i>Harry W. Cell</i> <i>ILLINOIS</i> ATE OF EXECUTIVE anty of <u>Adams</u> The foregoing instrument was <i>The foregoing instrument was</i> <i>The foregoing instrument was</i>		<u>GOOD SAMARITAN HO</u> <u>By William</u> William Preside me this <u>7tl</u> day of Good Semeritan H ion. <u>Sumba</u> N Records of Deeds of s <u>Millal</u> By <u>Suund</u>	ME ME M. McCleery, nt. M. McCleery, M.	(Seal) (Seal) (Seal) (Seal) ,

	·····			
	WARRAN	TY DEED		
Wm. B. Barnhill :	and Catharine W. Bar	nhill, his wlf	3,	
			_, for consideration paid,	grant to
J. V. Fritts, P.	O. Box 868, Roswell	, New Mexico	.4 	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
the following described real e	1. V 7/X · ·		county, New Mexico:	
One-half (1/2) of Barnhill (Grantor here: to all of the oil, gas Barnhill in and under to-wit:	and other minerals	l seven-sixteen owned by Wm. B	ths (7/16ths) inter • Barnhill and Cath	est in and arine W.
	ct No's. 264 and 265	irchild Farm L	and Subdivision arm Land Subdivisic	'n
		•		
			3	
	* *			
• • •				
•	•		•	
with warranty covenants.				C i i
WITNESS our	hand and seal	this?	4th	day of
February	19_75		200 MM	(Seal)
		-11/1	Wm. B. Barnh:	(Seal)
	· · · ·	0 1		(Seal)
STATE OF NEW MEXICO,	} ss.	Cathan	Catharine W.	U(Seal) Barnhill
O. The foregoing instrument	was acknowledged before :	me this 24th	_day ofFebruary	
$\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$	mhill and Catharine	• .		4
M. PUBLIC D				
The second way		51	PM1.	
My Commission expires Oc	tabre 31, 1927		Notary Public	<del>~</del>
		2. 		
STATE OF NEW MEXICO, County of Salary	} ss.		ls of said County. Irel Bitance	k
I hereby certify that this record on the	s instrument was filed for day of	By_Jill	and Danis	unty Cleri: , Deputy
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		• Pc.	Tees, §	
February	, A. D., 1975		1 CCS, §	

#### COTTON, BLEDSOE, TIGHE, MORROW & DAWSON

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION ATTORNEYS AT LAW SUITE 1930 WILCO BUILDING MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

TELEPHONE 1915: 684-5782

WM. M. COTTON ROBERT C. BLEDSOE CMARLES L. TIOHE WM. C. MORROW ROBERT H. DAWSON TEVIS HERO ROBERT N. HUDSON RICHARD T. MCMILLAN JOHN A. WOODSIDE JAMES C. CONSIDINE BARRY N. BECK GAYLEN L. GROCE BRUCE W. WOLITARSKY MICHAEL R MCELWRATH

December 22, 1978

Mr. J. V. Fritts 404 Hinkle Building Roswell, New Mexico 88201

> Re: Federal "B" No. 1 Well, E/2 Section 1, T-18-S, R-26-E, Eddy County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Fritts:

At the request of Mewbourne Oil Company I have reviewed the title to the captioned well and lands with specific reference to the forced pooling order entered July 30, 1974, in New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission case No. 5267, Order No. R-4831, which imposes a 200% penalty clause on nonconsenting working interest owners. At the time that this order was entered title to the mineral estate in Tract 218 of the Fairchild Farm Lands was vested of record in the Anna Brown Home for the Aged, an Illinois corporation, subject to an Oil and Gas Lease to Felmont Oil Corporation.

The title will reflect that J. V. Fritts and William B. Barhnhill acquired leases on other mineral interests in Tract 218 in December, 1974, these mineral owners being the Buxman heirs, who owned no title of record in the mineral estate in Tract 218 at the time that the Forced Pooling Order was entered. Therefore, although you and William B. Barnhill were not parties to the Forced Pooling Order, neither did you nor any of your minerals owners have any interest of record at the time that the Forced Pooling Order was entered, and it is our opinion that the Order is binding on the working interests acquired by you from mineral owners who owned no interest of record, but who acquired their interest through the Anna Brown Home, who owned an interest

## Mr. J. V. Fritts

# December 22, 1978

# of record at the time of the Order.

We will be pleased to discuss this matter further with you at any time.

# Very truly yours,

COTTON, BLEDSOE, TIGHE, MORROW & DAWSON

By: Tevis Herd

TH:cm

cc: Mewbourne Oil Company 1010 Wall Towers West Midland, Texas 79701 Attn: Mr. Donald G. Hayden, District Manager Re: Federal "B" No. 1 Well, E/2 Section 1, T-18-S, R-26-E, Eddy County, New Mexico

This Memorandum is concerned with title to the mineral estate in Tract 218 of Fairchild Farm Lands located in E/2 of the captioned Section 1. The undersigned rendered an original title opinion on May 1, 1974, to Mark Production Company (now Mewbourne Oil Company) covering, among other lands, Tract 218 of the Fairchild Farm Lands in E/2 Section 1. Our title examination was based upon abstracts of title certified by Currier Abstract Company of Artesia, New Mexico, as covering Tract 218 from inception of the records to March 20, 1974, at 8:00 a.m., and as to Tract 218 the records reflect that Tract 218 was acquired by Minnie Warmker and Kate Warmker by Deed dated January 3, 1910. The next conveyance of record affecting Tract 218 is a Quitclaim Deed dated April 26, 1948, in which Katherine D. Warmker and Norma Warmker quitclaimed all of their interest in Tract 218 to the Anna Brown Home for the Aged, an Illinois corporation. Thereafter, Felmont Oil Corporation acquired an Oil and Gas Lease dated August 9, 1973, from the Anna Brown Unit of the Good Samaritan Home of Ouincy, Illinois (the successor to the Anna Brown Home for the Aged).

Felmont Oil Corporation was given notice of the proceedings in Case No. 5267 before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission in which Order No. R-4831 was entered July 30, 1974, pooling all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying E/2 captioned Section 1 and imposing a 200% penalty clause on nonconsenting working interest owners. Thereafter, Mark Production Company proceeded to drill the Federal "B" No. 1 well on E/2 Section 1, which well was commenced August 30, 1974, and completed November 22, 1974. These commencement and completion dates are significant, since all of the interests in Tract 218 now owned by J. V. Fritts and William B. Barnhill were acquired subsequent to the date that the well was drilled and completed.

J. V. Fritts and William B. Barnhill acquired Oil and Gas Leases covering Tract 218 from parties who are the heirs of C. Raymond Buxman, which leases are dated December 26, 1974. At the time of the entry of the Forced Pooling Order none of the parties who executed Oil and Gas Leases to Fritts and Barnhill owned any interest of record in Tract 218 and Mark Production Company had no actual notice at that time of these parties interests, so none of these Buxman heirs were entitled to notice of the forced pooling hearing. Therefore, the Oil and Gas Leases acquired by Fritts and Barnhill from these Busman heirs, after the completion of the Federal "B" No. 1 well, were subject to the provisions of the Forced Pooling Order and the penalties imposed therein. We rendered an original division order opinion covering all E/2 Section 1 on June 5, 1975, based upon abstracts certified to April 1, 1975, at 7:00 a.m., and as of this time the records still did not reflect any mineral ownership in Tract 218 in any of the Buxman heirs who had executed Leases to Fritts and Barnhill. Therefore, we noted all of the above in our division order opinion as a result of which a quiet title suit was conducted in Cause

No. CV-78-133 in the District Court of Eddy County, New Mexico, in which Final Decree was entered June 12, 1978, finally clarifying of record, only at this time, the heirship of Minnie Warmker and of C. Raymond Buxman. This quiet title suit reflects that Katherine D. Warmker and Norma Warmker were among the heirs of Minnie Warmker, and these parties conveyed all of their interest in Tract 218 to the Anna Brown Home for the Aged. Neither C. Raymond Buxman nor any of his heirs ever placed of record any notice that they were claiming a mineral interest in Tract 218 through Minnie Warmker, and, indeed, neither the ownership of C. Raymond Buxman nor any of his heirs was ever reflected of record in Eddy County, New Mexico, until the conclusion of the quiet title suit on June 12, 1978.

It is our opinion that the Forced Pooling Order is binding upon the working interests under the Oil and Gas Leases acquired by Fritts and Barnhill from mineral owners who owned no interest of record at the time of the forced pooling hearing. At the time of the forced pooling hearing in July of 1974, only the Anna Brown Home for the Aged owned any interest of record in Tract 218, having been conveyed its interest by one of the original owners (Katherine V. Warmker, aka Kate Warmker) and Norma Warmker, one of the heirs of Minnie Warmker. It is also significant that only the Anna Brown Home for the Aged had been paying taxes on Tract 218 for more than the past ten years preceding the forced pooling hearing.

Mr. Fritts attempts to place significance upon the fact that the interest of the Buxman heirs was not acquired through the Anna Brown Home for the Aged, but rather from their ancestor Minnie Warmker, who still owned an interest of record at the time of her death intestate in 1940. However, as of July, 1974, 34 years after the death of Minnie Warmker, nothing had been placed of record to reflect any ownership in Tract 218 by any of the heirs of Minnie Warmker, other than the Quitclaim Deed from Katherine D. Warmker and Norma Warmker to Anna Brown Home for The Oil and Gas Lease from the Anna Brown Home to the Aged. Felmont Oil Corporation purported to cover the entire mineral interest, and at the time of the order Felmont Oil Corporation understood that its Oil and Gas Lease from the Anna Brown Home for the Aged covered the entire mineral estate in Tract 218. It was only after the Forced Pooling Order and after the drilling and completion of the well that the ownership of the Buxman heirs was identified and leased. It should also be noted that the interest of the Buxman heirs had not been established of record within 90 days following the completion of the well, as reflected by our original division order opinion covering E/2 Section 1 and based upon abstracts certified to April 1, 1975.

> COTTON, BLEDSOE, TIGHE, MORROW & DAWSON A Professional Corporation

By: I was Herd Tevis Herd

TH: cm

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 6489 Order No. R-4831-A

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

ROUGH

dr/

This cause came on for hearing at 9:00 a.m. on March 7 , 19<sup>79</sup>, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission."

NOW, on this <u>day of April</u>, 1979, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony presented and the exhibits received at said hearing, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicants, J. V. Fritts and Wm. B. Barnhill, seek the review and interpretation of Order No. R-4831 to permit them the opportunity to join in the drilling of the Federal "B" Well No. 1 located in Unit P of Section 1, Township 18 South, Range 26 East, NMPM, Atoka-Pennsylvanian Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, and to determine the applicability of the 200 percent risk factor.

(1) That Murk Productions Company dvilled said Federal B Well No.1 on a compulsorily pooled want 320-aire spacing and promition unit consisting of the C/2 of said Section I under the terms and provisions Order No R-4831. (3) Thut Care No 5267 which resulted in Orden No 24831 was bear In by a our Cham. the application of Mark Production Company to foce pool the Elz of said Section 1. (4) That notice of said hearing was properly given by the Commission pursuant to Section 65-3-6 NMSA 1953. That as a result of said notice being properly given, all interest owners in the E/2 of said Section 1 were notified that the tract was the subject of a force pooling case, and were therefore given the opportunity to appear at the hearing. (6) That as a result of said hearing, the Commission issued the ts Order No. R-4831 which torce pooled said acrea stipulated a 200 percent risk factor for those not joining in the drilling of the well within the time limits set forely in the order. (9) That mither I. V. Frits and Www. B. Barahill Por Their prefessors in interest in the C/2 of said Section 1 trinety joined in the drilling of B said Federal B Well No 1. That the evidence in Case No. 6489 showed that Mark (8) Production Company spudded the Federal "B" Well No. 1 on August 30, 1974, and completed it on November 22, 1974. Į. That the applicants in this case had no record title (0) to their interest in the E/2 of said Section 1 until January 17, 1975, on which date their leases were recorded in Eddy County. (1)() That the applicants' predecessors in interest did not have record title at the time of the force pooling case held July 10, 1974. instructions the notice of hearing for Case No. 5267, as in all compulsory (10) That since all interest owners, whether or not of record, were notified of the force peoling case and were given the opportunity to appear and join in the drilling of said well, any objections at this date are untimely.

(11) The application should therefore be denied.

The opportunity to appear thating a make their interest known, and the to reach assure themselves These opportunity to join in the drilling of The well without being subject to the risk penalty provisione of @ Od soid Order Vo R-4831. The subject of themselves of this opportunity, and the subject of the opportunity, and the time is untimely and No R-4831. should therefore be denied. It is WHEREFORE ORDERED (1) The the application of J. V. Fr. Is and Wm. B. Barn hill for the opportunity to join in the drilling of the Federal "B' Will No. 1 located in Unit Pop Section 1. Vouship 18 South Range 26 Cart, NMPM, Atoke- Pennsylvanian Gas, Eddy County, New Merica, without being subject to the 200 percent risk bector provided in Division Order No R-4831 is hereby denied