CASE 6659: AMOCO PRODUCTION COMPANY FOR AN EXCEPTION TO ORDER NO. R-3221, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

ŧ.

75.75 500

: {

2

CASE NO.

66.59

APPliCation, Transcripts, Small Exhibits,

ETC.





1 MR. NUTTER: We'll call next Case Number 2 6659. 3 MR. PADILLA: Application of Amoco Production Company for an exception to Order No. R-3221, Eddy County, 5 New Mexico. 8 MR. BUELL: May it please the Examiner, my 7 name is Gue Buell. I am representing the Applicant, Amoco 8 Production Company. 9 We have one witness who has not been sworn. 10 LY WALTON BOY 11 (Witness sworn.) 12 13 J. C. ALLEN 14 being called as a witness and having been duly sworn upon 15 his oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. BUELL: 18 Mr. Allen, would you state your complete Q 19 name, by whom you're employed, and in what location and what 20 capacity; please, sir? 21 Yes, sir. My name is James C. Allen. I'm A. 22 employed by Amoco Production Company in Houston, Texas, as 23 a Senior Petroleum Engineering Supervisor. 24 Mr. Allen, you've testified at previous Q. 25

hearings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, have you not?

Yes, sir.

1.

A.

A.

A.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q And your qualifications as a petroleum engineer are a matter of public record?

Yes, sir.

Q All right, sir. Our application here today is for an exception to Order 3221, which I broadly categorize as the no pit order, is that your understanding of our application?

Yes, sir, it is.

Q. What have you done in preparation by way of study for this particular application?

A I have checked with the available publications from the USGS, State Engineer's Office, and the Oil Conservation Division, in that regard. I did spend -- make a detailed review of the hearing which resulted in the Commission Order R-3221-B.

MR. BUELL: May it please the Examiner, are there any questions of Mr. Allen's qualifications with particular reference to the area in and around our specific area here today?

MR. NUTTER: No. Mr. Allen is qualified. (Mr. Buell continuing.) All right, sir, in connection with your testimony, let's look first at what has

been identified as our Exhibit Number One.

What is that exhibit, Mr. Allen?

A Exhibit Number One is a topography map of essentially the Clayton Basin New Mexico area in Eddy and Lea Counties.

Q Is it predominantly Eddy County, Mr. Allen?
 A. Yes, sir, it is. The only portion which
 is Lea County is approximately east three and a half miles.

Q All right, sir. If memory serves me correctly, there have been many exceptions granted to the no pit order in the Clayton Basin, which is represented by Exhibit Number One, is that correct?

Is there any way by color you have designated the areas on Exhibit One that have previously been granted exceptions?

Yes, sir.

A. Yes, sir, these areas are colored in yellow.
 Q. Let's take up first the large area colored
 in yellow on the southern and southwestern portion of our
 Exhibit Number One. How did that exception area come about?

A This area shown on this map is a portion of the area which was exempted by the Order R-3221-B. This exception order came about as a result of an Oil Conservation Division called hearing to review the area where there was both oil and gas production and potash mining in common areas

SALLY WALTON BOYD CERTIFYED SHORTHAND REPORTER CERTIFYED REPORT REPORTER 1999 From Report Report 111-910 1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to determine if there was grounds for an exception to the statewide no pit order.

And as a result of that hearing Order R=3221-B was issued, which exempted not only the area colored in yellow on our Exhibit Number One, but also a much larger area that goes outside our exhibit, is that correct?

That's correct.

A.

A.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WALTON BO

Q All right, sir, let's move north now to the intermittent areas that are colored in yellow. What's the story on those?

A. These areas are areas that have an exception that has been granted as a result of an individual operator requesting an exception to the order.

Q So the Oil Conservation Division called a hearing on their own motion on the larger area, and the other areas colored in yellow were the results of application of individual oil and gas operators?

That is correct, yes, sir.

Q All right, sir, I notice in or near or on the areas colored in yellow you have a number in parentheses It would appear to me that they start with number one and go through number twenty.

What are the significance of those numbers A. These numbers are code numbers that we put there to identify the operator or the applicant who requested the exception as well as the Commission Order granting the exception.

Q Have you made a tabulation of the exceptions designated by numbers on our Exhibit Number One?

Yes, sir, I have.

A.

1

3

4

5

8

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

Q That's been identified as our Exhibit Number Two, Mr. Allen. It appears to be self-explanatory. It might be well for you to state for the record the format of this exhibit.

A All right, sir. Exhibit Number Two is a tabulation of the twenty areas in which we have found exceptions have been granted. You'll notice on the far left in parentheses is a number. This is the same code number which is shown on our Exhibit Number One.

After that we've listed the operator, the order granting the exception, the date the order was listed, and in the far right a description of the area which the order covers granting an exception.

Where it was available in the order, we've also identified in parentheses under that column where the disposal pit is located.

Q. All right, sir, I don't believe any other comment is appropriate on Exhibit Two at this time. It is self-explanatory.

Let's go back to Exhibit One now. How have

you highlighted the specific area which is the subject matter of this hearing?

A. It's identified with a heavy red border in the northern part of this map, essentially in the center.

Q. All right, sir. The docket notice of our application was broad and it referred to the exempting Section 27, 34, and 35, if I remember correctly, and looking at our Exhibit Number One, it would appear that with regard to Section 27 some areas have heretofore been granted exceptions. Is my observation correct?

A Yes, sir, it is.

1

2

3

4

ĥ

R

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Would you then describe for the record the specific description of the area in Section 27 for which we are asking exception here today?

A. Yes, sir, we're requesting exception to the south half of Section 27, the northeast quarter section and the northeast of the northwest quarter section.

Q All right, sir, we'll get back in detail on that later, Mr. Allen, on a subsequent exhibit, which shows our subject area and on a smaller detailed map, smaller scale map.

Let me ask you this: What does this topo map show with regard to the slope of the surface area in and around our subject area?

A. In the vicinity of the area in which we're

requesting exception, the topo map indicates that the surface drainage would be, and the slope, would be in a southwestern direction.

Q All right, sir, let me ask you this: In previous hearings before the Conservation Division that you reviewed, did it appear that the dip of the subsurface bed in and around our subject area are also in a southwesterly direction?

I believe that's correct, yes, sir.

Q All right, sir, let me ask you this: You said that in connection with your study you examined all the data and records available in the State Engineer's Office. Let me ask you this: Do their records indicate that there is a fresh water well anywhere within a five mile area of our subject area, and particularly in a southwesterly direction?

I was unable to locate any -- any well within a five mile area around our -- where we're requesting exception for.

Q All right, sir, and as I understand it; the State Engineer is supposed to have a record of any application and the drilling of a fresh water well or a ground water well in this particular area?

Yes sir.

Q.

A.

A.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

All right, sir, let ma ask you this: In

addition to checking the records and files of the State Engineer, have you had our field people who are in this area all the time checking our producing wells, hav you had them search the area on an on-the-ground reconnaissance for any sign of a ground water well?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A.

A.

الرواب كميته بالا المحمد بالمتعالية

WALTON BOYI

1.0

A Yes, sir, they have and they were unable to locate any within this area.

A Have you found any data that reveal to you that in and around our subject area, and within a five-mile area to the southwest of our subject area, there are any known fresh ground waters?

Not to my knowledge.

All right, sir. Let me ask you this: Same question with respect to our subject area and five miles to the southwest around our subject area, are there any fresh surface waters to your knowledge?

Not to my knowledge, no, sir

Q With regard to the surface, is this area used for farming, do they grow crops? How would you describe the surface area?

A I would say basically it's a very sandy -it's almost a sandy type area.

Do you know of any farming or crop growing in and around this area?

Within the area which we're requesting ex-

Page ._____ 11

ception, no, sir, I'm not aware of it.

1

2

3

6

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

9 How do the geologists describe the surface or do geologists describe surfaces?

A Yes, sir, I think it would probably just be described as sort of a blow sand type area. Kind of a dune type; basically stable in some areas where there is vegetation, but it still supposedly, you know, can shift in some areas with the wind.

Q All right, sir. Let's turn your attention now to our Exhibit Number Three, which is a small scale map showing in greater detail the subject area for which we are applying for an exception here today.

Would you briefly describe Exhibit Three? A. Yes, sir, Exhibit Three is a 9-section plat of the immediate area in which we're requesting an exception to the no pit order.

We have identified within this map, again coloring the areas in yellow and using the code numbers, the areas where exceptions have been granted. In addition to this, I have shown on this map the location of the existing pits within this area by rectangular symbol, and where we're proposing a pit in Section 34, with a dashed rectangular.

Q All right, sir, let me ask you this: Have you outlined in any fashion the subject area which you have outlined in red on our Exhibit Number One?

Yes, sir, this area is outlined on this map

using a heavy dashed line.

Α.

A.

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Mr. Allen, if my memory is correct. I believe I note on our Exhibit Three -- it was also on Exhibit One, although not so easily ascertainable -- that we're asking for, I belive, three quarter quarter sections that seem to have a prior exemption. Is my observation correct?

Yes, sir.

Q All right, sir, let's take first the southeast quarter of the southeast quarter of 27. I notice there that quarter quarter is colored in yellow and has four in parentheses. If that has already been granted an exception why are we asking for one here today again?

A The order granting this exception applied only to the existing well at that time and any well drilled since that time would not be permitted to use that pit.

Q All right. I notice on that quarter quarter is a gas well, Well No. 1. Would water produced from that well be exempted or excepted from Order R-3221?

A. Yes, sir.
Q. Are you sure?
A. I believe so.

All right, sir, let's go now to the quarter
quarter that is the southeast of the northeast of Section
27. That also has four in parentheses. How, if that is

already exempt, why are we asking for another exemption?
A Again, this pit, which is located in that
guarter guarter section, the order granting this exception
identified this area as a disposal site for two shallow wells
located in the west half of the northwest guarter.

Q All right, let me ask you this question: I notice a gas well symbol, Well No. 3, on that quarter quarter. If that well produced water, could it be disposed of into the adjacent pit?

A No, sir, not under the wording of the existing order.

Q All right. You mentioned two shallow wells in the west half of the northwest of 27. I don't seen any well symbols there, but I do see other well symbols on our Exhibit Number Three. What are the significance of the well symbols that I see on Exhibit Three?

A All right, sir. The wells that are shown now with reference to Section 27, Well No. 10 in the north half of the southwest quarter is a recently drilled Morrow gas well. Well No. 11 is also a recently drilled Morrow gas well in the southwest quarter of Section 34. The other gas well symbols in Section 34 and 35 are existing gas wells and there is one abandoned gas well, a previous Atoka producer in Section 26.

So the well symbols that you have on this

LIY WALTON BOYD PHEI SHORTHAND REPORTER Pheri Shiman (195) 471-445 8

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

۵

1 exhibit are all deep Morrow wells.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Yes, Morrow or Siluro-Devonian in the case of Well No. 1.

Q All right, sir, I notice another quarter quarter in Section 27 colored in yellow showing a prior exemption, which is included in our specific area that -- for which we're asking exemption here today. That would be the northeast of the northwest of 27. What is the story on that particular quarter quarter?

A. The northwest quarter of Section 27 and the north half of the southwest quarter area is a previous exemption granted to another operator, so Amoco has no exception for the northeast of the northwest quarter.

Q. I see. I got a little confused, Mr. Allen. Also included within our specific prescribed area for exemption today is the north half of the southwest quarter of 27, and you covered that in your answer as well as the northeast of the northwest of 27.

A 22 Yes, sir.

Q I'm sorry if I got a little confused. All right, sir. How broad is our request here today for the exemptions we're asking for? Are we just asking for existing wells that are currently making water?

A No, sir. Our request would cover any other wells that would be drilled, although it's not usual to run

into water production with Morrow producers, it is not un-2 common, either. You can find water-producing sands within the Morrow itself. Q. So we do know we have bottom water in some 5 of the Morrow sands in this area. Α. Yes, sir. 7 As a matter of fact, Well No. 6 in the 8 southeast quarter of 26, which is shown with the abandoned 9 well symbol, it found bottom water in the Morrow, did it not? 10 A Yes, sir, it did. 11 All right, sir, how many of our current a 12 wells in this area, and incidentally, how many do we have 13 currently producing now, deep wells? 14 We have six deep wells producing at this A. 15 time. 16 Do we have any drilling? a 17 A Yes, sir, WEll No. 13 is drilling. 18 Where is it located? Q. 19 It's located in the southeast of the south-A. 20 west quarter of Section 35. 21 All right, sir, of our six wells that are a 22 currently producing in this area shown on Exhibit Three, 23 how many of them are making water? 24 At the current time only two, Well Lo. 1, A. 25 which is a Siluro-Devonian Well, is producing 170 barrels

16 1 of water per day -- excuse me, that's Well No. 1 -- and Ž Well No. 11 in the southwest quarter of Section 34 is producing water. Well No. 12 at this time is making no water 3 and Well No. 2 is also producing no water. 5 What is the initial potential of our Well Q. 6 No. 117 7 Well No. 11 initially potentialed for 2.35 λ. 8 million cubic feet of gas per day, 175 barrels of condensate, 9 and 245 barrels of water per day. 10 Q. All right, sir, have there been indications 11 that this well is declining in production, both with regard 12 to gas and water? 13 Yes, sir, it is declining. A. 14 So as far as this particular well is Q. 15 concerned, we're probably looking at a maximum, at least it 16 would appear that way now, of 245 to 250 barrels of salt 17 water a day. 18 That's correct. λ. 19 All right, sir. Do all of our deep wells 0. 20 that are shown on Exhibit Three produce into an individual 21 battery or do they produce into a common battery? 22 Well, we have both. Well No. 10 has an λ.

individual battery site.

Ũ

23

24

Now that's up in 27?

and present and the second a standing the second second second

Yes, sir, that is correct.

Wells Nos. 2, 11, and 12 are producing 2 into a common battery located at Well No. 2. 3 Where you show the symbol for the pit? Q 4 That is correct. A. 5 Q Our application is approved? Yes, si?. 6 A. 7 All right, sir, now what about 13, the a 8 drilling well? Its objective is also the same deep Morrow 9 as 12, 2, and 11, is it not? 10 Ă. Yes, sir, it is. 11 Q. If it should be productive and make water, 12 will it produce in the common battery located at Well No. 2? 13 No, sir. Plans now would be to install A 14 a separate battery located in the northwest quarter of the 15 southwest quarter of Section 35. 16 Q. But if our application is granted as we 17 made it, and the Commission approved it, that would take care 18 of Well No. 13 if it is a water-producer? 19 Yes, sir, we would not have to have a A. 20 separate hearing for that well. 21 All right, sir, let me ask you this: Have Q 22 you had a sample of the produced water from Well No. 11 23 analyzed? 24 Yes, sir, I have. A. 26 That has been identified as our Exhibit 0.

1 Number Four, Mr. Allen. I don't want you to go into a lot 2 of detail on this. The Examiner has seen many analyses of 3 water, but I would like for you to comment on what you think are the most pertinent reflections of this analysis. 4 5 λ Well, I think there's a couple of areas of 6 interest. 7 One, the total solids of 48,920 indicate 8 this water is not usable for anything that I know of in use; 9 it's inpotable water. 10 The second, that the chloride content is ON BO 11 28,763, which in some of the lakes in the area that I've 12 checked, or at least one, is considerably lower than that. 13 Q. In other words, the chlorides appear to 14 be low in the produced water from Well No. 11? 15 A. Yes, sir, lower than in some areas in 16 which we 17 All right, sir, do you have any other Q 18 comments on Exhibit Number Four? 19 No, sir. A. 20 Q. All right, sir. Mr. Allen, in this parti-21 cular area I guess there would be two methods of handling 22 the produced water other than placing it in a surface pit. 23 One would be hauling it, trucking it, to a commercial dis-24 posal site, is that correct? That is correct.

•ege _____

1 Q, Another would be to find a well and convert it to subsurface disposal. 2 3 λ. Yes, sir. 4 All right, sir. Let's take the first, 5 trucking. What would it cost in this perticular area to 6 truck roughly 245, 250 barrels of salt water a day to a 7 commercial disposal site? The cost would be \$230 per day. 8 A. 9 Under this well's current ability to pro-10 duce and the price we're getting for gas, could we economically 11 truck this water at this time? 12 Yes, sir, it could be trucked. **b**_ . 13 What if the well declines in productivity? **n** 14 Would it soon reach a point where the trucking would be a 15 heavy economic burden? 16 Trucking at that cost, in my opinion, would A. 17 result in a premature abandonment of the well. 18 All right, sir, what about finding a well Q. 19 and converting it to subsurface disposal? What about Well 20 No. 6 that we've mentioned before, the abandoned well up in 21 Section 26? Have our field people made an estimate of what 22 it would cost to convert that well to subsurface disposal? 23 Yes, sir, the estimated cost is a minimum A. 24 of \$150,000.

<u>à</u>

Even at Well No. 11's current producing

rate would that be an economical operation? A. At the rate the well is declining it would be questionable. Q. All right, sir, more or loss by way of 6 conclusion and wrap-up, let me ask you this: Would approval of our application as we've requested here today in any way 7 pollute or contaminate fresh ground waters in this area? No, sir, I was unable to locate any ground A. 9 waters to pollute in this area. 10 Q. I'll ask you the same question with re-11 gard to surface waters, fresh surface waters, is there any 12 way approval of our application would pollute or contaminate 13 fresh surface waters? 14 λ. Not in my opinion. 15 You've already described the topography 0 16 and quality of the terrain. Is there any way that dis-17 posing of produced salt water in a surface pit in this area 18 could damage the surface in any way? 19 A. No, sir, I don't think it would, in my 20 opinion. 21 Q In your opinion would approval of our ap-22 plication as we've requested serve conservation and prevent 23 waste by increasing the recovery of hydrocarbons from this 24 area? Yes, sir, it would, in that holding oper-Α.

ating costs to the minimum would prolong the producing life 2 of each well. 3 MR. BUELL: May it please the Examiner, . 4 that's all I have by way of direct at this time. 5 I would like to formally offer our Exhibits 6 One through Four into evidence. 7 MR. NUTTER: Amoco Exhibits One through 8 Four will be admitted in evidence. 9 10 CROSS EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. NUTTER: 12 Mr. Allen, you mentioned that Amoco cura 13 rently operates six deep gas wells in the area and has this 14 one abandoned deep gas well, correct? 15 Yes, sir, this well was drilled and orig-A. 16 inally operated by Conoco, the abandoned well. We do oper-17 ate the other six, yes. 18 Is this a unit area that you're operating? ŷ. 19 A. Yes, sir, this is the Green Creek (sic) 20 Grayburg Unit, Pederal Unit. 21 Okay. Now, does Amoco own any shallow a 22 rights here or do they have any shallow operating rights? 23 I believe the breakover point is about **A**. 24 4500 feet, if I remember right, Mr. Nutter; however, we 25 do have two shallow Delaware wells, are the only shallow

wells I'm aware of we operate in the northwest quarter of Section 27.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ALTON

and these were previously covered by an exception.

N Yes, sir, the water from those wells are
 beiny disposed of in the pit located in the southeast north east of 27, and at the present time it's very minor. I think
 we're only disposing of about 8 barrels of water per day.
 Q Now that pit there in the southeast of the
 northeast also services the No. 3 Well, is that it, or that
 tank battery?

A. It's located at the tank battery, but Well No. 3 does not dispose of water into that pit. It's not covered by the exception and it's not producing any water at this time, either.

Q Okay, No. 3 at the present time is making no water.

That is correct.

A.

Q.

A.

۵

A.

No. 1 makes 170 barrels of water per day?

Yes, sir, it does.

No. 10 does not make water?

No, sir, latest test there's no water.

(Now you mentioned that No. 11 made approximately 245 barrels of water on potential and had declined.
What amount of water is it currently making?

The latest test, which was on the 27th of 2 August, showed a gas rate of 1.7-million and water at 114 3 barrels per day. Q- No. 2 makes no water. No. 12 makes no 4 5 water, and No. 13 is still drilling? 6 A. That is correct. 7 And Nos. 2, 11, and 12 are all handled in 8 a battery located at the site of No. 2, correct? 9 Yes, sir, in Unit G. A. 10 And so if you had approval for this appli**n** 11 cation, you would have a pit there at Well No. 2, I presume. 12 Yes, sir. A. 13 By way of information, Mr. Allen, there has 0. 14 recently been an exception to R-3221 granted on your exhibits 15 here which you don't have labeled. This Flag-Redfern lease 16 down here in Section 2. 17 That is in Unit K, sir? We did have one A. 18 for Flag-Redfern which we showed as pending. We have not 19 picked up the order. 20 Well, it has been issued. It has been **n** 21 issued. 22 Okay. 23 That was Order No. R-6083 in the southwest ۵ 24 quarter of Section 2. 25 MR. NUTTER: Are there any further questions



REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, a court reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability from my notes taken at the time of the hearing.

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a could be a reaction of the proceedings in a complete return of Crise the Lyaminer hearing of Gise Examiner heard by mg Oil Conservation Division

Sally W. Boyd, C.S.R.

SALLY WALTON BO CERTITUED SHORTTAND REPORT 1956 Paris Blanca (1915, 1713 Statis Po, New Kordon 3713 1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and the second sec	ar +1		7 5/10/0
~		EXCEPTIONS SHUGART	TO R-3221 FLD. AREA
OPERATOR	ORDER NO.	DATE	LOCATION
(1) V. S. WELCH	R-3670	2/04/69	NW/4 x N/2 SW/4 Sec. 27, T-18, R-31 (Pit in Unit F)
(2) TEXACO	R=3686	2/28/69	Sec. 32, T-19, R-32 (Pit in Unit F)
(3) L. C. SQUIRES	R-3725	4/16/69	Sec. 2, 3, 9, 10, 11; T-20, R-32 Sec. 7, 17, 18, 19, 20; T-20, R-33
(4) AMOCO	R-3771 -	5/28/69	Unit D, E, x P; Sec. 27, T-18, R-31 (Pits in Units H x P)
(5) TENNECO	R-3775 ~	6/10/69	SW/4 NW/4, Sec. 19, T-19, R-32 NE/4, Sec. 24, T-19, R-31
(6) V. S. WELCH	R-3778 -	6/10/69	NE/4, Sec. 28, T-18, R-31
(7) AMOCO	R-3788	7/07/69	Sec. 28 x 33, T-19, R-32 (Pit in Unit F, Sec. 28)
(8) MASK, JENNINGS, KEOHANE, & WESTALL	R-3800 -	7/15/69	E/2 NW/4 & NE/4, Sec. 2, T-19, R-31 (Pit in Unit C)
(9) UNION OF CALIFORNIA	R-3813 -	8/14/69	NE/4, Sec. 31, T-18, R-31
(10) GULF	R-3909 -	1/14/70	Sec. 22, T-18, R=31 (Pits in Center of Sec. 22)
(11) KEOHANE & WESTALL	R-4015 -	8/21/70	Lot 4 & SW/4 NW/4, Sec. 2, T-19, R-31 (Pit in Lot 4)
(12) D. C. COLLIER	R-4016	8/25/70	Units A, C, E, G, I, K, M; Sec. 30, T-19, R-31 (Pit in Unit A)
(13) CREAT PLAINS LAND COMPANY	R-4165	7/28/71	NW/4 NW/4, Sec. 31, T-18, R-31
(14) LARUE & MANCY	R-5245-A	1/25/77	Units G x F; Sec. 33, T-18, R-30 (Pits in G x F)
(15) H. E. YATES	R-5246-A	1/25/77	Units A, B, G, H, J; Sec. 32, T-18, R-30 (Pit in B)
(16) H & S OIL COMPANY	R-5247-A	8/24/76	Unit C, Sec. 33, T-18, R-30
(17) GENE SNOW	R-5248-A	8/24/76	Unit L, Sec. 32, T-18, R-30
			REFORE EXAMINER NUITTER

BEFORE EXAMINER NUTTER
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
EXHIBIT NO.
CASE NO

BEFORE EXAMINER NUTTER OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION Amoco EXHIBIT NO. 2 CASE NO. 6659

1

1

rit .

EXCEPTIONS TO R-3221 SHUGART FLD. AREA PAGE TWO

OPERATOR		ORDER NO.	DATE	LOCATION		
(18)	MARBOB ENERGY CORPORATION	R-5249-A	8/24/76	Unit E, Sec. 28, Unit H x G; Sec. 29, T-18, R-30 (Pit in Unit E, Sec. 28)		
(19)	PETROLEUM DEVELOP- MENT CORPORATION	R-5355	1/25/77	Unit I, Sec. 16, T-19, R-32		
(20)	JOE DON COOK	R-5472 -	6/21/77	Unit J, Sec. 2, T-19, R-31		

EXCEPTIONS	T0	R-3221	
SHUGART	FLD.	AREA	

OPERATOR	ORDER NO.	DATE	LOCATION
(1) V. S. WELCH	R-3670	2/04/69	NW/4 x_N/2_SW/4_Sec. 27, T-18, R-31 (Pit in Unit F)
(2) TEXACO	R-3686	2/28/69	Sec. 32, T-19, R-32 (Pit in Unit F)
(3) L. C. SQUIRES	R-3725	4/16/69	Sec. 2, 3, 9, 10, 11; T-20, R-32 Sec. 7, 17, 18, 19, 20; T-20, R-33
(4) AMOCO	R-3771	5/28/69	Unit D, E, x P; Sec. 27, T-18, R-31 (Pits in Units H x P)
(5) TENNECO	R-3775	6/10/69	SW/4 NW/4, Sec. 19, T-19, R-32 NE/4, Sec. 24, T-19, R-31
(6) V. S. WELCH	R-3778	6/10/69	NE/4, Sec. 28, T-18, R-31
(7) AMOCO	R-3788	7/07/69	Sec. 28 x 33, T-19, R-32 (Pit in Unit F, Sec. 28)
(8) MASK, JENNINGS, KEOHANE, & WESTALL	R-3800	7/15/69	E/2 NW/4 & NE/4, Sec. 2, T-19, R-31 (Pit in Unit C)
(9) UNION OF CALIFORNIA	R-3813	8/14/69	NE/4, Sec. 31, T-18, R-31
(10) GULF	R-3909	1/14/70	Sec. 22, T-18, R-31 (Pits in Center of Sec. 22)
(11) KEOHANE & WESTALL	R-4015	8/21/70	Lot 4 & SW/4 NW/4, Sec. 2, T-19, R-31 (Pit in Lot 4)
(12) D. C. COLLIER	R-4016	8/25/70	Units A, C, E, G, I, K, M; Sec. 30, T-19, R-31 (Pit in Unit A)
(13) GREAT PLAINS LAND COMPANY	R-4165	7/28/71	NH/4 NH/4, Sec. 31, T-18, R-31
(14) LARUE & MANCY	R-5245-A	1/25/77	Units G x F; Sec. 33, T-18, R-30 (Pits in G x F)
(15) H. E. YATES	R-5246-A	1/25/77	Units A, B, G, H, J; Sec. 32, T-18, R-30 (Pit in B)
(16) H & S OIL COMPANY	R-5247-A	8/24/76	Unit C, Sec. 33, T-18, R-30
(17) GENE SNOW	R-5248-A	8/24/76	Unit L, Sec. 32, T-18, R-30

EXAMINER NUTTER
NSERVATION DIVISION
EXHIBIT NO. 2
6659

EXCEPTIONS TO R-3221 SHUGART FLD. AREA PAGE TWO

Ġ

OPERATOR	ORDER NO.	DATE	LOCATION		
(18) MARBOB ENERGY CORPORATION	R-5249-A	8/24/76	Unit E, Sec. 28, Unit H x G; Sec. 29, T-18, R-30 (Pit in Unit E, Sec. 28)		
(19) PETROLEUM DEVELOP- MENT CORPORATION	R-5355	1/25/77	Unit I, Sec. 16, T-19, R-32		
(20) JOE DON COOK	R-5472	6/21/77	Unit J, Sec. 2, T-19, R-31		

P. O. BOX 1468 Monahans, texas 78786	Martin Water Labo		· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	709 W. INDIANA Dland, Tøxas 7876
HONE \$43-3234 OR \$63-1040	RESULT OF WATE	R ANALYSES		PHONE 683-4921
		LABORATORY NO	97911	
o: Mr. Steve Bates	<u> </u>	SAMPLE RECEIVED	0 8 70	
P. O. Box 68, Hobbs,	NM	RESULTS REPORTE	9770	
Amoco Production	1 Company	Greenwood		
UMPANY	LEAS			
ECTION BLOCKSURVEY _				
OURCE OF SAMPLE AND DATE TAKE		·······	STATE	
NO. 1 Produced water - tak		#11. 9-4-79		
NO. 2				
NO. 3	······································			
NO. 4	Moroow	** <u>***********************************</u>		
EMARKS:	EMICAL AND PHYSICA			
	NO. 1	NO. 2	NO. 3	NO. 4
Specific Gravity at 60° F.	1.0334		1	
pit When Sampled			1	1
pH When Received	7.	0	1	1
Bicarbonate as HCO3	854		T	
Supersaturation as CaCO3				
Undersaturation as CaCO3				
Total Hardness as CaCO3	3,900		1	
Calcium ás Ca	1,180			
Magnesium as Mg	231_			
Sodium and/or Potassium	17,413		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ļ
Sulfare as SO4	479	······································		
Chloride as Cl	28,763_			
Iron as Fe	35.	1		
Barium as Ba	0_			
Turbidity, Electric				+
Total Solids, Calculated	48,920		<u> </u>	+
Temperature °F.	40,920		<u> </u>	
Carbon Dioxide, Calculated				1
Dissolved Chygen, Winkler				1
Hydrogen Sulfide	0.1	n		
Resistivity, ohms/m at 77° F.	0.			
Suspended Oil				
Filtrable Solids as mg/1			1	ļ
Volume Filtered, ml				
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			<u>_</u>	+ - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			I	·L
	Results Reported As Millig			
Additional Determinations And Remarks				
therefore are not able to				
recorded in the vicinity.			in regard to	1DIOTOTO-
tation of these results.	PLEASE CONTACT DE.	DEEO	DE EVAL	NICO NULTT
			ке-еллин	NER NUTTI
		01	CONSERVAT	ON DIVISION
				1
		Mar Oc	A_EXHIBIT	NŪ
orm No. 3		CASE N	0. 665	9
			VI 1816.3	-
5 m 190. 3	·	Ву		



W OFFICES OF

TELEPHONE 622-8432 AREA CODE 505

JAMES T. JENNINGS

May 21, 1981

Energy & Minerals Department Oil Conservation Division P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Attention: Ms. Florene Davidson Administrative Secretary

Re: Case No. 6659

Dear Florene:

In response to your letter of May 11 and confirming our telephone conversation of yesterday, this is to advise that I do have the above-numbered case which was checked out to me last March 18. I am using the transcript in preparing an application for an exception to Rule R-3221 which I had hoped to get on the June 3 dockst, however, I have run into some temporary delays and I do not contemplate it will be possible to get the matter on for hearing before the Division until some time in July. At your convenience, I would appreciate it if you could call and advise the contemplated July hearing dates.

If at any time you find that you have need for the transcript please call me and I will return it immediately, otherwise, I will deliver it to you after our proposed hearing.

Yours very truly,

JENNINGS & CHRISTY James T. Jennings JTX/jb

			Page	
		2 ENERGY AND M Oil Conser State Land 3 Santa F	F NEW MEXICO INERALS DEPARTMENT vation Division Office Building e, New Mexico tember 1979	
		5 EXAMIN	ER HEARING	
		6 IN THE MATTER OF: 7 Application of Amo 8 pany for an except: R-3221, Eddy County 9	ion to Order No.) 6	CASE 5659
		10 BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter 11 12 TRANSCR	LPT OF HEARING	
		13 14	ARANCES	
	1 1	6 For the Oil Conservation Division: 8	Ernest L. Padilla, Esq Legal Counsel for the State Land Office Bldg Santa Fe, New Mexico 8	Division
	2	For Amoco Production Co.:	Guy Buell, Esq. Amoco Production Compar Post Office Box 3092 Houston, Texas 77001	лу
	2			
	2			
		n - manana sa sa sa katalar sa	ىلىپىنىنىڭىرىكىنىڭ بىلىكىنىڭ بىلىكىنىڭ بىلىكىنىڭ ئىلىكىنىڭ بىلىكىنىڭ بىلىكىنىڭ بىلىكىنىڭ بىلىكىنىڭ بىلىكىنىڭ بى ئىلىپىنىڭى	



1 MR. NUTTER: We'll call next Case Number 2 6659. 3 MR. PADILLA: Application of Amoco Production Company for an exception to Order No. R-3221, Eddy County, 5 New Mexico. MR. BUELL: May it please the Examiner, my 7 name is Gue Buell. I am representing the Applicant, Amoco 8 Production Company. 9 We have one witness who has not been sworn. 10 WALTON BOY 11 (Witness sworn.) 12 13 J. C. ALLEN 14 being called as a witness and having been duly sworn upon 15 his oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BUELL: 18 Mr. Allen, would you state your complete <u>Q</u> 19 name, by whom you're employed, and in what location and what 20 capacity, please, sir? 21 Yes, sir. My name is James C. Allen. I'm A. 22 employed by Amoco Production Company in Houston, Texas, as 23 a Senior Petroleum Engineering Supervisor. 24 Mr. Allen, you've testified at previous Q. 25
1 hearings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, 2 have you not? 3 Yes, sir. Ā. And your qualifications as a petroleum O. 5 engineer are a matter of public record? 6 A. Yes, sir. 7 All right, sir. Our application here to-8 day is for an exception to Order 3221, which I broadly cate-8 gorize as the no pit order, is that your understanding of 10 our application? 11 A. Yes, sir, it is. 12 What have you done in preparation by way Q 13 of study for this particular application? 14 A. I have checked with the available publica-15 tions from the USGS, State Engineer's Office, and the Oil 16 Conservation Division, in that regard. I did spend -- make 17 a detailed review of the hearing which resulted in the Com-18 mission Order R-3221-B. 19 MR. BUELL: May it please the Examiner, are 20 there any questions of Mr. Allen's qualifications with parti-21 cular reference to the area in and around our specific area 22 here today? 23 MR. NUTTER: No. Mr. Allen is qualified. Q, (Mr. Buell continuing.) All right, sir, in connection with your testimony, let's look first at what has

			1
\sim	1	been identified as our Exhibit Number One.	
	2	What is that exhibit, Mr. Allen?	
 	3	A. Exhibit Number One is a topography map of	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	essentially the Clayton Basin New Mexico area in Eddy and	
	5	Lea Counties.	
	6	Q. Is it predominantly Eddy County, Mr. Allen?	
	7	A. Yes, sir, it is. The only portion which	
	8	is Lea County is approximately east three and a half miles.	
	9	Q All right, sir. If memory serves me cor-	
		rectly, there have been many exceptions granted to the no	
		pit order in the Clayton Basin, which is represented by Ex-	
	LINE 12	hibit Number One, is that correct?	
		A. Yes, sir.	
	60 8 2 14	Q Is there any way by color you have desig-	
	15	nated the areas on Exhibit One that have previously been	
	16	granted exceptions?	
	17	A. Yes, sir, these areas are colored in yellow.	•
	18	Q. Let's take up first the large area colored	
	19	in yellow on the southern and southwestern portion of our	
	20 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10	Exhibit Number One. How did that exception area come about?	
	21	A. This area shown on this map is a portion	· ·
	22	of the area which was exempted by the Order R-3221-B. This	- - -
C) 23	exception order came about as a result of an Oil Conservation	÷ *,
	24	Division called hearing to review the area where there was	
	25	both oil and gas production and potash mining in common areas	
			10 10

1

. -

to determine if there was grounds for an exception to the 1 2 statewide no pit order. And as a result of that hearing Order Q. R-3221-B was issued, which exempted not only the area colored in yellow on our Exhibit Number One, but also a much larger 5 area that goes outside our exhibit, is that correct? 7 That's correct. A. All right, sir, let's move north now to the 8 0 intermittent areas that are colored in yellow. What's the 9 10 story on those? 11 Α. These areas are areas that have an exception 12 that has been granted as a result of an individual operator 13 requesting an exception to the order. 14 So the Oil Conservation Division called a Q. -15 hearing on their own motion on the larger area, and the 16 other areas colored in yellow were the results of application 17 of individual oil and gas operators? 18 That is correct, yes, sir. A. 19 All right, sir, I notice in or near or on Q. 20 the areas colored in yellow you have a number in parentheses. 21 It would appear to me that they start with number one and 22 go through number twenty. 23 What are the significance of those numbers? 24 These numbers are code numbers that we put there to identify the operator or the applicant who requested

the exception as well as the Commission Order granting the exception.

iave you made a tabulation of the exceptions designated by numbers on our Exhibit Number One?

A. Yes, sir, I have.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q That's been identified as our Exhibit Number Two, Mr. Allen. It appears to be self-explanatory. It might be well for you to state for the record the format of this exhibit.

A All right, sir. Exhibit Number Two is a tabulation of the twenty areas in which we have found exceptions have been granted. You'll notice on the far left in parentheses is a number. This is the same code number which is shown on our Exhibit Number One,

After that we've listed the operator, the order granting the exception, the date the order was listed, and in the far right a description of the area which the order covers granting an exception.

Where it was available in the order, we've also identified in parentheses under that column where the disposal pit is located.

Q. All right, sir, I don't believe any other comment is appropriate on Exhibit Two at this time. It is self-explanatory.

Let's go back to Exhibit One now. How have

you highlighted the specific area which is the subject matter of this hearing? A. It's identified with a heavy red border in the northern part of this map, essentially in the center. 5 Q. All right, sir. The docket notice of our application was broad and it referred to the exempting Section 7 27, 34, and 35, if I remember correctly, and looking at our 8 Exhibit Number One, it would appear that with regard to Secã tion 27 some areas have heretofore been granted exceptions. 10 Is my observation correct? 11 Yes, sir, it is. A. 12 Would you then describe for the record the 0. 13 specific description of the area in Section 27 for which we 14 are asking exception here today? 15 Yes, sir, we're requesting exception to the Α. 16 south half of Section 27, the northeast quarter section and 17 the northeast of the northwest quarter section.

1

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All right, sir, we'll get back in detail on Q. that later, Mr. Allen, on a subsequent exhibit, which shows our subject area and on a smaller detailed map, smaller scale map.

Let me ask you this: What does this topo map show with regard to the slope of the surface area in and around our subject area?

In the vicinity of the area in which we're

requesting exception, the topo map indicates that the surface drainage would be, and the slope, would be in a southwestern direction.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

Q. All right, sir, let me ask you this: In previous hearings before the Conservation Division that you reviewed, did it appear that the dip of the subsurface bed in and around our subject area are also in a southwesterly direction?

A. I believe that's correct, yes, sir.
Q. All right, sir, let me ask you this: You said that in connection with your study you examined all the data and records available in the State Engineer's Office.
Let me ask you this: Do their records indicate that there is a fresh water well anywhere within a five mile area of our subject area, and particularly in a southwesterly direction?

A. I was unable to locate any -- any well within a five mile area around our -- where we're requesting exception for.

Q All right, sir, and as I understand it, the State Engineer is supposed to have a record of any application and the drilling of a fresh water well or a ground water well in this particular area?

Yes sir.

A.

a

All right, sir, let me ask you this: In

10 addition to checking the records and files of the State 1 Engineer, have you had our field people who are in this area 2 all the time checking our producing wells, have you had them search the area on an on-the-ground reconnaissance for any sign of a ground water well? 5 Yes, sir, they have and they were unable A. to locate any within this area. 7 Have you found any data that reveal to you Q 8 that in and around our subject area, and within a five-mile 9 area to the southwest of our subject area, there are any 10 11 known fresh ground waters? 12 Not to my knowledge. A. 13 All right, sir. Let me ask you this: Same a 14 question with respect to our subject area and five miles to 15 the southwest around our subject area, are there any fresh 16 surface waters to your knowledge? 17 Not to my knowledge, no, sir. A. 18 **Q**, With regard to the surface, is this area 19 used for farming, do they grow crops? How would you describe 20 the surface area? 21 I would say basically it's a very sandy --22 it's almost a sandy type area. 23 Do you know of any farming or crop growing Q. 24 in and around this area? Within the area which we're requesting ex-

ception, no, sir, I'm not aware of it.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q How do the geologists describe the surface or do geologists describe surfaces?

A Yes, sir, I think it would probably just be described as sort of a blow sand type area. Kind of a dune type; basically stable in some areas where there is vegetation, but it still supposedly, you know, can shift in some areas with the wind.

Q All right, sir. Let's turn your attention now to our Exhibit Number Three, which is a small scale map showing in greater detail the subject area for which we are applying for an exception here today.

Would you briefly describe Exhibit Three? A. Yes, sir, Exhibit Three is a 9-section plat of the immediate area in which we're requesting an exception to the no pit order.

We have identified within this map, again coloring the areas in yellow and using the code numbers, the areas where exceptions have been granted. In addition to this, I have shown on this map the location of the existing pits within this area by rectangular symbol, and where we're proposing a pit in Section 34, with a dashed rectangular.

Q. All right, sir, let me ask you this: Have you outlined in any fashion the subject area which you have outlined in red on our Exhibit Number One?

ge

 A. Yes, sir, this area is outlined on this map using a heavy dashed line.

Mr. Allen, if my momory is correct, I believe I note on our Exhibit Three -- it was also on Exhibit One, although not so easily ascertainable -- that we're asking for, I belive, three quarter quarter sections that seem to have a prior exemption. Is my observation correct?

A. Yes, sir.

2

7

10

11

12

13.

14

15

16

20

Ź1

22

23

24

Q All right, sir, let's take first the southeast quarter of the southeast quarter of 27. I notice there that quarter quarter is colored in yellow and has four in parentheses. If that has already been granted an exception why are we asking for one here today again?

A. The order granting this exception applied only to the existing well at that time and any well drilled since that time would not be permitted to use that pit.

All right. I notice on that quarter quarter
is a gas well, Well No. 1. Would water produced from that
well be exempted or excepted from Order R-3221?

A Yes, sir.
Q. Are you sure?
A. I believe so.
Q. All right, sir, let's go now to the quarter
quarter that is the southeast of the northeast of Section
27. That also has four in parentheses. How, if that is

already exempt, why are we asking for another exemption? 1 Again, this pit, which is located in that A. 2 quarter guarter section, the order granting this exception 3 identified this area as a disposal site for two shallow wells located in the west half of the northwest quarter.

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q.

Q. All right, let me ask you this question: I notice a gas well symbol, Well No. 3, on that quarter quarter If that well produced water, could it be disposed of into the adjacent pit?

No, sir, not under the wording of the A. existing order.

All right, You mentioned two shallow wells Q in the west half of the northwest of 27. I don't seen any well symbols there, but I do see other well symbols on our Exhibit Number Three. What are the significance of the well symbols that I see on Exhibit Three?

A. All right, sir. The wells that are shown now with reference to Section 27, Well No. 10 in the north half of the southwest quarter is a recently drilled Morrow gas well. Well No. 11 is also a recently drilled Morrow gas well in the southwest quarter of Section 34. The other gas well symbols in Section 34 and 35 are existing gas wells and there is one abandoned gas well, a previous Atoka producer in Section 26.

So the well symbols that you have on this

exhibit are all deep Morrow wells.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Yes, Morrow or Siluro-Devonian in the case

Q All right, sir, I notice another quarter quarter in Section 27 colored in yellow showing a prior exemption, which is included in our specific area that -- for which we're asking exemption here today. That would be the northeast of the northwest of 27. What is the story on that particular quarter quarter?

A. The northwest quarter of Section 27 and the north half of the southwest quarter area is a previous exemption granted to another operator, so Amoco has no exception for the northeast of the northwest quarter.

Q. I see. I got a little confused, Mr. Allen. Also included within our specific prescribed area for exemption today is the north half of the southwest quarter of 27, and you covered that in your answer as well as the northeast of the northwest of 27.

Yes, sir.

A.

Q. I'm sorry if I got a little confused.
 All right, sir. How broad is our request
 here today for the exemptions we're asking for? Are we just
 asking for existing wells that are currently making water?
 A. No, sir. Our request would cover any other
 wells that would be drilled, although it's not usual to run

	<u></u>	<u></u>		Page15			
		1	into water prod	uction with Morrow producers, it is not un-			
		2	common, either.	You can find water-producing sands within			
		3	the Morrow itse	lf.			
	-	4	Q.	So we do know we have bottom water in some			
	•	5	of the Morrow sands in this area.				
		6	Å	Yes, sir.			
	~	7	Q.	As a matter of fact, Well No. 6 in the			
-		8	southeast quarte	er of 26, which is shown with the abandoned			
<u> </u>	an an an ann an Armer an		well symbol, it	found bottom water in the Morrow, did it not?			
	OYD OMEN 14452	10	А.	Yes, sir, it did.			
		11	Q	All right, sir, how many of our current			
	LLY WALT	12	wells in this a	rea, and incidentally, how many do we have			
		13	currently produc	cing now, deep wells?			
	0 5 5 m	14	Α.	We have six deep wells producing at this			
		15	time.				
		16	Q.	Do we have any drilling?			
	inin an tainfhili.	17	A	Yes, sir, WEll No. 13 is drilling.			
		18	Q.	Where is it located?			
	•	19	A.	It's located in the southeast of the south-			
20			west quarter of	Section 35.			
	· · ·	21	Q.	All right, sir, of our six wells that are			
		22	currently produc	cing in this area shown on Exhibit Three,			
C		23	how many of ther	n are making water?			
- 7 - 3 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 1		24	А.	At the current time only two, Well No. 1,			
		25	which is a Silur	ro-Devonian Well, is producing 170 barrels			
		1					

16 1 of water per day -- excuse me, that's Well No. 1 -- and 2 Well No. 11 in the southwest quarter of Section 34 is producing water. Well No. 12 at this time is making no water 3 and Well No. 2 is also producing no water. What is the initial potential of our Well Ô. No. 11? 7 Well No. 11 initially potentialed for 2.35 Α. million cubic feet of gas per day, 175 barrels of condensate, 8 S and 245 barrels of water per day. 10 All right, sir, have there been indications 0. 11 that this well is declining in production, both with regard 1Ż to gas and water? 13 Yes, sir, it is declining. Ă. 14 So as far as this particular well is a 15 concerned, we're probably looking at a maximum, at least it 16 would appear that way now, of 245 to 250 barrels of salt 17 water a day. 18 That's correct. A. 19 All right, sir. Do all of our deep wells 0. 20 that are shown on Exhibit Three produce into an individual 21 battery or do they produce into a common battery? 22 A. Well, we have both. Well No. 10 has an 23 individual battery site. 24 Now that's up in 27? 0. Yes, sir, that is correct.

1	Wells Nos. 2, 11, and 12 are producing
2	into a common battery located at Well No. 2.
3	Q. Where you show the symbol for the pit?
	A. That is correct.
5	Q. Our application is approved?
6	A. Yes, sir.
. 7	Q All right, sir, now what about 13, the
8	drilling well? Its objective is also the same deep Morrow
9	as 12, 2, and 11, is it not?
10	A. Yes, sir, it is.
11	Q If it should be productive and make water,
12	will it produce in the common battery located at Well No. 2?
- 13	A. No, sir. Plans now would be to install
14	a separate battery located in the northwest quarter of the
15	southwest quarter of Section 35.
16	Q. But if our application is granted as we
17	made it, and the Commission approved it, that would take care
18	of Well No. 13 if it is a water-producer?
19	A. Yes, sir, we would not have to have a
20	separate hearing for that well.
21	Q. All right, sir, let me ask you this: Have
2	you had a sample of the produced water from Well No. 11
23	analyzed?
24	A. Yes, sir, I have.
25	Q. That has been identified as our Exhibit
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 20 21 22 23 24

Number Four, Mr. Allen. I don't want you to go into a lot of detail on this. The Examiner has seen many analyses of water, but I would like for you to comment on what you think are the most pertinent reflections of this analysis.

A. Well, I think there's a couple of areas of interest.

One, the total solids of 48,920 indicate this water is not usable for anything that I know of in use; it's inpotable water.

The second, that the chloride content is
28,763, which in some of the lakes in the area that I've
checked, or at least one, is considerably lower than that.
Q. In other words, the chlorides appear to
be low in the produced water from Well No. 11?

A. Yes, sir, lower than in some areas in
 which we --

All right, sir, do you have any other comments on Exhibit Number Four?

No, sir.

A.

Q All right, sir. Mr. Allen, in this particular area I guess there would be two methods of handling the produced water other than placing it in a surface pit. One would be hauling it, trucking it, to a commercial disposal site, is that correct?

That is correct.

LY WALTON BO

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

18

Q. Another would be to find a well and convert 2 it to subsurface disposal. Yes, sir. All right, sir. Let's take the first, Q. 5 trucking. What would it cost in this particular area to truck roughly 245, 250 barrels of salt water a day to a 6 commercial disposal site? 7 The cost would be \$230 per day. Under this well's current ability to pro-Q. 10 duce and the price we're getting for gas, could we economically 11 truck this water at this time? NOL. 12 Yes, sir, it could be trucked. A. 13 What if the well declines in productivity? 14 Would it soon reach a point where the trucking would be a 15 heavy economic burden? 16 Trucking at that cost, in my opinion, would A. 17 result in a premature abandonment of the well. 18 Q. All right, sir, what about finding a well 19 and converting it to subsurface disposal? What about Well 20 No. 6 that we've mentioned before, the abandoned well up in 21 Section 26? Have our field people made an estimate of what 22 it would cost to convert that well to subsurface disposal? 23 Yes, sir, the estimated cost is a minimum A. 24 of \$150,000. Even at Well No. 11's current producing Q.

1

2

3

5

Ŕ

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. At the rate the well is declining it would be questionable.

Q All right, sir, more or less by way of conclusion and wrap-up, let me ask you this: Would approval of our application as we've requested here today in any way pollute or contaminate fresh ground waters in this area?

A No, sir, I was unable to locate any ground waters to pollute in this area.

Q I'll ask you the same question with regard to surface waters, fresh surface waters, is there any way approval of our application would pollute or contaminate fresh surface waters?

A. Not in my opinion.

Q You've already described the topography and quality of the terrain. Is there any way that disposing of produced salt water in a surface pit in this area could damage the surface in any way?

A. No, sir, I don't think it would, in my opinion.

Q. In your opinion would approval of our application as we've requested serve conservation and prevent waste by increasing the recovery of hydrocarbons from this area?

.

Yes, sir, it would, in that holding oper-

1 ating costs to the minimum would prolong the producing life 2 of each well. 3 MR. BUELL: May it please the Examiner, 4 that's all I have by way of direct at this time. 5 I would like to formally offer our Exhibits 6 One through Four into evidence. 7 MR. NUTTER: Amoco Exhibits One through 8 Four will be admitted in evidence. 9 10 CROSS EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. NUTTER: 12 Mr. Allen, you mentioned that Amoco cur-Q 13 rently operates six deep gas wells in the area and has this 14 one abandoned deep gas well, correct? 15 Yes, sir, this well was drilled and orig-A. 16 inally operated by Conoco, the abandoned well. We do oper-17 ate the other six, yes. 18 Is this a unit area that you're operating? Q. 19 A. Yes, sir, this is the Green Creek (sic) 20 Grayburg Unit, Federal Unit. 21 Okay. Now, does Amoco own any shallow ۵ 22 rights here or do they have any shallow operating rights? 23 I believe the breakover point is about Α. 24 4500 feet, if I remember right, Mr. Nutter; however, we 26 do have two shallow Delaware wells, are the only shallow

wells I'm aware of we operate in the northwest quarter of 2 Section 27. 3 And those were previously covered by an ۵ exception. 5 Yes, sir, the water from those wells are A. 6 being disposed of in the pit located in the southeast north-7 east of 27, and at the present time it's very minor. I think 8 we're only disposing of about 8 barrels of water per day. 9 Q. Now that pit there in the southeast of the 10 northeast also services the No. 3 Well, is that it, or that 11 tank battery? 12 It's located at the tank battery, but Well A. 13 No. 3 does not dispose of water into that pit. It's not 14 covered by the exception and it's not producing any water at 15 this time, either. 16 Okay. No. 3 at the present time is making 0. 17 no water. 18 That is correct. Ä. 19 No. 1 makes 170 barrels of water per day? O. 20 Yes, sir, it does. A. 21 No. 10 does not make water? **Q**. 22 No, sir, latest test there's no water. A. 23 Now you mentioned that No. 11 made approxia 24 mately 245 barrels of water on potential and had declined. 25 What amount of water is it currently making?

22

1 The latest test, which was on the 27th of Α. August, showed a gas rate of 1.7=million and water at 114 2 3 barrels per day. No. 2 makes no water. No. 12 makes no 0. water, and No. 13 is still drilling? 5 That is correct. A. And Nos. 2, 11, and 12 are all handled in 7 Q. a battery located at the site of No. 2, correct? 8 9 Yes, sir, in Unit G. A. 10 And so if you had approval for this appli-Q. 11 cation, you would have a pit there at Well No. 2, I presume. 12 Yes, sir. A. 13 By way of information, Mr. Allen, there has Q. 14 recently been an exception to R-3221 granted on your exhibits 15 here which you don't have labeled. This Flag-Redfern lease 16 down here in Section 2. 17 That is in Unit K, sir? We did have one A. 18 for Flag-Redfern which we showed as pending. We have not 19 picked up the order. 20 Well, it has been issued. It has been Q. 21 issued. 22 A. Okay. 23 That was Order No. R-6083 in the southwest Q. quarter of Section 2. MR. NUTTER: Are there any further questions



REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

SALLY WALTON BOY CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORT

Mare (605) 47

I, SALLY W. BOYD, a court reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability from my notes taken at the time of the hearing.

Boyd, C.S.R.

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 6 heard by me on_ Examiner 177 Oll Conservation Division

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 6659 Order No. R-6134

APPLICATION OF AMOCO PRODUCTION COMPANY FOR AN EXCEPTION TO ORDER NO. R-3221. AS AMENDED, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE DIVISION

BY THE DIVISION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on September 19, 1979, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Danicl S. Nutter.

NOW, on this <u>loth</u> day of October, 1979, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That Order (3) of Division Order No. R-3221, as amended, prohibits in that area encompassed by Lea, Eddy, Chaves, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico, the disposal, subject to minor exceptions, of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil or gas, or both, on the surface of the ground, or in any pit, pond, lake, depression, draw, streambed, or arroyo, or in any watercourse, or in any other place or in any manner which would constitute a hazard to any fresh water supplies and said disposal has not previously been prohibited.

(3) That the aforesaid Order No. R-3221 was issued in order to afford reasonable protection against contamination of fresh water supplies designated by the State Engineer through disposal of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil or gas, or both, in unlined surface pits.

(4) That the State Engineer has designated, pursuant to Section 65-3-11 (15), N.M.S.A., 1953 Compilation, all underground water in the State of New Mexico containing 10,000 parts per Case No. 6659 Order No. R-6134

million or less of dissolved solids as fresh water supplies to be afforded reasonable protection against contamination; except that said designation does not include any water for which there is no present or reasonably foreseeable beneficial use that would be impaired by contamination.

(5) That the applicant herein, Amoco Production Company, seeks as an exception to the provisions of the aforesaid Order (3) to permit the disposal of salt water produced by its wells in Sections 27, 34, and 35, Township 18 South, Range 31 East, NMPM, Shugart-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, into unlined surface pits located adjacent to its tank batteries in said Sections 27, 34 and 35.

(6) That there appears to be no shallow fresh water in the vicinity of the subject pits for which a present or reasonably foreseeable beneficial use is or will be made that would be impaired by contamination from the subject pits.

(7) That to prevent the waste of oil and gas in said Shugart-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, authority to dispose of produced water from applicant's wells in the aforesaid Sections 27, 34, and 35 in unlined surface pits on said lands should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant, Amoco Production Company, is hereby granted an exception to Order (3) of Division Order No. R-3221, as amended, to dispose of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil or gas, or both, from its leases in Sections 27, 34, and 35, Township 18 South, Range 31 East, NMPM, Shugart-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, in unlined surface pits located in said Sections 27, 34 and 35.

(2) That the Director of the Division may by administrative order rescind such authority whenever it reasonably appears to the Director that such rescission would serve to protect fresh water supplies from contamination.

(3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

-3-Case No. 6659 Order No. R-6134 DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year herein-above designated. STATE OF NEW MEXICO OLL CONSERVATION DIVISION í JOE D. RAMEY Director SEAL 3.32 få/



STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT **DIL CONSERVATION DIVISION**

BRUCE KING LARRY KEHOE SECRETARY

October 11, 1979

POST OFFICE BOX 2088 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501 (506) 827-2434

Mr. Guy Buell, Attorney Amoco Production Company P. O. Box 3092 Houston, Texas 77001

Re: CASE NO. 6659 ORDER NO. R-6134

Applicant:

Amoco Production Company

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Division order recently entered in the subject case.

Ppurs very truly, M JOE D. RAMEY Director

JDR/fd

Copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCD	X
Artesia OCD	
Aztec OCD	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Other

e	Examiner R	Docket No. 36-79	
	<u>CASE 6658</u> :	Application of Texas Pacific Oil Company, Inc. for an unorthodox well location and proration unit, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, see 160-acre non-standard gas proration unit comprising the S/2 NE/4 and N/2 SE/4 of S ship 24 South, Range 36 East, Jalmat Gas Pool. to be dedicated to its J. W. Cooper an unorthodox location 2010 feet from the North line and 2310 feet from the East 1 Section 14.	ks approval of a ection 14. Town- Well No. 8 at
	<u>CASE 6659</u> :	Application of Amoco Production Company for an exception to Order No. R-3221, Eddy Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to Order No. R-3221 to per produced brine in several unlined surface pits located in Sections 27, 34 and 35, 2 Range 31 East.	rmit disposal of
	<u>CASE 6660</u> :	Application of B. & W. Oil Reclaiming for an oil creating plant permit, Eddy County Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority for the construction and open treating plant for the purpose of treating and reclaiming sediment oil at a site in of Section 34, Township 18 South, Range 26 East.	ration of an oil
	<u>CASE 6661</u> :	Application of LaRue and Muncy for an exception to R-111-A, Eddy County, New Mexico the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to the casing-cementing rules of Order H well to be drilled in Unit C of Section 22, Township 18 South, Range 30 East, Leo C to be cased by setting surface casing at the top of the salt, circulating cement or and omitting the intermediate casing required by R-111-A; applicant further request apply to all of Sections 15 and 22 of said township to permit additional wells to t same manner.	A-111-A to permit a Queen-Crayburg Pool, in the oil string, ts special rules to
	<u>CASE 6662</u> :	Application of Supron Energy Corporation for a dual completion and downhole comming County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the o of its Jicarilla "A" Well No. 22Y located in Unit K of Section 24, Township 26 Nort to produce gas from the Blanco Mesaverde Pool through tubing and to commingle and p Wildhorse Gallup and Basin-Dakota zones through a parallel tubing string.	lual completion
	<u>CASE 6663</u> :	Application of Doyle Hartman for an unorthodox well location and approval of infill County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks a waiver of existing requirements and a finding that the drilling of a well at an unorthodox location 33 South line and 2310 feet from the West line of Section 36, Township 23 South, Range Gas Pool, is necessary to effectively and efficiently drain that portion of the exist unit which cannot be so drained by the existing well.	ng well spacing 10 feet from the 136 East, Jalmat
	<u>CASE 6664</u> :	Application of Doyle Hartman for an unorthodox well location, two non-standard pror approval of infill drilling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-style approval of a 40-acre non-standard proration unit comprising the NW/4 SW/4 of Secti ship 25 South, Range 37 East, Jalmat Pool, to be dedicated to El Paso Natural Gas C Well No. 1, and also a 120-acre unit comprising the E/2 SW/4 and SW/4 SW/4 of said be dedicated to a well to be drilled at an unorthodox location 330 feet from the So of the section; applicant further seeks a waiver of existing well spacing requirement that the drilling of said well is necessary to effectively and efficiently drain the existing provation unit which cannot be so drained by the existing well.	d cause, seeks on 27, Town- company's Harrison Section 27 to buth and West lines onts and a finding
	CASE 6647:	(Continued from September 5, 1979, Examiner Hearing)	
	4-11 ^{- 1}	Application of O. H. Berry for an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mex in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of a Seven ki located 1650 feet from the North line and 330 feet from the East line of Section 15 South, Range 36 East, Jalmat Gas Pool, the NE/4 of said Section 15 to be dedicated	vers well to be , Township 24
	<u>CASE 6665</u> :	Application of Amax Chemical Corporation for the amendment of Order No. R-111-A, Ed Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the amendment of Order No. R-1 the boundaries of the Potash-Oil Area by the inclusion of certain lands in Sections ship 19 South, Range 29 East, and Section 19, Township 19 South, Range 30 East.	11-A to extend
	<u>CASE 6666</u> :	Application of Exxon Corporation for a non-standard protation unit, Eddy County, Ne in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of a 377.57-acre non-standard gas protati Lots 1, 2, 3, and 4 and the N/2 N/2 of Section 36, Township 26 South, Range 25 East 4 and the N/2 NW/4 of Section 31, Township 26 South, Range 26 East, to be dedicated well to be located in Unit A of said Section 36.	on unit comprising , and Lots 3 and
	<u>CASE 6667</u> :	Application of Excon Corporation for a non-standard proration unit, an unorthodox w simultaneous dedication, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled ca approval of a 320-acre non-standard gas proration unit comprising the W/2 of Sectio South, Range 36 East, Eumont Pool, to be simultaneously dedicated to its A. J. Adki located in Unit L, and to its Well No. 2, at an unorthodox location 1650 feet from lines of said Section 10.	use, seeks the n 10, Township 21 ns Com Well No. 1
			•
			بة . -
	n en	ار ورایی این این این این این این این این این	د بر این دارنده میگون باغان از دارد محمود تاریخ مخاط ۱۹۰۰ بر ا
	I		



5 Ø S Ч

n)

J. R. Barnett Regional Engineering Manager

August 30, 1979

File: JCA-986.51TX-3978

Exceptions to Order No. 3221, As Amended Sections 27, 34, and 35; T-18-S, R-31-E Shugart (Pennsylvanian) Field Re: Eddy County, New Mexico

State of New Mexico Energy and Minerals Department 011 Conservation Division P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, NM 87501

Attention: Mr. Joe D. Ramey (3)

Amoco Production Company

Case 9/19

Amoco Production Houston Region 500 Jefferson Building Post Office Box 3092 Houston, Texas 77001 OIL CCNISS CHATIC 1 DIVISION

Gentlemen:

Amoco Production Company requests a hearing for an exception to Order No. 3221, as amended, to allow us to dispose of produced water from existing and future wells located in the NE/4, S/2, and NE/4NW/4 of Sec. 27, all of Sec. 34 and 35, T-18-S, R-31-E, into several unlined surface pits located adjacent to production batteries in aforementioned sections.

Please place this item on the Examiner's Hearing Docket of September 19, 1979. A map of the area is attached. Please direct any questions to Mr. Jim Allen (Phone: (713) 652-5497) or Mr. Keith Bucy (Phone: (713) 652-7238).

Yours very truly.

Barnett

KEB/rw Attachment



J. R. Barnett Regional Engineering Managar

August 30, 1979

File: JCA-986.51TX-3978

Houston Region 500 Jefferson Building Post Office Box 3092 Houston, Texas 77001 ECEIVED

10 . A . A.

Amoco Production Company

OIL CONSTRUCTION

SANTA FE

Re: Exceptions to Order No. 3221, As Amended Sections 27, 34, and 35; T-18-S, R-31-E Shugart (Pennsylvanian) Field Eddy County, New Mexico

State of New Mexico Energy and Minerals Department Ofl Conservation Division P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, NM 87501

Attention: Mr. Joe D, Ramey (3)

Gentlemen:

Amoco Production Company requests a hearing for an exception to Order No. 3221, as amended to allow us to dispose of produced water from existing and future wells located in the NE/4, S/2, and NE/400/4 of Sec. 27, all of Sec. 34 and 35, T-18-5, R-31-E, into several unlined surface pits located adjacent to production batteries in aforementioned sections.

Flease place this item on the Examiner's Hearing Docket of September 19, 1979. A map of the area is attached. Please direct any questions to Mr. Jim Allem (Phone: (713) 652-5497) or Mr. Keith Bucy (Phone: (713) 652-7238).

ORIGINAL SIGNED L. R. BARNETT. BY KEB

KEB/rw Attachment

Í



J. R. Barneti Regional Engineering Manager

August 30, 1979

File: JCA-986.51TX-3978

OIL COMS CATION DIVISION SANTA FE Exceptions to Order No. 3221, As Amended Sections 27, 34, and 35; T-18-S, R-31-E Shugart (Pennsylvanian) Field ile : Eddy County, New Mexico

3.52

State of New Mexico Energy and Minerals Department UTI Conservation Division P. 0. Box 2088 Santa Fe, NM 87501

Attention: Mr. Joe B. Rammy (3)

1. A. 1. 1. 1.

Amoco Production Company

Houston Region 500 Jefferson Building Post Office Box 3092 Houston, Texas 77001

ΞD

0 31010

Gentlemen:

Ameco Production Company requests a hearing for an exception to Order No. 3221, as amended, to allow us to dispose of produced water from existing and future wells located in the NE/4, S/2, and NE/400/4 of Sec. 27, all of Sec. 34 and 35, T-18-S, R-31-E, into several unlined surface pits located adjacent to production batteries in aforementioned sections.

Please place this item on the Examiner's Hearing Docket of September 19, 1979. A map of the area is attached. Please direct any questions to Mr. Jim Allen (Phone: (713) 652-5497) or Mr. Koith Bucy (Phone: (713) 652-5497)

Yours very truly,

W.

ORIGINAL SIGNED J. R. BARNETT BY KEB

KER/W Attach

o . I

ATWOOD, MALONE, MANN & COOTE BCEIVED

LAWYERS

JEFF D. ATWOOD [1883-1960] ROSS L. MALONE [1910-1974]



CHARLES F. MALONE RUSSELL D. MANN PAUL A.COOTER BOB F. TURNER JOHN W. BASESTT ROBERT E. SABIN BRIAN W.COPPLE

RANDAL W. ROBERTS

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION P. C. DRA GANTA FE SECURITY NATIONAL BANK BUILDING ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO 88201 [505] 622-6221

September 12, 1979

Mr. Joe Ramey Secretary-Director Oil Conservation Commission Post Office Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

> RE: Examiner Hearing - Wednesday, September 19, 1979 - Cause No. 6659

Dear Mr. Ramey:

We would appreciate your filing the enclosed Entry of Appearance for Amoco Production Company in Cause No. 6659

Your assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

With best regards,

Very truly yours, 11 Non AN IN Charles F. Malone

CFM:sgs Enc.

cc: Guy Buell, Esquire w/enc.

ECEIVED OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION SANTA FE

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF AMOCO PRODUCTION COMPANY FOR EXCEPTION TO ORDER NO. R-3221, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. ١

No. 6659

ENTRY OF APPEARANCE

The undersigned hereby enter their appearance on behalf of Amoco Production Company with Guy Buell and John Maxwell of Houston, Texas.

ATWOOD, MALONE, MANN & COOTER, P.A.

By Post Office Drawer 700 Roswell, New Mexico 88201

Called in by Jim Allen 8/29/29 amoco Prod. Co. Exception to R-3221 dispose into several unlined surface sits located in NE14, 512, NE14 NW14 of Sec. 2) all of Secs. 34 and 35 T185-R31E Call fin Allen or Keith Bucy 713-652-7238

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ROUGH ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION dr/ IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING: 6659 CASE NO. ORDER NO. R- (134)APPLICATION OF AMOCO PRODUCTION COMPANY FOR AN EXCEPTION TO ORDER NO. R-3221, AS AMENDED, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. ORDER OF THE DIVISION BY THE DIVISION: This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on September 19 1979 , at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel S. Nutter day of , 19 79 , the Division NOW, on this Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises, FINDS: (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject cent foits tack ballances to matter thereof. That the applicant, Pro Auctio h Compan n exception to/Order No. R/3221 produc the owner and operator of -thebrine in several uplined surface pips loca located in Unit 18 South of Section Township East Range NMPM, Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico. That Order (3) of Division Order No. R-3221, as amended, (2) prohibits in that area encompassed by Lea, Eddy, Chaves, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico, the disposal, subject to minor exceptions, of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil or gas, or both, on the surface of the ground, or in any pit, pond, lake, depression, draw, streambed, or arroyo, or in any watercourse, or in any other place or in any manner which would constitute a hazard to any fresh water supplies and said disposal has not previously been prohibited.

(3) That the aforesaid Order No. R-3221 was issued in order to afford reasonable protection against contamination of fresh water supplies designated by the State Engineer through disposal of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil or gas, or both, in unlined surface pits. All Xnul

2.164

(4) That the State Engineer has designated, pursuant to Section 65-3-11 (15), N.M.S.A., 1953 Compilation, all underground water in the State of New Mexico containing 10,000 parts per million or less of dissolved solids as fresh water supplies to be afforded reasonable protection against contamination; except that said designation does not include any water for which there is no present or reasonably foreseeable beneficial use that would be impaired by contamination.

herein, Amoco Phothection Company, (5) That the applicant seeks as an exception to the provisions of the aforesaid Order (3) to permit the disposal of salt its wells in Sections 27, 34, and 35, Township 185 water produced by applicant's above described well into an unlined adjacent to said Sections 27, 34 and 35, by tank batter is surface pitslocated in finite xxxxxx and xand x successful and xxxxxxxxxx

wances approximately _____banvels stater per way.

(•) That there appears to be no shallow fresh water in the vicinity of the subject pit for which a present or reasonably foreseeable beneficial use is or will be made that would be impaired by contamination from the subject pit.

That the nature of the reservoir of sald

such that reinjection of produced

water into said reservoir would result in greater ultimate recovery of oil and gas therefrom thereby preventing waste.

(2) That to prevent the waste of oil and gas in said <u>Shugart Pennsylvanian Gas</u> Pool, permanent authority to dispose of produced water from applicant's wells in the appressid Sec <u>27,34</u> and <u>35</u> in an unlined surface pits on said <u>leave</u> should

be granted.

-2-

(11) That the applicant should be permitted to dispessed of water produced from said _______in ax_____in ax_____in ax_____in ax_____in ax_____in ax_____in ax____in a

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant, <u>Amoco Production Company</u>, is hereby granted an exception to Order (3) of Division Order No. R-3221, as amended, to dispose of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil or gas, or both, from its <u>leases in</u> <u>Sections 27, 34</u>, and <u>Bisted in Unit</u> of Section Township <u>18 Sauth</u>, Range <u>31 East</u>, NMPM, <u>Shugart-Penneghemian Gas Pool</u>, <u>5000</u>, County, New Mexico, in mark unlined surface pitslocated in Unit xxxxxxxof said 27, 34 and 35.

(2) That the Director of the Division may by administrative order rescind such authority whenever it reasonably appears to the Director that such rescission would serve to protect fresh water supplies from contamination.

(3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary. DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.