

CASE NO.

6668

APPIICATION, Transcripts, Small Exhibits,

ETC.



INDEX

EDWARD W. HOOPER

SALLY WALTON BO

Direct Examination by Mr. Coffield

Cross Examination by Mr. Nutter

3.

EXHIBITS

Applicant Exhibit One, Plat Applicant Exhibit Two, Structure Map Applicant Exhibit Three, Cross Section Applicant Exhibit Four, Map

A STATE OF A	the second s	
\sim	1	MR. NUTTER: We'll call next Case 6668.
	2	MR. PADILLA: Application of Delta Drilling
- -	3	Company for pool creation and special pool rules, Eddy
	4	County, New Mexico.
	5	MR. COFFIELD: Conrad Coffield with the
	6	Hinkle law firm in Midland, Texas, appearing on behalf of
	7	the applicant, and I have one witness.
	8	
	9	(Witness sworn,)
	10	
N BO	11	EDWARD W. HOOPER
	12	
	13 13	being called as a witness and having been duly sworn upon
SAL SAL	14	his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:
	15	
	16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	17	BY MR. COFFIELD:
	18	Q. Mr. Hooper, would you please state your
	19	name, address, occupation, and employer?
	20	A. Edward W. Hooper, Midland, Texas. I'm
	21	Exploration Manager for Delta Drilling Corpany in the West
	22	Texas Division.
	23	Q Have you previously testified before the
	24	Division as a geologist?
	25	A. Yes, I have.
	- I - Mar - Soldering	Q And were your qualifications made a

1 matter of record and accepted by the Division? 2 Λ. They were. 3 Q Are you familiar with the application of 4 Delta Drilling Company in this case? 5 A. I am. And are you also familiar with the property ۵ as well as the well location involved? A. I am. MR. COFFIELD: Mr. Examiner, is the wit-10 ness considered qualified? 11 MR. NUTTER: Yes, he is. 12 (Mr. Coffield continuing.) Mr. Hooper, 13 what is it that Delta seeks by this application? 14 Α. Creation of a new Bone Springs oil pool 15 for its South Culibre Bluff Well No. 3, which is located in 16 the southwest quarter of the northeast quarter of Section 17 23, Township 23 South, Range 28 East, and special rules in-18 cluding 80-acre oil well spacing. 19 Please refer to what we've marked as Ex-Q 20 hibit One and explain what this exhibit represents. 21 Exhibit One is a well location and owner-A. 22 ship plat, showing the subject well, the designated 80-acre 23 tract, and also the blocks of acreage that Delta operates 24 jointly with Amoco Production Company. 25

Q

Okay. Let's go on then to Exhibit Two

A Okay. Exhibit Two is a Bone Spring structure map prepared to show the lack of structural closure in the area of interest on the easterly dip; to show that Bone Spring accumulation in this area is primarily a function of stratigraphic entrapment.

The Exhibit Three is a cross section utilizing several of the wells that Delta has drilled, including the subject South Culibre Bluff Unit No. 3 Well, showing the open hole Bone Spring Section that is currently producing oil and gas at the present time.

To show the open hole section is shaded in red on the No. 3 South Culibre Bluff Unit. That is the section that is -- Bone Spring section that is producing in that well.

MR NUTTER: What is the total open hole interval in that well?

A. It's 1700 -- it's from 6244 to 8000 feet.
It's 1730 -- no, 1756 feet. 1756 is the open hole.

MR. NUTTER: Why is there so much open

hole interval in that well?

1

2

3

4

5

8

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

22

24

25

A. We could not identify exactly what is contributing the cil. There's a lot of unknowns in this particular well. There is one zone of porosity about 125 feet below the top of the Bone Spring lime that we feel is contributing oil, but production logs indicated two or three other zones that were contributing oil into the borehole that we could not identify on any of the porosity logs. So that's why we sought to open hole complete this well. MR. NUTTER: Did you know you had Bone

Spring production here before you drilled the well? A. We suspected it from the blowout well that's just immediately -- 300 feet immediately east of this well.

MR. NUTTER: That's what I was going to ask you next. This is on the same 40 as the blowout, isn't

Correct.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

145 14

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

λ

it?

MR. NUTTER: And that well encountered a lot of porosity, et cetera, in the Bone Springs.

A Well, we could not identify it as such. The analysis, chemical analysis, of the crude indicated that it was Permian and probably Bone Spring oil.

MR. NUTTER: But you weren't --

Ne were not sure when we drilled this
well exactly where it was.

MR NUTTER: I see.

We just suspected that it was Bone Springs. MR. NUTTER: Well, were drill stem tests

6_____

made up and down throughout this ---

A.

A.

A.

There was

Correct.

MR. NUTTER: --- open hole interval? A. There was one drill stem test conducted in the No. 3 Well from 6330 to 6440, and all we recovered on that was 4000 feet of water, which turned out to be filtrate water. It was deeply invaded. And that's the zone that's shaded on the electric log right below the casing shoe at 6244 in the No. 3 Well.

MR. NUTTER: Oh, that purple shading in

there?

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NUTTER: It just seems unusual that you have 1756 feet of open hole in a well. I wonder why the casing is set so high and the well drilled so deep.

A Well, the engineers wanted to open hole complete this because, as I previously said, they weren't sure where the oil was coming from.

MR. NUTTER: Now the blowout in the adjacent well was from the Atoka formation, wasn't it?

Correct, an Atoka sand.

MR. NUTTER: And this well didn't penetrate anywhere near that formation, did it?

A. No, this well was bottomed at 8000 feet in the Bone Spring.

Page

MR. NUTTER: It's not a plug backed up,

then.

2

3

5

ß

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

A.

A.

No.

MR. NUTTER: Okay, go ahead.

That is the total depth.

Q. Let's go to Exhibit Four, then, Mr. Hooper. Would you explain what this exhibit is?

A Exhibit Four is a field -- Bone Spring Field location map showing the current location of oil and gas fields that are producing from the Bone Spring sequence. And of these fields that are shown here, where there is a designation that was given initially, spacing designation, seven of these wells is so notated on there, either 80 acres or 160-acre spacing was granted to these fields initially.

It also shows by a black arrow the location of the South Culibre Bluff area in relationship to the producing Bone Spring area.

Q Have you compared the characteristics of the South Culibre Bluff Unit Well No. 3 with these other Bone Springs wells in the other pools where 80-acre spacing was established?

A. Correct, and nearly, I'd say, 95 to 98 percent of the other fields the entrapment of oil or gas is primarily stratigraphic. In our case, even though there is a lot we do not know about the Bone Spring in the South

ALLY WALTON BOYI 17 FED SHORTHAND REPORTE 17 FED SHORTHAND REPORTE 17 FED SHORA (5.6.1) 471-341 18 FEA PA, New Maridoo 57361 Culibre Bluff area, the characteristics of the oil, we have a high energy oil. It's a gas saturated oil at bottom hole conditions. Also, the productivity leads us to believe that this well will drain a larger area, and our production to date, we have produced 3,512 barrels of oil. That was through September the 18th. The current production in that well is now -- it is pumping 65 to 85 barrels of oil per day plus 100 to 130 Mcf gas per day.

Q Well, generally do you believe the characteristics of these other Bone Springs pools which have been granted 80 acres spacing compare favorably with the characteristics of the subject well?

I do.

A.

A.

1

2

3

5

8

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

And is it your opinion, then, Mr. Hooper, from well data now available, that this South Culibre Bluff Unit No. 3 Well will adequately drain 80 acres?

I do.

Accordingly then, I trust, you are recommending that 80-acre spacing be allocated to this pool?

A. I am.

Q. Do you have any recommendation to make as to well location requirements or other requirements which the Division might establish for the pool which you seek to have established?

Yes. We are currently drilling the No. 4

1 South Culibre Bluff Unit in the northeast northeast of 23. 2 That's located on those maps that you have. So that 80-acre 3 spacing, we could put it on an alternate basis on those 4 stand-up 80s. 5 MR. NUTTER: That well is a northeast 8 diagonal offset to the subject well? 7 Correct, and we should be coring the A. 8 Upper Bone Spring limestone in that well today. 9 Q. So you'd recommend the diagonal offset 10 pattern? 11 Yes. A. 12 What recommendation do you have, if any, Q 13 as to the name for this Bone Springs Pool? 14 I would name it the South Culibre Bluff Ã, 15 Bone Spring Pool. 16 Mr. Hooper, where these Exhibits One Q 17 through Four prepared by you or under your supervision? 18 They were. A. 19 And in your opinion will the approval of Q. 20 this application be in the interest of conservation and 21 otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights? 22 I think it will. A 23 MR. COFFIELD: Mr Examiner, I move the 24 admission of Exhibits One through Four. 25 MR NUTTER: Delta Exhibits One through

Four will be admitted in evidence.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NUTTER:

1

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Mr. Hooper, has any calculation been made from the logs of the well as to the permeability or have any productivity tests in the Bone Spring --- productivity index tests, or anything, been conducted on the well to establish what kind of permeability you've got?

A. No, Mr. Commissioner, the only thing we-where we do have porosity indications the porosity range is from 8 to 12 percent and some preliminary calculations using a water saturation 30 percent computation, we're estimating approximately 60,000 barrels of oil, recoverable oil, on an 80-acre spacing.

Q. But you haven't made any determinations as to the permeability of the formation, whether it will drain that 80 acres or not.

A No, other than the fact that the high energy crude and the productivity of the well that we have now. The only other thing I might offer in evidence would be the fact that after producing 1993 barrels of oil we took a 72-hour pressure buildup test on August -- from August the 9th through the 12th and extrapolated that pressure out to 2997.

I WALTON BOYD ED SHORTHAND REPORTER UN BLUER (11) 411-4143

1 The pressure determination from the drill 2 stem test back in February extrapolated out to 2984, so 3 there was little or no pressure decline. We realize that's only approximately 3 percent of a, say, 60,000 barrel recovery,, but it was encouraging to us that there was no decline in 5 R the bottom hole pressure. 7 Now let me get this right. Your drill 0. 8 stem test pressure extrapolated to 2984. 9 Ā. Correct. 10 And after producing how many barrels, 19 --Q. 11 1993 stock tank barrels of oil, we extra-A. 12 polated the static pressure to 2997. 13 After 72-hour buildup. Q. 14 72-hour buildup, correct. A. 15 Now are you suggesting, Mr. Hooper, that ۵ 16 the rules for this pool would provide for alternative loca-17 tions insofar as the diagonal spacing is concerned or are 18 you just proposing 80-acre spacing with the option to drill 19 the well in either end of the 80? 20 Well, I don't know what the lease deter-A. 21 mination would -- what problems we would run into there. I 22 would like some flexibility to perhaps drill it on either 23 end of the 80. 24 MR. NUTTER: Are there any further ques-25 tions of Mr. Hooper? He may be excused.



•



/ .

VALTON

SALLY

I, SALLY W. BOYD, a court reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability from my notes taken at the time of the hearing.

I do hereby cashing that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Fase No. 646 heard by me on , Examiner Oil Conservation Division

Sally W. BOYG C.B.R.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS CEPARTMENT DIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING Called by the oil conservation Division for the purpose of Considering:

> CASE NO. 6668 Order No. R-6139-A

IN THE MATTER OF CASE 6668 BEING REOPENED PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF ORDER NO. R-6139, WHICH ORDER ESTABLISHED SPECIAL RULES AND REGULA-TIONS FOR THE SOUTH CULEBRA BLUFF-BONE SPRING: POOL, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, INCLUDING A PROVISION FOR BO-ACRE PRORATION UNITS.

ORDER OF THE DIVISION

BY THE DIVISION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on December 10, 1980, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Richard L. Stamets.

NOW, on this <u>17th</u> day of December, 1980, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That by Order No. R-6139, dated October 12, 1979, temporary special rules and regulations were presulgated for the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, establishing temporary 80-acre spacing units.

(3) That pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-6139, this case was reopened to allow the operators in the subject pool to appear and show cause why the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool should not be developed on 40-acre spacing units.

(4) That the evidence presently available is insufficient o clearly establish that one well in the South Culebra Bluff-2-Case No. 6668 Order No. R-6139-A

11

Bone Spring Pool can efficiently and aconomically drain and develop 80 acres.

(5) That the operators in the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool should continue to conduct tests and gather data for the purpose of establishing the most efficient and economic spacing pattern for said pool.

(6) That such evidence should be submitted to the Director of the Oil Conservation Division on or before July 1, 2082.

(7) That in order to prevent the economic loss caused by the drilling of unnecessary wells, to avoid the augmentation of risk arising from the drilling of an excessive number of wells, to prevent reduced recovery which might result from the drilling of too few wells, and to otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights, the Special Rules and Regulations promulgated by Order No. R-6139 should be continued in full force and effect until further order of the Division.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the Special Rules and Regulations governing the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, promulgated by Order No. R-6139, are hereby continued in full force and effect until further order of the Division.

(2) That the operators in said pool shall continue to conduct tests and gather data to establish the most efficient and economic spacing pattern for the pool and submit such data to the Director of the Oil Conservation Division on or before July 1, 1982.

(3) That upon failure to submit such date or the submittal of insufficient or inconclusive data this matter shall again be set for hearing before the Division.

(4) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year herein-



STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION JOE D. RAHEY Director

1 1 2 STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT 3 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG. 4 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 10 December 1980 5 EXAMINER HEARING 6 7 IN THE MATTER OF: 8 Case 6668 being reopened pursuant to) CASE 9 the provisions of Order No. R-6139. 6668 10 and 11 Application of Delta Drilling Company) CASE for pool extension, Eddy County, New) 7092 12 Mexico. 13 14 BEFORE: Richard L. Stamets 15 16 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 17 18 APPEARANCES 19 20 For the Oil Conservation Ernest L. Padilla, Esq. Legal Counsel to the Division Division: 21 State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 22 23 Conrad Coffield, Esq. For the Applicant: 24 HINKLE LAW FIRM Midland, Texas 25

		P		
	1		2	
	2	INDEX		
	3			
	4	WHIT COPE	<i>Ţ</i>	
	5	Direct Examination by Mr. Coffield	4	
-	6	Cross Examination by Mr. Stamets	8	
	7			
<u>-</u>	8	DON L. CROZIER		
	9	Direct Examination by Mr. Coffield	3.1	
х Х	10	Cross Examination by Mr. Stamets	17	
	11			
	12			
	13			
	. 14 .	Е <u>Х. Ц. Т. В. Т. л. S</u> .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	15			•
	16	Applicant Exhibit One, Plat	5	
	17	Applicant Exhibit Two, Map	6	
	18	Applicant Exhibit Three, Cross Section	7	
	19	Applicant Exhibit Four, Tabulation	13	
	20	Applicant Exhibit Five, Structure Map	13	
	21	Applicant Exhibit Six, Pressure data	14	
	22	Applicant Exhibit Seven, Graph	15	
	23			
	24		1	
	25		:	

more consider

3 1 MR. STAMETS: We'll call next Case 6668. 2 MR. PADILLA: In the matter of Case 6668 3 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-6139, 4 which order promulgated temporary special rules and regula-5 tions for the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool in Eddy 6 County, New Mexico, including a provision for 80-acre spacing 7 units. 8 MR. COFFIELD: Conrad Coffield with the 9 Hinkle Law Firm of Midland, Texas, appearing on behalf of 10 Delta Drilling Company, an operator in this particular area. 11 And if the Examiner please, I would point 12 out the fact that the next case on the docket is a case in 13 which Delta Drilling Company is the applicant, relating to 14 pool extension in the very same area, and much of the testimony 15 and exhibits would be identical. We would therefor respect-16 fully request the two cases be consolidated for hearing. 17 MR. STAMETS: If there is no objection, 18 we will call Case 7092 and consolidate them for purposes of 19 testimony. 20 MR. PADILLA: Application of Delta 21 Drilling Company for pool extension, Eddy County, New Mexico. 22 MR. COFFIELD: I have two witnesses to 23 be sworn. 24 (Witnesses sworn.) 25

4 1 2 WHIT COPE 3 being called as a witness and having been duly sworn upon his 4 oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 5 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. COFFIELD: 8 Mr. Cope, for the record would you please 9 Q. state your name, address, occupation, and employer? 10 My name is Whit Cope. I reside in Mid-A. 11 land, Texas. I am a geologist with Delta Drilling Company. 12 Have you previously testified before 13 Q. the Division as a geologist? 14 No, I have not. 15 . A. Would you give the Examiner a brief re-16 0. 17 sume of your educational background and work experience as 18 a geologist? 19 I have a BS degree in geology from the A. 20 University of Texas at Austin. I received that degree in December of '77. I've worked for two years, from December 21 of '77 to December of '79 for CORE Laboratories as a hydro-22 carbon well logger; have been with Delta as a geologist since 23 December, '79. 24 Mr. Cope, are you familiar with the ap-25 Q.

5 1 plication in Case No. 7092 and the matters with which we are 2 concerned in the Case 6668? 3 A. Yes, I am. Ł 0. And have you familiarized yourself with 5 the properties involved in these particular cases? 6 Ă. Yes, I have. 7 MR. COFFIELD: Do you have any other 8 questions of Mr. Kope? 9 MR. STAMETS: No. The witness is con-10 sidered qualified. 11 Mr. Cope, would you please state what it 0. 12 is that is covered here in these two cases and what it is 13 particularly, of course, that the applicant seeks in connection 14 with Case 7092? 15 In Case 6668 Delta seeks retention of A. 16 the 80-acre spacing in our -- in the South Culebra Bluff-17 Bone Spring Pool in Eddy County, New Mexico. 18 In Case 7092 we seek extension of the 19 South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool to include all of Sections 20 2, 11, 13, 14, 23, 24, in Township 23 South, Range 28 East, 21 of Eddy County, New Mexico. 22 0. Okay, Mr. Cope, please refer now to what 23 we've marked as Exhibit One and describe what it is that ex-24 hibit shows, represents? 25

6 1 2 Exhibit One is a land plat, showing well Α. locations and lease blocks which Delta operates jointly with 3 4 Amoco Production Company. 5 The yellow cross hatching indicates the 6 sections that Delta wishes included in the extension of the 7 Bone Spring-South Culebra Bluff Pool. 8 That's pretty much it. 9 0. All right, let's go then to next Exhibit 10 Two and explain that exhibit. 11 Exhibit Two is a structure map on top of A. 12 the uppermost producing zone in the Bone Springs interval. 13 We have designated this upper producing zone, D zone, or 14 Delta zone, but that's strictly inhouse. It's not an industry 15 wide designation 16 The yellow line connecting the wells is 17 the cross section line for my next exhibit. 18 The primary objective of this exhibit is 19 to show that there is no structural closure in the area in 20 the Bone Springs. 21 Is there any particular feature on that Q. 22 exhibit that would lead you -- lead one to that immediate 23 conclusion? 24 It has a -- the structure is pretty much A. 25 a general dip to the east without --- a few slight structural

noses but no -- no closure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

Q. Okay, let's go now to Exhibit Three and describe that exhibit.

7

A. Exhibit Three is a north/south cross section following the line shown on Exhibit Two.

The structure map of the previous exhibit, was mapped on the zone that we have colored in yellow, right beneath the marker First Bone Spring Lime.

All the other beds marked across these logs are -- are to show reservoir continuity across the acreage in question.

The red or orange coloring in certain flares indicates perforations in the individual walles. The shows, the producing intervals, are very scattered throughout the whole Bone Springs interval, with the D zone being the uppermost producing zone.

Now, Mr. Cope, from this data and the **Q**. projections that you have made as a consequence of looking at the well control here in the area, is it your expert opin-21 ion, then, that the sections that are included within the application relative to Case Number 7092 indeed should logi-22 cally be included within the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool?

A.

Yes, in my opinion they should be.

1	8
2	Q. Is there anything further that you want
3	to add to your testimony on this subject?
4	A. I believe that's it.
5.	Q. Okay. Were these Exhibits One through
6	Three prepared by you or under your supervision?
7	A. Yes, they were.
8	Q. In your opinion will the approval of
9	this application relative to 7092, specifically, and the con-
10	tinuance continuation of the special pool rules in Case
11	6668, prevent the drilling of unnecessary wells and otherwise
12	prevent waste and protect correlative rights?
13	A. Yes, I do.
14	MR. COFFIELD: Mr. Examiner, I move the
15	admission of Exhibits One, Two, Three.
16	MR. STAMETS: These exhibits will be
17	admitted.
18	
19	CROSS EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. STAMETS:
21	Q. Turning to Exhibit Number Three, it would
22	appear as though there are only two wells producing out of
23	the Bone Springs, is that correct?
24	A. Yes, sir.
25	MR. STAMETS: Yeah, that's right, two.

1		9
2	Α.	One, two, three, yes, sir.
3	Q	Okay, and reading from top to bottom on
4	Exhibit Number Two,	then, that's the third well and the
5	А.	I beg your pardon, second well from the
6	top.	
7	Q	From the top. Well, the red blocks on
8	my Exhibit Number T	hree are in the third well from the left.
9	А.	Yes. Well, the second one from the left
10	is open hole produc	tion out of that.
11	Q.	I see, so
12	А.	Or it had been previously. We are now
13	in the process of c	asing that off and will selectively treat
14	zones similar to the	ose that are shown on the Number one.
15	Q.	Okay, so the second well is an open hole
16	producer.	
17	А.	Yes. The Number one, these are it's
18	not indicated on the	is, but these are proposed perforations
19	for that well, whic	n is depleting in the Lower Atoka. That
20	that is where we in	tend to come up-hole and
21	Q.	Okay, so that
22	А.	The only ones
23	Q.	third well, then, is not producing
24	A.	Not presently.
		but you do intend to recomplete.

A PR Y

1

ana se un

10 Î The second and fifth wells are producing. A. 2 Or have produced from the Bone Springs interval. 3 Q. The fifth well, now that's the South Culebra Bluff No. 3. 5 A. Yes, sir, that is the discovery well, tod. ó Okay, and that's open hole? 0. 7 Yes, sir. A. 8 Producer. All right, and then the sixth Q. 9 well, you show some red markings on that. 10 Okay, that is not -- that is shown to A. 11 be producing. It's not a Delta well, but it is, from the 12 indications we've gotten, is producing from the Bone Springs. 13 Okay. Ũ. 14 It's a recent recompletion in that in-15 terval. 16 In your analysis, do you see continuity Q. 17 of production in this area, or is what we're seeing here iso-18 lated stringers of Bone Spring production being picked up by 19 these wells? 20 I believe that the continuity is best A. 21 shown in our designated D sand. It is producing or is shown 22 potential in the Amoco Federal 11-3, South Culebra -3, but 23 admittedly, the production in the Bone Springs is fairly 24 scattered throughout the whole interval. 25

and the second

___**₽**__

11 2 Q. Now, do you see anything on the logs which would indicate to you that these zones could be produc-3 tive in the Amoco "GO" Gas Com No. 1, that's in Section 2? 4 5 A. Yes, sir. It is the --- the D zone is comparable to that in the Amoco Federal 11-3 and the South ú 7 Culebra Bluff No. 3. 8 And we also believe it has potential in 9 the Queen B, although it is not presently perforated. 10 0, And the basic thrust of your testimony then is to show that the formations are continuous across the 11 12 area and geologically could be considered the single unit. 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 Okay. Q. 15 MR. STAMETS: Any other questions of 16 this witness? He may be excused. 17 18 DON L. CROZIER 19 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath, 20 testified as follows, to-wit: 21 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. COFFIELD: 24 Mr. Crozier, for the record, would you Ω. **2**5 please state your name, address, occupation and employer?

12 1 2 Okay. My name is Don L. Crozier. I A. 3 reside out of Tyler, Texas. I work as Coordinator of Reservoir 4 Engineering in the Corporate Engineering and Research Group of Delta Drilling. 5 Have you previously testified before the 0. 6 Division as a petroleum engineer? 7 No, sir, I have not. 8 A. Then for the record would you give a 0. 10 brief resume of your educational background and work experience 11 as a petroleum engineer? I received a Bachelor of Science degree 12 13 in petroleum engineering out of the University of Tulsa in 14 1952, and then obtained a Master's degree in petroleum en-15 gineering in 1972. 16 I've got eighteen years of experience, 17 eight years with Amerada Hess in production and reservoir 18 work; three years in reservoir engineering with Energy Re-19 serves, or formerly Clinton Oil; and three years with Koch 20 Exploration; one year as projects engineer with American Petrofina; one year as District Engineer, East Texas District 21 22 for Ensearch; and two years on reservoir duty with Delta 23 Drilling. 24 Mr. Crozier, have you familiarized your-Q. self with the application of Delta in Case 7092 and also the 25

	p 	
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13
2	matters relating to	Case 6668?
3	A.	Yes, sir, I have.
4	Q.	And have you made a study of the proper-
5	ties involved and t	he location
6	А.	Yes, sir, I have.
7	Q	with which we're concerned?
8	А.	Yes, sir.
9		MR. COFFIELD: Any questions of the wit-
10	ness?	
11		MR. STAMETS: The witness is considered
12	qualified.	
13	Ç.	Mr. Crozier, would yourrefer to what
14	we've marked as Exh	ibit Four and describe that?
15	А.	Okay. Exhibit Four is a tabulation
16	showing the status	of development drilling thus far in the
17	Bone Springs. It r	eflects the productivity we've obtained
18	from the Bone Sprin	gs wells to date. It shows testing through-
19	out a number of zon	es, giving the perforated interval and the
20	rates that we've ga	ined, our latest production test data.
21	Q.	Okay, let's go on now to our Exhibit
22	Five.	
23	Α.	Okay.
24		And describe that exhibit, please.
25	A.	Okay. Exhibit Five indicates a contin-
_		

uous relatively thin sand with ---

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

ZÁ

25

Q Excuse me, Mr. Crozier. First of all for the record this is a map.

14

A. Okay, this is a --- this is actually a copy of a structure map that was previously introduced, showing the area in question that we're proposing to extend the field to, and showing the various wells in the area.

Okay, there is a thickness with a foot sign shown beside the majority of the wells, based on work accomplished under me by our log analyst, in which we have shown the thickness for the first Bone Springs interval to show it pretty well continuous over this overall area.

Q. Now let's go on to Exhibit Number Six and describe that and indicate what that represents.

A. Okay. Exhibit Six is a pressure buildup data that we have obtained in the field to date. The first build-up was a pressure build-up taken in the South Culebra Bluff Unit No. 3, a 72-hour build-up in which extrapolated pressure of 2997 was obtained. This was after approximately 1993 barrels had been produced from the Bone Springs The second build-up was very, very re-

cently obtained, 11-1980, and again, an extrapolated static build-up was obtained in this well, and it showed a pressure of 2765. Both of these two wells at approximately the same

1	15
2	datum.
3	Now, this, after a cum production from
4	the No. 3 of 26,000 barrels, shows a pressure drop of 232 psi,
5	and to us appears to indicate a communication of the Bone
6	Springs across between Well No. 3 and Well No. 4, both in
7	the northeast quarter. They're both presently on 80's,
8	standup 80's in the northeast quarter.
9	The two wells are approximately 2000
10	feet apart. If you were to take and just make a radius
11	around the No. 3 Well of 2000 foot, it would give you a
12	drainage area or excuse me, just an area of 280 acres.
13	That's all.
14	Q. Then let's go to Exhibit Seven and de-
15	scribe that, please.
16	A. Exhibit Seven is a production performance
17	graph for this South Culebra Bluff Unit Well No. 3. It shows
18	a relatively moderate to flat decline with a present rate of
19	around 50 barrels of oil per day. It tends to show a pro-
20	ducing capacity, the permeability of the Bone Springs, to
21	actually drain an 80-acre area.
22	Q Have you been in contact or in consul-
23	tation with any of the other operators in this general area
24	relative to Bone Springs spacing, the 80-acre question?
25	A. Yes, sir. I contacted Amoco engineers

÷2,

С., съ.

, · ·

statistika (* 1875)

1

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · [
	1	16
	2	out of Houston and asked what spacing they would desire in
	3	the area. They said they would like 80-acre spacing and felt
	4	like 80-acre spacing would effectively drain the Bone Spring.
	5	Q. Okay, do you have anything further to
	6	add to your testimony, Mr. Crozier?
	7	A. Just one minute.
	8	Q. All right.
	9	A. The maps that you have seen show a number
	10	of proposed locations for Bone Springs. These are strictly
	11	Delta proposed locations, and Delta expects, as previously
	12	mentioned, to take advantage as Strawn and other zones deplete,
$\overline{}$	13	to come up hole and to perforate the Bone Springs and produce
	14	in it; to fill in the area.
	15	Q. Were Exhibits Four through Seven prepared
	16	by you or under your supervision?
	17	A. Yes, sir, they were.
	18	Q. In your opinion will the approval of
	19	Delta's application and Delta's position in connection with
	20	particularly with Case 6668, prevent the drilling of unnec-
	21	cesary wells and otherwise prevent waste and protect corre-
	22	lative rights?
	23	A. Yes, sir.
	24	MR. COFFIELD: We move the admission of
	25	Exhibits Four through Seven.
	L	

 $\cdot \mathbf{k}$

	1	17				
	2	MR. STAMETS: These exhibits will be				
	3	admitted.				
	4					
	5	CROSS-EXAMINATION				
	6	BY MR. STAMETS:				
	7	Q Mr. Crozier, what type of well costs				
	8	are we looking at drilling these Bone Springs wells?				
	9	A. Well costs in this area do you mind				
	10	if I verify our cost to be sure we're				
	11	Q. You bet.				
	12	A. I'm thinking okay, the testing pro-				
	13	cedures we have undergone to try to determine if various				
	14	Bone Springs intervals will produce it's been costing				
15		\$500,000 per well. I think gradually, as we do not test some				
	16	intervals, find them to be non-commercial, it will be reduced				
	17	down to \$350,000, to \$400,000, in this bracket.				
	18	Q. What type of reserves do you feel you				
19		are producable reserves do you feel that you're developing				
	20	with these wells?				
	21	A. Okay. I can only speak of SCB or				
·	22	South Culebra Bluff No. 3, primarily, as far as history is				
• •	23	concerned. It has a cum of 25,365 barrels as of 11-1-80.				
- · · · ·	24	Extrapolating reserves, using the performance graph shown,				
	25	would yield 104,000 additional, or an ultimate of 129,000				

65

Γ

	1		18					
	2	barrels, approxima	tely.					
	3	Q.	Does that well appear to be better than					
	4	the rest of them,	an average well, or can you tell at this					
	5	point?						
	6	А.	I feel like it is better than than					
	7	the others. Now,	in the status of development drilling that's					
	8	provided Exhibit F	our, for example, the South Culebra Bluff					
	9	No. 4, operated by	Delta, has shown an initial rate of 108					
	10	barrels. It's onl	barrels. It's only been on a short time and I noticed on a					
	11	drilling report it	was showing at 60 barrels per day, in this					
	12	line presently.						
$\overline{\bigcirc}$	13		In your opinion is this pool still under-					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- 14	going development	at this time?					
	15	А.	Definitely, sir.					
	16	Q.	And do you feel that there is considerable					
	17	knowledge to be ga	ined in the next couple of years through					
	18	drilling and produ	ction?					
•	19	А.	Yes, sir, I do.					
	20	Q.	Would Delta have any problem with con-					
	21	tinued application	of these pool rules for a year or two,					
	22	some periodic reporting reservoir data to show that this is						
	23	continued efficien	t and economic development of the reservoir					
	24	A.	I think Delta would not object.					
	25	Q.	I think we can do this without a hearing,					

i posso de Aldrewske dan bo

2 1
-	
1	19
	as well.
2	
3	A. That would be good. I think, you know,
····· 4	with a period of two years versus one year, we will have
5	gained enough actual drilling, as well as history, to help.
6	Now one year, by the time you do your drilling and you get
7	insignificant production history, but over a two-year period
8	we'd gain quite a good bit in this particular area.
9	Q Okay. What's the drive mechanism for
10	this reservoir?
11	A. It's depletion drive solution gas, we
12	feel. Now, generally the Bone Springs has a solution GOR of
13	approximately 800 cubic feet per barrel and as shown, for
14	example, on the South Culebra Bluff No. 4, it shows 1843, or
15	pretty pretty high GOR, or rather an increase over solu-
The Multiple Charles of the Charles	tion.
17	Q. All right.
18	MR. STAMETS: Any questions of this
19	witness? He may be excused.
20	Anything further in this case? These
21	cases, I guess.
22	We will take them under advisement, then.
23 24 25	
24	(Hearing concluded.)
25	
20 21 22 23 24	Anything further in this case? These cases, I guess. We will take them under advisement, then

and a the second se

CERTIFICATE

g

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREPY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Solly W. Boyd C.S.R.

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete reneral of the proceedings in the Exerciser inserting of Gase No. 6665 heard by me on 12-Laur Examiner Trebuild Oil Conservation Division

		 			le Completion	NA - Not Available O.H Open Hole Com		•			
	ı	t	L			6274–6588	Preparing to Frac Bone Spring	NE/4 Section 27	27-1 - :row)	Pardue Farms (Formerly pro- duced from Morrow)	
	1600	منو منو	20			6406-6676	Testing Bone Spring	NW/4 Section 26	1-в	y Pardue Farms	Maddox Energy
		1	l I		1 1	8820-8842	Testing Bone Spring	NW/- Section 24	Bluff 6	South Culebra	
	1843	Load Water	108		1,012	6362-6396	Testing Bone Spring	NE/4 Section 23	Bluff 4	South Culebra	
	1391	1	50		22,498	6345-8000 O.H.	Pumping	NE/4 Section 23	Bluff 3	South Culebra	
		I			^ 1	ı	Preparing to run liner and test Bone Spring	NE/4 Section 11	ω	Amoco Federal	Delta
	NA		Ci		3,256	9600–9732	Pumping	NE/4 Section 22	ц	Ingalls	
	NA	8	17	n sina	1,264	6336-6742	Shut - in	SE/4 Section 24	1-B	Brantley	Атосо
ť	ing Rate GOR, CFPB	st Producing Water, BPD	Latest Oil, BPD	Produced 1, 1980	Cummulative Oil P Effective Sept. 1 barrels	Interval Perforated or Open Hole Section, feet	Status	Location	Well Number	Lease	Operator
			а. Т			DRILLING EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 1980 BLUFF-BONE SPRING FIELD SOUTH, RANGE 28 EAST JNTY, NEW MEXICO	DEVELOPMENT DUTH CULEBRA TOWNSHIP 2.3 EDDY COL	STATUS OF		Charles and the Constant of th	
		BARLETT NO.							STANTS!		-
	- 2		ja 1 Setter statistica setter se	an Managanakan din Sarahan	a a substance of the subst Substance of the substance				- and - and		

τ.

Arres :





ar¥n ≦. Siri

•



Pro					
EXERCY AUD MIRERALE DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLOG. SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 25 November 1980 4 EXAMINER HEARING 4 EXAMINER HEARING 5 10 11 12 12 13 14 15 16 16 16 16 17 17 18 19 19 19 10 10 12 10 12 10 12 10 12 12 13 14 14 15 15 16 16 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10				Page	<u> </u>
5 6 1N THE MATTER OF: 7 Case 6668 being reopened pursuant to) the provisions of Order No. R-6139. 6668 9 10 BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 10 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 10 10 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		1 2 3	ENERGY AND OIL CONSE STATE LA SANTA F	MINERALS DEPARTMEN' RVATION DIVISION ND OFFICE BLDG. E, NEW MEXICO	ሮ -
7 8 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		• 	EXAMIN	ER HEARING	an an an an
<pre>s the provisions of Order No. R-6139.) CASE } 1 Chast 1</pre>		IN THE MATTER	OF:)	
10 BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter 11 11 12 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARINC 13 A P P E A R A N C E S 16 For the Oil Conservation Division: Ernest L. Padilla, Esq. Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 19 For the Applicant: 20 For the Applicant:		the j	6668 being reor provisions of Or	pened pursuant to) der No. R-6139.)	CASE 6668
12 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 13 14 14 A P P E A R A N C E S 15 16 16 For the Oil Conservation Division: 17 Division: 18 State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 19 20 20 For the Applicant: 21 22 22 24			1 S. Nutter		
13 13 14 APPEARANCES 15 16 16 17 17 Division: 18 State Land Office Bldg. 19 20 20 For the Applicant: 21 22 23 24					
15 APPEARANCES 16 For the Oil Conservation Division: Ernest L. Padilla, Esq. 17 Division: Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg. 18 Santa Fe, New Mexico 37501 19 20 20 For the Applicant: 21 23 23 24	🖄 and 🏹 chi 161 💷 company.	en e	TRANSCRIP	T OF HEARING	an an an an taon an Sa
17 For the Oil Conservation Ernest L. Padilla, Esq. 18 Division: Legal Counsel to the Division 18 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 19 20 20 For the Applicant: 21 21 22 23 24 24	-		АРРЕА	RANCES	
20 For the Applicant: 21 22 23 24	17		nservation	Legal Counsel to State Land Office	the Divisio Bldg.
	20	For the Applic	ant:		
24					
		te 1 Constant de la constant de l		an An ann an Anna an Anna An an Anna an A	n an
	7	•			

••

6668.

MR. NUTTER: We'll call next Case Number

MR. PADILLA: In the matter of Case 6668 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order Number R-6139, which order promulgated temporary special rules and regulations for the South Culebre Bluff-Bone Springs Pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, including a provision for 80-acre spacing units.

Mr. Examiner, the applicant has requested this case be continued to December 10th.

MR. NUTTER: Case Number 6668 will be continued to the Examiner Hearing scheduled to be held at this same place at 9:00 o'clock a.m. December 10th, 1980.

(Hearing concluded.)

CERTIFICATE

1

2

3

5

R

7

8

a

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREPY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sacey W. Boys C.S.R.

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is arroughters in a constitue a s 6668 0. \$10⁻¹ 80_ 125 beard by , Examiner in Oil Conservation Division

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT 2 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG. SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 3 25 November 1980 4 EXAMINER HEARING 5 6 IN THE MATTER OF: 7 Case 6668 being reopened pursuant to) the provisions of Order No. R-6139.) CASE 8) 6668 9 BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter 10 11 12 or en la Strategie en andre Stat TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 13 14 APPEARANCES 15 16 For the Oil Conservation Ernest L. Padilla, Esq. 17 Legal Counsel to the Division Division: State Land Office Bldg. 18 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 19 20 For the Applicant: 21 22 23 24 25

6668.

5.

MR. PADILLA: In the matter of Case 6668 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order Number R-6139, which order promulgated temporary special rules and regulations for the South Culebre Bluff-Bone Springs Pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, including a provision for 80-acre spacing units.

MR. NUTTER: We'll call next Case Number

Mr. Examiner the applicant has requested this case be continued to December 10th.

MR. NUTTER: Case Number 6668 will be continued to the Examiner Hearing scheduled to be held at this same place at 9:00 o'clock a. m. December 10th, 1980.

(Hearing concluded.)

CERTIFICATE

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ó

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREPY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Shally to Bayd C.S.R.

I do hereby carther that the foregoing is a complete construit of the proceedings in the : 11/25 1980. nemi tran Oil Conservation Division , Examiner



LARRY KEHOE

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

UL CONSERVATION DIVISION

December 19, 1980

POST OFFICE BOX 2008 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING BANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501 (505) 827-2434

Re: CASE NO. 5669 ORDER NO. R-6139 Mr. Conrad E. Coffield Hinkle, Cox, Eaton, Coffield . & Hensley Attorneys at Law P. O., Box 3580 Applicant: Midland, Texas 79702 <u>OCD (Delta Drilling Company)</u> Dear Sir: Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Division order recently entered in the subject case. **Pours** very truly 0 JOE D. RAMEY Director JDR/fd Copy of order also sent to: Hobbs OCD Artesia OCD Aztec OCD Other.

Page 2 of 4

Examiner Hearing - Wednesday - December 10, 1980

Docket No. 40-80

CASE 7103: Application of E. L. Latham, Jr. for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Wolfcamp thru Pennsylvanian formations underlying the N/2 of Section 7, Township 22 South, Range 26 East, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well, and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well. CASE 7104: Application of Conoco Inc. for the amendment of Orders Nos. R-4633 and WFX-462, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the amendment of Division Orders Nos. R-4633 and WFX-462, which authorized the injection of water into applicant's Maljamar Mitchell Waterflood Project in Section 5, Township 17 South, Range 32 East. The amendments sought would include carbon dioxide in the injection authorization for said project. CASE 7105: Application of C and E Operators, Inc. for compulsory pooling, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Messwerde formation underlying the N/2 of Section 9, Township 30 North, Range 11 West, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well, and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well. CASE 7106: Application of C and E Operators, Inc. for compulsory pooling, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Mesaverde formation underlying the S/2 of Section 8, Township 30 North, Range 11 West, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well, and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well. CASE 7107: Application of C and E Operators, Inc. for compulsory pooling and a non-standard proration unit, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all when such county, new rexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral-interests in the Mesaverde formation underlying a 158.34-acre non-standard gas protation unit comprising the SW/4 of Section 9, Township 30 North, Range 11 West, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well, and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well risk involved in drilling said well. CASE 7090: (Continued from November 25, 1980, Examiner Hearing) Application of Dorchester Exploration, Inc. fo directional drilling and an unorthodox gas well location, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to re-enter the old Union Hill Well No. 1, the surface location of which is 2310 feet from the North and West lines of Section 27, Township 12 South, Range 28 East, and to directionally drill in an indeterminate direction from a kick-off point at 7300 feet, bottoming said well at an approximate depth of 8100 feet in the Mississippian formation less than 330 feet away from the surface location. The M/2 of said Section 27 would be dedicated to the well,

CASE 6668: (Continued from November 25, 1980, Examiner Hearing)

In the matter of Case 6668 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-6139 which order promulgated temporary special rules and regulations for the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, including a provision for 80-acce spacing units. Operators in said pool may appear and show cause why the pool should not be developed on 40-acre spacing units.

CASE 7092: (Continued from November 25, 1980, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Delta Drilling Company for pool extension, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the extension of the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool to include all of Sections 2, 11, 13, 14, 23, and 24, Township 23 South, Range 28 East.

CASE 7056: (Continued and Readvertised)

Application of Getty Oil Company for the extension of vertical limits of the Jalmat Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the contraction of the vertical limits of the Langlie-Mattix Pool and the downward extension of the vertical limits of the Jalmat Pool to a depth of 3740 feet, subsurface, under the NW/4 SW/4 of Section 3, Township 24 South, Range 36 East. Page 2 of 6

Examiner Hearing - Tuesday - November 25, 1980

CASE 7089: Application of Summit Energy, Inc. for a waterflood project, Les County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a waterflood project by the injection of water into the Blinebry formation through its Gulf Bunin Well No. 2 located in Unit C of Section 13, Township 21 South, Range 37 East.

CASE 7090: Application of Dorchester Exploration, Inc. for directional drilling and an unorthodox gas well location, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to re-enter the old Union Hill Well No. 1, the surface location of which is 2310 fest from the North and West lines of Section 27, Township 12 South, Range 28 East, and to directionally drill in an indeterminate direction from a kick-off point at 7300 feet, bottoming said well at an approximate depth of 8100 feet in the Mississippian formation less than 330 feet away from the surface loca-tion. The W/2 of said Section 27 would be dedicated to the well.

CASE 7091: Application of Layton Enterprises, Inc. for a non-standard proration unit, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for an 80-acre non-standard oil proration unit comprising the N/2 NW/4 of Section 14, Township 9 South, Range 34 East, Vada-Pennsylvanian Pool, to be dedicated to an old well to be re-entered 660 feet from the North line and 1830 feet from the West line of said Section 14.

CASE 7051: (Continued from October 15, 1980, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Petro Lewis Corporation for downhole commingling, Les County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the downhole commingling of Blinebry and Drinkard production in the wellbore of its L. G. Warlick "B" Well No. 2 located in Unit G of Section 19, Township 21 South, Range 37 East.

CASE 6940: (Continued from October 1, 1980, Examiner Herring)

Application of Adobe Oil Company for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, secks an order pooling all mineral interests down through the Wolfcamp formation underlying the NW/4 SE/4 for oil and the SE/4 for gas, Section 23, Township 20 South, Range 38 East, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. A to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the Also cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well, and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASE 6996:

(Continued from October 1, 1980, Examiner Hearing) (To be continued to December 10, 1980, Examiner Hearins)

Application of John E. Schalk for compulsory pooling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Blanco Mesaverde Pool underlying the NE/4 of Section 8, Township 25 North, Range 3 West, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well, and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well,

CASE 6668: (Continued and Readvertised)

In the matter of Case 6668 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-6139 which order promulgated temporary special rules and regulations for the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, including a provision for 80-acre spacing units. Operators in said pool may appear and show cause why the pool should not be developed on 40-acre spacing units.

CASE 7092: Application of Delta Drilling Company for pool extension, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the extension of the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool to include all of Sections 2, 11, 13, 14, 23, and 24, Township 23 South, Range 28 East.

Application of Mesa Petroleum Company and Yates Petroleum Corporation for designation of a tight formation, Torrance, Guadalupe, DeBaca, Lincoln, and Chaves Counties, New Mexico. Pursuant to Section 107 of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 and 18 CFR Section 271.701-705, applicants, in the above-styled cause, seek the designation as a tight formation of the Abo formation underlying the following described lands in the above-named counties:

all of Townships 1 North thru 4 North, Ranges 14 East thru 27 East; all of Townships 5 North thru 7 North, Ranges 14 East thru 26 East; all of Townships 1 South thru 5 South, Ranges 14 East thru 27 East; all of Township 6 South, Ranges 14 thru 28 East; N/2 only of Township 7 South, Ranges 14 East thru 17 East; all of Townships 7 South and 8 South, Ranges 18 East thru 28 East; all of Townships 9 South and 10 South, Ranges 18 East thru 27 East; all of Township 11 South, Ranges 18 East thru 25 East; all of Township 12 South, Ranges 18 East thru 24 East; all of Township 13 South, Ranges 18 East thru 23 East; and all of Township 14 South, Ranges 18 East thru 22 East; also all of Township 9 1/2 South, Range 24 East.

CASE 7093:

				-
	· 	1	1	
		ENERGY AND OIL CONSE STATE LA SANTA E	OF NEW MEXICO MINERALS DEPARTMENT ERVATION DIVISION AND OFFICE BLDG. FE, NEW MEXICO Doctober 1980	
		6 EXAMI	INER HEARING	
		7 B IN THE MATTER OF:)))	
•	1	R-6139.	opened pursuant) CASE of Order No.) 6668))	
	1	BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter		
		. بېدە مېيىن بېرىكى بې بېرىيىنى بىرى بېرىكى بېرىكى يېرىكى يېرىكى بېرىكى بېرىكى بېرىكى بېرىكى بېرىكى بېرىكى بېرى		
	1	5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PT OF HEARING	
	1	APPEZ	ARANCES	
	21 2 2	For the Oil Conservation Division:	W. Perry Pearces, Esq. Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501	
	2			
	2	 The second se Second second s Second second sec second second sec		h=
	21 11		en e	[
	1	a na sana na 	y nadi na se se se sa karang na se sa karang na na na karang na se na karang na se sa se sa se sa se sa se sa s Na se sa s Na se sa s	
na an a	ang		an an taon ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an	
an Nasar Nasar Nasar			• •	

 : 1	2
2	MR. NUTTER: Call next Case Number 6668.
i .	
3	MR. PEARCE: In the matter of Case 6668
4	being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order R-6139.
5	MR. NUTTER: Due to the error in the
6	
7	legal notice for this case, the case will have to be continued
8	and Case Number 6668 will be continued to the Examiner
9	Hearing scheduled for November 25th, 1980, at 9:00 o'clock
10	a. m. at this same place.
11	
	The case will be readvertised.
12	
13	(Hearing concluded.)
14	
L)	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
• 24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	an a
an a	
-*	•

4

でなり

CERTIFICATE I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREPY CERTIFY that ÷. the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conserva-tion Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability. Savey W. Boyd C.S.E. SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R. Rt. 1 box 193-B Santa Fe, N w 1/6cuto 17301 Phone (105) 455-7409 I do have a certify that the foregoing is a complete such of the procoedings in the even according of Case No. heard by 100 on 10/29 , Examiner Oll Conservation Division

	с. А.					······································
	-					
			1			
and the second	e a 👝 an	1				T
		2		s	TATE OF NEW MEXICO	
					AND MINERALS DEPARTM	ENT
-		3			CONSERVATION DIVISION	
	•	4			TE LAND OFFICE BLDG.	
				SA	NTA FE, NEW MEXICO	
		5			29 October 1980	
		6			EXAMINER HEARING	
•		Ŭ				
		7		مي جو به بين بين بين بين بين الله بين بين الله بين بين الله ال	بری باید چند هی همه هی چند که بی در این مد می می این در این مرد این مرد این مرد مرد می می می این هم این این	
	• • •	8	4 M)
			IN THE MATT	ER OF:		
	• 	9				
	1	10	-	to the Provint	g reopened pursuant ons of Order No.) CASE) 6668
	1	10		R-6139.	ons of order NO.	0000
	1	11				
	1	12				
]	13	BEFORE: Da	niel S. Nutter		
약 철상 이는 것 같은 것은 것이 있는 것을 가지 않는다. 경우는 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것을 가지 않는다. 것은 것은 것을 했다.					and a second second second	n and Na thuến thế
		14				an an an Ara an Ara an Ara
		15	and and a state of the state of	TRAN	SCRIPT OF HEARING	a a de la companya d La companya de la comp
		16				
]	17				
		18		API	PEARAN-CES	
	1	19				
	2	20	Dem the off	O a war a www. b d a w		
		21	Division:	Conservation	W. Perry Pearce Legal Counsel t	s, Esq.
			Davi 32011.		State Land Offi	ce Bldg.
	2	22			Santa Fe, New M	
	2	23				1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -
		1				•
	2	24				
	1 7	25				
		~		•		
	2	26			en e	a a star a s A star a star
	:	27				
		1				
	4	28				:
		L				
		and the second	the state of the second state of the	na se an sa	an an taon ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an	an a
	and the second	a na nga sa kata sa	en in de l'activité des la comparisone de la comparisone de la comparisone de la comparisone de la comparisone			анан сайтан с
			•			
	. .					
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
and a second	and the second					

Ç,

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	2 MR. NUTTER: Call next Case Number 6668. MR. PEARCE: In the matter of Case 6668 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order R-6139. MR. NUTTER: Due to the error in the legal notice for this case, the case will have to be continued and Case Number 6668 will be continued to the Examiner
9 10 11 12 13	Hearing scheduled for November 25th, 1980, at 9:00 o'clock a. m. at this same place. The case will be readvertised. (Hearing concluded.)
14 15 16 17 18 19	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	
27 28 28	

•

CERTIFICATE 2 3 I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREPY CERTIFY that 1the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conserva-5 tion Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared 7 by me to the best of my ability. 8 10 SALLY W. BOYD, C.S. 11 ₹ 8 12 H Phone 13 14 I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in 15 the Examiner hearing of Gise and 666 heard by mp on_ 16 <u>, Examiner</u> 17 Oil Conservation Division 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Florence -Delta Dely C. Wants Care Ro. 4668 (Geder Tro. R-6139) for Lec peapenel on Oct 29 Hon

Page 2 of 3

. . . . 1000

	Zxaminer He	aring - Wednesday - October 79, 1980 Docket No. 34-80
- - - -	<u>CASE 7065</u> :	Application of El Paso Natural Gas Company for twelve non-standard proration units, Rio Arriba County, New Maxico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the establishment of eight non- standard proration units for Pictured Cliffs wells to be drilled in the W/2 of partial Sections 6, 7, 18, 19, 30 and 31 of Township 30 North, Range 4 West, and four non-standard proration units for Pictured Cliffs wells in partial Sections 7, 8, and 9 of Township 28 North, Range 4 West.
	CASE 7066:	Application of Conoco Inc. for a dual completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the dual completion of its Britt "B" Well No. 27 located in Unit G of Section 15, Township 20 South, Range 37 East, to produce oil from the Weir- Drinkard or an undesignated Blinebry pool and an undesignated Abo pool.
	CASE 7067:	Application of Conoco Inc. for a dual completion, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the dual completion of its Dagger Draw Com. Well No. 4 located in Unit J of Section 25, Township 19 South, Range 24 East, to produce oil from the North Dagger Draw-Upper Penn Pool and gas from an undesignated Morrow pocl.
	CASE 7068:	Application of Conoco Inc. for a dual completion and an unorthodox well location, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the dual completion of its Penny Federal Com. Well No. 2 at an unorthodox location 1650 feet from the North line and 1980 feet from the East line of Section 23, Township 20 South, Range 24 East, to produce oil from the South Dagger Draw-Upper Penn Pool and gas from an undesignated Morrow pool.
	CASE 7069:	Application of Anadarko Production Company for an unorthodox gas well location, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of a Morrow test well to be drilled 660 feet from the South and East lines of Section 4, Township 19 South, Range 25 East, the S/2 of said Section 4 to be dedicated to the well.
	<u>CA3E 7070</u> :	Application of Tesoro Petroleum Corporation for a pilot caustic flood project, McKiniey County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a one-acre pilot caustic flood project in the Hospah Field by the injection of caustic fluid into the Seven Lskes Sand of the Upper Hospah Field at an approximate depth of 300-500 feet through four injection wells in Unit K of Section 1, Township 17 North, Range 9 West.
	CASE 7071	Application of Take 1. Mitton for an unorthodox well location and simultaneous dedication, Les County,
	•	New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the simultaneous dedication of a 640-acre proration unit comprising all of Section 17, Township 20 South, Range 36 East, North Osudo- Morrow Pool, to its Amerada Federal Well No. 2 located in Unit F and its Amerada Federal Well No. 3, to be drilled at an unorthodox location 1650 feet from the South line and 660 feet from the East line of said Section 17.
	GASE 6668:	(Reopened and Readvertised)
		In the matter of Case 6668 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-6139 which order promulgated temporary special rules and regulations for the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, including a provision for 80-acre spacing units. Operators in said pool may appear and show cause why the pool should not be developed on 40-acre spacing units.
	CASE 7005:	(Continued from September 17, 1980, Examiner Hearing)
		Application of Sol West III for an NGPA determination, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks a new onshore reservoir determination in the Morrow formation for his Turkey Track-Morrow Sand Well No. 1 in Unit I of Section 26, Township 18 South, Range 28 East.
	<u>CASE 7072</u> :	Application of Enserch Exploration, Inc. for pool creation and special pool rules, Roosevelt County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the creation of a new Pennsylvanian oil pool for its Enserch Amoco State Well No. 1 located in Unit L of Section 16, Township 4 South, Range 33 East, and the promulgation of special pool rules therefor, including a provision for 80-acre spacing.
	<u>CASE 7073</u> :	Application of Enserch Exploration, Inc. for pool creation, temporary special pool rules, and assign- ment of a discovery allowable, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the creation of a new Fusselman oil pool for its J. G. O'Brien Well No. 1 located 1980 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the West line of Section 31, Township 7 South Rame 29 Rear, with special mate therefore, including provisions for 80-acre spacing, a limiting gas-oil ratio of 3000 to one and special well heretion requirements providing for the drilling of wells with in 150 feet of the counter
		special well location requirements providing for the drilling of wells within 150 feet of the center of a quarter-quarter section. Applicant further seeks approval of a 74.24-acre proration and spacing
		unit and a discovery allowable for said J. G. O'Brien Well No. 1.
	•	



K

LAW OFFICES

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY 1000 FIRST NATIONAL BANK TOWER

> POST OFFICE BOX 3580 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79702

(915) 683-4691

September 15, 1980

Mr. Dan Nutter **Oil Conservation Division** Post Office Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

SEP 1 7 1980

CLANENCE DHINKLE ROBERT ANDAYE W. E. BONGURANT, JR (1914/1970) ROBWELL, NEW MERICO ANGLE 10, V DIVISION BOO HINKLE BUILDING IA FC (BOET B22-8810 IN TEVAS OFFICE

AMARILLO, TEXAS OFFICE 1701 AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK BUILDING (608) 372-5568

NLY ATTYS, EATON, COFFIELD, MARTIN, BOZARTH, BOHANNON, FINNEY, FOBTER, ALLEH, ALLEH, BURFORD, BREWER & BTONE LICEVBED IN TEXAS

Re: South Culebra Bluff - Bone Springs Pool -- Reopening of Case No. 6668 relative to 80 Acre Spacing

Dear Dan:

EST R. FINNEY, JR.

ALLEN

This will confirm our telephone conversation today wherein I requested that the above referenced case be reopened in accordance with requirements of Order R-6139. An Application covering that request is enclosed herewith in triplicate. It is my understanding that this matter will be set on the October 29 docket. If anything in addition to the enclosed copies of the Application to reopen this case are necessary in order to place this case on the docket for October 29, please call.

Thank you.

Very truly yours,

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY

Conrad E. Coffield

CEC:rh Enclosures

xc: Mr. Don Crozier Delta Drilling Company P. O. Box 2012 Tyler, Texas 75710 xc: Mr. Forrest Spry Delta Drilling Company P. O. Box 3467 Midland, Texas 79702



BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION OF CONSTRUCTION DIVISION OF CONSTRUCTION DIVISION STATE OF NEW MEXICO

SANTA FE

APPLICATION OF DELTA DRILLING COMPANY FOR CONTINUED APPLICATION OF SPECIAL POOL RULES, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

APPLICATION

Delta Drilling Company hereby makes application for the reopening of Case No. 6668 relating to special pool rules in connection with certain Eddy County, New Mexico lands as follows:

1. Applicant seeks the reopening of Case No. 6668 relating to the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool, which Pool was created by Order No. R-6139, dated October 12, 1979, issued in connection with said Case No. 6668.

2. Pursuant to Orders of the Oil Conservation Division as contained in said Order R-6139, it was provided that said case is to be reopened at an Examiner's hearing in October, 1980 at which time the Operators in the subject Pool may appear and show cause why South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool should not be developed on 40 acre spacing units.

3. Applicant proposes that the provisions of the special pool rules created pursuant to Order R-6139 be continued and that the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool continue to be developed on 80 acre spacing units.

4. Matters urged by Applicant herein are in the interest of conservation, prevention of waste and protection of correlative rights.

5. Applicant requests that this matter be heard at the October 29, 1980 Examiner's hearing.

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY By: Conrad E. Coffield Post Office Box 3580 Midland, Texas 79702 Attorneys for Delta Brilling Company

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION OF

THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND MINERALS

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

CONS.

SANTA FE

STION DIVISION

APPLICATION OF DELTA DRILLING COMPANY FOR CONTINUED APPLICATION OF SPECIAL POOL RULES, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

APPLICATION

Delta Drilling Company hereby makes application for the reopening of Case No. 6668 relating to special pool rules in connection with certain Eddy County, New Mexico lands as follows:

1. Applicant seeks the reopening of Case No. 6668 relating to the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool, which Pool was created by Order No. R-6139, dated October 12, 1979, issued in connection with said Case No. 6668.

2. Pursuant to Orders of the Oil Conservation Division as contained in said Order R-6139, it was provided that said case is to be reopened at an Examiner's hearing in October, 1980 at which time the Operators in the subject Pool may appear and show cause why South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool should not be developed on 40 acre spacing units.

3. Applicant proposes that the provisions of the special pool rules created pursuant to Order R-6139 be continued and that the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool continue to be developed on 80 acre spacing units.

4. Matters urged by Applicant herein are in the interest of conservation, prevention of waste and protection of correlative rights.

5. Applicant requests that this matter be heard at the October 29, 1980 Examiner's hearing.

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY

By: Conrad E. Coffield Post Office Box 3580 Midland, Texas 79702 Attorneys for Delta Drilling Company

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND MINERALS STATE OF NEW MEXICO

CO.Ka

INTSION

APPLICATION OF DELTA DRILLING) COMPANY FOR CONTINUED APPLICATION) OF SPECIAL POOL RULES, EDDY) COUNTY, NEW MEXICO)

APPLICATION

Delta Drilling Company hereby makes application for the reopening of Case No. 6668 relating to special pool rules in connection with certain Eddy County, New Mexico lands as follows:

1. Applicant seeks the reopening of Case No. 6668 relating to the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool, which Pool was created by Order No. R-6139, dated October 12, 1979, issued in connection with said Case No. 6668.

2. Pursuant to Orders of the Oil Conservation Division as contained in said Order R-6139, it was provided that said case is to be reopened at an Examiner's hearing in October, 1980 at which time the Operators in the subject Pool may appear and show cause why South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool should not be developed on 40 acre spacing units.

3. Applicant proposes that the provisions of the special pool rules created pursuant to Order R-6139 be continued and that the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool continue to be developed on 80 acre spacing units.

4. Matters urged by Applicant herein are in the interest of conservation, prevention of waste and protection of correlative rights.

5. Applicant requests that this matter be heard at the October 29, 1980 Examiner's hearing.

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY

By: Conrad E. Coffield Post Office Box 3580 Midland, Texas 79702 Attorneys for Delta Drilling Company

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 6668 Order No. R-6139

APPLICATION OF DELTA DRILLING COMPANY FOR POOL CREATION AND SPECIAL POOL RULES, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE DIVISION

BY THE DIVISION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on September 19, 1979, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel S. Nutter.

NOW, on this <u>12th</u> day of October, 1979, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Delta Drilling Company, seeks the creation of a new oil pool for Bone Spring production for its South Culebra Bluff Unit Well No. 3, located in Unit G of Section 23, Township 23 South, Range 28 East, NMPM, Eddy County, New Mexico, and the promulgation of special rules and regulations therefor, including a provision for 80-acre spacing and proration units.

(3) That in order to prevent the economic loss caused by the drilling of unnecessary wells, to avoid the augmentation of risk arising from the drilling of an excessive number of wells, to prevent reduced recovery which might result from the drilling of too few wells, and to otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights, temporary special rules and regulations providing for 80-acre spacing units should be promulgated for the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool. -2-Case No. 6668 Order No. R-6139

(4) That the temporary special rules and regulations should provide for limited well locations in order to assure orderly development of the pool and protect correlative rights.

(5) That the temporary special rules and regulations should be established for a one-year period in order to allow the operators in the subject pool to gather reservoir information to establish the area that can be efficiently and economically drained and developed by one well.

(6) That this case should be reopened at an examiner hearing in October, 1980, at which time the operators in the subject pool should be prepared to appear and show cause why the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool should not be developed on 40-acre spacing units.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That a new oil pool for Bone Spring production is hereby created and designated as the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool, with vertical limits comprising the Bone Spring formation and horizontal limits comprising the followingdescribed area in Eddy County, New Mexico:

TOWNSHIP 23 SOUTH, RANGE 28 EAST, NMPM Section 23: NE/4

(2) That temporary Special Rules and Regulations for the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, are hereby promulgated as follows:

SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR THE SOUTH CULEBRA BLUFF-BONE SPRING POOL

RULE 1. Each well completed or recompleted in the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool or in the Bone Spring formation within one mile thereof, and not nearer to or within the limits of another designated Bone Spring cil pool, shall be spaced. drilled, operated, and produced in accordance with the special rules and regulations hereinafter set forth.

RULE 2. Each well shall be located on a standard unit containing 80 acres, more or less, consisting of the N/2, S/2, E/2, or W/2 of a governmental quarter section.

RULE 3. The Director of the Division may grant an exception to the requirements of Rule 2 without notice and hearing when an application has been filed for a non-standard unit -3-Case No. 6668 Order No. R-6139

comprising a governmental quarter-quarter section or lot, or the unorthodox size or shape of the tract is due to a variation in the legal subdivision of the United States Public Land Surveys. All operators offsetting the proposed non-standard unit shall be notified of the application by registered or certified mail, and the application shall state that such notice has been furnished. The Division Director may approve the application upon receipt of written waivers from all offset operators or if no offset operator has entered an objection to the formation of the non-standard unit within 30 days after the Director has received the application.

RULE 4. Each well shall be located within 150 feet of the center of either governmental quarter-quarter section or lot dedicated to the well.

RULE 5. The Division Director may grant an exception to the footage requirements of Rule 4 without notice and hearing when an application has been filed for an unorthodox location necessitated by topographical conditions or the recompletion of a well previously drilled to another horizon. All operators offsetting the proposed location shall be notified of the application by registered or certified mail, and the application shall state that such notice has been furnished. The Director may approve the application upon receipt of written waivers from all operators offsetting the proposed location or if no objection to the unorthodox location has been entered within 20 days after the Director has received the application.

RULE 6. A standard proration unit (79 through 81 acres) shall be subject to an 80-acre depth bracket allowable of 222 barrels of oil per day. The allowable assigned to a nonstandard proration unit shall bear the same ratio to a standard allowable as the acreage in such non-standard unit bears to 80 acres.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

(1) That the locations of all wells presently drilling to pr completed in the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool or in the Bone Spring formation within one mile thereof are hereby approved; that the operator of any well having an unorthodox location shall notify the Artesia District Office of the Division in writing of the name and location of the well on or before November 1, 1979.

(2) That, pursuant to Paragraph A. of Section 70-2-18, NMSA 1978, contained in Chapter 271, Laws of 1969, existing wells in the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool shall have -4-Case No. 6668 Order No. R-6139

dedicated thereto 80 acres in accordance with the foregoing pool rules; or, pursuant to Paragraph C. of said Section 70-2-18, existing wells may have non-standard spacing or proration units established by the Division and dedicated thereto.

Failurs to file new Forms C-102 with the Division dedicating 80 acres to well or to obtain a non-standard unit approved by the Division within 60 days from the date of this order shall subject the well to cancellation of allowable. Until said Form C-102 has been filed or until a non-standard unit has been approved, and subject to said 60-day limitation, each well presently drilling to or completed in the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool or in the Bone Spring formation within one mile thereof shall receive no more than one-half of a standard allowable for the pool.

(3) That this case shall be reopened at an examiner hearing in October, 1980, at which time the operators in the subject pool may appear and show cause why the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool should not be developed on 40-acre spacing units.

(4) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove_designated.

SEAL

Ed/

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION JOE D. RAMEY Director

Page 3 Examiner Hearing - Wednesday - September 19, 1979

Docket No. 36-79

CASE 6668: Application of Delta Drilling Company for pool creation and special pool tules, Eddy Contry, New Hexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the creation of a new oil pool for Bone Spring production for its SCB Unit Well No. 3 in Unit G of Section 23, Township 23 South, Range 28 East, and special rules therefor, including 80-acre spacing.

CASE 6669: Application of Mesa Petroleum Company for the amendment of Order No. R-6078, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the amendment of Order No. R-6078 to cover the Wolfcamp and Pennsylvanian formations in the compulsory pooling of the E/2 of Section 10, Township 16 South, Range 27 East, rather than the Morrow formation only.

CASE 6644: (Continued from September 5, 1979, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Tenneco Oil Corporation for downhole commingling, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the downhole commingling of Fruitland and Pictured Cliffs production in the wellbores of its State K Com Well No. 12 located in Unit E of Section 16, Township 30 North, Range 9 West, and its Florence Well No. 60R in Unit L of Section 1, Township 29 North, Range 9 West.

CASE 6670: Application of BTA 011 Producers for pool creation and special pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the creation of a new Devonian gas pool for its 7811 JV-P Rojo Well No. 1 located in Unit D of Section 27, Township 25 South, Range 33 East, and special rules therefor, including 640-acre gas well spacing.

<u>CASE 6671</u>: Application of Chapman and Schneider for salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water in the Seven Rivers Reef formation in the open-hole interval from 3422 feet to 3504 feet in its I. B. Ogg "A" Well No. 3 located in Unit E of Section 35, Township 24 South, Range 36 East, Jalmat Pool.

- CASE 6672: Application of Coquina Oil Corporation for an exception to Rule 303C, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to the Division's Rule 303C to permit its Vivian Well No. 1 located in Unit F of Section 30, Township 22 South, Range 38 East, in which Drinkard and Granite Wash production is commingled in the wellbore, to produce in excess of the 50-barrel limit imposed by said rule.
- CASE 6673: Application of Conoco Inc. for a non-standard proration unit, unorthodox well locations, and simultaneous dedication, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of a 440-acre non-standard gas proration unit comprising the SW/4 and S/2 NW/4 of Section 17 and the N/2 NE/4, SE/4 NE/4, and N/2 SE/4 of Section 18, all in Township 21 South, Range 36 East, Eumont Pool, to be simultaneously dedicated to the following wells at unorthodox locations: Meyer A-1 Wells Nos. 11 in Unit K of Section 17 and 6 and 14 in Units B and J of Section 18.

CASE 6580: (Continued from August 22, 1979, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Continental Oil Company for a carbon dioxide injection project, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to initiate a pilot carbon dioxide injection project in the Grayburg-San Andres formation in Units H and I of Section 20, Township 17 South, Range 32 East, Maljamar Pool, for tertiary recovery purposes.

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT 2 Oil Conservation Division State Land Office Bldg. 3 Santa Fe, New Mexico 19 September 1979 EXAMINER HEARING 5 6 IN THE MATTER OF: 7 Application of Delta Drilling Company) CASE 8 for pool creation and special pool) 6668 rules, Eddy County, New Mexico. > 9 £ 10 LY WALTON BOY BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter 11 12 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 13 14 APPEARANCES 15 16 For the Oil Conservation Ernest L. Padilla, Esq. 17 Division: Legal Counsel for the Division State Land Office Bldg. 18 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87503 19 20 Conrad Coffield, Esq. For the Applicant: HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & 21 HENSLEY P. O. Box 3580 22 Midland, Texas 79701 23 24 25

INDEX

EDWARD W. HOOPER

WALTION BOY

THAND N

Direct Examination by Mr. Coffield Cross Examination by Mr. Nutter

Δ

EXHIBITS

Applicant Exhibit One, Plat Applicant Exhibit Two, Structure Map Applicant Exhibit Three, Cross Section Applicant Exhibit Four, Map

				Page
	a de la companya de	1		MR. NUTTER: We'll call next Case 6668.
2		2		MR. PADILLA: Application of Delta Drillin
	-	3	Company for pool	creation and special pool rules, Eddy
	а А	4	County, New Mexic	20.
		5		MR. COFFIELD: Conrad Coffield with the
		6	Hinkle law firm i	n Midland, Texas, appearing on behalf of
		7	the applicant, ar	nd I have one witness.
		8		
	- - -	9		(Witness sworn.)
		10		
	ND BC	11		EDWARD W. HOOPER
ing and a second se		12	being called as a	witness and having been duly sworn upon
	LLY V Place 8	13	his oath, testifi	ed as follows, to-wit:
		14		
n fra Anna Staire Haraithe Staire Gallana Staire	и	15		DIRECT EXAMINATION
	· · · · · ·	16	BY MR. COFFIELD:	
		17	Q	Mr. Hooper, would you please state your
		18	name, address, oc	cupation, and employer?
		19	Α.	Edward W. Hooper, Midland, Texas. I'm
		20	Exploration Manag	er for Delta Drilling Company in the West
	•	21	Texas Division.	
		22	Q	Have you previously testified before the
		23	Division as a geo	ologist?
		24	Α.	Yes, I have.
		25	Q.	And were your qualifications made a
		l		
	an antiperate de la contrata de la c	and St	and the second	

ne states a
1 matter of record and accepted by the Division? 2 A. They were. 3 Are you familiar with the application of 0. 4 Delta Drilling Company in this case? 5 I am. A. 8 And are you also familiar with the property 0. 7 as well as the well location involved? 8 A. I am. 9 MR. COFFIELD: Mr. Examiner, is the wit-10 ness considered qualified? 11 MR. NUTTER: Yes, he is. 12 (Mr. Coffield continuing.) Mr. Hooper, 0. 13 what is it that Delta seeks by this application? 14 A. Creation of a new Bone Springs oil pool 15 for its South Culibre Bluff Well No. 3, which is located in 16 the southwest quarter of the northeast quarter of Section 17 23, Township 23 South, Range 28 East, and special rules in-18 cluding 80-acre oil well spacing. 19 Please refer to what we've marked as Ex-0. 20 hibit One and explain what this exhibit represents. 21 Exhibit One is a well location and owner-A. 22 ship plat, showing the subject well, the designated 80-acre 23 tract, and also the blocks of acreage that Delta operates 24 jointly with Amoco Production Company. Okay. Let's go on then to Exhibit Two Q.

and Three at the same time and explain both of those exhibits if you will, please.

A Okay. Exhibit Two is a Bone Spring structure map prepared to show the lack of structural closure in the area of interest on the easterly dip; to show that Bone Spring accumulation in this area is primarily a function of stratigraphic entrapment.

The Exhibit Three is a cross section utilizing several of the wells that Delta has drilled, including the subject South Culibre Bluff Unit No. 3 Well, showing the open hole Bone Spring Section that is currently producing oil and gas at the present time.

To show the open hole section is shaded in red on the No. 3 South Culibre Bluff Unit. That is the section that is -- Bone Spring section that is producing in that well.

MR. NUTTER: What is the total open hole interval in that well?

A. It's 1700 -- it's from 6244 to 8000 feet.
It's 1730 -- no, 1756 feet. 1756 is the open hole.

MR. NUTTER: Why is there so much open hole interval in that well?

A. We could not identify exactly what is contributing the oil. There's a lot of unknowns in this particular well. There is one zone of porosity about 125

SALLY WALTON BO CENTRED SHORTIAND REPORT 2010 Plans Blanca (101) 471-Buata Pe, New Mortin 371 2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

			Page6
		1	feet below the top of the Bone Spring lime that we feel is
		2	contributing oil, but production logs indicated two or three
		3	other zones that were contributing oil into the borehole
		4	that we could not identify on any of the porosity logs.
		5	So that's why we sought to open hole complete this well.
*		3 6	MR. NUTTER: Did you know you had Bone
		7	Spring production here before you drilled the well?
	-	8	A. We suspected it from the blowout well
		9	that's just immediately 300 feet immediately east of
		10	this well.
		11	MR. NUTTER: That's what I was going to
	NAL Production	12	ask you next. This is on the same 40 as the blowout, isn't
		13	it?
	0.5	14	A. Correct.
		15	MR. NUTTER: And that well encountered
enan an ann an Arland Ann an Arland Ann an Arland		16	a lot of porosity, et cetera, in the Bone Springs.
		17	A. Well, we could not identify it as such.
		18	The analysis, chemical analysis, of the crude indicated that
		19	it was Permian and probably Bone Spring oil.
		20	MR. NUTTER: But you weren't
		21	A. We were not sure when we drilled this
		22	well exactly where it was.
С		23	MR. NUTTER: I see.
		24	A. We just suspected that it was Bone Springs.
an an taon an t		25	MR. NUTTER: Well, were drill stem tests
		25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

made up and down throughout this --

A. There was --

MR. NUTTER: -- open hole interval? A. There was one drill stem test conducted in the No. 3 Well from 6330 to 6440, and all we recovered on that was 4000 feet of water, which turned out to be filtrate water. It was deeply invaded. And that's the zone that's shaded on the electric log right below the casing shoe at 6244 in the No. 3 Well.

MR. NUTTER: Oh, that purple shading in

there?

A.

A.

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Correct.

MR. NUTTER: It just seems unusual that you have 1756 feet of open hole in a well. I wonder why the casing is set so high and the well drilled so deep.

A. Well, the engineers wanted to open hole complete this because, as I previously said, they weren't sure where the oil was coming from.

MR. NUTTER: Now the blowout in the adjacent well was from the Atoka formation, wasn't it?

Correct, an Atoka sand.

MR. NUTTER: And this well didn't penetrate anywhere near that formation, did it?

A. No, this well was bottomed at 8000 feet in the Bone Spring.

Y WALTON BOYE B SHORTEAUD REPORTE 25 Shares (505) 412-445 24, New Maridoo 87301

Page

MR. NUTTER: It's not a plug backed up,

then.

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

No.

A.

Ά.

Q.

MR. NUTTER: Okay, go ahead. That is the total depth. Let's go to Exhibit Four, then, Mr.

Hooper. Would you explain what this exhibit is?

A Exhibit Four is a field -- Bone Spring Field location map showing the current location of oil and gas fields that are producing from the Bone Spring sequence. And of these fields that are shown here, where there is a designation that was given initially, spacing designation, seven of these wells is so notated on there, either 80 acres or 160-acre spacing was granted to these fields initially.

It also shows by a black arrow the location of the South Culibre Bluff area in relationship to the producing Bone Spring area.

Q Have you compared the characteristics of the South Culibre Bluff Unit Well No. 3 with these other Bone Springs wells in the other pools where 80-acre spacing was established?

A. Correct, and nearly, I'd say, 95 to 98 percent of the other fields the entrapment of oil or gas is primarily stratigraphic. In our case, even though there is a lot we do not know about the Bone Spring in the South

LY WALTON BOY PED SHORTIAMO REPORT Data Blasse (195) 411-34 the Pa, New Meridon 61151

Culibre Bluff area, the characteristics of the oil, we have 2 a high energy oil. It's a gas saturated oil at bottom hole 3 conditions. Also, the productivity leads us to believe that this well will drain a larger area, and our production to date, we have produced 3,512 barrels of oil. That was through September the 18th. The current production in that well is now -- it is pumping 65 to 85 barrels of oil per day plus 100 to 130 Mcf gas per day.

Well, generally do you believe the char-Q. acteristics of these other Bone Springs pools which have been granted 80 acres spacing compare favorably with the characteristics of the subject well?

A. I do. And is it your opinion, then, Mr. Hooper, ۵ from well data now available, that this South Culibre Bluff Unit No. 3 Well will adequately drain 80 acres?

I do.

Accordingly then, I trust, you are recom-Q. mending that 80-acre spacing be allocated to this pool?

I am.

A.

A.

Do you have any recommendation to make as 0. to well location requirements or other requirements which the Division might establish for the pool which you seek to have established?

> We are currently drilling the No. 4 Yes.

5

£

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

10 1 South Culibre Bluff Unit in the northeast northeast of 23. 2 That's located on those maps that you have. So that 80-acre 3 spacing, we could put it on an alternate basis on those 4 stand-up 80s. 5 MR. NUTTER: That well is a northeast 6 diagonal offset to the subject well? 7 A. Correct, and we should be coring the 8 Upper Bone Spring limestone in that well today. 9 So you'd recommend the diagonal offset Q. 10 pattern? VALTON BO 11 Yes. A. 12 What recommendation do you have, if any, Q. 13 as to the name for this Bone Springs Pool? 14 I would name it the South Culibre Bluff A. 15 Bone Spring Pool. 16 Mr. Hooper, where these Exhibits One Q. 17 through Four prepared by you or under your supervision? 18 A. They were. 19 0. And in your opinion will the approval of 20 this application be in the interest of conservation and 21 otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights? 22 I think it will. A. 23 MR. COFFIELD: Mr Examiner, I move the 24 admission of Exhibits One through Four. 25 MR, NUTTER: Delta Exhibits One through

Four will be admitted in evidence.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NUTTER:

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Mr. Hooper, has any calculation been made from the logs of the well as to the permeability or have any productivity tests in the Bone Spring -- productivity index tests, or anything, been conducted on the well to establish what kind of permeability you've got?

A. No, Mr. Commissioner, the only thing we-where we do have porosity indications the porosity range is from 8 to 12 percent and some preliminary calculations using a water saturation 30 percent computation, we're estimating approximately 60,000 barrels of oil, recoverable oil, on an 80-acre spacing.

Q. But you haven't made any determinations as to the permeability of the formation, whether it will drain that 80 acres or not.

A No, other than the fact that the high energy crude and the productivity of the well that we have now. The only other thing I might offer in evidence would be the fact that after producing 1993 barrels of oil we took a 72-hour pressure buildup test on August -- from August the 9th through the 12th and extrapolated that pressure out to 2997.

The pressure determination from the drill 2 stem test back in February extrapolated out to 2984, so 3 there was little or no pressure decline. We realize that's only approximately 3 percent of a, say, 60,000 barrel recovery, 5 but it was encouraging to us that there was no decline in 6 the bottom hole pressure. 7 Q. Now let me get this right. Your drill 8 stem test pressure extrapolated to 2984. 9 A. Correct. 10 And after producing how many barrels, 19 --11 1993 stock tank barrels of oil, we extra-A. 12 polated the static pressure to 2997. 13 After 72-hour buildup. Q. 14 A. 72-hour buildup, correct. 15 Q. Now are you suggesting, Mr. Hooper, that 16 the rules for this pool would provide for alternative loca-17 tions insofar as the diagonal spacing is concerned or are 18 you just proposing 80-acre spacing with the option to drill 19 the well in either end of the 80? 20 A. Well, I don't know what the lease deter-21 mination would -- what problems we would run into there. I 22 would like some flexibility to perhaps drill it on either 23 end of the 80. 24 MR, NUTTER: Are there any further questions of Mr. Hooper? He may be excused.

VIALTON BOYD D SHORTIAMD REPORTER E HINGA (615) 471-3442 Pe, New Maxico 17141

Page

Do you have anything further, Mr. Coffield?

MR. COFFIELD: No, sir.

MR. NUTTER: Does anyone have anything

they wish to offer in Case Number 6668?

ALTON BOY

SALLY W

We'll take the case under advisement.

(Hearing concluded.)

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BALLY WILTON BOY

live (605) 4

I, SALLY W. BOYD, a court reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing of attaiched Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Con Evation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability from my notes taken at the time of the hearing.

Sally W. Boyd C.S.R.

I do hereast county that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of fase No. 19 , Examiner heard by me or Conservation Division OIL



STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT **OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION**

BRUCE KING GOVERNOR LARRY KEHOE POST OFFICE BOX 2088 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING BANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501 (505) 827-2434

October 15, 1979

Mr. Conrad E. Coffield Hinkle, Cox, Eaton, Coffield & Hensley Attorneys at Law P. O. Box 3580 Midland, Texas 79702

CASE I	NO • 🛀	6668
ORDER	NO.	R-6139

Applicant:

Delta Drilling Company

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Division order recently entered in the subject case.

Re:

Pours very truly. JOE D. RAMEY Director

JDR/fd

Copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCD
Artesia OCD
Aztec OCD

Other

LEWIS C. COX, JR. PAUL W. EATON, JR. CONRAD E. COFFIELD NAROLD L. HENSLEY, JR STUART D. SHANOR C.D. MARTIN PAUL J. KELLY, JR. JAMES H. BOZARTH

DOUGLAS L.LUNSFORD PAUL M. BOHANNON J. DOUGLAS FOSTER X.DOUGLAS FOSTER N.COUGLAS PERHIN O.RAY ALLEN JACQUELIHE W ALLEN T. GALDER EZZELL, JR. WILLIAM B. BURFORD JOHN S. NELSON RICHARD E.OLSON

LAW OFFICES

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY

1000 FIRST NATIONAL BANK TOWER POST OFFICE Box 3580 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79702 (915) 683-4691

August 27, 74979

OF COUNSEL

W. E. BONDURANT, JR. (1914-1973)

ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO OFFICE 600 HINKLE BUILDING (505) 622-6510

ONLY ATTYS. COFFIELD, MARTIN, BOZARTH, BOHANNON, FOSTER, ALLEN, ALLEN & BURFORD LICENSED IN TEXAS

Mr. Dan Nutter Chief Engineer Oil Conservation Division Post Office Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Re: Delta Drilling Company Application for Pool Creation and Special Pool Rules, Eddy County, New Mexico

Dear Dan:

Per our recent telephone conversation, transmitted herewith you will find triplicate executed copies of an Application for Delta Drilling Company for pool creation in W½NE½ Section 23, Township 23 South, Range 28 East, N.M.P.M., Eddy County, New Mexico and special rules therefor including 80 acre oil well spacing.

My client would appreciate having this matter set on the docket for the September 19, 1979 date.

I trust that the enclosed copies of the Application are all that is needed in order for this to be set for the September 19 hearing. However, if anything is needed in addition, please let me know.

Very truly yours,

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY

eig Conrad E. Coffield

CEC:rh Enclosures

xc: Mr. Dale Keadle Delta Drilling Company

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION OF

THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND MINERALS

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

APPLICATION OF DELTA DRILLING COMPANY FOR POOL CREATION AND SPECIAL POOL RULES, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

APPLICATION

Delta Drilling Company hereby makes application for pool creation and special pool rules in connection with certain Eddy County, New Mexico lands as follows:

1. Applicant seeks the creation of a new Bone Springs oil pool for its South Culebra Bluff Unit Well No. 3 located in the SW&NE% of Section 23, Township 23 South, Range 28 East, N.M.P.M., Eddy County, New Mexico and special rules therefor including 80-acre oil well spacing.

2. Said proposed new Bone Springs pool was discovered by the South Culebra Bluff Unit Well No. 3, located in SW%NE% of Section 23, Township 23 South, Range 28 East, N.M.P.M., Eddy County, New Mexico, which was completed March 13, 1979, with first production on June 19, 1979, in the Bone Springs formation.

3. The horizontal limits of the new pool should consist of the following described area:

Township 23 South, Range 28 East, N.M.P.M.

Section 23: WhyNE4

4. Matters urged by Applicant herein are in the interest of conservation, prevention of waste and protection of correlative rights.

5. Applicant requests that this matter be heard at the September 19, 1979 Examiner's hearing.

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY

By: Conrad E. Coffield

Post Office Box 3580 Midland, Texas 79702 Attorneys for Delta Drilling Company

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION OF

THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND MINERALS

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

APPLICATION OF DELTA DRILLING) COMPANY FOR POOL CREATION AND) SPECIAL POOL RULES, EDDY COUNTY,)

Case 6668

MANZALE

APPLICATION

NEW MEXICO

Delta Drilling Company hereby makes application for pool creation and special pool rules in connection with certain Eddy County, New Mexico lands as follows:

 Applicant seeks the creation of a new Bone Springs oil pool for its South Culebra Bluff Unit Well No. 3 located in the SW\%NE\% of Section 23, Township 23 South, Range 28 East, N.M.P.M., Eddy County, New Mexico and special rules therefor including 80-acre oil well spacing.

2. Said proposed new Bone Springs pool was discovered by the South Culebra Bluff Unit Well No. 3, located in SW4NE4 of Section 23, Township 23 South, Range 28 East, N.M.P.M., Eddy County, New Mexico, which was completed March 13, 1979, with first production on June 19, 1979, in the Bone Springs formation.

3. The horizontal limits of the new pool should consist of the following described area:

Township 23 South, Range 28 East, N.M.P.M.

Section 23: WhyNE's

4. Matters urged by Applicant herein are in the interest of conservation, prevention of waste and protection of correlative rights.

5. Applicant requests that this matter be heard at the September 19, 1979 Examiner's hearing.

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY By: Conrad E. Coffield Post Office Box 3580 Midland, Texas 79702 Attorneys for Delta Drilling Company

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION OF CONSERVATION

THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND MINERALS

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

APPLICATION OF DELTA DRILLING COMPANY FOR POOL CREATION AND SPECIAL POOL RULES, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Case 6668

APPLICATION

Delta Drilling Company hereby makes application for pool creation and special pool rules in connection with certain Eddy County, New Mexico lands as follows:

1. Applicant seeks the creation of a new Bone Springs oil pool for its South Culebra Bluff Unit Well No. 3 located in the SW%NE% of Section 23, Township 23 South, Range 28 East, N.M.P.M., Eddy County, New Mexico and special rules therefor including 80-acre oil well spacing.

2. Said proposed new Bone Springs pool was discovered by the South Culebra Bluff Unit Well No. 3, located in SW4NE4 of Section 23, Township 23 South, Range 28 East, N.M.P.M., Eddy County, New Mexico, which was completed March 13, 1979, with first production on June 19, 1979, in the Bone Springs formation.

3. The horizontal limits of the new pool should consist of the following described area:

Township 23 South, Range 28 East, N.M.P.M.

4. Matters urged by Applicant herein are in the interest of conservation, prevention of waste and protection of correlative rights.

5. Applicant requests that this matter be heard at the September 19, 1979 Examiner's hearing.

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY By: Conrad E. Coffield Post Office Box 3580 Midland, Texas 79702 Attorneys for Delta Drilling Company

application of Delta Drilling Co for soal Creation and special soal meen, Eddy County, her mexico applicant, in the come-styled cause selks the creation of a new ail pool for Bone Spring production for its SCB Whit Well 20 3 in Wint Gog Section 23, Tannship 23 South, Rauge 28 East, and special rules therefor, he Chiding 80-acre spacing. Dace Kiedel 8/10/29 Connad Cappield mille file written application.

dr/

ROUGII

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

ORDER OF THE DIVISION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

BY THE DIVISION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on <u>September 19</u> 19 79, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner <u>Daniel S. Nutter</u>. NOW, on this <u>day of October</u>, 1979, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

CASE NO. 6668

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Delta Drilling Company, seeks the promulgation of special rules and regulations for the South Gulber Bluff Bone Spring Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, including a provision for 80-acre spacing and proration units for its SCB Unit Well No. 3 in Unit G of Section 23, Township 23 South, Range 28-East.

of a new oil pool for Bone Spri ion for its South Culebra Bluff 2 No. 3, located in thick Gog Section 23, makip 23 Santh, Range 28 East, NMPM, Eddy unty, her mexes, and the promulgation ecial rules and regulations therefor a provincen for 80*ia* roration

(3) That in order to prevent the economic loss caused by the drilling of unnecessary wells, to avoid the augmentation of risk arising from the drilling of an excessive number of wells, to prevent reduced recovery which might result from the drilling of too few wells, and to otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights, temporary special rules and regulations providing for 80-acre spacing units should be promulgated for the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool.

(4) That the temporary special rules and regulations should provide for limited well locations in order to assure orderly development of the pool and protect correlative rights.

(5) That the temporary special rules and regulations should be established for a one-year period in order to allow the operators in the subject pool to gather reservoir information to establish the area that can be efficiently and ec onomically drained and developed by one well.

(6) That this case should be reopened at an examiner hearing in October, 1980, at which time the operators in the subject pool should be prepared to appear and show cause why the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool should not be developed on 40-acre spacing units.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(2)That temporary Special Rules and Regulations for the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, are hereby promulgated as follows:

SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS

FOR THE

SOUTH CULEBRA BLUFF-BONE SPRING POOL

<u>RULE 1</u>. Each well completed or recompleted in the South Culebra Bluff Bone Spring Pool or in the Bone Spring formation within one mile thereof, and not nearer to or within the limits of another designated Bone Spring oil pool, shall be spaced, drilled, operated, and produced in accordance with the special rules and regulations hereinafter set forth.

(1) That a new oil pool for Bone Spring proties hereby Created an Ó

<u>RULE 2</u>. Each well shall be located on a standard unit containing 80 acres, more or less, consisting of the N/2, S/2, E/2, or W/2 of a governmental quarter section. <u>Director of the Division</u> may grant

<u>RULE 3.</u> The **beretary Director of the Division** may grant an exception to the requirements of Rule 2 without notice and hearing when an application has been filed for a non-standard unit comprising a governmental quarter-quarter section or lot, or the unorthodox size or shape of the tract is due to a variation in the legal subdivision of the United States Public Land Surveys. All operators offsetting the proposed non-standard unit shall be notified of the application by registered or certified mail, and the application shall state that such notice has been furnished. The **bereford** Director may approve the application upon receipt of written waivers from all offset operators or if no offset operator has entered an objection to the formation of the non-standard unit within 30 days after the **bereford**.

<u>RULE 4</u>. Each well shall be located within 150 feet of the center of either the <u>NE/4-or the SW/4-of-a</u> governmental quarterquarter section or lot *dedicated to the Worll*.

RULE 5. The Director may grant an exception to the footage requirements of Rule 4 without notice and hearing when an application has been filed for an unorthodox location necessitated by topographical conditions or the recompletion of a well previously drilled to another horizon. All operators offsetting the proposed location shall be notified of the application by registered or certified mail, and the application shall state that such notice has been furnished. The Director may approve the application upon receipt of written waivers from all operators offsetting the proposed location or if no objection to the unorthodox location has been entered within 20 days after the Director has received the application. <u>RULE 6.</u> A standard proration unit (79 through 81 acres) subject to depth bracket accurate shall be assigned an 80-acre proportional factor of 3.33 for 3 222 farries for the allowable assigned to a non-standard proration unit shall bear the same ratio to a standard allowable as the acreage in such non-standard unit bears to 80 acres.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

(1) That the locations of all wells presently drilling to or completed in the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool or in the Bone Spring formation within one mile thereof are hereby approved; that the operator of any well having an unorthodox location shall notify the Artesia District Office of the Division in writing of the name and location of the well on or before November 1, 1979

(2) That, pursuant to Paragraph A. of Section $\frac{70-2-18}{47.3}$, NMSA 1953, contained in Chapter 271, Laws of 1969, existing wells in the South Culbebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool shall have dedicated thereto 80 acres in accordance with the foregoing pool rules; or, pursuant to Paragraph C. of said Section $\frac{70-2-18}{5-3-14-5}$, existing wells may have non-standard spacing or proration units established by the Division and dedicated thereto.

Failure to file new Forms C-102 with the Division dedicating 80 acres to a well or to obtain a non-standard unit approved by the Division within 60 days from the date of this order shall subject the well to cancellation of allowable. Until said Form C-102 has been filed or until a non-standard unit has been approved, and subject to said 60-day limitation, each well presently drilling to or completed in the South Culebra Bluff — Bone Spring Pool or in the Bone Spring formation within one mile thereof shall receive no more than one-half of a standard allowable for the pool. (3) That this case shall be reopened at an examiner hearing in October, 1980, at which time the operators in the subject pool may appear and show cause why the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool should not be developed on 40-acre spacing units.

(4) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

ROUGH	ł
	•
وبالشبيب فيجوا	1

dr/

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

IN THE MATTER OF CASE 6668 BEING REOPENED PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF ORDER NO. R- 6139 , WHICH ORDER ESTABLISHED SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR THE SOUTH CULEBRA BLUFF-BONE SPRINGS GASS POOL, EDDY COUNTY, NEW INCLUDING A PROVISION FOR 80 COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, -ACRE PRORATION UNITS.

ORDER OF THE DIVISION

BY THE DIVISION:

December 10, 1 Nevember 25 This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on 19 80, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel S. Nutter NOW, on this day of December , 19 80 Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

CASE NO. 6668

Order No. R- (139-A

Rehard & Stamedes

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That by Order No. R-6139 , dated October 12 19 79 , temporary special rules and regulations were promulgated Spring for the South Culebra Bluff-Bone × Sas Pool, ___Eddy_ County, New Mexico, establishing temporary <u>80</u> -acre spacing units.

(3) That pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-6139this case was reopened to allow the operators in the subject pool to appear and show cause why the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Căš Pool should not be developed on 40 -acre spacing units. presently available is insufficient to clarity That the evidence/establishes that one well in the (4)

South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Cas Pool can efficiently and economically

drain and develop 80 acres.