CASE 6711: SUN OIL COMPANY OF DELAWARE , FOR AN UNORTHODOX GAS WELL LOCATION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

13

Constant and the second s



STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

November 15, 1979

Re:

BRUCE KING GOVERNOR LARRY KEHOE SECRETARY POST OFFICE BOX 2088 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING BANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501 (505) 827-2434

15

Mr. Conrad E. Coffield Hinkle, Cox, Eaton, Coffield & Hensley Attorneys at Law P. O. Box 3580 Midland, Texas 79702

CASE NO. 6711 ORDER NO. R-6176

Sun Oil Company of Delaware

Applicant:

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Division order recently entered in the subject case.

purs very truly, JOE D. RAMEY Director

JDR/fd

Copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCD	x	
Artesia OCD	X	
Aztec OCD		
Athan		

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 6711 Order No. R-6176

APPLICATION OF SUN OIL COMPANY OF DELAWARE FOR AN UNORTHODOX GAS WELL LOCATION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE DIVISION

BY THE DIVISION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on October 31, 1979, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Richard L. Stamets.

NOW, on this 14th day of November, 1979, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Sun Oil Company of Delaware, originally requested approval of an unorthodox gas well location 660 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the East line of Section 27, Township 14 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, to test the Mississippian formation, Lea County, New Mexico.

(3) That to avoid interference with agricultural operations, the applicant seeks the amendment of the application to provide for a location 1101 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the East line of said Section 27.

(4) That the proposed amendment will result in a location which is less unorthodox than that originally sought in this case and should be approved.

(5) That the E/2 of said Section 27 is to be dedicated to the well.

-2-Case No. 6711 Order No. R-6176

(6) That a well at said unorthodox location will better enable applicant to produce the gas underlying the proration unit.

(7) That no offset operator objected to the proposed unorthodox location.

(8) That approval of the subject application will afford the applicant the opportunity to produce its just and equitable share of the gas in the subject pool, will prevent the economic loss caused by the drilling of unnecessary wells, avoid the augmentation of risk arising from the drilling of an excessive number of wells, and will otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That an unorthodox gas well location for the Missisisippian formation is hereby approved for a well to be located at a point 1101 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the East line of Section 27, Township 14 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico.

(2) That the E/2 of said Section 27 shall be dedicated to the above-described well.

(3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO QIL CONSERVATION DIVISION JOE D. RAMEY Director

fd/

SEAL

		Page1
	1 2 3 4	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT Oil Conservation Division State Land Office Bldg. SantaFe, New Mexico 31 October 1979
	5	EXAMINER HEARING
	6	IN THE MATTER OF:
1 - 1 	7 8	Application of Sun Oil Company of) CASE Delaware for an unorthodox gas well) 6711 location, Lea County, New Mexico.)
	9)
BOYD EPORTER 471-5465 57501	10	BEFORE: Richard L. Stamets
LTON THAND R (605) F Mexico	11	
W AN BRANC	12	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING
SALLY CERTIFIED US & Plaza Santa 7	13	
0 5 <u>5</u>	14 15	APPEARANCES
	10	
	17	For the Oil ConservationErnest L. Padilla, Esq.Division:Legal Counsel for the DivisionState Land Office Bldg.
	18	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87503
	19	
	20	For the Applicant: Conrad Coffield, Esq. HINKLE LAW FIRM
	21 22	P. O. BOX 3580 Midland, Texas
	23 24	
	25	

		Page 2	~
	1	INDEX	
	2		
	3	JAMES W. ADAMS	
	4	Direct Examination by Mr. Coffield 4	
	5	Cross Examination by Mr. Stamets 12	
	6		
	7		1
· · ·	8		
			and the second
-	9		* Ejacetike ensigen
11-2463	10		
05) 41 exteo	11	EXHIBITS	
New M	12		
	13	Applicant Exhibit One, Plat 6	
Santa Fe	14	Applicant Exhibit Two, Contour Map 7	
	15	Applicant Exhibit Three, Isopach 9	
	16	10	
	17	Applicant Exhibit Four, cross beetion	
	18	$M_{\rm eff}$ is the second	
· · · · · ·			
	19		
	20		
	21		
<u>د</u>	22		
. د.	23		
	24		
	25		

MR. STAMETS: We'll call next Case 6711. MR. PADILLA: Application of Sun Oil Company of Delaware for an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WALTON BOYD SHORTHAND REPORTED MR. COFFIELD: Conrad Coffield with the Hinkle Law Firm of Midland, Texas, appearing on behalf of the applicant, and Mr. Examiner, before we get started, I would like to draw the Examiner's attention to the fact that after this application was filed Sun Oil Company discovered that the unorthodox location sought in the application was seriously incompatible with irrigation equipment and facilities located on the surface, and therefore selected a location which instead of being 660 feet from the north line and 660 feet from the east line, is 1101 feet from the north line and 660 feet from the east line, thus making it closer to a normal location, and we would respectfully request permission to amend our application in that regard.

MR. STAMETS: Did you say 1100 feet from the north?

MR. COFFIELD: 1101. MR. STAMETS: 1101. MR. COFFIELD: Yes, sir. MR. STAMETS: Is there any objection to amending the location?

That location is less unorthodox --

MR. COFFIELD: Yes, sir. MR. STAMETS: -- than that proposed and in the absence of objection we will permit the application

Page

to be amended at this time. MR. COFFIELD: I have one witness to be

sworn.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

-24

25

(Witness sworn.)

JAMES W. ADAMS being called as a witness and having been duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Mr. Adams, would you please state your BY MR. COFFIELD: name, address, occupation, and employer? O. James W. Adams, Dallas, Texas, Consulting Geologist under contract to Sun Mark Exploration Company, 18 a Division of Sun Oil Company of Delaware. 19

Mr. Adams, have you previously testified Q. 21

Ц

before the Division as a geologist? 22

No, I have not. And would you please give a resume of Ά. 23

Q. your educational background?

BOYD WALTON I

SA

	Page5	
	A. I have a Bachelor's degree and Master's	
1	A. I have a product of Missouri; employed degree in geology from the University of Missouri; employed	
2	degree in geology from the as a petroleum geologist with Pureoil Company at Tulsa, Okla-	
3	as a petroleum geologico homa for six years. I was similarly employed as a petroleum	
4	homa for SIX years. I and Gas Company at Roswell, New geologist with Sinclair Oil and Gas Company at Roswell, the	
5	geologist with Sinclul Mexico, and Midland, Texas, for six years; employed by the	
6	Mexico, and Midland, form , Murphy Oil Corporation at Eldorado, Arkansas, as an explora-	
7	Murphy Oll Corporation and tion geologist for eight years; as a consulting geologist,	
8	tion geologist for elger f Dallas, Texas, for three years; and three years with Sun	
9		
10	Mark Exploration Company. And, Mr. Adams, are you familiar with the	
11		
12	application of Sun in this particular case?	
13	 A. Yes, I am. Q. And are you familiar with the property 	
14		
15		
16	A. Yes, I am.	
17	MR. COFFIELD: Is the witness considered	
18	Quartite	
19	MR. STAMETS: He is.	e
2		
2	what it is that Sun seeks by this application?	on
2	2 A. We propose to drill an unorthodox locati	
1	as a Mississippian gas well, the proration unit being the	st,
1	M South, Kange	01
:	 east half of Section of 25 Lea County, New Mexico. The proposed location will be 110 	

p

SALLY WALTON BOYD CERTIFUED SHORTHAND REPORTER 2020 Plaze Blanca (201) 172-2162 Blanca Fe, New Markoo 27501

feet from the north line and 660 feet from the east line of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Section 27. Q. Mr. Adams, on what general basis does Sun believe that this proposed location is more desireable than

ahe standard location?
 A. We believe on the basis of our geologic data that we can more effectively test the Mississippian formation at this location, plus we believe that we will be compatible with the irrigation, surface irrigation project.
 Q. All right, Mr. Adams, would you refer to what we've marked as Exhibit One and please identify that

A. Yes. This is a land plat depicting the leasehold ownership, showing Section 27, the proposed unit outline, and the area surrounding the proposed unit. It would indicate that in Section 27 Sun has a leasehold in the west half and the west half of the east half, the east half of the east half of 27, leasehold owned by Mobil Oil Corporation, which has agreed to give Sun a leasehold interest by the drilling of this proposed test.

tne utility of Sun owns a leasehold adjoining to the north. The leasehold to the northeast is owned by the Shell Oil Company, to the east by the Shell Oil Company and the Mobil Oil Company, to the southeast by the Mobil Oil Company Mobil Oil Company, to the southeast by the Mobil Oil Company and to the south one tract by Phillips Oil Company and the

	Page
	remaining acreage is open.
2	Q. Is it proposed that Sun be the operator on
3	this well?
4	A. Yes, it is proposed that Sun be the operator
5	Q. Where would a standard location well be
6	located?
7	A. Well, a standard location would be 1980
8	from the north line and 660 from the east line.
9	Q. So you're the same distance from the east
10	line, is that correct?
11	A. Yes, as we would be required for a standard
12	location.
13	Q But further to the north?
14	A. But further to the north.
15	Q. Will you please refer to what we've marked
16 0	as Exhibit Two and identify that for the Examiner?
17	A. Okay, Exhibit Two is a structural contour
18	map on the top of the Mississippian formation at a scale of
19	1 inch equal 1000 feet. This depicts the north end of the
20	Denton Field at the Mississippian level, and I'd like to
21	point out that the Denton Field is not productive now, nor
22	never has been productive of gas from the Mississippian
23	formation.
24	The wells are indicated on here by color.
25	The wells colored yellow have penetrated only the Wolfcamp

11

SALLY WALTON BOYD CENTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 3020 Flath Blanca (055) 471-2462 Sanka Po, New Mexico 37801 formation. Wells colored dark green have penetrated the Devonian formation, and therefore have penetrated also the Mississippian formation.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SALLY WALTON BOYD CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 3020 Plaza Blanca (605) 471-2462 Banta Pe. New Morton 27201 On this map I have indicated what we consider to be up-dip porosity limit. It's indicated by a dashed, dark dashed line with an orange boundary. Based on the well information we have, drill stem test information, this, to the best of my knowledge, would indicate the limits of our porosity in the Mississippian formation.

What we are proposing is to offset as close as possible the Mobil No. 7 Maxwell Well. This is a well that was drilled 330 from the east line and 990 from the north line of Section 27; a well which is presently producing from the Denton North Wolfcamp Unit. This well was one of the latter wells drilled to the Devonian in the Denton Field. It was drilled in 1955.

During the drilling of this well, gas shows were encountered in the Mississippian. Two drill stem tests were taken in this well, one of which flowed 8.3 million cubic feet of gas per day; another which flowed 5.4 million cubic feet of gas a day from the Mississippian formation.

The well was then drilled on to the Devonian formation, completed in the Devonian formation, and produced to depletion from the Devonian until 1968.

At that time the well was plugged back and

completion was attempted in the Mississippian formation. The completion was unsuccessful due to what we believe, formation damage. At that date the well was then recompleted in the Wolfcamp formation.

It is our proposal, then, at this location to evaluate the Mississippian at a position that we feel will be structurally compatible with the original test.

Q. And in brief summary, Mr. Adams, how would you describe the problems which, in your opinion, would arise if you drilled the well at an orthodox location?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

ALTON

A. Well, at an orthodox location I feel it's questionable that we would have porosity, and naturally, it's our desire to stay as close to the known gas shows as possible, since this is basically a wildcat well for the Mississippian formation.

Secondly, the surface location at an orthodox location would give us problems with the surface owner and his irrigation system.

Q. Now let's go on to Exhibit Three, Mr. Adams, and explain this to the Examiner.

A Okay, Exhibit Three is an Isopach map of the Mississippian, or thickness map of the Mississippian. It shows the same up-dip porosity pinchout limit as indicated on the structural map. It shows the same well code and the geologic history of the Mississippian in here has been one of erosion at the top of the Mississippian during the history of the formation of the Denton structure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

WALTON

It appears that the erosion or the thinner Mississippian does tend to enhance the porosity and I'm proposing to show by this map that -- that the Mississippian does thin as you proceed to the northeast corner of Section 27; therefore, at the proposed location we feel we would have a better chance at developing porosity than we would at an orthodox location.

Q. Now, let's go on next to Exhibit Four and please explain that to the Examiner.

A. Exhibit Four is a west-east cross section, the index map at the bottom indicates the line of cross section, and I would ask that you disregard the contours on the index map as being incorrect, but just use the index map to indicate the location of the cross section.

The cross section proceeds from the Texas Crude 127 Shell Maxwell to the Mobil Petroleum No. 7 Maxwell. The blue on the cross section indicates the zone of the Mississippian that we are interested in. The drill stem tests which recovered gas are indicated on the cross section. Then the structural relationships of the north end of the Denton Field are indicated as the cross section goes to the east side of the field.

Basically, at our proposed location we would

<u>15</u>

nearly twin the section, as indicated in the Mobil Petroleum No. 7 map.

Q Mr. Adams, are you offering this exhibit basically to again confirm the data reflected on the Isopach map as to the thickness of the pay?

A. Right, and the structural map, also. The Mississippian, the thickening and thinning is indicated on the cross section.

Q. Mr. Adams, were these Exhibits One through Four prepared by you or under your --

A. Yes, they were.

0.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1/

>18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WALTON

Mr. Adams, is it -- do you have -- does Sun have a problem with rig availability in connection with this particular well?

A Not to my knowledge,

Are you aware?

I am not aware of any problem.

MR. COFFIELD: Mr. Examiner, if I may interject here, I have been advised that there is such a problem and we would therefore respectfully request the expedition of this order.

Q Mr. Adams, in your opinion is the granting of this application in the interest of conservation, the prevention of waste, and the protection of correlative rights? Yes, it is.

2 MR. COFFIELD: Mr. Examiner, I move the
3 admission of Exhibits One through Four.

12

MR. STAMETS: These exhibits will be ad-

MR. COFFIELD: I have no further questions of the witness.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. STAMETS:

A.

Q Mr. Adams, we had another few people in here a few weeks ago who had a similar situation and they brought up the point that, perhaps, this was not Mississippian but actually it was Lower Pennsylvanian.

Do you have any thoughts on that matter? A. On -- on the basis of my original correlation work, I feel that this is -- these are Mississippian rocks of Chester age. I certainly cannot conclusively prove that.

MR. STAMETS: Any other questions of the witness? He may be excused.

Anything further in this case? Take the case under advisement.

(Hearing concluded.)

WALTON 30YD SHORTHAND REPORTER BLADCA (505) 471-345 9, New Mexico 57591 1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

13 Paor

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, Certified Shorthand Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability from my notes taken at the time of the hearing.

Sally M. Boyd, C.S.R.

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examinar hearing of Case No. 6711, 19.79 10 -31 by me on hears 76, Examiner Oil Concervation Division

SALLY WALTON BOYD CERTIFIED SHOITHAND REPORTER 1010 Planta Blanca (005) 472-9462 Banta Fe, New Maxico 871601 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT Oil Conservation Division 1 State Land Office Bldg. SantaFe, New Mexico 2 31 October 1979 3 EXAMINER HEARING 4 5 IN THE MATTER OF: 6 CASE Application of Sun Oil Company of Delaware for an unorthodox gas well) 6711 7 location, Lea County, New Mexico. 8 9 BEFORE: Richard L. Stamets SALLY WALTON BOYD CENTIFED SHORTHAND REPORTER CENTIFED SHORTHAND REPORTER 30380Phara Blanca (1015) 411-3463 34014 Pa, New Merico 87501 10 11 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 12 13 APPEARANCES 14 15 Ernest L. Padilla, Esq. Legal Counsel for the Division For the Oil Conservation 16 State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87503 Division: 17 18 Conrad Coffield, Esq. 19 HINKLE LAW FIRM For the Applicant: P. O. BOX 3580 20 Midland, Texas 21 22 23 24 25

JAMES W. ADAMS

SALLY WALTON BOYD CERTIFEED SHORTHAND REPORTER 1023 PULLE BILICA (565) 471-5452 Santu Fe, New Mexico 57501 Direct Examination by Mr. Coffield

Cross Examination by Mr. Stamets

INDEX

Paor

EXHIBITS

Applicant Exhibit One, Plat Applicant Exhibit Two, Contour Map Applicant Exhibit Three, Isopach Applicant Exhibit Four, Cross Section MR. STAMETS: We'll call next Case 6711. MR. PADILLA: Application of Sun Oil Company of Delaware for an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico.

MR. COFFIELD: Conrad Coffield with the Hinkle Law Firm of Midland, Texas, appearing on behalf of the applicant, and Mr. Examiner, before we get started, I would like to draw the Examiner's attention to the fact that after this application was filed Sun Oil Company discovered that the unorthodox location sought in the application was seriously incompatible with irrigation equipment and facilities located on the surface, and therefore selected a location which instead of being 660 feet from the north line and 660 feet from the east line, is 1101 feet from the north line and 660 feet from the east line, thus making it closer to a normal location, and we would respectfully request permission to amend our application in that regard.

MR. STAMETS: Did you say 1100 feet from the north?

MR COFFIELD: 1101. MR. STAMETS: 1101. MR. COFFIELD: Yes, sir. MR. STAMETS: Is there any objection to

amending the location?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SALLY WALTON CERTIFIED SHORTHAND R

That location is less unorthodox --

MR. COFFIELD: Yes, sir.

MR. STAMETS: -- than that proposed and in the absence of objection we will permit the application to be amended at this time.

MR. COFFIELD: I have one witness to be sworn.

(Witness sworn.)

JAMES W. ADAMS

being called as a witness and having been duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COFFIELD:

2

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SALLY WALTON BOYD CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTEI

(10)

Mr. Adams, would you please state your Q name, address, occupation, and employer?

James W. Adams, Dallas, Texas, Consulting A. Geologist under contract to Sun Mark Exploration Company, a Division of Sun Oil Company of Delaware.

Q.

Mr. Adams, have you previously testified before the Division as a geologist?

No, I have not.

And would you please give a resume of your educational background?

A. I have a Bachelor's degree and Master's degree in geology from the University of Missouri; employed as a petroleum geologist with Pureoil Company at Tulsa, Oklahoma for six years. I was similarly employed as a petroleum geologist with Sinclair Oil and Gas Company at Roswell, New Mexico, and Midland, Texas, for six years; employed by the Murphy Oil Corporation at Eldorado, Arkansas, as an exploration geologist for eight years; as a consulting geologist, Dallas, Texas, for three years; and three years with Sun Mark Exploration Company.

Q And, Mr. Adams, are you familiar with the application of Sun in this particular case?

A. Yes, I am.

And are you familiar with the property and the proposed well location?

Yes, I am.

A.

MR. COFFIELD: Is the witness considered qualified?

MR. STAMETS: He is.

Mr. Adams, would you please briefly state what it is that Sun seeks by this application?

A. We propose to drill an unorthodox location as a Mississippian gas well, the proration unit being the east half of Section 27 of Township 14 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico. The proposed location will be 1101

Y WALTON BOY D SHORTHAND REPORT A BANCA (695) 471-24 Fe, New Mexico 5750 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page _____6____

feet from the north line and 660 feet from the cast line of Section 27.

1

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

WALTON BOYL

Q Mr. Adams, on what general basis does Sun believe that this proposed location is more desireable than ahe standard location?

A. We believe on the basis of our geologic data that we can more effectively test the Mississippian formation at this location, plus we believe that we will be compatible with the irrigation, surface irrigation project.

Q. All right, Mr. Adams, would you refer to what we've marked as Exhibit One and please identify that for the Examiner?

A. Yes. This is a land plat depicting the leasehold ownership, showing Section 27, the proposed unit outline, and the area surrounding the proposed unit. It would indicate that in Section 27 Sun has a leasehold in the west half and the west half of the east half, the east half of the east half of 27, leasehold owned by Mobil Oil Corporation, which has agreed to give Sun a leasehold interest by the drilling of this proposed test.

Sun owns a leasehold adjoining to the north. The leasehold to the northeast is owned by the Shell Oil Company, to the east by the Shell Oil Company and the Mobil Oil Company, to the southeast by the Mobil Oil Company, and to the south one tract by Phillips Oil Company and the

remaining acreage is open. 1 Is it proposed that Sun be the operator on 2 0. this well? 3 Yes, it is proposed that Sun be the operator Α. 4 Where would a standard location well be 5 0 6 located? Well, a standard location would be 1980 A. 7 from the north line and 660 from the east line. 8 So you're the same distance from the east 9 Q. line, is that correct? 10 Yes, as we would be required for a standard 11 A. 12 location. But further to the north? 13 0. But further to the north. 14 Ā. Will you please refer to what we've marked 15 Q. as Exhibit Two and identify that for the Examiner? 16 Okay. Exhibit Two is a structural contour 17 A map on the top of the Mississippian formation at a scale of 18 1 inch equal 1000 feet. This depicts the north end of the 19 Denton Field at the Mississippian level, and I'd like to 20 point out that the Denton Field is not productive now, nor 21 never has been productive of gas from the Mississippian 22 23 formation. The wells are indicated on here by color. 24 The wells colored yellow have penetrated only the Wolfcamp 25

WALTON BOYD

formation. Wells colored dark green have penetrated the Devonian formation, and therefore have penetrated also the Mississippian formation.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

S.ALLY WALTON BOYD CERTIFRED SHORTHAND REPORTER

ипса (505) 471-34 New Mexico 87501 Pao

On this map I have indicated what we consider to be up-dip porosity limit. It's indicated by a dashed, dark dashed line with an orange boundary. Based on the well information we have, drill stem test information, this, to the best of my knowledge, would indicate the limits of our porosity in the Mississippian formation.

What we are proposing is to offset as close as possible the Mobil No. 7 Maxwell Well. This is a well that was drilled 330 from the east line and 990 from the north line of Section 27; a well which is presently producing from the Denton North Wolfcamp Unit. This well was one of the latter wells drilled to the Devonian in the Denton Field. It was drilled in 1955.

During the drilling of this well, gas shows were encountered in the Mississippian. Two drill stem tests were taken in this well, one of which flowed 8.3 million cubic feet of gas per day; another which flowed 5.4 million cubic feet of gas a day from the Mississippian formation.

The well was then drilled on to the Devonian formation, completed in the Devonian formation, and produced to depletion from the Devonian until 1968.

At that time the well was plugged back and

completion was attempted in the Mississippian formation. The completion was unsuccessful due to what we believe, formation damage. At that date the well was then recompleted in the Wolfcamp formation.

It is our proposal, then, at this location to evaluate the Mississippian at a position that we feel will be structurally compatible with the original test.

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BOYE

SALLY WALTON

Q And in brief summary, Mr. Adams, how would you describe the problems which, in your opinion, would arise if you drilled the well at an orthodox location?

A Well, at an orthodox location I feel it's questionable that we would have porosity, and naturally, it's our desire to stay as close to the known gas shows as possible, since this is basically a wildcat well for the Mississippian formation.

Secondly, the surface location at an orthodox location would give us problems with the surface owner and his irrigation system.

Q Now let's go on to Exhibit Three, Mr. Adams, and explain this to the Examiner.

A. Okay, Exhibit Three is an Isopach map of the Mississippian, or thickness map of the Mississippian. It shows the same up-dip porosity pinchout limit as indicated on the structural map. It shows the same well code and the geologic history of the Mississippian in here has been one of erosion at the top of the Mississippian during the history of the formation of the Denton structure.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

WALTON

It appears that the erosion or the thinner Mississippian does tend to enhance the porosity and I'm proposing to show by this map that -- that the Mississippian does thin as you proceed to the northeast corner of Section 27; therefore, at the proposed location we feel we would have a better chance at developing porosity than we would at an orthodox location.

Q. Now, let's go on next to Exhibit Four and please explain that to the Examiner.

A. Exhibit Four is a west-east cross section, the index map at the bottom indicates the line of cross section, and I would ask that you disregard the contours on the index map as being incorrect, but just use the index map to indicate the location of the cross section.

The cross section proceeds from the Texas Crude 127 Shell Maxwell to the Mobil Petroleum No. 7 Maxwell. The blue on the cross section indicates the zone of the Mississippian that we are interested in. The drill stem tests which recovered gas are indicated on the cross section. Then the structural relationships of the north end of the Denton Field are indicated as the cross section goes to the east side of the field.

Basically, at our proposed location we would

nearly twin the section, as indicated in the Mobil Petroleum No. 7 map.

9 Mr. Adams, are you offering this exhibit basically to again confirm the data reflected on the Isopach map as to the thickness of the pay?

A Right, and the structural map, also. The Mississippian, the thickening and thinning is indicated on the cross section.

Q. Mr. Adams, were these Exhibits One through Four prepared by you or under your --

A Yes, they were.

1

2

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

WALTON BOYD

Q Mr. Adams, is it -- do you have -- does Sun have a problem with rig availability in connection with this particular well?

A Not to my knowledge.

Q Are you aware?

I am not aware of any problem.

MR. COFFIELD: Mr. Examiner, if I may interject here, I have been advised that there is such a problem and we would therefore respectfully request the expedition of this order.

Q Mr. Adams, in your opinion is the granting of this application in the interest of conservation, the prevention of waste, and the protection of correlative rights? Yes, it is.

A,

MR. COFFIELD: Mr. Examiner, I move the admission of Exhibits One through Four.

MR. STAMETS: These exhibits will be ad-

MR. COFFIELD: I have no further questions of the witness.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. STAMETS:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

SALLY WALTON BOY CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORT

Q Mr. Adams, we had another few people in here a few weeks ago who had a similar situation and they brought up the point that, perhaps, this was not Mississippian but actually it was Lower Pennsylvanian.

Do you have any thoughts on that matter? A On -- on the basis of my original correlation work, I feel that this is -- these are Mississippian rocks of Chester age. I certainly cannot conclusively prove that.

MR. STAMETS: Any other questions of the witness? He may be excused.

Anything further in this case? Take the case under advisement,

(Hearing concluded.)

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

SALLY WALTON BOYD CENTIFIED SHOITTANND REPORTER 1028 Plaza Blanck (645) 471-4445 Banta Pe, New Mexico 27701 Page

I, SALLY W. BOYD, Certified Shorthand Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability from my notes taken at the time of the hearing.

Sally W. Boyd, C.S.R.

I do held in the foregoing is a constant of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No.

Oll Conservation Division 3) , Examiner

SUNMARK EXPLORATION COMPANY

PRAIRIEVIEW PROSPECT LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

EXHIBITS

1. Land Plat

2.

Structure Map on Top of the Chester Series Isopach Map of the Chester Series

E/W Structural Cross Section

Proposed Total Depth:

11,700'

Primary Objective:

Proposed Location:

3.

4.

Chester limestone of Mississippian at 11,250'

101' FNL & 660 FEL Section 27, T145, R37E, Lea County, New Mexico

Prospect Basis:

Prospect is based on Chester, Mississippian gas shows en-countered in the Magnolia #7 Maxwell well located 990'FNL & 330'FEL, Section 27, T14S, R37E, Lea County, New Mexico. A Chester test well at the proposed location should en-counter Chester porosity at a counter Chester porosity at a favorable structural position.

SUNMARK EXPLORATION COMPANY

PRAIRIEVIEW PROSPECT LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

EXHIBITS

Land Plat 2.

Structure Map on Top of the Chester Series Isopach Map of the Chester Series

E/W Structural Cross Section

Proposed Total Depth:

11,700'

Primary Objective:

Proposed Location:

Prospect Basis:

1.

3.

4.

Chester limestone of Mississippian at 11,250'

. 2

<u>//0/</u>FNL & <u>660</u> FEL Section 27, T14S, R37E, Lea County, New Mexico

Prospect is based on Chester, Mississippian gas shows en-countered in the Magnolia #7 Maxwell well located 990'FNL & 330'FEL, Section 27, T14S, R37E, Lea County, New Mexico. A Chester test well at the proposed location should en-counter Chester porosity at a counter Chester porosity at a favorable structural position.

APPLICATION OF SUN OIL COMPANY OF DELAWARE FOR AN UNORTHODOX WELL LOCATION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

AMENDED APPLICATION

Sun Oil Company of Delaware hereby makes application for approval of an unorthodox gas well location and states:

1. Applicant seeks approval of an unorthodox gas well location for a gas well to be drilled $\frac{Hot}{1100}$ feet from the North line and 660 feet from the East line of Section 27, Township 14 South, Range 37 East, N.M.P.M., Lea County, New Mexico, to test the Mississippian formation at a proposed depth of approximately 11,700 feet.

2. That the E½ of Section 27 is to be dedicated to the well.

3. Approval of the unorthodox location will be in the interest of conservation, prevention of waste and protection of correlative rights.

4. Applicant requests that this matter be heard at the October 31, 1979 Examiner's hearing.

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY

By: Conrad E. Coffield

Post Office Box 3580 Midland, Texas 79702 Attorneys for Sun Oil Company of Delaware

APPLICATION OF SUN OIL COMPANY OF DELAWARE FOR AN UNORTHODOX WELL LOCATION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

AMENDED APPLICATION

Sun Oil Company of Delaware hereby makes application for approval of an unorthodox gas well location and states:

1. Applicant seeks approval of an unorthodox gas well location for a gas well to be drilled $\frac{1101}{1100}$ feet from the North line and 660 feet from the East line of Section 27, Township 14 South, Range 37 East, N.M.P.M., Lea County, New Mexico, to test the Mississippian formation at a proposed depth of approximately 11,700 feet.

2. That the E½ of Section 27 is to be dedicated to the well.

3. Approval of the unorthodox location will be in the interest of conservation, prevention of waste and protection of correlative rights.

4. Applicant requests that this matter be heard at the October 31, 1979 Examiner's hearing.

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY

> Post Office Box 3580² Midland, Texas 79702 Attorneys for Sun Oil Company of Delaware

By: Conrad E. Coffield

APPLICATION OF SUN OIL COMPANY OF DELAWARE FOR AN UNORTHODOX WELL LOCATION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

AMENDED APPLICATION

Sun Oil Company of Delaware hereby makes application for approval of an unorthodox gas well location and states:

1. Applicant seeks approval of an unorthodox gas well location for a gas well to be drilled **EFO** feet from the North line and 660 feet from the East line of Section 27, Township 14 South, Range 37 East, N.M.P.M., Lea County, New Mexico, to test the Mississippian formation at a proposed depth of approximately 11,700 feet.

2. That the E_{2}^{1} of Section 27 is to be dedicated to the well.

3. Approval of the unorthodox location will be in the interest of conservation, prevention of waste and protection of correlative rights.

4. Applicant requests that this matter be heard at the October 31, 1979 Examiner's hearing.

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY

By:

billing and a second

Conrad E. Coffield Post Office Box 3580 Midland, Texas 79702 Attorneys for Sun Oil Company of Delaware

Docket No. 41-79

括

Dockets Nos. 42-79 and 43-79 are tentatively set for November 14 and 28, 1979. Applications for hearing must be filed at least 22 days in advance of hearing date.

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - OCTOBER 31, 1979

9 A.H. - OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Richard L. Stamets, Examiner, or Daniel S. Nutter, Alternate Examiner:

- CASE 6706: Application of Consolidated Oil & Gas, Inc. for alternate filing requirements under the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978, San Juan, Rio Arriba, and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order adopting alternate filing requirements under the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 whereby infill wells drilled in the Blanco Mesaverde and Basin-Dakota Pools pur-suant to the pool-wide infill drilling findings and rules promulgated for said pools by Division Orders Nos. R-1670-T and R-1670-V, respectively, would qualify as new onshore production wells.
- Application of Gulf Oil Corporation for a unit agreement, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the Southeast Bisti Unit Area, comprising 7,048 acres, more or less, of State and Federal lands in Townships 24 and 25 North, Range 10 West. CASE 6707:
- CASE 6708: Application of Doyle Hartman for an unorthodox well location, non-standard proration unit, and approval of infill drilling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of an 80-acre non-standard proration unit comprising the SW/4 NE/4 and SE/4 NW/4 of Section 36, Township 24 South, Range 36 East, Jalmat Gas Pool, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at an unorthodox location 2310 feet from the North line and 1650 feet from the East line of said Section 36; applicant further seeks a waiver of existing well spacing requirements and a finding that the drilling of said well is necessary to effectively and efficiently drain that portion of the proration unit which cannot be so drained by the existing well.

CASE 6695: (Continued from October 17, 1979, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Millard Deck Oil Company for a non-standard gas proration unit, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of an 80-acre non-standard gas prora-tion unit comprising the NE/4 NW/4 and NW/4 NE/4 of Section 36, Township 24 South, Range 36 East, Jalmat Gas Pool, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon.

CASE 6709: Application of HNG Oil Company for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the N/2 of Section 33, Township 16 South, Range 35 East, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASE 6710: Application of ARCO 011 and Gas Company for downhole commingling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the downhole commingling of Blinebry and Montoya production in the wellborge of the following wells on its State Y Lease: No. 3 located in Unit B, No. 6 located in Unit H, and No. 7 located in Unit A, all in Section 25, Township 25 South, Range 37 East.

Application of Sun Oil Company of Delaware for an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New CASE 6711: Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of a Mississippian test well to be located 660 feet from the North and East lines of Section 27, Town-ship 14 South, Range 37 East, the E/2 of said Section 27 to be dedicated to the well.

CASE 6712:

Application of Sun Gas Company for approval of infill drilling and simultaneous dedication, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks a waiver of existing well spacing requirements and a finding that the drilling of its N.M. Federal "N" Well No. 6-E to be located in Unit P of Section 6, Township 30 North, Range 12 West, Basin-Dakota Pool, is necessary to effectively and efficiently drain that portion of the proration unit which cannot be so drained by the existing well.

CASE 6713: Application of Depco Inc. for a unit agreement, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the White Ranch Unit Area, comprising 18,962 acres, more or less, of State, Federal, and fee lands in Townships 12 and 13 South, Ranges 29 and 30 East. Page 2 of 2 Examiner Hearing - Wednesday - October 31, 1979

Docket No. 41-79

ţ,

CASE 6684: (Continued from October 2, 1979, Examiner Hearing)

Application of CO_2 -In-Action, Inc. for creation of a new carbon dioxide gas pool and special pool rules, Marding County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the creation of the North Bueyeros-Santa Rosa CO_2 Gas Pool and the promulgation of special pool rules therefor, including a provision for 40-acre spacing and proration units. Said pool would comprise all or parts of Sections 1 thru 4, Township 20 North, Range 30 East, and Sections 8, 9, 10, 15, 16, 17, 20, 21, 22, 27, 28, 32, 33 and 34, Township 21 North, Range 30 East.

CASE 6714: Application of Jake L. Hamon for an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of a Morrow test well to be drilled 660 feet from the South and West lines of Section 20, Township 20 South, Range 36 East, North Osudo-Morrow Gas Pool, the S/2 of said Section 20 to be dedicated to the well.

EWIS C.COX, JR. PAUL W. EATON.JR. CONRAD E.COFFIELD HAROLD L. HENSLEY, JR. STUART D. SHANOR C. D. NARTIN PAUL J. KELLY, JR. JANES H. BOZARTH

DOUGLAS L.LUNSFORD PAUL M. BOKANNON U. DOUGLAS FOSTER K.DOUGLAS PERRIN C. RAY ALLEN JACQUELINE W ALLEN T. CALDER EZZELL, JR. WILLIAM B. BURFORD JOHN S. NELSON RICHARD E.OLSON

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY 1000 FIRST NATIONAL BANK TOWER

LAW OFFICES

POST OFFICE BOX 3580 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79702 (915) 683-4691

W. E. BONDUHAN F. OM

ATION DIVISION ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO SANATA FE 600 HINKLE BUILDING (505) 622-6510

ONLY ATTYS. COFFIELD, MARTIN, BOZARTH, BOHANNON, FOSTER, ALLEN, ALLEN & BURFORD LICENSED IN TEXAS

October 10, 1979

Case 6711

Mrs. Florene Davidson Oil Conservation Division Post Office Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Re:

Sun Oil Company of Delaware Application for Unorthodox Well Location

Dear Florene:

Per our telephone conversation of this date transmitted herewith you will find triplicate executed copies of an Application for Sun Oil Company of Delaware for approval of an unorthodox gas well location in Section 27, Township 14 South, Range 37 East, N.M.P.M., Lea County, New Mexico.

It is my understanding that the docket setting for October 31 is still available, and accordingly, we request that it be heard on that date.

I trust that the enclosed copies of the Application are all that is needed in order for this to be set for the October 31 hearing. However, if anything is needed in addition, please let me know.

Very truly yours,

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY

Conrad E. Coffield

CEC:rh Enclosures xc/enc: Mr. Wally Langston Sunmark Exploration Company Post Office Box 30 Dallas, Texas 75221 xc/enc: Mr. Russell Click Sunmark Exploration Company Post Office Box 30 Dallas, Texas 75221

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND MINERALS

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

APPLICATION OF SUN OIL COMPANY OF DELAWARE FOR AN UNORTHODOX WELL LOCATION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Case 6711

APPLICATION

Sun Oil Company of Delaware hereby makes application for approval of an unorthodox gas well location and states:

1. Applicant seeks approval of an unorthodox gas well location for a gas well to be drilled 660 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the East line of Section 27, Township 14 South, Range 37 East, N.M.P.M., Lea County, New Mexico, to test the Mississippian formation at a proposed depth of approximately 11,700 feet.

2. That the E_{2}^{1} of Section 27 is to be dedicated to the well.

3. Approval of the unorthodox location will be in the interest of conservation, prevention of waste and protection of correlative rights.

4. Applicant requests that this matter be heard at the October 31, 1979 Examiner's hearing.

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY

By: Conrad E. Coffield Post Office Box 3580 Midland, Texas 79702 Attorneys for Sun Oil Company of Delaware BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION ON THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND MINERALS

CONSERVATION DIVISION SANTA FE

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

APPLICATION OF SUN OIL COMPANY OF DELAWARE FOR AN UNORTHODOX WELL LOCATION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Case 6711

APPLICATION

Sun Oil Company of Delaware hereby makes application for approval of an unorthodox gas well location and states:

1. Applicant seeks approval of an unorthodox gas well location for a gas well to be drilled 660 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the East line of Section 27, Township 14 South, Range 37 East, N.M.P.M., Lea County, New Mexico, to test the Mississippian formation at a proposed depth of approximately 11,700 feet.

2. That the E_{3}^{1} of Section 27 is to be dedicated to the well.

3. Approval of the unorthodox location will be in the interest of conservation, prevention of waste and protection of correlative rights.

4. Applicant requests that this matter be heard at the October 31, 1979 Examiner's hearing.

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY.

By: Conrad E. Coffield Post Office Box 3580 Midland, Texas 79702 Attorneys for Sun Oil Company of Delaware

APPLICATION OF SUN OIL COMPANY OF DELAWARE FOR AN UNORTHODOX WELL LCCATION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Case 6>11

APPLICATION

Sun Oil Company of Delaware hereby makes application for approval of an unorthodox gas well location and states:

1. Applicant seeks approval of an unorthodox gas well location for a gas well to be drilled 660 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the East line of Section 27, Township 14 South, Range 37 East, N.M.P.M., Lea County, New Mexico, to test the Mississippian formation at a proposed depth of approximately 11,700 feet.

2. That the E½ of Section 27 is to be dedicated to the well.

3. Approval of the unorthodox location will be in the interest of conservation, prevention of waste and protection of correlative rights.

4. Applicant requests that this matter be heard at the October 31, 1979 Examiner's hearing.

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY

By: Conrad E. Coffield

Post Office Box 3580 Midland, Texas 79702 Attorneys for Sun Oil Company of Delaware

Sun Oil Co. og hlelaware Unorthodox Location 660/N + E 27-145-37E Lea County mississippian test .11, >00' At2 E12 Called in by Conrad Coffield on 10/10/29

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 6711 ORDER NO. R- 6/76

APPLICATION OF SUN OIL COMPANY OF DELAWARE

FOR AN UNORTHODOX GAS WELL LOCATION,

COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE DIVISION

BY THE DIVISION:

LEA

DRAFT

dr/

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on October 31 19 79, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Richard L. Stamets

NOW, on this ______ day of <u>November</u>, 1979, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

ìs

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Sun Oil Company of Delaware, originally requested and supervised of an unorthodox gas well location 660 feet from the 1 line and 660 feet from the East line of Section 27 , Township 14 South Range 37 East , NMPM, to test the Mississippian formation, Lea

County, New Mexico. That to avoid interference with agricultural epplicant seek Aperations The annen Longen provide 660 nesult in The & the ocar 283 unor the Phis proved

(5) That the E/2 of said Section 27 is to be dedicated to the well.

(6) That a well at said unorthodox location will better enable applicant to produce the gas underlying the proration unit.

(第) That no offset operator objected to the proposed unorthodox location.

-2-Case No._____ Order No. R-_____

(§) That approval of the subject application will afford the applicant the opportunity to produce its just and equitable share of the gas in the subject pool, will prevent the economic loss caused by the drilling of unnecessary wells, avoid the augmentation of risk arising from the drilling of an excessive number of wells, and will otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That an unorthodox gas well location for the <u>Mississippian</u> formation is hereby approved for a well to be located at a point <u>Point</u> feet from the <u>North</u> line and <u>660</u> feet from the <u>East</u> line of Section <u>27</u>, Township <u>14 South</u>, Range <u>37 East</u> NMPM, <u>Pool, Lea</u> County, New Mexico.

(2) That the <u>E/2</u> of said Section <u>27</u> shall be dedicated to the above-described well.

(3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division, may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.