CASE 6719: SAN H. SNODDY FOR AN AMEND-MENT TO ORDER NO. R-5521, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

 $\hat{\phi}$ 

Colorado - Sala

Court 40 Dec 12 & reade.

75C Number ( -7 19 )Application Transcripts. Small Exhibits

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG. SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 12 December 1979

#### EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

Application of Sam H. Snoddy for an ) amendment of Order R-5521, Lea County,) New Mexico.

CASE 6719

3

)

BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

#### APPEARANCES

For the Oil Conservation Division:

57

Ernest L. Padilla, Esq. Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

MR. NUTTER: "e'll call next Case Number

MR. PADILLA: Application of Sam H. Snoddy for an amendment to Order No. R-5521, Lea County, New Mexico.

MR. NUTTER: We heard this case last month. It became necessary to amend the target area for the well. I was advised this morning that it appears that the bottom of the hole will be approximately 86 feet south and 274 feet east of the center of the southwest quarter of the section, which would be within the range targeted in this new advertisement.

Are there appearances in Case Number

6719?

6719.

We'll take the case under advisement.

(Hearing concluded.)

### REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Boyd

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Casy no. 6719 heard by me on 12/12 19.79

\_, Examiner un. Of Conservation Division

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENURGY AND MINUBALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSURVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 12 December 1979

1.1

### EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

Application of Sam H. Snoddy for an ) amendment of Order R-5521, Lea County,) New Mexico.

BEFORE: Daniel S. Nuttor

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

# APPEARANCES

For the Oil Conservation Division:

Ernest L. Padilla, Esq. Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

1

CASE

MR. HUTTER: We'll call next Case Number

6719.

MR. PADILLA: Application of Sam H. Snoddy for an amendment to Order Ho. R-5521, Lea County, New Mexico.

MR. NUTTER: We heard this case last month. It became necessary to amend the target area for the well. I was advised this morning that it appears that the bottom of the hole will be approximately 86 feet south and 274 feet east of the center of the southwest quarter of the section, which would be within the range targeted in this new advertisement.

(Hearing concluded.)

Are there appearances in Case Number

We'll take the case under advisement.

6719?

# PDPORTUR'S CERTIFICALL

I, SALLY W. BOYD, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

# Sally W. Boyd, C.S.R.

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No.67/9, heard by me on 12/12 19.79.

, Examiner Oll Conservation Division



# STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT **DIL CONSERVATION DIVISION**

BRUCE KING COVERNOR LARRY KEHOE SECRETARY

# January 10, 1980

POST DFFICE BOX 2008 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501 (505) 827-2434

Mr. James T. Jennings Re: Jennings & Christy P. O. Box 1180 Roswell, New Mexico 88201

CASE N	· · · ·	6719
ORDER	NO.	R-5521-B

Applicant:

Sam H. Snoddy

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Division order recently entered in the subject case.

Pours very truly, 1 JUE D. RAMEY Director

### JDR/fd

Copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCD	x
Artesia OCD	x
Aztec OCD	

Other

### STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 6719 Order No. R-5521-B

5 19 19 × 10

weeks

APPLICATION OF SAM H. SNODDY FOR AN AMENDMENT TO ORDER NO. R-5521, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

#### ORDER OF THE DIVISION

#### BY THE DIVISION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on December 12, 1979, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel S. Nutter.

NOW, on this <u>9th</u> day of January, 1980, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

### FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicar in this case, Sam H. Snoddy, received authority under Division Order No. R-5521 to directionally drill his Federal Well No. 2 from a surface location 660 feet from the South line and 760 feet from the East line of Section 25, Township 20 South, Range 32 East, NMPM, South Salt Lake-Morrow Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to a bottom hole location within 200 feet of a point 1320 feet from the South line and 1320 feet from the West line of said Section 25, and to dedicate the SW/4 of Section 25 to the well as a 160-acre non-standard gas proration unit.

(3) That Division Order No. R-5521-A amended said Order No. R-5521 to provide that the aforesaid directionally drilled well would be dedicated to a 320-acre non-standard gas provation unit comprising the SW/4 of Section 25 and the NW/4 of Section 36, Township 20 South, Range 32 East, NMPM. -2-Case No. 6719 Order No. R-5521-B

(4) That the applicant now seeks the further amendment of Division Order No. R-5521 to permit the subject well to be bottomed within 460 feet of a point 1320 feet from the South and West lines of the aforesaid Section 25.

(5) That such amendment will not violate correlative rights nor cause waste and should be approved.

### IT IS THEREFORE OPDERED:

(1) That the third paragraph of Order No. (1) of Division Order No. R-5521 is hereby amended to read in its entirety as follows:

"Federal Well No. 2, from a surface location 660 feet from the South line and 760 feet from the East line of said Section 25 to a bottom hole location within 400 feet of a point 1320 feet from the South and West lines with the SW/4 of said Section 25 and the NW/4 of Section 36, Township 20 South, Range 32 East, a 320-acre non-standard gas proration unit hereby approved, being dedicated thereto."

(2) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.



STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION 1x1C JOE D. RAMEY Director

fd/



NOND ROAD



FELMONT FED. NO.Y Sec. 25-205-30E at the Exeration LEA Co., N.M. ToTAL COST THEN 11-8-79 TO DIRECT WELL -5 ToTAL Days AUG COST/Day n ADD. Days TO TO ATTER PB **k**R EST, TOTAL DOST TO DIEECTIONALLY DRILL BEECR Shelley 14 | 14K SON  $|\mathbf{N}|$ 11X1111111110. CASE NO. -TT i į ĺ







			· / / · / · / · · · · ·		4	
<u>-</u>		25110121	╢ ╋╼┎╒┲┲┲┲┲	$\frac{1}{1}$	╫╌╌╌╴╴	╫
	TOTAL LOST	1 378,831	╢╶┧┼┟╽┼┼┼	╋╋	╢╌┽┽┽┽┽┥╌	╫┼┼┼┼┼┼
	THEIL 11-8-79 TO DIRECT	╶╢╶┤┼┼┼┼┼┼	╢╌┽┼┿┽┼┽╡╴	╫╶┤┼┼┦┤┼┽┥╴	╢╶┨┼┼┼┼┼┼┼	╫╌┼┼┼┼┼┼┼
	WELL					
		╶╫╌┼┽╎╎╎┼┼╌╴	╟╶╽╎╎╎╎╎	╺╋╍┨┽╎┥┥┥╴	╫┼┼┼┼┼┼	╋╌╃┽╎╂┟┾╅╌
	TIT ID	74	╋╌┥┟┼┽╎┾┼╌	╫┼┼┼┼┼┼	╋┧┽┼┼┼┽┿╌	╋╂┼┼┼┼┽┥╍
	ToTAL Day:		╋╍┼┼┼┼┼┼┼			╋┾┼┼┼┼┼┼
	AUG COST/DECY	5/19	╟╴╿╵╎╿╿╿╿	╫┼┼┼┼┼		╫┼┼┼┼┼┼
		·╫─┼┼┼┼ <u>└</u> ┨		╫╴┼╍┼┼┽┽┽╸	╫╌┼┦┼┼┼┼┼	╫┼┿┽┼┽┽
	ADD. Days TO TO ATTER PB	33		╋┾┾┾╄┼┾┼	╫╌┼┼┼┼┼┼	╫┼┼┼┼┼┼
	AFTER PB	A168927				
			┢╶╁╌┼┼┼┼┝	╫┶┵┊╁╴┊┤┦┈	╫┤┤┼┼┼┼┤╴	╫╌┤┼┆┼┼┼┼
	EST. TOTAL	547758		╫┊┊┼┼		
<b> </b>	DOST TO DIRECTIONALL	╫╶╊╪┼╃┼╡╂╌╴		╋╶┼╌╴╴	╢┤┽┼┼┼┼	╫┼┼┼┼┼┼
	DRILL					
						$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 $
		╢╎╎┼┼┼┼┼	┫─┼╍┿┯╃╛╋┥┥	╢╌┦┾┽┦┽╵┼╌╸	╫┽┼┼┼┽┽	╫┼┼┼┼┽┽
		╉╂┼┼┼┼┼╌		╫┼┼┼┼┼┼	╫┼┼┼┼┼┼	╋╁┼┦┼╎┼
		╫╀╁╁┼┼┿┯╌		$\frac{1}{1}$	╢┼┼┼┼┼┼	╢╏╎╏╹╹╹
						╋╋╌
				╢┤┽┼┤┽┼┽	╫╶┼┽┽┼╄━╵	╉╼╁┽╿┨┝┥┿╇╸
		╫╌┧┼┟╏┼┼┼╌╴	┢╍┽┼┼┽╊╌	╢╀┦┼╋╏╏╴	╢╫┼┼┼┼┼┼	
-						
		╢╌┤┥┼╽╵╽				P INTITER
				and	5	\ <u>+</u> ++ <b>\$</b> ++++-
				CASE NO	6719-	
					╢╶┧┑╎╎┊╎	

JAMES T. JENNINGS SIM B. CHRISTY IX DEAN G. CONSTANTINE LAW OFFICES OF JENNINGS & CHRISTY 1012 SECURITY NATIONAL BANK BUILDING P. O. BOX 1180 ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO 88201

OIL CONSERVAT SANTA FE NOISTON

October 15, 1979

Case 6719

Oil Conservation Division Energy and Minerals Dept. P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Attention: Mr. Joe D. Ramey Director

Re: Case 6448 Order No. R-5521-A

Gentlemen:

On February 28, 1979 the Division entered of the captioned Order in connection with an application of our client; Sam H. Snoddy, which permits directional drilling of the Federal Well #2 in Section 25, Township 20 South, Range 32 East, N.M.P.M., Lea County, New Mexico.

The drilling operator: Grace Petroleum Company, has spent in excess of \$250,000.00 trying to meet the "target area" specified in the Order. It appears that the anticipated bottom of the hole will be 1,285 feet from the west line which is within the required distance, but will be 1,014 feet from the south line which is short of the "target area" by about 106 feet.

At this writing the true vertical depth of the hole is 13,600 feet and the measured vertical depth is 14,337 feet.

In view of the excessive costs of continuing to try and meet the "target area" prescribed in the captioned Order, which will result in waste, we respectfully request that the Commission consider permitting a further amendment to the captioned Order to permit the well to be bottomed at approximately 1,285 feet from the west line and 1,014 feet from the south line of Section 25. The offset acreage to the south affected by this request has been communitized with the SW½ Section 25 so that there will not be any impairment of correlative rights by the Oil Conservation Division October 15, 1979 Page Two

granting of this request.

Hopefully the request can be granted administratively, but if a hearing is necessary, then we request that it be put on the next available Examiner Docket.

Since I will be out of the country for the balance of the month, I would appreciate it if your reply would be addressed to Mr. James T. Jennings of this office.

Respectfully,

JENNINGS) & CHRISTY <u>⁄s.</u> В. Chisty,

SBC:kw

xc: Sam H. Snoddy

					Page	<u>l</u>
			1 2 3	ENERGY AND MI OIL CONSERV STATE LAND	NEW MEXICO NERALS DEPARTMENT ATION DIVISION OFFICE BLDG.	
			3		, NEW MEXICO vember 1979	
			4 5	EXAMIN	ER HEARING	
v V Se			6			
			7	IN THE MATTER OF:	)	
			8	Application of Sam amendment to Order County, New Mexico.	No. R-5521, Lea )	CASE 6719
		o € 1		country, new mexico.	)	
M		N BOY	10 11	BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter		
		MALTO	12			
	• • •	SALLY SENTPRD 2 Sente 70 Bante 70	13	TRANSCRI	PT OF HEARING	
			14 15	АРРЕ	ARANCES	
			16			
	- - - - - -		17	For the Oil Conservation Division:	Ernest L. Padilla Legal Counsel for	r the Divisio
	-		18 19		State Land Office Santa Fe, New Me	
			20	For the Applicant:	James T. Jenning	gs, Esq.
			21		JENNINGS & CHRI Roswell, New Me	STY
			22 23			
			24			
			25			
			•			

.

<del>د</del>.

-

INDEX GARRALD O. WEBB Direct Examination by Mr. Jennings Cross Examination by Mr. Nutter ALTON BOY EXHIBITS SALLY W Applicant Exhibit One, Plat Applicant Exhibit Two, Diagram Applicant Exhibit Three, List 

	1		
	1	MR. NUTTER: We'll call next Case Number	
	2	6719.	
	3	MR. PADILLA: Application of Sam H. Snoddy	
	4	for an amendment to Order No. R-5521, Lea County, New	
	5	Mexico.	
	6	MR. JENNINGS: I'm James T. Jennings of	
	7	Jennings and Christy, appearing on behalf of the applicant	
	8	and we will have one witness, Mr. Webb.	
	9		
	10	(Witness sworn.)	
	11		
NAL T HOM HA	12	GARRALD O, WEBB	
	13	being called as a witness and having been duly sworn upon	
	14	his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:	
	15		
	16	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
	17	BY MR, JENNINGS:	
	18	Q. I would like to make a brief statement be-	
	19	fore we proceed with the testimony.	
	20	As the Examiner is aware, the application	
	21	in this case was to permit the well to be bottcmed at appro-	
	22	ximately 1014 feet from the south line and 1285 feet from	
	23	the west line of Section 25, and the original the Order	
	24	5521, I guess, provided that the well would be bottomed	ŀ
	25	within 200 feet of a point which would be 1320 feet from the	
			l I

ĺ

the second second

south line and 1320 feet from the west line of Section 25, 1 which I think is the center section. 2 And as the proof progresses, we might 3 want to make an amendment to our application to provide that 4 the well would be located within -- bottomed within 400 feet 5 of that point rather than 200 feet, and it might well deve-6 lop the well will be actually bottomed within the original 7 designation, but at this point the well has not been com-8 pleted so we do not really know. 9 10 (Mr. Jennings continuing.) Would you Q. 11 state your name, occupation, please, sir? 12 Α. Garrald O. Webb. I'm a Senior Drilling 13 Engineer for Grace Petroleum Corporation. 14 Mr. Webb, what is your educational back-Q. 15 ground? 16 Α. I graduated from the University of Kansas 17 in 1950, B.S. in Petroleum Engineering. 18 And what is your employment since that Q. 19 time? 20 A. It has been with the Amerada Petroleum 21 Corporation and Anson Corporation, Natura (sic) Resources 22 and the current employer, Grace Petroleum. 23 Well, just briefly during the periods and Q. 24 what your occupations -- and your duties were during those 25 periods.

LY WALTON BOY TED BHOUTHAND REPORT AND BHOUL (645) 411-34 A.P. NUT MODIO 373-04

Α. I advanced from -- from a Junior Petroleum 1 Engineer through Assistant Chief Engineer with Amerada 2 Petrolcum; later --3 Q. How long were you with them? 21 years with Amerada. A. Go ahead, excuse me. Q. And later I acquired a registered profes-A. sionalism in the State of North Dakota and transferred by reciprocity to the State of Oklahoma. I'm a Registered 9 Professional Engineer. 10 11 Q. Have you had occasion to testify before 12 other regulatory commissions, other than the New Mexico Oil 13 Conservation Division? 14 A Yes, I have. 15 And I believe one of your qualifications Q. 16 is that you graduated with Mr. Ramey, is that right? 17 That's right, yes. A. 18 MR. JENNINGS: Are the witness' qualifica-19 tions acceptable? 20 MR. NUTTER: Yes, the witness is qualified. 21 (Mr. Jennings continuing.) Mr. Webb, you Q. 22 are familiar with the application which has been filed and 23 is now pending before the Commission. 24 Yes, I am. A. 25 Would you just briefly tell us about the Q.

5

WALTON BOYD BHORTHAND REPORTEN Bhinch (1945) 471-343 Pa, Nert Marico 57591

191 .....

history of the well in question, where it is, and the problems that you are now encountering?

A Yes. Originally, of course, by a previous order we were permitted a radius of 200 feet from the -which would have been 1320 from the west line and 1320 from the south line of Section 25, but we found in the process of drilling that we were unable to maintain angle. We were unable to maintain direction, and after our Eastman had worked on it for a number of weeks, we found that doglegs become more severe. We were in -- the hole was in jeopardy. And at that point in time we felt it necessary to seek some sort of relief.

Recently, within the last ten days we have plugged back from 12,400 plus, back to 11,500, in an effort to get rid of our most severe dogleg situation, which caused the well to go to the right, which would have been north. All of the other drilling history had caused the well to turn to the left, which would have put us in the -- more south, and that was the -- where the first -- our first request had originated.

But this is the basic problem. We are unable to hold direction. We are unable to hold angle. We're going to -- do you want to go into the economics of this?

No, I don't think --

Q.

Y WALTON BOYD ID BHORTHAND REPORTER 24 Bhance (101) 471-3461 74, Nery Mexidio 57501 2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

All right, but that is basically our prob-A. 2 lem. We have -- and because of that, we have --Eastman has found themselves unable to control the drilling process, so 3 that we would end up within the designated 200 foot radius. And because of the dogleg severity, and at that point in time we are jeopardizing a well in which we have currently \$1,500,000 in. We did not feel it wise 7 to continue drilling, so we plugged back at that point. So that's where we are right now. 10 Are you drilling ahead at this time? Ô. 11 Yes. A 12 At what depth? 0. 13 Approximately 11,900. A. 14 MR. NUTTER: Are you talking about the 15 length of the hole or depth from the surface? 16 This is measured depth, Mr. Examiner. A. 17 This is the measured depth. 18 MR. NUTTER: Measured depth, okay. 19 û Okay. Mr. Webb, if you will refer to what 20 has been marked as Sncddy Exhibit Number One, and review 21 that for the Commission and tell them the reason for the 22 location of the well, too. I know the Commission is aware 23 but I think you ought to indicate that. 24 A. The surface location is in the southeast 26 quarter of Section 25 and our objective was to deviate the

Y WAI,TON BOYD B HORTHAND NEPONTER 2 Binaci (1965) 411-2453 well 3260 feet north, 80 degrees west, and which would have bottomed in the southwest quarter, the center of the southwest quarter of Section 25.

Q Well, now referring to the exhibit, just review what it reflects as to the offset operators and the location of the existing wells.

A. This is a plat showing a portion of Lea County, namely the South Salt Lake area, of those properties immediately about those lands in which we have an interest.

Felmont held the lease and we earned --had to drill and produce to earn, and that is the reason you'll notice that Felmont is indicated on the lease plat.

You notice to the north half of Section 25 we've already drilled the Felmont Federal No. 1. it is on production. This is the second well that we will have drilled in the area, a non-standard spacing unit, consisting of the southwest quarter of Section 25 and the northwest quarter of Section 36 has been approved by the Commission, and then we have Section 26, which is also withir the same farmout agreement that we had with Felmont, and it, as I said before, this was a drill and produce to earn the acres. So we are surrounded, essentially, by

acreage held by us.

Q. This is the third well that's drilled off the same pad, is it not?

ALT WALTON BOYD

1

2

3

5

ß

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. Yes. This is -- the Richards No. 1 was drilled. 100 foot north the Felmont Federal No. 1 surface location, and then to the west 100 feet is where the Felmont Federal No. 2 is located.

Q The proposed location for the bottom of the well is well within the rules as prescribed by the Oil Conservation Division, isn't it?

Yes, it is.

A.

Q Now, Mr. Webb, if you would again refer to what has been marked as Exhibit Number Two, and explain to the Commission what that represents.

A This -- I tried to show, this is a plan view of the horizontal displacement that has been conducted on this well. You'll notice that the surface location, which is 660 feet from the south line and 760 from the east line, and then there are a number of -- at various depths you'll find our course deviation, and you'll notice we went from north 89 degrees west at 7532 to -- and if you'll look down through, you'll see that we had difficulty controlling and you will see, for instance, at 10,230 feet we had changed directions and with the die drill and moved it back to north 72 degrees west.

All this time we were holding an angle of any place from 23 to 25 degrees, but as we proceeded to drill, we were unable to maintain this --- and it requires

Y WALTON BOYD ED HONTHAND REPONTER 24 BILDOR (161) 417 349 179, New Maxido 57561 2

3

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

10

that we have essentially 26 degrees north 80 degrees west 1 to come into our target. 2

We were unable to maintain our 25 and 26 3 degrees. The angle got as low as 16 to 18 and we were unable to lift the angle and it continued to turn to the left and lose, so that was -- this graphically shows the trace of our actual drilling program down to 11,903, and that's where we were when we plugged back at 12,004, and that is not shown, those last few points are not shown. I only 9 showed what had happened after that -- before that, rather. 10

Where do you now hope to bottom the hole? Q. We would hope to be able to be within the A. permitted 200 feet, but our problem is, of course, if we're unable to do this, we can't control it. If we can't control it, then we have a well drilled without being in the authorized location, and --

17 Q. Well, how large an area do you think that 18 you might need or encompass where you might bottom?

19 A. What we would like to have, which would 20 be a 400 foot radius, if we might, which would at the most 21 put us 920 feet from either the south or the west lines, 22 and we believe that we can stay within those limits.

What would be the total objective depth of Q. this well?

A.

23

24

25

14,600 was the first depth. That was

5

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

	h	
		Pege 11
	1	the measured depth, and the 13,500 was the true vertical
	2	depth, I believe, if I'm not mistaken.
	3	MR, NUTTER: 13 what?
	4	A. 13,500.
	5	Q Is it possible to drill under the present
	6	conditions, the existing rules and regulations, in Section
	7	26?
	8	A. No.
	5	Q I believe that you this acreage and the
1001	10	acreage to the west is underlined by the potash area.
Janua Fa, Nari Maziko 81891	11	A. That is my that is my understanding,
	12	that all of Section 26 is in the same potash area.
	13	Q. Mr. Webb, just refer to what has been re-
5	14	presented marked as Exhibit Three, if you would, and
	15	tell the Examiner what that represents.
	16	A Yes. I apologize for the fact that this
	17	is a work copy. I apologize for that.
	18	The total costs that we have incurred to
	19	November the 8th, just to direct the well, that would in-
	20	clude directional expense, not the drilling rig, not the
	21	mud, not the bits, anything else other than the cost in-
	22	curred to direct the well to its current depth, we've spent
	23	\$378,831.
	24	Presuming that it requires 33 more days
	25	after we plugged back to be able to accomplish our first
		arear we praygon rach to be unto to accompation our read

ţ, .

SALLY WAILTON BOYD CENTIFIED BHORTHAND REPORTER 2010 Mark Blanca (195) 412-2403 Barla Pa, Mark (195) 412-2403

. .

~,

()

ĺ

	, <b>P39</b>	
1	objective, then our total expenditure would be \$548,000.	
2	That is the cost to direct the well and not to drill, as such,	1
3	but only to maintain and direct the well to its designated	
4	total depth.	and the second secon
5	Q All that is by reason of the fact that the	
6	area is in the potash area.	the second second second
7	A. It's in the potash area and, as you cross	
8	the strike, as you change dip, and such, wells do not react	
9	the same going against the dip as they do up dip or down dip,	and the second
10	or any other way.	in the second
11	Q. Who have you engaged to handle the direction	
12	al drilling?	and the second second
13	A. Eastman Whipstock.	and a start
14	Q Mr. Webb, do you feel that the change of	N. S.
15	proposed change in location or the objective, final location	Section Section
16	of the well will in any way impair the correlative rights	تستعدا للمناطحة
. 17	of any of the operators in the area, offset operators?	Sec. water
18	A. No, no way at all.	يتشعرك يعتك
19	0. It's completely within the orthodox normal	and the second second
20	drìllìng?	All and a second second
21	A. Uh-huh.	عفاقتانيا بع
22	Q I don't know whether this question is right	
23	or not. I don't know whether this would be in the interest	
24	of conservation in light of what all you've spent.	
25	A. Well, this is, if we can have our the	

SALLY WALTON' ROYD CENTIFIED SHONTHAND REPONTEN 2020 Plaza Blanca (445) 471-5442 Baria Po, Now Maxico 5756)

...)

ĺ

The second second second

Ë

12

Page

	1		
	<sup></sup> 1	radius by which we can complete this relaxed, then we would	
	2	naturally reduce those expenses incurred in the forthcoming	
	3	completing the program.	
	4	Q And you're drilling ahead and you antici-	
	. 5	pate that it will be another 33 days?	
	6	A. I would imagine. That's our best estimate	
	7	is between 33 to 40 days.	
	8	Q. How many days have you been on the well?	
	9	A. Well, we have been on 112 so far.	1
1091	10	Q. Mr. Webb, do you have anything further	
	11	that you wish to offer in connection with this, any comments,	,   .
N PUN	12	suggestions?	
Santa Fe, Now Mexico 57501	13	A. No, I believe we've included all our	-
	14	statement.	
	15	MR. JENNINGS: We would like to offer	
	16	or wait.	
	17	Q. Were Exhibits Number One to Three prepared	
	18	by you or under your direction?	
	19	A. Yes, they were.	
	20	MR, JENNINGS: We would like to offer Ex-	
	21	hibits One to Three and we would further like to offer the	
	22	testimony heretofore entered in Case Number 5982 and 6448,	
	23	which were prior hearings in connection with this same well	
	24	and ask that they be incorporated in the record.	
	25	MR, NUTTER: The record in Cases Numbers	
			1

SALLY WALTON BOYD CERTIFIED SMOTTAKIND MEPONTER 3930 Plana Blacan (645) 471-2442 Blanka PC, Nither Martidoo 171301

 $\bigcirc$ 

)

ĺ

13\_\_\_\_\_

Page

5982 and 6448 will be incorporated in the record of this case by reference.

MR. JENNINGS: We have nothing further with this witness.

### CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NUTTER:

1

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A.

Q Mr. Webb, now the surface location of the well is 760 feet from the east line of the section and the original target was within 200 feet of a point 1320 feet from the west line, so that meant that you were going to have a horizontal displacement to the west of approximately 3200 feet, is that it?

A. Yes, sìr. Yes, sìr.

Q. And what distance did you get out there before you decided to come back and --

A. About a little over 2100 feet, Mr. Examiner
we got that far.

Q. Now I have a letter that was written October 15th at the time that it was thought that the hole would be bottomed 1285 feet from the west line and 1014 feet from the south line, and it stated at that time that the measured depth of the hole was 14,337 and the true vertical depth was 13,600.

That was the proposed total depth at the

V WALTON BOYD D BHORTHAND REPORTER 2 BAURN (195) 171-5415 74, Nert Maslee 17761

	1	location if we ma	de it into the target. That was where it					
	2	was supposed to b	ave been.					
	3	Q	But that wasn't actually where it was.					
	4	А.	It wasn't the drilling depth at that point.					
	5	Q	I see, there's					
	6	A	No, sir.					
	7	Q	mistake, then in the description there.					
	8	A.	Oh, yeah, that is right.					
	9	<u>3</u>	And then at the time this case was adver-					
1054	10	tised we were und	er the impression that the hole had been					
le mieo	11	had reached its target and that the bottom of the hole was						
A EN	iź	1014 from the sou	th line and 1285 from the south line.					
Benta Pe, New Mexico 87501	13	A.	No, the					
	14	Q	I mean from the west line.					
	15	А.	Yes. I understand why you would have been					
	16	led to believe th	lat.					
	17	Q.	And that was the reason that this was ad-					
	18	vertised in this	manner, that it was going to be bottomed					
	19	at this point.						
	20	A.	Yes, I understand.					
	21	Q.	Now, we didn't advertise the tolerance on					
	22	this.						
	23	A.	Uh-huh,					
	24	Q.	At approximately this point.					
	25	А.	Uh-huh.					
		ł						

SALLY WALTON BOYD CERTIFIED ENDITIAND REPORTER 1110 Parts Ballet (515) 471-9455 Ballet Pr. North Revention

Í

1 So now what you think is that you really Q. don't know where it's going to bottom. 2 That's true, That's true, Mr. Examiner. 3 A. We don't have -- we really don't have any idea of that. 4 5 You're still back away 1000 feet from your Q. 8 target. 7 That's right. That's right. And so you have suggested that if a radius 0. 9 of 400 feet from the original target of 1320/1320 were set, 10 that you could fall within that 400 foot radius. 11 We are -- we believe strongly, we're confi-A. 12 dent that we can -- we can come within that. We may be --13 it may not be the case, but that's the way we feel at this 14 point. 15 Did you have any trouble with the hole Q. 16 going up and down? 17 A. All over. It had left turn when it should 18 have been right and then when we got down to 12,000 feet we 19 had a right turn and it was drastic, and it was the cause of 20 a severe dogleg, and at that point we --21 Are you drilling this with a conventional Q. 22 bit and whipstock or are you drilling with Dynadrill? 23 Dynadrill, Dynadrill, bent sub and such, A, 24 the conventional deviation equipment. 25 MR, NUTTER: Mr. Jennings, I think that we

		Page 17	
	1	could take the case under advisement today and re-advertise	
	2	the case to amend the target depth. We couldn't enter an	
	3	order before the hearing on December the 12th, which is the	
	4	earliest it could be advertised.	
	5	How long is it going to take you to get to	
	6	your target area?	
	7	A. It will be about 30 days; it would about	
	8	that 14th of December, or so, Mr. Examiner.	
	9	MR, JENNINGS: We might know where it is	
	10	by then.	
	11	MR. NUTTER: Well, we might have to amend	
•	12	the application and re-advertise it for January.	
	13	MR. JENNINGS: I might say this, Mr. Exa-	
	14	miner, if the Examiner has any questions of the Eastman	
	15	Whipstock representative, he is here, Mr. Gregg, the District	
	16	Operation Manager, is here and	
	17	MR, NUTTER: Oh, I don't want to ask East-	
	18	man why they can't	
	19	So if we take it under advisement and	
	20	withhold an order until after the case has been re-advertised,	
	21	will that be satisfactory with you, Mr. Jennings?	
	22	MR. JENNINGS: Well, under the circum-	
	23	stances I don't think there's much else we can do.	
	24	MR, NUTTER: We don't want to enter an order	
	25	bottoming the hole approximately 1014 and 1285 because you	

SALLY WAILTON BOYD CEMPHED EHONTHAND NEPONTER 1918/Mark Bharel (1915) 411-4497 Baarta Po, New Moreloo 57591

1

 $\bigcirc$ 

A hadden was
1 don't know whether you're going to hit that or not. 2 MR. JENNINGS: Right. Well, if we could get -- we might be within that original order or, if we were 3 within 200 feet of the -- as advertised, why, we might be 4 home free. 5 MR. NUTTER: Yeah. Well, at any rate, unless something unforeseen happens, we wouldn't have to have 7 8 a hearing for you on December 12th. 9 MR. JENNINGS: That's right. 10 MR. NUTTER: It's just a matter of opening 11 the record and letting somebody that is opposed to that 12 location come in. 13 MR. JENNINGS: Right. I see nothing else 14 that we can do. 15 MR. NUTTER: You seem to think that you've 16 got consent of everyone. Either you own the acreage or you 17 have the consent of everyone to this proposed location, so 18 there shouldn't be any problem on December the 12th, but we 19 do have to abide by these fomalities. 20 With that, we will take the case under 21 advisement. Mr. Webb, you're excused. 22 Does anyone else have anything to offer in 23 Case Number 6719? 24 We'll take the case under advisement. 25 (Hearing concluded.)

18

LLY WALTON BOYD IPED SHORT AND REPORTER Plaza Blancia (601) 411-3463 Dia Pa, Nore Marico 67501

# REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability, from my notes taken at the time of the hearing.

Sally W. Boyd, C.S.R.

that the foregoing is of the proceedings in I do hom ther waring of Luse No. a col 11.e 101 14 heard Examiner e Oil Conservation Division

Y WALTON BOYD DEHORTHAND NEPORTER 24 BELINII (1960) 471-5462 76, Nive Mexico 67361

j

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT 2 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG. 3 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 14 November 1979 EXAMINER HEARING 5 R 7 IN THE MATTER OF: 8 Application of Sam H. Snoddy for an) CASE amendment to Order No. R-5521, Lea ) 6719 ۵ County, New Mexico. ) 10 11 BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter WALTON 83 12 13 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 14 15 APPEARANCES 16 For the Oil Conservation Ernest L. Padilla, Esq. 17 Division: Legal Counsel for the Division State Land Office Bldg. 18 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87503 19 20 For the Applicant: James T. Jennings, Esq. JENNINGS & CHRISTY 21 Rosvell, New Mexico 22 23 24 25

INDEX GARRALD O. WEBB Direct Examination by Mr. Jennings Cross Examination by Mr. Nutter EXHIBITS SALLY Applicant Exhibit One, Plat Applicant Exhibit Two, Diagram Applicant Exhibit Three, List \_\_\_\_\_16 1<del>9</del> 

		Page
	1	MR. NUTTER: Ec'll call next Case Number
	2	6719.
	3	MR. PADILLA: Application of Sam H. Snoddy
	4	for an amendment to Order No. R-5521, Lea County, New
	5	Mexico.
	6	MR. JENNINGS: I'm James T. Jennings of
	7	Jennings and Christy, appearing on behalf of the applicant
	8	and we will have one witness, Mr. Webb.
	9	
	10	(Witness sworn.)
	11	
	12	GARRALD O. WEBB
	13	being called as a witness and having been duly sworn upon
•	14	his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:
	15	
	16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	17	BY MR. JENNINGS:
	18	Q I would like to make a brief statement be-
	19	fore we proceed with the testimony.
	20	As the Examiner is aware, the application
	21	in this case was to permit the well to be bettomed at appro-
	22	ximately 1014 feet from the south line and 1285 feet from
	23	the west line of Section 25, and the original the Order
	24	5521, I guess, provided that the well would be bottomed
	25	within 200 feet of a point which would be 1320 feet from the

SALLY WAI, TON BOYD CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 2010 Flats Blanch (\$101, 111-2405 Bante Pe, New Marico 17101

)

.

ľ

1 south line and 1320 feet from the west line of Section 25, 2 which I think is the center section. 3 And as the proof progresses, we might want to make an amendment to our application to provide that A 5 the well would be located within -- bottomed within 400 feet 6 of that point rather than 200 feet, and it might well deve-7 lop the well will be actually bottomed within the original 8 designation, but at this point the well has not been com-9 pleted so we do not really know. 10 0. (Mr. Jennings continuing.) Would you 11 state your name, occupation, please, sir? 12 Garrald O. Webb. I'm a Senior Drilling A. 13 Engineer for Grace Petroleum Corporation. 14 Q. Mr. Webb, what is your educational back-15 ground? 16 I graduated from the University of Kansas A. 17 in 1950, B.S. in Petroleum Engineering. 18 And what is your employment since that <u>ĝ</u> 19 time? 20 It has been with the Amerada Petroleum A 21 Corporation and Anson Corporation, Natura (sic) Resources 22 and the current employer, Grace Petroleum. 23 Well, just briefly during the periods and Q. 24 what your occupations -- and your duties were during those 25 periods.

1 I advanced from --- from a Junior Petroleum A. 2 Engineer through Assistant Chief Engineer with Amerada 3 Petroleum; later ---Q How long were you with them? Α. 21 years with Amerada. Q. Go ahead, excuse me. λ. And later I acquired a registered professionalism in the State of North Dakota and transferred by 9 reciprocity to the State of Oklahoma. I'm a Registered 10 Professional Engineer. 11 Q. Have you had occasion to testify before 12 other regulatory commissions, other than the New Mexico Oil 13 Conservation Division? 14 A. Yes, I have. 15 And I believe one of your qualifications Q. 16 is that you graduated with Mr. Ramoy, is that right? 17 Ă. That's right, yes. 18 MR. JENNINGS: Are the witness' qualifica-19 tions acceptable? 20 MR. NUTTER: Yes, the witness is qualified. Ź1 (Mr. Jennings continuing.) Mr. Webb, you Q. 22 are familiar with the application which has been filed and 23 is now pending before the Commission. 24 ā. Yes, I am. 25 Would you just briefly tell us about the Q

history of the Well in question, where it is, and the problems that you are now encountering?

A Yes. Originally, of course, by a previous order we were permitted a radius of 200 feet from the --which would have been 1320 from the west line and 1320 from the south line of Section 25, but we found in the process of drilling that we were unable to maintain angle. We were unable to maintain direction, and after our Eastman had worked on it for a number of weeks, we found that doglegs become more severe. We were in -- the hole was in jeopardy. And at that point in time we felt it necessary to seek some sort of relief.

Recently, within the last ten days we have plugged back from 12,400 plus, back to 11,500, in an effort to get rid of our most severe dogleg situation, which caused the well to go to the right, which would have been north. All of the other drilling history had caused the well to turn to the left, which would have put us in the --- more south, and that was the -- where the first --- our first request had originated.

But this is the basic problem. We are
unable to hold direction. We are unable to hold angle.
We're going to -- do you want to go into the economics of
this?

1

0.

25

No, I don't think ---

ALLY WALTON BOYE TIPED SHORTHAND REPORTE SPARE BLACK (065) 411-44 SPARE BLACK (065) 411-44 1

2

6

7

ĝ

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1 All right, but that is basically our prob-A, 2 lem. We have --- and because of that, we have --- Eastman has 3 found themselves unable to control the drilling process, so that we would end up within the designated 200 foot radius. 5 And because of the dogleg severity, and 6 at that point in time we are jeopardizing a well in which 7 we have currently \$1,500,000 in. We did not feel it wise 8 to continue drilling, so we plugged back at that point. 9 So that's where we are right now. 10 Are you drilling ahead at this time? Q 11 Yes. A 12 At what depth? Û. 13 Approximately 11,900. ā. 14 MR. NUTTER: Are you talking about the 15 length of the hole or depth from the surface? 16 This is measured depth, Mr. Examiner. A. 17 This is the measured depth. 18 MR. NUTTER: Measured depth, okay. 19 Okay. Mr. Webb, if you will refer to what Q. 20 has been marked as Snoddy Exhibit Number One, and review 2í that for the Commission and tell them the reason for the 22 location of the well, too. I know the Commission is aware 23 but I think you ought to indicate that. 24 The surface location is in the southeast A. 25 quarter of Section 25 and our objective was to deviate the

ILI.Y WAI.TON BOYD THEED SHORTHAND REPORTER PLUE BINDER (445) 411-346 DEL PC, New Model 313-340 well 3260 feet north, 80 degrees west, and which would have bottomed in the southwest quarter, the center of the southwest quarter of Section 25.

Q Well, now referring to the exhibit, just review what it reflects as to the offset operators and the location of the existing wells.

A This is a plat showing a portion of Lea County, namely the South Salt Lake area, of those properties immediately about those lands in which we have an interest.

Felmont held the lease and we earned --had to drill and produce to earn, and that is the reason you'll notice that Felmont is indicated on the lease plat.

You notice to the north half of Section

25 we've already drilled the Felmont Federal No. 1. it is on production. This is the second well that we will have drilled in the area, a non-standard spacing unit, consisting of the southwest quarter of Section 25 and the northwest quarter of Section 36 has been approved by the Commission, and then we have Section 26, which is also within the same farmout agreement that we had with Felmont, and it, as I said before, this was a drill and produce to earn the acces. So we are surrounded, essentially, by

acreage held by us.

Q This is the third well that's drilled off the same pad, is it not?

SALI,Y WALTON BOYD CERTIPED SHORTHAND REPORTER 1926 Plana Bilana. (805) 471-2465 Baski PR. New Markov 2710-1 1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. Yes. This is -- the Richards No. 1 was
drilled. 100 foot north the Felmont Federal No. 1 surface
location, and then to the west 100 feet is where the Felmont
Federal No. 2 is located.

The proposed location for the bottom of the well is well within the rules as prescribed by the Oil Conservation Division, isn't it?

Yes, it is.

A.

Q Now, Mr. Webb, if you would again refer to what has been marked as Exhibit Number Two, and explain to the Commission what that represents.

A This -- I tried to show, this is a plan view of the horizontal displacement that has been conducted on this well. You'll notice that the surface location, which is 660 feet from the south line and 760 from the east line, and then there are a number of -- at various depths you'll find our course deviation, and you'll notice we went from north 89 degrees west at 7532 to -- and if you'll look down through, you'll see that we had difficulty controlling and you will see, for instance, at 10,230 feet we had changed directions and with the die drill and moved it back to north 72 degrees west.

All this time we were holding an angle of any place from 23 to 25 degrees, but as we proceeded to drill, we were unable to maintain this --- and it requires

SALLY WALTON BO' CENTIFED EHONTHAND REPOR 2020 PTULE BAULLS (005) 471-JARLE FO, NOV MONDON 277 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2i

22

23

24

Page \_\_\_\_\_\_ 1.0-----

that we have essentially 26 degrees north 80 degrees west
to come into our target.

We were unable to maintain our 25 and 26 3 degrees. The angle got as low as 16 to 18 and we were un-4 5 able to lift the angle and it continued to turn to the left 6 and lose, so that was -- this graphically shows the trace 7 of our actual drilling program down to 11,903, and that's 8 where we were when we plugged back at 12,004, and that is not shown, those last few points are not shown. I only 9 10 showed what had happened after that -- before that, rather.

A. We would hope to be able to be within the permitted 200 feet, but our problem is, of course, if we're unable to do this, we can't control it. If we can't control it, then we have a well drilled without being in the authorized location, and --

Where do you now hope to bottom the hole?

17 <u>0</u> Well, how large an area do you think that
18 you might need or encompass where you might bottom?

A. What we would like to have, which would
be a 400 foot radius, if we might, which would at the most
put us 920 feet from either the south or the west lines,
and we believe that we can stay within those limits.

Q What would be the total objective depth of this well?

14,600 was the first depth. That was

Y WALTON BOYD ED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN BRUCK (606) 471-2463 IN New Mostoo 471-2463

11

12

13

14

15

16

23

24

25

Q.

А.

		Page
	1	the measured depth, and the 13,500 was the true vertical
	2	depth, I believe, if I'm not mistaken.
	3	MR NUTTER: 13 what?
	4	A. 13,500.
	5	Q. Is it possible to drill under the present
	6	conditions, the existing rules and regulations, in Section
	7	26?
	8	A. No.
	9	Q. I believe that you this acreage and the
	10	acreage to the west is underlined by the potash area.
	11	A. That is my that is my understanding,
	12	that all of Section 26 is in the same potash area.
	13	ų Mr. Webb, just refer to what has been re-
	14	presented marked as Exhibit Three, if you would, and
	15	tell the Examiner what that represents.
	16	A Yes. I apologize for the fact that this
	17	is a work copy. I apologize for that.
	18	The total costs that we have incurred to
	19	November the 8th, just to direct the well, that would in-
	20	clude directional expense, not the drilling rig, not the
	21	mud, not the bits, anything else other than the cost in-
	22	curred to direct the well to its current depth, we've spent
	23	\$378,831.
	24	Presuming that it requires 33 more days
	25	after we plugged back to be able to accomplish our first

11

Page

SALI,Y WALTON BOYD CERTIFED SHORT AND REPORTER 1011 Phila Bhance (101) 111-3111 Samiti Po, New Moxdoo 11101

ĺ

1 objective, then our total expenditure would be \$548,000. 2 That is the cost to direct the well and not to drill, as such 3 but only to maintain and direct the well to its designated total depth. 5 All that is by reason of the fact that the Q 6 area is in the potash area. 7 It's in the potash area and, as you cross Λ. 8 the strike, as you change dip, and such, wells do not react 9 the same going against the dip as they do up dip or down dip, 10 or any other way. 11 Who have you engaged to handle the direction-Q. İŻ al drilling? 13 Eastman Whipstock. ñ. 14 Mr. Webb, do you feel that the change of --Q. 15 proposed change in location or the objective, final location 16 of the well will in any way impair the correlative rights 17 of any of the operators in the area, offset operators? 18 No, no way at all. **A.** 19 It's completely within the orthodox normal Q 20 drilling? 21 Uh-huh. A. 2 I don't know whether this question is right Q. 23 I don't know whether this would be in the interest or not. 24 of conservation in light of what -- all you've spent. 25 Well, this is, if we can have our --- the Α.

LY WALTON BOY FIED SHOFTHAND REPORT PLARE BALINE (305) 471-34 Mill Po, Nim Maxioo 5750

		Page13
	1	radius by which we can complete this relaxed, then we would
	2	naturally reduce those expenses incurred in the forthcoming
	3	completing the program.
	4	Q And you're drilling ahead and you antici-
	5	pate that it will be another 33 days?
J.	6	A. I would imagine. That's our best estimate
	7	is between 33 to 40 days.
	8	Q How many days have you been on the well?
	9	A Well, we have been on 112 so far.
	10	Q Mr. Nebb, do you have anything further
	11	that you wish to offer in connection with this, any comments,
0401 - 14, 1444 - <b>14210</b> 0 - 1191	12	suggestions?
	13	A No, I believe we've included all our
	14	statement.
	15	MR. JENNINGS: We would like to offer
	16	or wait.
	17	Q Were Exhibits Number One to Three prepared
	18 19	by you or under your direction?
	20	A. Yes, they were.
	21	MR. JENNINGS: We would like to offer Ex-
	22	hibits One to Three and we would further like to offer the
	23	testimony heretofore entered in Case Number 5982 and 6448,
	24	which were prior hearings in connection with this same well
	25	and ask that they be incorporated in the record.
		MR. NUTTER: The record in Cases Numbers

SALLY WALTON BOYD CENTING SHORTHAND REPORTER 1970 Flace Barler (995) 471-3463 Barle Pe, Niny Modeo 471-91

\_)

 $\bigcirc$ 

1

ŝ

5982 and 6448 will be incorporated in the record of this case by reference.

MR. JENNINGS: We have nothing further with this witness.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NUTTER:

À.

А.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

1Ž

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0. Mr. Webb, now the surface location of the well is 760 feet from the east line of the section and the original target was within 200 feet of a point 1320 feet from the west line, so that meant that you were going to have a horizontal displacement to the west of approximately 3200 feet, is that it?

Yes, sir. Yes, sir.

Q. And what distance did you get out there before you decided to come back and --

A. About a little over 2100 feet, Mr. Eximiner, we got that far.

Q. Now I have a letter that was written October 15th at the time that it was thought that the hole would be bottomed 1285 feet from the west line and 1014 feet from the south line, and it stated at that time that the measured depth of the hole was 14,337 and the true vertical depth was 13,600.

That was the proposed total depth at the

LY WALTON BOYI RE SHORTHAND REPORTE LIN BRUKEL (605) 471-240 L Per, New Maridon 27161

1 location if we made it into the target. That was where it 2 was supposed to have been. 3 Q But that wasn't actually where it was. ħ. It wasn't the drilling depth at that point. 5 Q. I see, there's ----Α. No, sir. 7 -- mistake, then in the description there. Q. 8 Oh, yoah, that is right. A. 9 And then at the time this case was adver-Û 10 tised we were under the impression that the hole had been ---11 had reached its target and that the bottom of the hole was 12 1014 from the south line and 1285 from the south line. 13 3 No, the ---14 I mean from the west line. a 15 Yes. I understand why you would have been A. 16 led to believe that. 17 Q. And that was the reason that this was ad-18 vertised in this manner, that it was going to be bottomed 19 at this point. 20 Yes, I understand. Α. 21 Now, we didn't advertise the tolerance on Q. 22 this. 23 Uh-huh. A. 24 At approximately this point. Q. 25 Uh-huh, Α.

WALTON BOY SHORTHAND REPORTE DEMINIA (646) 411-34 Pr. New Mordio 411-34

		<b>1</b> 99 <u>1</u> 6
	1	Q. So now what you think is that you really
	2	don't know where it's going to bottom.
	3	A. That's true. That's true, Mr. Examiner.
	4	We don't have we really don't have any idea of that.
	-5	Q You're still back away 1000 feet from your
	6	target.
	7	A. That's right. That's right.
	8	Q. And so you have suggested that if a radius
	8	of 400 feet from the original target of 1320/1320 were set,
1-9468	19	that you could fall within that 400 foot radius.
	11	A. We are we believe strongly, we're confi-
New	12	dent that we can we can come within that. We may be
Santa Pe	13	it may not be the case, but that's the way we feel at this
	14	point.
	15	Q Did you have any trouble with the hole
	16	going up and down?
	17	A. All over. It had left turn when it should
	18	have been right and then when we got down to 12,000 feet we
	19	had a right turn and it was drastic, and it was the cause of
	20	a severe dogleg, and at that point we
	21	Q Are you drilling this with a conventional
	22	bit and whipstock or are you drilling with Dynadrill?
	23	A. Dynadrill, Dynadrill, bent sub and such,
	24	the conventional deviation equipment.
	25	MR. NUTTER: Mr. Jennings, I think that we

Page

SALLY WALTON BOYD CENTITIED SHORTHAND REPORTER

----

ł

in Westman .

1 could take the case under advisement today and re-advertise 2 the case to amend the target depth. We couldn't enter an 3 order before the hearing on December the 12th, which is the 4 earliest it could be advertised. 5 How long is it going to take you to get to 6 your target area? 7 Α. It will be about 30 days; it would about 8 that 14th of December, or so, Mr. Examiner. 9 MR. JENNINGS: We might know where it is 10 by then. 11 MR. NUTTER: Well, we might have to amend 12 the application and re-advertise it for January. 13 MR. JENNINGS: I might say this, Mr. Exa-14 miner, if the Examiner has any questions of the Eastman 15 Whipstock representative, he is here, Mr. Gregg, the District 16 Operation Manager, is here and ---17 MR. NUTTER: Oh, I don't want to ask East-18 man why they can't --19 So if we take it under advisement and 20 withhold an order until after the case has been re-advertised, 21 will that be satisfactory with you, Mr. Jennings? 22 MR. JEMNINGS: Well, under the circum-23 stances I don't think there's much else we can do. 24 MR. NUTTER: We don't want to enter an order 25 bottoming the hole approximately 1014 and 1285 because you

/ W.ALTON BOYD DEMENTAND REPORTED BELICAL (345) 411-345 Pa. New Merico 21341

Martin Martin

1.0 1 don't know whether you've going to hit that or not. 2 MR. JUNNINGS: Eight. Well, if we could 3 get -- we might be within that original order or, if we were within 200 fact of the -- as advertised, why, we might be 4 5 home free. 6 MR. NUTTER: Yeah. Well, at any rate, un-7 less something unforeseen happens, we wouldn't have to have 8 a hearing for you on December 12th. 9 MR. JENNINGS: That's right. 10 MR. NUTTER: It's just a matter of opening 11 the record and letting somebody that is opposed to that 12 location come in. 13 MR. JENNINGS: Right. I see nothing else 14 that we can do. 15 MR. NUTTER: You seem to think that you've 16 got consent of everyone. Either you own the acreage or you 17 have the consent of everyone to this proposed location, so 18 there shouldn't be any problem on December the 12th, but we 19 do have to abide by these fomalities. 20 With that, we will take the case under 21 advisement. Mr. Webb, you're excused. 22 Does anyone else have anything to offer in 23 Case Number 6719? 24 We'll take the case under advisement. 25 (Hearing concluded.)

Page <u>19</u>

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOXD, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability, from my notes taken at the time of the hearing.

Sally W. Boyd, C.S.R.

I do here and the foregoing is adings in Conservation Division Examiner

SALLY WALTON BO ERTHERD SHORTHAND MEDO 9 10 Mars Balling (195) 4714 Barris Po, Niny Messico 973 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Dockets Nos. 1-80 and 2-80 are tentatively set for January 3 and 16, 1980. Applications for hearing must be filed at least 22 days in advance of hearing date.

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - DECEMBER 12, 1979

#### 9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FF, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Richard L. Stamets, Alternate Examiner:

- ALLOWABLE: (1) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for January, 1980, from fifteen prorated pools in Lea, Eddy, and Chaves Countles, New Mexico.
  - (2) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for January, 1980, from four prorated pools in San Juan, Rio Arriba, and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico.
- CASE 6752: Application of Bill Stapler for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks approval for the Quark Unit Area, comprising 11,200 acres, more or less, of State and Federal lands in Township 22 South, Range 34 East.
- CASE 6753: Application of Amax Chemical Corporation for the amendment of Order No. R-111-A, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the amendment of Order No. R-111-A to extend the boundaries of the Potash-Oil Area by the inclusion of certain lands in Sections 26 and 27, Township 19 South, Range 29 East.
- CASE 6754: Application of Petroleum Development Corporation for a non-standard gas provation unit and an unorthodox location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location for a well to be drilled 660 feet from the North and West lines of Section 15, Township 19 South, Range 32 East, Lusk-Morrow Gas Pool, the W/2 of said Section 15 to be dedicated to the well as a non-standard 320-acre proration unit.
- CASE 6755: Application of Dome Petroleum Corporation for water disposal, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to extend the previously authorized water disposal interval in its Santa Fe 20 Well No. 2 located in Unit F of Section 20, Township 21 North, Range 8 West, Snake Eyes-Entrada Oil Pool, to include the perforated interval from 5756 feet to 5790 feet in the Entrada formation.
- <u>CASE 6/56</u>: Application of Amoon Production Company for pool contraction and creation, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the contraction of the vertical limits of the Air Strip-Bone Spring Pool to comprise the Middle Bone Spring formation only, from 9300 feet to 9460 feet, and the creation of the Air Strip-Upper Bone Spring Pool to comprise said formation from 9180 feet to 9260 feet and the Air Strip-Lower Bone Spring Pool to comprise said formation from 10,100 feet to 10,400 feet. All depths are from the log of the Amooo State FU Well No. 2 in Unit N of Section 25, Township 18 South, Range 34 East, for which well applicant also seeks 51,310 barrels of discovery allowable.
- CASE 6757: Application of Amoco Production Company for a dual completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the dual completion (corventional) of its State FU Well No. 1 located in Unit K of Section 25, Township 18 South, Range 34 East, to produce oil from the Air Strip Upper and Middle Bone Spring Pools thru parallel strings of tubing.
- CASE 6758: Application of Amoco Production Company for a dual completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the dual completion of its State "C" Tract 11 Well No. 11 located in Unit X of Section 2, Township 21 South, Range 36 East, to produce oil from the Eardy-Blinebry Pool and an undesignated Drinkard pool through parallel strings of tubing.
- CASE 6719: (Continued and Readvertised)

Application of Sam H. Snoddy for an amendment to Order No. R-5521, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the amendment of Order No. R-5521, which authorizes the directional drilling of the Federal Well No. 2 in Section 25, Township 20 South, Range 32 East, to permit the well to be bottomed within 400 feet of a point 1320 feet from the South and West lines of Section 25.

CASE 6759: Application of Sun Oil Company for an uncrthodox location, on-standard gas proration unit, infill findings, simultaneous dedication, and downhole commingling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of its Reeves Well No. 6, 660 feet from the North line and 610 feet from the East line of Section 29, Township 20 South, Range 37 East, Eumont Gas Pool, to be simultaneously dedicated with its Reeves Well No. 2 in Unit D of Section 29 to a 160-acre non-standard gas proration unit comprising the N/2 N/2 of Section 29. Also sought are findings that the proposed well is necessary to effectively and efficiently drain that portion of the proration unit which cannot be so drained by the existing unit well, and authority to commingle Eumont and Monument production in the wellbore of the proposed well.

Docket No. 42-79

Dockets Nos. 43-79 and 44-79 are tentatively set for November 28 and December 12, 1979. Applications for hearing must be filed at least 22 days in advance of hearing date.

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - NOVEMBER 14, 1979

#### 9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA SE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Richard L. Stamets, Alternate Examiner:

- ALLOWABLE: (1) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for December, 1979, from fifteen prorated pools in Lea, Eddy, and Chaves Counties, New Mexico.
  - (2) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for December, 1979, from four prorated pools in San Juan, Rio Arriba, and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico.
- CASE 6715: Application of Texaco Inc. for an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of its Loomis Fed. Well No. 1 to be drilled 1600 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the West line of Section 5, Township 21 South, Range 32 East, South Salt Lake-Morrow Gas Pool, the N/2 of said Section 5 to be dedicated to the well.

### CASE 6707: (Continued from October 31, 1979, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Gulf Oil Corporation for a unit agreement, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the Southeast Bisti Unit Area, comprising 7,048 acres, more or less, of State and Federal lands in Townships 24 and 25 North, Range 10 West.

- <u>CASE 6716</u>: Application of Alpha Twenty-One Production Company for two non-standard proration units and approval of infill drilling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of a 40-acre non-standard proration unit comprising the NW/4 SE/4 of Section 8, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, Jalmat Gas Pool, to be dedicated to El Paso Natural Gas Company's Langlie Federal Well No. 3, and also a 120-acre unit comprising the E/2 SE/4 and SW/4 SE/4 of said Section 8 to be dedicated to a well to be drilled by applicant at a standard location thereon; applicant further seeks a waiver of existing well spacing requirements and a finding that the drilling of said well is necessary to effectively and efficiently drain that portion of an existing provation unit which cannot be so drained by the existing well.
- CASE 6717: Application of 0. H. Berry for a non-standard proration unit and an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for a 160-acre nonstandard gas protation unit comprising the NE/4 of Section 15, Township 24 South, Range 36 East, Jalmat Gas Pool, to be dedicated to his Isbell Well No. 6 at an unorthodox location 418 feet from the North line and 351 feet from the East line of said Section 15.
- CASE 6718: Application of Southland Royalty Company for an unorthodox gas well location, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of a Morrow test well to be drilled 1325 feet from the North line and 2303 feet from the East line of Section 14, Township 19 South, Range 29 East, Oil-Potash Area, the E/2 of said Section 14 to be dedicated to the well.
- CASE 6719: Application of Sam H. Snoddy for an amendment to Order No. R-5521, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the amendment of Order No. R-5521, which authorizes The directional drilling of the Federal Well No. 2 in Section 25, Township 20 South, Range 32 East, to permit the well to be bottomed at approximately 1014 feet from the South line and 1285 feet from the West line of said Section 25.
- CASE 6710: (Continued from October 31, 1979, Examiner Hearing)

Application of ARCO Oil and Gas Company for downhole commingling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the downhole commingling of Blinebry and Montoya production in the wellbores of the following wells on its State Y Lease: No. 3 located in Unit B, No. 6 located in Unit H, and No. 7 located in Unit A, all in Section 25, Township 25 South, Range 37 East.

CASE 6720: Application of ARCO Oil and Gas Company to drill a horizontal drainhole, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval to drill and complete its Empire Abo Unit Well No. J-213, located in Unit E of Section 6, Township 18 South, Range 28 East, Empire-Abo Pool, with a single horizontal drainhole of about 200 feet in length in the Abo formation. ROUGH

### STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 6719 Order No. **R-5521-B** 

APPLICATION OF SAM H. SNODDY FOR AN AMENDMENT TO ORDER NO. R-SSZI, LEA COUNTY, NEW MELICO

### ORDER OF THE DIVISION

BY THE DIVISION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on December 12 19 79, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner DSN

NOW, on this day of , 19 , the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

That due public notice having been given as required (1)by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant in this case, Sam It. Snoddy, received authority under Division Order No. R-5521 to directionally drill his Federal Wese No. 2 from a surface location 660 from the South line and 760 feet from the East live of Section 25, Township 20 South, Range 32 East, NMPM, Santh Salt Lake - Morraw gas Past, Rea County, New Mexico, to a bottom hole location within 200 feet of a point 1320 feet from the South line and 1320 feet from the West line of said Section 25 and to dedicate the Susta of Section 25 13 the well an a 160-sere non standard gas provation wit. (3) That Division Opter No. R-5521-A amended said Order No. R. 5521 to provide that the cloresaid direct ally crilled write would be adicated to a 320-dars non-standard gas prosation which comprising the Safes of Section 25 and the NWT4 of Section 36, Tairwhip 20

Banth, Range 32 2007, NMPM.

(4) That the applicant now performe Jurthie amendment of Division Order To, R-5821 L5 permit the subject wree to be bottomed within 400 feet of a paint 1320 feet from the South west lines of the aforestid Section 25. 5) That such amendment will not violate correlative rights dear pause wante and should be approved IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED Durinon Onder Tro. (1) That Optie 70.(1) R-5521 is hereby nended to read in

ils sulirety as follows:

"Federal Well No. 2, from a surface location 660 feet from the South line and 760 feet from the East line of said Section 25 to a bottom hole location within 400 feet of a point 1320 feet from the South and West lines with the SW/4 of said Section 25 and the NW/4 of Section 36, Township 20 South, Range 32 East, a 320-acre non-standard gas proration unit hereby approved, being dedicated thereto."

(2) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO L CONSERVATION DIVISION JOE D. RAMEY Director

SEAL