CLARK (723: MERICAN & BAYLESS FOR COM-MELSORY FOOLING, AID ADRING COUNTY, NEW

USE NUMBER (Application Transcripts. 5man Exhibits

ROBERT L. BAYLESS

PETROLEUM GLUB PLAZA BUILDING P. C. BOX 1841

FARMINGTON, NEW MEXICO 87401

March 14, 1980

Energy & Minerals Department **Oil Conservation Division** P.O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, NM 87501

ATTENTION: Mr. Joe D. Ramey



RE: Commission Order #R-6193

- Weller Julies

Gentlemen:

The above Compulsory Pooling Order provides that upon written notification, Merrion & Bayless can request an extension of time for commencement of drilling a well in the SW/4 of Section 27, T24N, R2W, NMPM, South Blanco-Pictured Cliffs Pool, Rio Arriba County, N.M. Due to the unavailability of a satisfactory drilling rig, Merrion & Bayless have not been able to contract the services necessary to commence the drilling of this well and therefore request a 90-day extension.

Yours truly,

MERRION & BAYLESS

ROBERT L. BAYLESS

RLB/eh

xc: Hobbs OCD Artesia OCD Aztec OCD

	Page		
	1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG. SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 14 November 1979 EXAMINER HEARING		
	IN THE MATTER OF: Application of Merrion & Bayless for) CASE compulsory pooling, Rio Arriba County,) 6723 New Mexico.		
ALLY WALTON BO	10 BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter 12 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING		
	14 15 APPEARANCES		
	For the Oil Conservation Division: Ernest L. Padilla, Esq. Legal Counsel for the Division State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87503		

.



Page

MR. NUTTER: Call next Case Number 6723. 2 MR. PADILLA: Application of Merrion and 3 Bayless for compulsory pooling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico MR. BAYLESS: I'm Robert L. Bayless. I've 5 appeared previously before the Commission and my qualifications are on record, I believe. MR. PADILLA: Do you represent yourself, Mr. Bayless? 9 MR. BAYLESS: Yes. 10 11 (Mr. Bayless sworn.) 12

MR. NUTTER: Go ahead.

MR. BAYLESS: May I submit Exhibit One, being an acreage plat.

This is a -- we are making a request for a forced pool order on the southwest quarter of Section 27, Township 24 North, 2 West, Rio Arriba County, for a Pictured Cliffs gas well.

When you look at the exhibit you'll notice there is one Federal lease and two Brown fee leases. This is just a coincidence that Brown fee leases are not related to each other.

We have a lease on the Federal minerals in the northwest 40. We have received a demand letter from the

LY WALTON BOY THE SHORTHAND NEPON MARE BANKER (005) 471-5 In They Maridia 371-3

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 USGS to develop this 40-acre tract because of a well imme-2 diately to the northwest, to the north. So we have that 40 under our -- in our name. We have a farm-out on the 80 acres being the east 5 half of the southwest, called the John F. Brown fee. We have attempted to obtain a lease from Paul and Marie Brown, being the southwest of the southwest, unsuccessfully. We request a pooling order covering this 9 entire 160. We request, because of the risk involved, a 10 300 percent factor on the investment pertaining to that 11 acreage. 12 MR. NUTTER: Okay, Mr. Bayless, you have 13 a Federal lease on the northwest of the southwest, right? 14 MR. BAYLESS: Yes. 15 MR. NUTTER: You have a farm-out on the 16 east half of the southwest. 17 MR. BAYLESS: Right. 18 MR. NUTTER: And as the farm-out-ee, you 19 have working interest rights there. 20 MR. BAYLESS: Yes. 21 MR. NUTTER: What's the status now of the 22 40 acres to the southwest southwest? 23 MR. BAYLESS: This belongs to Paul and 24 Marie Brown. We have been unable to obtain a lease from 25 them. We have offered that they could participate in the

LY WALTON BOYD ED SHORTHAND REPORTER ED BABBA (505) 471-4415 2. Now Mondos 57301

1 drilling of the well. They have been -- they have not been 2 interested in doing that. 3 MR. NUTTER: And that land is not, to your 4 knowledge, leased to anyone at this time? 5 MR. BAYLESS: They had a lease on it that 6 expired November the 1st, to my knowledge. The lease was 7 not perpetuated by production. The lease covered other 8 acreage. 9 MR. NUTTER: Who was the lease owner at 10 that time? 11 MR. BAYLESS: Rio Grande Exploration. 12 MR. NUTTER: And their lease expired 13 11-1. 14 MR. BAYLESS: Yes. 15 MR. NUTTER: Did you negotiate with Rio 16 Grande Exploration and try to get an arrangement with them 17 to dedicate that land to this well? 18 MR. BAYLESS: Yes, and they had an obliga-19 tion pertaining to the base lease for the drilling of a 20 Dakota test, which they did not commence by the November 1st. 21 The lease expired. They were not able to drill a Dakota 22 test. 23 MR. NUTTER: Would this Pictured Cliffs 24 well have perpetuated the lease for them? 25 MR. BAYLESS: No. Their lease had a re-

SALLY WALTON BOY CERTIFIED EHONTHAND REPORT 2020 Plair Burga (200) 471-54 Santa Fo, Low Mordon 2730

1 quirement of a Dakota test. 2 MR. NUTTER: I see, and you're proposing 3 a Pictured Cliffs well here. MR. BAYLESS: Yes, sir. MR. NUTTER: Now, in your negotiations with Paul and Marie Brown, you have attempted to obtain a lease from them. MR. BAYLESS: Yes. MR. NUTTER: And so far you've been unsuc-10 cessful in obtaining that lease. 11 MR. BAYLESS: Yes. 12 MR. NUTTER: And you have attempted to 13 bring them into a voluntary communitization as working interest 14 owners with you on your proposed well? 15 MR. BAYLESS: I've met with the Browns 16 repeatedly and I've explained to them that there were about 17 three avenues. One, to lease to us; one to join in the 18 drilling -- or number two, to join in the drilling; and 19 number three, to go through a forced pooling hearing. 20 And the first two choices were not ac-21 ceptable to them. 22 MR. NUTTER: And so you're here today. 23 MR. BAYLESS: Okay. Do you have any other 24 exhibits there you wanted to discuss? 26 MR. BAYLESS: No, sir.

ALLY WALTON BOYD TIPED SHOATHAND REPORTE OPLAA BLUGG (615) 471-346 ADEA BLUGG (615) 471-346 ADEA FO, New Moridoo 57101

1 MR. NUTTER: Well, what's the cost of the 2 well going to be? What are the anticipated factors in a compulsory pooling, which is requested here today? 3 MR. BAYLESS: We ask for a 300 percent re-5 covery. Our estimated costs will be approximately \$90,000. 8 MR. NUTTER: Do you have an AFE? 7 MR. BAYLESS: I do not have an AFE with 8 me. I can submit one, if you --9 MR. NUTTER: You estimate it will be 10 90,000. Is that for a producing well or --11 MR. BAYLESS: That's for a completed 12 Pictured Cliffs well at a depth of 3400 feet. 13 MR, NUTTER: You're requesting a 300 per-14 cent charge for anyone's interest in here. Are you aware 15 that the statute limits any penalty to 200 percent? 16 MR. BAYLESS: Well, I have trouble with my 17 grammer. As I understand it, it's cost plus 200 percent. 18 MR. NUTTER: Right, so it would be 200 per-19 cent penalty factor plus 100 percent actual share. 20 MR. BAYLESS: Yes, sir. 21 MR. NUTTER: Now, why are you asking 200 22 percent risk factor, Mr. Bayless, when you're apparently 23 offset to such an extent by a producing well that the USGS 24 is making a demand that you drill this acreage to keep them 25 from being drained?

Y WALTON BOYD ED SHORTHAND REPORTE IN BAINCA (643) 411-444 Nor Marico 87191

8 MR. BAYLESS: The USGS demanding offset 2 wells does not necessarily -- is not necessarily indicative 3 of the calibre of the reservoir, the reservoir rock, or the wells. The wells in this area are very erratic in their performance. 7 MR. BAYLESS: Where is the nearest Pictured 8 Cliffs producer to your proposed location? 9 MR. BAYLESS: It will be in the northwest 10 160 and it will be in the northwest of the northwest. 11 MR. NUTTER: Are there any other Pictured 12 Cliffs producing wells in this area? 13 MR. BAYLESS: To the northwest again over 14 in Section 28 there are Pictured Cliffs wells. All these 15 wells are well -- are well within a stripper category of 16 gas wells. In other words, they -- all the wells in the 17 immediate area are making less than 60 Mcf a day. 18 MR. NUTTER: Are they old wells? Are they 19 new wells? MR. BAYLESS: Yes, the one immediately to 21 the north is quite old. It's thirteen years old. 22 The one immediately to the west is a very recent well drilled by El Paso. It's a unit well. MR, NUTTER: And it would be classified as a stripper, also?

LTON BO

20

23

24

MR. BAYLESS: It appears to me, the production I've seen, that it will be. 2 MR. NUTTER: How about operating costs, Mr. 3 Bayless? What are your costs for combined fixed rates for 5 the overhead? MR. BAYLESS: I don't know what we're 7 charging now, to tell you the truth. I'm sorry. I'll provide you with that information. We have one thing for 8 9 Pictured Cliffs and another for Dakota, and I don't remember 10 what our Pictured Cliffs rate is now. 11 But it will be -- we have -- well, we have 12 many joint operations with major companies and our rate will 13 be what is commonly accepted in the San Juan Basin. 14 MR. NUTTER: I think most of those wells 15 up there carry a combined fixed rate overhad charge of about 16 \$150 a month. 17 MR. BAYLESS: I started to say that but I 18 couldn't swear. I know it's been crawling up in the last 19 year or so. 20 MR. NUTTER: How about if we talk about 21 \$150 a month? 22 Okay, are there any questions of Mr. Bay-23 less? Does anyone have any questions? 24

He may be excused.

Does anyone have any statements they wish

to make in this case?

Ms. Brown?

2

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

MS. BROWN: Yes, sir. I'm Mary Ann Brown.

MR. NUTTER: Did you want to testify,

MS. BROWN: Yes, I would like to testify. 6 MR. NUTTER: Okay. Would you swear Mrs. 7 Brown, please? 8 9 (Mrs. Brown sworn.) 10 11 MS. BROWN: To start --12 MR. NUTTER: Mrs. Brown, are you Marie 13 14 15

Brown, the owner of the 40-acre tract which is in the southwest quarter of the southwest quarter of Section 27, Township 24 North, Range 2 West, the lands that are the subject of this hearing today?

MS. BROWN: We have just leased that land to another company, and --

MR. NUTTER: You're the -- you're the fee owner of the lands?

MS. BROWN: Yes, my husband and I are the fee owner of that 40 acres, but we have leased it from the surface down to another company. In fact, we've been discussing this for quite -- we had a temporary agreement since October the 1st, before the lease ever expired. We com-

Page

11

pleted the lease last night.

But there are a few things that I need to discuss with you, even though the -- this lease has several stipulations in it. For instance, the last stipulation is that the lessee may not -- the lease may not be assigned without the lessors consent. That is, they couldn't lease it to Mr. Bayless without our consent, even -- that's important in this lease.

MR. NUTTER: Who is your new lessee? MS. BROWN: It's the Sandia Corporation, Sandia Oil and Gas Company, Incorporated.

MR. NUTTER: Is that an Albuquerque com-

pany?

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BROWN: Yes, I believe it's Albuquerque. The address is here somewhere.

Anyway, we've been discussing it with them for quite some time. They're more or less the successors to the Rio Grande Exploration Company. Part of them are successors to that company.

This started more than a year ago in July of 1968. Some people came to our house and they wanted to cross this particular 40. They came in on a private road to my home. And they wanted to cross this particular 40 to put down a well location. And they put it only a few feet north of our boundary in Section 27; north of this 40 acres.

SALLY WALTON BO CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORT 303CFlaire Blanga (505) 471-86alis Pa, New Markon 571We're not referring to 34. This lease covers 34, too. We asked who -- what company it was and they said Merrion and Bayless and that they wanted to -they wanted to put a well there, and when they went out there, we discovered that they were including this 40 acres in their lease, or they thought they were going to drill on 160 to cover this 40.

Well, we told them to please tell Mr. Bayless that they didn't have it leased; that our lease had run out and we refused to re-lease it to the same company because they had agreed to put down a Dakota well and they hadn't, and we wouldn't re-lease it to that company.

Well, he did nothing for nine months. He didn't offer me a lease. He did nothing and the land was there unleased, and finally he sent me a copy of the lease after I had already been contacted by the Rio Grande services and was more or less -- more or less agreed. We hadn't signed a lease, but we'd agreed that we would lease to them. I thought maybe he wasn't interested in it.

But then they came in several times and wanted to use the road across our property and a road on an easement that we have. We don't own the property. I have a copy of the easement here. We had bought the easement, the pavement, and he wanted to use this road. It was washed out, in bad condition, but we were using it every day to

SALLY WALTON BOYL CENTIPED SHONTHAND REPORTE 3110 Place Blance (005) 411-34 Santa Fe, New Moridon 51161 2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

13

get in and out to our house. It's less than a quarter of a mile from the pavement to this quarter acre of this 40 acres he wants.

And he told me that he would repair the road; he'd put in a couple of culverts and put in, I think, two cattleguards, I believe, or something to that effect, and that he would work over a dam that had washed out; the dam washed out this winter, this last winter, because we're in the area where they had to get the military officials to get us out. We're in that very bad, snowy area and it ruined the dam. So he couldn't have come -- gone acrcss the 40 acres to get to the site because every time it rained the water ran out across the road and without repairing the dam, he couldn't get across the 40 acres. He could have gotten on the private easement to the property but he couldn't have crossed it.

And he agreed to do that, and we really made an effort to try to sublease to him. We went to Rio Grande Services and in fact I have some copies here to tentative agreements that we signed where they were going to sublease through the Pictured Cliffs to Mr. Bayless. And he sent me out another agreement. He realized that we have been leasing land, that and other land, for several years for approximately \$20.00 an acre a year, so by not leasing at all for about nine months, he had saved himself about

LY WALTON BOYD TED BHOATHAND NEPONTER ALL BHUAS (595) 411-3411 (2. New Meridio 87191 1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

14

\$800 or \$900 because from July, when they located the site, until March he did nothing.

I have a copy of the first lease he sent me the 23rd day of March asking to lease the land we have in Section 34, as well as that in -- and in each lease -this is -- this is the trouble, and this little item may not mean much to you people but my husband and I are older people and we've cut wood for years, and it says here; "Lessors shall have the privilege at his own risk and expense of using gas from any gas well on said land for stoves and inside lights in the principal dwelling located on the lease premises by making his own connections thereto."

We told Mr. Bayless that the use of the road and for the lease, we'd not take a bonus, if he would connect up the gas in one trailer house on that property, and he said, oh, he couldn't do that.

MR. NUTTER: You mean connect it up at his expense, run the line?

MS. BROWN: At his expense instead of me. MR. NUTTER: And run the line over to the trailer house.

MS. BROWN: Yes, that is what we asked, and he said, oh, no, he couldn't do that.

Then we asked him if he would let us do it and if he'd pay for it. Well, the argument wasn't particu-

ALLY WALTON BOYD ERTFRED SHORTHAND REPORTER 2227A22 BLDGG (501) 471-3463 Santa Po, Now Maxico 57501 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

larly whether we were supposed to pay for the well or not pay for it. But he came in and he said, oh, no, you can't have the minerals in that 40 because we're going to put the hole down on Federal land that you don't have any minerals under. Now, the other two 40's belong to old man John F. Brown, who was a very close friend of ours, though he wasn't related. He has the other two 40's and in his patent he had all of that land. I have a copy of the patent here. The whole thing was leased at one time some years ago, in '49, I believe, and they got the gas for their homestead out of part of this larger lease of 320. So Mr. Bayless wouldn't have that problem. In fact there's no house on those two 40's there, and of course, the Federal government lease, it has no house on it.

So I was the only one that wanted the connection. It wasn't whether I owed for the connection or I didn't owe for it.

Now it seemed to me that it wasn't prohibitive for him to put it in for the lease money, you understand. We were -- in all of our leases lately we've gotten 15 percent of the minerals. We haven't leased for several years for less than 15 percent of the minerals, and he put that in the lease and this is the last one he sent me, but even if I had to put it at my own expense, you understand, we would have done it. But the problem is he says that we

LLY WALTON BOY FIED EHORTHAND REPORT FIRE BINDER (195) 471-34 FIRE P., NAV MANDU 5750 3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

don't have any right to it; even went to the trouble of getting a letter from the -- aren't you Mr. Padilla, Mr. Ernie Padilla?

MR. PAPILLA: Yes, ma'am.

MS. BROWN: You're the gentleman I talked to over the telephone concerning this, and I talked to a couple of attorneys. Of course, I -- I don't know much about how the effect might be on people, but I do know that we have other property and neighbors have property like this. Well, if three 40's are owned by minerals which have to have the -- that is, it's in the contract, you understand, this is the contract. He didn't cut that out of the contract. He just thought that the idea was that we really weren't pooling it, that we didn't have any rights.

Now my understanding of pooling is that whenever we go together, it's all one -- one property. Isn't that the idea?

MR. NUTTER: I believe you're right, Mrs.

MS. BROWN: And that I would be entitled to exactly the same thing that I had before I pooled, which would be 15 percent of 1/4th of this, because there's only one 40 involved, of the minerals, which is in the lease, and he -- we had no argument over that.

The only argument we had was whether I

LY WALTON BOYD TED SHORTHAND REPORTED TED SHORTHAND REPORTED TED SHORT (1915) 111-116 2 Pa. New Markoo 51111 1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Brown.

•sge _____ 17

was entitled to gas and oil for one dwelling on that 40 acres. Not only for the lease on it but for the use of the road, because I was letting him use the road that I had an easement to that's our private easement that we bought at quite a bit of expense so we could get to the highway easier ourselves. It's strictly a private road 40 feet wide.

MR, NUTTER: So you weren't able to come to any agreement with Bayless?

MS. BROWN: We didn't come to any agreement and I went and discussed this with the other gas and oil company. I had gone to the trouble of -- in the temporary agreement, this here is the assignment, the first one that we made with the -- we didn't make it with Merrion and Bayless. He wasn't interested. He said he'd just wait till it went out and then, you know, he would -- if they didn't drill, why then he would get it from me.

MR. NUTTER: Well, now you have made a lease with Sandia Gas and Oil Corporation.

MS. BROWN: And they have agreed to put gas and oil in this -- on my property, that is.

MR. NUTTER: Well now, presumably, if the Division should enter an order pooling the lands as requested by Merrion and Bayless here today, then Sandia Oil and Gas Corporation would be a 1/4th working interest owner in the

ALLY WALTON BOYE

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page _____1.8____

well.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BROWN: I don't know. Some of them said that they probably would be here today but then they said they thought they probably would -- they couldn't, as far as I'm concerned, Mr. Bayless has not done anything to my road. He used it to -- this road which is on Section 28 adjoining 27. It has nothing to do with the 40 acres, really. It's the easement we bought from a neighbor.

I would not re-assign my equity, or the right I have with Sandia, to Mr. Bayless unless he came in and fixed my road the way he told me he was going to do.

MR. NUTTER: Well now, did you get a 15th did you retain 15 percent royalty when you leased to Sandia? MS. BROWN: Yes, 15 percent.

MR. NUTTER: Now that's the interest that you say you wouldn't transfer to Mr. Bayless?

MS. BROWN: Now, I just wouldn't assign anything to Mr. Bayless, according to this contract, there is quite a lot in here. I wouldn't assign anything to him until he -- this was not in connection with Sandia. We didn't lease them that road. They're coming on -- in on another road.

I feel that he owes me at least for repairing the road because he did use it; not a lot. He hasn't drilled a well, you understand, but he did use it to locate

ALLY WALTON BOYD RITFIED SWOTHAND REPORTER 107442 Bades (191) 471-3445 Badis Ps, New Maxico 277451 his well site and he has come out there several times and I spent hours making out those papers, trying to come to some agreement where we could assign the property to the exploration drilling company.

MR. NUTTER: And there's no way that he can get to this location he's proposed except across your land?

MS. BROWN: No, he can go other ways. He just thought it was the fastest and easiest, at least that was my -- I think he can go other ways, yes, but I feel he is under obligation to me to go ahead and work over the road whether he uses it or not because of the fact that he said that he would, and he did use it.

That's my only point. Of course, this does have some other provisions in here, quite a few, but we did, we retained 15 percent of the minerals.

MR. NUTTER: Now, do you have the mailing address for this Sandia Gas and Oil Corporation? Do you have their mailing address there on that document?

MS. BROWN: I don't think it's on here. I have an address here but I don't believe this is on here. It's on Eubank, wherever that is, some place on Eubank. I was there last night.

Well, I'll look in my purse and locate a card where you can --

TIPED SHORTHAND REPORTER

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NUTTER: If you could get hold of them and tell them to give us their address, unless we already have it, I'm not acquainted with the company myself. MS. BROWN: I'll be most happy to, yes,

I'll be glad to.

address.

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

MR. NUTTER: Just tell them we need their

20

MS. BROWN: Now, that's my only trouble --MR. NUTTER: Mr. Bayless might need their address, too. I don't know.

MS. BROWN: I would like to know for my own satisfaction, and I feel that perhaps you're the people to say, I have other property where we have the same situation except in a little way different. We own the surface, quite a large tract of land, but we don't own the minerals, you do, but we have some 40's that we own the minerals on jotting up into those sections, and also that we own quite a strip of lots, 47-acre lots, you know, up and down the side where the geographical surveys came together, and we -- I want to know could our mineral rights, the right to have it in a house, a dwelling on the property, could it be taken away from us under those circumstances, or do we have the right, when it's in the contract. I mean I'm talking about what's in the agreement that you sign?

MR. NUTTER: Well, I couldn't answer that.

SALLY WALTON BO) CENTIPED SHORTHAND 4EPOR 2022 Parts Bannas (2015) 471-3 Sauta Pe, New Mexico 271-

Page _____

Mr. Padilla could.

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BROWN: That's what I wanted to know. I talked to two other attorneys, incidentally. One of them was with Standard Oil and another man whc's a contractor, and they both said no, that they couldn't take it away from us.

MR. PADILLA: Mrs. Brown, I don't think this is the subject matter of this case today, and I'd be happy to discuss it possibly at some other time, but at this time I don't think that you should take up time discussing what your rights may be under -- in other lands.

MS. BROWN: It's in this lease. I mean in this lease right here, that these people have agreed that I can have it on that 40 acres if they --

MR. NUTTER: Well, I believe, Mrs. Brown, that it's always considered that when production comes from communitized lands, whether they're communitized by order of the Division or communitized voluntarily, that the production is considered to be coming from all of those lands.

MS. BROWN: Thank you, that was my impres-

sion --

MR. NUTTER: Not where the well is. MS. BROWN: -- you understand, that that was -- I just want what comes to me, you understand, but that was the only argument I had with Mr. Bayless.

SALLY WALTON BOYD CENTIPIED SHONTHAND REPORTER 1932 Para Blanca (505) 471-5463 Banta Pa, New Markos 57301

MR. NUTTER: You would be receiving royalty 1 under the southwest southwest and if the provisions are such 2 3 that you're supposed to get the gas there, I think you're contributing gas, it might be you'll have some gas in your 5 trailer house one of these days. MS. BROWN: Well, thank you, very much MR. NUTTER: Yes, ma'am, thank you. MS. BROWN: I'm not contesting it, but I 9 will get you the Sandia Company's address. I have it here 10 some place. 11 MR, NUTTER: Okay. Were there any ques-12 tions of Ms. Brown? 13 MR. BAYLESS: Is it proper for me to make 14 any statements? 15 MR. NUTTER: Yeah, I'm going to let you 16 make a statement, if you wish. I just wonder if you have 17 any questions of Ms. Brown? Before she is excused. 18 MR. BAYLESS: None that I know of. 19 MR. NUTTER: Okay, Ms. Brown, you may be 20 excused. 21 Now we'll call for statements. Does any-22 one have any statements they wish to offer in Case Number 23 6723? Mr. Bayless? 24 MR. BAYLESS: I'd just like to make two 25 points.

22

LY WALTON BOYD ED SHOMTHAND NETONTER ED Black (001) 011-040 27, New Mardon 271910

Page ______23

First of all, this well is going to be located on U.S.A. minerals, and I have a letter from the USGS saying that they do not recognize household gas to be taken from a well located on U.S.A. minerals, and I'm really in no position to judge whether this is correct or not, but that's their position.

And secondly, I'd just like for the record to show that the only use of Mrs. Brown's road has been for me to go in and talk to Mrs. Brown. We have not moved any equipment in it. We have not -- we are not utilizing the road for the drilling of the well or access to the well. We have brought a road in from the north where it does not cross her property.

After talking to Mrs. Brown several times, I thought that would be more appropriate.

MR. NUTTER: Thank you.

Does anyone else have anything they wish

to offer in Case Number 6723?

We'll take the case under advisement.

(Hearing concluded.)

LY WALTON BOYD TED SHORTHAND REPORTER ARE BLAGE (191) 411-445 APA, New Marino 51701 2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

REPORTER CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability from my notes taken at the time of the hearing.

Sally H. Boyd C.S.R.

a the foregoing is I do I a co n eyelereri lic i heard Fxa Oil Conservation Division

LY WALTON BOY

1

2

3

6

8

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT 2 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG. 3 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 14 November 1979 4 EXAMINER HEARING 5 6) IN THE MATTER OF: 7 Application of Merrion & Bayless for CASE) 8 compulsory pooling, Rio Arriba County,) 6723 New Mexico. 1 9) SALI, Y WALTON BOYD CERTIFED SHORTHAND REPORTER 1038Plate Blanca (505) 171-345 Bualt P., New Medico 17501 10 BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter 11 12 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 13 14 APPEARANCES 15 16 For the Oil Conservation Ernest L. Padilla, Esq. Division: Legal Counsel for the Division 17 State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87503 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 20

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					c
				Page	2
		1 2	INDEX		
		3	ROBERT L. BAYLESS, PRO SE		3
		4	Exhibit One, Plat		3
		5			
		6	MARY ANN BROWN, PRO SE		10
		7	ROBERT L. BAYLESS STATEMENT		22
	OVD COVD COVD COVD	9 10			
	(ALTON B OMTHAND REL	11 12			
	SALLY N CENTIFED SI 2010 Plant B	13 14			
		15			
		16 17			
	a A	18 19			
		20			
		21 22			
	С	23 24			
		25			
	1				

•

1 MR. NUTTER: Call next Case Number 6723. 2 MR. PADILLA: Application of Merrion and 3 Bayless for compulsory pooling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. MR. BAYLESS: I'm Robert L. Bayless. I've 5 appeared previously before the Commission and my qualifica-6 tions are on record, I believe. 7 MR. PADILLA: Do you represent yourself, Mr. Bayless? MR. BAYLESS: Yes. 10 WALTON BO 11 (Mr. Bayless sworn.) 12 13 MR. NUTTER: Go ahead. 14 MR. BAYLESS: May I submit Exhibit One, 15 being an acreage plat. 16 This is a -- we are making a request for 17 a forced pool order on the southwest quarter of Section 27, 18 Township 24 North, 2 West, Rio Arriba County, for a Pictured 19 Cliffs gas well. 20 When you look at the exhibit you'll notice 21 there is one Pederal lease and two Brown fee leases. This 22 is just a coincidence that Brown fee leases are not related 23 to each other. 24 We have a lease on the Federal minerals in 25 the northwest 40. We have received a demand letter from the

1 USGS to develop this 40-acre tract because of a well imme-2 diately to the northwest, to the north. 3 So we have that 40 under our -- in our name. We have a farm-out on the 80 acres being the east 5 half of the southwest, called the John F. Brown fee. We have attempted to obtain a lease from Paul and Marie Brown, 7 being the southwest of the southwest, unsuccessfully. Ŕ We request a pooling order covering this 9 entire 160. We request, because of the risk involved, a 10 300 percent factor on the investment pertaining to that 11 acreage. 12 MR. NUTTER: Okay, Mr. Bayless, you have 13 a Federal lease on the northwest of the southwest, right? 14 MR. BAYLESS: Yes. 15 MR. NUTTER: You have a farm-out on the 16 east half of the southwest. 17 MR. BAYLESS: Right. 18 MR. NUTTER: And as the farm-out-oe, you 19 have working interest rights there. 20 MR. BAYLESS: Yes. 21 MR. NUTTER: What's the status now of the 22 40 acres to the southwest southwest? 23 MR. BAYLESS: This belongs to Paul and 24 Marie Brown. We have been unable to obtain a lease from 25 them. We have offered that they could participate in the

Y WALTON BOYD D BHORTHAND NEPORTER 2 BLIDGA (1965) 471-4165 79, New Maridon 573-61

1 drilling of the woll. They have been -- they have not been 3 interested in doing that. 3 MR. NUTTER: And that land is not, to your 4 knowledge, leased to anyone at this time? 5 MR. BAYLESS: They had a lease on it that 6 expired November the 1st, to my knowledge. The lease was 7 not perpetuated by production. The lease covered other 8 acreage. 9 MR. NUTTER: Who was the lease owner at 10 that time? 11 MR. BAYLESS: Rio Grande Exploration. 12 MR. NUTTER: And their lease expired 13 11-1. 14 MR. BAYLESS: Yes. 15 MR. NUTTER: Did you negotiate with Rio 16 Grande Exploration and try to get an arrangement with them 17 to dedicate that land to this well? 18 MR. BAYLESS: Yes, and they had an obliga-19 tion pertaining to the base lease for the drilling of a 20 Dakota test, which they did not commence by the November 1st. 21 The lease expired. They were not able to drill a Dakota 22 test. 23 MR. NUTTER: Would this Pictured Cliffs 24 well have perpetuated the lease for them? 25 MR. BAYLESS: No. Their lease had a re-

Y WALTON BOY D SHORTHAND MEPORT A BLADAL (1941) 411-4-Fe, New Mexico 3714

1 guirement of a Dakota test. 2 MR. NUTTER: I see, and you're proposing a Pictured Cliffs well here. 3 MR. BAYLESS: Yes, sir. 5 MR. NUTTER: Now, in your negotiations with 6 Paul and Marie Brown, you have attempted to obtain a lease 7 from them. MR. BAYLESS: Yes. 9 MR. NUTTER: And so far you've been unsuc-10 cessful in obtaining that lease. 11 MR. BAYLESS: Yes. 12 MR. NUTTER: And you have attempted to 13 bring them into a voluntary communitization as working interest 14 owners with you on your proposed well? 15 MR. BAYLESS: I've met with the Browns 16 repeatedly and I've explained to them that there were about 17 three avenues. One, to lease to us; one to join in the 18 drilling -- or number two, to join in the drilling; and 19 number three, to go through a forced pooling hearing. 20 And the first two choices were not ac-21 ceptable to them. 22 MR. NUTTER: And so you're here today. 23 MR. BAYLESS: Ctay. Do you have any other 24 exhibits there you wanted to discuss? 25 MR. BAYLESS: No, sir.

Y WALTON BOYE ED SHORTKAND REPORTE EN BLARRA (505) 411-34(Pe, New Moxidoo 51561

1 MR. NUTTER: Well, what's the cost of the 2 well going to be? What are the anticipated factors in a 3 compulsory pooling, which is requested here today? MR. BAYLESS: We ask for a 300 percent re-5 covery. Our estimated costs will be approximately \$90,000. 6 MR. NUTTER: Do you have an AFE? 7 MR. BAYLESS: I do not have an AFE with 8 ne. I can submit one, if you ---9 MR. NUTTER: You estimate it will be 10 90,000. Is that for a producing well or ---11 MR. BAYLESS: That's for a completed 12 Pictured Cliffs well at a depth of 3400 feet. 13 MR. NUTTER: You're requesting a 300 per-14 cent charge for anyone's interest in here. Are you aware 15 that the statute limits any penalty to 200 percent? 16 MR. BAYLESS: Well, I have trouble with my 17 grammer. As I understand it, it's cost plus 200 percent. 18 MR. NUTTER: Right, so it would be 200 per-19 cent penalty factor plus 100 percent actual share. 20 MR. BAYLESS: Yes, sir. 21 MR. NUTTER: Now, why are you asking 200 22 percent risk factor, Mr. Bayless, when you're apparently 23 offset to such an extent by a producing well that the USGS 24 is making a demand that you drill this acreage to keep them 25 from being drained?

LY WALTON BOYD RE SHORTHAND REPORTER AND BINDER (545) 471-445

MR. BAYLESS: The USGS demanding offset 2 wells does not necessarily --- is not necessarily indicative 3 of the calibre of the reservoir, the reservoir rock, or the wells. 6 The wells in this area are very erratic 6 in their performance. 7 MR. BAYLESS: Where is the nearest Pictured 8 Cliffs producer to your proposed location? 9 MR. BAYLESS: It will be in the northwest 10 160 and it will be in the northwest of the northwest. 11 MR. NUTTER: Are there any other Pictured 12 Cliffs producing wells in this area? 13 MR. BAYLESS: To the northwest again over 14 in Section 28 there are Pictured Cliffs wells. All these 15 wells are well -- are well within a stripper category of 16 gas wells. In other words, they -- all the wells in the 17 immediate area are making less than 60 Mof a day. 18 MR. NUTTER: Are they old wells? Are they 19 new wells? 20 MR. BAYLESS: Yes, the one immediately to 21 the north is quite old. It's thirteen years old. 22 The one immediately to the west is a very 23 recent well drilled by El Paso. It's a unit well. 24 MR. HUTTER: And it would be classified as 25 a stripper, also?

LY WALTON BOYD TED SHOATHAND REPORTER ALL BADGA (0.65) 411-3415 A Po. New Moridos 17541

Page

MR. DAYLESS: It appears to me, the production I've seen, that it will be. MR. NUTTER: How about operating costs, Mr.

Bayless? What are your costs for combined fixed rates for the overhead?

MR. BAYLESS: I don't know what we're charging now, to tell you the truth. I'm sorry. I'll provide you with that information. We have one thing for Pictured Cliffs and another for Dakota, and I don't remember what our Pictured Cliffs rate is now.

But it will be -- we have -- well, we have many joint operations with major companies and our rate will be what is commonly accepted in the San Juan Basin.

MR. NUTTER: I think most of those wells up there carry a combined fixed rate overhad charge of about \$150 a month.

MR. BAYLESS: I started to say that but I couldn't swear. I know it's been crawling up in the last year or so.

MR. NUTTER: How about if we talk about

\$150 a month?

Okay, are there any questions of Mr. Bay-

less? Does anyone have any questions?

He may be excused.

Does anyone have any statements they wish

Y WALTON BOYD RE SHORTHAMD REPORTER A BLUNDA (865) 411-2463 A Pe, New Medido 417-2463 2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24
1 to make in this case? 2 MS. BROWN: Yes, sir. I'm Mary Ann Brown. 3 MR. NUTTER: Did you want to testify, 4 Ms. Brown? 5 MS. BROWN: Yes, I would like to testify. 6 MR. NUTTER: Okay. Nould you swear Mrs. 7 Brown, please? 8 9 (Mrs. Brown sworn.) 10 11 MS. BROWN: To start ---12 MR. NUTTER: Mrs. Brown, are you Marie 13 Brown, the owner of the 40-acre tract which is in the 14 southwest quarter of the southwest quarter of Section 27, 15 Township 24 North, Range 2 West, the lands that are the sub-16 ject of this hearing today? 17 M5. BROWN: We have just leased that land 18 to another company, and ---19 MR. NUTTER: You're the -- you're the fee 20 owner of the lands? 21 MS. BROWN: Yee, my husband and I are the 22 fee owner of that 40 acres, but we have leased it from the 23 surface down to another company. In fact, we've been dis-24 cussing this for quite -- we had a temporary agreement since 25 October the 1st, before the lease over expired. We com-

LY WALTON BOYE FED SHOATHAND REPORTE AND FE, NOW MOLEON 57701

Page _____1]

pleted the lease last night.

But there are a few things that I need to discuss with you, even though the --- this lease has several stipulations in it. For instance, the last stipulation is that the lessee may not -- the lease may not be assigned without the lessors consent. That is, they couldn't lease it to Mr. Bayless without our consent, even -- that's important in this lease.

MR. NUTTER: Who is your new lessee? MS. BROWN: It's the Sandia Corporation, Sandia Oil and Gas Company, Incorporated.

MR. NUTTER: Is that an Albuquerque com-

pany?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BROWN: Yes, I believe it's Albuquerque. The address is here somewhere.

Anyway, we've been discussing it with them for quite some time. They're more or less the successors to the Rio Grande Exploration Company. Part of them are successors to that company.

This started more than a year ago in July of 1958. Some people came to our house and they wanted to cross this particular 40. They came in on a private road to my home. And they wanted to cross this particular 40 to put down a well location. And they put it only a few feet north of our boundary in Section 27; north of this 40 acres.

SALLY, WALTON BOYD CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 3020Plars, Blanca (515) 471-545 Banta 70, New Moxico 57341 We're not referring to 34. This lease covers 34, too. We asked who -- what company it was and they said Merrion and Bayless and that they wanted to -they wanted to put a well there, and when they went out there, we discovered that they were including this 40 acres in their lease, or they thought they were going to drill on 160 to cover this 40.

Well, we told them to please tell Mr. Bayless that they didn't have it leased; that our lease had run out and we refused to re-lease it to the same company because they had agreed to put down a Dakota well and they hadn't, and we wouldn't re-lease it to that company.

Well, he did nothing for nine months. He didn't offer me a lease. He did nothing and the land was there unleased, and finally he sent me a copy of the lease after I had already been contacted by the Rio Grande services and was more or less -- more or less agreed. We hadn't signed a lease, but we'd agreed that we would lease to them. I thought maybe he wasn't interested in it.

But then they came in several times and wanted to use the road across our property and a road on an easement that we have. We don't own the property. I have a copy of the easement here. We had bought the easement, the pavement, and he wanted to use this road. It was washed out, in bad condition, but we were using it every day to

SALLY WALTON BOYD CERTFIED SHORTHAUD REPORTEI 2020 Place Blacce (015) 411-446 2021 Par. Now Moridon 67301 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page _____13

get in and out to our house. It's less than a quarter of a mile from the pavement to this quarter acro of this 40 acres he wants.

And he told me that he would repair the road, he'd put in a couple of culverts and put in, I think, two cattleguards, I believe, or something to that effect, and that he would work over a dam that had washed out; the dam washed out this winter, this last winter, because we're in the area where they had to get the military officials to get us out. We're in that very bad, snowy area and it ruined the dam. So he couldn't have come -- gone across the 40 acres to get to the site because every time it rained the water ran out across the road and without repairing the dam, he couldn't get across the 40 acres. He could have gotten on the private ensement to the property but he couldn't have crossed it.

And he agreed to do that, and we really made an effort to try to sublease to him. We went to Rio Grande Services and in fact I have some copies here to tentative agreements that we signed where they were going to sublease through the Pictured Cliffs to Mr. Bayless. And he sent me out another agreement. He realized that we have been leasing land, that and other land, for several years for approximately \$20.00 an acre a year, so by not leasing at all for about nine months, he had saved himself about

SALLY WALTON BOYD CENTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 1034 Flazs Blanca (545) 171-5461 Shall Po. Now Markov 5779.1 1

2

3

6

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

\$800 or \$900 because from July, when they located the site, until March he did nothing.

I have a copy of the first lease he sent me the 23rd day of March asking to lease the land we have in Section 34, as well as that in -- and in each lease -this is -- this is the trouble, and this little item may not mean much to you people but my husband and I are older people and we've cut wood for years, and it says here, "Lessors shall have the privilege at his own risk and expense of using gas from any gas well on said land for stoves and inside lights in the principal dwelling located on the lease premises by making his own connections thereto."

We told Mr Bayless that the use of the road and for the lease, we'd not take a bonus, if he would connect up the gas in one trailer house on that property, and he said, oh, he couldn't do that.

MR. NUTTER: You mean connect it up at his expense, run the line?

MS. BROWN: At his expense instead of me. MR. NUTTER: And run the line over to the trailer house. MS. BROWN: Yes, that is what we asked, and he said, oh, no, he couldn't do that.

Then we asked him if he would let us do it and if he'd pay for it. Well, the argument wasn't particu-

ILLY WALTON BOYD THEED SHOTTHAND REPORTED STARS BARRING (191) 411 416 1

2

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

larly whether we were supposed to pay for the well or not pay for it. But he came in and he said, oh, no, you can't have the minerals in that 40 because we're going to put the hole down on Federal land that you don't have any minerals under. Now, the other two 40's belong to old man John F. Brown, who was a very close friend of ours, though he wasn't related. He has the other two 40's and in his patent he had all of that land. I have a copy of the patent here. The whole thing was leased at one time some years ago, in '49, I believe, and they got the gas for their homestead out of part of this larger lease of 320. So Mr. Bayless wouldn't have that problem. In fact there's no house on those two 40's there, and of course, the Federal government lease, it has no house on it.

So I was the only one that wanted the connection. It wasn't whether I owed for the connection or I didn't owe for it.

Now it seemed to me that it wasn't prohibitive for him to put it in for the lease money, you understand. We were -- in all of our leases lately we've gotten 15 percent of the minerals. We haven't leased for several years for less than 15 percent of the minerals, and he put that in the lease and this is the last one he sent me, but even if I had to put it at my own expense, you understand, we would have done it. But the problem is he says that we

SALLY WALTON BOYL CENTIPED INHORTHAND NEPORTE 1920 Parts Shures (541) 411-441 Shures PA, New Marked 373-11 1

2

3

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

don't have any right to it; even went to the trouble of getting a letter from the -- aren't you Mr. Padilla, Mr. Ernie Padilla?

MR. PADILLA: Yes, ma'am.

MS. BROWN: You're the gentleman I talked to over the telephone concerning this, and I talked to a couple of attorneys. Of course, I -- I don't know much about how the effect might be on people, but I do know that we have other property and neighbors have property like this. Well, if three 40's are owned by minerals which have to have the -- that is, it's in the contract, you understand, this is the contract. He didn't cut that out of the contract. He just thought that the idea was that we really weren't pooling it, that we didn't have any rights.

Now my understanding of pooling is that whenever we go together, it's all one -- one property. Isn't that the idea?

MR. NUTTER: I believe you're right, Mrs.

Brown.

MS. BROWN: And that I would be entitled to exactly the same thing that I had before I pooled, which would be 15 percent of 1/4th of this, because there's only one 40 involved, of the minerals, which is in the lease, and he -- we had no argument over that.

The only argument we had was whether I

LY WALTON BOYD FREE SHOATHAND REPORTE Plaza Blazad (511) 571-545 tha Per, New Mestico 17511 1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

998 _____

was entitled to gas and oil for one dwalling on that 40 acres Not only for the lease on it but for the use of the road, because I was letting him use the road that I had an easement to that's our private easement that we bought at quite a bit of expense so we could get to the highway easier ourselves. It's strictly a private road 40 feet wide.

MR. NUTTER: So you weren't able to come to any agreement with Bayless?

MS. BROWN: We didn't come to any agreement and I went and discussed this with the other gas and oil company. I had gone to the trouble of -- in the temporary agreement, this here is the assignment, the first one that we made with the -- we didn't make it with Merrion and Bayless. He wasn't interested. He said he'd just wait till it went out and then, you know, he would -- if they didn't drill, why then he would get it from me.

MR. NUTTER: Well, now you have made a lease with Sandia Gas and Oil Corporation.

MS. BROWN: And they have agreed to put gas and oil in this -- on my property, that is.

MR. NUTTER: Well now, presumably, if the Division should enter an order pooling the lands as requested by Merrion and Bayless here today, then Sandia Oil and Gas Corporation would be a 1 Ath working interest owner in the

LY WALTON BOYD RED SHORTHAND REPORTER RED FADOR (1015) 111-3103 1

2

3

Б

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. BROWN: I don't know. Some of them said that they probably would be here today but then they said they thought they probably would -- they couldn't, as far as I'm concerned, Mr. Bayless has not done anything to my road. He used it to -- this road which is on Section 28 adjoining 27. It has nothing to do with the 40 acres, re-lly It's the easement we bought from a neighbor.

I would not re-assign my equity, or the right I have with Sandia, to Mr. Bayless unless he came in and fixed my road the way he told me he was going to do. MR. NUTTER: Well now, did you get a 15th -

did you retain 15 percent royalty when you leased to Sandia? MS. BROWN: Yes, 15 percent.

MR. NUTTER: Now that's the interest that you say you wouldn't transfer to Mr. Bayless?

MS. BROWN: Now, I just wouldn't assign anything to Mr. Bayless, according to this contract, there is quite a lot in here. I wouldn't assign anything to him until he -- this was not in connection with Sandia. We didn't lease them that road. They're coming on -- in on another road.

I feel that he owes me at least for repairing the road bocause he did use it; not a lot. He hasn't
drilled a well, you understand, but he did use it to locate

Y WALTON BOYD ED IHMMTHAND NEPORTEI EA IMMER (1915) 171-346 174, New Meridon 57591

Page ______19-----

his well site and he has cone out there several times and I spent hours making out those papers, trying to come to some agreement where we could assign the property to the exploration drilling company.

MR. NUTTER: And there's no way that he can get to this location he's proposed except across your land?

MS. BROWN: No, he can go other ways. He just thought it was the fastest and easiest, at least that was my -- I think he can go other ways, yes, but I feel he is under obligation to me to go ahead and work over the road whether he uses it or not because of the fact that he said that he would, and he did use it.

That's my only point. Of course, this does have some other provisions in here, quite a few, but we did, we retained 15 percent of the minerals.

MR. NUTTER: Now, do you have the mailing address for this Sandia Gas and Oil Corporation? Do you have their mailing address there on that document?

MS. BROWN: I don't think it's on here. I have an address here but I don't believe this is on here. It's on Eubank, wherever that is, some place on Eubank. I was there last night.

Well, I'll look in my purse and locate a card where you can ---

SALLY (WALTON BOYD CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER (0.0.011-240 Barth Po., New Marico 17791 Barth Po., New Marico 17791 1

2

3

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 HR. HUTTER: If you could get hold of them 2 and tell them to give us their address, unless we already 3 have it, I'm not acquainted with the company myself. MS. BROWN: I'll be most happy to, yes, 5 I'll be glad to. MR. NUTTER: Just tell them we need their 7 address. 8 MS. BROWN: Now, that's my only trouble ---MR. NUTTER: Mr. Bayless night need their 10 address, too. I don't know. 11 MS. BROWN: I would like to know for my 12 own satisfaction, and I feel that perhaps you're the people 13 to say, I have other property where we have the same situa-14 tion except in a little way different. We own the surface, 15 quite a large tract of land, but we don't own the minerals, 16 you do, but we have some 40's that we own the minerals on 17 jotting up into those sections, and also that we own quite 18 a strip of lots, 47-acre lots, you know, up and down the side 19 where the geographical surveys came together, and we -- I 20 want to know could our mineral rights, the right to have it 21 in a house, a dwalling on the property, could it be taken 22 away from us under those circumstances, or do we have the 23 right, when it's in the contract. I mean I'm talking about 24 what's in the agreement that you sign? 25 MR. NUTTER: Well, I couldn't answer that.

ວກ

LY WALTON BOYD FED SHORTHAND NEPORTEL Para Elimon (515) 171-345 da Pa, New Moridoo 57751

Hr. Padilla could.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BROWN: That's what I wanted to know. I talked to two other attorneys, incidentally. One of them was with Standard Oil and another man who's a contractor, and they both said no, that they couldn't take it away from us.

MR. PADILLA: Mrs. Brown, I don't think this is the subject matter of this case today, and I'd be happy to discuss it possibly at some other time, but at this time I don't think that you should take up time discussing what your rights may be under -- in other lands.

MS. BROWN: It's in this lease. I mean in this lease right here, that these people have agreed that I can have it on that 40 acres if they ---

MR. NUTTER: Well, I believa, Mrs. Brown, that it's always considered that when production comes from communitized lands, whether they're communitized by order of the Division or communitized voluntarily, that the production is considered to be coming from all of those lands.

MS. BROWN: Thank you, that was my impres-

sion ---

MR. NUTTER: Not where the well is. MS. BROWN: -- you understand, that that was -- I just want what comes to me, you understand, but

that was the only argument I had with Mr. Bayless.

LLY WALTON BOYD PRD (HONTHAND REPORTER Flate (Shuca (545) 411-346) AA Po, New Marleo 51341

1 MR. HUTTER: You would be receiving royalty 2 under the southwest southwest and if the provisions are such 3 that you're supposed to get the gas there, I think you're 4 contributing gas, it might be you'll have some gas in your 5 trailer house one of these days. £. MS. BROWN: Well, thank you, very much 7 MR. NUTTER: Yes, ma'am, thank you. 8 MS. BROWN: I'm not contesting it, but I 9 will get you the Sandia Company's address. I have it here 10 some place. 11 MR. NUTTER: Okay. Were there any ques-12 tions of Ms. Brown? 13 MR. BAYLESS: Is it proper for me to make 14 any statements? 15 MR. NUTTER: Yeah, I'm going to let you 16 make a statement, if you wish. I just wonder if you have 17 any questions of Ms. Brown? Before she is excused. 18 MR. BAYLESS: None that I know of. 19 MR. NUTTER: Okay, Ms. Brown, you may be 20 excused. 21 Now we'll call for statements. Does any-22 one have any statements they wish to offer in Case Number 23 6723? Hr. Bayless? 24 MR. BAYLESS: I'd just like to make two 25 points.

VIALTON BOYC SHORTHAND REPORTE LEMMAN (605) 471-340 24, New Maxico 57101

First of all, this well is going to be located on U.S.A. minerals, and I have a letter from the USGS saying that they do not recognize household gas to be taken from a well located on U.S.A. minerals, and I'm really in no position to judge whether this is correct or not, but that's their position.

And secondly, I'd just like for the record to show that the only use of Mrs. Brown's road has been for me to go in and talk to Mrs. Brown. We have not moved any equipment in it. We have not -- we are not utilizing the road for the drilling of the well or access to the well. We have brought a road in from the north where it does not cross her property.

After talking to Mrs. Brown several times, I thought that would be more appropriate.

MR. NUTTER: Thank you.

Does anyone else have anything they wish

to offer in Case Number 6723?

We'll take the case under advisement.

(Hearing concluded.)

LY N/ALTON BOYE THE SHORTHAND REPORTS 1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

REPORTER CERTIFICATE

24

I, SALLY W. BOYD, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability from my notes taken at the time of the hearing.

Sally W. Boyd C.S.R.

I do hereby certify that the foregoing h section of the proceedings in the section of the proceedings in the sector of Case No. 6728 a comptoie pr e i liserd by the on-11/14 1979. , Examiner Oil Conservation Division

LY WALTON BOYD PRD SHORTHAND REPORTE Para Blacce (555) 411-445 the Pe. New Modeo 57191 1

2

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ROBERT L. BAYLESS

PETROLEUM DI UN PLAZA BUILGING P. C. BOX 1541 FARMINGTON, NEW MEXICO 97401

November 16, 1979

State of New Mexico Energy & Minerals Department Oil Conservation Division P.O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, NN 87501

ATTN: Mr. Dan Nutter

TION DWISK 01.00 SAM FA FE -Forcas Pool Case #6723

Gentlemen:

Supplementing our testimony of yesterday, enclosed please find a letter from the U. S. Geological Survey which pertains specifically to the case at hand. Historically, within a pro-ration unit, if more than one lease is involved only the landowner upon whose land the well is actually situated is entitled to the free household gas. Obviously, if this were hot so, there are situations where many royalty-landowners would have small leases all going into a given pro-ration unit and the household gas if provided to every landowner would consume the major part of the well's productive capability.

One alternative solution would be for the Browns to take part of their royalty in kind but this would necessitate a meter to be set at the well head and to compound the problem they would need to lay a line across the NR/NN of Section 27.

As I outlined during the hearing we are willing for this 40 acre tract (SW/SW of Section 27) to participate either through joining, farming out to us or through the forced pool prodedure. However, it should be noted that the Browns originally leased to Rio Grande Exploration and now to Sandia 011 & Gas, neither being established oil and gas operators, so it would seem prudent that if we are not allowed the Forced Pool procedure and that Sandia 011 & Gas wishes to participate in the drilling of this test well, that they be required to advance their proportionate share of the AFE prior to commencement of drilling.

The problem we encountered in taking a Pictured Cliff farmout from Rio Grande Exploration was that their lease with the Browns required a Dakota formation test well to validate their lease and consequently, if they State of New Marico Oil Conservation Division November 16, 1979 Page 2

failed to do this, our farmout and drilling of the Pictured Cliff test well could still not result in a valid lease on the 40 acre tract in question. In talking with Mrs. Brown, it is my impression that the current lease she has made with Sandia Oil & Gas has the same Dakota test well requirement.

In summation and in view of the complications we request a Forced Pooling as we originally requested.

Yours truly,

MERRICH & BAYLESS

ROBERT L. BAYLESS

RLB/pb

Enclosure



United States Department of the Interior

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY Federal Building 701 Camino del Rio Durango, Colorado 81301

October 18, 1979

.

Brown

Robert L. Bayless P. O. Box 1541 Farmington, New Mexico 87401

Dear Mr. Bayless:

Pursuant to your telephone inquiry of October 18, 1979, this is to advise that the Federal Oil and Gas Lease does not provide for a supply of gas to a residential building on the lease. Consequently, this office could not approve use of royalty gas for a resident.

Sincerely yours.

my fr. to Jerry W. Long District Engineer





LARRY KEHOE

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT **OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION**

November 27, 1979

POST OFFICE BOX 2000 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501 (505) 827-2434

Mr. Robert L. Bayless Merrion & Bayless P. O. Box 1541 Farmington, New Mexico 87401 ORDER NO. R-6193

Applicant:

CASE NO.

Merrion & Bayless

6723

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Division order recently entered in the subject case.

Re:

Pours very truly, 1 JOE D. RAMEY Director

JDR/fd

Copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCD х Artesia OCD X X Aztec OCD

Other Mr. and Mrs. Paul Brown

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 6723 Order No. R-6193

APPLICATION OF MERRION & BAYLESS FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, RIQ ARRIBA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE DIVISION

BY THE DIVISION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on November 14, 1979, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel S. Nutter.

NOW, on this <u>21st</u> day of November, 1979, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises;

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Merrion & Bayless, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Pictured Cliffs formation underlying the SW/4 of Section 27, Township 24 North, Range 2 West, NMPM, South Blanco-Pictured Cliffs Pool, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant has the right to drill and proposes to drill a well at a standard location thereon.

(4) That there are interest owners in the proposed proration unit who have not agreed to pool their interests.

(5) That to avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, to protect correlative rights, and to afford to the owner of each interest in said unit the opportunity to recover or -2-Case No. 6723 Order No. R-6193

receive without unnecessary expense his just and fair share of the gas in said pool, the subject application should be approved by pooling all mineral interests, whatever they may be, within said unit.

(6) That the applicant should be designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(7) That any non-consenting working interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production.

(8) That any non-consenting working interest owner that does not pay his share of estimated well costs should have withheld from production his share of the reasonable well costs plus an additional 200 percent thereof as a reasonable charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well.

(9) That any non-consenting interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to object to the actual well costs but that actual well costs should be adopted as the reasonable well costs in the absence of such objection.

(10) That following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs should pay to the operator any amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and should receive from the operator any amount that paid estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

(11) That \$150.00 per month should be fixed as a reasonable charge for supervision (combined fixed rates); that the operator should be authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such supervision charge attributable to each non-consenting working interest, and in addition thereto, the operator should be authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of actual expenditures required for operating the subject well, not in excess of what are reasonable, attributable to each non-consenting working interest.

(12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason should be placed in escrow to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership. -3-Case No. 6723 Order No. R-6193

(13) That upon the failure of the operator of said pooled unit to commence drilling of the well to which said unit is dedicated on or before February 15, 1980, the order pooling said unit should become null and void and of no effect whatsoever.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That all mineral interests, whatever they may be, in the Pictured Cliffs formation underlying the SW/4 of Section 27, Township 24 North, Range 2 West, NMPM, South Blanco-Pictured Cliffs Pool, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, are hereby pooled to form a standard 160-acre gas spacing and proration unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon.

PROVIDED HOWEVER, that the operator of said unit shall commence the drilling of said well on or before the 15th day of February, 1980, and shall thereafter continue the drilling of said well with due diligence to a depth sufficient to test the Pictured Cliffs formation;

PROVIDED FURTHER, that in the event said operator does not commence the drilling of said well on or before the 15th day of February, 1980, Order (1) of this order shall be null and void and of no effect whatsoever, unless said operator obtains a time extension from the Division for good cause shown.

PROVIDED FURTHER, that should said well not be drilled to completion, or abandonment, within 120 days after commencement thereof, said operator shall appear before the Division Director and show cause why Order (1) of this order should not be rescinded.

(2) That Merrion & Bayless are hereby designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(3) That after the effective date of this order and within 90 days prior to commencing said well, the operator shall furnish the Division and each known working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized schedule of estimated well costs.

(4) That within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him, any non-consenting working interest owner shall have the right to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his -4-Case No. 6723 Order No. R-6193

share of reasonable well costs out of production, and that any such owner who pays his share of estimated well costs as provided above shall remain liable for operating costs but shall not be liable for risk charges.

(5) That the operator shall furnish the Division and each known working interest owner an itemized schedule of actual well costs within 90 days following completion of the well; that if no objection to the actual well costs is received by the Division and the Division has not objected within 45 days following receipt of said schedule, the actual well costs shall be the reasonable well costs; provided however, that if there is an objection to actual well costs within said 45-day period the Division will determine reasonable well costs after public notice and hearing.

(6) That within 60 days following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs in advance as provided above shall pay to the operator his pro rata share of the amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and shall receive from the operator his pro rata share of the amount that estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

(7) That the operator is hereby authorized to withhold the following costs and charges from production:

- (A) The pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him.
- (B) As a charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well; 200 percent of the pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him.

(8) That the operator shall distribute said costs and charges withheld from production to the parties who advanced the well costs.

-5-Case No. 6723 Order No. R-6193

(9) That \$150.00 per month is hereby fixed as a reasonable charge for supervision (combined fixed rates); that the operator is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such supervision charge attributable to each non-consenting working interest, and in addition thereto, the operator is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of actual expenditures required for operating such well, not in excess of what are reasonable, attributable to each non-consenting working interest.

(10) That any unsevered mineral interest shall be considered a seven-eighths (7/8) working interest and a one-eighth (1/8) royalty interest for the purpose of allocating costs and charges under the terms of this order.

(11) That any well costs or charges which are to be paid out of production shall be withheld only from the working interests share of production, and no costs or charges shall be withheld from production attributable to royalty interests.

(12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason shall immediately be placed in escrow in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership; that the operator shall notify the Division of the name and address of said escrow agent within 30 days from the date of first deposit with said escrow agent.

(13) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.



STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

JOE D. RAMEY, Director

Thurman & Jackson President Sandia Oil & Leo Co dra. 7104 Sentern Rd N.E. Albuquerque, new Mex. Telephone: 884-7520 M. and Mrs Paul Brown (Marieanne Brown) Regina, new Mexico 87046 Phone 774-6637

Examiner Hearing - Wednesday - November 14, 1979

Fage 2 of 3

Docket No. 42-79

CASE 6721: Application of Aminoil USA, Inc. for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico.

Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Wolfcamp-Pennsylvanian formations underlying the N/2 of Section 10, Township 24 South, Range 28 East, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASE 6684: (Continued from October 31, 1979, Examiner Hearing)

Application of CO_2 -In-Action, Inc. for creation of a new carbon dioxide gas pool and special pool rules, Harding County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the creation of the North Bueyeros-Santa Rosa CO_2 Gas Pool and the promulgation of special pool rules therefor, including a provision for 40-acre spacing and proration units. Said pool would comprise all or parts of Sections 1 thru 4, Township 20 North, Range 30 East, and Sections 8, 9, 10, 15, 16, 17, 20, 21, 22, 27, 28, 32, 33 and 34, Township 21 North, Range 30 East.

CASE 6722: Application of Lloyd Davidson for an unorthodox oil well location, McKinley County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of his Santa Fe Pacific Well No. 1, a Gallup-Entrada-Dakota test 960 feet from the South line and 1230 feet from the East line of Section 29, Township 16 North, Range 6 West, the SE/4 SE/4 of said Section 29 to be dedicated to the well.

CASE 6723: Application of Merrion & Bayless for compulsory pooling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.

Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Pictured CITffs formation underlying the SW/4 of Section 27, Township 24 North, Range 2 West, South Blanco-Pictured Cliffs Pool, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASE 6713: (Continued from October 31, 1979, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Depco Inc. for a unit agreement, Chaves County, New Mexice. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the White Ranch Unit Area, comprising 18,962 acres, more or less, of State, Federal, and fee lands in Townships 12 and 13 South, Ranges 29 and 30 East.

- CASE 6724: Application of Coquina Oil Corporation for a non-standard gas proration unit and an unorthodox location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of a well to be drilled 660 feet from the South line and 1650 feet from the East line of Section 7, Township 19 South, Range 32 East, Lusk-Horrow Gas Pool, the S/2 of said Section 7 to be dedicated to the well as a non-standard 320-acre proration unit.
- CASE 6725: Application of Tenneco Oil Company for three non-standard gas proration units, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of a 291.23-acre non-standard gas proration unit comprising the W/2 of Section 6 and the NW/4 of Section 7, a 347.58-acre unit comprising the W/2 of Section 19 and the NW/4 of Section 30, and a 375.17-acre unit comprising the SW/4 of Section 30 and the W/2 of Section 31, all in Township 29 North, Range 8 West, Basin-Dakota Pool, each unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon.
- <u>CASE 6726</u>: Application of Tesoro Petroleum Corporation for a waterflood project, McKinley County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a waterflood project in the South Hospah-Upper Sand Oil Pool by the injection of water into the Upper Hospah Sands through three wells located in Units E and M of Section 5 and Unit I of Section 8, Township 17 North, Range 8 West. Applicant further seeks an administrative procedure for expansion of said project.
- CASE 6727: Application of Conoco Inc. for salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water in its Anderson Ranch Unit Well No. 8 located in Unit I of Section 11, Township 16 South, Range 32 East, Anderson Ranch Field. Applicant would dispose into the Wolfcamp, Mississippian, and Devonian formations in the overall interval from 9775 feet to 13,620 feet through selective perforations.

CASE 67:8: Application of Conoco Inc. for pressure maintenance expansion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the expansion of its Scarborough Eaves PM Project by the conversion of its Eaves "A" Well No. 7 located in Unit J of Section 19, Township 26 South, Range 37 East, to water injection in the Yates-Seven Rivers formations.

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION WEL LOCATION AND ACREAGE DEDICATIC. PLAT

Rim C-102 Supersedes C-128 Effective 1-1-65

Operator MERRICN &	BAY	LEUS			Lea		East 1	Lindri	th			Well No. 5	•
Unii Lelier	Secti	08	Township			Ran	90	· I	County				•
L	22	7 ·	24N		•	2	W	-	RIO	ARRIBA			
Actual Fostage Loca	ation e	of Wells					•						
1600	feet	from the	South	line and	10	00		feet	from the	West	u .	ne	
Ground Level Elev.		Producing	Formation		Fool	ł					Dedicati	ed Acteoger	
7225		Pict	ured Cliffs	•			South	Blanc	D			160	Acres

All distances must be from the outer boundaries of the Section.

1. Outline the acreage dedicated to the subject well by colored pencil or hachure marks on the plat below.

2. If more than one lease is dedicated to the well, outline each and identify the ownership thereof (both as to working interest and royalty).

3. If more than one lease of different ownership is dedicated to the well, have the interests of all owners been consolidated by communitization, unitization, force-pooling. etc?

Yes X No If answer is "yes," type of consolidation _

No allowable will be assigned to the well until all interests have been consolidated (by communitization, unitization, forced-pooling, or otherwise) or until a non-standard unit, eliminating such interests, has been approved by the Commis-



ROBERT L. BAYLESS

PETROLEUM OLUG PLATA BUILDING P. D. BOX 1841 FARMINGTON, NEW MEXICO OCT 2 5 1379 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION SANTA FE

October 23, 1979

Case 6723

State of New Mexico Energy & Minerals Department Oil & Gas Conservation Division P.O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, NM 87501

Gentlemen:

Please consider this our request for a Forced Pool hearing covering a proposed Pictured Cliff formation gas well to be drilled in the SW/4 of Section 27, T24N, R2W, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. As the attached plat indicates, we control the oil and gas operating rights on all the quarter section with the exception of the SW 40 acre tract, which is owned by Paul and Marie Brown of Lindrith, New Mexico (with a mailing address of Regina, New Mexico).

We request that we be named to drill the proposed Pictured Cliff gas well with the pooling of interest to cover from the surface of the ground to the base of the Pictured Cliff formation. Paul and Marie Brown have refused to lease to us and we request that we be allowed to recover the drilling, completing and operating costs attributable to this tract with a penalty factor of 200%.

In order that we may drill this well before winter, we request the hearing be set as soon as possible. The U.S.C.S. has requested the well be drilled as the NW 40 acre tract has U.S.A. minerals and there is presently a Pictured Cliff formation gas well located as a direct offset to the North, namely the NW/4 Section 27, T24N, R2W.

Yours truly,

MERRION & BAYLESS

si fa ROBERT L. BAYLESS

RLB/eh

Enclosure (1)

xc: NMOCC, Aztec

NI MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISS' WELL LOCATION AND ACREAGE DEDICATION , LAT

•					EDICATION + I		Ellective 14-1
Operator		All distanc		the outer bounds:	rles of the Section	₩₩_0 <u>6</u>	
MERRION &	BAYLESS				Indrith	UIL CONT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Init Letter	Section	Township	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	Range	County		
L ctual Footage Loca	27 · ation of Wells	24N		211	RIO A	RRTBA S.9/	VATION DIVISION
1600	feet from the	South	line and]	.000	feet from the	West .	line
round Lyvel Elev.		Formation	Pe		1		Dedicated Acreage:
7225		dicated to the s		South I			<u> 160 Ac</u>
interest an 3. If more tha	d royalty). n one lease (ership is ded	licated to the		_	hereof (both as to worki all owners been conso
Yes If answer i this form if No allowab	X No **no," list (necessary.). le will be ass	If answer is "ye the owners and <u>W111 be co</u> signed to the we	tract descrip	onsolidation _ tions which ha d teresis have b	een consolida	ted (by com	ated. (Use reverse side munitization, unitization approved by the Commi
sion.				1	- T		CERTIFICATION
	 					tained he	certify that the information ca rein is true and complete to t y knowledge and belief.
		+					ory Merrion
	1			1		Position Co-Owne:	r [·]
	l					Company	& Bayless
	l l	Sec		i i t		Date April 10	8, 1979
NM-02599	JOHN	BROWN FE	27			shown an noses of under my is true o	certify that the well location this plat was platted from file octual surveys mode by me supervision, and that the sound correct to the best of a e and belief.
PAUL & MARII BROWN FEE						Date Survey Septe Regimered and or Long	TERED LAN 1978
16				1		Fréd	B. Kers/Jr

e vi

Ĩ

DRAFT

dr/

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

6723 CASE NO.

Order No. R- 6/97

fr

APPLICATION OF MERRION & BAYLESS FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, RIO ARRIBA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE DIVISION

BY THE DIVISION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on <u>November 14</u> 19<u>79</u>, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner <u>Daniel S. Nutter</u>. NOW, on this <u>day of November</u>, 19<u>79</u>, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, <u>Merrion & Bayless</u> seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Pictured <u>Cliffs formation</u> underlying the <u>SW/4</u> of Section <u>27</u>, Township <u>24 North</u>, Range <u>2 West</u> NMPM, <u>South Blanco-Pictured Cliffs Pool, Rio Arriba County, New</u> Mexico. -2-Case No. Order No. R-

(3) That the applicant has the right to drill and proposes to drill a well <u>at a standard location thereon</u>

(4) That there are interest owners in the proposed proration unit who have not agreed to pool their interests.

(5) That to avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, to protect correlative rights, and to afford to the owner of each interest in said unit the opportunity to recover or receive without unnecessary expense his just and fair share of the gas in said pool, the subject application should be approved by pooling all mineral interests, whatever they may be, within said unit.

(6) That the applicant should be designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(7) That any non-consenting working interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production.

(8) That any non-consenting working interest owner that does not pay his share of estimated well costs should have withheld from production his share of the reasonable well costs plus an additional <u>zoo percent</u> thereof as a reasonable charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well.

(9) That any non-consenting interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to object to the actual well costs but that actual well costs should be adopted as the reasonable well costs in the absence of such objection.

(10) That following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs should pay to the operator any emount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and should receive from the operator any amount that paid estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs. -3-Case No. Order No. R-

(11) That $\frac{150^{\circ\circ}}{150^{\circ\circ}}$ per month should be fixed as a reasonable charge for supervision (combined fixed rates); that the operator should be authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such supervision charge attributable to each non-consenting working interest, and in addition thereto, the operator should be authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of actual expenditures required for operating the subject well, not in excess of what are reasonable, attributable to each non-consenting working interest.

(12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason should be placed in escrow to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership.

(13) That upon the failure of the operator of said pooled unit to commence drilling of the well to which said unit is dedicated on or before $\frac{15,1980}{15,1980}$, the order pooling said unit should become null and void and of no effect whatsoever.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That all mineral interests, whatever they may be, in the <u>Pictured Cliffs</u> formation underlying the <u>SW/4</u> of Section <u>27</u>, Township <u>24 North</u>, Range <u>2 West</u>, <u>NMPM, South Blanco-Pictured Cliffs Pool, Rio Arr</u>^{1ba} county, New Mexico, are hereby pooled to form a standard <u>/60</u>-acre gas spacing and proration unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon

<u>PROVIDED HOWEVER</u>, that the operator of said unit shall commence the drilling of said well on or before the <u>/sth</u> day of <u>Jebruary</u>, 1980, and shall thereafter continue the drilling of said well with due diligence to a depth sufficient to test the <u>Victured Cliffic</u> formation;

<u>PROVIDED FURTHER</u>, that in the event said operator does not commence the drilling of said well on or before the 15 fk day of <u>Johrwary</u>, 1979, Order (1) of this order shall be null and void and of no effect whatsoever, unless said operator obtains a time extension from the Division for good cause shown. -- 4.-

PROVIDED FURTHER, that should said well not be drilled to completion, or abandonment, within 120 days after commencement thereof, said operator shall appear before the Division Director and show cause why Order (1) of this order should not be rescinded.

(2) That <u>Merrion & Bayless are</u> XKS hereby designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(3) That after the effective date of this order and within 90 days prior to commencing said well, the operator shall furnish the Division and each known working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized schedule of estimated well costs.

(4) That within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him, any non-consenting working interest owner shall have the right to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production, and that any such owner who pays his share of estimated well costs as provided above shall remain liable for operating costs but shall not be liable for risk charges.

(5) That the operator shall furnish the Division and each known working interest owner an itemized schedule of actual well costs within 90 days following completion of the well; that if no objection to the actual well costs is received by the Division and the Division has not objected within 45 days following receipt of said schedule, the actual well costs shall be the reasonable well costs; provided however, that if there is an objection to actual well costs within said 45-day period the Division will determine reasonable well costs after public notice and hearing.

(6) That within 60 days following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs in advance as provided -5-Case No. Order No. R-

above shall pay to the operator his pro rata share of the amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and shall receive from the operator his pro rata share of the amount that estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

(7) That the operator is hereby authorized to withhold the following costs and charges from production:

- (A) The pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him.
- (B) As a charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well, <u>200 performed</u> the pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him.

(8) That the operator shall distribute said costs and charges withheld from production to the parties who advanced the well costs.

(9) That $\oint 150.00$ per month is hereby fixed as a reasonable charge for supervision (combined fixed rates); that the operator is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such supervision charge attributable to each non-consenting working interest, and in addition thereto, the operator is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of actual expenditures required for operating such well, not in excess of what are reasonable, attributable to each non-consenting working interest.

-6-Case Order No.

(10) That any unsevered mineral interest shall be considered a seven-eighths (7/8) working interest and a one-eighth (1/8) royalty interest for the purpose of allocating costs and charges under the terms of this order.

(11) That any well costs or charges which are to be paid out of production shall be withheld only from the working interests share of production, and no costs or charges shall be withheld from production attributable to royalty interests.

(12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason shall immediately be placed in escrow in <u>Rio Arriba</u> County, New Mexico, to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership; that the operator shall notify the Division of the name and address of said escrow agent within 30 days from the date of first deposit with said escrow agent.

(13) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.