, CASE 6732: DORCHESTER EXPLORATION, INC. C. FOR AN UNORTHODOX OIL WELL LOCATION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

CONTINUE U TO

JANUARY 3rd

Case 2

Application Transcripts. Small Exhibits

TC.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG. SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 3 January 1980

EXAMINER HEARING

)	
IN THE MATTER	OF:)	
		}	
	Application of Dorchester Exploration,)	CASE
	Inc., for an unorthodox oil well loca-)	6437
	tion, Lea County, New Mexico.)	6>3
)	

BEFORE: Richard L. Stamets

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

APPEARANCES

For the Oil Conservation Division:

For the Applicant:

Ernest L. Padilla, Esq. Legal Counsel for the Division State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Conrad Coffield, Esq. HINKLE LAW FIRM Midland, Texas

INDEX

H. L. McCARROLL

Direct Examination by Mr. Coffield

. 1942 - I

EXHIBITS

Applicant Exhibit One, Plat Applicant Exhibit Two, Plat Applicant Exhibit Three, Cross Section 3

6

7

MR. STAMETS: We'll call next Case

6732.

MR. PADILLA: Application of Dorchester Exploration, Inc., for an unorthodox oil well location, Lea County, New Mexico.

MR. STAMETS: Call for appearances. MR. DORCHESTER: Mr. Examiner, I'm Rick O'Bannon, Dorchester, and we're waiting for our attorney and geologist to testify.

MR. STAMETS: All right, we'll skip over this case, then, and we'll call Case 6775, and we'll return to Dorchester later in the docket.

MR. STAMETS: I think we will go back to the Dorchester case now, 6732, and Case 6732 has already been called and we'll call for appearances in the case.

MR. COFFIELD: Conrad Coffield with the Hinkle Law Firm of Midland, Texas, appearing on behalf of the applicant, and I have one witness.

(Witness sworn.)

H. L. MCCARROLL

being called as a witness and having been duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. COFFIELD:

Q. Mr. McCarroll, would you please state your name and address, occupation and employer?

A. H. L. McCarroll, 2826 Cimarron, Midland, Texas. I'm employed by the Dorchester Exploration Incorporated.

Q Mr. McCarroll, have you previously testified before the Division as a geologist?

No, I haven't.

A.

Q Would you please state your educational background and work experience as a geologist?

A. I received a Bachelor of Science degree in geology in 1958 at the University of New Mexico in Albuquerque and until -- from early '59 until July of 1977 I was employed by the Superior Oil Company in Midland, Texas, and the majority of that time the experience was in southeast New Mexico and West Texas.

In July of '77 until the present, I've been employed by Dorchester in Midland,

Q Mr. McCarroll, are you familiar with Dorchester's application in this case?

Yes.

A.

Q And are you familiar with the property and the well location in this case?

Yes.

A.

A,

MR. COFFIELD: Mr. Examiner, are the witness' qualifications acceptable?

MR. STAMETS: Mr. McCarroll, what type of geological duties did you have with Superior, exploration?

That's right, exploration.

MR. STAMETS: Okay, the witness is considered qualified.

Q Mr. McCarroll, what is it that Dorchester seeks with this application?

A Seeking approval for an unorthodox location of its Morton Solid State Unit No. 1 in -- located 2156 feet from the north line and 990 from the west line of Section 5, Township 15 South, Range 34 East, Lea County, New Mexico, and it's located in the Tres Papalotes-Pennsylvanian Field.

Q. Mr. McCarroll, would you please give the Examiner a brief history of this well and how it happens to be drilled where it is?

A. The well was originally spudded by Petroleum Development Corporation of Albuquerque as a 13,500 foot Morrow wildcat, and subsequently, at a depth of 30 feet, with 20-inch conductor pipe having been set, Dorchester assumed operations of the well.

And on July the 30th of 1979 we filed an application for amendment for the 11,200 foot wildcat. We amended the depth and the operator.

The New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission advised us to change the designation from wildcat to Tres Papalotes Field, which we did.

We drilled an 11,200 feet test to the Ranger Lake pay and plugged back and completed it from the Tres Papalotes zone. As a consequence --

MR. STAMETS: What is the Ranger Lake? A. From that -- all right, it's the limestone section -- the dolomite section below -- this is a term that I may be using a little freely here, we have no nearby production from that section. When we get to the exhibit marked Number Three I'd like to identify it then.

MR. STAMETS: Okay, that would be

fine,

A. The location for the location of the well as a Morrow or Ranger Lake zone would have been a legal location. Since we plugged back and completed from the Tres Papalotes-Penn, we are now non-standard; about 224 feet too far to the southeast, according to the field rules.

Q. Mr. McCarroll, please refer to what we've marked as Exhibit One.

A.

All right, Exhibit One, a surveyor's

plat, showing the well location in 15 South, 34 East, 2156 feet from the north line ϵ 990 from the west line.

Q. I believe you've already said this, but where would the standard location be if you'd --

A. Standard location would be anywhere within 100-foot -- 150-foot radius from the center of that quarter quarter that we're located in, and the well is located 374 feet from the center of that, which puts us 224 feet beyond the limits of the field rules.

This also shows that we -- the dedicated acreage of 173.74 acres.

Q. Mr. McCarroll, go to what we've marked as Exhibit Two and explain that exhibit to the Examiner.

A. Exhibit Two is the land plat, of course, of the Morton Solid State Unit, located all of it within 15 South and 34 East. It includes the west half of Section 3, all of Section 4, the north half of Section 9, and the northwest quarter of Section 10.

Within this unit there are two quarter sections that are non-participating in the unit, and that would be the northeast quarter of Section 4 and the southwest quarter of Section 3.

Total acreage encompassed by this unit is approximately 1480 acres and approximately 333 not participating. This is a State-approved unit.

MR. STAMETS: This would indicate, then, that it appears as though Dorchester is really crowding an acreage that it already owns and unit acreage, as well.

A Yes, sir, but we were not involved in that unit until we assumed the operation of the well.

MR. STAMETS: I see, but as it cur-

rently stands.

A.

As it is right now, yes, sir.

MR, STAMETS: You're not crowding a non-participating tract or a tract outside the unit.

A. Correct, Correct.

Q. Along those same lines, Mr. McCarroll, is Dorchester the leasehold owner as well as the proposed operator?

A. Yes, we are.

Q All right. And who are -- who would be the owners of the tracts which offset the well?

A. The same ownership that is in the well that has been drilled. The minerals are all State owned and the participating working interest units are all the same.

Q Okay, did you have anything further on that or are you ready for Exhibit Three now?

A. I'm ready for Exhibit Three.

Q. Let's go to Exhibit Three and will you explain that to the Examiner?

A. Exhibit Number Three is a structural north/south cross section. It includes the Wolfcamp and part of the Upper Pennsylvanian section.

It is intended primarily to show the variations or the relationship of the producing section to the Tres Papalotes Field and the structural marker which we've mapped on. The structural map is inserted with this cross section and that also shows the outline and the acreage dedicated and the working interest participation acreage in the unit.

There is, in between Wells 2 and 3, I have a note there that I've just labeled this Tres Papalotes carbonate, simply for identification of the pay zone, and all wells that produce from this field are perforated within that interval showing. There are eight producing wells now and two that have been plugged that were producing.

The well on the far left of this, under the A part of the cross section, is the Dorchester well. The Ranger Lake part that I have identified there is shown down there. This is -- some people pick the Ranger Lake as the top of the dolomite, and this is simply a correlation marker that I've carried through here, but the lime -- on the only two deep wells we have in there the lime marker is shown between those two wells, where you go from the lime to the dolomite. And that was my reason for saying that we

are -- that we had staked a wildcat well and drilled a wildcat well.

I think just by inspection you can see the variation of the perforated intervals on the four logs shown, and it's pretty much that way throughout the field, also.

The structure map is a composite of the two lines of seismic and the -- and the subsurface points of the wells in the area. The sharp points on the seismic line are identified by the triangles, small triangles, and the -- one line goes from the northwest of Section 32 to the southeast of Section 10, in 15, 34, and the other line goes from Section 9 to the northeast of Section 3, 15, 34, and based on that seismic line with the remainder of it, the well was located as a result of that.

The four best wells in the field are the three Enserch wells in Section 33 and the one in the northeast corner, the C. W. Trainer Well in the northeast of Section 5, which would indicate that there is a porosity/ permeability improvement in the north/south -- northeast/ southwest direction through there.

That, with the structural point down there is the basis for the well location. The prospect was accepted and drilled to the Ranger Lake, assuming that we were going to have the structural position as indicated, which

A Charles

So we declined the deeper drilling on

it.

I don't have much else to say about that, I don't believe.

Q All right, Mr. McCarroll, do you believe that your location is geologically superior to the orthodox location insofar as concerns the Tres Papalotes production?

Yes. Yes, I do.

A.

Q Do you have any other comments or remarks in connection with this case?

A. Well, no; just want to request that the State will grant approval for the unorthodox location, the reasons being as I stated before. we did drill a wildcat well to a deeper depth than the pay zone and it was not related to the field pay itself. The well was spudded prior to Dorchester's assuming operations and we -- the well was temporarily suspended on July the 6th of 1979, and during the interim between when it was spudded and temporarily abandoned operations, Mesa Petroleum had three leases within the unit outline, totalling about 400 acres, or about 37 --35 percent of the working interest in the unit that would have expired had we moved the location, and we are not jeopardizing any other mineral or working interest owners in the area.

Q Mr. McCarroll, were these Exhibits One through Three prepared by you or under your supervision?

A. Yes, they were.

Q And in your opinion will approval of Dorchester's application prevent the drilling of unnecessary wells and otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights?

Yes,

A.

MR. COFFIELD: Mr. Examiner, I move admission of Exhibits One through Three.

MR. STAMETS: These exhibits will be

He may be excused.

admitted.

Are there any questions of the witness?

Anything further in this case?

The case will be taken under advisement.

(Hearing concluded.)

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability from my notes taken at the time of the hearing.

Solly W. Boyd C.S.R.

I do hereby certify that the foregoing to a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 6732heard by me on 1-3 19 80.

Oil Conservation Division

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG. SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 3 January 1980

EXAMINER HEARING

			يس موند همه ومد هوه مود بون بون بون وي وي منه اوه مع مد بود هي وي		~
)	
IN	THE	MATTER	OF:)	
)	
			Application of Dorchester Exploration,	}	CASE
			Inc., for an unorthodox oil well loca-)	-6437
			tion, Lea County, New Mexico.)	6732
)	

BEFORE: Richard L. Stamets

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

APPEARANCES

For the Oil Conservation Division:

Ernest L. Padilla, Esq. Legal Counsel for the Division State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

For the Applicant:

Conrad Coffield, Esq. HINKLE LAW FIRM Midland, Texas

INDEX

H. L. MCCARROLL

Direct Examination by Mr. Coffield

6

7

8

2

EXHIBITS

Applicant Exhibit One, Plat Applicant Exhibit Two, Plat Applicant Exhibit Three, Cross Section

MR. STAMETS: We'll call next Case

6732.

MR. PADILLA: Application of Dorchester Exploration, Inc., for an unorthodox oil well location, Lea County, New Mexico.

MR. STAMETS: Call for appearances. MR. DORCHESTER: Mr. Examiner, I'm Rick O'Bannon, Dorchester, and we're waiting for our attorney and geologist to testify.

MR. STAMETS: All right, we'll skip over this case, then, and we'll call Case 6775, and we'll return to Dorchester later in the docket.

MR. STAMETS: I think we will go back to the Dorchester case now, 6732, and Case 6732 has already been called and wo'll call for appearances in the case.

MR. COFFIELD: Conrad Coffield with the Hinkle Law Firm of Midland, Texas, appearing on behalf of the applicant, and I have one witness.

(Witness Sworn.)

H. L. MCCARROLL

being called as a witness and having been duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

4

BY MR. COFFIELD:

Q IIr. McCarroll, would you please state your name and address, occupation and employer?

 M. H. L. McCarroll, 2826 Cimarron, Midland, Texas. I'm employed by the Dorchester Exploration
 Incorporated.

Q Mr. McCarroll, have you previously testified before the Division as a geologist?

No, I haven't.

λ.

N Would you please state your educational background and work experience as a geologist?

A I received a Bachelor of Science degree in geology in 1958 at the University of New Mexico in Albuquerque and until -- from early '59 until July of 1977 I was employed by the Superior Oil Commany in Midland, Texas, and the majority of that time the experience was in southeast New Mexico and West Texas.

In July of '77 until the present, I've been employed by Dorchester in Midland.

Mr. McCarroll, are you familiar with Dorchester's application in this case?

Yes.

A,

Q And are you familiar with the property and the well location in this case?

Yes.

Ä,

A.

MR. COFFIELD: Mr. Examiner, are the witness' qualifications acceptable?

5

MR. STAMETS: Mr. McCarroll, what type of geological duties did you have with Superior, exploration?

That's right, exploration.

MR. STAMETS: Okay, the witness is considered qualified.

0 Mr. McCarroll, what is it that Dorchester seeks with this application?

A Seeking approval for an unorthodox location of its Morton Solid State Unit No. 1 in -- located 2156 feet from the north line and 990 from the west line of Section 5, Township 15 South, Range 34 East, Lea County, New Mexico, and it's located in the Tres Papalotes-Pennsylvanian Field.

Q Mr. McCarroll, would you please give the Examiner a brief history of this wall and how it happens to be drilled where it is?

A The well was originally spudded by Petroleum Development Corporation of Albuquerque as a 13,500 foot Morrow wildcat, and subsequently, at a depth of 30 feet, with 20-inch conductor pipe having been set, Dorchester assumed operations of the well. And on July the 30th of 1979 we filed an application for amendment for the 11,200 foot wildcat. We amended the depth and the operator.

The New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission advised us to change the designation from wildcat to Tres Papalotes Field, which we did.

We drilled an 11,200 feet test to the Ranger Lake pay and plugged back and completed it from the Tres Papalotes zone. As a consequence --

MR. STAMETS: What is the Ranger Lake? A. From that -- all right, it's the limestone section -- the dolomite section below -- this is a term that I may be using a little freely here, we have no nearby production from that section. When we get to the exhibit marked Number Three I'd like to identify it then.

MR. STAMETS: Okay, that would be

A. The location for the location of the
well as a Morrow or Ranger Lake zone would have been a legal
location. Since we plugged back and completed from the
Tres Papalotes-Penn, we are now non-standard; about 224 feet
too far to the southeast, according to the field rules.

fine.

Mr. McCarroll, please refer to what we've marked as Exhibit One.

All right, Exhibit One, a surveyor's

plat, showing the well location in 15 South, 34 East, 2156 feet from the north line and 990 from the west line.

Q I believe you've already said this, but where would the standard location be if you'd --

A. Standard location would be anywhere within 100-foot -- 150-foot radius from the center of that quarter quarter that we're located in, and the well is located 374 feet from the center of that, which puts us 224 feet beyond the limits of the field rules.

This also shows that we -- the dedicated acreage of 173.74 acres.

Q Mr. McCarroll, go to what we've marked as Exhibit Two and explain that exhibit to the Examiner.

A. Exhibit Two is the land plat, of course, of the Morton Solid State Unit, located all of it within 15 South and 34 East. It includes the west half of Section 3, all of Section 4, the north half of Section 9, and the northwest guarter of Section 10.

Within this unit there are two quarter sections that are non-participating in the unit, and that would be the northeast guarter of Section 4 and the southwest guarter of Section 3.

Total acreage encompassed by this unit is approximately 1480 acres and approximately 333 not participating. This is a State-approved unit.

MR. STAMETS: This would indicate, then, that it appears as though Dorchester is really crowding an acreage that it already owns and unit acreage, as well.

A Yes, sir, but we were not involved in that unit until we assumed the operation of the well.

MR. STAMETS: I see, but as it cur-

rently stands.

A.

As it is right now, yes, sir:

MR. STAMETS: You're not crowding a non-participating tract or a tract outside the unit.

A. Correct. Correct.

Q Along those same lines, Mr. McCarroll, is Dorchester the leasehold owner as well as the proposed operator?

A. Yes, we are.

Q All right. And who are -- who would be the owners of the tracts which offset the well?

A. The same ownership that is in the well that has been drilled. The minerals are all State owned and the participating working interest units are all the same.

0. Okay, did you have anything further on that or are you ready for Exhibit Three now?

A. I'm ready for Exhibit Three.

Q Let's go to Exhibit Three and will you explain that to the Examiner?

M Exhibit Number Three is a structural north/south cross section. It includes the Wolfcamp and part of the Upper Pennsylvanian section.

It is intended primarily to show the variations or the relationship of the producing section to the Tres Papalotes Field and the structural marker which we've mapped on. The structural map is inserted with this cross section and that also shows the outline and the acreage dedicated and the working interest participation acreage in the unit.

There is, in between Wells 2 and 3, I have a note there that I've just labeled this Tres Papalotes carbonate, simply for identification of the pay zone, and all wells that produce from this field are perforated within that interval showing. There are eight producing wells now and two that have been plugged that were producing.

The well on the far left of this, under the A part of the cross section, is the Dorchester well. The Ranger Lake part that I have identified there is shown down there. This is -- some people pick the Ranger Lake as the top of the dolomite, and this is simply a correlation marker that I've carried through here, but the lime -- on the only two deep wells we have in there the lime marker is shown between those two wells, where you go from the lime to the dolomite. And that was my reason for saying that we

are -- that we had staked a wildcat well and drilled a wildcat well.

I think just by inspection you can see the variation of the perforated intervals on the four logs shown, and it's pretty much that way throughout the field, _____ also.

The structure map is a composite of the two lines of seismic and the -- and the subsurface points of the wells in the area. The sharp points on the seismic line are identified by the triangles, small triangles, and the -- one line goes from the northwest of Section 32 to the southeast of Section 10, in 15, 34, and the other line goes from Section 9 to the northeast of Section 3, 15, 34, and based on that seismic line with the remainder of it, the well was located as a result of that.

The four best wells in the field are the three Enserch wells in Section 33 and the one in the northeast corner, the C. W. Trainer Well in the northeast of Section 5, which would indicate that there is a porosity/ permeability improvement in the north/south -- northeast/ southwest direction through there.

That, with the structural point down there is the basis for the well location. The prospect was accepted and drilled to the Ranger Lake, assuming that we were going to have the structural position as indicated, which

we did have, however it thickened, it was a little low on Ranger Lake, but we did not feel like the Morrow formation had enough merit to warrant drilling that.

So we declined the deeper drilling on it.

I don't have much else to say about

that, I don't believe.

Q All right, Mr. McCarroll, do you believe that your location is geologically superior to the orthodox location insofar as concerns the Tres Papalotes production?

A Yes. Yes, I do.

Q. Do you have any other comments or remarks in connection with this case?

A. Well, no; just want to request that the State will grant approval for the unorthodox location, the reasons being as I stated before, we did drill a wildcat well to a deeper depth than the pay zone and it was not related to the field pay itself. The well was spudded prior to Dorchester's assuming operations and we -- the well was temporarily suspended on July the 6th of 1979, and during the interim between when it was spudded and temporarily abandoned operations, Masa Petroleum had three leases within the unit outline, totalling about 400 acres, or about 37 --35 percent of the working interest in the unit that would have expired had we moved the location, and We are not jeopardizing any other mineral or working interest owners in the area.

0 Mr. McCarroll, were these Exhibits One through Three prepared by you or under your supervision?

A Yes, they were.

Q And in your opinion will approval of Dorchester's application prevent the drilling of unnecessary wells and otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights?

Yes.

Α.

MR. COFFIELD: Mr. Examiner, I move admission of Exhibits One through Three.

MR. STAMETS: These exhibits will be

admitted.

Barris and Andrews

He may be excused.

Are there any questions of the witness?

Anything further in this case? The case will be taken under advisement.

(Hearing concluded.)

REPORSER'S CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability from my notes taken at the time of the hearing.

Sally W. Boyd, C.S.R.

, Examiner

Oll Conservation Division



BRUCE KING GOVERNOR LARRY KEHOE SECRETARY STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT DIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

January 18, 1980

POST OFFICE BOX 2088 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501 (535) 627-2434

Mr. Conrad E. Coffield Hinkle, Cox, Eaton, Coffield <u>± Hensley</u> Attorneys at Law P. O. Box 3580 Midland, Texas 79702 Re: CASE NO. 6732 ORDER NO. R-6239

Applicant:

Dorchester Exploration, Inc.

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Division order recently entered in the subject case.

nours very truly, ð ĽĽ JOE D. RAMEY Director

JDR/fd

Copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCD X Artesia OCD X Aztec OCD

Other

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 6732 Order No. R-6239

APPLICATION OF DORCHESTER EXPLORATION, INC. FOR AN UNORTHODOX OIL WELL LOCATION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE DIVISION

BY THE DIVISION:

ĮĮ.

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on January 3, 1980; at Santa Pa, Naw Mexico, before Examiner Richari D. Stamets.

NOW, on this <u>16th</u> day of January, 1980, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Dorchester Exploration, Inc., seeks approval of an unorthodox oil well location for its Morton Solid State Unit Well No. 1 located 2156 feet from the North line and 990 feet from the West line of Section 4, Township 15 South, Range 34 East, NMPM, Tres Papalotes-Pennsylvanian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.

(3) That the NW/4 of said Sectio. 4 is to be dedicated to the well.

(4) That a well at said unorthodox location resulted from the completion of a well previously drilled to a deeper horizon.

(5) That no offset operator objected to the proposed unorthodox location. -2-Case No. 6732 Order No. R-6239

(6) That approval of the subject application will afford the applicant the opportunity to produce its just and equitable share of the oil in the subject pool, will prevent the economic loss caused by the drilling of unnecessary wells, avoid the augmentation of risk arising from the drilling of an excessive number of wells, and will otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That an unorthodox oil well location for the Upper Pennsylvanian formation is hereby approved for the Dorchester Exploration, Inc. Morton Solid State Unit Well No. 1 located at a point 2156 feet from the North line and 990 feet from the West line of Section 4, Township 15 South, Range 34 East, NMPM, Tres Papalotes-Pennsylvanian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.

(2) That the NW/4 of said Section 4 shall be dedicated to the above-described well.

(3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.



STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION JOE D. RAMEY Director

fd/

	ļ.	Pege						
	1 2 3 4	ENERGY AND I OIL CONSEL STATE LAN SANTA I 28 No	OF NEW MEXICO MINERALS DEPARTMENT RVATION DIVISION ND OFFICE BLDG. FE, NEW MEXICO ovember 1979 INER HEARING	<i>k</i> .				
	5 6 7 8	IN THE MATTER OF: Application of Dor))) rchester Exploration,) CASE thodox oil well loca-) 6732					
SALLY WALTON BOYD CERTFNED SHORTHAND REPORTER 2020 Plaze Blance (601) (11-2465 Bania Fo, Now Merico 57501	9 10 11 12	BEFORE: Richard L. Stamets) RIPT OF HEARING	and the second				
	13 14 15 16	APPEARANCES						
	17 18 19	For the Oil Conservation Division:	Ernest L. Padilla, Esq. Legal Counsel for the Division State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501					
	20 21 22 23	For the Applicant:	Conrad Coffield, Esq. Hinkle Law Firm P. O. Box 3580 Midland, Texas 79701					
	24 25							

ĺ

1-A Paor INDEX JOE BATES Direct Examination by Mr, Coffield - 5 Cross Examination by Mr. Stamets SALLY WALTON BO EXHIBITS Applicant Exhibit One, C-102 A BUX MAN

MR. STAMETS: We'll call next Case 6732. 2 MR. PADILLA: Application of Dorchester 3 Exploration, Inc. for an unorthodox oil well location, Lea County, New Mexico. 4 5 MR. STAMETS: Call for appearances in this 6 case. Anyone here for Dorchester? 7 MR. BATES: Yes. 3 MR. STAMETS: Are you ready to put your 9 case on? 10 MR, BATES: Well, I don't have the attorney 11 with me, but I just wanted to see if we had any objections to 12 it. í3 MR. STAMETS: Let's see. Well, with or 14 without objections if a case is not put on there can't be 15 any order issued in it. We have to have the testimony before 16 we put out an order. Did you intend to put some testimony 17 on today? 18 MR. BATES: No. 19 MR. STAMETS: Would you like to have this 20 continued until later in the hearing so you could get organized 21 to put some on? 22 MR. BATES: Well, I thought you just ad-23 ministratively approved if we had no objections. 24 MR. STAMETS: No, sir. 25 (There followed a discussion

LY WALTON BOYD FED SHORTHAND REPORTER PLED SHUDA (645) 471-546 ILL Fe, Now Medico 87501

Page _____

off the record.)

MR. STAMETS: We will continue this case
until after we have a coffeebreak and see what arrangements
you can make at that time and try to get you taken care of
today.

MR. BATES: I appreciate it.

(Thereupon the case was continued until later in the day.)

MR. STAMETS: We'll return now to Case 6732, and ask for appearances in that case.

MR. COFFIELD: Conrad Coffield with the Hinkle Law Firm in Midland, Texas, appearing on behalf of Dorchester Exploration, Inc.. I have one witness.

MR. STAMETS: I'd like to have him stand and be sworn, please.

(Witness sworn.)

JOE BATES

being called as a witness and having been duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Y WALTON BOYE EE BHONTHAND REPORTE 22, EMDA (646) 471-546 74, New Moridoo 67(61 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

BY MR. COFFIELD:

2

3

5

7

R

9

10

11

12 ·

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Mr. Bates, would you please state your full name and address?

A. Joe Bates. I'm a resident of Midland,
 Texas.

Q. Mr. Bates, what is your occupation and who is your employer?

A. I'm employed by Dorchester Exploration,
 Incorporated, as Proration Administrator.

Q. Have you testified before the Oil Conservation Division previously?

A. I have not.

Q. Mr. Bates, would you please give the Examiner a review of your work experience in oil and gas fields

A Since 1954 I've been engaged in the drilling, producing, operation of oil and gas wells, primarily in land and regulatory work. For the past four years I've been Proration Administrator for Dorchester, which is primarily working the regulatory agencies and the supervision of the preparation and filing data required by all respective regulatory agencies.

Q In your present position with Dorchester, Mr. Bates, do you have occasion to consult with Dorchester's geologists, petroleum engineers, and management personnel with respect to your prospects and projects?

Y WALTON BOYD ED SHORTHAND REPORTER BLIDGE (606) 471-344 70, New Maridoo 171-344
Yes, almost daily. Δ And specifically have you had occasion to Q. 2 do so with respect to this particular well, the subject of 3 this hearing? A. I have. 5 Are you familiar with the application in Q. this case? 7 Yes. A. 8 And are you familiar with the well and the Q. 9 10 property involved? 11 A. Yes. 12 MR. COFFIELD: Mr. Examiner, I tender ir. 13 Bates as a witness for this particular case. 14 MR. STAMETS: We would consider Mr. Bates 15 qualified in his position as Proration Administrator . 16 Mr. Bates, what is it that Dorchester seeks Q. in this particular application? 17 18 A. Dorchester seeks approval for the unortho-19 dox location of its Mortón Solid State Unit Well No. 1, 20 which is located 2156 feet from the north line and 990 feet 21 from the west line of Section 4, Township 15 South, Range 22 34 East, in the Tres Papalotes-Pennsylvanian Pool. 23 Mr. Bates, please refer to what we've Q. 24 marked as Exhibit One and explain that to the Examiner. 25 Well, this well is located ---A.

5

A STATE OF

Q Excuse me, what is Exhibit One, first of all, is that -- is that Form C-102?

A. Oh, that's the Form C-102, the well loca tion and acreage dedication plat filed with the New Mexico
 Oil Conservation Commission.

Q. Okay, now go ahead, excuse me for interrupting you, explain what is reflected there.

A. Well, it shows the well being located 2156 feet from the north line, 990 feet from the west line, of Section 4, which makes it -- the well location is about 200 feet southeast of the center of the quarter quarter section, which makes it an unorthodox location.

Q Accordingly then, the location for this particular well is closer to the southwest quarter of Section 4 than would otherwise be the case if it were at a normal location?

A. That is true.

0. Is Dorchester the operator of this well?A. Yes

Q. Is Dorchester also the leasehold owner?
 A. Dorchester and others are the leasehold
 owners. This is a communitized tract involving Union Oil
 of California, Dorchester, and Read and Stevens.

By virtue of communitization agreement, the well was drilled and completed at this location.

LY WALTON BOYD BED SHORTHAND REPORTER MALE BLANCE (166) 171-3465 Reve Moston 171-3465 2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Who owns the southwest quarter immediately offsetting this tract?

A. The same parties that are involved in the communitization agreement for the northwest quarter.

Q. And you have stated that the well is completed, Mr. Bates. Is it actually ready to produce?

A Yes. The well has been drilled and completed in the Permo Penn formation. It has been potentialed; completion forms have been filed with the Hobbs Office of the Commission; and contingent on the decision of this hearing, the well is ready to produce.

Q Mr. Bates, do you know why the well was located in this unorthodox location?

I do not.

A.

Q. Would you please give the Examiner a history of this, the drilling of this well?

A The well was originally filed and permitted by Petroleum Development Corporation as a Morrow wildcat test. Petroleum Development spudded the well and subsequently Dorchester assumed operation, after the spudding of the well. At the time Dorchester assumed operations, Dorchester amended the formation and the total depth of the well and filed and permitted the well, or amended the permit, to show a Pennsylvanian test, which falls within the horizontal, vertical limits of the Tres Papalotes Pool.

LLY WALTON BOYD Treed shoathand reported Plaza Blanca (505) 471-345 104 Xi, New Mostoo 67501 2

3

5

ß

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 Q. If this well had indeed been drilled as a 2 Morrow test, would it have been at an orthodox location for 3 a Morrow test? No, it would not. A. 5 Do you have any conjecture as to how it might Q. 6 have happened that this location was selected? 7 No, unless the operator that initially se-A, 8 cured the drilling permit was confused with the Texas regula-9 tions, which this would have been a standard location, wild-10 cat location, under the Texas regulations. 11 Mr. Bates, has Dorchester's geologist and Q. 12 petroleum engineers reviewed and considered this project? 13 Yes, they have. A. 14 Is it the opinion of these geologists and 0. 15 engineers that the well located where it is now located will 16 adequately and sufficiently drain the 160 acres which is dedi-17 cated to that well? 18 A. That is their interpretation. 19 Mr, Bates, would it be a significant econo-Q. 20 mic hardship if Dorchester were not allowed to produce this 21 well? 22 It definitely would since the well is Δ. 23 mechanically ready to produce. 24 And as far as you know it will produce in Q. 25 paying quantities?

A. That's true. It potentialed for 198 barrels 2 a day. 3 Was this Exhibit One, Mr. Bates, prepared Q. from records which are under your control? A. Yes, a And is it your opinion, Mr. Bates, that the 7 approval of this application will be in the interest of the 8 prevention of waste and the protection of correlative rights? g A. Yes. 10 MR. COFFIELD: Mr. Examiner, I move the 11 admission of Exhibit One. 12 MR. STAMETS: Exhibit One will be admitted 13 in evidence. 14 MR, COFFIELD: And I have no other ques-15 tions of Mr. Bates at this time. 16 17 CROSS EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. STAMETS: 19 Mr. Bates, had the well been started at the Q. 20 time Dorchester took over operations? 21 A. Yes, the well had been spudded and con-22 ductor pipe had been set. 23 Q. Mr. Bates, do you know why there are no 24 reports in our file except the intent to drill and the 103 25 showing Dorchester taking over operations?

/ WALTON BOYD D SHORTHAND REPORTER B BLDGA (196) 471-346 F4, New Mexico 37501

Page _____10____

> (Thereupon a discussion was held off the record.)

MR. STAMETS: Back on the record.

MR. COFFIELD: Mr. Examiner, in the light of the lack of geological and engineering testimony available and witnesses available today, the applicant respectfully requests a continuance of this case until January 3 hearing. MR. STAMETS: We will continue the case un-

til the January 3rd hearing, reopening it at that time.

(Hearing concluded.)

Y WALTON BOY

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability from my notes taken at the time of the hearing.

Sally W. Boyd C.S.R.

I do hereby contify that the foregoing I a complete nervice of the proceedings in the Examiner nearing of Case No. 6733 heard by me on Examiner Oil Conservation Division epu-

Y WALTON BOYD D SHORTHAND REPORTER M BILLICA (505) 171-2405 92, New Mexico 575915 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	2 ENERGY AND OIL CONST STATE LA	PageI
	4 28 N	FE, NEW MEXICO November 1979 MINER HEARING
)))))))))))))))))))
Y WALTON BOYD BEMORTHAND REPORTER LE BINDER (005) 1712402 LT New Mardoo 61101	10 BEFORE: Richard L. Stamets 12 13) S CRIPT OF HEARING
	15	EARANCES
	<pre>16 17 For the Oil Conservation 17 Division: 18 19</pre>	Ernest L. Padilla, Esq. Legal Counsel for the Divisi State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
	20 For the Applicant: 21 22	Conrad Coffield, Esq. Hinkle Law Firm P. O. Box 3580 Midland, Texas 79701
	23 24 25	

.



EXHIBITS

Applicant Exhibit One, C-102

SALLY WALTON BOY CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORT

1	
1	MR. STAME28: We'll call next Case 6732.
2	MR. PADILLA: Application of Dorchester
3	Exploration, Inc. for an unorthodox oil well location, Lea
4	County, New Mexico.
5	MR. STAMETS: Call for appearances in this
6	case. Anyone here for Dorchester?
7	MR. BATES: Yes.
8	MR. STAMETS: Are you ready to put your
9	case on?
10	MR. BATES: Well, I don't have the attorney
11	with me, but I just wanted to see if we had any objections to
12	it.
13	MR. STAMETS: Let's see. Well, with or
14	without objections if a case is not put on there can't be
15	any order issued in it. We have to have the testimony before
16	we put out an order. Did you intend to put some testimony
17	on today?
18	MR. BATES: No.
19	MR. STAMETS: Would you like to have this
20	continued until later in the hearing so you could get organize
21	to put some on?
22	MR. BATES: Well, I thought you just ad-
23	ministratively approved if we had no objections.
24	MR. STAMETS: No, sir.
25	(There followed a discussion

6e . Page

SALLY WALTON BOYD CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 2020 Plass Bhanca (406) 411-5462 Santa Fo, Now Moridos 57601

 \bigcirc

ł

Page

off the record.)

MR. STAMETS: We will continue this case until after we have a coffeebreak and see what arrangements you can make at that time and try to get you taken care of today.

MR. BATES: I appreciate it.

(Thereupon the case was continued until later in the day.)

MR. STAMETS: We'll return now to Case 6732, and ask for appearances in that case.

MR. COFFIELD: Conrad Coffield with the Hinkle Law Firm in Midland, Texas, appearing on behalf of Dorchester Exploration, Inc.. I have one witness.

MR. STAMETS: I'd like to have him stand

and be sworn, please.

(Witness sworn.)

JOE BATES

being called as a witness and having been duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

SALLY WALTON BOYL CENTIFUE SHONTHAND REPORTE 010Plate Blance (661) (11-34) Bante Pe, New Mardon 57541 3

4

5

8

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

ŽŨ

21

22

23

24

BY MR. COFFIELD:

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

ŹŨ

21

22

23

24

25

Q Mr. Bates, would you please state your full name and address?

A. Joe Bates. I'm a resident of Midland, Texas.

Q. Mr. Bates, what is your occupation and who is your employer?

A I'm employed by Dorchester Exploration, Incorporated, as Proration Administrator.

Q Have you testified before the Oil Conservation Division previously?

A I have not.

Q Mr. Bates, would you please give the Examiner a review of your work experience in oil and gas fields

A Since 1954 I've been engaged in the drilling, producing, operation of oil and gas wells, primarily in land and regulatory work. For the past four years I've been Proration Administrator for Dorchester, which is primarily working the regulatory agencies and the supervision of the preparation and filing data required by all respective regulatory agencies.

Q In your present position with Dorchester, Mr. Bates, do you have occasion to consult with Dorchester's geologists, petroleum engineers, and management personnel with respect to your prospects and projects?

Y WALTON BOYD ED SHORTHAND REPORTED LA BADDA (899) 471-346 1.74, New Marloo 67161

Yes, almost daily. Α 2 And specifically have you had occasion to Q. 3 do so with respect to this particular well, the subject of this hearing? ٨. I have. Are you familiar with the application in Q. this case? Yes. A. And are you familiar with the well and the Q. 10 property involved? 11 Α. Yes. 12 MR. COFFIELD: Mr. Examiner, I tender Mr. 13 Bates as a witness for this particular case. 14 MR. STAMETS: We would consider Mr. Bates 15 qualified in his position as Proration Administrator . 16 Mr. Bates, what is it that Dorchester seeks Q. 17 in this particular application? 18 Dorchester seeks approval for the unortho-A. 19 dox location of its Morton Solid State Unit Well No. 1, 20 which is located 2156 feet from the north line and 990 feet 21 from the west line of Section 4, Township 15 South, Range 22 34 East, in the Tres Papalotes-Pennsylvanian Pool. 23 0. Mr. Bates, please refer to what we've 24 marked as Exhibit One and explain that to the Examiner. 25 Well, this well is located ---Α.

Q. Excuse me, what is Exhibit One, first of 2 all, is that -- is that Form C-102? 3 Oh, that's the Form C-102, the well loca-A. tion and acreage dedication plat filed with the New Mexico 5 Oil Conservation Commission. Okay, now go ahead, excuse me for inter-0. 7 rupting you, explain what is reflected there. 8 Α. Well, it shows the well being located 2156 9 feet from the north line, 990 feet from the west line, of 10 Section 4, which makes it -- the well location is about 200 11 feet southeast of the center of the guarter guarter section, 12 which makes it an unorthodox location. î3 Q. Accordingly then, the location for this 14 particular well is closer to the southwest guarter of Section 15 4 than would otherwise be the case if it were at a normal 16 location? 17 That is true. Α. 18 Is Dorchester the operator of this well? Q. 19 Yeş . Λ. 20 Is Dorchester also the leasehold cwner? Q. 21 Dorchester and others are the leasehold A. 22 owners. This is a communitized tract involving Union Oil 23 of California, Dorchester, and Read and Stevens. 24 By virtue of communitization agreement,

the well was drilled and completed at this location.

ALLY WALTON BOYD THEE SHORTHAND REPORTER THEE SHORTHAND REPORTER

Page _____7___

Sho owns the southwest quarter immediately offsetting this tract?

A The same parties that are involved in the communitization agreement for the northwest guarter,

Q And you have stated that the well is completed, Mr. Bates. Is it actually ready to produce?

A. Yes. The well has been drilled and completed in the Permo Penn formation. It has been potentialed; completion forms have been filed with the Hobbs Office of the Commission; and contingent on the decision of this hearing, the well is ready to produce.

0. Mr. Bates, do you know why the well was located in this unorthodox location?

A. I do not.

Q. Would you please give the Examiner a history of this, the drilling of this well?

A. The well was originally filed and permitted by Petroleum Development Corporation as a Morrow wildcat test. Petroleum Development spudded the well and subsequently Dorchester assumed operation, after the spudding of the well. At the time Dorchester assumed operations, Dorchester amended the formation and the total depth of the well and filed and permitted the well, or amended the permit, to show a Pennsylvanian test, which falls within the horizontal/ vertical limits of the Tree Papalotes Pool.

LLY WALTON BOYD THEE SHORTHAND REPORTER DELTA BROOM (565) 411-345 DELTA BROOM (565) 411-345 DELTA BROOM (565) 411-345 7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. If this well had indeed been drilled as a 2 Morrow test, would it have been at an orthodox location for 3 a Morrow test? A. No, it would not. 5 Q. Do you have any conjecture as to how it might 6 have happened that this location was selected? 7 A. No, unless the operator that initially se-8 cured the drilling permit was confused with the Texas regula-9 tions, which this would have been a standard location, wild-10 cat location, under the Texas regulations. 11 Mr. Bates, has Dorchester's geologist and Q 12 petroleum engineers reviewed and considered this project? 13 A. Yes, they have. 14 Is it the opinion of these geologists and Q. 15 engineers that the well located where it is now located will 16 adequately and sufficiently drain the 160 acres which is dedi 17 cated to that well? 18 That is their interpretation. Ā. 19 Mr. Bates, would it be a significant econo-Q. 20 mic hardship if Dorchester were not allowed to produce this 21 we11? 22 It definitely would since the well is λ. 23 mechanically ready to produce. 24 And as far as you know it will produce in 0. 25 paying quantities?

	1	A. That's true, It potentialed for 198 barrels
	2	a day.
	3	Q Was this Exhibit One, Mr. Bates, prepared
	4	from records which are under your control?
	5	A. Yes.
	6	And is it your opinion, Mr. Bates, that the
	7	approval of this application will be in the interest of the
	8	prevention of waste and the protection of correlative rights?
	9	A. Yes.
OYD ONTER 11-3465	10	MR. COFFIELD: Mr. Examiner, I move the
ON B AND REI Martice	11	admission of Exhibit One.
WALT HORTHA Blanga (12	MR. STAMETS: Exhibit One will be admitted
SALLY CERTIFIED 2010 Plazu Banta Pic	13	in evidence.
	14	MR. COFFIELD: And I have no other ques-
	15	tions of Mr. Bates at this time.
	16	
	17	CROSS EXAMINATION
	18	BY MR. STAMETS:
	19	0. Mr. Bates, had the well been started at the
	20	time Dorchester took over operations?
	21	A. Yes, the well had been spudded and con-
	22	ductor pipe had been set.
	23	Q Mr. Bates, do you know why there are no
	24	reports in our file except the intent to drill and the 103
	25	showing Dorchester taking over operations?
	4	

.

.

9

ĺ

.

Page ______ 1.0-----

No, I don't know.

(Thereupon a discussion was held _ off the record.)

MR. STAMETS: Back on the record.

MR. COFFIELD: Mr. Examiner, in the light of the lack of geological and engineering testimony available and witnesses available today, the applicant respectfully requests a continuance of this case until January 3 hearing. MR. STAMETS: We will continue the case un-

til the January 3rd hearing, reopening it at that time.

(Hearing concluded.)

LY' WALTON BOYD FEEL SMOATHAND REPORTER TALE SMUCH (5.61) 471-2412 SALTON NON MONTON 377-241 Α.

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability from my notes taken at the time of the hearing.

Sally W. Boyd, C.S.R.

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete recerd of the proceedings in the Examiner maring of Case No. heard by me on ______ 19____.

Oil Conservation Division

NLLY WALTON BOYD TIPLEE SHOATHAND REPORTER PEALE BLAUGE (895) 471-246 2012 TV, New Marico 875912 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

NEW ME: O DIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION WELL LOCATION AND ACREAGE DEDICATION PLAT

Form C-102 Supersedes C-128 Effective 1-1-65

Operator		· · · · ·	Lease	. C. 1 . J. Chart	·	Well No.
Dorchester I				n Solid State		1
Unit Letter Su E	ction 4	Township T15S	Hange D241	County	ōå	
E Actual Footage Locatio		1 102	<u>R341</u>		ēa	·
000		West line	and 2156	feet from the	North	line
Ground Lyvel Elev.	Producing Fo	rmation	Poul			dicated Actroace:
4119	Permo	o-Penn	Tres Pap	alotes		173.74 Act
1. Outline the	acreage dedica	ated to the subject	t well by colored	pencil or hachure	e marks on the p	lat below.
interest and	royalty).			· · ·	6	eof (both as to worki l owners been conso
dated by com	munitization,	unitization, force-	booling. etc?	• • • •	ization Agree	
X Yes	No If a	nswer is "yes" ty	ne of consolidatio	n	ización ngre	
14	44 . 27 1: h .					1 /11
this form if n		owners and tract	descriptions which	nave actually be	een consolidate	l. (Use reverse side
	-		il all interests has		• J /l	nitization, unitizatio
						proved by the Commi
sion.	By or otherwise	yor until a non-sta	addie durch Chillin	and shen miches	, nas ucen ap	proved by the Comm
					- 1	
1	7				c	ERTIFICATION
	l		, i			
1		·]	1			fy that the information co
2156	;₹ }	1	I			is true and complete to t
·	1, .		l .		best of my kn	owledge and belief.
	1				Pile)%
1	1	1	I		NameASSISt	
	- +				· Prorat	ion Administrat
	1	1	ł		Position	
	1		1			er Exploration,
	1	ł			Company	F 1670
9901 1	1		l ·		November	5, 1979
					Datč	
2	_) 1	1	Ę			Multon
	i i				A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	VROFESSION
		•	1		1 hearby Sei	NOhosUpp Soy Bcori
	Ì	T15S	1		shop Silo	ent lo ajotes tol in
1	1		1		noite of octu	of surveys mode by the
	ļ	R3 4E	1			Huisi 3.9 and that they sa
	ł.	an a		a the second second	is the ord	forrect to the best of
· ·	· I		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		knowleggergn	S SE HERE S
<u></u>	- +	<u>}</u>		· · · · · · · · · · ·		O. HATSUILLE
•	1		Ιſ		July 2	3, 1979
ł	1		6732		Date Surveyed	
	1					atson, Jr,
	1		Dorchest	ц :	Registered Proi end/or Land Su	essional Engineer
	r 1		1/3/10	\mathbf{x} is a set of \mathbf{y}	. A	1/1/1
1	l .	E.C. Angel	1/ 5/80	The second second second	I MAN	THANK
					I INTA SUCCE	111111111
	1		1		Certificate No	March J
0 330 650 90	1320 1650 19	80 2310 ,2640	2000 1500		Certificate No.	959

Sec. No.

NEW ME: D OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION WELL LOCATION AND ACREAGE DEDICATION PLAT

21

Ę

Porm C+102 Supersodes C+128 Effective 1-1-65

Dorchester Explora	tion, Inc.		Morton So	lid State	Unit	Well No. 1
alt Letter Section	Township		Range	County		
E 4 Ictual Foolage Location of Well;		T15S	R34E	Le	a	
000	West	11-4 - 3	2156	fact from the	North	
	ing Formation	line and	°col	feet from the		line icated Acroage:
4119 P	ermo-Penn	ļ	Tres Papalot	es		173.74
1. Outline the acreage d	ledicated to the	subject wel	l by colored penci	l or hachure	marks on the pl	at below.
			· ·		·	
2. If more than one lear interest and royalty).		to the well,	outline each and i	dentily the	ownership there	ot (both as to wor
3. If more than one least				l, have the	interests of all	owners been cons
dated by communitizat	ion, unitization,	, lorce-poolin	g. etc?			
X Yes No	If answer is "	ves?' type of	consolidation	Communiti	zation Agree	ment
		Yoo, the or			and the second	
If answer is "no," lis	t the owners and	d tract descri	ptions which have	actually be	en consolidated	. (Use reverse sid
this form if necessary.)					
No allowable will be a						
forced-pooling, or othe	rwise) or until a	non-standard	unit, eliminating s	uch interes	ts, has been app	roved by the Com
sion.						•
1 1	1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	CE	RTIFICATION
			1			
			i	1	I hereby certif	y that the information
2156			- L		tained herein i	is true and complete to
i.	Ī		1	ł	best of my kno	wledge and belief.
	· •		1		Din	. A
			I		NameASSIST	nt Dammen
, r j		~_ ~_ ~				on Administra
	. 1		•		1	
			1		Position	
			 		Position	er Exploration
					Position Dorcheste Company	
990' 					Position Dorcheste Company November	
990'					Position Dorcheste Company	
990'0#1					Position Dorcheste Company November Date	
990' 0#1		\			Position Dorcheste Company November Date	5, 1979
990' 	·	\			Position Dorcheste Company November Date	5, 1979
990'0#1	• T1	۰ 5s			Position Dorcheste Company November Date	5, 1979 ROFESSIONAL ANDROSUPP WORLD
990' 0#1	T1 R3				Position Dorcheste Company November Date	5, 1979 ROFESSIONAL ANDROSUPP work for and the solution for
990' 	T1 R3				Position Dorcheste Company November Date I herbitien showing iso	5, 1979 POFESSIONAL ANDOSUPP v 644 For the surveys mode 67 w side and they they
990'					Position Dorcheste Company November Date I herby Kein shorn Strochur unot Strochur unot my supe is the ord s	5, 1979 POFESSIONAL ANDroSUpp work for the surveys mode by we is is when they they
990'0#1					Position Dorcheste Company November Date I herbitien showing iso	5, 1979 ROFESSIONAL ANDHOSUMA WORL FOO ANDHOSUMA WORL FOO ANDHOSUMA WORL FOO ANDHOSUMA WORL FOO ANDHOSUMA WORL FOO ANDHOSUMA WORL FOO AND FOO FOO
990' 0#1					Position Dorcheste Company November Date I herbytter showie its is the ord knowie its	5, 1979 POFESSIONAL ANDASUAD work for a US Solotay Fail surveys mode 55 surveys mode 5
990' 0#1	R3	4E			Position Dorcheste Company November Date I herbytter show Site und ny sup is the ond s knowled for July 23	5, 1979 POFESSIONAL ANDASUAD work for a US Solotay Fail surveys mode 55 surveys mode 5
990'0#1		4E	732		Position Dorcheste Company November Date I herbykkein shorn in its noth frieduw und ny sup is the ord f knowle surveyed	5, 1979 POFESSIONA ANDROSUPP were and the solution for a survey mode by a survey mode by a surve
990' 0#1		4E 6	1		Position Dorcheste Company November Date I herbyttein shown Stiectur unot my supp is the ord s knowled ford July 23 Date Surveyed W. D. Wa	5, 1979 POFESSIONAL ANDASUAD work ANDASUAD work ANDASUAD work ANDASUAD work ANDASUAD work ANDASUAD
990' 0#1		4E 6 D.	uchuster		Position Dorcheste Company November Date I herbyttein shown Stiectur unot my supp is the ord s knowled ford July 23 Date Surveyed W. D. Wa	5, 1979 POFESSIONAL ANDROWAND WELL ANDROWAND WELL ANDROWAN
990'_0#1		4E 6 D.	uchuster		Position Dorcheste Company November Date I herbyttein shorn an its noth frieduc und ny sup is the ord f knowle strong July 23 Date Surveyed W. D. Ma Registered Profe	5, 1979 POFESSIONA ANDROUP were and the optimized is unverse mode by we in all and they we in a land they we in the optimized of this is a land they we in the optimized of this is a land they we in a land they we in a land of this is a land of the optimized of the op
990' #1		4E 6	uchuster		Position Dorcheste Company November Date I herbyttein shorn an its noth frieduc und ny sup is the ord f knowle strong July 23 Date Surveyed W. D. Ma Registered Profe	5, 1979 POFESSIONAL ANDROWAND WELL ANDROWAND WELL ANDROWAN

Päge 2 of 3 Examiner Hearing - Thursday - January 3, 1980

CASE 6767: (Continued from December 12, 1979, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Alpha Twenty-One Production Company for two non-standard gas proration units. unorthodox well location, and approval of infill drilling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of a 40-acre non-standard proration unit comprising the NW/4 NW/4 of Section 27, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, Jalmat Gas Pool, to be dedicated to El Paso Natural Gas Company's Harrison Well No. 2, and also a 200-acre unit comprising the S/2 N/2 and NE/4 NW/4 of said Section 27 to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at an unorthodox location 1980 feet from the North line and 560 feet from the West line of Section 27. Applicant further seeks a finding that the drilling of the latter well is necessary to effectively and efficiently drain that portion of an existing proration unit which cannot be so drained by the existing well.

CASE 6437: (Continued from October 17, 1979, Examiner Hearing)

Application of El Paso Natural Gas Company for approval of infill drilling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks a waiver of existing well-spacing requirements and a finding that the drilling of its Shell E State Com Well No. 2 located in Unit N of Scition 6, Township 21 South, Range 36 East, Eumont Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, is necessary to effectively and efficiently drain that portion of the proration unit which cannot be so drained by the existing well.

CASE 6732: (Continued from November 28, 1979, Examiner Hearing)

"Application of Dorchester Exploration, Inc. for an unorthodox oil well location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of its Morton Solid State Unit Well No. 1 located 2156 feet from the North line and 990 feet from the West line of Section 4, Township 15 South, Range 34 East, Tres Papalotes-Pennsylvanian Pool.

- CASE 6775: Application of Harvey E. Yates Company for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the Young Deep Unit Area, comprising 2242 acres, more or less, of Federal lands in Township 18 South, Range 32 East.
- CASE 6776: Application of Harvey E. Yates Company for an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of its Young Deep Unit Well No. 1, a Morrow test to be drilled 660 feet from the North and West lines of Section 10, Township 18 South. Range 32 East, the W/2 of said Section 10 to be dedicated to the well.
- CASE 6777: Application of Harvey E. Yates Company for an NGPA determination, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks a new onshore reservoir determination for its Amoco 22 State Well No. 2 located in Unit G of Section 22, Township 23 South, Range 27 East.
- CASE 677d: Application of Harvey E. Yates Company for an NGPA determination, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks a new onshore reservoir determination for its Loco Hills Welch Well No. 2 located in Unit N of Section 4, Township 18 South, Range 29 East.
- CASE 6745: (Continued from November 28, 1979, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Harvey E. Yates Company for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Wolfcamp-Pennsylvanian formations underlying the W/2 of Section 28, Township 23 South, Range 24 East, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

- CASE 6779: Application of Vates Petroleum Corporation for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the Pronghorn Unit Area, comprising 5,120 acres, more or less, of State and Federal lands in Townships 22 and 23 South, Range 33 East.
- CASE 6780: Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for a unit agreement, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the Serpentine Bends Unit Area, comprising 4,602 acres, more or less, of State and Federal lands in Township 24 South, Ranges 23 and 24 East.

<u>CASE 6781</u>: Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for an unorthodox gas well location. Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of a Morrow test well to be drilled 660 feet from the South line and 1980 feet from the East line of Section 1, Township 20 South, Range 28 East, the E/2 of said Section 1 to be dedicated to the well.

NEW ME: DOIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION WELL LOCATION AND ACREAGE DEDICATION PLAT

ium C-102 Supérsédes C-128 Effective 1-1-65

Operator		All distances must	Lease		······································		Well No.
Dorchester	Exploration	, Inc.	M	lorton Soli	d State Ur	nit	1
Jnlt Letter	Section	Township	Rank	ge	County	······	
E	4	T15S	1	R34E	Lea		
Actual Footage Locat	Ion of Well:		I		<u></u>		
990	feet from the	West line	and 2156	Je	et from the N	orth	line
Fround Level Elev.	Producing For	rmation	Pool			and the second	idicated Acreages
4119	i Permo	-Penn	Tres	; Papalotes	;		173.74 A
1 Outline the	acrean dedice	ited to the subject	t well by as	Jarad sasail		aka an aka	
interest and 3. If more than	one lease of d	lifferent ownership	is dedicated	•		•	eof (both as to work 11 owners been cons
dated by con	nmunitization, i	unitization, force-p	ooling. etc?				
X Yes	No If a	uswer is "yes," ty	na of consoli	idation Co	mmunitizat	tion Agre	ement
					<u></u>		
If answer is	"no," list the	owners and tract ?	escriptione	which have -	ctually have	consolidate	d. (Use reverse side
this form if							
	-	ed to the well unti	l all interest	s have been	consolidated	(by comm	nitization, unitizati
							proved by the Com
sion.	0						
				·	1		
1	!			1	T	c	ERTIFICATION
!	1	1		}			
	1			i	11	I hereby cert	ify that the information o
215	61 1				11	-	i is true and complete to
-13	- L.	1					nowledge and belief.
	t	l		i		<u>^</u> .	
	1			1	• •	Kick F) Banam
	• •			1	N	ameASSISt	ant
	- -			· · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	· Prorat	ion Administra
	с	l		1	P	nobilian	
1	I	ļ		I		Dorchest	er Exploration
1	•			1	C	iom pan y	
1	•					November	5, 1979
990† L							
990' 	1				Þ	ate .	
990' 	F1				D		
990' 0	F1			` 	Ē		Doman
990' 	F1 			 +			PROFESSIONA
990' J				 		arte Alerta	PROFESSIONAL
990' J	F1 			 		arte Alerta	PROFESSIONAL
990' J	F1 	T15S		 		arte Alerta	PROFESSIONAL WANTERSTONAL WANTERSTONAL WANTERSTONAL
990' J		T15S R34E		 		arte Alerta	PROFESSIONAL VANIDINSUAD WERE CON
990' J				 		I herdette I herdette short a in note of och unot gy sup	PROFESSIONAL VANUNGUAD VALLECON CANUNGUAD VALLECON CANUNGUAD VALLECON March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2
990' J	F1 			 		I herby set short a is note of oth under gy sup is ner ort	PROFESSIONAL WANNINGORD WAR COM MANNINGORD WAR COM MANNINGORD WAR MANNING STATES IN MANNING STATES IN
990' J				 		I herdette I herdette short a in note of och unot gy sup	PROFESSIONAL VANUNGUAD VALLECON CANUNGUAD VALLECON CANUNGUAD VALLECON March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2000 March 2
990' J						I herderstein shoft in its note of or och under revisup is ner ond know is offer	PROFESSIONAL WANNING UP west con and the exposition from the Maria Sanda State of the Apprect to the best of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of
990'						I herderstein shoft in orthunder note broch under ny sup is the ord know load the July 2	PROFESSIONAL WANNING UP west con and the exposition from the Maria Sanda State of the Apprect to the best of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of
990'						I herderstein short an its notes of och unot gy sup is the ord knowloor ty July 2 ate Surveyed	PROFESSIONAL WANDAORD WAT AND AND AND WAT AND
990'						I herderstein shoft sails notes of och undt ay sup is the ord knowlookty July 2 ate Surveyed W, D, W	PROFESSIONAL AND A Lang of the second secon
990'						I herdersen short of och unot gy sup is the ord knowled typ July 2 ate Surveyed W. D. W cystered Prof	PROFESSIONAL AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND
990'						I herderstein shoft sails notes of och undt ay sup is the ord knowlookty July 2 ate Surveyed W, D, W	PROFESSIONAL AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND
990'	<pre></pre>					I herdersen short of och unot gy sup is the ord knowled typ July 2 ate Surveyed W. D. W cystered Prof	PROFESSIONAL PROFESSIONAL WANNAUGHOUSE AND A Long of the second And Long of the second by Any control of the second by A
990'					D Ru or	I herdetsein shoft a ile nott di och undt ny sup is ihr on know herdetsein July 2 ate Surveyed W. D. W cyistered Proj nd/or Land Sur	PROFESSIONA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND
990'					D Ru or	I herdelike I herdelike short of or or or unot ay sup is the ord know long typ July 2 ate Surveyed W. D. W equistered Prof advor Land Surveyed ertificate No.	PROFESSIONAL AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND

Cill Called Honor 1 Cill Called Honor 1 Called Called H DENCE H Ser I. Sta

NEW MEL - 2 OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

rator		l distances must be f	Lease			Well No.
Dorchester Exp			Morton	Solid Stat	e Unit	1
Letter Secti		•	Range	County		
E ual Footage Location of	4 of Wells	T15S	<u>R34E</u>	I	lêa	
and the second	from the West	line and	2156	feet from the	North	line
und Level Elev.	Producing Formation Permo-Pen		Pool Tres Papa	lotes		Dedicated Acreage:
4119			l		l	
1. Outline the acr	eage dedicated to	o the subject we	ell by colored p	encil of hachui	e marks on the	e plat below.
		cated to the well	l, outline each a	ind identify the	ownership th	ereof (both as to wor
interest and roy	alty).			•		
3. If more than one	e lease of differe	nt ownership is (dedicated to the	well, have the	interests of	all owners been con:
dated by commu	nitization, unitiza	ation, force-pooli	ng. etc?			
X Yes	No If answer	is "yes," type o	f consolidation	Communit	ization Ag	reement
					•••	. 1 /71
this form if nec		B and tract desc	riptions which h	ave actually b	een consolida	ted. (Use reverse sid
	•	the well until all	interests have	been consolid	ated (by comm	unitization, unitizal
forced-pooling, o						approved by the Com
sion.			·			•
	1		1	~	T	CERTIFICATION
	1		ļ			and the state of the second second
2156'			1			irtify that the information in is true and complete t
2190	!	Í				knowledge and belief.
	1		1		P.	D'A.
l	1		ļ		NameASS1	tant
	+				Position	ition Administra
I	ł		i			ter Exploration
İ	ļ				Company	
990'	ł		l ·		Dote Novembe	er 5, 1979
•	İ.		I			
	1		·			A DONEESSION
	1		1			AND SUM CH
	l	T15S	1		shown con i	and the solar is the
	1	R34E	ľ			Tual surveys made by
	1 1				unagrayis	upHuisian and that the
	1		ł		is the off	porect to the best to
	+				E E	A C. WATSON
	l		j		July	23, 1979
	1	Ì	-		Date Surveye	
	1 1	1		:		Watson, Jr,
	Ì		l í		and/or Land	- //
	1		1			HALA. IT
			<u> </u>		Certificate N	
·····	320 1650 1980 2310	2640 2000	1500 1000) 500 (3959
330 660 -90 3						
330 660 90 3						

6732 Dordeely 11/28/79

Form C-102

Dockets Nos. 45-79 and 1-80 are tentatively set for December 12, 1979 and January 3, 1980. Applications for hearing must be filed at least 22 days in advance of hearing date.

DOCKET: COMMISSION HEARING - TUESDAY - NOVEMBER 27, 1979

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION - 9 A.M. - ROOM 205 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

CASE 6609: (DE NOVO)

Application of Napeco Inc. for pool creation and special pool rules, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the creation of a new Strawn oil pool for its Benson Deep Unit Well No. 1 located in Unit O of Section 33, Township 18 South, Range 30 East, and special rules therefor, including 160-acre spacing and standard well locations.

Upon application of Yates Petroleum Corporation and Napeco Inc., this case will be heard De Novo pursuant to the provisions of Rule 1220.

Docket No. 44-79

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - NOVEMBER 28, 1979

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Richard L. Stamets, Examiner, or Daniel S. Nutter, Alternate Examiner:

Notice is hereby given by the Oil Conservation Division that Giant Industries, Inc., has filed an application for a determination of eligibility to purchase state royalty oil pursuant to Secs. 19-10-64 thru 19-10-70 NMSA 1978 Comp. for its Farmington, New Mexico, refinery, which will be considered by the Commission after December 1, 1979. In the event objection, and evidence to support such objection, is received by the Commission on or before December 1, 1979, to such a determination, notice will be given and the application set for public hearing at a later date.

CASE 6702: (Continued from October 17, 1979, Examiner Hearing)

Application of El Paso Natural Gas Company for downhole commingling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the downhole commingling of South Blanco-Pictured Cliffs and Blanco Mesaverde production in the vellbore of its San Juan 27-5 Unit Well No. 67 located in Unit B of Section 31, Township 27 North, Range 5 West.

CASE 6732:

32: Application of Dorchester Exploration, Inc. for an unorthodox oil well location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of its Morton Solid State Unit Well No. 1 located 2156 feet from the North line and 990 feet from the West line of Section 4, Township 15 South, Range 34 East, Tres Papalotes-Pennsylvanian Pool.

- CASE 6733: Application of Kelloil Inc. for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks approval for the Lea Penn South Unit Area, comprising 1,440 acres, more or less, of State lands in Township 20 South, Range 35 East.
- <u>CASE 6734</u>: Application of Southland Royalty Company for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Nexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the S/2 of Section 27, Township 18 South, Range 29 East, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASE 6735: Application of Mesa Petroleum Co. for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the S/2 of Section 26, Township 18 South, Range 24 East, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well. (This case will be dismissed.)

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION P. O. BOX 2088 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501

November 2, 1979

Joe Bates Proration Administrator Dorchester Exploration, Inc. 1100 Midland National Bank Tower Midland, Texas 79701

Dear Mr. Bates:

Your application for an unorthodox location in the Tres Papalotes Pool for your Morton Solid State Unit Well No. 1-E located in Section 4, Township 15 South, Range 34 East, cannot be approved administratively.

This application has been docketed for the examiner hearing to be heard on November 28, 1979. If this is not satisfactory, please let me know.

Yours very truly,

Florene Davidson Administrative Secretary



Dorchester Exploration, Inc.

1100 Midland National Bank Tower Midland, Texas 79701

October 30, 1979

State of New Mexico Energy and Minerals Department Oil Conservation Division P.O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Case 6732

Attention: Mr. Joe D. Ramey, Director

Re: Morton Solid State Unit Well No. 1 Tres Papalotes Pool 2156' FNL & 990' FWL, Range 34-East Section 4, Township 15-South Lea County, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

Drilling permit for the above captioned well was issued on June 14, 1979 to Petroleum Development Corporation for the drilling of a 13,500 feet Morrow Formation test with the stipulation that if a well was drilled and completed in the Tres Papalotes Penn it would be necessary to secure approval on a Non-Standard Location. The drilling permit was amended on July 30, 1979 to show Dorchester Exploration, Inc. as operator, proposed depth to 11,200 feet and from Wildcat to Tres Papalotes with NSL approval still required if completed as a producing well.

This well has now been drilled to a total depth of 11,200 feet and completion is now in progress from Penn perforations at 10,366 to 10,380 feet. The oil production from this well will be within the vertical and horizontal limits of the Tres Papalotes Pool.

This well was spudded prior to Dorchester assuming operations thereof and would have been a legal location had it been drilled and completed as a wildcat in the Morrow Formation. Therefore, Dorchester respectfully requests that the commission approve their application for an unorthodox location to permit this well to produce from the Tres Papalotes Pool.

To assist in the timely process of our application, we are attaching a certified plat showing the well location and the offset operators that are being furnished copy of this application by certified United States Mail this date.



Very truly yours,

Dorchester Exploration, Inc.

Ver Sater

Jõe Bates Proration Administrator

JB/pp

cc: Offset Operators

A Subsidiary of Dorchester Gas Corporation

(915) 683-1866



I, Joe Bates, Proration Administrator of Dorchester Exploration, Inc., hereby certify this location plat to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Joe Bates Proration Administrator List of Offset Operators to Dorchester Exploration, Inc. Morton Solid State Unit Well No. / Section 4, Township 15-South, Range 34-East Lea County, New Mexico

Chevron U. S. A., Inc. P.O. Box 599 Denver, Colorado 80201

Enserch Exploration, Inc. P.O. Box 4815 Midland, Texas 79702

Elk Oil Company P.O. Box 310 Roswell, New Mexico 88201

C. W. Trainer 9205 Highway 71 W Austin, Texas 78746

F. C OIL COMSERVATION DIVISION SANTA FE



Dorchester Exploration, Inc.

1100 Midland National Bank Tower Midland, Texas 79701

October 30, 1979

State of New Mexico Energy and Minerals Department Oil Conservation Division P.O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Attention: Mr. Joe D. Ramey, Director

Case 6732

Re: Morton Solid State Unit Well No. 1 Tres Papalotes Pool 2156' FNL & 990' FWL, Range 34-East Section 4, Township 15-South Lea County, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

Drilling permit for the above captioned well was issued on June 14, 1979 to Petroleum Development Corporation for the drilling of a 13,500 feet Morrow Formation test with the stipulation that if a well was drilled and completed in the Tres Papalotes Penn it would be necessary to secure approval on a Non-Standard Location. The drilling permit was amended on July 30, 1979 to show Dorchester Exploration, Inc. as operator, proposed depth to 11,200 feet and from Wildcat to Tres Papalotes with NSL approval still required if completed as a producing well.

This well has now been drilled to a total depth of 11,200 feet and completion is now in progress from Penn perforations at 10,366 to 10,380 feet. The oil production from this well will be within the vertical and horizontal limits of the Tres Papalotes Pool.

This well was spudded prior to Dorchester assuming operations thereof and would have been a legal location had it been drilled and completed as a wildcat in the Morrow Formation. Therefore, Dorchester respectfully requests that the commission approve their application for an unorthodox location to permit this well to produce from the Tres Papalotes Pool.

To assist in the timely process of our application, we are attaching a certified plat showing the well location and the offset operators that are being furnished copy of this application by certified United States Mail this date.

Very truly yours,

Dorchester Exploration, Inc.

Jun Sater Joe Bates Proration Administrator

JB/pp

cc: Offset Operators

A Subsidiary of Dorchester Gas Corporation

(915) 683-1866



I, Joe Bates, Proration Administrator of Dorchester Exploration, Inc., hereby certify this location plat to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Joe Bates Proration Administrator List of Offset Operators to Dorchester Exploration, Inc. Morton Solid State Unit Well No. / Section 4, Township 15-South, Range 34-East Lea County, New Mexico

Chevron U. S. A., Inc. P.O. Box 599 Denver, Colorado 80201

Enserch Exploration, Inc. P.O. Box 4815 Midland, Texas 79702

Elk Oil Company P.O. Box 310 Roswell, New Mexico 88201

DIMANOT

SWITH FE

C. W. Trainer 9205 Highway 71 W Austin, Texas 78746

Dorchester Exploration, Inc.

1100 Midland National Bank Tower Midland, Texas 79701

October 30, 1979

State of New Mexico Energy and Minerals Department Oil Conservation Division P.O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Attention: Mr. Joe D. Ramey, Director

Re: Morton Solid State Unit Well No. 1 Tres Papalotes Pool 2156' FNL & 990' FWL, Range 34-East Section 4, Township 15-South Lea County, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

Drilling permit for the above captioned well was issued on June 14, 1979 to Petroleum Development Corporation for the drilling of a 13,500 feet Morrow Formation test with the stipulation that if a well was drilled and completed in the Tres Papalotes Penn it would be necessary to secure approval on a Non-Standard Location. The drilling permit was amended on July 30, 1979 to show Dorchester Exploration. Inc. as operator, proposed depth to 11,200 feet and from Wildcat to Tres Papalotes with NSL approval still required if completed as a producing well.

This well has now been drilled to a total depth of 11,200 feet and completion is now in progress from Penn perforations at 10,366 to 10,380 feet. The oil production from this well will be within the vertical and horizontal limits of the Tres Papalotes Pool.

This well was spudded prior to Dorchester assuming operations thereof and would have been a legal location had it been drilled and completed as a wildcat in the Morrow Formation. Therefore, Dorchester respectfully requests that the commission approve their application for an unorthodox location to permit this well to produce from the Tres Papalotes Pool.

To assist in the timely process of our application, we are attaching a certified plat showing the well location and the offset operators that are being furnished copy of this application by certified United States Mail this date.

Very truly yours,

Dorchester Exploration, Inc.

Je Siter Joe Bates

Proration Administrator

JB/pp

cc: Offset Operators

A Subsidiary of Dorchester Gas Corporation

Case 6732

(915) 683-1866

List of Offset Operators to Dorchester Exploration, Inc. Morton Solid State Unit Well No. / Section 4, Township 15-South, Range 34-East Lea County, New Mexico

Chevron U. S. A., Inc. P.O. Box 599 Denver, Colorado 80201

Enserch Exploration, Inc. P.O. Box 4815 Midland, Texas 79702

Elk Oil Company P.O. Box 310 Roswell, New Mexico 88201

Э,

NIA I

C. W. Trainer 9205 Highway 71 W Austin, Texas 78746



I, Joe Bates, Proration Administrator of Dorchester Exploration, Inc., hereby certify this location plat to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Joe Bates Proration Administrator

DRAFT

dr/

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

	CASE NO. 6732
	ORDER NO. R- 6239
APPLICATION OF	DORCHESTER EXPLORATION, INC.
FOR AN UNORTHOD	COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	

ORDER OF THE DIVISION

BY THE DIVISION:

Han 3 This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on November 28 80 19 2, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Richard L. Stamets _day of <u>knuary</u>, 19**30**, the Division NOW, on this Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, <u>Dorchester Exploration</u>, Inc. for its Morton Solid State Unit Well No. 1 located seeks approval of an unorthodox oil well location/ 2156 feet from the <u>North</u> line and 990 feet from the line of Section _____, Township 15 South West Range 34 East , NMPM, to-toot-the The Frank of the

Lea

formation, Tres Papalotes-Pennsylvanian Pool,

County, New Mexico.

(3) That the NW/4 of said Section 4 is to be dedicated to the well.

(4) That a well at said unorthodox location will better resulted from the completion of a well previously drilled enable applicant to produce the oil underlying the proration unit. a deeper horizon.

(5) That no offset operator objected to the proposed unorthodox

location.

-2= Case No. _____ Order No. R-_____

(6) That approval of the subject application will afford the applicant the opportunity to produce its just and equitable share of the oilin the subject pool, will prevent the economic loss caused by the drilling of unnecessary wells, avoid the augmentation of risk arising from the drilling of an excessive number of wells, and will otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That an unorthodox oil well location for the <u>Upper Tennsy location</u> the Dorchester Exploration, Inc. Morton Solid State Unit Well No. formation is hereby approved for xx werkx x as the located at a point <u>2156</u> feet from the <u>North</u> line and <u>990</u> feet from the <u>West</u> line of Section <u>4</u>, Township <u>15 South</u>, Range <u>34 East</u> NMPM, <u>Tres Papalotes-Pennsylvanian</u> Pool, <u>Lea</u> County, New Mexico.

(2) That the $\frac{NW/4}{4}$ of said Section _4_____ shall be dedicated to the above-described well.

(3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.