CASE 7107: C AND E OPERATORS, INC. FOR COMPULSORY POOLING AND A NON-STANDARD PRORATION UNIT, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

-

2

્યું હતુ

. .

Ó

alt i

Case No.

Application



Small Exhibits





BRUCE KING

## STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT **OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION**

GOVERNOR LARRY KEHOE

January 16, 1981

POST OFFICE 80X 2088 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE. NEW MEXICO B7501 (505) 827-2434

Re: CASE NO. ORDER NO.\_\_R\_6563

Mr. Thomas Kellahin Kellahin & Kellahin Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 1769 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Applicant:

C and E Operators, Inc.

7107

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Division order recently entered in the subject case.

Yours very truly, JOE D. RAMEY Director

JDR/fd

Copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCD Artesia OCD Aztec OCD x

Other

#### STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE GIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 7107 Order No. R-6563

APPLICATION OF C AND E OPERATORS, INC. FOR COMPULSORY POOLING AND A NON-STANDARD PRORATION UNIT, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

#### ORDER OF THE DIVISION

#### BY THE DIVISION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on December 10, 1980, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Richard L. Stamets.

NOW, on this <u>14th</u> day of January, 1981, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, C and E Operators, Inc., seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Mesaverde formation underlying a 158.54-acre non-standard gas proration unit comprising the SW/4 of Section 9, Township 30 North, Range 11 West, NMPM, San Juan County, New Mexico.

(3) That the application in this case is premature in that the applicant has made insufficient effort to secure the consent or agreement of the parties involved.

(4) That Case No. 7107 should be dismissed without prejudice.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That Case No. 7107 is hereby dismissed without prejudice. -2-Case No. 7107 Order No. R-6563

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION anon

,**j** /

\*~

UNICA A STREET

JOE D. RAMEY Director

SEAL

fd/

-

<u>.</u>

. .

;





and the state of the second second

N/2 SECTION 9 - T3ON-R11W, SAN	NOTICES TO: AC.	G APPLICATION W MEXICO CONTAINING 317.90 ACRES TRACT
Church of Latter Day Saints Real Estate Division 50 E. North Temple Salt Lake City, Utah 84150	.66	Lots 32, 33, 34, 35 & Fr. Lots 7,8, 9, 10 . McCoy Tract 2
Church of Latter Day Saints Mr. Brent Christensen Box 2488 Farmington, New Mexico 87401		(same land) Fr. Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 36
Ralph A. Ransom 411 Aztec Blvd. NE Aztec, New Mexico 87410	.40	37, McCoy Tract 2
Shamrock Öil & Gas Co. Attn: Emerald Corp. P. O. Box 631 Amarillo, Texas 79105	.30	Fr. Lots 1, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44 McCoy Tract 2
Loyd Armstrong 428 N. Church Street Azted, New Mexico 8740D	.36	Fr. SE/NE Sec. 9 T3ON-R11W
Claudio Chavez 665 Pioneer Avenue Aztec, New Mexico 87400	.59	Fr. se/NE Sec. 9 T30N-RITW
Terry Moss c/o Hooper c/o Gregory Plesko 616 Ploneer Avenue Aztec: New Mexico 874 <b>90</b> : att	16	Fr. SE/NE Sec. 9 T30N-R11W
Albert Marquez 618 Ploneer Street Aztec, New Mexico 874	.23	Fr. SE/NE Sec. 9 T30N-R11W
Joe B. Montoya 722 Pioneer Avenue Aztec, New Mexico 874 <b>41</b>	.24	Lot 35, McCoy Tract 1
William Wayne Sumner 818 Pioneer Avenue Aztec, New Mexico 874	.28	Lot 23, Fr. lot 22, McCoy Tract 1
Eord C. & Elsie Webb Birters, Eboral Stramer 3401 Offactingtonsa NryMer 189 (87401	5.60	Fr. N/2 Sec. 9-T30N-R11W
CYE EXHIBIT NO. 3 CASE NO. 2105 Submitted by		

#### C & E OPERATORS FORCED POOLING APPLICATION N/2 SECTION 9 - T3ON-R11W, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO CONTAINING 317.90 ACRES NOTICES TO:

TRACT AC... Rosie Cordova 803 McCoy Avenue Aztec, New Mexico 87401 .26 Lot 12, McCoy Tract 1 Floyd E. Hazen c/o Noah E. Tindle 300 N. Light Plant Road .25 . . . ! Lot 9, McCoy Tract i Leslie Webb 1999 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 c/o Genevieve Lopez 719 McCoy Avenue Aztec, New Mexico 87401 .26 Lot 10, McCoy Tract 1 Twound C. Scogin 713 McCoy Avenue Aztec, New Mexico 87401 .51 Lots 7 & 8, McCoy Tract 1 Mrs. Rosa J. Archuleta 711 McCoy Avenue Lot 6, McCoy Tract 1 Aztec, New Mexico 87401 .26 Angelica & Joe E. Martinez 1404 Hermosa S. E. Albuquerque, New Mexico 87108 .26 Lot 5, McCoy Tract 1 Bernado Sanchez 707 McCoy Avenue Aztec, New Mexico 87401 .26 Lot 4, McCoy Tract 1 Ann Tonta Bennett 5209 Berget Drive Amarillo, Texas 79106 .67 Fr. SE/NE Sec. 9-T30N-R11W Lillian C. Lopez 608 White Avenue Aztec, New Mex ico 87401 .11 Fr. SE/NE Sec. 9-T30N-R11W Beta Development Company P. O. Box 1659 Midland, Texas 79701 27.18 Various Oil & Gas Leases

## C & E OPERATORS FORCED POOLING APPLICATION N/2 SECTION 9 - T3ON-R11W, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO CONTAINING 317.90 ACRES PARTIES INTEREST IN UNIT

AC	PERCENTAGE
0.66	.002076
0.40	.001258
0.30	-000944
0.36	.001132
0.59	.001856
0.16	.000503
0.23	.000723
0.24	.000755
0.28	.000881
0.26	.000818
0.26	.000818
0.26	.000818
0.51	.001604
0.26	.000818
0.26	.000818
0.26	.000818
0.67	.002108
0.11	.000346
5.60	.017616
27.18	.085499
279.05	.877791
317.90	1.000000
	0.66 0.40 0.30 0.36 0.59 0.16 0.23 0.24 0.28 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.21 0.26 0.21 0.22 0.21 0.22 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.22 0.21 0.21 0.22 0.21 0.22 0.21 0.22 0.21 0.22 0.21 0.22

\$• • •

4 ÷ >

No. Carl

N/2 Section 9-T3ON-RIIW AFE - Gas Development Drilling Lease Fee Well No.

Lease Fee Well No. 9-A Depth 4,800 Dual	Mesaverde-PC
$\frac{1}{100}$ $\frac{1}$	
Dirt work: Road & Loc. 26,000 Clean up 8,000	34,000
Drilling Fluids Water Frac 6,300 <sup>Dr1g</sup> - 8,400 Mud 12,000	26,700
Cement & Services: Surface 8-5/8" @ 275'	2,043
Production 5½" @ 4,800' 2 stage	10,525
Logging: OH IES & D/N 7,310 CH GR & CBL 2,185	9,495
Perforating <u>MV 2,930</u> PC 2,600	5,530
Other Cased Hole Wire Line Services BP & Mod "D" Pkr	2,655
press. tests, Spot acid 500 Gal Acetic - 2 set ups	2,400
Packers for break down 2 sets	2,760
Pump charge & acid for break down 500 gal 15% HCI 2 set ups	2,700
Fracturing: MV 28,232 PC 31,076	59,308
Rentals & Misc.:	
Guyline Anchors 500 Frac tanks 12 2,820	
Rental tools for dual 1,800	
Dual Equipment Mtl & Services Baker Services 3,770	8,890
Other Charges	0,090
Consulting Eng & Geol. 5,800	
N <sub>2</sub> or Gas to clean up well 16,000	an a
Roustabout Labor for Surface Hookup 3,500	
Fencing Mtl & installation 5,000	
Cathodic Protection 7,500	27 400
Rig Contract	37,800
<u>4,800</u> ft. 0 15.50 ft.	71 (66
Day work 1 days @ 4,800	
Completion Dia 0	4,800
Trucking. 4.000	18,000
1,000 Frac tanks	
Tangible Costs	6,800
Well Head 10" series 600 OCT Dual Well Head	
Casing 8-5/8" 24#J @\$10.32/ft Surface 275 2838	17,000
Casing 51/2" 15.5#J @\$6.70/ft Production 4,850 - 32,495	алан алан алан алан алан алан алан алан
Tubing 15" 2. 0 #FUE (2) $a_2/4,500 - 12,690 - 2,100' - 5,355$	35,333
Flow line & Connections $\frac{12^{\prime} 2.5 \text{ where } (2.82/\text{FL})}{12^{\prime} 11 \text{ ($2,55/ft})}$	18,045
	1,800
<u>8,488</u> Production Unit	
2,594 Production Unit	•
그는 물건은 물건을 얻는 것이 있다. 것이 많은 것이 같이 있는 것이 없다. 것이 있는 것이 없는 것이 없다. 것이 없는 것이 없	
	18,053
'Iotal Shallow Zone Total	\$399,037
	e de la contra de la Contra de la contra d
Mesa Verde Zone Total 243,289.00	
AE DUBBLE NO. 4	
	an tain ∙an tain
12. Kerd b.	

્રત્ર



~\* SCALE: 1 "= 400" DEV. & BALANCE OF SE/4 Except LITY OF AZTEC Aztec 8-A 7.6.08 K PC 7.6083 DY SWP 5/2 SEC 8-301-1111 SAN JUAN CO. CITY UN ANDEC 225.dc ന 2.757 dc 6 + 76 40 6 2 1-16 BETA 2 2 2 2 3 2  $\alpha$ OPERATORS d'ws th SWYY AI ц Ф С Pc CFE MV OCPE Aztec 8 BEFT COMMER STAMETS OIL COMPERVATION DIVISION CASE NO. 7/06 Submitt d by Hearing Date 

HERE HERE HERE SHOW SHOW

10月13日公司推定公司人前台设计数码保证公司通道编辑

## FORCED POOLING NOTICES

## SOUTH HALF (S/2) SECTION 8, T. 30N., R. 11W., N.M.P.M. containing 317.08 Acre Acres & Percentage of Unit

	<u>SOUTH HALF (S/2) SECT</u> Name	<u>10N 8, T. 30N., R. 11W., N.M.P.M.</u> conta Acres & Percentage	ining 317.08 of Unit
	Harold B. Barnes c/o Robert W. Taylor Box 591 Placitas, New Mexico 87043	7.608 acres	.023994
2.	Edward Diefel John Eichman 1500 El Serno Avenue Bakersfield, Calif. 93304	11.760 acres	.037088
3.	Onefre R. Jacquez P: O. Box 362 Aztec, New Mexico 87410	2.600 acres	.008200
<b>4</b> .	Edna O. Perry 1015 Candelaria Road N. W. Albuquerque, New Mexico 87107 & Edna O. Perry		
	c/o Virgil Dennis Johnson P. O. Box 2042 Aztec, New Mexico 87410	2.757 acres	.008695
5.	Frances Leon Quintana 1516 Martín Avenue Aztec, New Mexico 87410	.41 acres Lots 14 & 15 Block 5 Riverview Subidivigion	.001293
6.	Robert E. Pate 304 East Glénmary Aztec, New Mexico 87410	.34 acres Lots 16 & 17 Block 5 Riverview Subdivision	.001072
7.	R. J. Trahan c/o Clyde C. Lamar Attn. B. Johnson 1413 Martin Avenue Aztec, New Mexico 87410	Lot 9, Block 1 Riverview Subdivision • .120 acres	.000379
8.	Jerry D. Soseman c/o Vernon L. McDonald P. O. Box 541 Aztec, New Mexico 87410	Lot 10, Block 1 Riverview Subdivision .120 acres	.000379
9.	Lanell W. Baird and Evelyn Baird, his'wife Star Lake Plant Cuba, New Mexico	Lots 9 and 10, Block 3 Riverview Subdivision .252 acres	.00079 <sup>5</sup>
10.	Frederick A. Cornish 305 Dixon Street Aztec, New Mexico 87410	Lot 11, Block 3 Riverview Subdivision .126 acres	.000397
11.	M. Zelma Troxel 1512 Martin Avenue Aztec, New Mexico 87410	Lot 2, Błock 4 Riverview Subdivision .126 acres	.000397
Uži Gil	ORE EXAMINED CTA. TTS CONSERVATION DIVISION		
	E_EXHIBIT MO. 6		
	NO. 7/06		
	nitted by		
3 mer 2 <b>10</b> 123/00/28			

÷

FORCED POOLING Page 2

Name

Acres & Percentage of Unit

- 12. E. W. Phelps c/o Susan or Jerry McGaha 810 N.Vine SP #22 Farmington, New Mexico 87401
- Delbert D. Deck
   207 Summer Place
   Aztec, New Mexico 87410 . .
- 14. Wm. L. Sewell c/o Michael Schropp 211 Sumner Place Aztec, New Mexico 87410
- Gilbert J. Martinez, Jr. 324 S. Mesa Verde Street Aztec, New Mexico
- 16. Church of Christ, Inc. Aztec c/o Aztec Boys Club
  P. 0. Box 488 Aztec, New Mexico 87410
- Mesa Verde rights in the above tracts 1 through 16 are held by Beta Development Company

- Beta Development Company P. U. Box 1659 Midland, Texas 78701
- City of Aztec
   201 W. Chaco St.
   Aztec, New Mexico 87410
- 19. C and E Operators, Inc. 4925 Greenville Avenue #170 Dallas, Texas 75206

Lot 5, Block 4 Riverview Section .126 acres

Lot 7, Block 5 Riverview Subdivision .20 acres .000631

Lot 8, Block 5 Riverview Subdivision .28 acres .000883

Lots 9 & 10, Block 5 Riverview Subdivision .46 acres .001451

Lots 4 and 5 - .354 acres Simonds Subdivision .001116

155.1 acres Various Oil & Gas Leases .489151

City Light Plant 2.5 Ac. (Unleased)

.007884

.000397

159.480 acres Various 011 & Gas Leases .502965

317.08 acres

1.000000

n andre en de la constante de l La constante de la constante de	
AFE - Gas Development Drilling	
	a de la companya de la companya. La companya de la
Location: Unit P Sec. 8 Depth 4,700 ct	
Location: <u>Unit P Sec. 8 - 30N - 11W, San Juan Co., N.M.</u> Dirt Work: Road & Loc. <u>28,000</u> Drilling Fluids Wat	gle Mesaverde
Drilling Fluids <u>Water Frac. 4,000</u> Drlg.8,225 Cement & Services: Cont	
Cement & Services: Surface <u>8-5/8" 0275</u> Mud 11,750	36,000
Cement & Services: Surface <u>8-5/8" @275</u> Mud 11,750	23,975
Logging: Of the said of the sa	2,043
- Cli GR Chr	10,525
Press. tests, Spot acid <u>500 gal. Acetic 1 run</u>	9,415
Packers for 1 Por acid 500 gal Acati	2,930
Pump charge s and a l run	<u>0</u>
	1,200
Practuring: 125,000# 70BPM@2,500 Rentals & Misc.:	1,380
	1,350
Guyline Anchors 500	28,232
Rental tools <u>for single 750</u> Frac tanks <u>12</u> 2820 Dual Equipment $w_{12}$	
Consulting Eng & Geol.	4,070
$\frac{12}{2}$ Or Gas to close	
Laipr for an Si 000	
Fencinc Mtl & installation	
Rig Contract 7,500	
4 700	22,800
Day Nork	
Completion Rig 4 days 0 4,800	
Loos Casing: 1 800	4,800
Tangible Costs	8,000
Well mean	
Well Head Series 600 OCT Single .	6,600
Casing 8-5/8"24J @ 10.32/ft	
Surface 275 - 2838	10,800
1000001014,750' - 21 oc	
riow line & Connections	34,663
vs,057 Stock gant a	12,408
	1,800
Product	
\$3,314 Intomite	
1,3,314 Intermitter & Piston Installation	
	15,459
and the second	

Total

\$311,300

anti anti sente anti si la provincia de la seconda de la construcción de la seconda de la construcción de la s Non en la construcción de la constru Parte de la construcción de la cons	
	BEFORE EXAMINER STAMETS OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
	CYK EXHIBIT NO 7
	CASE NO. 7106
	Submitted by
	가 있는 것 같은 것은 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있다. 같은 것은 것이 있는 것이 있 같은 것은 것은 것이 있는 것
n en	
a series and the series of	

## S/2 Sec. 8-T30N-R11W

の見たいと言語である

AFE - Gas Development Drilling

Lease Aztec Well No. 8-1 Depth 4,700 Dual Mesa	iverde-PC
Location: Unit P Sec. 8 - 30N - 11W, San Juan Co., N.M.	
Dirt Work: Road & Loc. 28,000 Clean up 5,000	36,000
Drilling Fluids Water-Frac 6,300 <sup>Dr1g 8,225</sup> Mud 11,750	26,275
Cement & Services: Surface <u>8-5/8" @275'</u>	2,043
Production 2 stage	10,525
Logging: OH IES &D/N 7,260 CH GR CBL 2,155	9,415
Perforating <u>Mesaverde 2,930</u> PC 2,600	5,530
Other Cased Hole Wire Line Services BP & Mod "D" Pkr.	2,605
Press. tests, Spot acid 500 gal Acetic	2,400
Packers for break down <u>Straddle - 2 runs</u>	2,760
Pump charge & acid for break down 500 gal 15% HCI - 2 set ups 125,000# 70 BPM @ 2,500 75,000# 50BPM @ 1,500 Fracturing: MV 28 232	2,700
Fracturing: MV 28,232 75,000/ 50BPM @ 1,500 70% foam 31,076	59,308
Rentals & Misc.:	
Guyline Anchors 500 Frac tanks 12 2,820	
Rental tools for Dual 1,800	
Dual Equipment Mtl & Services Baker Services 3,770	8,890
Other Charges	
Consulting Eng & Geol. 5,800	
N <sub>2</sub> or Gas to clean up well 16,000	
Roustabout Labor for Surface Hookup 3,500	
Fencing Mtl & installation 5,000	
Cathodic Protection7,500	37,800
Rig Contract	
4,700 ft. @ 15.50 ft.	, 72,850
Day work 1 days @ 4,800	4,800
Completion Rig 9 days @ 2,000 /12 hr. day	18,000
Frucking: 4,000 casing: 1,800 comp. Rig	an a
1,000 Frac tanks	6,800
[angib).e Costs	
Well Head 10" series 600 OCT Dual Tree	17,000
Casing 8-5/8" 24#J @\$10.32/ft Surface 275' 2,838	t <mark>erre en plant de la constant</mark> de la constant La constant de la cons
Casing 5 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> " 15.5 J@ \$6.70/ft Production4,750 31,825	34,663
'hubing 14" EUE 0\$2.82/ft 14" IJ 0\$2.55/ft	۰ ، ، ، ، ، ، ، ، ، ، ، ، ، ، ، ، ، ، ،
Flow line & Connections	17,253
	1,800
그는 그는 것 같은 것 같	
2,594 Production Unit	10 050
<u>3,314</u> Intermitter & Piston Installation Total	18,053
	\$397,470
Shallow Zone Total 154,646.00	
Mesa Verde Zone Total 242,824.00	an a
化二苯基乙基 化二氯化乙基 化二乙基 法法法 化乙基乙基 化乙基乙基乙基乙基乙基乙基乙基乙基乙基乙基乙基乙基乙基乙基乙基乙基	

11.50

FORCED POOLING FOR NON-STANDARD MESA VERDE UNIT SW/4 SEC. 9-T3ON-R11W, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, CONTAINING 158.54 acres

1

NOTICES

	AC.	Tract
Robert N. Ramsey 412 S. Church Street Aztec, New Mexico 87410	1.03	FR SE/SW 9-30-11
Keith Vandruff Box 421 Aztec, New Mexico 87410	.16	Lot 7, Block 1, Currents Subd.
Earnest & Margaret Maestas Box 493 Aztec, New Mexico 87410	.16	Lot 2, Block 2, Currents Subd.
Hazel C. Bazter P. O. Box 146 Aztec, New Mexico 87410	.32	Lots 3 & 4, Block 2, Currents Subd.
ÂND	.08	Lot 18, Block 10, Aztec
Floyd & Maruice Rhodes c/o Robert L. Stevenson 301 S. Main Street Aztec, New Mexico 87410	.28	Lots 1 thru 5, Block 10, Aztec
Georgia Fay Anderson 322 S. Mian Street Aztec, New Mexico 87410	• 34	Lots 10, 11, 12,081'ock 10, Aztec and Frac. SE/SW 9-30-11,
AL 30		Lots 13 thru 17 Block 10, Aztec and Frac. SE/SM 9-30-11
Eugene Stewart Box 692 Aztec, New Mexico 87410	4	Lots 20-24 Block 20, Aztec
New Mexico State Highway Commission Box 1140 Santa Fe. New Mexico 87501	1.78	• Fr. SW/4 9-30-11
New Mexico State Highway Commission Box 1149 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501		SAME LAND
Beta Development Co. P. O. Box 1659 Midland, Texas 79701	12.98	Various Oil & Gas Leases
Ford C. & Elsie Webb Rte 3, Box 134-A Farmington, New Mexico 87401	.25	Fr. NE NW-Sec. 9-T30N-R11W

CIL CONCENTION DIVISION <u>CVF</u> EXHIBIT NO. <u>9</u> CASE NO. <u>707</u> Submitted by	. : :
Submitted by	
Submitted by	
Hearing Date	

ţ

- H

# C & E OPERATORS FORCED POOLING APPLICATION

NON-STANDARD MESA VERDA UNIT COVERING SW/4 SECTION 9 - T3ON - R11W , SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, CONTAINING 158.54 ACRES.

	AC.	INTEREST	
Ramsey	1.03	.006497	
Vandrieff	0.16	.001009	
Maestas	0.16	.001009	
Baxter	0.40	.002523	
Rhodes	0.28	.001766	
Anderson	0.34	.002145	
Stewart	0.40	.002523	
Webb	0.25	.001577	
Hiway	1.78	.011227	
Beta	12.98	.081872	
C & E. Operators	140.76	.887852	
	158.54	1.000000	

## RECEIVED NOV 2 1 1090



City of Aztec

WINNER ALL AMERICAN CITY AWARD

LENN MCOUITTY, Mayor

November 13, 1980

W.P. Carr C. & E. Operators, Inc. One Energy Square - Sulte 170 Dallas, Texas 75206

Subject: City of Aztec Drilling Permits

Dear Mr. Carr:

The Aztec City Commission, at its regular meeting, November 10, 1980 approved all but one of your applications to drill wells within the City limits of Aztec, New Mexico.

Wells that were approved are:

Aztec #9 Well Fee #9 Well Fee #9-A Well Aztec #3 Well Fee #3-A Well Fee #8 Well Aztec #8-A Well

Aztec #9-A Well was not approved by the Commission at that time as the Commissioners felt a more definite survey should be furnished reflecting the exact location of the well site. It was their understanding from the evidence submitted by Mr. Dial and Mr. Lawson, (preperty owners within the area) that this well site should be moved to satisfy the 200ft. requirement of the City.

Regarding the request for an access easement from the City to get to the site of Fee #8 Well, the Commission felt that approval of this easement is a separate matter and should be negotiated with the City Manager. The Commission did not vote to grant the easement.

Thank you for your time and consideration. If further information is needed feel free to call me anytime. The phone number is 334-9462.

Sincerely. athrabos

Nathan Broadhead City Clerk

NB/rc cc: N.M. Oil & Gas Commission-Mr. Chavez Bill Stiles

OBERING SYA OH, CONSERV				3 1
CY E EXHIB	IT NO	. 10		
CASE NO. 7/	.07	1		
Submitted by				
Hearing Date			······································	
In the second				•••• • •••

. .

#### Thursday, Nov. 13, 19



The Axtec City Commission approved permits Monday night for C&E Operators to drill seven gas wells within the city.

The application for an eighth permit was denied until the city is shown that the well will not be within 200 feet of any other structure. .

OF THE SEVEN approved, the one receiving the most discussion was the one designated 8A; to be drilled in Riverside Park. The concern was the route of lines from the new well to existing liquid tanks in the park.

CEE would only be drilling the well and the the laying of lines would be done by the production, probably El Paso Natural Gat Co.

it safe," said Mayor Lenn McQuitty.

id to sporove the drille we's lating with irmit and 10 \* which ---a lines b ÷\* 4 well, to be sure they do not endanger safety of persons using Riverside Park. The biggest foar is that the drase could run through the same similar artis

A 1.15

#### OTHER WELLS for which permits were approved:

OR to be drilled on farmland presid by Alongo Magainez out of the Anizar River and serils of Hamplet Armine "

9D; to be drilled in the avamor Arel ing Brey Charrows on W. Ch al 8 is not of University 1.50 0 3 3.5 Sec. 23.

Will sita:

be<sup>S</sup> middle · That's .. 10 . 12.20 seiciential ares," said C Kathy Ramsey; That bothans ma. She said, the an that requirements for lencing and landscaping the fempleted will are not by the driller. BC. cast of N. Oliver Drive in an undeveloped area, shout 400 feet them the nearest dwelling.

8D, about 900 fest east of S. Oliver Drive in a pasture area on land owned by Jackson David Metiling Co.

8B, in Lites Arres M. Adec il hi Bluck business strip that fer the d . stere. It's vinden 41 . 24 nee . and 'AL 7 LO DAY

ssioners were told.

even gas holes

They also expressed concern about enforcing safety provisions at that site, noting that Estes Arroyo is a play area for

many neighborhood children. 9 - 2011-11 W THE PERMIT was denied for well 9A. which is proposed for Fred Lawson's property east of the Dial Oil Co., Rio Grande Ave. Dial Oil has a building permit for a new building that would be 80 feet from the proposed well site. Lawson said the well could be moved 100 feet farther from the building site, but that still world not meet the ordinance requirement that a well not be drilled within 200 feet of a structure.

Commissioners indicated they R the consider the application for 9A when they are, shown that the 200-foot distance requirement will be met.

A LOVING THE PARTY OF THE PARTY	·¥		
BEFORE EXAMIN OIL CONSERVAT	ER STA	METS	
CAE EXHIBIT N CASE NO. 210	10. 11		
Submitted by			
Hearing Date			· · · · ·
and and a state of the second			<b>-</b>

 $i_i$ 

 $\leq n, n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ 

SW/4 Section 9-T3ON-R11W

AFE - Gas Development Drilling

9 Depth 4750' Dual Mesaverde - PC Lease Aztec Well No. Location: Unit M Sec. 9 - 30N - 11W, San Juan Co, N.M. Dirt Work: Road & Loc. 24,000 Clean up 8,000 32,000 Drilling Fluids Dr1g 8313 Water Frac 6,300 26,488 Mud 11,875 Cement & Services: Surface 8-5/8 @275 2,043 Production 5½ @4750 2 stage 10,525 Logging: OH IES & D/N 9,455 7285 Сн GR CBL 2170 5,530 Perforating MV 2930 PC 2600 2,655 Other Cased Hole Wire Line Services BP & Mod "D" Pkr 2,400 Press. tests, Spot acid 500 gal. Acetic - 2 set ups 2,760 Packers for break down 2 sets 2,700 Pump charge & acid for break down 500 gal. 15% HCI 2 set ups 59,308 Fracturing: MV 28,232 PC 31,076 Rentals & Misc.: 500 Frac tanks 12 2820 Guyline Anchors 1800 Rental tools for Dual 8,890 Dual Equipment Mtl & Services Baker Services 3,770 Other Charges 5,800 Consulting Eng & Geol. 16,000 No or Gas to clean up well 3,500 Roustabout Labor for Surface Hookup 5,000 Fencing Mtl & installation 7,500 37,800 Cathodic Protection Rig Contract 73,625 ft. 0 15.50 ft. 4750 4,800 Day work 1 days @ 4,800 18,000 days @ 2,000 /12 hr. day Completion Rig 9 casing: 1,800 Trucking: 4,000 comp. Rig 6,800 1,000 Frac tanks Tangible Costs 17,000 Well Head 10" series 600 OCT Dual Surface 275' 2838 Casing 8-5/8 24#J @\$10.32/ft 4,800' 32,160 Casing 5 15.5 J @\$6.70/ft 34,998 Production 2,050' 5,228 Tubing 1x"2.9 #J @ \$2.82/ft<sup>4,350</sup>-12,267 14" IJ @\$2.55/ft 17,495 Flow line & Connections 1,800 Stock Tank & Stairway 300 bb1. \$3,657 Production Unit Mesaverde 8,488 Production Unit PC 2,594 18,053 Intermitter & Piston Installation 3,314 \$395,125 Total 155,329.00 Shallow Zone Total Mesa Verde Zone Total REFORE EXAMINER STATETS CIL CONSERVATION DIVISION CYE MONETINO 12

CASE MO. 71.07

Hearing Data

																		1.142			
	•		110	27		30 105 531 105	37 927	30 936	5:5 1696		8 34 1-74	29	44	142 591	Q 83 4702	93 10 99 39	b 56	Q58 1985	1. A. A.	52	23
				30 445	17		37 9 88	816		33 924	30	124	903 383	1168	5	207	195 6555	2. 19 M. 1	2257		
		(	The second	26			149	12 391	34 141 142 141	38 864	000	11 374	36 1297			713 16		137 3500	776 3	10 196 178 78	<b>1</b>
			131	5	422	· ][	m (	24	400 M	4.40	A' 825	36 908 21 48	24	30 1107		717	745		1763 33813	18 471 99 24	۳
					33	37		619	2001	3. 1063	33	873 48 6 55 6 925	States and a state of	73 460	57D	32	06	101 3277 203 203	702 2549	147 24 30 300	4 50 9 29 7 29
						Pot	2		LATTE		0	19 7	51		19	50	•	89	237 11		3 92
									A	A det	1071	762.7	1172	123	855	29	179	2085	237 3: 59 14 17356	10 10	5 23 5 21
													39		15	0	//	86	262 51	þ 2 <b>7 2</b>	100
		<u></u>					<b></b>					1	V	15 505			173	And the second second	41 958	97	10
	•			MESA VERI			2721) 2721)						13		20	10	]	476 164		116	12 38
•			Portion	of Bland	co mesa s Only	verae ri	eiu)							343	~	96	28 566		341	76	
			23 = An	nual Proi	a da anta	(MMCF) 1	978			<u> </u>	166			33	12 391'	164	<u>ı</u>			8	43
				ulative								, 36	V.	646 31	()		1		65	646	
	Contour			MCF Cumm	al an		n an	een adde	ed 🗂				442		312	27	15		201		14
					1			•.						6	4		1187	9	401.41	* \	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
			BEFORE	EXAMINE	RSTAME	rs									·	(2)	2	75	26	- fs	
		いたが、	DIL CON	SERVATIC	N DIVISI	ØŇ			· <b>~</b> •							X		65 · 804	15	$\mathcal{J}$	35
		d C	SERVE	7105	- 21	07.				•										2	
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	<b>.</b>	SL	Dimitted	)У																	
		716 27 <b>1</b> 200	aring Da	G.,		• 12 3 3 1 25 1 <b></b>			-~¦_( 	OMFIEL	<mark>ہ                                    </mark>										
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							l			I					35

調い

**Wississik** 

. .

30 · 10	5 37 5 927	30 936	56 1696		8 34 674	29 1498	46 14; 1388 591	2 283 93	10b 3951	258 7985	144 3152	230
<b>36</b>	37 9 ## 7 31 7 31	12 391	38	33 924 38 866	30 364 606	124 8 124 100 11 11 11 174	303 64	124 213 5235 261	/95 6555 162 3608	2.15 4176 137 3500	78 230 78 4067 240 85 3896 95	36
1	17) (17)	24 619	400 M	14 4 20 14 4 20 3.	A' 82 33	8 36 908 21 48 873 48		1 1 18. 570	196 2206	176 3381 101 3277	318 186 318 4714 199 244 5147 244	203
			2	5 1063	32		73 460 51	32	103	203 102 203 2543 89 237		276 4

178 e 17 24 400 917 619 200	~ 1 2 1 /	33	21 48	24 73 <b>5</b>	/9. 570	196 2206			203 5010	178 403 5359 1246	3984
	131 1063	73	6 925	13 460	32	10 9375	203 101 203 2343		276 212 276 4733	231 7504	169 252 4
	YL.	32	29 7 762 7	1172	19 855	50		3260 205	923 141 4766 710	929 84	129 236 1 2824 9516
				39	$\gg A$	0	1	4. 1	100 237	727 50 221 2402	india di Autori
				39 365 505		44 13 179	2784 262	56 2232 96 /534	3467 828 69 142 141 3129	515 929	4941 543 4
10N '				13 543	20	0	20 476	50 1716	125 3834	161 11	
erde Field)		1		343	194	1	341	76 1715 16		65 2717	2494
MMCF) 1978		166		23	12	127 641	1E	418	43 1094 55 1470	200 150 5991 159 755	3212 239 4
n to 12-31-78			36	16 31	11		05	1 646	74 30	31 185 172	40 121
roduction has been added				251	312 28 840	111	106	215	1608	185 172 761 96 201 115	1736 121 6 120 61 1 201 306 1
							9		14 1431	113 1815	143 138
S. N						20	26		/9 851	1515	188 48
							65 504	314	39 1410 52 935	41	59 94 2328 94 6 40 17 1750
22.0											4 18 150
	LOOMFIEL	D						1	36 675	48 72	2 79 52 0 79 1267
		م							35		81

931 231

478 36

						an an ann an Anna an Anna Anna Anna Ann	
	HLDG. V, 10300 NORTH CEN	Y CORPORATION FIFTH FLOOR TRAL EXPRESSE EXAS 75231	Ann 11			JOINT INTERE	ST BILLING
a final sea sea s Substanta da sea		CXAS /3231					9/23/80
lander og sektoren. Menske som som som						INVOICE	NO. 501248
		JOINT EXP	ENSE				
OWNER: W.P. C	01297 ARR						PAGE 4 AUG, 1980
	PROPERTY + LES DPERATOR +SUPC DESC +LEST			•		E - NEW MEXI T <u>Y- san jua</u> n	
	***				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		EXPENSE
REF	DESCRIP	TION	GEN	SUB		C QUANTITY	AMOUNT
	CONTRACT LABOR						
	CONTRACT_LABOR	a di kana seri di k Kana seri di kana se	305	102			51,00
	CONTRACT LABOR Contract Labor		305 305	102 102			38.49 38.49
E08-9735	CONTRACT LABOR		305	102			51,00
	LESTER #1 LESTER #1	FARMINGTON FARMINGTON		102 102		<u>49110</u> 49070	7.50
	ELECTRIC POWER						144,90
P08-5610	CATH PROT POWER		305	108			7.62
<u> </u>	SAIN PAUL						7.62
n in the second s	CHEMICAL AND SO	LVENTS	· · · · ·				
P08-0467	CHEMICAL	د. این مربقه استان میکند اینکن میکنیکید رو استان ۱۹۹۵ - ۲۰۰۰ ایک ایک ایک واقع	305	111	1	n an tha an t An tha an tha	160,34
			محمد المعاور (يتواند)				160.34
	ADMINISTRATIVE	IVERHEAD / CFR					
V08-8999	LESTER 1-A MY A	ever well	305	399	90099 1		273,15
	LESTER MV	cher well	305	399	90099 1	(1) No. 1 States in the second sec	218,90
					• ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	492.15
		TOTAL	PROPE	RTY			805,01
	BEFORE EXAMINER	STAMETS		- <b>-</b> -	na ing Marina jiwa		
	OIL CONSERVATION	DIVISION					and a second sec
	CYE_EXHIBIT NO	17					
a and a lat	CASE NO. 7105 -						
	Submitted by	and the second sec		1. 2.1. 2.1.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Hearing Date						

MONTH OF OPERATION AUGUST 1980

í.

AMOCO PRODUCTION COMPANY P. O. BOX 591 Tulsa, oklahoma, 74102 Phone 918/581-3358

610	5847	00			
				.   i	

3 . C.

OPERATING STATEMENT 1

WELL EXPENSE       34.13         LABOR AND EXPENSES-LEASE SUPERVEN       188.80         LABOR AND EXPENSESPUMPERS       11.57         LABOR AND EXPENSES (COMPANY)       11.57         MATERIAL-OPERATION AND REPLACEMENT       7.52         LEASE SERVICES       119.58         OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE       12.59         MATERIAL-ADDERSE	REFERENCE	DESCRIPTION .		AMOUNT
SALARIES AND EXPENSES-LEASE SUPERVON       34.13         LABOR AND EXPENSESPUMPERS       188.80         LABOR AND EXPENSES (COMPANY)       11.57         MATERIALOPERATION AND REPLACEMENT       7.52         LEASE SERVICES       119.58         OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE       11.57         VACATION EXPENSE.       119.58         VACATION EXPENSE.       11.66         VACATION EXPENSE.       11.86         VACATION EXPENSE.       11.86         VACATION EXPENSE.       11.86         VACATION EXPENSE.       11.86         VACATION EXPENSES       12.59         PAYROL TAXES       11.86         WATER EXPENSES       11.86         OTHER EXPENSES       30.12 *         DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE       30.12 *         ADMINISTRATIVE OVERHEAD       477.22 *         TOTAL COST AND CHARGES.       918.94 ***         G16847 DOO'W P CARR       0304688       28.00				
VACATION EXPENSE.       12.59         PAYROLL TAXES       11.86         PAYROLL TAXES       11.86         EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS EXPENSE       477.16         OTHER EXPENSES       3.51         BEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE       80.12 *         DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE       477.22         ADMINISTRATIVE OVERHEAD       10.00000000000000000000000000000000000	SALARIES AND EXPENSES-LEA Labor and expenses-pumper Labor and expenses (compai Materialoperation and ri	R5		188.80 11.57 7.52 119.58
ADMINISTRATIVE OVERHEAD PRODUCING WELL RATE	VACATION EXPENSE Payroll taxes Employee benefit plans exi	>ENSE	•••	11.86 47.16 8.51
616847 D00' W P CARR	ADMINISTRATIVE OVERHEAD PRODUCING WELL RATE	lolfore /	<sup>3</sup> 79	477.22 *
	616847 000' W P CARR	•	0304688	28.00

NONTH OF OPERATION AUGUST 1980	P. Tul	000 PRODUCTION COMPAN 0. Box 591 .Sa, oklahoma, 74192 DNE 918/581-3358	616847 00	
	G	PERATING STATEMENT 1		

EFERENCE DES	CRIPTION		AMOUNT
WELL EXPENSE SALARIES AND EXPENSES-LEASE SUPERVS LABOR AND EXPENSES - PUMPERS LABOR AND EXPENSES (COMPANY) LABOR - CONTRACT - OPERATION AND REPA MATERIAL - OPERATION AND REPLACEMENT POWER, FUEL, AND WATER (PURCHASES) LEASE BERVICES	IR		34.12 188.80 11.58 840.38 7.52 13.35 59.79 1,155.54 *
OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE Vacation Expense Payroll Taxes Employee Benefit Plans Expense Other Expenses			12.59 11.86 47.16 6.77 78.38 *
DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE Administrative overhead Producing Well Rate	Before 19	7.9	436.26 436.26 *
TOTAL COST AND CHARGES 616847 000 W P CARR Balance-Remaining Owner(S)		576696	1,670.18 ** 263.34 1,406.84

Ú,

WILLIAM PLACK CARR 6700 FOREST LANE DALLAS, TEXAS 75230 368-6968 October 11, 1979

Perter#1.A.M

Re: Lester No. 1.Unit NW/4 Section 3, T30N, R11W San Juan County, New Mexico

Supron Energy Corporation Building V, Fifth Floor 10300 North Central Expressway Dallas, Texas 75231

Gentlemen:

41.20

1. 25

ي مو

ŝ

• •

ĩ.

We are enclosing an executed signature page of an Amendment of Operating Agreement on the above captioned well.

Yours very truly,

W. P. Carr

WPC/nsf Enc.

.

BEFORE EXA OIL CONSER	MINER STAMETS VATION DIVISION
CTE EXHIB	NT NO. <u>18</u> 105-7107
Submitted by_	
Hearing Date	

a la **manda de co**locador e a contra de contra

#### AMENDMENT OF OPERATING AGREEMENT

THIS Amendment of Operating Agreement is made and entered into on this 7th day of August, 1979, by and between SUPRON ENERGY CONPORATION (hereinafter referred to as "Supron"), SOUTHLAND ROYALTY COMPANY (hereinafter referred to as "Southland"), and W. P. CARR (hereinafter referred to as "Carr").

### WITNESSETH THAT:

WHEREAS, Supron, as Operator, and Southland and Carr, as Non-Operators, are the parties to that certain Operating Agreement dated January 10, 1975, which covers operations on the Lester No. 1 Well and the unit for such well for the production of gas and associated liquid hydrocarbons from the Pictured Cliffs formation in and beneath the NE/4 of Section 3, Township 30 North, Range 11 West, N.M.P.M., San Juan County, New Mexico, and the unit for such well for the production of gas and associated liquid hydrocarbons from the Mesaverde formation in and beneath the N/2 of Section 3, Township 30 North, Range 11 West, N.M.P.M., San Juan County, New Mexico; and

WILEREAS, in accordance with the rules and regulations of the New Mexico Conservation Commission, the parties hereto desire to drill a well on the NW/4 of Section 3, Tr wnship 30 North, Range 11 West, N.M.P.M., San Juan County, New Mexico; and

WHEREAS, in order for the parties hereto to drill such well, it is necessary to amend the hereinabove described Operating Agreement dated January 10, 1975.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and mutual covenants and agreements herein contained, Supron, Southland and Carr hereby agree as follows:

1.

The Operating Agreement is hereby amended by the addition of Article IIIA entitled "DRILLING OPERATIONS COVERING THE SECOND WELL" to hereafter read as follows:

#### "IIIA. DRILLING OPERATIONS COVERING THE SECOND WELL

Section 1. Operator shall, within a reasonable time period after August 7, 1979, commence or cause to be commenced the drilling of a well (hereinafter referred to as the "Second Well") at a lawful location in the NW/4 of Section 3, Township 30 North, Range 11 West, N.M.P.M., San Juan County, New Mexico; and Operator shall thereafter continue the drilling thereof of the Second Well with due diligence to a sufficient depth to test the Mesaverde formation, unless granite or other practically impenetrable substance or condition in the hole, which renders further drilling impractical, is encountered at a lesser depth, or unless all the parties agree to abandon the Second Well at a lesser depth.

Section 2. Prior to commencement of drilling operations, Operator shall furnish to each Non-Operator an Authority for Expenditures which shall cover the costs expected to be incurred in drilling and equipping of the Second Well.

Section 3. All costs and expenses incurred in connection with the drilling, completing, testing, equipping and, if a dry hole, the plugging and abandonment of the Second Well, shall be borne by the parties hereto in the following proportions -

Supron -	86.07500%
Southland -	11.93125%
Carr -	1.99375%

4

Section 4. Operator shall make reasonable tests of the Mesaverde formation in the Second Well and, if in Operator's judgment the Second Well will not produce gas and associated liquid hydrocarbons in paying quantities and it desires to plug and abandon the Second Well as a dry hole, Operator shall first secure the consent of each Non-Operator and thereafter plug and abandon the Second Well.

Section 5. In the event the drilling of the Second Well as hereinabove provided shall be discontinued without first sufficiently testing the Mesaverde formation or in the event the well after completion should be abandoned, the parties hereto by mutual agreement may authorize the drilling of an additional Second Well on the NW/4 of Section 3, Township 30 North, Range 11 West, N.M.P.M., San Juan County, New Mexico, to such formation, the drilling of such additional Second Well to be governed by the terms and provisions of this Operating Agreement in the same manner as hereinabove specified for the drilling of the Second Well.

Section 6. Except as otherwise expressly specified in this Article IIIA, drilling operations covering the Second Well, and the unit therefor, shall be governed by the terms and provisions of this Operating Agreement, insofar as the same may be applicable.

The Operating Agreement is hereby amended by amending the first sentence of Section 4 of Article VII to hereafter read as follows:

2.

The Operator shall make no single expenditure in excess of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000) without first obtaining the consent thereto of the Non-Operators; provided, however, that in case of accident or other emergency the Operator shall have the right and duty to take such action as in its judgment may be required for the protection of life and property and to incur for the joint account of the parties hereto the necessary costs and expenses in connection with said accident or other emergency, and the action taken shall be reported by the Operator to the Non-Operators as soon as reasonably possible; and provided, further, that the approval of the drilling of a well shall include all expenditures for the drilling, completion, testing and equipping of such well, including the necessary lines, separators and lease tankage.

3.

The Operating Agreement is hereby amended by the deletion of the Accounting Procedure attached as Exhibit "A" thereto and, in substitution therefor, the insertion of the Accounting Procedure attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

This Amendment of Operating Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts and each counterpart so executed shall have the same force and effect as an original instrument and as if all the parties to the aggregate counterparts had signed the same instrument and shall be binding upon all the parties hereto and their respective heirs, legal representatives, successors and assigns.

It is expressly understood by the parties hereto that the amendments in this Amendment of Operating Agreement shall be effective as of August 7, 1979.

-2-

STATE OF TEXAS

COUNTY OF DALLAS

On this 7th day of August, 1979, before me appeared Bill Curtis, to me personally known, who, being by me duly sworn, did say that he is the Vice President of SUPRON ENERGY CORPORATION and that the seal affixed to the foregoing instrument is the corporate seal of said corporation and that said instrument was signed and sealed in behalf of said corporation by authority of its Board of Directors, and said Bill Curtis acknowledged said instrument to be the free act and deed of said corporation.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand and seal of office on this 7th day of August, 1979.

y Public in and for

Dallas County, Texas

My Commission Expires: 831.80

STATE OF TEXAS

COUNTY OF

On this day of \_\_\_\_\_, 1979, before me appeared \_\_\_\_\_ to me personally known, who, being by me duly sworn, did say that he is the of SOUTHLAND ROYALTY COMPANY and that the seal affixed to the foregoing instrument is the corporate seal of said corporation and that said instrument was signed and sealed in behalf of said corporation by authority of its \_\_\_\_ acknowledged said instrument to be Board of Directors, and said the free act and deed of said corporation.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand and seal of office on this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 1979.

> Notary Public in and for County, Texas .

My Commission Expires:

STATE OF TEXAS

COUNTY OF DALLAS

, 1979, before me personally appeared On this day of W. P. CARR to me known to be the person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged that he executed the same as his free act and deed.

-4-

Witness my hand and seal the day and year last above written.

Notary Public in and for Dallas County, Texas

My Commission Expires:

3.5.5

Recommended by the Council of Petroleum Accountants Societics of North America

#### EXHIBIT "

Attached to and made a part of <u>an Amendment of Operating Agreement</u> dated August 7, 1979, by and between Supron Energy Corporation, as Operator, and Southland Royalty Company and W. P. Carr, as Non-Operators.

## ACCOUNTING PROCEDURE JOINT OPERATIONS

#### I. GENERAL PROVISIONS

#### 1. Definitions

"Joint Property" shall mean the real and personal property subject to the agreement to which this Accounting Procedure is attached.

"Joint Operations" shall mean all operations necessary or proper for the development, operation, protection and maintenance of the Joint Property.

"Joint Account" shall mean the account showing the charges paid and credits received in the conduct of the Joint Operations and which are to be shared by the Parties.

"Operator" shall mean the party designated to conduct the Joint Operations.

"Non-Operators" shall mean the parties to this agreement other than the Operator.

"Parties" shall mean Operator and Non-Operators.

"First Level Supervisors" shall mean those employees whose primary function in Joint Operations is the direct supervision of other employees and/or contract labor directly employed on the Joint Property in a field operating capacity.

"Technical Employees" shall mean those employees having special and specific engineering, geological or other professional skills, and whose primary function in Joint Operations is the handling of specific operating conditions and problems for the benefit of the Joint Property.

"Personal Expenses" shall mean travel and other reasonable reimbursable expenses of Operator's employees.

"Material" shall mean personal property, equipment or supplies acquired or held for use on the Joint Property. "Controllable Material" shall mean Material which at the time is so classified in the Material Classification Manual as most recently recommended by the Council of Petroleum Accountants Societies of North America.

#### 2. Statement and Billings

Operator shall bill Non-Operators on or before the hist day of each month for their proportionate share of the Joint Account for the preceding month. Such bills will be accompanied by statements which identify the authority for expenditure, lease or facility, and all charges and credits, summarized by appropriate classifications of investment and expense except that items of Controllable Material and unusual charges and credits shall be separately identified and fully described in detail.

#### 3. Advances and Payments by Non-Operators

Unless otherwise provided for in the agreement, the Operator may require the Non-Operators to advance their share of estimated cash outlay for the succeeding month's operation. Operator shall adjust each monthly billing to reflect advances received from the Non-Operators.

Each Non-Operator shall pay its proportion of all bills within fifteen (15) days after receipt. If payment is not made within such time, the unpaid balance shall bear interest monthly at the rate of twelve percent (12%) per annum or the maximum contract rate permitted by the applicable usury laws in the state in which the Joint Property is located, whichever is the lesser, plus attorney's fees, court costs, and other costs in connection with the collection of unpaid amounts.

#### 4. Adjustments

Payment of any such bills shall not prejudice the right of any Non-Operator to protest or question the correctness thereof; provided, however, all bills and statements rendered to Non-Operators by Operator during any calendar year shall conclusively be presumed to be the and correct after twenty-four (24) months following the end of any such calendar year, unless within the said twenty-four (24) month period a Non-Operator takes written exception thereto and makes claim on Operator for adjustment. No adjustment favorable to Operator shall be made unless it is made within the same prescribed period. The provisions of this paragraph shall not prevent adjustments resulting from a physical inventory of Controllable Material as provided for in Section V.

#### 5. Audits

A. Non-Operator, upon notice in writing to Operator and all other Non-Operators, shall have the right to audit Operator's accounts and records relating to the Joint Account for any calendar year within the twenty-four (24) month period following the end of such calendar year; provided, however, the making of an audit shall not extend the time for the taking of written exception to and the adjustments of accounts as provided for in Paragraph 4 of this Section I. Where there are two or more Non-Operators, the Non-Operators shall make every reasonable effort to conduct joint or simultaneous audits in a manner which will result in a minimum of inconvenience to the Operator. Operator shall bear ne portion of the Non-Operators' audit cost incurred under this paragraph unless agreed to by the Operator.

#### 6. Approval by Non-Operators

Where an approval or other agreement of the Parties or Non-Operators is expressly required under other sections of this Accounting Procedure and if the agreement to which this Accounting Procedure is attached contains no contrary provisions in regard thereto, Operator shall notify all Non-Operators of the Operator's proposal, and the agreement or approval of a majority in interest of the Non-Operators shall be controlling on all Non-Operators.
#### II. DIRECT CHARGES

Operator shall charge the Joint Account with the following items:

- 1. Rentals and Royalties
  - Lease rentals and royalties paid by Operator for the Joint Operations.
- 2. Labor
  - A. (1) Salaries and wages of Operator's field employees directly employed on the Joint Property in the conduct of Joint Operations.
    - (2) Salaries of First Level Supervisors in the field.
    - (3) Salaries and wages of Technical Employees directly employed on the Joint Property if such charges are excluded from the Overhead rates.
  - B. Operator's cost of holiday, vacation, sickness and disability benefits and other customary allowances paid to employees whose salaries and wages are chargeable to the Joint Account under Paragraph 2A of this Section II. Such costs under this Paragraph 2B may be charged on a "when and as paid basis" or by "percentage assessment" on the amount of salaries and wages chargeable to the Joint Account under Paragraph 2A of this Section II. If percentage assessment is used, the rate shall be based on the Operator's cost experience.
  - C. Expenditures or contributions made pursuant to assessments imposed by governmental authority which are applicable to Operator's costs chargeable to the Joint Account under Paragraphs 2A and 2B of this Section II.
  - D. Personal Expenses of those employees whose salaries and wages are chargeable to the Joint Account under Paragraph 2A of this Section II.

#### 3. Employce Benefits

Operator's current costs of established plans for employees' group life insurance, hospitalization, pension, retirement, stock purchase, thrift, bonus, and other benefic plans of a like nature, applicable to Operator's labor cost chargeable to the Joint Account under Paragraphs 2A and 2B of this Section II shall be Operator's actual cost not to exceed twenty per cent (20%).

#### 4. Material

Material purchased or furnished by Operator for use on the Joint Property as provided under Section IV. Only such Material shall be purchased for or transferred to the Joint Property as may be required for immediate use and is reasonably practical and consistent with efficient and economical operations. The accumulation of surplus stocks shall be avoided.

#### 5. Transportation

Transportation of employees and Material necessary for the Joint Operation's but subject to the following limitations:

- A. If Material is moved to the Joint Property from the Operator's staffhouse or other properties, no charge shall be made to the Joint Account for a distance greater than the distance from the nearest reliable supply store, recognized barge terminal, or railway receiving point where like material is normally available, unless agreed to by the Parties.
- B. If surplus Material is moved to Operator's warehouse or other storage point, no charge shall be made to the Joint Account for a distance greater than the distance to the nearest reliable supply store, recognized barge terminal, or railway receiving point unless agreed to by the Parties. No charge shall be made to the Joint Account for moving Material to other properties belonging to Operator, unless agreed to by the Parties.
- C. In the application of Subparagraphs A and B above, there shall be no equalization of actual gross trucking cost of \$200 or less excluding accessorial charges.

#### 6. Services

The cost of contract services, equipment and utilities provided by outside sources, except services excluded by Paragraph 9 of Section II and Paragraph 1. ii of Section III. The cost of professional consultant services and contract services of technical personnel directly engaged on the Joint Property if such charges are excluded from the Overhead rates. The cost of professional consultant services or contract services of technical personnel not directly engaged on the Joint Property shall not be charged to the Joint Account unless previously agreed to by the Parties.

# <sup>1)</sup> 7. Equipment and Facilities Furnished by Operator

- A. Operator shall charge the Joint Account for use of Operator owned equipment and facilities at rates commensurate with costs of ownership and operation. Such rates shall include costs of maintenance, repairs, other operating expense, insurance, taxes, depreciation, and interest on investment not to exceed eight per cent (8%) per annum. Such rates shall not exceed average commercial rates currently prevailing in the immediate area of the Joint Property.
- B. In fleu of charges in Paragraph 7A above, Operator may elect to use average commercial rates prevailing in the inimediate area of the Joint Property less 20%. For automotive equipment, Operator may elect to use rates published by the Petroleum Motor Transport Association.

### 8, Damages and Losses to Joint Property

All costs or expenses necessary for the repair or replacement of Joint Property made necessary because of damages or losses incurred by fire, flood, storm, theft, necident, or other cause, except those resulting from Operator's gross negligence or willful misconduct. Operator shall furnish Non-Operator written notice of damages or losses incurred as soon as practicable after a report thereof has been received by Operator.

#### 9. Legal Expense

Expense of handling, investigating and settling litigation or claims, discharging of liens, payment of judgments and amounts paid for settlement of claims incurred in or resulting from operations under the agreement or necessary to protect or recover the Joint Property, except that no charge for services of Operator's legal staff or fees or expense of outside attorneys shall be made unless previously agreed to by the Parties. All other legal expense is considered to be covered by the overhead provisions of Section 111 unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties, except as provided in Section 1, Paragraph 3.

--- 2 ---

#### 10. Taxes

All taxes of every kind and nature assessed or levied upon or in connection with the Joint Property, the operation thereof, or the production therefrom, and which taxes have been paid by the Operator for the benefit of the Parties.

#### 11. Insurance

Net premiums paid for insurance required to be carried for the Joint Operations for the protection of the Partics. In the event Joint Operations are conducted in a state in which Operator may act as self-insurer for Workmen's Compensation and/or Employers Liability under the respective state's laws, Operator may, at its election, include the risk under its self-insurance program and in that event, Operator shall include a charge at Operator's cost not to exceed manual rates.

#### 12. Other Expenditures

(

Any other expenditure not covered or dealt with in the foregoing provisions of this Section II, or in Section III, and which is incurred by the Operator in the necessary and proper conduct of the Joint Operations.

#### III. OVERHEAD

#### 1. Overhead - Drilling and Producing Operations

i. As compensation for administrative, supervision, office services and warehousing costs, Operator shall charge drilling and producing operations on either:

- (X) Fixed Rate Basis, Paragraph 1A, or
  - ) Percentage Basis, Paragraph 1B.

Unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties, such charge shall be in lieu of costs and expenses of all offices and salaries or wages plus applicable burdens and expenses of all personnel, except those directly chargeable under Paragraph 2A, Section II. The cost and expense of services from outside sources in connection with matters of taxation, traffic, accounting or matters before or involving governmental agencies shall be considered as included in the Overhead rates provided for in the above selected Paragraph of this Section III unless such cost and expense are agreed to by the Parties as a direct charge to the Joint Account.

ii. The salaries, wages and Personal Expenses of Technical Employees and/or the cost of professional consultant services and contract services of technical personnel directly employed on the Joint Property shall () shall not (X) be covered by the Overhead rates.

#### A. Overhead - Fixed Rate Basis

(1) Operator shall charge the Joint Account at the following rates per well per month:

Drilling Well Rate \$ 2,500	
Producing Well Rate \$250	0

#### (2) Application of Overhead - Fixed Rate Basis shall be as follows:

#### (a) Drilling Well Rate

- [1] Charges for onshore drilling wells shall begin on the date the well is spudded and terminate on the date the drilling or completion rig is released, whichever is later, except that no charge shall be made during suspension of drilling operations for fifteen (15) or more consecutive days.
- [2] Charges for offshore drilling wells shall begin on the date when drilling or completion equipment arrives on location and terminate on the date the drilling or completion equipment moves off location or rig is released, whichever occurs first, except that no charge shall be made during suspension of drilling operations for fifteen (15) or more consecutive days
- [3] Charges for wells undergoing any type of workover or recompletion for a period of five (5) consecutive days or more shall be made at the drilling well rate. Such charges shall be applied for the period from date workover operations, with rig, commence through date of rig release, except that no charge shall be made during suspension of operations for fifteen (15) or more consecutive days.

#### (b) Producing Well Rates

- [1] An active well either produced or injected into for any portion of the month shall be considered as a one-well charge for the entire month.
- [2] Each active completion in a multi-completed well in which production is not commingled down hole shall be considered as a one-well charge providing each completion is considered a separate well by the governing regulatory authority.
- [3] An inactive gas well shut in because of overproduction or failure of purchaser to take the production shall be considered as a one-well charge providing the gas well is directly connected to a permanent sales outlet.
- [4] A one-well charge may be made for the month in which plugging and abandonment operations are completed on any well.
- [5] All other inactive wells (including but not limited to inactive wells covered by unit allowable, lease allowable, transferred allowable, etc.) shall not qualify for an overhead charge.
- (3) The well rates shall be adjusted as of the first day of April each year following the effective date of the agreement to which this Accounting Procedure is attached. The adjustment shall be computed by multiplying the rate currently in use by the percentage increase or decrease in the average weekly earnings of Crude Petroleum and Clas Production Workers for the last calendar year compared to the calendar year preceding as shown by the index of average weekly earnings of Crude Petroleum and Gas Fields Production Workers are preceding as shown by the index of average weekly earnings of Crude Petroleum and Gas Fields Production Workers as published by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, or the equivalent Canadian index as published by Statistics Canada, as applicable. The adjusted rates shall be the rates currently in use, plus or minus the computed adjustment.

- B. Overhead Percentage Basis
  - (1) Operator shall charge the Joint Account at the following rates:
    - (a) Development

Percent (%) of the cost of Development of the Joint Property exclusive of costs provided under Paragraph 9 of Section II and all salvage credits.

(b) Operating

Percent (%) of the cost of Operating the Joint Property exclusive of costs provided under Paragraphs 1 and 9 of Section II, all salvage credits, the value of injected substances purchased for secondary recovery and all taxes and assessments which are levied, assessed and paid upon the mineral interest in and to the Joint Property.

### (2) Application of Overhead - Percentage Basis shall be as follows:

For the purpose of determining charges on a percentage basis under Paragraph 1B of this Section III, development shall include all costs in connection with drilling, redrilling, deepening or any remedial operations on any or all wells involving the use of drilling crew and equipment; also, preliminary expenditures necessary in preparation for drilling and expenditures incurred in abandoning when the well is not completed as a producer, and original cost of construction or installation of fixed assets, the expansion of fixed assets and any other project clearly discernible as a fixed asset, except Major Construction as defined in Paragraph 2 of this Section III. All other costs shall be considered as Operating.

2. Overhead - Major Construction

To compensate Operator for overhead costs incurred in the construction and installation of fixed assets, the expansion of fixed assets, and any other project clearly discernible as a fixed asset required for the development and operation of the Joint Property, Operator shall either negotiate a rate prior to the beginning of construction, or shall charge the Joint Account for Overhead based on the following rates for any Major Construction project in excess of \$\_\_\_\_\_\*\_:

- A. \_\_\_\_\_% of total costs if such costs are more than \$\_\_\_\_\_but less than \$\_\_\_\_\_; plus B. \_\_\_\_% of total costs in excess of \$\_\_\_\_\_but less than \$1,000,000; plus
- . \_\_\_\_\_% of total costs in excess of \$1,000,000.

Total cost shall mean the gross cost of any one project. For the purpose of this paragraph, the component parts of a single project shall not be treated separately and the cost of drilling and workover wells shall be excluded. . \* To be negotiated

3. Amendment of Rates

The Overhead rates provided for in this Section III may be amended from time to time only by mutual agreement between the Parties hereto if, in practice, the rates are found to be insufficient or excessive.

#### IV. PRICING OF JOINT ACCOUNT MATERIAL PURCHASES, TRANSFERS AND DISPOSITIONS

Operator is responsible for Joint Account Material and shall make proper and timely charges and credits for all material movements affecting the Joint Property. Operator shall provide all Material for use on the Joint Property; however, at Operator's option, such Material may be supplied by the Non-Operator. Operator shall make timely disposition of idle and/or surplus Material, such disposal being made either through sale to Operator or Non-Operator, division in kind, or sale to outsiders. Operator may purchase, but shall be under no obligation to purchase, interest of Non-Operators in surplus condition A or B Material. The disposal of surplus Controllable Material not purchased by the Operator shall be agreed to by the Partices.

#### 1. Purchases

Material purchased shall be charged at the price paid by Operator after deduction of all discounts received. In case of Material found to be defective or returned to vendor for any other reason, credit shall be passed to the Joint Account when adjustment has been received by the Operator.

2. Transfers and Dispositions

Material furnished to the Joint Property and Material transferred from the Joint Property or disposed of by the Operator, unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties, shall be priced on the following bases exclusive of cash discounts:

A. New Material (Condition A)

- (1) Tubular goods, except line pipe, shall be priced at the current new price in effect on date of movement on a maximum carload or barge load weight basis, regardless of quantity transferred, equalized to the lowest published price f.o.b. railway receiving point or recognized barge terminal nearest the Joint Property where such Material is normally available.
- (2) Line Pipe
  - (a) Movement of less than 30,000 pounds shall be priced at the current new price, in effect at date of movement, as listed by a reliable supply store nearest the Joint Property where such Material is normally available.
  - (b) Movement of 30,000 pounds or more shall be priced under provisions of tubular goods pricing in Paragraph 2A (1) of this Section IV.
- (3) Other Material shall be priced at the current new price, in effect at date of movement, as listed by a reliable supply store or f.o.b. railway receiving point nearest the Joint Property where such Material is normally available.
- B. Good Used Material (Condition B)
  - Material in sound and serviceable condition and suitable for reuse without reconditioning:
  - (1) Material moved to the Joint Property
  - (a) At seventy-five percent (75%) of current new price, as determined by Paragraph 2A of this Section IV.
  - (2) Material moved from the Joint Property
    - (a) At seventy-five percent (75%) of current new price, as determined by Paragraph 2A of this Section IV, if Material was originally charged to the Joint Account as new Material, or

- (b) at sixty-five percent (65%) of current new price, as determined by Paragraph 2A of this Section IV, if Material was originally charged to the Joint Account as good used Material at seventy-five percent (75%) of current new price.
- The cost of reconditioning, if any, shall be absorbed by the transferring property.
- C. Other Used Material (Condition C and D)
  - (1) Condition C

Material which is not in sound and serviceable condition and not suitable for its original function until after reconditioning shall be priced at fifty percent (50%) of current new price as determined by Paragraph 2A of this Section IV. The cost of reconditioning shall be charged to the receiving property, provided Condition C value plus cost of reconditioning does not exceed Condition B value.

(2) Condition D

All other Material, including junk, shall be priced at a value commensurate with its use or at prevailing prices. Material no longer suitable for its original purpose but usable for some other purpose, shall be priced on a basis comparable with that of items normally used for such other purpose. Operator may dispose of Condition D Material under procedures normally utilized by the Operator without prior approval of Non-Operators.

D. Obsolete Material

Material which is serviceable and usable for its original function but condition and/or value of such Material is not equivalent to that which would justify a price as provided above may be specially priced as agreed to by the Parties. Such price should result in the Joint Account being charged with the value of the service rendered by such Material.

- E. Pricing Conditions
  - (1) Loading and unloading costs may be charged to the Joint Account at the rate of fifteen cents (15¢) per hundred weight on all tubular goods movements, in lieu of loading and unloading costs sustained, when actual hauling cost of such tubular goods are equalized under provisions of Paragraph 5 of Section II.
  - (2) Material involving erection costs shall be charged at applicable percentage of the current knocked-down price of new Material.

#### 3. Premiu:n Prices

Whenever Material is not readily obtainable at published or listed prices because of national emergencies, strikes or other unusual causes over which the Operator has no control, the Operator may charge the Joint Account for the required Material at the Operator's actual cost incurred in providing such Material, in making it suitable for use, and in moving it to the Joint Property; provided notice in writing is furnished to Non-Operators of the proposed charge prior to billing Non-Operators for such Material. Each Non-Operator shall have the right, by so electing and notifying Operator within ten days after receiving notice from Operator, to furnish in kind all or part of his share of such Material suitable for use and acceptable to Operator.

#### 4. Warranty of Material Furnished by Operator

Operator does not warrant the Material furnished. In case of defective Material, credit shall not be passed to the Joint Account until adjustment has been received by Operator from the manufacturers or their agents.

#### **V. INVENTORIES**

The Operator shall maintain detailed records of Controllable Material.

#### 1. Periodic Inventories, Notice and Representation

At reasonable intervals, Inventories shall be taken by Operator of the Joint Account Controllable Material. Written notice of intention to take inventory shall be given by Operator at least thirty (30) days before any inventory is to begin so that Non-Operators may be represented when any inventory is taken. Failure of Non-Operators to be represented at an inventory shall bind Non-Operators to accept the inventory taken by Operator.

#### 2. Reconciliation and Adjustment of Inventories

Reconciliation of a physical inventory with the Joint Account shall be made, and a list of overages and shortages shall be furnished to the Non-Operators within six months following the taking of the inventory. Inventory adjustments shall be made by Operator with the Joint Account for overages and shortages, but Operator shall be held accountable only for shortages due to lack of reasonable diligence.

#### 3. Special Inventories

Special Inventories may be taken whenever there is any sale or change of interest in the Joint Property. It shall be the duty of the party selling to notify all other Parties as quickly as possible after the transfer of interest takes place. In such cases, both the seller and the purchaser shall be governed by such inventory.

#### 4. Expense of Conducting Periodic Inventories

The expense of conducting periodic Inventories shall not be charged to the Joint Account unless agreed to by the Parties

#### Docket No. 40-80

Dockets Nos. 42-80 and 43-80 are tentatively set for December 30, 1980 and January 14, 1981. Applications for hearing must be filed at least 22 days in advance of hearing date.

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - DECEMBER 10, 1980

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Richard L. Stamets, Examiner, or Daniel S. Nutter, Alternate Examiner: ALLOWABLE: (1) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for January, 1981, from fiftgen prorated pools in Lea, Eddy, and Chaves Counties, New Mexico. (2) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for January, 1981, from four prorated pools in San Juan, Rio Arriba, and Sandoval Counties. New Mexico. CASE 7095: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion to permit Bill G. Isler, United States Fidelity and Guranty Company, and all other interested parties to appear and show cause why the Spears State Well No. 2 in Unit B of Section 28, Tourship 11 South, Range 27 East, Chaves County, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Divisionapproved plugging program. Application of Read & Stevens, Inc. for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the North Baum Unit Area, comprising 637 acres, more or less, of State lands in Township 13 South, Ranges 32 and 33 East. CASE 7096: CASE 7097: Application of Mesa Petroleum Co. for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the Jackson Unit Area, comprising 2,560 acres, more or less, of State lands in Township 24 South, Range 33 East. CASE 7098: Application of The Wiser Oil Company for a dual completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the dual completion of its McQuatters Well No. 4 located in Unit G of Section 11, Township 21 South, Range 36 East, to produce oil from the Oil Center-Glorieta and Hardy-Drinkard Pools through parallel strings of tubing. (Continued from November 25, 1980, Examiner Hearing) CASE 7077: Application of Threshold Development Company for a dual completion, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the dual completion of its Conoco "10" State Com Well No. 1 located in Unit I of Section 10, Township 19 South, Range 29 East, Turkey Track Field, to produce oil from the Wolfcamp formation and gas from the Atoka formation through parallel strings of tubing. (Continued from November 25, 1980, Examiner Hearing) CASE 7089: Application of Summit Energy, Inc. for a waterflood project, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a waterflood project by the injection of water into the Blincbry formation through its Gulf Bunin Well No. 2 located in Unit C of Section 13, Township 21 South, Range 37 East. CASE 7099: Application of Harvey E. Yates Company for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the Duncan Unit Area, comprising 7,679 acres, more or less, of State, Federal, and fee lands in Townships 13 and 14 South, Range 35 East. Application of Harvey E. Yates Company for downhole commingling, Eddy County, New Mexico. CASE 7100: Applicant, in the above-styled cause, eeeks approval for the downhole commingling of Atoka and Morrow production in the wellbore of its Travis 24 State Com Well No. 1 in Unit H of Section 24, Township 18 South, Range 28 East. Application of Consolidated Oil & Gas, Inc. for downhole commingling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the downhole commingling of Gallup and Basin-Dakota production in the wellbore of its Tribal "C" Well No. 4-E in Unit H of Section 6, CASE 7101: Township 26 North, Range 3 West. Application of Consolidated Oil & Gas, Inc. for approval of infill drilling and an exception to CASE 7102: Application of consolidated off a cas, itc. for approval of infill drifting and an exception to Rule 9(E) of Order No. R-1670-T, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks a finding that the drilling of its Jacquez Well No. 2 to be located in Unit " of Section 2, Township 31 North, Range 13 West, is necessary to effectively and efficiently drain that portion of the proration unit which cannot be so drained by the two existing wells on the unit. Applicant further seeks an exception to Rule 9(E) of Division Order No. R-1670-T to permit calculating the proration unit's allowable on the basis of three Mesaverde wells on the unit.

Page 2 of 4 Examiner Hearing - Wednesday - December 10, 1980

Docket No. 40-80

CASE 7103: Application of E. L. Latham, Jr. for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. Application of E. E. Eathan, St. for computationy pooling, Eddy county, new nexted. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Wolfcamp thru Pennsylvanian formations underlying the N/2 of Section 7, Township 22 South, Range 76 East, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well, and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASE 7104: Application of Conoco Inc. for the amendment of Orders Nos. R-4633 and WFX-462, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the amendment of Division Orders Nos. R-4633 and WFX-462, which authorized the injection of water into applicant's Maljamar Mitchell Waterflood Project in Section 5, Township 17 South, Range 32 East. The amendments sought would include carbon dioxide in the injection authorization for said project.

Application of C and E Operators, Inc. for compulsory pooling, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Mesaverde formation underlying the N/2 of Section 9, Township 30 North, Range 11 West, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of dril-ling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well, and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well. CASE 7105:

CASE 7106: Application of C and E Operators, Inc. for compulsory pooling, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Mesaverde formation underlying the S/2 of Section 8, Township 30 North, Range 11 West, ... be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well, and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASE 7107: Application of C and E Operators, Inc. for compulsory pooling and a non-standard proration unit, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all uniteral interests in the Mesaverde formation underlying a 158.54-acre non-standard gas proration unit comprising the SW/4 of Section 9, Township 30 North, Range 11 West, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well, and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASE 7090: (Continued from November 25, 1980, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Dorchester Exploration, Inc. for directional drilling and an unorthodox gas well location, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to re-enter the old Union Hill Well No. 1, the surface location of which is 2310 feet from the North and West lines of Section 27, Township 12 South, Range 28 East, and to directionally drill in an indeterminate direction from a kick-off point at 7300 feet, bottoming said well at an approximate depth of 8100 feet in the Mississippian formation less then 330 feet away from the surface location. The W/2 of said Section 27 would be dedicated to the well.

CASE 6668:

(Continued from November 25, 1980, Examiner Hearing)

In the matter of Case 6668 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-6139 which order promulgated temporary special rules and regulations for the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, including a provision for 80-acre spacing units. Operators in said pool may appear and show cause why the pool should not be developed on 40-acre spacing units.

CASE 7092: (Continued from November 25, 1980, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Delta Drilling Company for pool extension, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the extension of the South Culebra Bluff-Bone Spring Pool to include all of Sections 2, 11, 13, 14, 23, and 24, Township 23 South, Range 28 East.

CASE 7056: (Continued and Readvertised)

Application of Getty Oil Company for the extension of vertical limits of the Jalmat Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the contraction of the vertical limits of the Langlie-Mattix Pool and the downward extension of the vertical limits of the Jalmat Pool to a depth of 3740 feet, subsurface, under the NW/4 SW/4 of Section 3, Township 24 South, Range 36 East.

Page 3 of 4 Examiner Hearing - Wednesday - December 10, 1980

Docket No. 40-80

CASE 7108: Application of HNG Oil Company for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Wolfcamp and Pennsylvanian formations underlying the S/2 of Section 32, Township 21 South. Range 35 East, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well, and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

- CASE 7109: Application of Alpha Twenty-One Production Company for approval of infill drilling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks a finding that the El Paso Tom Federal Well No. 1 located 330 feet from the North and West lines of Section 33, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, is necessary to effectively and efficiently drain that portion of an existing proration unit in the Langlie-Mattix Pool which cannot be so drained by the existing well(s).
- CASE 7110: Application of Alpha Twenty-One Production Company for approval of infill drilling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks a finding that the El Paso Tom Federal Well No. 3 located 1650 feet from the North and West lines of Section 33, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, is necessary to effectively and efficiently drain that portion of an existing provation unit in the Langlie-Mattix Pool which cannot be so drained by the existing well(s).
- CASE 7111: Application of Alpha Twenty-One Production Company for approval of infill drilling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause; seeks a finding that the El Pano Tom Federal Well No. 2 located 1650 feet from the North line and 330 feet from the West line of Section 33, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, is necessary to effectively and efficiently drain that portion of an existing proration unit in the Langlie-Mattix Pool which cannot be so drained by the existing well(s).
- CASE 7112: Application of Alpha Twenty-One Production Company for approval of infill drilling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks a finding that the Harrison Federal Well No. 3 located 1980 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the West line of Section 27, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, is necessary to effectively and efficiently drain that portion of an existing moreation unit in the Jalmat Cas Pool which cannot be so drained by the existing well(s).
- CASE 7086: (Continued from November 12, 1980, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Blackwood & Nichols Company, Ltd. for designation of a tight formation, San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the designation of the Pictured Cliffs formation underlying portions of Townships 30 and 31 North, Ranges 6, 7, and 8 West, containing 33,500 acres, more or less, as a tight formation pursuant to Section 107 of the Natural Gas Policy Act and 18 CFR Section 271.701-705.

CASE 7087: (Continued from November 12, 1980, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Blackwood 6 Nichols Company, Ltd. for Jesignation of a tight formation, San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the designation of the Fruitland formation underlying portions of Townships 30 and 31 North, Ranges 6, 7, and 8 West, containing 33,500 acres, more or less, as a tight formation pursuant to Section 107 of the Natural Gas Policy Act and 18 CFR Section 271.701-705.

CASE 7046: (Continued and Readvertised)

ĨŃ

諸国は

Application of Cotton Petroleum Corporation for downhole commingling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the downhole commingling of Chacra and Pictured Cliffs production in the wellbores of wells in the South Blanco-Pictured Cliffs Pool located in Sections 1 thru 4, 9 thru 14, and 23 and 24, Township 24 North, Range 4 West.

Page 4 of 4

#### DOCKET: COMMISSION HEARING - THURSDAY - DECEMBER 11, 1980

#### OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION - 9 A.M. - ROOM 205 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

# CASE 7025: (DE NOVO)

Application of Southland Royalty Company for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the W/2 of Section 35, Township 18 South, Range 29 East, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well, and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

Docket No. 41-80

)

Upon application of Southland Royalty Company this case will be heard De Novo pursuant to the provisions of Rule 1220.

#### CASE 7008: (DE NOVO)

Application of Coronado Exploration Corp. for eight compulsory poolings, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the San Andres formation underlying eight 40-acre proration units, being the NE/4 NE/4 of Section 4 and the NW/4 NE/4 of Section 5, both in Township 12 South, Range 28 East, and the NW/4 SE/4 of Section 6, the NE/4 NW/4 of Section 23, the NE/4 SE/4 of Section 28, the SE/4 SE/4 of Section 29, the NE/4 NW/4 of Section 32, and the SE/4 NW/4 of Section 33, all in Township 11 South, Range 28 East, each to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said wells and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the wells, and a charge for risk involved in drilling said wells.

Upon application of Tenneco Oil Company this case will be heard De Novo pursuant to the provisions of Rule 1220.

#### CASE 6965: (DE NOVO)

Application of Supron Energy Corporation for a non-standard gas proration unit, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of a 160-acre non-standard Mesaverde and Dakota gas proration unit comprising the SE/4 of Section 8, Township 25 North, Range 3 West, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon.

Upon application of Curtis J. Little and Beartooth Oil and Gas Company this case will be heard De Novo pursuant to the provisions of Rule 1220.

#### CASE 6896: (DE NOVO)

Application of John E. Schalk for a non-standard gas proration unit and an unorthodox gas well location, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of a 160acre non-standard Blanco Mesaverde gas proration unit comprising the NE/4 of Section 8, Township 25 North, Range 3 West, to be dedicated to his Gulf Well No. 2 to be drilled at an unorthodox location 1925 feet from the North line and 790 feet from the East line of said Section 8.

Upon application of Curtis J. Little and Beartooth Oil and Gas Company this case will be heard De Novo pursuant to the provisions of Rule 1220.

#### CASE 6996: (Continued from November 25, 1980, Examiner Hearing)

Application of John E. Schalk for compulsory pooling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Blanco Mesaverde Pool underlying the NE/4 of Section 8, Township 25 North, Range 3 West, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well, and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

Jason Kellahin W. Thomas Kellahin Karen Aubrey

KELLAHIN and KELLAHIN Attorneys at Law 500 Don Gaspar Avenue Post Office Box 1769 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Telephone 982-4285 Area Code 505

November 18, 1980

Mr. Joe Ramey Oil Conservation Division P.O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Compulsory Pooling and Non-Standard Proration Unit SW/4 Section 9 T3ON, R11W San Juan County New Mexico RE:

Dear Mr. Ramey:

Please set the enclosed application for hearing on December 10, 1980.

# RECEIVED

NOV 2 1 1980

CH Conservation

Case 7107

Very truly yours W. Thomas /Kellahin

WTK:jm Encl. cc: W.P. Carr Tenneco Oil Company Beta Development Company El Paso Natural Gas Company

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND MINERALS OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

# **RECEIVED** NOV 2 1 1980

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF C AND E OPERATORS INC., FOR COMPULSORY POOLING AND FOR APPROVAL OF A NON-STANDARD PRORATION UNIT, SAN JUAN COUNTY NEW MEXICO.

Of Conservation

Case No. 7/07

# <u>A P P L I C A T I O N</u>

COMES NOW C AND E OPERATORS, INC., by and through its attorneys, Kellahin & Kellahin, and pursuant to Section 70-2-17 NMSA-1978, applies to the Oil Conservation Division of New Mexico for a compulsory pooling order pooling all mineral interest in the Mesa Verde formation in the SW/4 of Section 9, T30M, R11W, San Juan County New Mexico and for approval of a non-standard proration unit consisting of 158.54 acres, more or less, and in support thereof would show:

1. Applicant has the rights to develop the Mesa Verde formation in the SW/4 of Section 9, T30N, R11W.

2. Applicant proposes to drill a Mesa Verde test at a standard location and to dedicate a non-standard proration unit consisting of the SW/4 of said section to the well. The unit shall consist of 158.54 acres more or less.

3. That the offset operators are as follows, each of which are notified by copy of this Application:

Tenneco Oil Company, P.O. Box 3249, Englewood, Colorado 80155 Beta Development Company, P.O. Box 1659, Midland, Texas 79701 El Paso Natural Gas Company, P.O. Box 1492, El Paso, Texas 79978 Applicant has sought to obtain the cooperation of 4. all parties.

In order to obtain its just and equitable share of 5

the production underlying the above lands, Applicant needs an order pooling the mineral interest involved. 6.

Those who have not consented to join in the drilling of the well, with their addresses, to the best of applicant's information and belief, are attached as Exhibit "A" hereto and incorporated by reference.

-

WHEREFORE, applicant prays that this application be set for hearing before the Division's duly appointed examiner, and that after notice and hearing as required by law the Commission enter its order pooling all of the mineral interests in the Mesa Verde formation underlying the N/2 of Section 9, Township 30 North, Range 11 West, N.M.P.M. Applicant further prays that it be named operator of the well, and that the order make provision for applicant to recover out of production its costs of drilling the subject well, completing and equipping it, costs of operation, including costs of supervision, and a risk factor in the amount of 200% for the drilling of the well for approval of a nonstandard proration unit and for such other and further relief as may be proper.

> Respectfully submitted, C AND E OPERATORS INC.

By: Kellahin & Kellahin P.O. Box 1769 Santa Fe, New Mexico (505) 982-4285 87501

Attorneys for Applicant

FORCED POOLING FOR NON-STANDARD MESA VERDE UNIT SW/4 SEC. 9-T3ON-R11W, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, CONTAINING 158.54 acres

	NOTICES		
	AC.		Tract
Robert N. Ramsey 412 S. Church Street Aztec, New Mexico 87410	1.03		FR SE/SW 9-30-11
Keith Vandruff Box 421 Aztec, New Mexico 87410	.16		Lot 7, Block 1, Currents Subd.
Earnest & Margaret Maestas Box 493 Aztec, New Mexico 87410	.16		Lot 2, Block 2, Currents Subd.
Hazel C. Bazter P. O. Box 146 Aztec, New Mexico 87410	.32		Löts 3 & 4, Block 2, Currents Subd.
AND	.08		Lot 18, Block 10, Aztec
Floyd & Maruice Rhodes c/o Robert L. Stevenson 301 S. Main Street Aztec, New Mexico 87410			Lots 1 thru 5, Block 10, Aztec
Georgia Fay Anderson 322 S. Mian Street Aztec, New Mexico 87410		and	Lots 10, 11, 12, Block 10, Aztec Frac. SE/SW 9-30-11,
ALSO		and	Lots 13 thru 17 Block 10, Aztec Frac. SE/SW 9-30-11
Eugene Stewart Box 692 Aztec, New Mexico 87410	4		Lots 20-24 Block 20, Aztec
New Mexico State Highway Commission Box 1140 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501	1.78		Fr. SW/4 9-30-11
New Mexico State Highway Commission -Box 1149 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501			SAME LAND
Beta Development Co. P. O. Box 1659 Midland, Texas 79701			Various Oil & Gas Leases
Fred C. and Elsie Webb Route 3, Box 134-A Farmington, New Mexico 87401			

one of these addresses has got to be wrong

STATE OF NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND MINERALS OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF C AND E OPERATORS INC., FOR COMPULSORY POOLING AND FOR APPROVAL OF A NON-STANDARD PRORATION UNIT, SAN JUAN COUNTY NEW MEXICO. KECEIVED NOV 2 1 1980

Case Nc. 2/07

Window Brank Market Columbia

# <u>A P P L I C A T I O N</u>

COMES NOW C AND E OPERATORS, INC., by and through its attorneys, Kellahin & Kellahin, and pursuant to Section 70-2-17 NMSA-1978; applies to the Oil Conservation Division of New Mexico for a compulsory pooling order pooling all mineral interest in the Mesa Verde formation in the SW/4 of Section 9, T30M, R11W, San Juan County New Mexico and for approval of a non-standard proration unit consisting of 158.54 acres, more or less, and in support thereof would show:

1. Applicant has the rights to develop the Mesa Verde formation in the SW/4 of Section 9, T30N, R11W.

2. Applicant proposes to drill a Mesa Verde test at a standard location and to dedicate a non-standard proration unit consisting of the SW/4 of said section to the well. The unit shall consist of 158.54 acres more or less.

3. That the offset operators are as follows, each of which are notified by copy of this Application:

"NUMBER OF

Tenneco Oil Company, P.O. Box 3249, Englewood, Colorado 80155 Beta Development Company, P.O. Box 1659, Midland, Texas 79701 El Paso Natural Gas Company, P.O. Box 1492, El Paso, Texas 79978

4. Applicant has sought to obtain the cooperation of all parties.

5. In order to obtain its just and equitable share of the production underlying the above lands, Applicant needs an order pooling the mineral interest involved.

6. Those who have not consented to join in the drilling of the well, with their addresses, to the best of applicant's information and belief, are attached as Exhibit "A" hereto and incorporated by reference.

WHEREFORE, applicant prays that this application be set for hearing before the Division's duly appointed examiner, and that after notice and hearing as required by law the Commission enter its order pooling all of the mineral interests in the Mesa Verde formation underlying the N/2 of Section 9, Township 30 North, Range 11 West, N.M.P.M. Applicant further prays that it be named operator of the well, and that the order make provision for applicant to recover out of production its costs of drilling the subject well, completing and equipping it, costs of operation, including costs of supervision, and a risk factor in the amount of 200% for the drilling of the well for approval of a nonstandard proration unit and for such other and further relief as may be proper.

Respectfully submitted, C AND E OPERATORS INC.

Bv: Kellahin & Kellahi P.O. Box 1769 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501. (505) 982-4285

Attorneys for Applicant

FORCED POOLING FOR NOR-STANDARD MESA VERDE UNIT 2/1 510, 9-1000-PILE, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW REXICO, CONTAINING 158,54 (100)

10	H	ĆI.S.	

	AC.	Iract
Robert N. Ramsey 412 S. Church Street Aztec, New Mexico 87410	1103	TR SF/SW 9-30-11
Keith Vandruff Box 421 Aztec, New Mexico 87410	1000 - 1000 1000 - 1000 - 1000 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000	Lot 7, Block 1, Currents Subd.
Earnest & Margaret Maestas Box 493 Aztec, New Mexico 87410	.16	but 2, Block 2, Currents Subd.
Hazel C. Bazter P. O. Box 146 Aztec, New Mexico 87410	32	ots 3 & 4, Block 2, Currents Subd.
AND	.08	Lot 13, Block 10, Ažtec
Floyd & Maruice Rhodes c/o Robert L. Stevenson 301 S. Main Street Aztec, New Mexico 87410		Lots 1 thru 5, Block 10, Aztec
Georgia Fay Anderson 322 S. Mian Street Aztec, New Nexico 87410		Lots 10, 11, 12, Block 10, Aztec and Trac. SE/SW 9-30-11,
ALSO		Lots 13 thru 17 Block 10, Aztec and Frac. SE/SW 9-30-11
Eugene Stewart Box 692 Aztec, New Mexico 87410	.4	Lots 20-24 Block 20, Aztec
New Mexico State Highway Commission Box 1140 Santa Fe, New Mcxico 87501	1.28	Fr. SW/4 9-30-11
New Mexico State Highway Commission Box 1149 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501		SAME LAND
Beta Development Co. P. O. Box 1659 Midland, Texas 79701		Varioùs Oil & Gas Leases
 Fred C, and Elsie Webb Route 3, Box 134-A Farmington, New Mexico 87401		

DRAFT

dr/

## STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 7107

Order No. R- 6563

APPLICATION OF C AND E OPERATORS, INC. FOR COMPULSORY POOLING AND A NON-STANDARD PRORATION UNIT, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

## ORDER OF THE DIVISION

BY THE DIVISION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on <u>December 10</u>, 19\_80, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner <u>Richard L. Stamets</u> NOW, on this <u>day of December</u>, 1980, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

NMPM,

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, <u>C</u> and <u>E</u> Operators, Inc.

San Juan County, New

Mexico. (3) that the application in this case is premature in that the applicant has made insufficient effort to secure the agreement of the parties involved. (4) That Case no 7107 schoold be diamissed williout prejudice. 21 25 That Case Ro. 7107 is hereby dismissed without prejudice. Doubt ON Mexico. DONEG