CASE 7126: FRANKS PETROLEUM INC. FOR AN UNORTHODOX GAS WELL LOCATION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

CASÉ NO.

7/26

APPlication, Transcripts, Small Exhibits,

ETC.



Other

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

POST OFFICE BOX 2088 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANYA FE, NEW MEXICO B7501 (505) 827-2434

February 13, 1981

Mr. Thomas Kellahin Kellahin & Kellahin Attorneys at Law	ORDER NO. R-6574			
Post Office Box 1769 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501	Applicant:			
	Franka Petroleum, Inc.			
Dear Sir:				
Enclosed herewith are two co Division order recently ente	pies of the above-referenced ered in the subject case.			
Yours very truly,				
her firmen				
JOE D. RAMEY Director				
JDR/fd				
Copy of order also sent to:				
Hobbs OCD x Artesia OCD x Aztec OCD				

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 7126 Order No. R-6574

APPLICATION OF FRANKS PETROLEUM, INC. FOR AN UNORTHODOX GAS WELL LOCATION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE DIVISION

BY THE DIVISION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on January 14, 1981, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Richard L. Stamets.

NOW, on this loth day of February, 1981, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

- (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That the applicant, Franks Petroleum, Inc., seeks approval of an unorthodox gas well location 1980 feet from the North line and 1315 feet from the West line of Section 3, Township 21 South, Range 32 East, NMPM, to test the Morrow formation, Hat Mess-Morrow Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.
- (3) That Lote 1 through 8 of said Section 3 are to be dedicated to the well.
- (4) That a well at said unorthodox location will better enable applicant to produce the gas underlying the proration unit.
- (5) That no offset operator objected to the proposed unorthodox location.

-2-Case No. 7126 Order No. R-6574

(6) That approval of the subject application will afford the applicant the opportunity to produce its just and equitable share of the gas in the subject pool, will prevent the economic loss caused by the drilling of unnecessary wells, avoid the augmentation of risk arising from the drilling of an excessive number of wells, and will otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

- (1) That an unorthodox gas well location for the Morrow formation is hereby approved for a well to be located at a point 1980 feet from the North line and 1315 feet from the West line of Section 3, Township 21 South, Range 32 East, NMPM, Hat Mesa-Morrow Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.
- (2) That Lots 1 through 8 of said Section 3 shall be dedicated to the above-described well.
- (3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVALION DIVISION

JOE D. RAMEX, Director

SEAL

dr/

CASE

7126

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG. SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 14 January 1981 EXAMINER HEARING IN THE MATTER OF: 7 Application of Franks Petroleum, Inc., for an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico. 10 BEFORE: Richard L. Stamets 12 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 13 14 APPEARANCES 15 16 For the Oil Conservation Ernest L. Padilla, Esq. 17 Division: Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg. 18 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 19 20 For the Applicant: W. Thomas Kellahin, Esq. KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN 21 500 Don Gaspar Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 22 23

MR. STAMETS: We'll call next Case 7126. MR. PADILLA: Application of Franks Petroleum, Inc., for an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico. MR. STAMETS: Mr. Henry, will you stand 7 and be sworn, please? (Witness sworn.) 10 11 MR. KELLAHIN: If the Examiner please, 12 I'd like the record to reflect that I am Tom Kellahin, ap-13 pearing on behalf of the applicant. 14 15 WILLIAM J. HENRY 16 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath, 17 tectified as follows, to-wit: 18 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. KELLAHIN: 21 Mr. Henry, you have two exhibits for the 22 case, do you, sir? 23 Yes, that's right. 24 Mr. Henry, for purposes of the record would you please state your name and occupation?

My name is William J. Henry. I'm a consulting geologist from Midland, Texas.

Have you been employed by the applicant, Q. Franks Petroleum, Inc., as a consulting geologist for purposes of testimony in this case?

Yes, I have.

MR. KELLAHIN: We tender Mr. Henry as an expert geologist.

MR. STAMETS: I presume Mr. Henry has qualified in various and sundry other Division cases, and he is considered qualified.

MR. KELLAHIN: On numerous occasions, Mr. Examiner.

Mr. Henry, would you explain to the Exa-

miner what Franks Petroleum, Inc., is seeking to accomplish? Yes. They have -- have a farmout from Bass Enterprises on the north half of Section 3 in Township 21, 32, in Lea County, New Mexico, and this is presumably to be classed in the Hat Mesa-Morrow Gas Field, and they are requesting to move a standard location approximately 600 feet to the west to clear a seismic disturbed area, to -- they think they'd have a better chance and they'd just like to

move out of that disturbed area because they think it's going

to be low right where this location was or be -- might be in

1 2 a small fault. The agreement was that the ... or my opinion 3 was that the fault was not detrimental to the Morrow, but to the rest, they would like to move it and get it out of this area. Exhibit Number One depicts the north half 7 of this Section 3, does it not? 8 That's right, it does. 9 The Section 3 appears to be an oversized 10 section. 11 There's 960 acres in this section, yes, 12 sir. 13 All right, and this would be the north 14 320 acres of the section? 15 That's right. A. 16 All right. Would you turn to Exhibit 17 Number Two, then, and identify this exhibit? 18 Exhibit Number Two is a structure map 19 on top of the Lower Morrow shale, which I prepared, and I 20 have -- up in Section 3 I have dashed where the proposed --21 or disturbed area crosses through this section, and the pro-22 posed location is moved just to the west of that disturbed 23 'area. 24 A standard location for the Morrow form-25 ation would be 660 feet from the south line of the proration

6 2 unit? 3 Right. And 1980 feet from the west line of the proration unit? Right. Where would that standard location place the well in terms of the seismic fault? It would be right on it. 10 All right. Your proposed location would 11 be standard as to the south line but it would be 660 feet 12 closer to the west line. 13 That's right. A. 14 All right, sir. In your opinion is the 15 proposed unorthodox location the optimum location from which 16 to develop the Morrow formation in this proration unit? 17 Yes, I believe it is, yes, sir. 18 Was this exhibit prepared by you or under 19 your direction and supervision? 20 Yes, it was, yes, sir. 21 And in your opinion, Mr. Henry, will 22 approval of this application be in the best interests of con-23 servation, the prevention of waste, and the protection of 24 correlative rights?

25

I believe it will.

ox 193-B 1 Mexico 87501 5) 455-7409

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87, Phone (505) 455-7409

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREPY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd C.S.E.

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Euch iner hearing of Case No. 7/26

, Examiner

Oil Conservation Division

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG. SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 14 January 1981 EXAMINER HEARING IN THE MATTER OF: Application of Franks Petroleum, CASE Inc., for an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico. 7126 9 10 BEFORE: Richard L. Stamets .11 12 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 13 14 APPEARANCES For the Oil Conservation Ernest L. Padilla, Esq. Division: Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 For the Applicant: W. Thomas Kellahin, Esq. KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN 500 Don Gaspar Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 MR. STAMETS: We'll call next Case 7126. 3 MR. PADILLA: Application of Franks Petroleum, Inc., for an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico. MR. STAMETS: Mr. Henry, will you stand and be sworn, please? (Witness sworn.) 10 11 MR. KELLAHIN: If the Examiner please, 12 I'd like the record to reflect that I am Tom Kellahin ap-13 pearing on behalf of the applicant. 14 15 WILLIAM J. HENRY 16 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath, 17 testified as follows, to-wit: 18 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. KELLAHIN: 21 Mr. Henry, you have two exhibits for the 22 case, do you, sir? 23 Yes, that's right. Mr. Henry, for purposes of the record

24

would you please state your name and occupation?

My name is William J. Henry. I'm a consulting geologist from Midland, Texas.

Pare you been employed by the applicant, Franks Petroleum, Inc., as a consulting geologist for purposes of testimony in this case?

A. Yes, I have.

MR. KELLAHIN: We tender Mr. Henry as an expert geologist.

MR. STAMETS: I presume Mr. Henry has qualified in various and sundry other Division cases, and he is considered qualified.

Mr. Examiner.

Mr. Henry, would you explain to the Examiner what Franks Petroleum, Inc., is seeking to accomplish?

Bass Enterprises on the north half of Section 3 in Township
21, 32, in Lea County, New Mexico, and this is presumably to
be classed in the Hat Mesa-Morrow Gas Field, and they are
requesting to move a standard location approximately 600 feet
to the west to clear a selsmic disturbed area, to — they
think they'd have a better chance and they'd just like to
move out of that disturbed area because they think it's going
to be low right where this location was or be — might be in

-

.

- 1)

a small fault. The agreement was that the -- or my opinion was that the fault was not detrimental to the Morrow, but to the rest, they would like to move it and get it out of this area. Exhibit Number One depicts the north half 7 of this Section 3, does it not? That's right, it does. The Section 3 appears to be an oversized 10 section. 11 There's 960 acres in this section, yes, 12 sir. 13 All right, and this would be the north 14 320 acres of the section? 15 That's right. 16 All right. Would you turn to Exhibit 17 Number Two, then, and identify this exhibit? 18 Exhibit Number Two is a structure map 19 on top of the Lower Morrow shale, which I prepared, and I 20 have -- up in Section 3 I have dashed where the proposed --21 or disturbed area crosses through this section, and the pro-22 posed location is moved just to the west of that disturbed 23 area. 24 A standard location for the Morrow formation would be 660 feet from the south line of the proration

unit? Right. And 1980 feet from the west line of the proration unit? Right. Where would that standard location place the well in terms of the seismic fault? It would be right on it. 10 All right. Your proposed location would 11 be standard as to the south line but it would be 660 feet 12 closer to the west line. 13 That's right. 14 All right, sir. In your opinion is the 15 proposed unorthodox location the optimum location from which 16 to develop the Morrow formation in this proration unit? 17 Yes, I believe it is, yes, sir. 18 Was this exhibit prepared by you or under 19 your direction and supervision? 20 Yes, it was, yes, sir. 21 And in your opinion, Mr. Henry, will 22 approval of this application be in the best interests of con-23 servation, the prevention of waste, and the protection of 24 correlative rights? 25 I believe it will.

MR. KELLAHIN: That concludes our examination of Mr. Henry. MR. SEAMERS: Are there any questions of Mr. Henry? He may be excused. Anything else in this case? The case will be taken under advisement. (Hearing concluded.) 17.

. . .

Page	 ß	

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREPY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sary W. Boyd C.S.Z.

do hereby certify that the foregoing is
a cothe proceedings in a
the Languaging of Case No.
heara by the on

Oil Conservation Division

Languaging of Examiner

SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R.
Rt. 1 Box 193-B
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87301
Phone (303) 455-7409

Santa Fe. Phon

1 W.L.		*	Webastra,	11210	Luita I. I	10164
X	telco Per	Store Store	72003]	<u> </u>	Cleary Pet, Production of Cleary Pet, Production of Cleary Pet, Production of Cleary Pet, McConn Fed, y 5 1727 Phillips 22 MJ Union Fed, y 5 50 Union Production Union Fed, y 5 50 Union Production Phillips 22 MJ Union Fed, y 50 Union Production Phillips 22 MJ Production of Cleary Sedimental Production of Cleary Sedim	0/0335 IA เมื่อใช้เกี่ยว
	1	Corriey Richardson Oil e.	Grace Pet Southwest'n. Resources	Philippe 21	Philips 22 MJ Union Tex Pet, Horvey, Jr.	die innece Prij Sollnion
	0.5	Boss fed	How French 16640	19790 Gulf	13260 Steller	Schrister 151 Kes 1514 (1975)
1. 1	Estmont .	Richardson Al Belco Reheidsa	j'*'' U.S.	13076 U.S.MI. Wola Wellis	Sollmon Par Solland Per 1914 16 Prod Var 111 19 U.S. MI Wola Weller (5)	red rio i Bress
	成としなられなりままり」 かっこうこうこう	The state of the s	10 - 1 - 12 - 1	Guif Phillips	So Union Pred Ve	CS IN IL U.S. 1 17
排修	22 bbis cond	112 Hau		15010 17445	Cleory Pet, Amoco	Cleary Pet (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)
	23 Turate Tel		29	26 3 Mil.	91 HBC	W. Marin
整一般	Specerel	H.B.P. Rick.Olig		5 b , 1 m	27	Shell 26
	Achoray Val	A.R.Co. W.M.J. Belco	' !	I N. Marris Troisur	'Fed'	10 3470 W41-24-60
	elmont tipe	WC Smith(s) State U.S.	U.5	J.H.Marris (Crosset 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 1	A.R.Co	1
	1965 ACSMINE	Person Richardson Oils, et al	92 Union Prod 1/4 Groce Fet 1/4	-Wirec	Pennseil UnionProd.	U.S.
	36 BW Alter	Richards Oil Ithous Texace T	Texocol	Amini Ou	9 11/99 10 30 74	Cleary Pel, On the
		2.7.~ 6→ → ~.5! 110369K1	£:3062	10 14,308	10 3600 100 ,4101, 704	1.2.4 Tita 17237
ījo	(f. 523)	LITTLE EDDY UNI	<i> T</i>	Attiel. Fed.	34	SHII So.Union Prod.ya
310	See 1333 1 13 103	U.S. Later	Steary History 62Ma	R3 3	3E Kimpon Prod	
	ber 10 million	TARK TARK TONE		U.S.	" (P	
(2) (c)	KGS	Tevaro hau 01236	Grace Pel 3/4 R	(8.8 Wolf)	So Un cultrate	Lund were middly mate.
	Gere	2-1.61th 2-1.61th	- H CW C +	(C.D. 17013) 11 17470 10470 +	AminiOi1 7 1 73 2315	Gury 127555
	GOID (GUID)	04229 04229A	TERMIN MISMIN	O. Wel Meso	AminiOu elos HBP	14001
	Was A - 6 New Men Fed	1. S. S. M. J.		Grace Pet (Str Ok)	1) The Relimes of	
	Groce Per M	Groce Pet 34 (Se Union, 14)	7 1 A 1	1	41	** 1
源此	**************************************	(479)	F.TMIL	Mared Mes Chear be sell	MesoPelOR" Amin Oil 1 73/33 Sa Union Prodik	Phillips Shring
in.	un at	小规则不会会。	8499	Superior Skelly	- Livocolica Ososche	Kimbali Prod Caleuri Har
	U.S. MI Belle Allied, S. U.S.		N.M. Fed."	23460 [1-1-83	06 23215	(Phillips, D/R)
1	THE STATE OF THE S		u.s.	Uls *	Hot Meso" A	Frd. One
	Fluor Mins	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Union	Wilmil. Superior	0	(Miles (100)
			1413	Boss Ent. 0362177	0553701 0257232 HBC	Kimboli Ce etal 185 Kimboli 4111
		8	g Hillmay.	10470 * Gory*	₩errow Ø 25 kin. Hol Meso Unii'	Kimboll Ce.etal M. Kimball 1978. Itara etal 1979. Belco to 18,400 1988
To M				KBP OZOTZ 94		
	U.S.	v.s.	์ ชา ชา เครา เครา เครา เหล	Not Marn's Culbertion &	0257272 0553706	Gactie 10 15 H 9523766
7 TOS	Fluer Mins	Fluor Mins.	<u> </u>			Crelle Hurt
[国民共和共和国经济部 上	Plyor Mins,	$t = \zeta$	8.8.Walf	Moralo, inc. (L.A. Hodges,	Brunson (McKnight) 44
1				1 123	861241 14155	A. Hodges
	. 71 18 T	Total cla.	16	15	Neknight 14Mil Al	Parade State
	**	10 441			A. A. Mins, Inc. KMarala Marala	13 Value of 112-3 at
2100	u.s.	v.s.		32	So Union Prostal So Union Produ	X. M. M 1
Uni	Getty	Gulf Inexco	Shore	U.S. Leisan	So Union Prost to So Union Prost to Aminioti Hagman Oil Hagman Oil So Union Prost to Hagman Oil So Live Bell	Anim of Sa Union Prod 4 HBP 0103306
2 Paris	(Getty 4 7 63 1433)	4 1 89 10 1 97 31978	Shelly Sa Sally Cokethyr Getty T014850 2 1 83 UM2-11-75 14330	7· 1· 6	Shirtin.	in the second second second
	Stole, S		UM2-16-75 14-330	14310	Phillips 18 1431	Phillips 11
L Dane	Inexco	Getty	31		25/8	######################################
		(45)	Getty 163	Getty 7- 1- 65	Guif	24
14	v.s.	U.S.		**	4 F 77 055eacs	Gulf 4 1-77 0sseep
nn.	la l	iottu	U.S.	Ú.S.	U.\$?	v.
HELL	Gelty To	nexco (1433)	1 Getty 1-1-03 10630	Getty 7- 1- 63 22610	C'ties Service	Union
		11255	Getty	55910	Ties Service	12-1-61
SIMM	h:		28		13	

	Stone	Uchastraj 1 9 1 64 1 7 2 6 0 5 1	11249	103467	. KG3 Kg U
Helco Pet.	Bossered A Boss	Groce Pet Southwestin	Philips 21	AGIT'SS-A Cleary Pet Rhades Weld 1 1 1 1 1 MacConn-Fed. 1 5 1137 McConn-Fed. 1 5 1137 McConn-Fed. 2 2 MJ	0/0335 14 4 70 773
05	Bolls feet	Holy [net 16640	13200 . 6 16	1,31161	i 1
—— 其名字 【 刘家汉·安郑公, " , " 《 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(Richardsands Belco Rehards	Belce Pet. Southwest'n.	Vola Wells(S)	Solbion Per John Miles	(3) (4 8 6 7)
	THE ALL STATES OF THE STATES O	10. 1. 01 Resources 10.1.01 (Rescources 10.1.01 (Resources 10.1.01 (Re	15030 13443	Cleary Pet. Amoco	Sol Union Fred. 94 Cleary Pet.
25	U.S. 1991	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	6715 3125	17737 10601 YENBS	12117 12117 12117 12117
Second Second	Free Pick Oits		58 ji xir	27 . Sile.	Shell 26
U.S. Rehard V. Scottists W.C. Smith	A.A. Co. Ye M. J. Boss Fed. Bys Fed. By	V.5	1 N. Morris T(rosbu	r Fed' [ARC	TO 1470 0 1470 0 41:24-60
Least 1000	Had Richardson Oils, et al	So. Union Prod 1/4 Grace Fet. 74	-421ec 20078	U.S. (19)	₩ U.S.
And the second	Alengrasen Oil Minete Taxacc	Texeco	9778478 Amini 011 13 14,300	6278446 Kimboli Prod William	Cleory Pet. OX 12 C.
5.731	LITTLE EDDY U	4.90631	33		tre or yest. Oxivities of the state of the s
7(5) 714(0) 1/811	Texasa I	Stronger.	ATTICL Fed.		Safile 35
5m (13/3 1 13/3 1 13/4	10.5. Light Sing 141 Tenaco	Sione Sione	_{u.s.} R3		
	01236	Grace Pet 34 (50. Ilnion, 14)	(BRWAID)	So Union Prosta	min min make
NEW ALTERNATION OF	7-1. G(1) 2-1. G(1) 04229 042294	SILW #	10,470	3537	Guir The State of
Mary 13 Barre	Fed Se Ma		Grace Per 3/5/10 M	1014,5084	
Groce So. Uni	Grace Pet (so Union (sa)	7 A A	State of the state	/d=	1108 74
HAMME		57Mil.	State The Chief Mark	Pubcos fee 0102116	Phillips William HAP William Constant C
U.S. MI Belle Alfred, S U.S.		N.M. Fed	1 FX00/R1 5kell	Phillips	Kimboll Prod Saleting
Ubt.	U.S.	U.3,	Uls *	Hat Mesa **	(Phillips O/R) Kimball Prod Cytheffen Fed. Cite 11 30 1944 Cytheffen Cyth
Transfer Science	14329	Union 1923 5 1 41 0 143 5	Superior Soss Ent. 0167177 (B.B. Wolf)	Phillips Phillips	(Miles [Alberta] Kimball Ce. etalys. Kimball +11/2
	8	g Vaiffwey.	10470 # Gory.	0553706 0257212 HBC 1Merrow 80 015.	Kimball Cectal) S. Kimbal 1827 St. 1227
Tina 1			Sa Union Produ	Phillips Phillips	
U.S.	v.s.	นักเอก 3-1-87 16351	"Hot Meso" U.S. Vinchords	0252272	Goglie 10 15H OSENTOL
Fluor Mina	Fluor Mins.		B.B.Wolf	"Hot Meso To UIS. +	Gachie 1334 Server Dall 19-61 U.S. 18231
14	100		10470 Job Union Prod & Amini Oil 7 1 - 73 2515 HBP	Marolo, Inc. (L.A. Hodgis, 4. 1. 74(3)	Brunson (McKnight 144). L.A. Hodges
7 18	total tip. 17	16	15	Brunson B McKnight 14Mil H to 15821' Mat Meso"	
Tuna 1 Co	10 4491	31	20	A.A. Mins, Inc. Marolo Marolo	13 Janon
U.S.	U.S.	Stete	0's leagn	So Union Prod is 50 Union Prod is 60 Union Prod is 50 Union Prod is 50 Union Prod is 60 Uni	Sa Ungo Prod u
71.5 m	Gulf Inexco	Skely Sa Salt Coler that Getty 1014350 2 - 1 33 002:18:78 14330	Getig	SSIS HOP U.S. Kelig Bell	OID H
Tung - d 19	77777770	UM2:16-78 14330	4410 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Phillips 's ha	Printing 5 ()
11175	Getty ,	Qetty 7		75:8	
U.	U.S.	18630	18630	Gulf 4-1-77 0550468	Guis 4. 1-21
Inexco	Gatty	V.S,	y.s.	v.s.	U.S.
Gerry (43)	(433) Gerty (433) (433) (7) (433) (2) (433)	10630	Getty 7: 1: 83 22810	Cities Service	Union
Tunn 1 30	1.77.7-29	Getty (1)10 28)"30 "	14 001
	The State Control of Section	en e	ad Diversity of the same of the	and the second of the second	

KELLAHIN and KELLAHIN Attorneys at Law 500 Don Gaspar Avenue Post Office Box 1769

Jason Kellahin W. Thomas Kellahin Karen Aubrey

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Telephone 982-4285 Area Code 505

December 22, 1980

Mr. Joe Ramey Oil Conservation Division P.O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Franks Petroleum Inc.

Dear Joe:

Case 7/26

OIL CONSTRUCTION DIVISION SANTA FE

Plase set the enclosed Application for the next examiner hearing scheduled for January 14, 1981.

Very truly yours,

WTK: jm Encl.

Bill Henry Ed White

STATE OF NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND MINERALS OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF FRANKS PETROLEUM, INC., FOR AN UNORTHODOX WELL LOCATION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Case 7/26

$\underline{A} \ \underline{P} \ \underline{P} \ \underline{L} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{C} \ \underline{A} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{O} \ \underline{N}$

COMES NOW FRANKS PETROLEUM INC., and applies to the Oil Conservation Division of New Mexico for approval of an unorthodox well location adjacent to the Hat Mesa-Morrow Gas Pool, at a location 1980 feet from the North line and 13/5 1320 feet from the West line of Section 3, T21S, R32E, N.M.P.M., for a Pennsylvanian test to which the N/2 of said Section will be dedicated, and in support thereof would show:

- 1. Applicant is the owner of the right to drill and develop the Morrow formation in the area involved in this application.
- 2. Applicant proposes to drill a well to test the Morrow formation at an unorthodox well location 1980 feet from the North line and 1320 feet from the West line of said Section 3, Lea County, New Mexico.
- 3. A well located as proposed will recover gas that would not otherwise be recovered, is in the best interests of conservation, the prevention of waste and the protection of correlative rights.

WHEREFORE, applicant requests that this application be set for an examiner hearing and that after notice and hearing the application be granted as requested.

FRANKS PETROLEUM INC.

KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN

W. Thomas Kellahih P.O. Box 1769 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 (505) 982-4285

ATTORNEYS FOR FRANKS PETROLEUM, INC.

DRAFT

dr/

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

	CASE NO. 7126
	ORDER NO. R- 6574
APPLICATION OF FRANKS PETRO	
FOR AN UNORTHODOX GAS WELL I	LOCATION,
LEA COUNTY, 1	NEW MEXICO.
ORDER OF	THE DIVISION
BY THE DIVISION:	
This cause came on for	hearing at 9 a.m. on January 14
19 81 , at Santa Fe, New Mex	cico, before Examiner Richard L. Stamet
NOW, on thisday	of January , 1981 , the Division
Director, having considered	the testimony, the record, and the
recommendations of the Exami	ner, and being fully advised in the
premises,	
FINDS:	
(1) That due public no	tice having been given as required by
	iction of this cause and the subject
natter thereof.	
(2) That the applicant	Franks Petroleum, Inc.
	dox gas well location 1980
eet from the North li	ne and 1315 feet from the
	ne and 1315 feet from the Township 21 South
West line of Section 3	Township 21 South
West line of Section 3	, Township 21 South M, to test the Morrow
West line of Section 3 Range 32 East , NMPP Formation, Hat Mesa-Morrow G	Township 21 South M, to test the Morrow Sas , Pool, Lea
West line of Section 3 Range 32 East , NMPP Formation, Hat Mesa-Morrow G	Township 21 South M, to test the Morrow Sas , Pool, Lea
West line of Section 3 Range 32 East , NMPI Formation, Hat Mesa-Morrow G County, New Mexico.	, Township 21 South M, to test the Morrow
West line of Section Range 32 East , NMP Formation, Hat Mesa-Morrow 6 County, New Mexico. (3) That the N/2- County and the N/2- County are the N/2- County and the N/2- County are the	Township 21 South M, to test the Morrow Sas Pool, Lea of said Section 3 ds to be
West line of Section Range 32 East , NMPI Formation, Hat Mesa-Morrow 6 County, New Mexico. (3) That the N/2- Redicated to the well. (4) That a well at said	Township 21 South M, to test the Morrow Sas , Pool, Lea

9

location.

-2-			
Case	No.		
Order	No	. R-	

(6) That approval of the subject application will afford the applicant the opportunity to produce its just and equitable share of the gas in the subject pool, will prevent the economic loss caused by the drilling of unnecessary wells, avoid the augmentation of risk arising from the drilling of an excessive number of wells, and will otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That an unorthodox gas well location for the Morrow
formation is hereby approved for a well to be located at a point 1980
feet from the North line and 1315 feet from the West
line of Section 3, Township 21 South, Range 32 East
NMPM, Hat Mesa-Morrow Gas Pool Pool, Lea County,
New Mexico. Lots 1 through &
New Mexico. Lots 1 through 2 (2) That the N/2 of said Section 3 shall be dedicated to

- the above-described well.
- (3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

- CASE 7125: Application of Western Oil Producers Inc. for the amendment of Order No. R-5399, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the amendment of Division Order No. R-5399 to include production from all of the Pennsylvanian formations in its Amoco State Well No. 1 at an unorthodox location in Unit M of Section 28, Township 16 South, Range 33 East.
- CASE 7126: Application of Franks Petroleum, Inc. for an unerthodox gas well location, Lea County,
 New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for an unorthodox location
 1980 feet from the North line and 1315 feet from the West line, Section 3, Township 21 South,
 Range 32 East, Hat Mesa-Morrow Gas Pool, the N/2 of said Section 3 to be dedicated to the well.
- CASE 7127: Application of Ellwade Corporation for amendment of Order No. R-6399, Eddy County, New Mexico.

 Applicant, in the above styled cause, seeks the amendment of Order No. R-6399 which approved a 129.52-acre non-standard gas proration unit comprising the W/2 of Section 33, Township 26 South, Range 30 East, for the Wolfcamp formation in the Ross Draw Area. Applicant seeks to have said order also apply to all formations of Pennsylvanian age.
- CASE 6670: (Reopened and Readvertised)

In the matter of Case 6670 being reopened and pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-6183 which order promulgated temporary special rules and regulations for the Red Hills-Devonian Gas Pool in Lea County, New Mexico, including a provision for 640-acre spacing units. Operators in said pool may appear and show cause why the pool should not be developed on 320-acre spacing units.

- Application of HNG Oil Company for pool creation, special pool rules, assignment of a discovery allowable, and dual completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks creation of a new Wolfcamp oil pool for its San Simon 6 State Comm. Well No. 1 located 1980 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the East line of Section 6, Township 22 South, Range 35 East, with special rules therefor, including provisions for 160-acre spacing. Applicant further seeks a discovery allowable for said well and approval for its dual completion to produce oil from the Wolfcamp and gas from an undesignated Morrow pool thru parallel strings of tubing.
- CASE 7129: Application of Koch Exploration Company for compulsory pooling, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Dakota formation underlying the N/2 of Section 28, Township 28 North, Range 8 West, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well, and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.
- CASE 7130: Application of Read & Stevens, Inc. for an unorthodox gas well location and two non-standard gas proration units, Chaves County, New Nexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of two 160-acre non-standard proration units in the Buffalo Valley-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, the first being the SE/4 of Section 12, Township 15 South, Range 27 East, to be dedicated to its Trobough "A" State Com. Well No. 1 in Unit J, and the other being the NE/4 of said Section 12 to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at an unorthodox location 1315 feet from the North and East lines of the section.
- CASE 7131: Application of Read & Stevens, Inc. for an unorthodox gas well location and two non-standard gas proration units, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of two 160-acre non-standard proration units in the Buffalo Valley-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, the first being the SE/4 of Section 1, Township 15 South, Range 27 East, to be dedicated to its Trobough Com. Well No. 1 in Unit J, and the other being the NE/4 of said Section 1 to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at an unorthodox location 1315 feet from the North and East lines of the section.
- CASE 7132: Application of Read & Stevens, Inc. for an unorthodox gas well location and two non-standard gas proration units, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of two 160-acre non-standard proration units in the Buffalo Valley-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, the first being the SE/4 of Section 13, Township 15 South, Range 27 East, to be dedicated to its Rose Well No. I located in Unit J, and the other being the SW/4 of said Section 13 to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at an unorthodox location 1315 feet from the South and West lines of the section.