ھ ،

CASE 7207: MOBIL PRODUCING INC. FOR LEASE COMMINGLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

a single

CASE NO.

1207

APPlication, Transcripts, Small Exhibits,

ETC.

	Paga1	
STATE OF NEW MEXICO		
ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT		
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION		
STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG.		
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO		
	March 1981	
23 1	March 1901	
EXAM.	INER HEARING	

)	
IN THE MATTER OF:)	
)	
Application of Mob	il Producing, Inc.,)	
	ing, Lea County,) CASE	
New Mexico.) 7207	
New Mexico.)	
BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter		
TRANSCRI	PT OF HEARING	
3		
APP 5	ARANCES	
For the Oil Conservation		
	Ernest L. Padilla, Esg.	
Division:	Ernest L. Padilla, Esq. Legal Counsel to the Division	
	Legal Counsel to the Division	
	Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg.	
	Legal Counsel to the Division	
	Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg.	
	Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg.	
Division:	Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501	
	Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg.	
Division:	Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501	
Division:	Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 37501 James E. Sperling, Esq. MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL,	
Division:	Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 James E. Sperling, Esq. MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL, HARRIS & SISK	
Division:	Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 James E. Sperling, Esq. MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL, HARRIS & SISK P. O. Box 2618	
Division:	Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 37501 James E. Sperling, Esq. MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL, HARRIS & SISK P. O. Box 2618	
Division:	Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 James E. Sperling, Esq. MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL, HARRIS & SISK P. O. Box 2618	
Division:	Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 James E. Sperling, Esq. MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL, HARRIS & SISK P. O. Box 2618	
Division:	Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 37501 James E. Sperling, Esq. MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL, HARRIS & SISK P. O. Box 2618	
Division:	Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 37501 James E. Sperling, Esq. MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL, HARRIS & SISK	

Í.

1		2
2		
3	INDEX	
4		
5	TOMMY BURNETT	
6	Direct Examination by Mr. Sperling	3
7	Cross Examination by Mr. Nutter	9
8		
9		
10		
11		
12	EXHIBITS	
13		
14	Applicant Exhibit One, Plat	5
15	Applicant Exhibit Two, Plat	6
16	Applicant Exhibit Three, Plat	6
17	Applicant Exhibit Four,	7
18	Applicant Exhibit Five, Letter	7
19	Applicant Exhibit Six, Letter	8
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

.

1	3	
2	MR. NUTTER: We'll call next Case Number	
3	7207.	
4	MR. PADILLA: Application of Mobil	
5	Producing, Inc., for lease commingling, Lea County, New Mexico	
6	MR. SPERLING: James E. Sperling, Modrall	
7	Sperling, Roehl, Harris & Sisk, Albuquerque, appearing for	
8	the applicant, and we have one witness.	
9		
10	(Witness sworn.)	
11		
12	TOMMY BURNETT	
13	being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath,	
14	testified as follows, to-wit:	
15		
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
17	BY MR. SPERLING:	
. 18	Q. Would you please state your full name.	
19	your place of residence, the name of your employer, and the	
20	capacity in which you're employed?	
21	A. My name is Tommy Burnett. I'm employed	
22	by Mobil Producing Texas and New Mexico, in Hobbs, New Mexico,	
23	and have been for the last seven months as an operations	
24	engineer.	
25	Q. Have you on any previous occasion	

•

арана 18 жы

en en general de la companya de la c

1 4 2 testified before the Division so that your qualifications are 3 a matter of record? 4 No, sir. A. 5 Would you please for the record state Q. 6 your background experience, workwise as well as formal educa-7 tion? 8 A. Prior to graduation from the University 9 of Tulsa I worked three months as an assistant engineer for 10 Natural Gas Pipeline Company. 11 And since graduating with a BS degree 12 in petroleum engineering from the University of Tulsa in 1980 13 I've been employed by Mobil Producing Texas and New Mexico 14 in Hobbs, concerned primarily with -- with oil production in 15 New Mexico. 16 Q. Are you familiar with the application 17 which has been filed on Mobil's behalf in this matter? 18 Δ Yes. 19 And the area which is the subject of Q. 20 the application? 21 A. Yes. 22 MR. SPERLING: Mr. Examiner, are the 23 witness' qualifications acceptable? 24 MR. NUTTER: Mr. Burnett is qualified. 25 MR. SPERLING: Thank you.

5 1 2 Q. You've stated that you're familiar with 3 Mobil's application. What is -- what does Mobil seek by the 4 application? 5 Mobil seeks to commingle the production Α. 6 from the State J and State II leases in the Vacuum Grayburg 7 San Andres Pool to eliminate some of the -- the required 8 renovation or replacement of surface facilities. 9 Would you please refer to what's been Q. 10 marked as Exhibit One in this matter and describe the purpose 11 of that exhibit and what it portrays? 12 A. This exhibit shows the leases in question 13 and the wells on each lease. 14 Q. Are all of the wells on these two leases 15 that you've identified, that is the "J" and the "II", State 16 leases producing from a common reservoir? 17 A. Yes, sir. 18 Q, Now you mentioned that the surface facil-19 ities might need replacement if this application were not 20 granted. Do I take it from that that the principal motivation 21 with respect to the application is economics? 22 A. Yes, sir. 23 Can you tell us what the present current Q. 24 daily production is from the two leases, respectively? 25 A. From the State J lease there are four

6
producers and they produce approximately 52 barrels of oil
per day.
Q. In combination?
A. In combination.
And from the State II lease there's one
well and it produces approximately 9 barrels of oil per day.
And the surface equipment that that
needs to be replaced serves the State II lease.
Q. In that connection would you please refer
to what's been marked as Exhibit Two and explain what that
shows?
A. The Exhibit Two is a plat of the
existing State J and State II tank battery and surface faci-
lity.
Q. And what about Exhibit Three?
A. Exhibit Three is is a plat of the
proposed State J and II battery.
Q. Can you explain in what respects they
the current battery installation and the proposed battery
installation vary or differ?
A. Currently the we have two heater-
treaters servicing each of the two leases. The proposed
battery would transform the State II treater into a test
treater, and then would eliminate the small test treater that

►.

-

1

.

2 is shown on the existing plat.

1

16

17

18

19

3 Q. Would you now refer to what's been marked as Exhibit Four and explain what that is and what its purpose is?

A. Exhibit Four is a letter to Shell Oil
Company, who owns 1/8th overriding royalty interest on the
State II lease, requesting that --- that Mobil Producing Texas
and New Mexico be allowed to commingle the leases and account
for production on a quarterly well test basis, and as can be
seen, it indicates in the end that Shell Oil Corporation
agrees with this.

13 Q. Is there common royalty and working in-14 terest ownership under the two leases except for the override 15 that you've testified about?

A. Yes, the State has the royalty interest.
 Q. And Mobil has the working interest in total?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Okay. Would you now refer to Exhibit
 Five and identify that exhibit and explain its purpose?
 A. This is a letter concerning the appli cation for exception to NMOCD Rule 309A to permit commingling.
 It is approval for our request from
 the Commissioner of Public Lands of the State of New Mexico.

ا مع

8 1 2 And it indicates approval is given, Q. 3 subject to approval from the Oil Conservation Division, is 4 that right? 5 Yes, sir. Α. 6 All right. Would you identify Exhibit Q. 7 Six? 8 Exhibit Six is a letter from the crude A. 9 oil purchaser, Mobil Oil Corporation, giving their approval 10 with the proposed commingling and stating that the commercial 11 value of the oil will not appreciably change. 12 Were Exhibits One through Three prepared Q. 13 by you or under your supervision? 14 A. Yes, sir. 15 In your opinion, Mr. Burnett, would Q. 16 the granting of the application be in the best interest of 17 conservation, protect correlative rights, and prevent waste? 18 Yes. A. 19 MR. SPERLING: I'll offer Exhibits One 20 through Six at this time. 21 MR. NUTTER: Mobil Exhibits One through 22 Six will be admitted in evidence. 23 MR. SPERLING: That's all I have on 24 direct. 25

.

2 3 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. NUTTER: 4 Mr. Burnett, in looking at your Exhibits 5 Q. Two and Three, now up here in the upper lefthand corner on 6 7 Exhibit Two, this gismo is labeled as the State J treater. 8 It's not labeled on Exhibit Three, but that would be the same 9 treater, then? Yes, sir, it would. 10 A. And then the --- what is presently the 11 Q. State II treater would become your test treater. 12 13 A. Correct. And you would eliminate the test 14 Q. 15 separator that's in the lower lefthand part of the installa-16 tion on Exhibit Two. 17 Yes, sir. A. 18 And so when you wanted to test the wells 0. 19 on the State J lease, you're going to have to shut in the 20 State II, aren't you? 21 No, sir. The State II flow line will A, 22 be connected to the current State J header and each well can 23 be tested separately from the other. So you'd be able to continue to produce 24 Q. 25 four wells at any given time and test one.

9

۸.	
	Correct.
Ō	And all five would be on production.
А.	Yes, sir.
Q.	Okay.
	MR. NUTTER: Are there any other questions
of the witness? He ma	ay be excused.
	Do you have anything further, Mr.
Sperling?	
	MR. SPERLING: No, sir. Thank you.
	MR, NUTTER: Does anyone have anything
they wish to offer in Case Number 7207?	
	We'll take the case under advisement.
	(Hearing concluded.)
· · ·	
1	Sperling?

Ţ

Page _____11

CERTIFICATE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I

SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R. kt. 1 Box 193-3 Sauta Fe, New Mexico 87301 Phome (503) 455-7409 I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREPY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Cally W. Boyd CSR.

he forecoing is en contra that th 1 do her Ø 1:0 Examine he: 04

1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO	
	ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT	
2	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG.	
3	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO	
•	25 March 1981	
4		
5	EXAMINER HEARING	
6		
7	IN THE MATTER OF:	
	Application of Mobil Producing, Inc.,)	
8	for lease commingling, Lea County,) CASE	
ê	New Mexico.) 7207	
••		
10	BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter	
11		
12		
	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING	
13		
14		
	APPEARANCES	
15	· ·	
1 6		
-	For the Oil Conservation Ernest L. Padilla, Esq.	
17	Division: Legal Counsel to the Division	
18	State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501	
19		
13		
20	For the Applicant: James E. Sperling, Esq.	
21	MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL,	
	HARRIS & SISK P. O. Box 2619	
22	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87203	
a		
24		
4	1	

25

(). |

1		2
2		
3	INDEX	
4		
5	TOMMY BURNETT	
Ó	Direct Examination by Mr. Sperling	3
7	Cross Examination by Mr. Nutter	9
8		
9		
10		
11		
12	FXHIBITS	
13		
14	Applicant Ethibit One Plat	5
15	Applicant Exhibit Two, Plat	6
16	Applicant Exhibit Three, Plat	6
17	Applicant Exhibit Four,	7
18	Applicant Exhibit Five, Letter	7
19	Applicant Exhibit Six. Letter	8
20		
21		
22		-
<u>23</u>		
24		
25		

.

1	3	
2	MR. NUTFUR: We'll call next Case Number	
3	7207.	
4	MR. PADILLA: Application of Mobil	
5	Producing. Inc., for lease commingling, Lea County, New Mexico	
6	MR. SPERLING: James E. Sperling: Modrall	
7	Sperling, Rochl, Harris & Sisk. Albuguerque appearing for	
8	the applicant, and we have one witness.	
9		
10	(Witness svorn.)	
11		
12	TOMMY BURNETT	
13	being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath.	
14	testified as follows, to-wit:	
15		
16	DIRECT FXAMINATION	
17	BY MR. SPERLING:	
18	Q. Yould you please state your full name.	
19	your place of residence, the name of your employer, and the	
20	capacity in which you're employed?	
21	A. My name is Tommy Burnett. I'm employed	
22	by Mobil Producing Texas and New Mexico in Hobbs New Mexico,	
23	and have been for the last seven months as an operations	
24	engineer.	
25	Q. Have you on any previous occasion	

1 2 testified before the Division so that your gualifications are 3 a matter of record? 4 λ. No, sir. 5 <u>(</u>). Nould you please for the record state 6 your background experience, workwise as well as formal educa-7 tion? 8 Prior to graduation from the University <u>5</u>. 9 of Tulsa I worked three months as an assistant engineer for 10 Natural Cas Pipeline Company. 11 And since graduating with a BS degree 12 in petroleum engineering from the University of Tulsa in 1980 13 I've been employed by Mobil Producing Texas and New Mexico 14 in Hobbs, concerned primarily with -- with oil production in 15 New Mexico. 16 . Q. Are you familiar with the application 17 which has been filed on Mobil's behalf in this matter? 18 Ā, Yes. 19 Û. And the area which is the subject of 20 the application? 21 A. Yes. 22 MR. SPERLING: Mr. Examiner, are the 23 witness' qualifications acceptable? 24 MR. NUTTER Mr. Burnett is qualified. 25 MR. SPERLING: Thank you.

5 1 2 (\mathbf{i}) You've stated that you're familiar with 3 Mobil's application. What is -- what does Mobil seek by the 4 application? 5 ā. Nobil peeks to commingle the production 6 from the State J and State II leases in the Vacuum Grayburg 7 San Andres Pool to eliminate some of the --- the required 8 renovation or replacement of surface facilities. 0 Would you please refer to what's been 9 10 marked as Exhibit One in this matter and describe the purpose 11 of that exhibit and what it portrays? 12 ħ, This exhibit shows the leases in question 13 and the wells on each lease. 14 Are all of the wells on these two leases Q. 15 that you've identified, that is the "J" and the "II", State 16 leases producing from a common reservoir? 17 A. Yes, sir. 18 Now you mentioned that the surface facil 0. 19 ities might need replacement if this application were not 20 granted. Do I take it from that that the principal motivation 21 with respect to the application is economics? 22 A. Yes, sir. Ž3 Can you tell us what the present current Q. 24 daily production is from the two leases. respectively? 25 From the State J lease there are four A.

1	6
2	producers and they produce approximately 52 barrels of oil
3	per day.
4	
	Q In combination?
5	h In combination.
6	And from the State II lease there's one
7	well and it produces approximately 9 barrels of oil per day.
8	And the surface equipment that that
9	needs to be replaced serves the State II lease.
10	0. In that connection would you please refer
11	to what's been marked as Exhibit Two and explain what that
12	shows?
13	A. The Exhibit Two is a plat of the
14	existing State J and State II tank battery and surface faci-
15	lity.
16	9. And what about Exhibit Three?
17	A. Exhibit Three is is a plat of the
18	proposed State J and TJ battery.
19	Q Can you explain in what respects they
20	the current battery installation and the proposed battery
21	installation vary or differ?
22	A. Currently the we have two heater
23	treaters servicing each of the two leases. The proposed
24	battery would transform the State II treater into a test
25	treater, and then would eliminate the small test treater that
1	

- ŧ

2 is shown on the existing plat.

3 Q. Mould you now refer to what's been 4 marked as Exhibit Four and explain what that is and what its 5 purpose is?

7

6 A. Exhibit Four is a letter to Shell Oil 7 Company, who owns 1/8th overriding royalty interest on the 8 State II lease, requesting that - that Mobil Producing Texas 9 and New Mexico be allowed to commingle the leases and account 10 for production on a quarterly well test basis, and as can be 11 seen, it indicates in the end that Shell Oil Corporation 12 agrees with this.

13 Q. Is there common royalty and working in-14 terest ownership under the two leases except for the override 15 that you've testified about?

Α. Yes, the State has the royalty interest. And Mobil has the working interest in Q. total?

> Yes, sir. A.

Okay. Would you now refer to Exhibit Q Five and identify that exhibit and explain its purpose? This is a letter concerning the appli-Α. cation for exception to NMOCD Rule 309A to permit commingling. 24 It is approval for our request from the Commissioner of Public Lands of the State of New Mexico.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

9 1 2 Ç. And it indicates approval is given, 3 subject to approval from the Oil Conservation Division is 4 that right? 5 Yes, sir. Δ. 6 All right. Would you identify Exhibit Q 7 Six? 8 Exhibit Six is a letter from the crude Α. 9 oil purchaser. Mobil Oil Corporation, giving their approval 10 with the proposed commingling and stating that the commercial 11 value of the oil will not appreciably change. 12 Were Exhibits One through Three prepared 0 13 by you or under your supervision? 14 **A.** Yes, sir. 15 In your opinion, Mr. Burnett, would 0. 16 the granting of the application be in the best interest of 17 conservation, protect correlative rights, and prevent waste? 18 À. Yes. 19 MR. SPIRLING: I'll offer Exhibits One 20 through Six at this time. 21 MR. HUTTER: Mobil Exhibits One through 22 Six will be admitted in evidence. 2Ĵ MR. SPERLING: That's all I have on 24 direct. 25

9 2 3 CROSS EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. MUTTUR: 5 Mr. Burpett in looking at your Exhibits 0 6 Two and Three, now up here in the upper lefthand corner on 7 Exhibit Two, this gismo is labeled as the State J treater. 8 It's not labeled on Txhibit Three, but that would be the same 9 treater, then? 10 Mes, sir, it would. λ. 11 And then the --- what is presently the 0. 12 State II treater would become your test treater. 13 ٨. Correct. 14 And you would eliminate the test Û. 15 separator that's in the lower lefthand part of the installa-16 tion on Exhibit Two. 17 Α. Yes, sir. 18 Q. And so when you wanted to test the wells 19 on the State J lease, you're going to have to shut in the 20 State II, aren't you? 21 No, sir. The State II flow line will Α. 22 be connected to the current State J header and each well can 23 be tested separately from the other 24 So you'd be able to continue to produce Q. 25 four wells at any given time and test one.

1		10
2	л.	Correct.
3	Ũ.	And all five would be on production.
4	λ.	Yes, sir.
5	ŷ.	Ohay.
6		MR. NUTTER: Are there any other questions
7	of the witness? He m	ay be excused.
8		Do you have anything further, Mr.
9	Sperling?	
10		MR. SFERLING: No, sir. Thank you.
11		MR. NUTTER Does anyone have anything
12	they wish to offer in	Case Number 7207?
13		We'll take the case under advisement.
14		
15		(Hearing concluded.)
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREPY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sully W. Bayd CSR.

1 do handlin construction that for eaching he C ť 1:0 (amin**sr** 20 200

SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R. kt. 1 Box 193-B Sadin Fe, New Mexico \$7501 Phone (503) 435-7409 1

Ż

3

5

6

7

8

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

BRUCE KING GOVERNOR LARRY KEHOE SECRETARY

April 14, 1981

POST OFFICE BOX 2088 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING BANTA FL. NEW MEXICO 87301 (505) 827-2434

Mr. James Sperling Modrall, Sperling, Roehl, Harris & Sisk Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 2168 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103

Re: CASE NO. 7207 ORDER NO. <u>R-6648</u>

Applicant:

Mobil Producing Inc.

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Division order recently entered in the subject case.

Pours very truly, JOE D. RAMEY Director

JDR/fd

Copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCDxArtesia OCDxAztec OCD

Other

Ť

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE HATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 7207 Order No. R-6648

APPLICATION OF HOBIL PRODUCING INC. For lease commingling, lea county, New mexico.

ORDER OF THE DIVISION

BY THE DIVISION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on March 25, 1981, at Sante Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel S. Nutter.

NOW, on this 13th day of April, 1981, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Divisice has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Mobil Producing Inc., is the owner and operator of the State J and State II Leases in Section 22, Township 37 South, Range 34 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant masks authority to commingle Vacuum Grayburg-San Andres production from said leases in a common tank battery located on the State J Lease, allocating the production to each well and to each lease on the basis of quarterly well tests.

(4) That the ownership of the two leases is common throughout except that Shell Oil Company holds a one-eighth overriding royalty interest under the State II Lease.

(5) That the Division's "Manual for the Installation and Operation of Commingling Facilities" requires the separate -2-Case No. 7207 Order No. R-6648

metering of production from each lease when leases of varying ownership are commingled.

(6) That Shall Oil Company waived said requirement for separate metering.

(7) That the proposed commingling should result in lower operating costs of the subject leases and should therefore result in the recovery of additional hydrocarbons from the subject leases, thereby preventing waste, and will not violate correlative rights.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant, Mobil Producing Inc., is hereby authorized to commingle Vacuum Grayburg-San Andres production from the State J and State II Leases, located in Section 22, Township 17 South, Range 34 East, NMPN, Lea County, New Mexico, in a common tank battery located on the State J Lease, allocating the production to each well and to each lease on the basis of quarterly well tests.

(2) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.



STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION JOE D. RAMEY Director

fd/



MPTM: EXISTING STATE J & II BATTERY





Mobil Producing Texos & New Mexico Ind.

RECEIVED ENVIRONMENTAL & REGULATORY [[] 29'80 ADB we will be 1.541 SEC SIFE EAM Ehu Fills SGH PEG INS

October 16, 1980

Shell Oil Company Box 991 Houston, Texas 77001

Attention: Mr. A. J. Fore

7.01 PROPOSED SURFACE COMMINGLING STATE OF NEW MEXICO J & STATE OF NEW MEXICO II LEASE LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Gentlemen:

Mobil Producing Texas & New Mexico, Inc. (MPTM) operates the subject producing leases in the Vacuum Grayburg San Andres Pool in Lea County, New Mexico. Shell Oil Company owns a 1/8 overriding royalty interest (ORRI) in the State II Lease.

MPTM proposes to surface commingle the production from these two leases to render unnecessary the purchase of equipment to replace tank battery facilities that have deteriorated. A plat showing the leases is attached.

The New Mexico Oil Conservation Division Director has authority to approve surface commingling under their Rule 309-B.

Rule 309-B item 5. (b) specifies certain requirements when diversity of ownership exists in the leases to be surface commingled. Item 5.(b) reads as follows: "To commingle production from two or more separate leases in a common tank battery where there is a diversity of ownership (whether in working interest, royalty interest, or overriding royalty interest) the hydrocarbon production from each lease shall be accurately measured and determined in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Division "Manual for the Installation and Operation of Commingling Facilities,"

The Manual for the Installation and Operation of Commingled Facilities specifies that leases with diverse ownership which are commingled must meter, for production accounting purposes, production of each lease. By contrast production accounting from leases with common ownership may be allocated based on well tests.

<u>nre</u>	EXAMINER	NUTTER
	NSERVATION	_TVISION
Mobil	EXHIBIT NO.	4
CASE NO	7207	

Mobil

The State II Lease has one well which produces 9 bbl/oil per day, and the State J has 4 producers and 2 water injectors. The daily production from the 4 wells on the State J Lease is approximately 52 bbls. of oil.

Ownership on these two leases are the same except for the ORRI held by Shell Oil Co., and production is from a common zone.

MPTM wishes to surface commingle the two leases and account for the production by well tests, preferably on a quarterly well test basis.

Please consider our request and if you as an ORRI owner have no objection to surface commingling the production from these two leases, and accounting for the lease production based on quarterly well test, please sign the statement below.

Yours very truly,

UBSummersp.

HFWeaver/lcc

Ĺ

J. A. Morris Regulatory Engineering Supervisor

Shell Oil Corp. has a 1/8 ORRI in the MPTM operated State of New Mexico II lease which produces from the Vacuum Grayburg San Andres Pool. We have no objection to MPTM's proposal to surface commingle the lease production with their State of New Mexico J Lease and account for each lease's separate production by the quarterly well test method.

L. E. Hender

SHELL OIL CORPORATION



ALEX J. ARMIJO COMMISSIONER January 14, 1981

P. O. BOX 1148 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501

Mobil Producing Texas & New Mexico Inc. Nine Greenway Plaza-Suite 2700 Houston, Texas 77046

> Rc: APPLICATION FOR EXCEPTION TO NMOCD RULE 309-A TO PERMIT COMMINGLING PRODUCTION FROM THE NEW MEXICO STATE "J" AND STATE "II" LEASES-VACUUM GRAYBURG SAN ANDRES POOL LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

ATTENTION: Mr. J. A. Morris

Gentlemen:

We are in receipt of your letter of January 7, 1981, requesting permission to commingle Vacuum Grayburg San Andres Pool production from the New Mexico State "J" Lease (Wells 1,2,3,4,6, and 8) located in the NE/4 and N/2SE/4 of Section 22-T17S-R34E; and the State "II" Lease (Well No. 1) located in the SE/4SE/4 of said Section 22. The proposed commigling would be in the existing New Mexico State "J" tank battery located in the SW/4NE/4 of Section 22, T17S-R34E.

You are hereby given approval to the above request, this being subject to like approval by the New Mexico Dil Conservation Division. Also, any deviation from your proposed request will be reason to cancel approval.

Enclosed is one approved copy for your files.

Please remit a Ten (\$10.00) Dollar filing fee.

Very truly yours,



ALEX J. ARMIJO COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC LANDS BY: FLOYD O. PRANDO-Assistant Director Oil and Gas Division AC 505-827-2748

AJA/FOP/s encls. cc:

ł

:

Oil Conservation Division New Mexico Oil and Gas Accounting Commission State of New Mexico Leases B-1519-1 and B-2354-2

December / , 1980

Mobil Producing Texas & New Mexico, Inc. 9 Greenway Plaza Suite 2700 Houston, Texas 77046

Attention: Mr. J. A. Morris

PROPOSED SURFACE COMMINGLING STATE OF NEW MEXICO "J" AND "II" LEASES, VACUUM GRAYBURG SAN ANDRES POOL, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Ì

6

Gentlemen:

Į.

Mobil Oil Corporation, Crude Oil Purchasing Department, as Purchaser, is agreeable with the proposed commingling of the production from the subject leases. There will be no change in the actual commercial value of the commingled production.

Yours very truly,

D. R. Wall, Jr.

Mobil Oil Corporation Crude Oil Purchasing Department

BEFORE EXAMINER NUTTER
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
Mobil EXHIBIT NO. 6
CASE NO7207



- 4

.

MPTM EXISTING STATE J & II BATTERY



ĺ

EX.2
MPTM PROPOSED STATE J & II BATTERY



ĺ

Mobil Producing Texus & New Mexico Ind

RECEIVED ENVIRONMENTAL & REGULATORY (CT 29 80 ADB معت الانتان UNI NEC SILL EAM Ethic Fills PEG INS SGH

October 16: 1980

Shell Oil Company Box 991 Houston, Texas 77001

Attention: Mr. A. J. Fore

7.01 PROPOSED SURFACE COMMINGLING STATE OF NEW MEXICO J & STATE OF NEW MEXICO II LEASE LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Ex. 4

Gentlemen:

Mobil Producing Texas & New Mexico, Inc. (MPTM) operates the subject producing leases in the Vacuum Grayburg San Andres Pool in Lea County, New Mexico. Shell Oil Company owns a 1/8 overriding royalty interest (ORRI) in the State II Lease.

MPTM proposes to surface commingle the production from these two leases to render unnecessary the purchase of equipment to replace tank battery facilities that have deteriorated. A plat showing the leases is attached.

The New Mexico Oil Conservation Division Director has authority to approve surface commingling under their Rule 309-B.

Rule 309-B item 5. (b) specifies certain requirements when diversity of ownership exists in the leases to be surface commingled. Item 5.(b) reads as follows: "To commingle production from two or more separate leases in a common tank battery where there is a diversity of ownership (whether in working interest, royalty interest, or overriding royalty interest) the hydrocarbon production from each lease shall be accurately measured and determined in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Division "Manual for the Installation and Operation of Commingling Facilities," then current."

The Manual for the Installation and Operation of Commingled Facilities specifies that leases with diverse ownership which are commingled must meter, for production accounting purposes, production of each lease. By contrast production accounting from leases with common ownership may be allocated based on well tests.

Mobil

The State II Lease has one well which produces 9 bbl/oil per day, and the State J has 4 producers and 2 water injectors. The daily production from the 4 wells on the State J Lease is approximately 52 bbls. of oil.

Ownership on these two leases are the same except for the ORRI held by Shell Oil Co., and production is from a common zone.

MPTM wishes to surface commingle the two leases and account for the production by well tests, preferably on a quarterly well test basis.

Please consider our request and if you as an ORRI owner have no objection to surface commingling the production from these two leases, and accounting for the lease production based on quarterly well test, please sign the statement below.

Yours very truly,

Jor J. A. Morris Regulatory Engineering Supervisor

HFWeaver/lcc

Shell Oil Corp. has a 1/8 ORRI in the MPTM operated State of New Mexico II lease which produces from the Vacuum Grayburg San Andres Pool. We have no objection to MPTM's proposal to surface commingle the lease production with their State of New Mexico J Lease and account for each lease's separate production by the quarterly well test method.

L.E. Henderso

SHELL OIL CORPORATION



ALEX J. ARMIJO COMMISSIONER

January 14, 1981

P. O. BOX 1148 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 8750

Mobil Producing Texas & New Mexico Inc. Nine Greenway Plaza-Suite 2700 Houston, Texas 77046

> Re: APPLICATION FOR EXCEPTION TO NMOCD RULE 309-A TO PERMIT COMMINGLING PRODUCTION FROM THE NEW MEXICO STATE "J" AND STATE "II" LEASES-VACUUM GRAYBURG SAN ANDRES POOL LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

ATTENTION: Mr. J. A. Morris

Gentlemen:

We are in receipt of your letter of January 7, 1981, requesting permission to commingle Vacuum Grayburg San Andres Pool production from the New Mexico State "J" Lease (Wells 1,2,3,4,6, and 8) located in the NE/4 and N/2SE/4 of Section 22-T17S-R34E; and the State "II" Lease (Well No. 1) located in the SE/4SE/4 of said Section 22. The proposed commigling would be in the existing New Mexico State "J" tank battery located in the SW/4NE/4 of Section 22, T17S-R34E.

You are hereby given approval to the above request, this being subject to like approval by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division. Also, any deviation from your proposed request will be reason to cancel approval.

Enclosed is one approved copy for your files.

Please remit a Ten (\$10.00) Dollar filing fee.

Very truly yours,

ALEX J. ARMIJO COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC LANDS BY: - UNIC hun FLOYD O. PRANDO-Assistant Director Oil and Gas Division AC 505-827-2748

EX. 5

AJA/FOP/s encls.

cc:

Oil Conservation Division

New Mexico Oil and Gas Accounting Commission State of New Mexico Leases B-1519-1 and B-2354-2

Ì

December /, 1980

Mobil Producing Texas & New Mexico, Inc. 9 Greenway Plaza Suite 2700 Houston, Texas 77046

Attention: Mr. J. A. Morris

PROPOSED SURFACE COMMINGLING STATE OF NEW MEXICO "J" AND "II" LEASES, VACUUM GRAYBURG SAN ANDRES POOL, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Gentlemen:

ł

Mobil Oil Corporation, Crude Oil Purchasing Department, as Purchaser, is agreeable with the proposed commingling of the production from the subject leases. There will be no change in the actual commercial value of the commingled production.

Yours very truly,

D. R. Wall, Jr.

Mobil Oil Corporation Crude Oil Purchasing Department

Ex 6

Page 2 of 4 Examiner Hearing - Wednesday - March 25, 1981

Docket No. 11-81

- CASE 7200: Application of Estoril Producing Corporation for a dual completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the dual completion of its Belco Fed. Well No. 1 located in Unit O of Section 15, Township 23 South, Range 34 East, to produce gas and gas liquids from the Strawn and Morrow formations, Antelope Ridge Field, thru parallel strings of tubing.
- CASE 7201: Application of Layton Enterprises, Inc. for a unit agreement, Roosevelt County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, steks approval for the Todd Lower San Andres Unit Area, com prising 3256 acres, more or less, of Federal and State lands in Township 7 South, Ranges 35 and 36 Fast
- Application of Layton Enterprises, Inc. for a waterflood project, Roosevelt County, New Mexico. CASE 7202: Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks suthority to institute a waterflood project by the injection of water into the San Andres formation thru 4 injection wells located in Sections 30, 31 and 32 of its Todd Lower San Andres Unit in Township 7 South, Range 36 East.
- Application of Southern Union Exploration Co. of Texas for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the Susco Bough "C" Unit Area, comprising CASE 7203: 2560 acres, more or less, of State lands in Township 10 South, Range 33 East.
- CASE 7204: Application of Bass Enterprises Production Company for salt water disposal, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water into the Delaware formation in the interval from 3820 feet to 3915 feet in its Federal Legg Well No. 1 in Unit B of Section 27, Township 22 South, Range 30 East, Quahada Ridge Field.
- CASE 7205: Application of Supron Energy Corporation for a non-standard gas proration unit, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of a 160-acre non-standard Blanco Mesaverde gas proration unit comprising the NE/4 of Section 35, Township 31 North, Range 12 West, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon.
- CASE 7183: (Continued from March 11, 1981, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Flag-Redfern Gil Company for an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to drill its Osudo St. Com Well No. 2 at an unorthodox location 990 feet from the North and East lines of Section 18, Township 20 South, Range 36 East, North Osudo-Morrow Gas Pool.

CASE 7206: Application of Mobil Producing Inc. for salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water into the Devonian formation through perforations from 12,212 feet to 12,218 feet and the open hole interval from 12,240 feet to 12,555 feet in its Santa Fe Pacific Well No. 3 in Unit M of Section 26, Township 9 South, Range 36 East, Crossroads Field.

- CASE 7207: Application of Mobil Producing Inc. for lease commingling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the commingling of Vacuum Grayburg-San Andres production from the State J and State II leases in Section 22, Township 17 South, Range 34 East.
- CASE 7208: Application of Gulf Oil Corporation for the amendment of pool rules, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the amendment of the White City-Pennsylvarian Gas Pool Rules to provide for 320-acre spacing rather than 640 acres with well locations specified as being at least 1650 feet from the end boundary and 660 feet from the side boundary of the proration unit.
- CASE 7129: (Continued from February 25, 1981, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Koch Exploration Company for compulsory pooling, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Dakota formation underlying the N/2 of Section 28, Township 28 North, Range 8 West, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well, and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASE 7169: (Continued from February 25, 1981, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Koch Exploration Company for compulsory pooling, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Dakota formation underlying the S/2 of Section 22, Township 28 North, Range 8 West, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well, and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

Application of moust Partucing due for leave caminging, here Cant, new inspect. Applicant, in the come of the cause, seeks approval for the communifing of A Gray long - San Anders production from its State I and State I II Reases in Acolion 23, Towning 17 South, Range 34 East.

Mobil Producing Texas & New Mexico Inc. Cuse 7207

January 21, 1981

State of New Mexico Energy & Minerals Dept. Oil Conservation Division P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Attention: Mr. Joe D. Ramey

natalig bee nature HOUSTON, TEXAS 77046 JAH 2 7 198 approved wetter SION OIL CC SANTA FE APPLICATION FOR EXCEPTION TO NMOCD RULE 309-A TO PERMIT COMMINGLED PRODUCTION FROM THE NEW MEXICO

STATE "J" AND STATE "II" LEASES VACUUM GRAYBURG SAN ANDRES POOL

LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

NINE GREENWAY PLAZA - SUITE 2700

on Mar 2:

Gentlemen:

mission to commingle Vacuum Grayburg San Andres Pool production from the New Mexico State "J" Lease located in the NE/4 and N/2 of SE/4 of the Mexico State "J" Lease located in the NE/4 and N/2 of SE/4 of the Mexico State "II" Lease located in the SE of the Mexico State "J" tank battery located in the SE of the Mexico State "J" tank battery located in the SE/4 in the SE/4 of Section 22, T175, R-34E. The proposed commingling would be in the existing New Mexico State "J" tank battery located in the SE/4 of Section 22, T17S, R-34E.

All of the above leases have common royalty and common working interest ownerhsip except State "II" Lease, which has a 1/8 ORRI held by Shell Oil Co. We attach a letter signed by Shell Representative authorizing the surface commingling of the two leases. Since all production is marginal, we request that each well's production be allocated by quarterly well tests as provided for in the NMOCD Rule 309-B. The commingled production will be stored and sold at the New Mexico State "J" Lease tank battery located in the SW/4 NE/4 of Section 22. T17S. R-34E.

The following attachments are submitted in support of this application:

- Exhibit #1 Plat showing the leases and wells of this request. Α.
- Exhibit #2 Schematic of the proposed modifications at the в. New Mexico State "J" Lease tank battery.
- Exhibit #3 Letter of Consent from the purchaser of the crude. Ć.
- D. Exhibit #4 Commissioner of Public Lands approval letter for this application.

Yours very truly,

J. A. Morris

HFWeaver/lcc

Regulatory Engineering Supervisor

Attachments

Mobil Producing Texas & New Mexico Inc.

January 21, 1981

State of New Mexico Energy & Minerals Dept. Oil Conservation Division P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Attention: Mr. Joe D. Ramey

SIUM CUSE 7207

NE GREENWAY PLAZA-SUITE 1700

APPLICATION FOR EXCEPTION TO NMOCD RULE 309-A TO PERMIT COMMINGLED PRODUCTION FROM THE NEW MEXICO STATE "J" AND STATE "II" LEASES VACUUM GRAYBURG SAN ANDRES POOL LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Gentlemen:

Mobil Producing Texas & New Mexico, Inc. respectfully requests permission to commingle Vacuum Grayburg San Andres Pool production from the New Mexico State "J" Lease located in the NE/4 and N/2 of SE/4 of Section 22, T17S, R-34E; and the State "II" Lease located in the SE of SE/4 of Section 22, T17S, R-34E. The proposed commingling would be in the existing New Mexico State "J" tank battery located in the SW/4 NE/4 of Section 22, T17S, R-34E.

All of the above leases have common royalty and common working interest ownerhsip except State "II" Lease, which has a 1/8 ORRI held by Shell Oil Co. We attach a letter signed by Shell Representative authorizing the surface commingling of the two leases. Since all production is marginal, we request that each well's production be allocated by quarterly well tests as provided for in the NMOCD Rule 309-B. The commingled production will be stored and sold at the New Mexico State "J" Lease tank battery located in the SW/4 NE/4 of Section 22, T17S, R-34E.

The following attachments are submitted in support of this application:

A. Exhibit #1 - Plat showing the leases and wells of this request.

B. Exhibit #2 - Schematic of the proposed modifications at the New Mexico State "J" Lease tank battery.

C. Exhibit #3 - Letter of Consent from the purchaser of the crude.

D. Exhibit #4 - Commissioner of Public Lands approval letter for this application.

Yours very truly,

HFWeaver/lcc

J. A. Morris Regulatory Engineering Supervisor

Attachments

Mobil Producing Texas & New Mexico In

	RECEIVED ENVIRONMENTAL & REGULATORY			
q.	(CT 29.80			
	K.IS	ADB	ميرينين الفاتين محمد الفاتين	
	100 F	LEAN STA	REG STENSE	
Γ	K	EAM	ENV. FILS	
		SGH	PER ILE	
Ĩ,		S	ew 2 22	

October 16, 1980

Shell Oil Company Box 991 Houston, Texas 77001

Attention: Mr. A. J. Fore

7.01 PROPOSED SURFACE COMMINGLING STATE OF NEW MEXICO J & STATE OF NEW MEXICO II LEASE LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Gentlemen:

Mobil Producing Texas & New Mexico, Inc. (MPTM) operates the subject producing leases in the Vacuum Grayburg San Andres Pool in Lea County, New Mexico. Shell Oil Company owns a 1/8 overriding royalty interest (ORRI) in the State II Lease.

MPTM proposes to surface commingle the production from these two leases to render unnecessary the purchase of equipment to replace tank battery facilities that have deteriorated. A plat showing the leases is attached.

The New Mexico Oil Conservation Division Director has authority to approve surface commingling under their Rule 309-B.

Rule 309-B item 5. (b) specifies certain requirements when diversity of ownership exists in the leases to be surface commingled. Item 5.(b) reads as follows: "To commingle production from two or more separate leases in a common tank battery where there is a diversity of ownership (whether in working interest, royalty interest, or overriding royalty interest) the hydrocarbon production from each lease shall be accurately measured and determined in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Division "Manual for the Installation and Operation of Commingling Facilities," then current."

The Manual for the Installation and Operation of Commingled Facilities specifies that leases with diverse ownership which are commingled must meter, for production accounting purposes, production of each lease. By contrast production accounting from leases with common ownership may be allocated based on well tests. Mobil

The State II Lease has one well which produces 9 bbl/oil per day, and the State J has 4 producers and 2 water injectors. The daily production from the 4 wells on the State J Lease is approximately 52 bbls. of oil.

Ownership on these two leases are the same except for the ORRI held by Shell 011 Co., and production is from a common zone.

MPTM wishes to surface commingle the two leases and account for the production by well tests, preferably on a quarterly well test basis.

Please consider our request and if you as an ORRI owner have no objection to surface commingling the production from these two leases, and accounting for the lease production based on quarterly well test, please sign the statement below.

Yours very truly,

UBJunninsp.

HFWeaver/lcc

1

J. A. Morris Regulatory Engineering Supervisor

Shell Oil Corp. has a 1/8 ORRI in the MPTM operated State of New Mexico II lease which produces from the Vacuum Grayburg San Andres Pool. We have no objection to MPTM's proposal to surface commingle the lease production with their State of New Mexico J Lease and account for each lease's separate production by the quarterly well test method.

L. E. Hender

SHELL OIL CORPORATION





P. O. BOX 1148 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501

Mobil Producing Texas & New Mexico Inc. Nine Greenway Plaza-Suite 2700 Houston, Texas 77046

> Re: APPLICATION FOR EXCEPTION TO NMOCD RULE 309-A TO PERMIT COMMINGLING PRODUCTION FROM THE NEW MEXICO STATE "J" AND STATE "II" LEASES-VACUUM GRAYBURG SAN ANDRES POOL LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

ATTENTION: Mr. J. A. Morris

Gentlemen:

We are in receipt of your letter of January 7, 1981, requesting permission to commingle Vacuum Grayburg San Andres Pool production from the New Mexico State "J" Lease (Wells 1,2,3,4,6, and 8) located in the NE/4 and N/2SE/4 of Section 22-T17S-R34E; and the State "II" Lease (Well No. 1) located in the SE/4SE/4 of said Section 22. The proposed commigling would be in the existing New Mexico State "J" tank battery located in the SW/4NE/4 of Section 22, T17S-R34E.

You are hereby given approval to the above request, this being subject to like approval by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division. Also, any deviation from your proposed request will be reason to cancel approval.

Enclosed is one approved copy for your files.

Please remit a Ten (\$10.00) Dollar filing fee.

Very truly yours,

ALEX J. ARMIJO COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC LANDS BY: FLOYD O. PRANDO-Assistant Director Oil and Gas Division AC 505-827-2748

AJA/FOP/s

encls. cc:

1

Gil Conservation Division New Mexico Gil and Gas Accounting Commission State of New Mexico Leases B-1519-1 and B-2354-2

December /, 1980

Mobil Producing Texas & New Mexico, Inc. 9 Greenway Plaza Suite 2700 Houston, Texas 77046

Attention: Mr. J. A. Morris

PROPOSED SURFACE COMMINGLING STATE OF NEW MEXICO "J" AND "II" LEASES, VACUUM GRAYBURG SAN ANDRES POOL, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Gentlemen:

ŧ

Mobil Oil Corporation, Crude Oil Purchasing Department, as Purchaser, is agreeable with the proposed commingling of the production from the subject leases. There will be no change in the actual commercial value of the commingled production.

Yours very truly,

D. R. Wall, Jr.

Mobil Oil Corporation Crude Oil Purchasing Department





Mobil Producing Texas & New Mexico Inc.

January 21, 1981

State of New Mexico Energy & Minerals Dept. Oil Conservation Division P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Attention: Mr. Joe D. Ramey

NINE GREEN WAY PLAZA - SUITE 2700 HOUSTON TEXAS 77046

Cure 7207

APPLICATION FOR EXCEPTION TO NMOCD RULE 309-A TO PERMIT COMMINGLED PRODUCTION FROM THE NEW MEXICO STATE "J" AND STATE "II" LEASES VACUUM GRAYBURG SAN ANDRES POOL LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Gentlemen:

Mobil Producing Texas & New Mexico, Inc. respectfully requests permission to commingle Vacuum Grayburg San Andres Pool production from the New Mexico State "J" Lease located in the NE/4 and N/2 of SE/4 of Section 22, T17S, R-34E; and the State "II" Lease located in the SE of SE/4 of Section 22, T17S, R-34E. The proposed commingling would be in the existing New Mexico State "J" tank battery located in the SW/4 NE/4 of Section 22, T17S, R-34E.

All of the above leases have common royalty and common working interest ownerhsip except State "II" Lease, which has a 1/8 ORRI held by Shell Oil Co. We attach a letter signed by Shell Representative authorizing the surface commingling of the two leases. Since all production is marginal, we request that each well's production be allocated by quarterly well tests as provided for in the NMOCD Rule 309-B. The commingled production will be stored and sold at the New Mexico State "J" Lease tank battery located in the SW/4 NE/4 of Section 22, T17S, R-34E.

The following attachments are submitted in support of this application:

A. Exhibit #1 - Plat showing the leases and wells of this request.

B. Exhibit #2 - Schematic of the proposed modifications at the New Mexico State "J" Lease tank battery.

C. Exhibit #3 - Letter of Consent from the purchaser of the crude.

D. Exhibit #4 - Commissioner of Public Lands approval letter for this application.

Yours very truly,

HFWeaver/lcc

J. A. Morris Regulatory Engineering Supervisor

Attachments

Mobil Producing Texas & New Mexico In

	E REGULATORY			
	R.IS	ADB	HECKIELING.	
Ì	32L	1.511 1:12	KEC STENUZ	
!	1.11	EAM	ENV. FILS	
		SGH	PER ILE	
مر		***. ***	P	

RECEIVED

October 16, 1980

Shell Oil Company Box 991 Houston, Texas 77001

Attention: Mr. A. J. Fore

7.01 PROPOSED SURFACE COMMINGLING STATE OF NEW MEXICO J & STATE OF NEW MEXICO II LEASE LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Gentlemen:

Mobil Producing Texas & New Mexico, Inc. (MPTM) operates the subject producing leases in the Vacuum Grayburg San Andres Pool in Lea County, New Mexico. Shell Oil Company owns a 1/8 overriding royalty interest (ORRI) in the State II Lease.

MPTM proposes to surface commingle the production from these two leases to render unnecessary the purchase of equipment to replace tank battery facilities that have deteriorated. A plat showing the leases is attached.

The New Mexico Oil Conservation Division Director has authority to approve surface commingling under their Rule 309-B.

Rule 309-B item 5. (b) specifies certain requirements when diversity of ownership exists in the leases to be surface commingled. Item 5.(b) reads as follows: "To commingle production from two or more separate leases in a common tank battery where there is a diversity of ownership (whether in working interest, royalty interest, or overriding royalty interest) the hydrocarbon production from each lease shall be accurately measured and determined in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Division "Manual for the Installation and Operation of Commingling Facilities," then current."

The Manual for the Installation and Operation of Commingled Facilities specifies that leases with diverse ownership which are commingled must meter, for production accounting purposes, production of each lease. By contrast production accounting from leases with common ownership may be allocated based on well tests.

Mobil

The State II Lease has one well which produces 9 bbl/oil per day, and the State J has 4 producers and 2 water injectors. The daily production from the 4 wells on the State J Lease is approximately 52 bbls. of oil.

Ownership on these two leases are the same except for the ORRI held by Shell Oil Co., and production is from a common zone.

MPTM wishes to surface commingle the two leases and account for the production by well tests, preferably on a quarterly well test basis.

Please consider our request and if you as an ORRI owner have no objection to surface commingling the production from these two leases, and accounting for the lease production based on quarterly well test, please sign the statement below.

HFWeaver/lcc

Yours very truly, funmins

Regulatory Engineering Supervisor

Shell Oil Corp. has a 1/8 ORRI in the MPTM operated State of New Mexico II lease which produces from the Vacuum Grayburg San Andres Pool. We have no objection to MPTM's proposal to surface commingle the lease production with their State of New Mexico J Lease and account for each lease's separate production by the quarterly well test method.

L.E. Hende

SHELL OIL CORPORATION



ŧ



P. O. BOX 1148 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501

Mobil Producing Texas & New Mexico Inc. Nine Greenway Plaza-Suite 2700 Houston, Texas 77046

> Re: APPLICATION FOR EXCEPTION TO NMOCO RULE 309-A TO PERMIT COMMINGLING PRODUCTION FROM THE NEW MEXICO STATE "J" AND STATE "II" LEASES-VACUUM GRAYBURG SAN ANDRES POOL LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

ATTENTION: Mr. J. A. Morris

Gentlemen:

We are in receipt of your letter of January 7, 1981, requesting permission to commingle Vacuum Grayburg San Andres Pool production from the New Mexico State "J" Lease (Wells 1,2,3,4,6, and 8) located in the NE/4 and N/2SE/4 of Section 22-T17S-R34E; and the State "II" Lease (Well No. 1) located in the SE/4SE/4 of said Section 22. The proposed commigling would be in the existing New Mexico State "J" tank battery located in the SW/4NE/4 of Section 22, T17S-R34E.

You are hereby given approval to the above request, this being subject to like approval by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division. Also, any deviation from your proposed request will be reason to cancel approval.

Enclosed is one approved copy for your files.

Please remit a Ten (\$10.00) Dollar filing fee.

Very truly yours,

ALEX J. ARMIJO COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC LANDS EY: Juny'(o hun FLOYD O. PRANDO-Assistant Director Oil and Gas Division AC 505-827-2748

AJA/FOP/s

encls.

c**c:**

Oil Conservation Division New Mexico Oil and Gas Accounting Commission State of New Mexico Leases B-1519-1 and B-2354-2

December / , 1980

.

Mobil Producing Texas & New Mexico, Inc. 9 Greenway Plaza Suite 2700 Houston, Texas 77046

Attention: Mr. J. A. Morris

PROPOSED SURFACE COMMINGLING STATE OF NEW MEXICO "J" AND "II" LEASES, VACUUM GRAYBURG SAN ANDRES POOL, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Gentlemen:

Mobil Oil Corporation, Crude Oil Purchasing Department, as Purchaser, is agreeable with the proposed commingling of the production from the subject leases. There will be no change in the actual commercial value of the commingled production.

Yours very truly,

D. R. Wall, Jr.

Mobil Oil Corporation Crude Oil Purchasing Department



ROUGH

dr/

ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

7207 CASE NO.

Order No. R-6648

APPLICATION OF MOBIL PRODUCING INC. FOR LEASE COMMINGLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE DIVISION

BY THE DIVISION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on March 25 19⁸¹, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel S. Nutter. NOW, on this day of April , 19⁸¹, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Mobil Producing Inc., is the owner and operator of the State J and State II leases in Section 22, Township 17 South, Range 34 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico. (3) That the applicant seeks authority to commingle Vacuum Grayburg-San Andres production on the leases in a common takk ballery located on the State I Leave, alexating the botwelion to each will and to that each leave on the basis of quarterly well texts. (4) That the ennership of the two leaves is comm throughout except that shell Oil Company holds a me eighth overriding rayally interest under the State II leave (3) That shell Oil Company the Division's "Manual For the Sustaceation and Operation of Commune Facilities "requires the separate m ting of pr ach lease when leases \$ vas) We comin

(4) That Shell Oil Campany waived said he

(7) That the proposed commingling may result in the recovery of additional hydrocarbons from the subject leases, thereby preventing waste, and will not violate correlative rights.

(5) That the reservoir characteristics of the subject leases are such that underground waste would not be caused by the proposed commingling.

(6) That in order to allocate the commingled production to the commingled zone in the leases, applicant should consult with the supervisor of the Hobbs district office of the Division and determine an allocation formula.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant, Mobil Producing Inc., is hereby authorized to commingle Vacuum Grayburg-San Andres production and the State J and State II leases, located in Section 22, Township in a Communic full factory factory for the Section of the Section 17 South, Range 34 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, allocation for the applicant shall consult with the Supervisor of the (2) That the applicant shall consult with the Supervisor of the the Hubbs district office of the Division and determine an allocation formula for the allocation of production to each zone.

-(3)--Ihat-the

(A) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.