E	Chata of Manias			
Form C-141 Page 3	State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division	Incident ID	NAPP2121549676	
		District RP		
		Facility ID		

Site Assessment/Characterization

Application ID

This information must be provided to the appropriate district office no later than 90 days after the release discovery date.

What is the shallowest depth to groundwater beneath the area affected by the release?	<u>38</u> (ft bgs)
Did this release impact groundwater or surface water?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse or any other significant watercourse?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark)?	🗋 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of an occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No

Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics.

Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report.

Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells.

X Field data

Data table of soil contaminant concentration data

Depth to water determination

 ${ imes}$ Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within 1/2-mile of the lateral extents of the release

Boring or excavation logs

XX Photographs including date and GIS information

- Topographic/Aerial maps
- Laboratory data including chain of custody

If the site characterization report does not include completed efforts at remediation of the release, the report must include a proposed remediation plan. That plan must include the estimated volume of material to be remediated, the proposed remediation technique, proposed sampling plan and methods, anticipated timelines for beginning and completing the remediation. The closure criteria for a release are contained in Table 1 of 19.15.29.12 NMAC, however, use of the table is modified by site- and release-specific parameters.

Received by OCD: 9/1/2022 12:00:20 AM

.

State of New M	exico	Incident ID	NAPP2121549676
Oil Conservation	Oil Conservation Division		
		Application ID	
required to report and/or file certain ment. The acceptance of a C-141 re gate and remediate contamination the	release notifications and per port by the OCD does not re at pose a threat to groundwal e operator of responsibility f	erform corrective actions for rele elieve the operator of liability sl ter, surface water, human health for compliance with any other for <u>VICE PRESIDENT OF ENO</u>	eases which may endanger nould their operations have n or the environment. In ederal, state, or local laws
	Oil Conservation I ormation given above is true and con required to report and/or file certain ment. The acceptance of a C-141 re gate and remediate contamination that of a C-141 report does not relieve the KYLE ALPERS	Oil Conservation Division required to report and/or file certain release notifications and per ment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not re gate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwa of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility f KYLE ALPERS Title: Date:2	Oil Conservation Division Incident ID District RP Facility ID Application ID Application ID ormation given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pur required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for relement. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability sligate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other for the experiment of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other for the experiment of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other for the experiment of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other for the experiment of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other for the experiment of the experiment of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other for the experiment of the experimen

Form C-141	State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division	Incident ID	NAPP2121549676
Page 6		District RP	
		Facility ID	
		Application ID	

Closure

The responsible party must attach information demonstrating they have complied with all applicable closure requirements and any conditions or directives of the OCD. This demonstration should be in the form of a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) including a scaled site map, sampling diagrams, relevant field notes, photographs of any excavation prior to backfilling, laboratory data including chain of custody documents of final sampling, and a narrative of the remedial activities. Refer to 19.15.29.12 NMAC.

Description of remediation activities

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. The responsible party acknowledges they must substantially restore, reclaim, and re-vegetate the impacted surface area to the conditions that existed prior to the release or their final land use in accordance with 19.15.29.13 NMAC including notification to the OCD when reclamation and re-vegetation are complete.

Printed Name: KYLE ALPERS Signature:	Title: VICE Date: $ 2/3 $	PRESIDENT OF ENGINEERING .		
email: KALPERS@AECNM.COM	Telephone:	575-623-2999 EXT. 305		
OCD Only Received by: Jocelyn Harimon	Date:	08/31/2022		
Closure approval by the OCD does not relieve the responsible party of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that poses a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health, or the environment nor does not relieve the responsible party of compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.				
Closure Approved by:	Date:09/08/	2022 Environmental Specialist A		

Form C-141	State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division	Incident ID
Page 3		District RP
		Facility ID
		Application ID

Site Assessment/Characterization

This information must be provided to the appropriate district office no later than 90 days after the release discovery date.

What is the shallowest depth to groundwater beneath the area affected by the release?	<u>38</u> (ft bgs)
Did this release impact groundwater or surface water?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse or any other significant watercourse?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark)?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of an occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No

Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics.

Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report.

Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells.

- Field data
- Data table of soil contaminant concentration data
- Depth to water determination
- Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within ¹/₂-mile of the lateral extents of the release
- Boring or excavation logs
- Photographs including date and GIS information
- Topographic/Aerial maps
- Laboratory data including chain of custody

If the site characterization report does not include completed efforts at remediation of the release, the report must include a proposed remediation plan. That plan must include the estimated volume of material to be remediated, the proposed remediation technique, proposed sampling plan and methods, anticipated timelines for beginning and completing the remediation. The closure criteria for a release are contained in Table 1 of 19.15.29.12 NMAC, however, use of the table is modified by site- and release-specific parameters.

Received by OCD: 9/1/2022 12:00:20 AM

.

Form C-141 Page 4	State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division	n		Incident ID District RP Facility ID Application ID		
regulations all operator public health or the env failed to adequately inv	e information given above is true and complete to t s are required to report and/or file certain release n vironment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by th vestigate and remediate contamination that pose a t nce of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator	notifications ne OCD doe threat to gro	and perform c s not relieve th undwater, surf	corrective actions for rel e operator of liability sl ace water, human health	leases which may en- hould their operation h or the environment	danger ns have t. In
Printed Name:	KYLE ALPERS	_ Title: _ Date:	VICE P 9/25/2	RESIDENT OF ENG	INEERING	:
email: A	ALPERS@AECNM.COM	_ Telephor	e:	575-623-2999 EX	<u>(T. 305</u>	
Received by:		_	Date:			

Form C-141 Page 6	State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division			
		Incident ID		
		District RP		
		Facility ID		
		Application ID		

Closure

The responsible party must attach information demonstrating they have complied with all applicable closure requirements and any conditions or directives of the OCD. This demonstration should be in the form of a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) including a scaled site map, sampling diagrams, relevant field notes, photographs of any excavation prior to backfilling, laboratory data including chain of custody documents of final sampling, and a narrative of the remedial activities. Refer to 19.15.29.12 NMAC.

Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Each of the following it	items must be included in the closure report.		
\square A scaled site and sampling diagram as described in 19.15.29.	11 NMAC		
Photographs of the remediated site prior to backfill or photos must be notified 2 days prior to liner inspection)	of the liner integrity if applicable (Note: appropriate OCD District office		
Laboratory analyses of final sampling (Note: appropriate OD	C District office must be notified 2 days prior to final sampling)		
Description of remediation activities			
and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certai may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and re- human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of	ations. The responsible party acknowledges they must substantially onditions that existed prior to the release or their final land use in OCD when reclamation and re-vegetation are complete.		
Signature:	Date: 9/25/20		
email: KALPERS@AECNM.COM	Telephone:575-623-2999 EXT. 305		
OCD Only			
Received by:	Date:		
Closure approval by the OCD does not relieve the responsible party of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that poses a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health, or the environment nor does not relieve the responsible party of compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.			
Closure Approved by:	Date:		
Printed Name:	Title:		



SITE CHARACTERIZATION AND CLOSURE REPORT

Property:

ARMSTRONG ENERGY CORPORATION DORA DEAN 24 # 1 SWD ROOSEVELT COUNTY, NEW MEXICO UNIT LETTER "B", SECTION 24, TOWNSHIP 5 SOUTH, RANGE 33 EAST LATITUDE 33.86264° N, LONGITUDE 103.42913° W API NUMBER: 30-041-20938

SEPTEMBER 2019

Prepared For:

ARMSTRONG ENERGY CORPORATION P.O. BOX 1973 ROSWELL, NM 88202 ATTN: MR. KYLE ALPERS

Prepared By:

latalie fordon

Natalie Gordon **Project Manager**

INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS DELIVERED

.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF APPENDICES ii ACRONYM LIST ii EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 1 1.0 INTRODUCTION 2 1.1 RELEASE AND INITIAL RESPONSE 2 1.2 PURPOSE OF REPORT 2 1.3 SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS 2 2.1 GENERAL SITE INFORMATION 2 2.1.1 Site Location 3 2.1.2 Site Description 3 2.1.3 Stopea water 3 2.1.4 Geology 3 2.1.5 Surface Water 3 2.1.6 Groundwater 4 2.1.7 Known Water Sources 4 2.1.8 Oil and Gas Production/Transfer/Storage Equipment 4 2.2.1 Soil Sampling Procedures 4 2.2.2 Liner Inspection and Verification 4 2.3 EVALUATION OF DATA AND DISCUSSION 5 2.3.1 Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD 5 3.1.1 Human Receptors 5
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 1 1.0 INTRODUCTION 2 1.1 RELEASE AND INITIAL RESPONSE 2 1.2 PURPOSE OF REPORT 2 1.3 SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS 2 2.0 SITE CHARACTERIZATION 2 2.1 GENERAL SITE INFORMATION 2 2.1.1 Site Location 3 2.1.2 Site Description 3 2.1.3 Topography 3 2.1.4 Geology 3 2.1.5 Surface Water 3 2.1.6 Groundwater 4 2.1.7 Known Water Sources 4 2.1.8 Oil and Gas Production/Transfer/Storage Equipment 4 2.2.1 Soil Sampling Procedures 4 2.2.2 Liner Inspection and Verification 4 2.3 EVALUATION OF DATA AND DISCUSSION 5 2.3.1 Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD 5 3.0 RISK ASSESSMENT 5 3.1 POTENTIAL RECEPTOR EVALUATION 5
1.0 INTRODUCTION 2 1.1 RELEASE AND INITIAL RESPONSE 2 1.2 PURPOSE OF REPORT 2 1.3 SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS 2 2.0 SITE CHARACTERIZATION 2 2.1 GENERAL SITE INFORMATION 2 2.1.1 Site Location 3 2.1.2 Site Description 3 2.1.3 Topography 3 2.1.4 Geology 3 2.1.5 Surface Water 3 2.1.6 Groundwater 4 2.1.7 Known Water Sources 4 2.1.8 Oil and Gas Production/Transfer/Storage Equipment 4 2.2.1 Soil Sampling Procedures 4 2.2.2 Liner Inspection and Verification 4 2.3 EVALUATION OF DATA AND DISCUSSION 5 2.3.1 Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD 5 3.1 POTENTIAL RECEPTOR EVALUATION 5
1.0 INTRODUCTION 2 1.1 RELEASE AND INITIAL RESPONSE 2 1.2 PURPOSE OF REPORT 2 1.3 SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS 2 2.0 SITE CHARACTERIZATION 2 2.1 GENERAL SITE INFORMATION 2 2.1.1 Site Location 3 2.1.2 Site Description 3 2.1.3 Topography 3 2.1.4 Geology 3 2.1.5 Surface Water 3 2.1.6 Groundwater 4 2.1.7 Known Water Sources 4 2.1.8 Oil and Gas Production/Transfer/Storage Equipment 4 2.2.1 Soil Sampling Procedures 4 2.2.2 Liner Inspection and Verification 4 2.3 EVALUATION OF DATA AND DISCUSSION 5 2.3.1 Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD 5 3.1 POTENTIAL RECEPTOR EVALUATION 5
1.0 INTRODUCTION 2 1.1 RELEASE AND INITIAL RESPONSE 2 1.2 PURPOSE OF REPORT 2 1.3 SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS 2 2.0 SITE CHARACTERIZATION 2 2.1 GENERAL SITE INFORMATION 2 2.1.1 Site Location 3 2.1.2 Site Description 3 2.1.3 Topography 3 2.1.4 Geology 3 2.1.5 Surface Water 3 2.1.6 Groundwater 4 2.1.7 Known Water Sources 4 2.1.8 Oil and Gas Production/Transfer/Storage Equipment 4 2.2.1 Soil Sampling Procedures 4 2.2.2 Liner Inspection and Verification 4 2.3 EVALUATION OF DATA AND DISCUSSION 5 2.3.1 Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD 5 3.1 POTENTIAL RECEPTOR EVALUATION 5
1.1 RELEASE AND INITIAL RESPONSE 2 1.2 PURPOSE OF REPORT 2 1.3 SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS 2 2.0 SITE CHARACTERIZATION 2 2.1 GENERAL SITE INFORMATION 2 2.1.1 Site Location 3 2.1.2 Site Description 3 2.1.3 Topography 3 2.1.4 Geology 3 2.1.5 Surface Water 3 2.1.6 Groundwater 4 2.1.7 Known Water Sources 4 2.1.8 Oil and Gas Production/Transfer/Storage Equipment 4 2.2.1 Soil Sampling Procedures 4 2.2.2 Liner Inspection and Verification 4 2.3 EVALUATION OF DATA AND DISCUSSION 5 2.3.1 Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD 5 3.1 POTENTIAL RECEPTOR EVALUATION 5
1.2 PURPOSE OF REPORT 2 1.3 SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS 2 2.0 SITE CHARACTERIZATION 2 2.1 GENERAL SITE INFORMATION 2 2.1.1 Site Location 3 2.1.2 Site Description 3 2.1.3 Topography 3 2.1.4 Geology 3 2.1.5 Surface Water 3 2.1.6 Groundwater 4 2.1.7 Known Water Sources 4 2.1.8 Oil and Gas Production/Transfer/Storage Equipment 4 2.2.1 Soil Sampling Procedures 4 2.2.2 Liner Inspection and Verification 4 2.3 EVALUATION OF DATA AND DISCUSSION 5 2.3.1 Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD 5 3.0 RISK ASSESSMENT 5 3.1 POTENTIAL RECEPTOR EVALUATION 5
1.3 SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS22.0 SITE CHARACTERIZATION22.1 GENERAL SITE INFORMATION22.1.1 Site Location32.1.2 Site Description32.1.3 Topography32.1.4 Geology32.1.5 Surface Water32.1.6 Groundwater42.1.7 Known Water Sources42.1.8 Oil and Gas Production/Transfer/Storage Equipment42.2 INVESTIGATION METHODS42.2.1 Soil Sampling Procedures42.2.2 Liner Inspection and Verification42.3 EVALUATION OF DATA AND DISCUSSION52.3.1 Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD53.0 RISK ASSESSMENT53.1 POTENTIAL RECEPTOR EVALUATION5
2.0 SITE CHARACTERIZATION 2 2.1 GENERAL SITE INFORMATION 2 2.1.1 Site Location 3 2.1.2 Site Description 3 2.1.3 Topography 3 2.1.4 Geology 3 2.1.5 Surface Water 3 2.1.6 Groundwater 4 2.1.7 Known Water Sources 4 2.1.8 Oil and Gas Production/Transfer/Storage Equipment 4 2.2.1 Soil Sampling Procedures 4 2.2.2 Liner Inspection and Verification 4 2.3 EVALUATION OF DATA AND DISCUSSION 5 2.3.1 Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD 5 3.0 RISK ASSESSMENT 5 3.1 POTENTIAL RECEPTOR EVALUATION 5
2.1 GENERAL SITE INFORMATION 2 2.1.1 Site Location 3 2.1.2 Site Description 3 2.1.3 Topography 3 2.1.4 Geology 3 2.1.5 Surface Water 3 2.1.6 Groundwater 4 2.1.7 Known Water Sources 4 2.1.8 Oil and Gas Production/Transfer/Storage Equipment 4 2.2.1 Soil Sampling Procedures 4 2.2.2 Liner Inspection and Verification 4 2.3 EVALUATION OF DATA AND DISCUSSION 5 2.3.1 Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD 5 3.0 RISK ASSESSMENT 5 3.1 POTENTIAL RECEPTOR EVALUATION 5
2.1.1 Site Location32.1.2 Site Description32.1.3 Topography32.1.4 Geology32.1.5 Surface Water32.1.6 Groundwater42.1.7 Known Water Sources42.1.8 Oil and Gas Production/Transfer/Storage Equipment42.2 INVESTIGATION METHODS42.2.1 Soil Sampling Procedures42.2.2 Liner Inspection and Verification42.3 EVALUATION OF DATA AND DISCUSSION52.3.1 Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD53.0 RISK ASSESSMENT53.1 POTENTIAL RECEPTOR EVALUATION5
2.1.2 Site Description32.1.3 Topography32.1.4 Geology32.1.5 Surface Water32.1.5 Surface Water42.1.6 Groundwater42.1.7 Known Water Sources42.1.8 Oil and Gas Production/Transfer/Storage Equipment42.2 INVESTIGATION METHODS42.2.1 Soil Sampling Procedures42.2.2 Liner Inspection and Verification42.3 EVALUATION OF DATA AND DISCUSSION52.3.1 Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD53.1 POTENTIAL RECEPTOR EVALUATION5
2.1.3 Topography32.1.4 Geology32.1.5 Surface Water32.1.5 Surface Water42.1.6 Groundwater42.1.7 Known Water Sources42.1.8 Oil and Gas Production/Transfer/Storage Equipment42.2 INVESTIGATION METHODS42.2.1 Soil Sampling Procedures42.2.2 Liner Inspection and Verification42.3 EVALUATION OF DATA AND DISCUSSION52.3.1 Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD53.1 POTENTIAL RECEPTOR EVALUATION5
2.1.4 Geology32.1.5 Surface Water32.1.5 Surface Water32.1.6 Groundwater42.1.7 Known Water Sources42.1.7 Known Water Sources42.1.8 Oil and Gas Production/Transfer/Storage Equipment42.2 INVESTIGATION METHODS42.2.1 Soil Sampling Procedures42.2.2 Liner Inspection and Verification42.3 EVALUATION OF DATA AND DISCUSSION52.3.1 Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD53.1 POTENTIAL RECEPTOR EVALUATION5
2.1.5 Surface Water 3 2.1.6 Groundwater 4 2.1.7 Known Water Sources 4 2.1.8 Oil and Gas Production/Transfer/Storage Equipment 4 2.2 INVESTIGATION METHODS 4 2.2.1 Soil Sampling Procedures 4 2.2.2 Liner Inspection and Verification 4 2.3 EVALUATION OF DATA AND DISCUSSION 5 2.3.1 Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD 5 3.0 RISK ASSESSMENT 5 3.1 POTENTIAL RECEPTOR EVALUATION 5
2.1.6 Groundwater
2.1.7 Known Water Sources
2.1.8 Oil and Gas Production/Transfer/Storage Equipment 4 2.2 INVESTIGATION METHODS 4 2.2.1 Soil Sampling Procedures 4 2.2.2 Liner Inspection and Verification 4 2.3 EVALUATION OF DATA AND DISCUSSION 5 2.3.1 Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD 5 3.0 RISK ASSESSMENT 5 3.1 POTENTIAL RECEPTOR EVALUATION 5
2.2 INVESTIGATION METHODS 4 2.2.1 Soil Sampling Procedures 4 2.2.2 Liner Inspection and Verification 4 2.3 EVALUATION OF DATA AND DISCUSSION 5 2.3.1 Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD 5 3.0 RISK ASSESSMENT 5 3.1 POTENTIAL RECEPTOR EVALUATION 5
2.2.1 Soil Sampling Procedures 4 2.2.2 Liner Inspection and Verification 4 2.3 EVALUATION OF DATA AND DISCUSSION 5 2.3.1 Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD 5 3.0 RISK ASSESSMENT 5 3.1 POTENTIAL RECEPTOR EVALUATION 5
2.2.1 Soil Sampling Procedures 4 2.2.2 Liner Inspection and Verification 4 2.3 EVALUATION OF DATA AND DISCUSSION 5 2.3.1 Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD 5 3.0 RISK ASSESSMENT 5 3.1 POTENTIAL RECEPTOR EVALUATION 5
2.2.2 Liner Inspection and Verification 4 2.3 EVALUATION OF DATA AND DISCUSSION 5 2.3.1 Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD 5 3.0 RISK ASSESSMENT 5 3.1 POTENTIAL RECEPTOR EVALUATION 5
2.3 EVALUATION OF DATA AND DISCUSSION
2.3.1 Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD
2.3.1 Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD
3.1 POTENTIAL RECEPTOR EVALUATION
3.1 POTENTIAL RECEPTOR EVALUATION
2.1.1 Human Bacantars 5
3.1.2 Ecological Receptors 5
3.1.3 Wells and Surface Water5
4.0 REMEDIATION ASSESSMENT
4.1 REMEDIATION DRIVERS AND CLEANUP OBJECTIVES
4.2 RECOMMENDATION

INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS DELIVERED



5.0 CLOSURE	6
6.0 REFERENCES	7

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Site Location Map Figure 2: Nearest Surface Water Figure 3: Nearest Groundwater Wells and Depth to Groundwater

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: Initial C-141 Appendix B: Depth to Groundwater Analysis Appendix C: Photographs

ACRONYM LIST

bbl(s)	barrel(s)
bgs	feet below ground surface
Armstrong	Armstrong Energy
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ft	feet
GPS	global positioning system
HRL	HRL Compliance Solutions
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
NMAC	New Mexico Administrative Code
NM OCD	New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
NM OSE	New Mexico Office of the State Engineer
PW	produced water
SWD	saltwater disposal
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
USGS	United States Geological Survey



P.O. Box 1708 • Artesia, NM 88211 www.hrlcomp.com

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

HRL Compliance Solutions (HRL) was retained by Armstrong Energy Corporation (Armstrong) to conduct a site characterization assessment and liner inspection at the Dora Dean 24 #1 Saltwater Disposal (SWD) on September 4, 2019. The objective of the site assessment was to determine if there are any indications of chloride and/or hydrocarbon impacts from the release that occurred due to a lightning strike and subsequent fire on September 1, 2019. The objective of the liner inspection was to demonstrate continued liner integrity and verify that it remained intact and had the ability to contain the release in question. This report is also intended to serve as a final closure report to obtain approval from New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NM OCD) for closure of the release.

OVERVIEW:

- Lightning strike on one of the storage tanks at Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD in the early morning hours of September 1, 2019.
- The resulting fire destroyed all 4 tanks within the battery.
- An estimated 815 barrels (bbls) of produced water were released from the burning tanks into the lined secondary containment.
- An automated warning system alerted personnel of the fire and efforts were made to douse the flames.
- An estimated 660 bbls of produced water was recovered from the containment area using a vacuum truck. An estimated 155 bbls of produced water is believed to have evaporated as a result of the fire.
- Armstrong contacted HRL on September 4, 2019 to evaluate and remediate the spill and to obtain closure from NM OCD.
- HRL conducted a liner inspection on September 23, 2019 and the findings are presented in this report.

RECOMMENDATION:

Given the location of the release wholly within the lined secondary containment and the evaluation and discussion captured in Section 2.4 of this report, HRL recommends that no further action be taken regarding this release. Certification of the liner integrity on form C-141 and this closure report is hereby submitted to NM OCD to obtain closeout of the incident.

INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS DELIVERED



1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 RELEASE AND INITIAL RESPONSE

On September 1, 2019, a release at Armstrong Energy's (Armstrong) saltwater disposal (SWD) site, Dora Dean 24 # 1 (Dora Dean), occurred when lightning struck one of the tanks, resulting in a fire that destroyed all of the produced water (PW) storage on site. This incident resulted in the release of 815 barrels (bbls) of produced water into the tank battery lined secondary containment. A vacuum truck was brought on site and 660 bbls of free liquid were recovered. An estimated 155 bbls of PW is believed to have evaporated as a result of the fire. No oil or produced water was released outside of the secondary containment area.

Armstrong notified representatives of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NM OCD) and submitted an initial C-141 Release Notification (Appendix A) to NM OCD through their online portal on September 4, 2019. HRL Compliance Solutions (HRL) was contacted by Armstrong on September 4, 2019 to conduct a site assessment for the release at Dora Dean as well as a liner inspection and submit required documentation per 19.15.29 New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC) regulations to obtain NM OCD closure of the incident.

1.2 PURPOSE OF REPORT

This report, which has been prepared for the exclusive use of Armstrong Energy Corporation, presents the methods and results of the environmental investigation (site characterization) conducted at Dora Dean on September 23, 2019, by HRL. The objective of this site characterization report is to establish that remediation is complete, all applicable regulations are being followed, and to serve as a final closure report to obtain approval from NM OCD for closure of the release that occurred on September 1, 2019.

1.3 SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS

The scope of HRL's services consists of performing site characterization including a regional and local desktop review of potential receptors, verification of release stability, conducting a liner inspection, providing regulatory liaison, and preparation of this characterization report and recommendations associated with the incident specified therein. All work has been performed in accordance with generally accepted professional environmental consulting practices for oil and gas releases in the Permian Basin in New Mexico.

2.0 SITE CHARACTERIZATION

2.1 GENERAL SITE INFORMATION

The following information provides a brief outline of the site location and site conditions.



2.1.1 Site Location

Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD is located on privately-owned land in Unit Letter "B", Section 24, Township 5 South, Range 33 East in Roosevelt County (Figure 1). This location is at the northern extent of the Permian Basin in southeast New Mexico and has historically been used for oil and gas exploration and production, and range land.

2.1.2 Site Description

Dora Dean is typical for oil and gas exploration and production sites in the Permian Basin and southeastern and eastern New Mexico, and it is currently used for produced water storage and disposal. This characterization report discusses an area on the pad within the secondary containment of the tank battery. The impacted area was underneath and around the produced water holding tanks, all of which are inside a lined secondary containment on the two-foot thick, constructed pad.

The surrounding landscape is comprised of upland plains with a semiarid climate and average annual precipitation ranging between 14 and 16 inches. Native vegetation is principally shortgrass and midgrass species such as black grama, blue grama, and sideoats grama and the occasional forbs (Soil Survey Staff, n.d.). Limited vegetation is allowed to grow on the compacted production pad and no vegetation grows within the secondary containment.

2.1.3 Topography

Dora Dean is located at an elevation of approximately 4,371 feet above sea level on flat upland plains typically with a 0-3 percent slope.

2.1.4 Geology

According to the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Web Soil Survey, the majority surface soil geology at Dora Dean is Spraberry fine sandy loam, which consists of fine sandy loam over a layer of cemented material and gravelly, sandy loam. The soil tends to be well-drained with high runoff and very low available water storage in the soil profile.

The geology at Dora Dean comes from older alluvial deposits of upland plains and piedmont areas, and calcic soils and eolian cover sediments of the High Plains region dating back to the middle to lower Pleistocene age. Although the site is within an area of sedimentary rocks known to locally contain piping or other pseudokarst features, it is not found over true karst geology and is therefore not subject to the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection C of 19.15.29.12 NMAC.

2.1.5 Surface Water

There is no surface water located at the Dora Dean SWD site. Based on USGS National Hydrology Maps, the nearest significant watercourses as defined in Subsection P of 19.15.17.7



NMAC are an intermittent pond located 0.5 miles due west of the tank battery and an intermittent stream located approximately 1 mile south of the tank battery (Figure 2).

2.1.6 Groundwater

Using the NM Office of the State Engineer (NM OSE) Water Column Report, depth to groundwater is estimated to be an average of 46 feet below ground surface (bgs) for an area with a radius of 6 miles around the release location. The minimum depth to groundwater in that same area is 12 ft bgs.

Because NM OSE did not show detailed depth to groundwater information for an area closer to the release site, additional groundwater information was obtained from the United States Geological Survey (USGS) National Water Information System. Of the six USGS wells within two miles of Dora Dean, the shallowest depth to groundwater is 38 feet bgs (Figure 3). See Appendix B for information pertaining to the depth to groundwater determination.

2.1.7 Known Water Sources

There are no known water sources within a half mile of the release as stated in Section 2.1.6 of this report. There are no continuously flowing watercourses or significant watercourses, nor any lakebeds, sinkholes, playa lakes, or other critical water or community features as outlined in Paragraph (4) of Subsection C of 19.15.29.12 NMAC.

2.1.8 Oil and Gas Production/Transfer/Storage Equipment

The release occurred within the tank battery secondary containment on the Dora Dean SWD pad (Figure 1). There were a total of five produced water tanks located within this secondary containment prior to the fire. No additional production equipment is located at this site and no equipment outside of the tank battery was affected by the release.

2.2 INVESTIGATION METHODS

The following information discusses the actions performed at Dora Dean SWD as part of the evaluation and closure activities conducted on September 23, 2019.

2.2.1 Soil Sampling Procedures

No soil sampling was conducted at Dora Dean following vacuum removal of the free liquids because the release was contained within the lined secondary containment where there is no soil.

2.2.2 Liner Inspection and Verification

HRL conducted a visual liner inspection on September 23, 2019. Following appropriate notice to NM OCD District I office, the liner was visually inspected for cracks, tears, cuts, and other signs of damage to verify that the liner remained intact and had the ability to contain the release, as required by Subparagraph (a) of Paragraph (5) of Subsection A of 19.15.29.11 NMAC.



2.3 EVALUATION OF DATA AND DISCUSSION

This section presents investigation results and evaluates the results in respect to NM OCD site characterization requirements and/or guidelines.

2.3.1 Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD

The site assessment and liner inspection conducted on September 23, 2019 was conducted under NM OCD guidance found in Subparagraph (a) of Paragraph (5) of Subsection A in 19.15.29.11 NMAC. The inspection, along with photographic evidence provided by Armstrong, identified that the liner had fully contained the release and there were no indications of adverse conditions on or near the site. The inspection also identified that the old lined, earthen berm secondary containment had been removed and a new, permanent secondary containment structure was being installed.

3.0 RISK ASSESSMENT

3.1 POTENTIAL RECEPTOR EVALUATION

No potential receptors were identified either on- or off-site based on the following findings.

3.1.1 Human Receptors

There are ongoing SWD operations at the site. Current contamination levels, if any, do not pose a threat to human health so long as existing company health and safety guidelines are followed by site personnel. There is no threat to human health for offsite human receptors due to the fact the release was entirely contained within the secondary containment.

3.1.2 Ecological Receptors

There are no ecological receptors identified which may be threatened by the release that occurred within the Dora Dean secondary containment. Offsite, there are no ecological receptors identified which may be threatened by the minimal presence of hydrocarbons and chlorides that may be present within the lined secondary containment of this tank battery.

3.1.3 Wells and Surface Water

There are no potable wells, non-potable wells, or surface water bodies, onsite nor offsite, that are close enough to be adversely affected by this release (Figure 3). Groundwater is at a sufficient depth below ground surface such that it is not expected to be affected by any hydrocarbons or chlorides that were previously present in the secondary containment as a result of this release.



4.0 REMEDIATION ASSESSMENT

4.1 REMEDIATION DRIVERS AND CLEANUP OBJECTIVES

Clear remediation drivers and objectives are required to establish the framework within which potential remedial technologies are evaluated and compared. The remediation driver for this site is compliance with NM OCD regulations and directives to ensure proper cleanup at the Dora Dean SWD location. Cleanup objectives are chemical and media-specific goals that are protective of human health and the environment and must be achieved to meet regulatory requirements outlined in Table I in 19.15.29 NMAC.

4.2 RECOMMENDATION

Given the location of the spill, the producer's immediate removal of free liquids from the secondary containment area following the release and, based on the success of the liner verification and installation of an upgraded secondary containment system, HRL recommends no additional remediation action to address this release. The presence of any contaminants of concern at the site resulting from the above-referenced release, were wholly contained within the lined secondary containment and have since been removed from site along with the previous liner. There are no anticipated risks to human, ecological, or hydrological receptors at the Dora Dean location.

5.0 CLOSURE

Due to the reasons outlined in Section 4.2 above, HRL recommends that this incident (RP # not yet assigned) be closed. All liner certification requirements as set forth in Subsection A of 19.15.29.12 NMAC and any closure requirements set forth in Subsection E of 10.15.29.12 have been met. Photos included in Appendix C of this report demonstrate the liner certification assertions. Armstrong Energy Corporation certifies that all information in this report and the attachments is correct and that Armstrong has complied with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in Division rules and directives to meet NM OCD requirements to obtain closure on the release at Dora Dean 24 # 1 SWD.



6.0 REFERENCES

Geological Survey Staff, United States Geological Survey, U.S. Department of the Interior. Groundwater for New Mexico: Water Levels. Available online at: <u>https://nwis.waterdata.usgs.gov/nm/nwis/gwlevels</u>. Accessed [09/26/2019].

National Soil Survey Center, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Field Book for Describing and Sampling Soils. Available online at the following link: <u>https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS</u>. Accessed [09/26/2019].

Releases, Oil Conservation Commission, 19.15.29 NMAC (08/04/2018).

Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Web Soil Survey. Available online at the following link: <u>https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/</u>. Accessed [09/26/2019].

State Engineer, New Mexico Office of the State Engineer. New Mexico Water Rights Reporting System. Available online at <u>http://nmwrrs.ose.state.nm.us/waterColumn.html</u>. Accessed [09/26/2019].



Released to Imaging: 9/8/2022 12:55:56 PM



Released to Imaging: 9/8/2022 12:55:56 PM



Released to Imaging: 9/8/2022 12:55:56 PM

.



Appendix A: Initial C-141

Released to Imaging: 9/8/2022 12:55:56 PM

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Form C-141 Revised August 24, 2018 Submit to appropriate OCD District office

)

Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Release Notification

Responsible Party

Responsible Party ARMSTRONG ENERGY CORPORATION	OGRID 1092
Contact Name KYLE ALPERS	Contact Telephone (575) 623-2999 Ext. 305
Contact email kalpers@aecnm.com	Incident # (assigned by OCD)
Contact mailing address P.O. BOX 1973 ROSWELL, NM	88202

Location of Release Source

Latitude33.86264 (NAD	Longitude103.42913 83 in decimal degrees to 5 decimal places)	
Site Name DORA DEAN 24 # 1	Site Type SWD	
Date Release Discovered 9/1/2019	AP1# (if applicable) 30-041-20938	

Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	County
В	24	5S	33E	ROOSEVELT

Surface Owner: State Federal Tribal Private (Name: _

Nature and Volume of Release

Crude Oil	Volume Released (bbls)	Volume Recovered (bbls)
Produced Water	Volume Released (bbls) 815 BBLS	Volume Recovered (bbls) 660 BBLS
	Is the concentration of dissolved chloride in the produced water >10,000 mg/l?	Yes No
Condensate	Volume Released (bbls)	Volume Recovered (bbls)
Natural Gas	Volume Released (Mcf)	Volume Recovered (Mcf)
Other (describe)	Volume/Weight Released (provide units)	Volume/Weight Recovered (provide units)
Cause of Release		
	ON TANK BATTERY CAUSED A FIRE WHICH D EASE WAS CONTAINED WITHIN THE LINED BE	

Received by OCD: 9/1/2022 12:00:20 AM

Page 2 Oil Conservation Division District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Was this a major release as defined by 19.15.29.7(A) NMAC?	If YES, for what reason(s) does the responsible party consider this a major release?
	THE VOLUME OF PRODUCED WATER RELEASED EXCEEDED 25 BBLS.
Yes No	
	otice given to the OCD? By whom? To whom? When and by what means (phone, email, etc)? WAS NOT PROVIDED TO OCD. THIS FORM IS FIRST NOTIFICATION.

Initial Response

The responsible party must undertake the following actions immediately unless they could create a safety hazard that would result in injury

 \square The source of the release has been stopped.

The impacted area has been secured to protect human health and the environment.

Released materials have been contained via the use of berms or dikes, absorbent pads, or other containment devices.

All free liquids and recoverable materials have been removed and managed appropriately.

If all the actions described above have <u>not</u> been undertaken, explain why:

Per 19.15.29.8 B. (4) NMAC the responsible party may commence remediation immediately after discovery of a release. If remediation has begun, please attach a narrative of actions to date. If remedial efforts have been successfully completed or if the release occurred within a lined containment area (see 19.15.29.11(A)(5)(a) NMAC), please attach all information needed for closure evaluation.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

Printed Name:	Title: VICE PRESIDENT OF ENGINEERING Date: 9/25/20
email: KALPERS@AECNM.COM	Telephone: <u>575-623-2999</u> EXT. 305
OCD Only Received by:	Date:

•



Appendix B: Depth to Groundwater Analysis

Released to Imaging: 9/8/2022 12:55:56 PM

(A CLW##### in the POD suffix indicates the POD has been replaced & no longer serves a water right file.)	(R=POD been rep O=orpha C=the fil closed)	laced, ned,			04.8098				′ 2=NE : st to larg	3=SW 4=SE gest) (N	E) IAD83 UTM in m	eters)	(In fe	eet)	
		POD Sub-		Q	Q	Q								W	ater
POD Number CL 00156 POD1	Code	basin CL	County RO	64		4 2	Sec 24	Tws 05S	Rng 33E	X 645169	Y 3748205* 📣	DistanceDe 217	othWellDept 130	hWater Co	lumr
CL 00157 POD1		CL	RO	3	3	4	13	05S	33E	645163	3748407* 🍛	397	130		
CL 00158 POD1		CL	RO	2	2	4	13	05S	33E	645760	3749020* 🍛	1083	169		
CL 00099 POD1		CL	RO		2	2	14	05S	34E	653698	3749861* 🧼	8587	165		
CL 00100 POD1		CL	RO		2	2	14	05S	34E	653698	3749861* 🌍	8587	185	115	7
CL 00105 POD1		CL	RO	3	3	2	28	04S	33E	640209	3755527* 🌍	9060	38	12	2
CL 00108 POD1		CL	RO	3	3	2	28	04S	33E	640209	3755527* 🧼	9060	38	12	2
											Averag	ge Depth to Wat	er:	46 fee	t
												Minimum De	pth:	12 fee	t
												Maximum De	pth:	115 fee	t
Record Count: 7															
UTMNAD83 Radius	s Search (ii	n meters) <u>:</u>												
Easting (X): 645	306.48		North	ing	(Y):	3748	036			Radius: 10000				

9/26/19 10:39 AM

WATER COLUMN/ AVERAGE DEPTH TO WATER

.

Page 24 of 49

9/26/2019







9/26/2019

https://nwis.waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis/gwlevels?site_no=335113103244202&begin_date=&end_date=&format=img&submitted_form=&pre...



•



Appendix C: Photographs

Released to Imaging: 9/8/2022 12:55:56 PM



Photograph 1: Burned Production Water Storage Tanks and Standing Water in Lined, Bermed Secondary Containment



Photograph 2: Original Secondary Containment Holding All Released Liquids





Photograph 3: Produced Water Release Contained in Secondary Containment

Photograph 4: Secondary Containment Liner and Earthen Berm Removed





Photograph 5: Removal of Secondary Containment Liner and Berm to Make Way for New Secondary Containment Structure



Photograph 6: Pad Surface Prepared for Installation of New Secondary Containment Structure







Photograph 7: Brand New Secondary Containment Structure Installed

Photograph 8: New Storage Tank Bases Installed in New Secondary Containment Structure







P.O. Box 1708 • Artesia, NM 88211 www.hrlcomp.com

December 4, 2020

Mr. Kyle Alpers Armstrong Energy Corporation P.O. Box 1973 Roswell, New Mexico 88202

Subject: Liner Inspection and Closure Report Dora Dean 24 #1 NRM1926756372 Roosevelt County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Alpers:

Armstrong Energy Corporation (Armstrong) (Client) retained HRL Compliance Solutions, Inc. (HRL) to conduct a liner inspection and prepare this closure report for the September 1, 2019 release at Dora Dean 24 #1 well pad (Site). The Site is in Roosevelt County, New Mexico (Figure 1).

Release Summary and Initial Response

On September 1, 2019, a release of 815 barrels of produced water was observed at the Site. The release was caused by a lightning strike to a storage tank, resulting in a fire. The entire release was contained within the lined earthen berm. Initial response activities included stopping the source of the release, securing the impacted area and removing free liquids within the secondary containment. 660 barrels of produced water was recovered; the remaining 155 barrels was consumed by the fire. On September 4, 2019 the C-141 (Attachment A) was submitted to the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD).

Item	Discussion
Site Name	Dora Dean 24 #1
Latitude	33.86264
Longitude	-103.42913
Unit/Section/Township/Range	Unit B / Section 24 / Township 5 South / Range 33 East
Release Number	NRM1926756372
Date Release Discovered	9/1/2019
Cause of Release	Lightning Strike to a Storage Tank
Type of Material Released	Produced water
Volume Release	815 barrels

INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS DELIVERED

Mr. Kyle Alpers Page 2



Item	Discussion
Volume Recovered	660 barrels

Liner Inspection

On September 3, 2019, after the released fluid was removed from the lined earthen berm, Armstrong conducted a site visit and took photographs of the lined earthen berm (Attachment B, Photographs). No holes or breaches were observed in the lined earthen berm. Additionally, these photographs show the maximum fluid line within the berm; which based on its consistency across the berm in addition to the absence of stained soil outside of the berm, demonstrate that the lined earthen berm fully contained the release. Based on these photographs, HRL has determined that the lined earthen berm fully contained the release (Attachment C, Inspection Form).

New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC) Site Characterization Criteria

Title 19, Chapter 15, Part 29, Section 11 of the New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC) provides requirements for release characterization once the free liquids and recoverable materials have been removed from the Site.

Site Map

A scaled diagram depicting the site and nearby significant features has been prepared (Figure 1).

Depth to Groundwater

Depth to groundwater at the release was estimated by evaluating data from the New Mexico Office of the State Engineer (NMOSE) and United States Geological Survey (USGS) (Figure 2). The nearest groundwater well was approximately 0.49 miles from the Site; the depth to water in this well was 98.45 feet below ground surface (bgs).

Wellhead Protection Area

There are no sources of water, including springs, wells, or other sources of fresh water, within one-half mile of the release.

Distance to Nearest Significant Watercourse

A significant watercourse is defined as "...a watercourse with a defined bed and bank either named or identified by a dashed blue line on a USGS 7.5-minute quadrangle map or the next lower order tributary with a defined bed and bank" (19.15.17.7 NMAC). There are no significant watercourses within one –half mile of the Site.

Dora Dean 24 #1 December 4, 2020

Page 35 of 49

Mr. Kyle Alpers Page 3

Additional Site Characterization Criteria

The following additional site characterization criteria were evaluated for the release.

Site Characterization	Response/Discussion
What is the shallowest depth to groundwater beneath the area affected by the release?	Between 50 feet and 100 feet
Did the release impact groundwater or surface water?	No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse or other significant watercourse?	No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 200 feet of a lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake?	No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of an occupied permanent residence, school, hospital institution, or church?	No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 500 feet of a spring or private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes?	No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 1,000 feet of any fresh water well or spring?	No
Are the lateral extents of the release within any incorporated municipal boundaries?	No
Are the lateral extents of the release within a defined municipal fresh water well field?	No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland?	No
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine?	No
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology?	The Site is in an area of low potential for karst topography
Are the lateral extents of the release within the 100-year floodplain?	No
Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site?	No

Conclusions and Recommendations

The September 1, 2019 release of 815 barrels of produced water at the Site was completely contained within the lined containment structure. HRL has determined that the liner integrity was good and completely contained the release; therefore, additional characterization of the soil and/or groundwater at the Site is not necessary. HRL recommends closure of this release.

Dora Dean 24 #1 December 4, 2020 Mr. Kyle Alpers Page 4



Scope and Limitations

The scope of HRL's services consists of performing a liner integrity inspection and preparation of this closure report. All work has been performed in accordance with generally accepted professional environmental consulting practices for oil and gas releases in the Permian Basin.

If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me at (970) 243-3271 or via email at jlinn@hrlcomp.com.

Sincerely,

HRL Compliance Solutions, Inc.

julie L

Julie Linn, PG, RG Project Manager

Figures:

Figure 1: Site Location Map Figure 2: Depth to Groundwater Map

Attachments:

Attachment A: NMOCD Form C-141 Attachment B: Photographs Attachment C: Liner Inspection Field Form
Received by OCD: 9/1/2022 12:00:20 AM

Page 37 of 49

•



Figures

Released to Imaging: 9/8/2022 12:55:56 PM





Received by OCD: 9/1/2022 12:00:20 AM

Page 40 of 49



Attachment A

NMOCD Form C-141

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural **Resources Department**

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-141 Revised August 24, 2018 Submit to appropriate OCD District office

)

Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Release Notification

Responsible Party

Responsible Party ARMSTRONG ENERGY CORPORATION	OGRID 1092
Contact Name KYLE ALPERS	Contact Telephone (575) 623-2999 Ext. 305
Contact email kalpers@aecnm.com	Incident # (assigned by OCD)
Contact mailing address P.O. BOX 1973 ROSWELL, NM	88202

Location of Release Source

(NAD 83 in decimal degrees to 5 decimal places)

Longitude

-103.42913

Latitude 33.86264

Site Name DORA DEAN 24 # 1	Site Type SWD
Date Release Discovered 9/1/2019	API# (<i>if applicable</i>) 30-041-20938

Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	County
В	24	5S	33E	ROOSEVELT

Surface Owner: State Federal Tribal Private (Name:

Nature and Volume of Release

Material(s) Released (Select all that apply and attach calculations or specific justification for the volumes provided below)

Crude Oil	Volume Released (bbls)	Volume Recovered (bbls)
Produced Water	Volume Released (bbls) 815 BBLS	Volume Recovered (bbls) 660 BBLS
	Is the concentration of dissolved chloride in the produced water >10,000 mg/l?	Yes No
Condensate	Volume Released (bbls)	Volume Recovered (bbls)
Natural Gas	Volume Released (Mcf)	Volume Recovered (Mcf)
Other (describe)	Volume/Weight Released (provide units)	Volume/Weight Recovered (provide units)

Cause of Release

LIGHTNING STRIKE ON TANK BATTERY CAUSED A FIRE WHICH DESTROYED MOST OF THE TANKS IN THE BATTERY. THE RELEASE WAS CONTAINED WITHIN THE LINED BERM SECONDARY CONTAINMENT.

Page 41 of 49

Page 2

Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Was this a major release as defined by 19.15.29.7(A) NMAC?	If YES, for what reason(s) does the responsible party consider this a major release?	
Yes No	THE VOLUME OF PRODUCED WATER RELEASED EXCEEDED 25 BBLS.	
If YES, was immediate notice given to the OCD? By whom? To whom? When and by what means (phone, email, etc)? IMMEDIATE NOTICE WAS NOT PROVIDED TO OCD. THIS FORM IS FIRST NOTIFICATION.		

Initial Response

The responsible party must undertake the following actions immediately unless they could create a safety hazard that would result in injury

 \square The source of the release has been stopped.

The impacted area has been secured to protect human health and the environment.

Released materials have been contained via the use of berms or dikes, absorbent pads, or other containment devices.

All free liquids and recoverable materials have been removed and managed appropriately.

If all the actions described above have not been undertaken, explain why:

Per 19.15.29.8 B. (4) NMAC the responsible party may commence remediation immediately after discovery of a release. If remediation has begun, please attach a narrative of actions to date. If remedial efforts have been successfully completed or if the release occurred within a lined containment area (see 19.15.29.11(A)(5)(a) NMAC), please attach all information needed for closure evaluation.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

Printed Name: KYLE ALPERS	Title: VICE PRESIDENT OF ENGINEERING .
Signature:	Date:
email: KALPERS@AECNM.COM	Telephone: 575-623-2999 EXT. 305
OCD Only	
Received by:	Date:



Attachment B

Photographs





Photo of Release contained in earthen berm. No staining is observed outside of the earthen berm. Photo Date: 9/1/2019

Photo of Release contained in earthen berm. No staining is observed outside of the earthen berm. Photo Date: 9/1/2019



Page 45 of 49



Photo of lined earthen berm after fluids were removed. No staining is observed outside of the earthen berm. Photo Date: 9/3/2019



Photo of lined earthen berm after fluids were removed. No staining is observed outside of the earthen berm. Photo Date: 9/3/2019



Photo of lined earthen berm after fluids were removed. No staining is observed outside of the earthen berm. Photo Date: 9/3/2019



.



Attachment C

Liner Inspection Field Form



Liner Inspection Form

Client	armstrong Energy
Site Name	Dora Dean 24 #1
Latitude	33.86264
Longitude	-103. 42913
Date of Release	9-1-2019
NMOCD Incident Number	NRM 1926756372
Date of Inspection	9-3-2019

Observations	Yes	No	Comments
Is the liner present?	X		
Is the liner torn?		X	
Are there visible holes in the liner?		X	
Is the liner retaining any liquids?	X		on 9/1/2019 - Produced water
Does it appear the liner had the ability to contain the leak?	×		
Type of Liner: PolyStar (Earthen wit	h liner	Earthen no liner
Metal with Poly	/ Lining		Metal with Spray Epoxy Lining
Other (describe here):			
Other Concerns or Observations:			

Inspector Name	Julie Linn a	nd Natalie Gurdon on a	behal
Inspector Signature	- Julie L-	of armstring	<u>En</u> ergi

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 Phone:(575) 393-6161 Fax:(575) 393-0720 District II

811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 Phone:(575) 748-1283 Fax:(575) 748-9720

District III

1000 Rio Brazos Rd., Aztec, NM 87410 Phone:(505) 334-6178 Fax:(505) 334-6170

District IV 1220 S. St Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 Phone:(505) 476-3470 Fax:(505) 476-3462

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

CONDITIONS

Operator:	OGRID:
ARMSTRONG ENERGY CORP	1092
P.O. Box 1973	Action Number:
Roswell, NM 88202	139994
	Action Type:
	[C-141] Release Corrective Action (C-141)

CONDITIONS

Created	Condition	Condition	
By		Date	
jnobui	Closure Approved. Please apply 19.15.29.13 NMAC when completing P&A.	9/8/2022	

Action 139994

Page 49 of 49 CONDITIONS