District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Form C-141 Revised August 24, 2018 Submit to appropriate OCD District office

Page 1 of 28

Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

# **Release Notification**

### **Responsible Party**

Responsible Party EOG Resources	OGRID 7377	
Contact Name James Kennedy	Contact Telephone (432) 258-4346	
Contact email James_Kennedy@eogresources.com	Incident # (assigned by OCD) nTO1428133621	
Contact mailing address 5509 Champions Drive Midland, TX 79706		

### **Location of Release Source**

Latitude <u>32.1876°</u>

Longitude <u>-103.528411°</u> (NAD 83 in decimal degrees to 5 decimal places)

Site Name Madera Pipeline	Site Type Pipeline off pad and along the haul road
Date Release Discovered Unknown	API# (if applicable)

Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	County
K	25	T24S	R33E	Lea

Surface Owner: State Federal Tribal Private (Name: \_\_\_\_\_)

### Nature and Volume of Release

Material(s) Released (Select all that apply and attach calculations or specific justification for the volumes provided below)

Crude Oil	Volume Released (bbls)	Volume Recovered (bbls)	
Produced Water	Volume Released (bbls) unknown	Volume Recovered (bbls) unknown	
	Is the concentration of dissolved chloride in the produced water >10,000 mg/l?	Yes No	
Condensate	Volume Released (bbls)	Volume Recovered (bbls)	
🗌 Natural Gas	Volume Released (Mcf)	Volume Recovered (Mcf)	
Other (describe)	Volume/Weight Released (provide units)	Volume/Weight Recovered (provide units)	
Cause of Release: According lo our limited records, There was a small produced water leak from a poly line. CRA went out and collected soil samples and the north wall near a pipeline ROW had elevated chlorides concentrations. Area is on north side of lease road between Madera Ridge 25 # 1 and Vaca Lane.			

Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Page 2 of 28

Was this a major release as defined by 19.15.29.7(A) NMAC?	If YES, for what reason(s) does the responsible party consider this a major release?
🗌 Yes 🔀 No	
If YES, was immediate no	otice given to the OCD? By whom? To whom? When and by what means (phone, email, etc)?

### **Initial Response**

The responsible party must undertake the following actions immediately unless they could create a safety hazard that would result in injury

 $\square$  The source of the release has been stopped.

The impacted area has been secured to protect human health and the environment.

Released materials have been contained via the use of berms or dikes, absorbent pads, or other containment devices.

All free liquids and recoverable materials have been removed and managed appropriately.

If all the actions described above have not been undertaken, explain why:

Per 19.15.29.8 B. (4) NMAC the responsible party may commence remediation immediately after discovery of a release. If remediation has begun, please attach a narrative of actions to date. If remedial efforts have been successfully completed or if the release occurred within a lined containment area (see 19.15.29.11(A)(5)(a) NMAC), please attach all information needed for closure evaluation.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

Printed Name: <u>James Kennedy</u>	Title: _	Environmental Specialist
Signature:		Date:03/01/2022 Telephone:(432) 848-9146
OCD Only Received by:		Date:

Received by OCD: 3/3/2022 10:39:24 AM Form C-141 State of New Mexico

Page 3

Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Page 3 of 28

## Site Assessment/Characterization

This information must be provided to the appropriate district office no later than 90 days after the release discovery date.

What is the shallowest depth to groundwater beneath the area affected by the release?	(ft bgs)
Did this release impact groundwater or surface water?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse or any other significant watercourse?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark)?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of an occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Did the release impact areas <b>not</b> on an exploration, development, production, or storage site?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No

Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics.

#### Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report.

- Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells. Field data
- Data table of soil contaminant concentration data
- $\boxtimes$  Depth to water determination
- Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-mile of the lateral extents of the release
- Boring or excavation logs
- Photographs including date and GIS information
- Topographic/Aerial maps
- Laboratory data including chain of custody

If the site characterization report does not include completed efforts at remediation of the release, the report must include a proposed remediation plan. That plan must include the estimated volume of material to be remediated, the proposed remediation technique, proposed sampling plan and methods, anticipated timelines for beginning and completing the remediation. The closure criteria for a release are contained in Table 1 of 19.15.29.12 NMAC, however, use of the table is modified by site- and release-specific parameters.

Acceived by OCD: 3/3/2022 10:39:24 AM Form C-141 State of New Mexico		_	Page 4 of 28		
	Oil Conservation Division			Incident ID	
Page 4				District RP	
				Facility ID	
				Application ID	
regulations all operators are public health or the environm failed to adequately investig addition, OCD acceptance of and/or regulations. Printed Name: Signature: email:james_kenned	rmation given above is true and complete t required to report and/or file certain releas ment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by gate and remediate contamination that pose of a C-141 report does not relieve the opera James F. Kennedy Mark F. Kennedy y@eogresources.com	se notifications and y the OCD does not a threat to groundy tor of responsibility Title: Date:0.	l perform con t relieve the water, surfac y for compli Env. Spe 3/01/2022_	rective actions for rele operator of liability sho e water, human health ance with any other feo	eases which may endanger ould their operations have or the environment. In deral, state, or local laws
OCD Only					
Received by:		Da	ate:		

Received by OCD: 3/3/2022 10:39:24 AM Form C-141 State of New Mexico

Oil Conservation Division

**<u>Remediation Plan Checklist</u>**: Each of the following items must be included in the plan.

	Page 5 of 28
Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

## **Remediation Plan**

Detailed description of proposed remediation technique Scaled sitemap with GPS coordinates showing delineation points Estimated volume of material to be remediated Closure criteria is to Table 1 specifications subject to 19.15.29.12(C)(4) NMAC Proposed schedule for remediation (note if remediation plan timeline is more than 90 days OCD approval is required) Deferral Requests Only: Each of the following items must be confirmed as part of any request for deferral of remediation. Contamination must be in areas immediately under or around production equipment where remediation could cause a major facility deconstruction. Extents of contamination must be fully delineated. Contamination does not cause an imminent risk to human health, the environment, or groundwater. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. Printed Name: Title: Signature: Date: Telephone: \_\_\_\_\_\_ email: OCD Only Received by: Date: Approved Approved with Attached Conditions of Approval Denied Deferral Approved Signature: Date:

Page 5

Page 6

Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Page 6 of 28

# Closure

The responsible party must attach information demonstrating they have complied with all applicable closure requirements and any conditions or directives of the OCD. This demonstration should be in the form of a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) including a scaled site map, sampling diagrams, relevant field notes, photographs of any excavation prior to backfilling, laboratory data including chain of custody documents of final sampling, and a narrative of the remedial activities. Refer to 19.15.29.12 NMAC.

<b><u>Closure Report Attachment Checklist</u></b> : Each of the following	items must be included in the closure report.
$\square$ A scaled site and sampling diagram as described in 19.15.29.	11 NMAC
Photographs of the remediated site prior to backfill or photos must be notified 2 days prior to liner inspection)	s of the liner integrity if applicable (Note: appropriate OCD District office
Laboratory analyses of final sampling (Note: appropriate OD	C District office must be notified 2 days prior to final sampling)
Description of remediation activities	
and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certa may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and re human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of	ations. The responsible party acknowledges they must substantially onditions that existed prior to the release or their final land use in DCD when reclamation and re-vegetation are complete. Title:Env. Specialist
email:james_kennedy@cogresources.com	1 elephone:432-238-4340
OCD Only	
Received by:	Date:
	of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and water, human health, or the environment nor does not relieve the responsible /or regulations.
Closure Approved by: Hall	Date: <u>1/24/2023</u>
Printed Name: Brittany Hall	

Received by OCD: 3/3/2022 10:39:24 AM



6121 Indian School Road NE, Suite 200 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87110 Telephone: (505) 884-0672 Fax: (505) 884-4932 www.CRAworld.com

November 6, 2014

Reference No. 088210/02

Tomáš 'Doc' Oberding, PhD Environmental Specialist – New Mexico Oil Conservation Division Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department 1625 N. French Dr. Hobbs, NM 88240

Dear Dr. Oberding:

Re: Summary of Soil Sampling Madera Pipeline 1RP-3368-0 Lea County, New Mexico

On behalf of EOG Resources, Inc. (EOG), Conestoga Rovers and Associates (CRA), performed a subsurface assessment at the above referenced location on July 29, 2014 and October 13, 2014. The Site is located at coordinates 32.1876 N, 103.528411 W and is west of Jal, New Mexico, in Lea County (see Figure 1). The case number is 1RP-3368-0. This report is being submitted on behalf of EOG.

The site is currently an active polyline located alongside a haul road. The Site's topography is relatively flat, covered with windblown sand, sparse vegetation, and mesquite trees. A release occurred when a polyline leaked produced water. Based on the C-141 form, the release was estimated to be an unknown volume, with an unknown volume recovered. Contaminates of concern are chlorides, BTEX, and TPH.

Most of the impacted soil had been excavated at the time that CRA performed the initial sampling event (July 2014). The soil stockpile was placed on plastic sheeting. The excavation has yet to be backfilled with clean soil at the time of CRA's assessment. Presented below is a summary of the July and October 2014 sampling events.

Equal Employment Opportunity Employer



November 6, 2014

Reference No. 088210/02

### 1.0 Site Risk Ranking

The New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) has a risk ranking system to establish the regulatory limits for petroleum hydrocarbons. The risk ranking system is based on the depth to groundwater, the presence of wellhead protection areas, and the distance of the site to surface water bodies.

- 2 -

According to Tomáš 'Doc' Oberding, PhD with NMOCD, the depth to groundwater in the vicinity of the site is estimated to be approximately 50'-100' feet (ft) below ground surface (bgs). There are no well head protection areas in the vicinity of the site. There are no surface water bodies within a 1000'. Based on this, the NMOCD Risk Ranking score for the site is 10. The Recommended Remediation Action Levels (RRALs) for the site are 1000 parts per million (ppm) for TPH, 10 ppm for benzene, 50 ppm for total BTEX. The recommended concentration for chlorides is 500 ppm (see table below).

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division Spill Guidelines	
Ranking Criteria	Score
Depth to Ground Water (less than 50 ft)	10
Wellhead Protection Area	0
Distance to Surface Body Water	10
Ranking Criteria Total Score	10
*Because the ranking criteria total score is 10, NMOCD RRALs are 10 ppm for benzene, 50 ppm for BTEX, 1000 ppm for total TPH, and 500 ppm for chlorid	

### 2.0 Sampling Activities

The sampling activities performed at the Site consisted of hand-shovel digging, hand auguring, and backhoe excavation to depths of 3-5 feet (ft) below ground surface (BGS).

Received by OCD: 3/3/2022 10:39:24 AM



November 6, 2014

Reference No. 088210/02

Sampling tools were cleaned with an Alconox wash solution and clean water rinse prior to collecting each soil sample. Field screening was performed for chlorides using Hach Chloride Test strips and total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) using a Petroflag Hydocarbon analysis kit.

- 3 -

Following field screening, soil samples were collected for laboratory analysis of chlorides by EPA Method 300.0, TPH by EPA Method 8015, and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX) by EPA Method 8021. Soil samples were submitted under chain of custody documentation via overnight delivery to Trace Analysis Laboratories of Midland, Texas and Xenco Laboratories of Odessa, Texas

Initial soil sampling performed on July 29, 2014, indicated that soil concentrations of chlorides, BTEX and TPH were below regulatory limits with the exception of the sample collected from the north wall. This sample indicated a chloride concentration of 1110 ppm (see Figure 2). Due to this, additional soil excavation and sampling was performed on October 13, 2013. A soil sample was collected from the newly excavated north wall and analyzed for chlorides by EPA Method 300.0. The result of the laboratory analyses was 3.42 ppm (see Figure 2). Received by OCD: 3/3/2022 10:39:24 AM



November 6, 2014

Reference No. 088210/02

Based on the results of the laboratory analyses, CRA requests No Further Action be required for this site. If you have any questions or comments with regards to this request for closure, please do not hesitate to contact our Albuquerque office at (505) 884-0672.

- 4 -

Yours truly,

**CONESTOGA-ROVERS & ASSOCIATES** 

Reviewed by:

Steven Aren

Steven Perez Staff Scientist

BB/mc/1 Encl. (5)

Attachments:

Figure 1. Site Location Map Figure 2. Site Detail Map Appendix A. Laboratory Analytical Results

Benavel Bal!

Bernard Bockisch, PMP Senior Project Manager

Figures



•



"BELL LAKE AND WOODLEY FLAT, NEW MEXICO"

COORDINATE: NAD83 DATUM, U.S. FOOT STATE PLANE ZONE - NEW MEXICO EAST

Figure 1

SITE LOCATION MAP MADERA PIPELINE near Jal, New Mexico

088210-02(PRES000)GN-DL001 NOV 3/2014



# Appendix A

Laboratory Analytical Results



.

Report Date: August 5, 2014

# **Summary Report**

Steven Perez CRA-Midland 2135 South Loop 250 West Midland, TX 79703

Report Date: August 5, 2014

Work Order: 14073103

Project Location: Jal, NM Project Name: EOG-Madera Pipeline Project Number: 088210/02

			Date	Time	Date
Sample	Description	Matrix	Taken	Taken	Received
369991	088210-MPL-N Wall 3'	soil	2014-07-29	12:15	2014-07-31
369992	088210-MPL-Center Hole 4'	soil	2014-07-29	12:10	2014-07-31
369993	088210-MPL-W Wall 3'	soil	2014-07-29	12:25	2014-07-31
369994	088210-MPL-S Wall 3'	soil	2014-07-29	12:35	2014-07-31
369995	088210-MPL-E Wall 4'	soil	2014-07-29	12:50	2014-07-31

		Ι	BTEX	TPH DRO - NEW	TPH GRO	
	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	DRO	GRO	
Sample - Field Code	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)
369991 - 088210-MPL-N Wall 3'	$< 0.200^{-1}$	< 0.200	< 0.200	< 0.200	$520 \ _{\rm Qr,Qs}$	$< 40.0^{-2}$
369992 - 088210-MPL-Center Hole 4'	< 0.0200	< 0.0200	< 0.0200	< 0.0200	$84.2 \rm \ Qr,Qs$	<4.00
369993 - 088210-MPL-W Wall 3'	< 0.0200	< 0.0200	< 0.0200	< 0.0200	$63.2  \mathrm{Qr,Qs}$	<4.00
369994 - 088210-MPL-S Wall 3'	< 0.0200	< 0.0200	< 0.0200	< 0.0200	$< 50.0  \mathrm{Qr,Qs}$	<4.00
369995 - 088210-MPL-E Wall 4'	< 0.0200	< 0.0200	< 0.0200	< 0.0200	${<}50.0~{}_{\rm Qr,Qs}$	<4.00

#### Sample: 369991 - 088210-MPL-N Wall 3'

Param	Flag	Result	Units	$\operatorname{RL}$
Chloride		1110	m mg/Kg	25

#### Sample: 369992 - 088210-MPL-Center Hole 4'

Param	Flag	Result	Units	$\operatorname{RL}$
Chloride		35.4	m mg/Kg	25

 $^1\mathrm{Dilution}$  due to turbidity.

<sup>2</sup>Dilution due to turbidity.

TraceAnalysis, Inc. • 6701 Aberdeen Ave., Suite 9 • Lubbock, TX 79424-1515 • (806) 794-1296 This is only a summary. Please, refer to the complete report package for quality control data. Chloride

25

Report Date: August 5, 2014		Work Order: 14073103	Page 1	Number: 2 of 2
Sample: 369993	- 088210-MPL-W Wa	11 3'		
Param	Flag	Result	Units	RL
Chloride		257	m mg/Kg	25
Param Chloride	Flag	Result <25.0	Units mg/Kg	RL 25
Sample: 369995	- 088210-MPL-E Wall	1 4'		
Param	Flag	Result	Units	$\operatorname{RL}$

32.3

mg/Kg

# Analytical Report 495086

## for

Conestoga-Rovers & Associates-Albuquerque, NM

**Project Manager: Bernie Bockisch** 

**EOG Remediation Sites-Madrea Pipeline** 

## 20-OCT-14

Collected By: Client





### 12600 West I-20 East Odessa, Texas 79765

Xenco-Houston (EPA Lab code: TX00122): Texas (T104704215-14-18), Arizona (AZ0765), Florida (E871002), Louisiana (03054) New Jersey (TX007), North Carolina(681), Oklahoma (9218), Pennsylvania (68-03610)

Xenco-Atlanta (EPA Lab Code: GA00046): Florida (E87429), North Carolina (483), South Carolina (98015), Kentucky (85), DoD ( L10-135) Texas (T104704477), Louisiana (04176), USDA (P330-07-00105)

> Xenco-Lakeland: Florida (E84098) Xenco-Odessa (EPA Lab code: TX00158): Texas (T104704400-TX) Xenco-Dallas (EPA Lab code: TX01468): Texas (T104704295-TX) Xenco Phoenix (EPA Lab Code: AZ00901): Arizona(AZ0757) Xenco-Phoenix Mobile (EPA Lab code: AZ00901): Arizona (AZM757) Xenco Tucson (EPA Lab code:AZ000989): Arizona (AZ0758)





20-OCT-14

Project Manager: Bernie Bockisch Conestoga-Rovers & Associates-Albuquerque, NM 6121 Indian School Rd. NE Suite 200

Albuquerque, NM 87110

Reference: XENCO Report No(s): **495086 EOG Remediation Sites-Madrea Pipeline** Project Address: Jal,NM

### Bernie Bockisch:

We are reporting to you the results of the analyses performed on the samples received under the project name referenced above and identified with the XENCO Report Number(s) 495086. All results being reported under this Report Number apply to the samples analyzed and properly identified with a Laboratory ID number. Subcontracted analyses are identified in this report with either the NELAC certification number of the subcontract lab in the analyst ID field, or the complete subcontracted report attached to this report.

Unless otherwise noted in a Case Narrative, all data reported in this Analytical Report are in compliance with NELAC standards. The uncertainty of measurement associated with the results of analysis reported is available upon request. Should insufficient sample be provided to the laboratory to meet the method and NELAC Matrix Duplicate and Matrix Spike requirements, then the data will be analyzed, evaluated and reported using all other available quality control measures.

The validity and integrity of this report will remain intact as long as it is accompanied by this letter and reproduced in full, unless written approval is granted by XENCO Laboratories. This report will be filed for at least 5 years in our archives after which time it will be destroyed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. The samples received, and described as recorded in Report No. 495086 will be filed for 60 days, and after that time they will be properly disposed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. We reserve the right to return to you any unused samples, extracts or solutions related to them if we consider so necessary (e.g., samples identified as hazardous waste, sample sizes exceeding analytical standard practices, controlled substances under regulated protocols, etc).

We thank you for selecting XENCO Laboratories to serve your analytical needs. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact us at any time.

spectfully, Moah

 

 Kelsey Brooks

 Project Manager

 Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994. Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies.

 A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - Odessa - San Antonio - Tampa - Lakeland - Atlanta - Phoenix - Oklahoma - Latin America



## Sample Cross Reference 495086



## Conestoga-Rovers & Associates-Albuquerque, NM, Albuque

EOG Remediation Sites-Madrea Pipeline

Sample Id	Matrix	Date Collected	Sample Depth	Lab Sample Id
SO-08210-02-101314-SP-01	S	10-13-14 12:45		495086-001





CASE NARRATIVE



Client Name: Conestoga-Rovers & Associates-Albuquerque, NM Project Name: EOG Remediation Sites-Madrea Pipeline

Project ID: Work Order Number(s): 495086 
 Report Date:
 20-OCT-14

 Date Received:
 10/14/2014

Sample receipt non conformances and comments:

Sample receipt non conformances and comments per sample:

None



Contact: Bernie Bockisch

**Project Id:** 

Project Location: Jal,NM

## Certificate of Analysis Summary 495086

Conestoga-Rovers & Associates-Albuquerque, NM, Albuquerque, NM

Project Name: EOG Remediation Sites-Madrea Pipeline



Date Received in Lab: Tue Oct-14-14 10:19 am

Report Date: 20-OCT-14

Project Manager: Kelsey Brooks

				- J		
Lab Id:	495086-001					
Field Id:	SO-08210-02-101314-SP-01					
Depth:						
Matrix:	SOIL					
Sampled:	Oct-13-14 12:45					
Extracted:	Oct-16-14 11:57					
Analyzed:	Oct-16-14 17:45					
Units/RL:	mg/kg RL					
	3.42 2.27					
Extracted:						
Analyzed:	Oct-14-14 17:00					
Units/RL:	% RL					
	12.2 1.00					
	Field Id: Depth: Matrix: Sampled: Extracted: Analyzed: Units/RL: Extracted: Analyzed:	Field Id:       SO-08210-02-101314-SP-01         Depth:	Field Id:       SO-08210-02-101314-SP-01         Depth:	Field Id:       SO-08210-02-101314-SP-01         Depth:	Lab Id:       495086-001         Field Id:       SO-08210-02-101314-SP-01         Depth:	Lab Id:       495086-001         Field Id:       \$0-08210-02-101314-SP-01         Depth:

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use. The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best judgment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data hereby presented. Our liability is limited to the amount invoiced for this work order unless otherwise agreed to in writing.

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Atlanta - Tampa - Boca Raton - Latin America - Odessa - Corpus Christi

Kms Boah

Kelsey Brooks Project Manager

Page 5 of 11



# Flagging Criteria



- X In our quality control review of the data a QC deficiency was observed and flagged as noted. MS/MSD recoveries were found to be outside of the laboratory control limits due to possible matrix /chemical interference, or a concentration of target analyte high enough to affect the recovery of the spike concentration. This condition could also affect the relative percent difference in the MS/MSD.
- **B** A target analyte or common laboratory contaminant was identified in the method blank. Its presence indicates possible field or laboratory contamination.
- **D** The sample(s) were diluted due to targets detected over the highest point of the calibration curve, or due to matrix interference. Dilution factors are included in the final results. The result is from a diluted sample.
- **E** The data exceeds the upper calibration limit; therefore, the concentration is reported as estimated.
- F RPD exceeded lab control limits.
- J The target analyte was positively identified below the quantitation limit and above the detection limit.
- U Analyte was not detected.
- L The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported below the laboratory control limits for this analyte. The department supervisor and QA Director reviewed data. The samples were either reanalyzed or flagged as estimated concentrations.
- H The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported above the laboratory control limits. Supporting QC Data were reviewed by the Department Supervisor and QA Director. Data were determined to be valid for reporting.
- K Sample analyzed outside of recommended hold time.
- JN A combination of the "N" and the "J" qualifier. The analysis indicates that the analyte is "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value may not be consistent with the amount actually present in the environmental sample.
- \*\* Surrogate recovered outside laboratory control limit.
- BRL Below Reporting Limit.
- RL Reporting Limit
- MDL Method Detection Limit SDL Sample Detection Limit LOD Limit of Detection MQL Method Quantitation Limit LOQ Limit of Quantitation **POL** Practical Quantitation Limit
- **DL** Method Detection Limit
- NC Non-Calculable
- + NELAC certification not offered for this compound.
- (Next to analyte name or method description) = Outside XENCO's scope of NELAC accreditation

### Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994.

Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies.

A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Atlanta - Midland/Odessa - Tampa/Lakeland - Phoenix - Latin America

4143 Greenbriar Dr, Stafford, TX 77477 9701 Harry Hines Blvd , Dallas, TX 75220 5332 Blackberry Drive, San Antonio TX 78238 2505 North Falkenburg Rd, Tampa, FL 33619 12600 West I-20 East, Odessa, TX 79765 6017 Financial Drive, Norcross, GA 30071 3725 E. Atlanta Ave, Phoenix, AZ 85040

Phone	Fax
(281) 240-4200	(281) 240-4280
(214) 902 0300	(214) 351-9139
(210) 509-3334	(210) 509-3335
(813) 620-2000	(813) 620-2033
(432) 563-1800	(432) 563-1713
(770) 449-8800	(770) 449-5477
(602) 437-0330	

Pho



# **Blank Spike Recovery**



## Project Name: EOG Remediation Sites-Madrea Pipelir

Work Order #: 495086	Project ID:						
<b>Lab Batch #:</b> 953149	Sample: 663057-1-E		1-BKS	Matrix: Solid			
<b>Date Analyzed:</b> 10/16/2014	Date Pre	epared: 10/16/20	)14	Analyst	: DEP		
Reporting Units: mg/kg	В	atch #: 1	BLANK /	BLANK SPI	KE REC	COVERY S	STUDY
Inorganic Anions by EPA 300/300.1		Blank Result	Spike Added	Blank Spike	Blank Spike	Control Limits	Flags
Analytes		[A]	[B]	Result [C]	%R [D]	%R	
Chloride		<2.00	20.0	19.5	98	80-120	

Blank Spike Recovery [D] = 100\*[C]/[B] All results are based on MDL and validated for QC purposes. BRL - Below Reporting Limit



## Form 3 - MS / MSD Recoveries



### Project Name: EOG Remediation Sites-Madrea Pipeline

	Work Order # :	495086	Project ID:												
Lab Batch ID: Date Analyzed:		953149	QC- Sample ID:	495023-	-001 S	Ba	tch #:	1 Matrix	: Soil						
		10/16/2014	Date Prepared:	10/16/2	014	An	Analyst: I	DEP							
	<b>Reporting Units:</b>	mg/kg	MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY												
	Inorgan	ic Anions by EPA 300/300.1	Parent Sample	Spike	Spiked Sample Result	Sample		Duplicate Spiked Sample		RPD	Control Limits	Control Limits	Flag		
		Analytes	Result [A]	Added [B]	[C]	%R [D]	Added [E]	Result [F]	%R [G]	%	%R	%RPD			
	Chloride		<2.08	20.8	22.5	108	20.8	22.5	108	0	80-120	20			

Matrix Spike Percent Recovery  $[D] = 100^{*}(C-A)/B$ Relative Percent Difference RPD =  $200^{*}|(C-F)/(C+F)|$  Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery [G] = 100\*(F-A)/E

ND = Not Detected, J = Present Below Reporting Limit, B = Present in Blank, NR = Not Requested, I = Interference, NA = Not Applicable N = See Narrative, EQL = Estimated Quantitation Limit, NC = Non Calculable - Sample amount is > 4 times the amount spiked.



Work Order #: 495086



### **Project Name: EOG Remediation Sites-Madrea Pipeline**

Lab Batch #: 952934 **Project ID:** Date Prepared: 10/14/2014 Analyst: WRU Date Analyzed: 10/14/2014 17:00 QC- Sample ID: 495086-001 D Batch #: 1 Matrix: Soil SAMPLE / SAMPLE DUPLICATE RECOVERY **Reporting Units:** % Sample Control **Percent Moisture** Parent Sample Duplicate RPD Limits Result Flag Result %RPD [A] [B] Analyte Percent Moisture 12.2 12.0 2 20 Lab Batch #: 952934 Date Prepared: 10/14/2014 Analyst: WRU Date Analyzed: 10/14/2014 17:00 Batch #: 1 Matrix: Soil QC- Sample ID: 495120-011 D SAMPLE / SAMPLE DUPLICATE RECOVERY **Reporting Units: % Percent Moisture** Parent Sample Sample Control RPD Duplicate Limits Result Flag %RPD Result [A] [B] Analyte 27.4 28.2 3 20 Percent Moisture

Spike Relative Difference RPD 200 \* | (B-A)/(B+A) | All Results are based on MDL and validated for QC purposes. BRL - Below Reporting Limit

eų v	OCD:	5/3			0:3 51 -	9:24	AM	21		0 1	9	8	7	6	сл	4	ω.	2	<b></b>	ltem		0	2	Da	ק ק	Page 2
eđ bj	Ale		1 Day	TAT Required in business days (use separate COCs for different TATs)		U. Make.											51 12 12 12		50-02	SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION (Containers for each sample may be combined on one line)		Sampler(c)	Chemistry Contact	oject Loc.	Project No/ Phase/Task Code: Project Name:	
	60	RE	2 Days	ired in	1000	Sturk								1			t		0882	LE IDI rs for ea	N	D	Conto	ocation	No/ Pha:	
1	P	<b>RELINQUISHED BY</b>	)ays	busir	No BY	1			hette								a first		210	ENTIF ch samp	por 1	nie	act:	1: Ja	se/Tas	84
	2 and	SHED E	3 Days	iess a		the state		Na Na											10-02-	ICATI le may b	2000	5	3	h	2 Con	& A
10	R	3Y		'ays (u	a de la composition de la comp	1.916	Site of									1	120		-10	ON le combi	K	ach	ing i	Sites	1	SSC
				ISe se		10 kg	16. 3									111	5.000		1314	ned on c		150		-1	0	
Į.			1 Week	parate	1.4.5	1000	195015		102.10							Disk!	137		101314-SP-	ne line)	R	2	i) sti	ide Co	0	& ASSOCIATES
	0	Carlo Martin	2 Week	coc			di vi				11/11				lei Isi	C.R.S.			0		2eres	6.0	-	E to		2.
2	An	COM	leek	s for o		114	16						N. C.	1	100		1	1	10	(m	Delez Ociaworkh.com				Pil	1062
N		COMPANY	Other:	differe	X-11 1		DUID				D'dBr		1000	11/5	ib0it			1	113/1	DATE	UZUX	Scole	er/a	N	elix	Ma
1	N PA	State of the		nt TA		100	100	1				15 15	1012	The second	10			-	41	•	rld.		0	n	0	8
5113	0		Solar	Ts):	the same		550 V6	10		Dilles.	11 control	-100-5	10/20	91.89	11.62		0.11	10	2:45	TIME (hh:mm)	2		have With the originated in		To de	Address: Phon
	1/51	DATE	4						-					-			8	;	S	Matrix (see b	Code ack of C		A T	2	Lat	A h
	2			-				1	31		-				1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	120			3		G) or Co		TYPE		Cont	202
	3:20	LV D	AIIS			1		-								104			112	Unpres	erved	g é			Laboratory Name:	1280
1.010	0	TIME	ample	Tota	315							21	210					-			hloric A	<u> -                                   </u>	-	Co	ne:	0-
ω	N	1	All Samples in Cooler must be	Total Number of Conta	-							- 14 - 1			-				0.03	P	cid (HN)	11. N.	PRE	CONTAINER QUANTIT	1	050
		-	ooler	nber o													-			1030	Hydrox		PRESERVATION	IER O	45	12
	11	111	must	f Con				-											11.2	Methan VOC)	ol/Water	(Soil	ATIO	UANT	S	Fax:
	R	RE	be on	tainers:		192											100		2	17	es 3x5-g,	1x25-g	_	NTY &	the second	OK. F
ć.	E	RECEIVED	e on COC	s:		14 20					21			13	1			÷	$\sim$	Other:	FCA ontainer	s/Sample			1101	Hiden
×	1	BY		No		111	· · · ·				1					24			X		orite	1+1			Lab	the s
100				ites/ S					10.00								1000		1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	+-			1.1.1.1		Lab Lecation:	1 ver
di se-				pecia					1.								2		ing a Sapa Sala	- <u>8</u> - (5			Back		Quote No:	hur h
11.211.				Requ								-					100		1999 - 1999 1999 - 1999				of CO		5	10 page que print & 1110
li - cel	X	0		Notes/ Special Requirements:						1					-					- 6-	1-1		See Back of COC for Definitions)		X	C
1212	MC	COMPANY		nts:		1510						_		_			2		1000		10		)efiniti			
	C	NY								18		. 1			3		110			E.	14 A		ons)		0.00 (C)	
10E							34	_	1	13	21				2		11			. An er	D Reque	K			0 00	(See
uo.le	1011	D				11/20				No.	9	i e o cuit			1.6,11		2	ł	20	SPEC	Q 3	2971	Virhill	Carrier:	SSOW ID: Cooler No:	Rever
ACL ST	4112	DATE				1.4		0			24				či i			I	J	COMMENTS/ SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:	10/3/)4	15	Vo.		ID: No:	PAGEOF (See Reverse Side for Instructions)
AVP -	11										VI2							C	R	COMMENTS/		84	100	1111		GE
	0	TIME				101					N.				2			R	)''	S/	15.2	25	96-			_ OF
	Imagin		1/2	1/20	22 0	2.17	.25	414											-001	S		1	10 m 10 m	2		ions)

Page 10 of 11

Final 1.000





Work Order #: 495086

## **XENCO Laboratories**



Prelogin/Nonconformance Report- Sample Log-In

Client: Conestoga-Rovers & Associates-Albuqu Date/ Time Received: 10/14/2014 10:19:43 AM

Acceptable Temperature Range: 0 - 6 degC Air and Metal samples Acceptable Range: Ambient

**Temperature Measuring device used :** 

Sample Receipt Checklis	st	Comments
#1 *Temperature of cooler(s)?	4.5	
#2 *Shipping container in good condition?	Yes	
#3 *Samples received on ice?	Yes	
#4 *Custody Seals intact on shipping container/ cooler?	No	
#5 Custody Seals intact on sample bottles?	No	
#6 *Custody Seals Signed and dated?	No	
#7 *Chain of Custody present?	Yes	
#8 Sample instructions complete on Chain of Custody?	Yes	
#9 Any missing/extra samples?	No	
#10 Chain of Custody signed when relinquished/ received?	Yes	
#11 Chain of Custody agrees with sample label(s)?	Yes	
#12 Container label(s) legible and intact?	Yes	
#13 Sample matrix/ properties agree with Chain of Custody?	Yes	
#14 Samples in proper container/ bottle?	Yes	
#15 Samples properly preserved?	Yes	
#16 Sample container(s) intact?	Yes	
#17 Sufficient sample amount for indicated test(s)?	Yes	
#18 All samples received within hold time?	Yes	
#19 Subcontract of sample(s)?	No	
#20 VOC samples have zero headspace (less than 1/4 inch bubble)?	N/A	
#21 <2 for all samples preserved with HNO3,HCL, H2SO4?	N/A	
#22 >10 for all samples preserved with NaAsO2+NaOH, ZnAc+NaOH?	N/A	

### \* Must be completed for after-hours delivery of samples prior to placing in the refrigerator

Analyst:

PH Device/Lot#:

Date: 10/14/2014

Checklist completed by: Marshoah Kelsey Brooks Checklist reviewed by: Marshoah Kelsey Brooks

Date: 10/14/2014

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 Phone:(575) 393-6161 Fax:(575) 393-0720 District II

811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 Phone:(575) 748-1283 Fax:(575) 748-9720

District III

1000 Rio Brazos Rd., Aztec, NM 87410 Phone:(505) 334-6178 Fax:(505) 334-6170

District IV 1220 S. St Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 Phone: (505) 476-3470 Fax: (505) 476-3462

## **State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division** 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

CONDITIONS

Operator:	OGRID:
EOG RESOURCES INC	7377
P.O. Box 2267	Action Number:
Midland, TX 79702	85928
	Action Type:
	[C-141] Release Corrective Action (C-141)

#### CONDITIONS

Created By		Condition Date
bhall	None	1/24/2023

CONDITIONS

Page 28 of 28

.

Action 85928

Released to Imaging: 1/24/2023 8:47:25 AM