Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	nAB1729754125
District RP	2RP-4454
Facility ID	
Application ID	

## Closure

The responsible party must attach information demonstrating they have complied with all applicable closure requirements and any conditions or directives of the OCD. This demonstration should be in the form of a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) including a scaled site map, sampling diagrams, relevant field notes, photographs of any excavation prior to backfilling, laboratory data including chain of custody documents of final sampling, and a narrative of the remedial activities. Refer to 19.15.29.12 NMAC.

<u>Closure Report Attachment Checklist</u>: Each of the following items must be included in the closure report.

A scaled site and sampling diagram as described in 19.15.29.11 NMAC

Photographs of the remediated site prior to backfill or photos of the liner integrity if applicable (Note: appropriate OCD District office must be notified 2 days prior to liner inspection)

Laboratory analyses of final sampling (Note: appropriate ODC District office must be notified 2 days prior to final sampling)

Description of remediation activities

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. The responsible party acknowledges they must substantially restore, reclaim, and re-vegetate the impacted surface area to the conditions that existed prior to the release or their final land use in accordance with 19.15.29.13 NMAC including notification to the OCD when reclamation and re-vegetation are complete.

Printed Name: Charles R. Beauvais II	Title: Senior Environmental Engineer
Signature: Charles R. Beauvais 99	Date: <u>4/5/2023</u>
email:charles.r.beauvais@conocophillips.com	Telephone: <u>575-988-2043</u>

OCD Only

Page 6

Received by:

Date:

Closure approval by the OCD does not relieve the responsible party of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that poses a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health, or the environment nor does not relieve the responsible party of compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

Closure Approved by: Buttan Hall	Date: <u>5/2/2023</u>
Printed Name: Brittany Hall	Title: Environmental Specialist



## **REMEDIATION SUMMARY AND**

## **RISK-BASED SITE CLOSURE REQUEST**

COG Operating, LLC GJ West Coop Unit #108 Eddy County, New Mexico Unit Letter "E", Section 28, Township 17 South, Range 29 East Latitude 32.8073502° North, Longitude 104.0862198° West NMOCD Reference No. 2RP-4351

COG Operating, LLC GJ West Coop Unit #011 Eddy County, New Mexico Unit Letter "E", Section 28, Township 17 South, Range 29 East Latitude 32.8073502° North, Longitude 104.0862198° West NMOCD Reference No. 2RP-4454

Prepared For:

**COG Operating, LLC** 600 W Illinois Avenue Midland, Texas 79701

Prepared By:

**TRC Environmental Corporation** 10 Desta Drive, Suite 150E Midland, Texas 79705

April 2019

Jared E. Stoffel, PG Staff Geologist

Curt Stanley Senior Project Manager

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION & BACKGROUND INFORMATION1
INITIAL INVESTIGATION AND PROPOSED REMEDIATION WORKPLAN2
SUMMARY OF SOIL REMEDIATION ACTIVITIES4
SITE CLOSURE REQUEST
LIMITATIONS7
DISTRIBUTION

## FIGURES

Figure 1 – Site Location Map Figure 2A – Site & Sample Location Map – Initial Investigation (2RP-4351) Figure 2B – Site & Sample Location Map – Initial Investigation (2RP-4454) Figure 3A – Site & Confirmation Sample Location Map (2RP-4351) Figure 3B – Site & Confirmation Sample Location Map (2RP-4454)

## TABLES

Table 1 – Concentrations of Benzene, BTEX, TPH and Chloride in Soil (2RP-4351) Table 2 – Concentrations of Benzene, BTEX, TPH and Chloride in Soil (2RP-4454)

## APPENDICES

- Appendix A Laboratory Analytical Reports
- Appendix B General Photographs
- Appendix C Release Notification and Corrective Action (Form C-141)

## **INTRODUCTION & BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

TRC Environmental Corporation (TRC), on behalf of COG Operating, LLC (COG), has prepared this *Remediation Summary and Risk-Based Soil Closure Request* for the Release Sites known as GJ West Coop Unit #108 (2RP-4351) and GJ West Coop Unit #011 (2RP-4454). The legal description of the Sites is Unit Letter "E", Section 28, Township 17 South, Range 29 East, in Eddy County, New Mexico. The subject property is owned by the State of New Mexico and administered by New Mexico State Land Office (NMSLO). Based on the proximity, cause and current status of each of the Release Sites, the Sites were remediated concurrently under one (1) Approved Workplan. A "Site Location Map" is provided as Figure 1. General Photographs are provided in Appendix B.

## GJ West Coop Unit #108 (2RP-4351)

On August 7, 2017, COG discovered a release had occurred from the previously plugged and abandoned GJ West Coop Unit #108 well. During initial response activities, the affected portion of the well casing was exhumed and the Release was mitigated. The initial Release Notification and Corrective Action (Form C-141) indicated approximately three thousand, seventy-five (3,075) barrels (bbls) of produced water was released with approximately three thousand, fifty-five (3,055) bbls of produced water recovered. After the completion of recovery efforts it was determined seven thousand, seven hundred eleven (7,711) bbls of produced water was recovered, which will be reflected on the Final C-141. During initial response activities, released fluids were diverted into a makeshift containment in an effort to minimize the affected area and assist in fluid recovery. In an effort to exhume the casing, isolate the release, and re-plug the well, an approximate twenty-eight (28) ft. excavation was conducted around the affected well. After completion of excavation and sloping activities, approximately 7,847 cubic yards (cy) of affected soil was excavated from an area measuring approximately twenty-two thousand (22,000) sq. ft. Excavated soil was transported to an NMOCD-approved disposal facility. A copy of the initial Form C-141 for the GJ West Coop Unit #108 (2RP-4351) is provided in Appendix C.

## GJ West Coop Unit #011 (2RP-4454)

On October 15, 2017, a similar release occurred on an adjacent plugged and abandoned well, the GJ West Coop Unit #011 (2RP-4454). During initial response activities, the release was mitigated and the affected well was re-plugged. The initial Release Notification and Corrective Action (Form C-141) indicated an unknown volume of produced water was released with approximately eight thousand, seven hundred forty (8,740) bbls of produced water recovered. After completion of recovery efforts it was determined eleven thousand, four hundred thirty (11,430) bbls of produced water was recovered, which will be reflected on the Final C-141. The Release affected an area measuring approximately sixteen thousand (16,000) sq. ft. A majority of the Release was limited to the former well pad location and the adjacent well pad to the south of the subject well. Portions of the release affected the caliche access road and an area within the pasture north of the subject well. A copy of the initial Form C-141 for the GJ West Coop Unit #011 is provided in Appendix C.

## NMOCD SITE CLASSIFICATION

A groundwater database maintained by The New Mexico Office of the State Engineer (NMOSE) did not identify any registered water wells in Section 28, Township 17 South, Range 29 East. A

reference map utilized by the NMOCD indicates groundwater should be encountered at approximately one hundred seventy-five (175) feet (ft.) below ground surface (bgs). Based on the NMOCD site classification system, zero (0) points will be assigned to the subject area ranking as a result of this criterion. No water wells were observed within one-thousand (1,000) feet of the Release Site. Based on the NMOCD site classification system, zero (0) points will be assigned to the subject area ranking as a result of this criterion. No surface water was observed within one-thousand (1,000) feet of the release. Based on the NMOCD site classification system, zero (0) points will be assigned to the subject area ranking as a result of this criterion. No surface water was observed within one-thousand (1,000) feet of the release. Based on the NMOCD site classification system, zero (0) points will be assigned to the subject area ranking as a result of this criterion. No surface water was observed within one-thousand (1,000) feet of the release. Based on the NMOCD site classification system, zero (0) points will be assigned to the subject area ranking as a result of this criterion. Based on the NMOCD Site Classification criteria, the Recommended Remediation Action Levels (RRAL) are 10 mg/kg for benzene, 50 mg/kg for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes (BTEX), and 5,000 mg/kg for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH). As per the NMOCD, the Recommended Remediation Action Levels for chloride will be 600 mg/kg.

## **INITIAL INVESTIGATION AND PROPOSED REMEDIATION WORKPLAN**

## GJ West Coop Unit #108 (2RP-4351)

On August 17, 2017, during initial response activities and exhumation of the affected well casing, a COG representative collected four (4) soil samples (S1 3'-4', S1 5'-6', S1 13'-14', and S1 16'-17') southwest of the affected casing. The collected soil samples were submitted to Cardinal Laboratories in Hobbs, New Mexico for analysis of chloride concentrations using method 4500 Cl-B. Laboratory analytical results indicated chloride concentrations ranged from 28,000 mg/kg in soil sample S1 5'-6' to 992 mg/kg in soil sample S1 3'-4'. Impacted soil represented by soil samples S1 3'-4', S1 5'-6', S1 13'-14', and S1 16'-17' was excavated and transported to an NMOCD-approved disposal facility during initial response activities. Please reference Figure 2A – Site & Sample Location Map – Initial Investigation (2RP-4351).

On March 22 and 23, 2018, TRC conducted an initial soil investigation at the Release Site. During the initial soil investigation, eight (8) test trenches (NE-1, SE-2, SE-1, S-2, S-1, SW-1, NW-1, and N-1) were advanced at the Release Site in an effort to characterize the affected area. The test trenches were advanced vertically and horizontally to the maximum extent practicable, or until chloride field test results suggested soil was no longer affected above the NMOCD regulatory guidelines for chloride concentrations.

Test trench NE-1 was advanced radially toward the northeast from the affected well. During the advancement of the test trench, six (6) soil samples (NE-1A @ 18', NE-1B @ 10', NE-1B @ 20', NE-1C @ 3', NE-1C @ 12', and NE-1C @ 20') were collected from three (3) sample points and submitted to Xenco Laboratories in Midland, Texas for analysis of chloride concentrations using Method E300. Laboratory analytical results indicated chloride concentrations ranged from 723 mg/kg in soil sample NE-1A @ 18' to 72.4 mg/kg in soil sample NE-1C @ 20'. Soil samples collected from sample points NE-1A and NE-1B were analyzed for concentrations of BTEX using Method SW 846-8021B and TPH using Method SW 846-8015M. Analytical results indicated BTEX and TPH concentrations were less than the applicable laboratory reporting limit (RL) in each of the submitted soil samples. Based on laboratory analytical results, soil was not affected above the NMOCD regulatory guidelines for chloride horizontally toward the northeast beyond sample point NE-1B.

Test trench SE-2 was advanced radially toward the east-southeast from the affected well. During the advancement of the test trench, thirteen (13) soil samples (SE-2A @ 18', SE-2B @ 10', SE-2B @ 20', SE-2C @ 3', SE-2C @ 6', SE-2C @ 12', SE-2C @ 20', SE-2D @ 3', SE-2D @ 9', SE-2D @ 12', SE-2D @ 20', SE-2E @ 3', and SE-2E @ 6') were collected from five (5) sample points and submitted to the laboratory for analysis of chloride concentrations. Laboratory analytical results indicated chloride concentrations ranged from 20,600 mg/kg in soil sample SE-2D @ 3' to 48.7 mg/kg in soil sample SE-2B @ 20'. Soil samples collected from sample points SE-2A and SE-2B were analyzed for concentrations of BTEX and TPH, which were determined to be less than the applicable laboratory RL in each of the submitted soil samples. Laboratory analytical results indicated soil was not affected above the NMOCD regulatory guidelines for chloride beyond eighteen (18) ft. bgs in the area characterized by sample point SE-2A, ten (10) ft. bgs in the area characterized by sample point SE-2B, six (6) ft. bgs in the area characterized by sample point SE-2C, twelve (12) ft. bgs in the area characterized by sample point SE-2D and six (6) ft. bgs in the area characterized by sample point SE-2E. Review of laboratory analytical results suggests impacted soil affected above the NMOCD regulatory guidelines for chloride concentrations in the areas characterized by sample points SE-2A and SE-2B was removed during initial response activities. Impacted soil affected above the NMOCD regulatory guidelines remaining in-situ in the areas characterized by sample points SE-2C, SE-2D, and SE-2E was limited to the top six (6) ft. to twelve (12) ft bgs.

Test trench SE-1 was advanced radially toward the southeast from the affected well. During the advancement of the test trench, six (6) soil samples (SE-1A @ 18', SE-1B @ 10', SE-1B @ 20', SE-1C @ 3', SE-1C @ 12' and SE-1C @ 20') were collected from three (3) sample points and submitted to the laboratory for analysis of chloride concentrations. Laboratory analytical results indicated chloride concentrations ranged from 2,940 mg/kg in soil sample SE-1B @ 10' to 65.7 mg/kg in soil sample SE-2C @ 20'. Soil samples collected from sample points SE-1A and SE-1B were analyzed for concentrations of BTEX and TPH, which were determined to be less than the applicable laboratory RL in each of the submitted soil samples. Based on laboratory analytical results, soil was not affected above the NMOCD regulatory guidelines for chloride concentrations horizontally toward the southeast beyond sample point SE-1C.

Test trench S-2 was advanced on the southern edge of the existing excavation. During the advancement of the test trench, three (3) soil samples (S-2 @ 3', S-2 @ 12', and S-2 @ 20') were collected and submitted to the laboratory for analysis of chloride concentrations. Laboratory analytical results indicated chloride concentrations ranged from 169 mg/kg in soil sample S-2 @ 3' to less than the laboratory RL in soil sample S-2 @ 20'. Based on laboratory analytical results, soil was not affected above the NMOCD regulatory guidelines for chloride concentrations horizontally toward the south beyond test trench S-2.

Test trench S-1 was advanced radially toward the south-southwest from the affected well. During the advancement of the test trench, six (6) soil samples (S-1A @ 18', S-1B @ 10', S-1B @ 20', S-1C @ 3', S-1C @ 12', and SE-1C @ 20') were collected from three (3) sample points and submitted to the laboratory for analysis of chloride concentrations. Laboratory analytical results indicated chloride concentrations ranged from 18,500 mg/kg in soil sample S-1B @ 10' to less than the laboratory RL in soil sample S-1C @ 20'. Soil samples collected from sample points S-1A and S-1B were analyzed for concentrations of BTEX and TPH, which were determined to be less than the applicable laboratory RL in each of the submitted soil samples. Based on laboratory analytical results, soil was not affected above the NMOCD regulatory guidelines for chloride

concentrations horizontally toward the south-southwest beyond sample point S-1C. Impacted soil in the areas characterized by sample points S-1A and S-1B was excavated in an effort to increase the stability of the southern portion of the excavation. Excavated soil was stockpiled on-site, atop an impermeable liner pending final disposition.

Test trench SW-1 was advanced radially toward the southwest from the affected well. During the advancement of the test trench, six (6) soil samples (SW-1A @ 18', SW-1B @ 10', SW-1B @ 20', SW-1C @ 3', SW-1C @ 12', and SW-1C @ 20') were collected from three (3) sample points and submitted to the laboratory for analysis of chloride concentrations. Laboratory analytical results indicated chloride concentrations ranged from 257 mg/kg in soil sample SW-1C @ 3' to 25.8 mg/kg in soil sample SW-1C @ 20'. Soil samples collected from sample points SW-1A and SW-1B were analyzed for concentrations of BTEX and TPH, which were determined to be less than the applicable laboratory RL in each of the submitted soil samples. Review of laboratory analytical results suggests impacted soil affected above the NMOCD regulatory guidelines for chloride concentrations in the areas characterized by test trench SW-1 was removed during initial response activities.

Test trench NW-1 was advanced radially toward the northwest from the affected well. During the advancement of the test trench, eleven (11) soil samples (NW-1A @ 18', NW-1B @ 10', NW-1B @ 20', NW-1C @ 3', NW-1C @ 6', NW-1C @ 9', NW-1C @ 20', NW-1D @ 3', NW-1D @ 6', NW-1D @ 9', and NW-1D @ 20') were collected from four (4) sample points and submitted to the laboratory for analysis of chloride concentrations. Laboratory analytical results indicated chloride concentrations ranged from 5,700 mg/kg in soil sample NW-1C @ 20' to 29.5 mg/kg in soil sample NW-1D @ 3'. Soil samples collected from sample points NW-1A and NW-1B were analyzed for concentrations of BTEX and TPH, which were determined to be less than the applicable laboratory RL in each of the submitted soil samples. During the advancement of the test trench, evidence of a historical drilling reserve pit were discovered. Based on the presence of a historical drilling reserve pit were discovered. Based on the presence of a historical drilling reserve pit were discovered. Based on the presence of a historical drilling reserve pit were discovered. Based on the presence of a historical drilling reserve pit were discovered. Based on the presence of a historical drilling reserve pit were discovered. Based on the presence of a historical drilling reserve pit were discovered. Based on the presence of a historical drilling reserve pit were discovered. Based on the presence of a historical drilling reserve pit were discovered.

Test trench N-1 was advanced radially toward the north from the affected well. During the advancement of the test trench, four (4) soil samples (N-1A @ 18', N-1B @ 10', N-1B @ 20', and N-1C @ 3') were collected from three (3) sample points and submitted to the laboratory for analysis of chloride concentrations. Laboratory analytical results indicated chloride concentrations ranged from 1,260 mg/kg in soil sample N-1A @ 18' to 583 mg/kg in soil sample N-1C @ 3'. Soil samples collected from sample points N-1A and N-1B were analyzed for concentrations of BTEX and TPH, which were determined to be less than the applicable laboratory RL in each of the submitted soil samples, with the exception of soil sample N-1B @ 10', which exhibited a TPH concentration of 245.3 mg/kg. During the advancement of the test trench N-1, evidence of a historical drilling reserve pit was discovered. Based on the presence of the historical drilling reserve pit, further advancement of test trench N-1 was precluded.

Following the advancement of test trenches NE-1, SE-2, SE-1, S-2, S-1, SW-1, NW-1, N-1, and stabilizing portions of the open excavation, the excavated area was backfilled to approximately nineteen (19') ft. bgs with locally sourced, non-impacted material, in an effort to mitigate safety hazards and facilitate further investigation of soil impact from within the open excavation.

On March 29, 2018, following the backfilling of the excavated area to nineteen (19) ft. bgs, two (2) test trenches (RP-N and RP-S) were advanced adjacent to the release point in an effort to determine the vertical extent of soil impact.

Test trench RP-N was advanced approximately ten (10) ft. north of the affected well. During the advancement of the test trench, two (2) soil samples (RP-N @ 28' and RP-N @ 37') were collected and submitted to the laboratory for analysis of chloride concentrations. Laboratory analytical results indicated soil samples RP-N @ 28' and RP-N @ 37' exhibited chloride concentrations of 3,440 mg/kg and 8,560 mg/kg, respectively. Further advancement of test trench RP-N was precluded due to the limitations of the heavy equipment. Based on laboratory analytical results, further delineation was required in the area characterized by test trench RP-N.

Test trench RP-S was advanced approximately ten (10) ft. south of the affected well. During the advancement of the test trench, three (3) soil samples (RP-S @ 28', RP-S @ 31', and RP-S @ 34') were collected and submitted to the laboratory for analysis of chloride concentrations. Laboratory analytical results indicated chloride concentrations ranged from 1,830 mg/kg in soil sample RP-S @ 28' to 853 mg/kg in soil sample RP-S @ 34'.

Additionally, soil samples were collected from deeper intervals in the areas characterized by sample points N-1A and NW-1A in an effort to further characterize soil impacts in the vicinity of the inferred historic drilling reserve pit. During the advancement of the test trenches, six (6) soil samples (N-1A @ 20', N-1A @ 23', N-1A @ 26',NW-A @ 20', NW-A @ 23', and NW-A @ 29') were collected and submitted to the laboratory for analysis of chloride concentrations. Laboratory analytical results indicated chloride concentrations ranged from 564 mg/kg in soil sample N-1A @ 20' to 179 mg/kg in soil sample NW-A @ 20'. Laboratory analytical results indicated chloride concentrations guidelines in each of the submitted soil samples.

On April 24, 2018, TRC collected one (1) soil sample (S-3) from soil remaining in-situ beneath the former makeshift containment utilized to contain the release and assist in fluid recovery. The collected soil sample was submitted to the laboratory for analysis of chloride concentrations, which were determined to be 10,000 mg/kg. Please reference Table 1 – Concentrations of Benzene, BTEX, TPH and Chloride in Soil (2RP-4454). Laboratory analytical results are provided as Appendix A.

## GJ West Coop Unit #011 (2RP-4454)

On March 30, 2018, an initial investigation was conducted at the adjacent Release Site. During the initial investigation, six (6) test trenches (RP, RP-2, DT-1, DT-2, DT-3 and N) were advanced at the Release Site in an effort to characterize the vertical extent of soil impacts. Please reference Figure 2B – Site & Sample Location Map – Initial Investigation (2RP-4454).

Test trench RP was advanced approximately ten (10) ft. south of the affected well. During the advancement of the test trench, three (3) soil samples (RP @ 3', RP @ 9', and RP @ 18') were collected and submitted to the laboratory for analysis of chloride concentrations. Laboratory analytical results indicated chloride concentrations ranged from 1,890 mg/kg in soil sample RP @ 9' to 241 mg/kg in soil sample RP @ 18'. Soil samples RP @ 3' and RP @ 18' were analyzed for concentrations of BTEX and/or TPH, which were determined to be below the applicable

laboratory RL in each of the analyzed soil samples. Based on laboratory analytical results, soil was not affected above the NMOCD regulatory guidelines for chloride concentrations beyond eighteen (18) ft. bgs in the area characterized by test trench RP.

Test trench RP-2 was advanced approximately ten (10) ft. north of the affected well. During the advancement of the test trench, three (3) soil samples (RP-2 @ 3', RP-2 @ 6', and RP-2 @ 18') were collected and submitted to the laboratory for analysis of chloride concentrations. Laboratory analytical results indicated chloride concentrations ranged from 1,410 mg/kg in soil sample RP-2 @ 3' to 105 mg/kg in soil sample RP-2 @ 18'. Soil samples RP-2 @ 3' and RP-2 @ 18' were also analyzed for concentrations of BTEX and/or TPH, which were determined to be below the applicable laboratory RL in each of the analyzed soil samples. Based on laboratory analytical results, soil was not affected above the NMOCD regulatory guidelines for chloride concentrations beyond six (6) ft. bgs in the area characterized by test trench RP-2.

Test trench DT-1 was advanced in the central portion of the release flow path. During the advancement of the test trench, three (3) soil samples (DT-1 @ 3', DT-1 @ 6', and DT-1 @ 18') were collected and submitted to the laboratory for analysis of chloride concentrations. Laboratory analytical results indicated chloride concentrations ranged from 2,900 mg/kg in soil sample DT-1 @ 3' to 101 mg/kg in soil sample DT-1 @ 6'. Soil samples DT-1 @ 3' and DT-1 @ 18' were analyzed for concentrations of BTEX and/or TPH, which were determined to be below the applicable laboratory RL in each of the analyzed soil samples. Based on laboratory analytical results, soil was not affected above the NMOCD regulatory guidelines for chloride beyond six (6) ft. bgs in the area characterized by test trench DT-1.

Test trench DT-2 was advanced in the south-central portion of the release flow path. During the advancement of the test trench, three (3) soil samples (DT-2 @ Surface, DT-2 @ 4', and DT-2 @ 8') were collected and submitted to the laboratory for analysis of chloride concentrations. Laboratory analytical results indicated chloride concentrations ranged from 53,100 mg/kg in soil sample DT-2 @ Surface to 16.4 mg/kg in soil sample DT-2 @ 4'. Soil samples DT-2 @ Surface and DT-2 @ 8' were analyzed for concentrations of BTEX and/or TPH, which were determined to be below the applicable laboratory RL in each of the analyzed soil samples, with the exception of soil sample DT-2 @ SURFACE, which exhibited a TPH concentration of 26.1 mg/kg. Based on laboratory analytical results, soil was not affected above the NMOCD regulatory guidelines for chloride concentrations beyond four (4) ft. bgs in the area characterized by test trench DT-2.

Test trench DT-3 was advanced in the southern portion of the release flow path. During the advancement of the test trench, three (3) soil samples (DT-3 @ Surface, DT-3 @ 4', and DT-3 @ 8') were collected and submitted to the laboratory for analysis of chloride concentrations. Laboratory analytical results indicated chloride concentrations ranged from 15,600 mg/kg in soil sample DT-3 @ Surface to 7.10 mg/kg in soil sample DT-3 @ 4'. Soil samples DT-3 @ Surface and DT-3 @ 8' were analyzed for concentrations of BTEX and/or TPH, which were determined to be below the applicable laboratory RL in each of the analyzed soil samples, with the exception of soil sample DT-3 @ SURFACE, which exhibited a TPH concentration of 66.1 mg/kg. Based upon laboratory analytical results, soil was not affected above the NMOCD regulatory guidelines for chloride concentrations beyond four (4) ft. bgs in the area characterized by test trench DT-3.

Test trench N was advanced in the northern portion of the release flow path, adjacent to the affected well. During the advancement of the test trench, three (3) soil samples (N @ 3', N @ 6',

and N @ 18') were collected and submitted to the laboratory for analysis of chloride concentrations. Laboratory analytical results indicated chloride concentrations ranged from 1,390 mg/kg in soil sample N @ 6' to 178 mg/kg in soil sample N @ 3'. Soil samples N @ 3' and N @ 18' were analyzed for concentrations of BTEX and/or TPH, which were determined to be below the applicable laboratory RL in each of the analyzed soil samples. Based on laboratory analytical results, further delineation was required in the area characterized by test trench N.

Additionally, TRC advanced six (6) test trenches (N-2, E, E-2, W, W-2 and S) at the inferred margins of the affected area in an effort to characterize the horizontal extent of soil impact. During the advancement of the test trenches, eighteen (18) soil samples (N-2 @ 3', N-2 @ 6', N-2 @ 18', E @ 3', E @ 9', E @ 18', E-2 @ 3', E-2 @ 9', E-2 @ 18', W @ 3', W @ 6', W @ 18', W-2 @ Surface, W-2 @ 2', W-2 @ 8', S @ Surface, S @ 2', and S @ 8') were collected and submitted to the laboratory for analysis of chloride concentrations. Laboratory analytical results indicated chloride concentrations ranged from 1,840 mg/kg in soil sample S @ Surface to below the applicable laboratory RL in soil sample W-2 @ 8'. Chloride concentrations were below the NMOCD regulatory guidelines in each of the submitted soil samples, with the exception of soil sample S @ Surface, which exhibited a chloride concentration of 1,840 mg/kg. Soil samples N-2 (a) 3', N-2 (a) 18', E (a) 3', E (a) 18', E-2 (a) 3', E-2 (a) 18', W (a) 3', W (a) 18', W-2 (a) Surface, W-2 @ 8', S @ Surface, and S @ 8' were analyzed for concentrations of BTEX and/or TPH, which were determined to be below the applicable laboratory RL in each of the analyzed soil samples, with the exception of soil sample S @ Surface, which exhibited a TPH concentration of 36.3 mg/kg. BTEX and TPH concentrations were below the NMOCD regulatory guidelines for TPH and BTEX concentrations in each of the analyzed soil samples. Based on laboratory analytical results, further delineation and excavation was required south of the area characterized by soil sample S @ Surface. Please reference Table 2 - Concentrations of Benzene, BTEX, TPH and Chloride in Soil (2RP-4454).

On July 19, 2018, COG submitted a *Soil Investigation Summary and Proposed Remediation Workplan (Workplan)* to the NMOCD and NMSLO, proposing the following remediation activities designed to advance the Sites toward an approved closure:

## GJ West Coop Unit #108 (2RP-4351)

- Advance the upper four (4) ft. of the current open excavation sidewall in the area characterized by test trench SE-2 to beyond sample point SE-2E. Excavated soil will be stockpiled on-site, atop an impermeable liner pending final disposition.
- Advance the upper four (4) ft. of the current open excavation sidewall in the area characterized by test trench NE-1 to sample point NE-1C. Excavated soil will be placed into a separate soil stockpile, pending laboratory analysis for potential use as "clean" backfill.
- Advance the upper four (4) ft. of the current open excavation sidewall in the area characterized by test trench N-1 to beyond sample point N-1C. Excavated soil will be placed into a separate soil stockpile, pending laboratory analysis for potential use as "clean" backfill.
- Advance the upper four (4) ft. of the current open excavation sidewall in the area characterized by test trench NW-1 to sample point NW-1C. Excavated soil will be placed

into a separate soil stockpile, pending laboratory analysis for potential use as "clean" backfill.

- Laboratory analytical results indicated further excavation is not required in the area represented by test trench SW.
- Advance the upper four (4) ft. of the current open excavation sidewall in the area characterized by test trench S-1 to sample point S-1C. Excavated soil will be placed into a separate soil stockpile, pending laboratory analysis for potential use as "clean" backfill.
- Advance the upper four (4) ft. of the current open excavation sidewall in the area characterized by soil sample S-3 @ 4' to beyond sample point S-2. Excavated soil will be stockpiled on-site, atop an impermeable liner pending final disposition.
- Advance the upper four (4) ft. of the current open excavation sidewall in the area characterized by test trench SE-1 to beyond sample point SE-1C. Excavated soil will stockpiled on-site, atop an impermeable liner pending final disposition.
- After advancing the excavation sidewalls horizontally at four (4) ft. bgs, effectively "benching" the open excavation, and receiving laboratory analytical results from confirmation soil samples, install a bentonite or polyurethane liner on the floor of the excavated area at approximately nineteen (19) ft. bgs. This engineering control is designed to mitigate the vertical migration of contaminants. During the installation of the liner an approximate six (6)-inch layer of "pad sand" will be installed above and below the liner in an effort to maintain its integrity during backfilling activities.
- After installing the liner on the floor of the excavated area, an eight (8)-inch PVC conduit will be installed, and extended vertically to the surface, in the area requiring additional vertical delineation, represented by test trench RP-N.
- After receiving laboratory analytical results from confirmation soil samples and installing the bentonite or polyurethane liner and associated PVC casing, backfill the excavated area to approximately four (4) ft. bgs utilizing stockpiled soil generated from "benching" the subject excavation, excavation activities associated with the remediation of GJ West Coop Unit #011 and locally sourced non-impacted material.
- After backfilling the excavated area to four (4) ft. bgs, install a second bentonite or polyurethane liner at four (4) ft. bgs atop impacted soil exhibiting chloride concentrations above the NMOCD regulatory guidelines. The liner will be extended vertically along the excavation sidewalls in the area of the inferred historical drilling reserve pit in an effort to limit the amount of moisture shed toward the north in the vicinity of the historical drilling reserve pit. This engineering control is designed to mitigate the vertical migration of contaminants left in-situ along with contaminants within portions of the proposed fill material. During the installation of the liner an approximate six (6)-inch layer of "pad sand" will be installed above and below the liner in an effort to maintain its integrity during backfilling activities.
- The eight (8)-inch PVC conduit will be extended through the second bentonite or polyurethane liner, to the surface for the advancement of a proposed investigative soil boring.
- After installing the second bentonite or polyurethane liner, backfill the remaining excavated area with locally sourced, non-impacted topsoil.
- Utilizing mechanical equipment, advance one (1) investigative soil bore through the established PVC casing in an effort to determine the vertical extent of chloride impact. The investigative soil bore will be advanced until chloride field screen results suggests two consecutive soil samples collected at five (5) ft. intervals exhibit chloride

concentrations below the NMOCD regulatory guidelines; soil samples will also be collected for confirmation laboratory analysis.

## GJ West Coop Unit #011 (2RP-4454)

- Utilizing mechanical equipment, excavate impacted soil within the release margins in the area characterized by test trench DT-1 to a depth of approximately four (4) to six (6) ft. bgs or until laboratory analytical results from confirmation soil samples indicate chloride concentrations are below the NMOCD regulatory guidelines. Advance the excavation sidewalls until laboratory analytical results indicate chloride concentrations are below the NMOCD regulatory guidelines. Advance the excavation sidewalls until laboratory analytical results indicate chloride concentrations are below the NMOCD regulatory guidelines. Excavated soil will be stockpiled on-site, atop an impermeable liner pending final disposition.
- Excavate impacted soil within the release margins in the areas characterized by test trenches DT-2 and DT-3 to a depth of approximately one (1) to four (4) ft. bgs or until laboratory analytical results from confirmation soil samples indicate chloride concentrations are below the NMOCD regulatory guidelines. Advance the excavation sidewalls until laboratory analytical results indicate chloride concentrations are below the NMOCD regulatory guidelines. Advance the excavation sidewalls until laboratory guidelines. Excavated soil will be stockpiled on-site, atop an impermeable liner pending final disposition.
- Excavate impacted soil within the release margins in the areas characterized by test trenches RP, RP-2 and N to a depth of approximately four (4) ft. bgs. Advance the excavation sidewalls until laboratory analytical results indicate chloride concentrations are below the NMOCD regulatory guidelines. Excavated soil will be stockpiled on-site, atop an impermeable liner pending final disposition.
- Install a bentonite or polyurethane liner on the floor of the excavation at approximately four (4) ft bgs in the areas characterized by test trenches RP, RP-2 and N. This engineering control is designed to mitigate the vertical migration of contaminants left insitu. During the installation of the liner an approximate six (6)-inch layer of "pad sand" will be installed above and below the liner in an effort to maintain its integrity during backfilling activities.
- After installing the liner on the floor of the excavated area, an eight (8)-inch PVC conduit will be installed, and extended vertically to the surface, in the area requiring additional vertical delineation, represented by test trench N.
- After receiving laboratory analytical results from confirmation soil samples and installing the bentonite or polyurethane liner and associated PVC casing, backfill the excavated area with locally sourced, non-impacted "like" material.
- Utilizing mechanical equipment, advance one (1) investigative soil bore through the established PVC casing in an effort to determine the vertical extent of chloride impact. The investigative soil bore will be advanced until chloride field screen results suggests two (2) consecutive soil samples collected at five (5) ft. intervals exhibit chloride concentrations below the NMOCD regulatory guidelines; soil samples will also be collected for confirmation laboratory analysis.

The Workplan was subsequently approved.

### SUMMARY OF SOIL REMEDIATION ACTIVITIES

### GJ West Coop Unit #108 (2RP-4351)

On August 14, 2018, excavation activities commenced at the Release Site. As per the approved *Workplan*, the open excavation was 'benched' to increase sidewall stability for the nineteen (19) ft. open excavation. On August 15, 2018, a twenty (20) mil polyurethane liner was placed at the base of the open nineteen (19) ft. bgs excavation, and an eight (8) inch PVC conduit was installed through the liner. The excavation was subsequently backfilled with locally sourced non-impacted soil to a depth of four (4) feet bgs. Excavated soil was stockpiled on-site pending final disposition.

On August 22, 2018, six (6) confirmation soil samples (RP NSW-1 @ 2', RP NSW-2 @ 2', RP ESW-1 @ 2', RP ESW-2 @ 2', RP WSW-1 @ 2', and RP WSW-2 @ 2') were collected from the sidewalls of the remaining excavation, which had a depth of approximately four (4) feet bgs. The collected soil samples were submitted for chloride analysis. The concentrations of chloride in the submitted soil samples were below NMOCD regulatory guidelines for chlorides. The excavation was subsequently advanced laterally to remove the remaining impacts above NMOCD regulatory guidelines.

On September 25, 2018, ten (10) confirmation soil samples (108-SSW#1, 108-SSW#3, 108-SSW#4, 108-NSW#1, 108-NSW#2, 108-NSW#3, 108-ESW#1, 108-SSW#2, 108-SSW#5, and 108-ESW#2) were collected from the sidewalls of the excavation and were submitted to the laboratory for chloride analysis. The concentrations of chloride in the submitted soil samples were below NMOCD regulatory guidelines for chlorides.

On October 8, 2018, as per the approved *Workplan*, a 20-mil polyurethane liner was installed in the entirety of the excavated area atop impacted soil affected above the NMOCD regulatory guidelines remaining in-situ. An approximate six (6)-inch layer of "pad sand" was installed above and below the liner in an effort to maintain the integrity of the liner during backfilling activities. This engineering control is designed to minimize the vertical migration of impact left in-situ. In accordance with the approved *Workplan*, an eight (8)-inch PVC conduit was installed to allow for the advancement of an investigative soil boring.

Following the installation of the liner atop the impacted soil affected above the NMOCD regulatory guidelines remaining in-situ, the excavated area was backfilled with locally sourced, non-impacted "like" material. Affected areas not on active oilfield production pads and/or lease roads were contoured to fit the surrounding topography and will be reseeded in accordance with NMSLO guidelines at a time more conducive to seed germination.

Prior to backfilling, the final dimensions of the excavated area were approximately two hundred and fifty (250) ft. in length, one hundred and fifty (150) ft. in width, and four (4) to nineteen (19) ft. in depth.

On March 21, 2019, in accordance with the NMOCD-approved *Workplan*, TRC advanced one (1) investigative soil boring (SB-1) through the PVC conduit installed above the affected area requiring additional vertical delineation. During the advancement of the soil boring, two (2) soil samples (SB-1 @ 35' and SB-1 @ 40') were collected and submitted to the laboratory for

analyses of TPH, BTEX, and chloride. Laboratory analytical results indicated TPH and BTEX concentrations were below the applicable laboratory RL for each constituent, with the exception of BTEX constituents in SB-1 @ 35', which were below NMOCD regulatory guidelines. Chloride concentrations were below NMOCD guidelines in both submitted soil samples. Soil boring samples SB-1 @ 35' and SB-1 @ 40' are representative of the chloride concentrations at depths ranging from thirty-five (35) to forty (40) ft. bgs. The elevated chloride concentration at thirty-seven (37) feet bgs in soil sample RP-N @ 37' was likely due to 'sluff' from impacted soil stratigraphically above thirty-seven (37) ft. bgs. Based on laboratory analytical results from delineation soil samples collected from the investigation soil boring, soil was not affected above the NMOCD regulatory guidelines beyond 35 ft. bgs in the area represented by soil boring SB-1. The soil boring conduit was filled with bentonite, capped with concrete, and cut to below grade.

## GJ West Coop Unit #011 (2RP-4454)

On August 14, 2018, excavation activities commenced at the Release Site. As per the approved *Workplan*, the northern portion of the Release Site, in the areas characterized by delineation trenches RP, RP-2, and N were excavated to a depth of approximately four (4) ft. bgs. The floor of the excavation in the areas characterized by delineation trenches DT-1, DT-2, and DT-3 were advanced until chloride field test results suggested concentrations of chloride were below the NMOCD regulatory guidelines. Excavation sidewalls were advanced until chloride field test results suggested concentrations of chloride were below the NMOCD regulatory guidelines. Excavated soil was stockpiled on-site pending final disposition.

On August 22, 2018, following the excavation of the impacted soil from within the northern portion of the Release Site, TRC collected six (6) excavation confirmation soil samples (RP NSW-1 @ 2', RP NSW-2 @ 2', RP ESW-1 @ 2', RP ESW-2 @ 2', RP WSW-1 @ 2', and RP WSW-2 @ 2') from sidewalls of the excavated area and submitted the soil samples to the laboratory for analysis of chloride. Laboratory analytical results indicated chloride concentrations were below the NMOCD regulatory guidelines in each of the submitted soil samples. Excavation activities continued toward the south. Please reference Figure 3B – Site & Confirmation Sample Location Map (2RP-4454).

On September 5, 2018, TRC collected eleven (11) excavation confirmation soil samples (DT-1 SSW-1 @ 3', DT-1 SSW-2 @ 3', DT-1 SSW-3 @ 3', DT-1 ESW @ 3', DT-1 ESW-2 @ 3', DT-1 ESW-3 @ 1.5', DT-1 WSW-1 @ 3', DT-1 WSW-2 @ 1.5' DT-1 FL-1 @ 6', DT-1 FL-2 @ 6' and DT-3 SSW @ 1') from the floor and sidewalls of the excavated area and submitted to the laboratory for analysis of chloride. Laboratory analytical results indicated chloride concentrations were below the NMOCD regulatory guidelines in each of the submitted soil samples. Excavation activities continued toward the south.

On September 12, 2018, TRC collected nine (9) excavation confirmation soil samples (DT-2 SSW-1 @ 1', DT-2 SSW-2 @ 1', DT-2 WSW @ 1', DT-2 FL-1 @ 2', DT-2 FL-2 @ 2', DT-3 WSW @ 1.5', DT-3 ESW-1 @ 1.5', DT-3 ESW-2 @ 1.5', and DT-3 FL @ 3') from the floor and sidewalls of the excavated area and submitted the soil samples to the laboratory for analysis of chloride. Laboratory analytical results indicated chloride concentrations were below the NMOCD regulatory guidelines in each of the submitted soil samples. Excavation activities continued toward the south.

On September 17, 2018, TRC collected ten (10) excavation confirmation soil samples (DT-2 FL-3 @ 2', DT-2 NSW-1 @ 1', DT-2 NSW-2 @ 1', DT-2 WSW-2 @ 1', DT-2 ESW-1 @ 1', DT-2 ESW-2 @ 1', DT-2 SSW @ 1', DT-3 FL-2 @ 3', DT-3 NSW @ 1.5', and DT-3 WSW-2 @ 1.5') from the floor and sidewalls of the excavated area and submitted the soil samples to the laboratory for analysis of chloride. Laboratory analytical results indicated chloride concentrations were below the NMOCD regulatory guidelines in each of the submitted soil samples.

On September 25, 2018, as per the approved *Workplan*, a 20-mil polyurethane liner was installed in the northern portion of the excavated area atop impacted soil affected above the NMOCD regulatory guidelines remaining in-situ. An approximate six (6)-inch layer of "pad sand" was installed above and below the liner in an effort to maintain the integrity of the liner during backfilling activities. This engineering control is designed to minimize the vertical migration of impact left in-situ. In accordance with the approved *Workplan*, an eight (8)-inch PVC conduit was installed in the area represented by test trench N to allow for the advancement of an investigative soil boring.

After installing the liner atop impacted soil affected above the NMOCD regulatory guidelines remaining in-situ, the excavated area was backfilled with locally sourced, non-impacted "like" material. Affected areas not on active oilfield production pads and/or lease roads were contoured to fit the surrounding topography and will be reseeded in accordance with NMSLO guidelines at a time more conducive to seed germination.

Prior to backfilling, the final dimensions of the excavated area were approximately three hundred (300) ft. in length, thirty-five (35) ft. to one hundred (100) ft. in width, and two (2) to six (6) ft. in depth.

On March 21, 2019, in accordance with the NMOCD-approved *Workplan*, TRC advanced one (1) investigative soil boring (SB-2) through the PVC conduit installed above the affected area requiring additional vertical delineation. During the advancement of the soil boring, four (4) soil samples (SB-2 @ 20', SB-2 @ 25', SB-2 @ 30', and SB-2 @ 35') were collected and submitted to the laboratory for analyses of TPH, BTEX, and chloride. Laboratory analytical results indicated chloride concentrations ranged from 337 mg/kg in soil sample SB-2 @ 20' to 50.3 mg/kg in soil sample SB-2 @ 30'. TPH and BTEX concentrations were below the applicable laboratory RL for each constituent. Based on laboratory analytical results from delineation soil samples collected from the investigation soil boring, soil was not affected above the NMOCD regulatory limits beyond 20 ft. bgs in the area represented by soil boring SB-2. The soil boring conduit was filled with bentonite, capped with concrete, and cut to below grade.

## SITE CLOSURE REQUEST

Remediation activities were conducted in accordance with an NMOCD-approved *Workplan*. Laboratory analytical results from excavation confirmation soil samples indicated chloride concentrations were below the NMOCD regulatory guidelines in each of the submitted confirmation soil samples. Impacted soil affected above the NMOD regulatory guidelines remaining in-situ was capped with an NMOCD approved 20-mil polyurethane liner. This engineering control is designed to minimize the vertical migration of impact remaining in-situ. Approximately, two thousand, seven hundred forty (2,740) cy of impacted soil was transported to an approved disposal facility; the remaining portion of the excavated soil was reused in conjunction with the two (2) Release Sites.

Based on laboratory analytical results and field activities conducted to date, TRC recommends COG provide copies of this Remediation Summary and Risk-Based Site Closure Request to the NMOCD and NMSLO and request closure status to the GJ West Coop Unit #108 (2RP-4351) and GJ West Coop Unit #011 (2RP-4454).

## LIMITATIONS

TRC has prepared this Remediation Summary and Site Closure Request to the best of its ability. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made or intended.

TRC has examined and relied upon documents referenced in the report and has relied on oral statements made by certain individuals. TRC has not conducted an independent examination of the facts contained in referenced materials and statements. We have presumed the genuineness of the documents and that the information provided in documents or statements is true and accurate. TRC has prepared this report, in a professional manner, using the degree of skill and care exercised by similar environmental consultants. TRC also notes that the facts and conditions referenced in this report may change over time and the conclusions and recommendations set forth herein are applicable only to the facts and conditions as described at the time of this report.

This report has been prepared for the benefit of COG Operating, LLC. The information contained in this report, including all exhibits and attachments, may not be used by any other party without the express consent of TRC and/or COG Operating, LLC.

.

## DISTRIBUTION

Copy 1:	Mike Bratcher New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division, District 2 811 S. First Street Artesia, NM 88210
Copy 2:	Ryan Mann Hobbs Field Office New Mexico State Land Office 2827 North Dal Paso St., Suite 117 Hobbs, New Mexico 88240
Copy 3:	Rebecca Haskell COG Operating, LLC 600 W. Illinois Avenue Midland, Texas 79701
Copy4:	TRC Environmental Corporation 10 Desta Drive STE 150E Midland, Texas 79705





Received by OCD: 4/7/2023 9:35:52 AM





\* - Samples at this location collected by COG personnel



#### TABLE 1 Concentrations of BTEX, TPH and Chloride in Soil (2RP-4351) COG OPERATING, LLC GJ WEST COOP UNIT #108 EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

All concentrations are reported in mg/kg

SAMPLE LOCATION S1 3'-4' S1 5'-6' S 13'-14' S 16'-17' RP-N @ 28'	<b>DEPTH</b> 3'-4' 5'-6' 13-14' 16'-17'	SAMPLE DATE 8/14/2017 8/14/2017	SOIL STATUS	BENZENE	MET TOLUENE	HODS: SW 846 ETHYL-	8021b TOTAL	TOTAL	TPH GRO	METHOD:		TOTAL	E 300.1/4500 Clb
LOCATION \$1 3'-4' \$1 5'-6' \$ 13'-14' \$ 16'-17'	3'-4' 5'-6' 13-14'	DATE 8/14/2017	STATUS	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL-	TOTAL	TOTAL	TDU CDO	TRUDDO		TOTAL	
S1 3'-4' S1 5'-6' S 13'-14' S 16'-17'	5'-6' 13-14'	8/14/2017		DEIVEENE			TOTAL	TOTAL	TPH GRO	TPH DRO	TPH ORO	TPH	CHLORIDE
S1 5'-6' S 13'-14' S 16'-17'	5'-6' 13-14'		Excavated			BENZENE	XYLENES	BTEX	C6-C10	C10-C28	C28-C35	C <sub>6</sub> -C <sub>35</sub>	CHLOKIDE
S 13'-14' S 16'-17'	13-14'	8/14/2017		-	-	-	-	-	- 0 - 10	- 10 - 20	- 28 - 55		992
S 16'-17'			Excavated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28,000
	16'-17'	8/14/2017	Excavated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,200
RP-N @ 28'		8/14/2017	Excavated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21,200
	28'	3/29/2018	Lined	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,440
RP-N @ 37'	37'	3/29/2018	Lined	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,560
RP-S @ 28'	28'	3/29/2018	Lined	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,830
RP-S @ 31'	31'	3/29/2018	Lined	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,030
RP-S @ 34'	34'	3/29/2018	Lined	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	853
SE-1A @ 18'	18'	3/22/2018	Lined	< 0.00202	< 0.00202	< 0.00202	< 0.00202	< 0.00202	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	791
SE-1B @ 10'	10'	3/22/2018	Lined	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	2,940
SE-1B @ 10	20'	3/22/2018	Lined	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	96.5
SE-1C @ 3'	3'	3/22/2018	Lined					-					255
SE-IC @ 3 SE-IC @ 12'	12'	3/22/2018	Lined	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	73.5
SE-1C @ 20'	20'	3/22/2018	Lined	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	65.7
SE-2A @ 18'	18'	3/22/2018	Lined	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	409
SE-2B @ 10'	10'	3/22/2018	In-Situ	< 0.00201	< 0.00201	< 0.00201	< 0.00201	< 0.00201	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	491
SE-2B @ 10	20'	3/22/2018	In-Situ	< 0.00201	< 0.00201	< 0.00201	< 0.00201	< 0.00201	<14.9	<14.9	<14.9	<14.9	48.7
SE-2C @ 3'	3'	3/22/2018	Excavated	-	_	-					-		4,570
SE-2C @ 5	6'	3/22/2018	Lined	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	96.8
SE-2C @ 0 SE-2C @ 12'	12'	3/22/2018	Lined	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	267
SE-2C @ 20'	20'	3/22/2018	Lined	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	423
SE-2D @ 3'	3'	3/22/2018	Excavated	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	20,600
SE-2D @ 9'	9'	3/22/2018	Lined	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,210
SE-2D @ 12'	12'	3/22/2018	Lined	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	443
SE-2D @ 20'	20'	3/22/2018	Lined	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	374
SE-2E @ 3'	3'	3/22/2018	Excavated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	687
SE-2E @ 6'	6'	3/22/2018	Lined	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	135
NE-1A @ 18'	18'	3/22/2018	Lined	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	723
NE-1B @ 10'	10'	3/22/2018	Lined	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	302
NE-1B @ 10	20'	3/22/2018	Lined	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	<14.9	<14.9	<14.9	<14.9	77.1
NE-1C @ 3'	3'	3/22/2018	Excavated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	139
NE-1C @ 12'	12'	3/22/2018	Lined	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	84.0
NE-1C @ 20'	20'	3/22/2018	Lined	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	72.4
N-1A @ 18'	18'	3/22/2018	Lined	< 0.00202	< 0.00202	< 0.00202	< 0.00202	< 0.00202	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	1,260
N-1A @ 20'	20'	3/29/2018	Lined	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	564
N-1A @ 23'	23'	3/29/2018	Lined	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	486
N-1A @ 26'	26'	3/29/2018	Lined	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	412
N-1B @ 10'	10'	3/22/2018	Lined	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	<15.0	216	29.3	245.3	593
N-1B @ 20'	20'	3/22/2018	Lined	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	866
N-1C @ 3'	3'	3/22/2018	In-Situ		-		_	_	-	-	-	-	583
NW-1A @ 18'	18'			< 0.00201	< 0.00201	< 0.00201	< 0.00201	< 0.00201	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	769
NW-IA @ 18		3/23/2018 nediation Ac	Lined	10	-		-	50	-	-	- 15.0	<15.0 5,000	600

#### TABLE 1 Concentrations of BTEX, TPH and Chloride in Soil (2RP-4351) COG OPERATING, LLC GJ WEST COOP UNIT #108 EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

All concentrations are reported in mg/kg													
					MET	HODS: SW 846-	-8021b			METHOD:	SW 8015M		E 300.1/4500 Clb
SAMPLE LOCATION	DEPTH	SAMPLE DATE	SOIL STATUS	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL- BENZENE	TOTAL XYLENES	TOTAL BTEX	TPH GRO	_		TOTAL TPH	CHLORIDE
									C <sub>6</sub> -C <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>28</sub>	C <sub>28</sub> -C <sub>35</sub>	C <sub>6</sub> -C <sub>35</sub>	150
NW-A @ 20'	20'	3/29/2018	Lined	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	179
NW-A @ 23' NW-A @ 29'	23' 29'	3/29/2018 3/29/2018	Lined Lined	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	375 265
0				-0.00201	-	-0.00201	-	-	-	-			
NW-1B @ 10'	10'	3/23/2018	Lined	<0.00201 <0.00199	<0.00201 <0.00199	<0.00201 <0.00199	<0.00201 <0.00199	<0.00201 <0.00199	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	38.8
NW-1B @ 20'	20'	3/23/2018	Lined						<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	1,300
NW-1C @ 3'	3'	3/23/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	259
NW-1C @ 6' NW-1C @ 9'	6' 9'	3/23/2018 3/23/2018	In-Situ In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	414 1,570
NW-1C @ 20'	20'	3/23/2018	In-Situ In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,700
)		3/23/2018		1									-
NW-1D @ 3' NW-1D @ 6'	3'	3/23/2018	In-Situ In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29.5 704
NW-1D @ 0 NW-1D @ 9'	9'	3/23/2018	In-Situ	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,090
NW-1D @ 20'	20'	3/23/2018	In-Situ In-Situ	_		-	-	-	-	-	_	-	2,280
SW-1A @ 18'	18'	3/23/2018	Lined	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	<14.9	<14.9	<14.9	<14.9	62.1
SW-1B @ 10' SW-1B @ 20'	10' 20'	3/23/2018 3/23/2018	In-Situ In-Situ	<0.00200 <0.00201	<0.00200 <0.00201	<0.00200 <0.00201	<0.00200 <0.00201	<0.00200 <0.00201	<15.0 <15.0	<15.0 <15.0	<15.0 <15.0	<15.0 <15.0	76.4 29.6
0							<0.00201				<13.0		
SW-1C @ 3'	3'	3/23/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	257
SW-1C @ 12' SW-1C @ 20'	12' 20'	3/23/2018 3/23/2018	In-Situ In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50.9 25.8
				-0.00100	-	-0.00100	-0.00100	-	-15.0	-15.0	- 15.0	- 15.0	
S-1A @ 18'	18'	3/23/2018	Excavated	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	7,660
S-1B @ 10'	10'	3/23/2018	Excavated	<0.00200	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	18,500
S-1B @ 20'	20'	3/23/2018	Excavated	< 0.00201	< 0.00201	< 0.00201	< 0.00201	< 0.00201	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	4,300
S-1C @ 3'	3'	3/23/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	421
S-1C @ 12'	12'	3/23/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26.7
S-1C @ 20'	20'	3/23/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<5.00
S-2 @ 3'	3'	3/23/2018	Excavated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	169
S-2 @ 12' S-2 @ 20'	12' 20'	3/23/2018 3/23/2018	In-Situ In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14.9 <4.92
				-			-	-	-	-	-		
S-3	4'	4/24/2018	Excavated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,000
RP NSW-1 @ 2'	2'	8/22/2018	Excavated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<4.99
RP NSW-2 @ 2'	2'	8/22/2018 8/22/2018	Excavated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	235
RP ESW-1 @ 2'	2'	8/22/2018	Excavated Excavated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12.9 210
RP ESW-2 @ 2' RP WSW-1 @ 2'	2'	8/22/2018	Excavated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	153
RP-WSW-2 @ 2'	2'	8/22/2018	Excavated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	97.8
108- SSW#1	3.5'	9/25/2018	In-Situ	_		-	-	_	-	-	_	-	26.2
108- SSW#1 108- SSW#3	3.5'	9/25/2018	In-Situ In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35.9
108- SSW#5	3.5'	9/25/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47.8
108-NSW#1	3.5'	9/25/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	199
108- NSW#2	3.5'	9/25/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
108- NSW#3	3.5'	9/25/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<25.0
108- ESW#1	3.5'	9/25/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	224
108-SSW#2	3.5'	9/25/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<25.0
108- SSW#5	3.5'	9/25/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	312
108- ESW#2	3.5'	9/25/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	361
SB-1 @ 35'	35'	3/21/2019	Lined	< 0.00202	0.00267	< 0.00202	0.00513	0.0078	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15	205
SB-1 @ 40'	40'	3/21/2019	Lined	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15	103
NMOCD Recom	mediation A	ction Level	10	-	-	-	50	-	-	-	5,000	600	

#### TABLE 2

#### CONCENTRATIONS OF BENZENE, BTEX, TPH AND CHLORIDE IN SOIL (2RP-4454)

#### COG OPERATING, LLC GJ WEST COOP UNIT #011 EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

All concentrations are reported in mg/kg

All concentrations are reported in mg/kg METHODS: SW 846-8021b METHOD: SW 8015M E 300								E 200 1					
SAMPLE LOCATION	DEPTH	SAMPLE DATE	SOIL STATUS	BENZENE		ETHYL- BENZENE	TOTAL	TOTAL BTEX	TPH GRO	TPH DRO	TPH ORO	TOTAL TPH	CHLORIDE
RP @ 3'	3'	3/30/2018	Excavated	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	C <sub>6</sub> -C <sub>10</sub> <15.0	C <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>28</sub> <15.0	C <sub>28</sub> -C <sub>35</sub> <15.0	C <sub>6</sub> -C <sub>35</sub> <15.0	977
RP @ 3 RP @ 9'		3/30/2018	Risked			<0.00199	-						1,890
RP @ 18'	18'	3/30/2018	Risked	-	-	-	-	-	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	241
RP-2 @ 3'	3'	3/30/2018	Excavated	< 0.00198	< 0.00198	< 0.00198	< 0.00198	< 0.00198	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	1,410
RP-2 @ 6'	6'	3/30/2018	Risked	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	145
RP-2 @ 18'	18'	3/30/2018	Risked	-	-	-	-	-	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	105
DT -1 @ 3'	3'	4/2/2018	In-Situ	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	< 0.00200	< 0.002	< 0.002	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	2,900
DT -1 @ 6' DT -1 @ 18'	6' 18'	4/2/2018 4/2/2018	In-Situ In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	- <14.9	- <14.9	- <14.9	- <14.9	101
DT -2 @ SURFACE	Surface	4/2/2018	Excavated	< 0.00200	- <0.00200	<0.00200	< 0.002	< 0.002	<14.9	26.1	<14.9	26.1	53,100
DT -2 @ 30147402	4'	4/2/2018	In-Situ			-			-15.0	-		-	16.4
DT -2 @ 8'	8'	4/2/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	<14.9	<14.9	<14.9	<14.9	19.4
DT -3 @ SURFACE	Surface	4/2/2018	Excavated	< 0.00198	< 0.00198	< 0.00198	< 0.00198	< 0.00198	<15.0	46.2	19.9	66.1	15,600
DT -3 @ 4'	4'	4/2/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.10
DT -3 @ 8'	8'	4/2/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	7.30
N @ 3'	3'	3/30/2018	Excavated	< 0.00201	< 0.00201	< 0.00201	< 0.00201	< 0.00201	<14.9	<14.9	<14.9	<14.9	178
N @ 6' N @ 18'	6' 18'	3/30/2018 3/30/2018	Risked Risked	-	-	-	-	-	- <15.0	- <15.0	- <15.0	<15.0	1,390 1,310
N-2 @ 3'		3/30/2018		< 0.00201	< 0.00201	< 0.00201	< 0.00201	< 0.00201				<15.0	,
N-2 @ 3 N-2 @ 6'	3'	3/30/2018	In-Situ In-Situ	<0.00201	<0.00201	<0.00201	<0.00201	<0.00201	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	-15.0	21.6 322
N-2 @ 18'	18'	3/30/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	119
E @ 3'	3'	3/30/2018	In-Situ	< 0.00202	< 0.00202	< 0.00202	< 0.00202	< 0.00202	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	214
E @ 9'	9'	3/30/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	84.1
E @ 18'	18'	3/30/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	<14.9	<14.9	<14.9	<14.9	36.0
E-2 @ 3'	3'	3/30/2018	In-Situ	< 0.00198	< 0.00198	< 0.00198	< 0.00198	< 0.00198	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	82.7
E-2 @ 9'	9'	3/30/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	54.6
E-2 @ 18'	18'	3/30/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	23.8
W @ 3' W @ 6'	3'	3/30/2018 3/30/2018	In-Situ In-Situ	<0.00200	<0.00200	<0.00200	<0.002	<0.002	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	78.7 245
W @ 18'	18'	3/30/2018	In-Situ In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	73.4
W-2 @ SURFACE	Surface	3/30/2018	In-Situ	< 0.00202	< 0.00202	< 0.00202	< 0.00202	< 0.00202	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	253
W-2 @ 2'	2'	3/30/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9.55
W-2 @ 8'	8'	3/30/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<4.95
S @ SURFACE	Surface	4/2/2018	Excavated	< 0.00201	< 0.00201	< 0.00201	< 0.00201	< 0.00201	<15.0	36.3	<15.0	36.3	1,840
S @ 2'	2'	4/2/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34.4
S @ 8'	8'	4/2/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	<14.9	<14.9	<14.9	<14.9	11.8
RP NSW-1 @ 2' RP NSW-2 @ 2'	2' 2'	8/22/2018 8/22/2018	In-Situ In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<4.99 235
RP ESW-1 @ 2'	2'	8/22/2018	In-Situ In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12.9
RP ESW-2 @ 2'	2'	8/22/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	210
RP WSW-1 @ 2'	2'	8/22/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	153
RP WSW-2 @ 2'	2'	8/22/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	97.8
DT-1 SSW-1 @ 3'	3'	9/5/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	82.5
DT-1 SSW-2 @ 3'	3'	9/5/2018	In-Situ In Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	121 193
DT-1 SSW-3 @ 3' DT-1 ESW-1 @ 3'	3' 3'	9/5/2018 9/5/2018	In-Situ In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	193
DT-1 ESW-2 @ 3'	3'	9/5/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	179
DT-1 ESW-3 @ 1.5'	1.5'	9/5/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23.0
DT-1 WSW-1 @ 3'	3'	9/5/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	156
DT-1 WSW-2 @ 1.5'	1.5'	9/5/2018 9/5/2018	In-Situ In Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	169
DT-1 FL-1 @ 6' DT-1 FL-2 @ 6'	6' 6'	9/5/2018 9/5/2018	In-Situ In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50.2 247
DT-3 SSW @ 1'	1'	9/5/2018	In-Situ In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	252
DT-2 SSW-1 @ 1'	1'	9/12/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	36.4
DT-2 SSW-2 @ 1'	1'	9/12/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30.5
DT-2 WSW @ 1'	1'	9/12/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	156
DT-2 FL-1 @ 2'	2'	9/12/2018	In-Situ In Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	71.5
DT-2 FL-2 @ 2' DT-3 WSW @ 1.5'	2' 1.5'	9/12/2018 9/12/2018	In-Situ In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33.5 30.7
DT-3 ESW-1 @ 1.5'	1.5'	9/12/2018	In-Situ In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	319
DT-3 ESW-2 @ 1.5'	1.5'	9/12/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	96.5
DT-3 FL @ 3'	3'	9/12/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20.2
DT-2 FL-3 @ 2'	2'	9/17/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	59.9
DT-2 NSW-1 @ 1'	1'	9/17/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	290
DT-2 NSW-2 @ 1'	1' 1'	9/17/2018 9/17/2018	In-Situ In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.97 58.3
DT-2 WSW-2 @ 1' DT-2 ESW-1 @ 1'	1'	9/17/2018	In-Situ In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	234
DT-2 ESW-2 @ 1'	1'	9/17/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	264
DT-2 SSW @ 1'	1'	9/17/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	406
DT-3 FL-2 @ 3'	3'	9/17/2018	In-Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.99
DT-3 NSW @ 1.5'	1.5'	9/17/2018	In-Situ In Situ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	51.8
DT-3 WSW-2 @ 1.5'	1.5'	9/17/2018	In-Situ Biskad	-	-	-			-15.0	- 15.0	-15.0	- 15.0	<5.00
SB-2 @ 20' SB-2 @ 25'	20' 25'	9/17/2018 9/17/2018	Risked Risked	<0.00200 <0.00200	<0.00200 <0.00200	<0.00200 <0.00200	<0.002 <0.002	<0.002 <0.002	<15.0 <15.0	<15.0 <15.0	<15.0 <15.0	<15.0 <15.0	337 142
SB-2 @ 25 SB-2 @ 30'	25' 30'	9/17/2018	Risked	<0.00200	< 0.00200	<0.00200	<0.002	<0.002	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<13.0	50.3
SB-2 @ 35'	35'	9/17/2018	Risked	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	< 0.00199	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	61.6
NMOCD Recomme	nded Reme	diation Actio	on Level	10	_	-	_	50	_	-	-	5,000	600
	itemet			10				50	-			5,000	000

# Analytical Report 597000

for TRC Solutions, Inc

**Project Manager: Joel Lowry** 

GJ West Coop Unit #108

## 12-SEP-18

Collected By: Client





1211 W. Florida Ave, Midland TX 79701

Xenco-Houston (EPA Lab Code: TX00122): Texas (T104704215-18-27), Arizona (AZ0765), Florida (E871002-24), Louisiana (03054) Oklahoma (2017-142)

> Xenco-Dallas (EPA Lab Code: TX01468): Texas (T104704295-18-17), Arizona (AZ0809), Arkansas (17-063-0)

Xenco-El Paso (EPA Lab Code: TX00127): Texas (T104704221-18-13) Xenco-Lubbock (EPA Lab Code: TX00139): Texas (T104704219-18-17) Xenco-Midland (EPA Lab Code: TX00158): Texas (T104704400-18-16) Xenco-San Antonio (EPA Lab Code: TNI02385): Texas (T104704534-18-4) Xenco Phoenix (EPA Lab Code: AZ00901): Arizona (AZ0757) Xenco-Phoenix Mobile (EPA Lab Code: AZ00901): Arizona (AZM757) Xenco-Atlanta (LELAP Lab ID #04176) Xenco-Tampa: Florida (E87429) Xenco-Lakeland: Florida (E84098)





Project Manager: **Joel Lowry TRC Solutions, Inc** 2057 Commerce Midland, TX 79703

Reference: XENCO Report No(s): **597000 GJ West Coop Unit #108** Project Address: Lea County,NM

#### Joel Lowry:

We are reporting to you the results of the analyses performed on the samples received under the project name referenced above and identified with the XENCO Report Number(s) 597000. All results being reported under this Report Number apply to the samples analyzed and properly identified with a Laboratory ID number. Subcontracted analyses are identified in this report with either the NELAC certification number of the subcontract lab in the analyst ID field, or the complete subcontracted report attached to this report.

Unless otherwise noted in a Case Narrative, all data reported in this Analytical Report are in compliance with NELAC standards. The uncertainty of measurement associated with the results of analysis reported is available upon request. Should insufficient sample be provided to the laboratory to meet the method and NELAC Matrix Duplicate and Matrix Spike requirements, then the data will be analyzed, evaluated and reported using all other available quality control measures.

The validity and integrity of this report will remain intact as long as it is accompanied by this letter and reproduced in full, unless written approval is granted by XENCO Laboratories. This report will be filed for at least 5 years in our archives after which time it will be destroyed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. The samples received, and described as recorded in Report No. 597000 will be filed for 45 days, and after that time they will be properly disposed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. We reserve the right to return to you any unused samples, extracts or solutions related to them if we consider so necessary (e.g., samples identified as hazardous waste, sample sizes exceeding analytical standard practices, controlled substances under regulated protocols, etc).

We thank you for selecting XENCO Laboratories to serve your analytical needs. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact us at any time.

Respectfully,

Huns hoah

Kelsey Brooks Project Manager

Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994. Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies. A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - Midland - San Antonio - Phoenix - Oklahoma - Latin America





#### Sample Id

RP NSW-1 @ 2'
RP NSW-2 @ 2'
RP ESW-1 @ 2'
RP ESW-2 @ 2'
RP WSW-1 @ 2'
RP WSW-2 @ 2'

## Sample Cross Reference 597000



## TRC Solutions, Inc, Midland, TX

GJ West Coop Unit #108

Matrix	Date Collected	Sample Depth	Lab Sample Id
S	08-22-18 09:00	2 ft	597000-001
S	08-22-18 09:15	2 ft	597000-002
S	08-22-18 09:30	2 ft	597000-003
S	08-22-18 09:45	2 ft	597000-004
S	08-22-18 10:00	2 ft	597000-005
S	08-22-18 10:15	2 ft	597000-006



Client Name: TRC Solutions, Inc Project Name: GJ West Coop Unit #108

Project ID: Work Order Number(s): 597000 Report Date:12-SEP-18Date Received:08/24/2018

#### Sample receipt non conformances and comments:

None

Sample receipt non conformances and comments per sample:

None



Project Id:Contact:Joel LowryProject Location:Lea County,NM

Certificate of Analysis Summary 597000

TRC Solutions, Inc, Midland, TX Project Name: GJ West Coop Unit #108



Date Received in Lab:Fri Aug-24-18 12:29 pmReport Date:12-SEP-18Project Manager:Kelsey Brooks

	Lab Id:	597000-0	01	597000-0	02	597000-0	03	597000-0	04	597000-0	05	597000-0	06
Analysis Requested	Field Id:	RP NSW-1	@ 2'	RP NSW-2	@ 2'	RP ESW-1	@ 2'	RP ESW-2	@ 2'	RP WSW-1	@ 2'	RP WSW-2	@ 2'
Anulysis Kequesleu	Depth:	2- ft		2- ft		2- ft		2- ft		2- ft		2- ft	
	Matrix:	SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		SOIL	
	Sampled:	Aug-22-18 0	9:00	Aug-22-18 (	)9:15	Aug-22-18 (	)9:30	Aug-22-18 (	9:45	Aug-22-18	10:00	Aug-22-18 1	0:15
Chloride by EPA 300	Extracted:	Aug-28-18 1	0:00	Aug-28-18	0:00	Aug-28-18 1	0:00	Aug-28-18 1	0:00	Aug-28-18	10:00	Aug-28-18 1	0:00
	Analyzed:	Aug-28-18 1	2:23	Aug-28-18	2:39	Aug-28-18 1	2:45	Aug-28-18 1	3:01	Aug-28-18	13:06	Aug-28-18 1	3:12
	Units/RL:	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL
Chloride		<4.99	4.99	235	4.97	12.9	4.98	210	4.99	153	4.98	97.8	4.98

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use. The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best judgment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data hereby presented. Our liability is limited to the amount invoiced for this work order unless otherwise agreed to in writing.

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Atlanta - Tampa - Boca Raton - Latin America - Odessa - Corpus Christi

Kurs Boah

Kelsey Brooks Project Manager



## **Flagging Criteria**



Page 31 of 116

- X In our quality control review of the data a QC deficiency was observed and flagged as noted. MS/MSD recoveries were found to be outside of the laboratory control limits due to possible matrix /chemical interference, or a concentration of target analyte high enough to affect the recovery of the spike concentration. This condition could also affect the relative percent difference in the MS/MSD.
- B A target analyte or common laboratory contaminant was identified in the method blank. Its presence indicates possible field or laboratory contamination.
- **D** The sample(s) were diluted due to targets detected over the highest point of the calibration curve, or due to matrix interference. Dilution factors are included in the final results. The result is from a diluted sample.
- **E** The data exceeds the upper calibration limit; therefore, the concentration is reported as estimated.
- RPD exceeded lab control limits. F
- The target analyte was positively identified below the quantitation limit and above the detection limit. J
- U Analyte was not detected.
- L The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported below the laboratory control limits for this analyte. The department supervisor and QA Director reviewed data. The samples were either reanalyzed or flagged as estimated concentrations.
- **H** The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported above the laboratory control limits. Supporting QC Data were reviewed by the Department Supervisor and QA Director. Data were determined to be valid for reporting.
- K Sample analyzed outside of recommended hold time.
- JN A combination of the "N" and the "J" qualifier. The analysis indicates that the analyte is "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value may not be consistent with the amount actually present in the environmental sample.
- \*\* Surrogate recovered outside laboratory control limit.
- BRL Below Reporting Limit.
- Reporting Limit RL
- MDL Method Detection Limit SDL Sample Detection Limit LOD Limit of Detection
- **PQL** Practical Quantitation Limit MQL Method Quantitation Limit LOQ Limit of Quantitation
- Method Detection Limit DL
- NC Non-Calculable

SMP Clie	ent Sample	BLK	Method Blank	
BKS/LCS	S Blank Spike/Laboratory Control Sample	BKSD/LCSD	Blank Spike Duplicate/Labo	ratory Control Sample Duplicate
MD/SD	Method Duplicate/Sample Duplicate	MS	Matrix Spike	MSD: Matrix Spike Duplicate

- + NELAC certification not offered for this compound.
- (Next to analyte name or method description) = Outside XENCO's scope of NELAC accreditation



## Form 3 - MS / MSD Recoveries

# Project Name: GJ West Coop Unit #108



.

Work Order # :	597000						Project II	<b>)</b> :				
Lab Batch ID:	3061452	QC- Sample ID:	596609	-025 S	Ba	tch #:	1 Matrix	<b>x:</b> Soil				
Date Analyzed:	08/28/2018	Date Prepared:	08/28/2	018	An	alyst: S	SCM					
<b>Reporting Units:</b>	mg/kg		Μ	ATRIX SPIK	E / MAT	RIX SPI	KE DUPLICA	TE REC	OVERY	STUDY		
	Chloride by EPA 300	Parent Sample Result	Spike	Spiked Sample Result	Spiked Sample %R	Spike	Duplicate Spiked Sample	Spiked Dup. %R	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
	Analytes	[A]	Added [B]	[C]	%K [D]	Added [E]	Result [F]	%K [G]	70	% <b>K</b>	%KPD	
Chloride		324	283	599	97	283	599	97	0	90-110	20	
Lab Batch ID:	3061452	QC- Sample ID:	597000	-001 S	Ba	tch #:	1 Matrix	<b>x:</b> Soil				
Date Analyzed:	08/28/2018	Date Prepared:	08/28/2	018	An	alyst: S	SCM					
<b>Reporting Units:</b>	mg/kg		Μ	ATRIX SPIK	E / MAT	RIX SPI	KE DUPLICA	TE REC	OVERY	STUDY		
	Chloride by EPA 300	Parent Sample Result	Spike	Spiked Sample Result	Sample	Spike	Duplicate Spiked Sample		RPD	Control Limits	Control Limits	Flag
	Analytes	[A]	Added [B]	[C]	%R [D]	Added [E]	Result [F]	%R [G]	%	%R	%RPD	
Chloride		<4.99	250	243	97	250	243	97	0	90-110	20	

Matrix Spike Percent Recovery  $[D] = 100^{*}(C-A)/B$ Relative Percent Difference RPD =  $200^{*}|(C-F)/(C+F)|$  Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery [G] = 100\*(F-A)/E

ND = Not Detected, J = Present Below Reporting Limit, B = Present in Blank, NR = Not Requested, I = Interference, NA = Not Applicable N = See Narrative, EQL = Estimated Quantitation Limit, NC = Non Calculable - Sample amount is > 4 times the amount spiked.

Dallas Texas (214-902-0300)	A	Midland, Texas (432-704-5251)	as (432-704	-5251) <u>www</u>	H) <u>www.xenco.com</u>	.com					-						Xenco Job #	Job #			5	3	2	1
Client / Reporting Information			Projec	Project Information	ation								A	Analytical Information	al Info	matic	Ĕ							
Company Name / Branch: TRC Environmental Corporation	Pro-	Project Name/Number: G.I West Coon I Init	. I Init #108										<u></u>											
Company Address: 2057 Commerce Drive	Pro	Project Location:																						
Midland, TX 79703		Les County, Ma																						
Email: Phone No: ilowny@trcsolutions.com 432-468-4450	CO	Invoice To: COG Operating C/O Becky Haskell	C/O Becky Ha	skell																				
Project Contact:																								
Joel Lowry	117	Invoice:									 Fxt													
OAIIIDIETS S NAME BECKY GITTIN	-			_							<u>.</u> СМ 1		1B								-			
	-	Collection			7.88	Nu	under of preserv	preserv	ed bottles	ES.	15		021											
No. Field ID / Point of Collection S	Sample				# of	CI aOH/Zn cetate	NO3	2SO4 aOH	aHSO4	EOH	ONE PH 80	Chlorid	BTEX 8								_			
1 RP NSW-1 @2'		8/22/2018									+	-+				_	_	_						
2 RP NSW-2 @2'		8/22/2018	9:15	s	-	_		_			-+	×						_						
3 RP ESW-1 @2'	2ft 8,	8/22/2018	9:30	s	- <u>-</u> -						+	×				$\square$		_						
4 RP ESW-2 @2'		8/22/2018	9:45	ŝ								×												
5 RP WSW-1 @2'	2ft 8/	8/22/2018	10:00	s								×					_							
6 RP WSW-2 @2'		8/22/2018	10:15	s								×					_	_						
7																			$\square$					
œ																	_							
G																								
10																								
	_			Data	a Deliven	Data Deliverable Information	mation									Notes:	2000							
Same Day TAT 5 Day TAT			Level	Level II Std QC	0			Level IV (Full Data Pkg /r	/ (Full I	Data Pi	(g /raw	aw data)			lowry@trcsolutions.com	Øtrcs	olution	s.con						
Next Day EMERGENCY		 	Level	Level III Std QC+ Forms	C+ Form	ns		TRRP Level IV	_evel IV						rhaskell@concho.com	ell@cc	ncho.	om						
2 Day EMERGENCY X Contract TAT			Level	Level 3 (CLP Forms)	=orms)			UST / RG -411	G-411						zconder@trcsolutions.com	er@tr	soluti	ons.c	B					
3 Day EMERGENCY			TRRP Checklist	Checkli	st										dneel2@concho.com	@cor	cho.c	m						
TAT Starts Day received by Lab, if received by 5:00 pm	ā														FED-EX / UPS: Tracking #	Ň	S: Tra	sking	*					
SAMPLE CUSTOD	IUST BE DOC	UMENTED B	ELOW EACH	TIME SAI	MPLES C	HANGE	POSSES	SION, INC	LUDIN	3 COUR	HER DE	DELIVERY				1033								
	8-23-18	N.	Received By:	" "	Lar Lar	2 2 2	6	Relinquished By: 2	shed B	, Y			Date Time:	lime:		N T	Received By: 2	ad By						
0001	te lime:		Receiver By	D C	6			Relinquished By: 4	shed B	Υ.			Date Time: 8-2418 /	rime: 478	$\sim$ 1	A A	Received By: 4	ad By						
Notice: Notice: Signature of this document and relinquishment of samples constitutes	Date Ime:	R Se order from	Received By: 6	r: Inv to Xen	co its af	filates and	t subcont	Custody Seal #	Seal #			Pres	Preserved where applicable	vhere	applica	ible					$\bigcirc$		Cooler Temp.	ė́/
any losses or expenses incurred by the Client if such loses are due to circumstances beyond the control of Xenco. A minimum charge of \$75 will be applied to each project. Xenco's liability will be terms will be enforced unless previously negotiated under a fully executed client contract.	beyond the c ract.	ontrol of Xenc	o. A minimum	charge of	\$75 will	be applied	to each	project. X	enco's li	ability wi	ll be lim	ins and uniquities of samples. Any samples received by Xenco but not analyzed will be involved at \$5 per sample. These limited to the cost of samples. Any samples received by Xenco but not analyzed will be involved at \$5 per sample. These	le cost o	f sampl	e. Any es. Any	sample	s recei	ved by	r the c Xenco	but n	samp ot ana	tlyzed	ed will	d shali u vill be i

### Received by OCD: 4/7/2023 9:35:52 AM

## 1.001



Page 1 Of 🔐 🕻

HAIN OF CUSTODY

San Antonio, Texas (210-509-3334)

Phoenix, Arizona (480-355-0900)

Received by OCD: 4/7/2023 9:35:52 AM



## **XENCO** Laboratories



Prelogin/Nonconformance Report- Sample Log-In

Client: TRC Solutions, Inc Date/ Time Received: 08/24/2018 12:29:00 PM Work Order #: 597000 Comments Sample Receipt Checklist .8 #1 \*Temperature of cooler(s)? #2 \*Shipping container in good condition? Yes #3 \*Samples received on ice? Yes #4 \*Custody Seals intact on shipping container/ cooler? Yes #5 Custody Seals intact on sample bottles? No #6\*Custody Seals Signed and dated? No #7 \*Chain of Custody present? Yes #8 Any missing/extra samples? No #9 Chain of Custody signed when relinquished/ received? Yes #10 Chain of Custody agrees with sample labels/matrix? Yes #11 Container label(s) legible and intact? Yes #12 Samples in proper container/ bottle? Yes #13 Samples properly preserved? Yes #14 Sample container(s) intact? Yes #15 Sufficient sample amount for indicated test(s)? Yes #16 All samples received within hold time? Yes

#17 Subcontract of sample(s)?

#18 Water VOC samples have zero headspace?

### \* Must be completed for after-hours delivery of samples prior to placing in the refrigerator

Analyst:

PH Device/Lot#:

Date: 08/24/2018

No

N/A

Checklist completed by: Jawe Matto Shawnee Gomez Checklist reviewed by: Marto Shawnee Gomez Kelsey Brooks

Date: 08/27/2018

Acceptable Temperature Range: 0 - 6 degC Air and Metal samples Acceptable Range: Ambient

Temperature Measuring device used : R8

# Analytical Report 598350

for TRC Solutions, Inc

**Project Manager: Joel Lowry** 

GJ West Coop Unit #011

## 13-SEP-18

Collected By: Client





1211 W. Florida Ave, Midland TX 79701

Xenco-Houston (EPA Lab Code: TX00122): Texas (T104704215-18-27), Arizona (AZ0765), Florida (E871002-24), Louisiana (03054) Oklahoma (2017-142)

> Xenco-Dallas (EPA Lab Code: TX01468): Texas (T104704295-18-17), Arizona (AZ0809), Arkansas (17-063-0)

Xenco-El Paso (EPA Lab Code: TX00127): Texas (T104704221-18-13) Xenco-Lubbock (EPA Lab Code: TX00139): Texas (T104704219-18-17) Xenco-Midland (EPA Lab Code: TX00158): Texas (T104704400-18-16) Xenco-San Antonio (EPA Lab Code: TNI02385): Texas (T104704534-18-4) Xenco Phoenix (EPA Lab Code: AZ00901): Arizona (AZ0757) Xenco-Phoenix Mobile (EPA Lab Code: AZ00901): Arizona (AZM757) Xenco-Atlanta (LELAP Lab ID #04176) Xenco-Tampa: Florida (E87429) Xenco-Lakeland: Florida (E84098)



13-SEP-18

Project Manager: **Joel Lowry TRC Solutions, Inc** 2057 Commerce Midland, TX 79703

Reference: XENCO Report No(s): **598350 GJ West Coop Unit #011** Project Address: Eddy Co,NM

#### Joel Lowry:

We are reporting to you the results of the analyses performed on the samples received under the project name referenced above and identified with the XENCO Report Number(s) 598350. All results being reported under this Report Number apply to the samples analyzed and properly identified with a Laboratory ID number. Subcontracted analyses are identified in this report with either the NELAC certification number of the subcontract lab in the analyst ID field, or the complete subcontracted report attached to this report.

Unless otherwise noted in a Case Narrative, all data reported in this Analytical Report are in compliance with NELAC standards. The uncertainty of measurement associated with the results of analysis reported is available upon request. Should insufficient sample be provided to the laboratory to meet the method and NELAC Matrix Duplicate and Matrix Spike requirements, then the data will be analyzed, evaluated and reported using all other available quality control measures.

The validity and integrity of this report will remain intact as long as it is accompanied by this letter and reproduced in full, unless written approval is granted by XENCO Laboratories. This report will be filed for at least 5 years in our archives after which time it will be destroyed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. The samples received, and described as recorded in Report No. 598350 will be filed for 45 days, and after that time they will be properly disposed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. We reserve the right to return to you any unused samples, extracts or solutions related to them if we consider so necessary (e.g., samples identified as hazardous waste, sample sizes exceeding analytical standard practices, controlled substances under regulated protocols, etc).

We thank you for selecting XENCO Laboratories to serve your analytical needs. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact us at any time.

Respectfully,

Huns hoah

Kelsey Brooks Project Manager

Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994. Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies. A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - Midland - San Antonio - Phoenix - Oklahoma - Latin America




## Sample Id

DT-1 SSW-1 @ 3'
DT-1 SSW-2 @ 3'
DT-1 SSW-3 @ 3'
DT-1 ESW-1 @ 3'
DT-1 ESW-2 @ 3'
DT-1 ESW-3 @ 1.5'
DT-1 WSW-1 @ 3'
DT-1 WSW-2 @ 1.5'
DT-1 FL-1@ 6'
DT-1 FL-2 @ 6'
DT-3 SSW @ 1'

# Sample Cross Reference 598350



## TRC Solutions, Inc, Midland, TX

GJ West Coop Unit #011

Matrix	Date Collected	Sample Depth	Lab Sample Id
S	09-05-18 09:00	3 ft	598350-001
S	09-05-18 09:10	3 ft	598350-002
S	09-05-18 09:20	3 ft	598350-003
S	09-05-18 09:30	3 ft	598350-004
S	09-05-18 09:35	3 ft	598350-005
S	09-05-18 09:50	1.5 ft	598350-006
S	09-05-18 10:00	3 ft	598350-007
S	09-05-18 10:10	1.5 ft	598350-008
S	09-05-18 10:20	6 ft	598350-009
S	09-05-18 10:30	6 ft	598350-010
S	09-05-18 10:40	1 ft	598350-011

.



# CASE NARRATIVE

Client Name: TRC Solutions, Inc Project Name: GJ West Coop Unit #011

Project ID: Work Order Number(s): 598350 Report Date: 13-SEP-18 Date Received: 09/07/2018

## Sample receipt non conformances and comments:

None

Sample receipt non conformances and comments per sample:

None



Project Id:Contact:Joel LowryProject Location:Eddy Co,NM

# Certificate of Analysis Summary 598350

TRC Solutions, Inc, Midland, TX Project Name: GJ West Coop Unit #011



Date Received in Lab:Fri Sep-07-18 01:15 pmReport Date:13-SEP-18Project Manager:Kelsey Brooks

	Lab Id:	598350-0	01	598350-0	02	598350-0	03	598350-0	04	598350-0	05	598350-0	06	
Analysis Requested	Field Id:	DT-1 SSW-	1@3'	DT-1 SSW-2	2@3'	DT-1 SSW-3	@ 3'	DT-1 ESW-1	@ 3'	DT-1 ESW-2	2@3'	DT-1 ESW-3	@ 1.5'	
Anulysis Kequesieu	Depth:	3- ft		3- ft		3- ft		3- ft		3- ft		1.5- ft		
	Matrix:	SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		
	Sampled:	Sep-05-18 (	09:00	Sep-05-18 (	)9:10	Sep-05-18 (	9:20	Sep-05-18 (	9:30	Sep-05-18 (	)9:35	Sep-05-18 0	9:50	
Chloride by EPA 300	Extracted:	Sep-11-18	12:15	Sep-11-18	2:15	Sep-10-18 1	6:30	Sep-11-18 1	2:15	Sep-11-18	2:15	Sep-11-18 1	2:15	
	Analyzed:	Sep-11-18	13:25	Sep-11-18	3:43	Sep-10-18 1	8:48	Sep-11-18 1	3:50	Sep-11-18	3:56	Sep-11-18 1	4:02	
	Units/RL:	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	
Chloride		82.5	4.99	121	4.95	193	4.98	104	4.95	179	4.95	23.0	4.96	

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use. The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best judgment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data hereby presented. Our liability is limited to the amount invoiced for this work order unless otherwise agreed to in writing.

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Atlanta - Tampa - Boca Raton - Latin America - Odessa - Corpus Christi

Kms Boah

Kelsey Brooks Project Manager

Page 5 of 13



Project Id:Contact:Joel LowryProject Location:Eddy Co,NM

# Certificate of Analysis Summary 598350

TRC Solutions, Inc, Midland, TX Project Name: GJ West Coop Unit #011



Date Received in Lab:Fri Sep-07-18 01:15 pmReport Date:13-SEP-18Project Manager:Kelsey Brooks

	Lab Id:	598350-0	07	598350-0	08	598350-0	09	598350-0	10	598350-0	11	
Analysis Requested	Field Id:	DT-1 WSW-	1@3'	DT-1 WSW-2	@ 1.5'	DT-1 FL-1	@ 6'	DT-1 FL-2	@ 6'	DT-3 SSW	@ 1'	
Analysis Kequeslea	Depth:	3- ft		1.5- ft		6- ft		6- ft		1- ft		
	Matrix:	SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		
	Sampled:	Sep-05-18 1	0:00	Sep-05-18 1	0:10	Sep-05-18 1	0:20	Sep-05-18 1	0:30	Sep-05-18	0:40	
Chloride by EPA 300	Extracted:	Sep-10-18 1	6:30	Sep-11-18 1	2:15	Sep-11-18 1	2:15	Sep-11-18 12:15		Sep-11-18 1	2:15	
	Analyzed:	Sep-10-18 2	20:14	Sep-11-18 1	4:21	Sep-11-18 1	4:27	Sep-11-18 1	4:33	Sep-11-18 1	4:39	
	Units/RL:	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	
Chloride		156	5.02	169	4.96	50.2	5.00	247	4.94	252	4.99	

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use. The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best judgment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data hereby presented. Our liability is limited to the amount invoiced for this work order unless otherwise agreed to in writing.

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Atlanta - Tampa - Boca Raton - Latin America - Odessa - Corpus Christi

Kuns Boah

Kelsey Brooks Project Manager

Page 6 of 13



# **Flagging Criteria**



Page 41 of 116

- X In our quality control review of the data a QC deficiency was observed and flagged as noted. MS/MSD recoveries were found to be outside of the laboratory control limits due to possible matrix /chemical interference, or a concentration of target analyte high enough to affect the recovery of the spike concentration. This condition could also affect the relative percent difference in the MS/MSD.
- **B** A target analyte or common laboratory contaminant was identified in the method blank. Its presence indicates possible field or laboratory contamination.
- **D** The sample(s) were diluted due to targets detected over the highest point of the calibration curve, or due to matrix interference. Dilution factors are included in the final results. The result is from a diluted sample.
- E The data exceeds the upper calibration limit; therefore, the concentration is reported as estimated.
- **F** RPD exceeded lab control limits.
- J The target analyte was positively identified below the quantitation limit and above the detection limit.
- U Analyte was not detected.
- L The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported below the laboratory control limits for this analyte. The department supervisor and QA Director reviewed data. The samples were either reanalyzed or flagged as estimated concentrations.
- **H** The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported above the laboratory control limits. Supporting QC Data were reviewed by the Department Supervisor and QA Director. Data were determined to be valid for reporting.
- **K** Sample analyzed outside of recommended hold time.
- **JN** A combination of the "N" and the "J" qualifier. The analysis indicates that the analyte is "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value may not be consistent with the amount actually present in the environmental sample.
- \*\* Surrogate recovered outside laboratory control limit.
- **BRL** Below Reporting Limit.
- RL Reporting Limit
- MDL Method Detection LimitSDLSample Detection LimitLOD Limit of Detection
- PQL Practical Quantitation Limit MQL Method Quantitation Limit LOQ Limit of Quantitation
- DL Method Detection Limit
- NC Non-Calculable

SMP Clie	ent Sample	BLK	Method Blank	
BKS/LCS	S Blank Spike/Laboratory Control Sample	BKSD/LCSD	Blank Spike Duplicate/Labo	ratory Control Sample Duplicate
MD/SD	Method Duplicate/Sample Duplicate	MS	Matrix Spike	MSD: Matrix Spike Duplicate

- + NELAC certification not offered for this compound.
- \* (Next to analyte name or method description) = Outside XENCO's scope of NELAC accreditation



# **BS / BSD Recoveries**



## Project Name: GJ West Coop Unit #011

Work Orde	er #: 598350							Pro	ject ID:								
Analyst:	SCM	D	ate Prepar	ed: 09/10/20	18			Date A	nalyzed:	09/10/2018							
Lab Batch II	<b>D:</b> 3062687 <b>Sample:</b> 76619	993-1-BKS	Batcl	<b>h #:</b> 1		Matrix: Solid											
Units:	mg/kg		BLAN	K /BLANK	SPIKE / 2	BLANK	SPIKE DUP	LICATE	RECOV	ERY STU	DY						
Anal	Chloride by EPA 300	Blank Sample Result [A]	Spike Added [B]	Blank Spike Result [C]	Blank Spike %R [D]	Spike Added [E]	Blank Spike Duplicate Result [F]	Blk. Spk Dup. %R [G]	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag					
Chloride		<5.00	250	255	102	250	254	102	0	90-110	20						
Analyst:	SCM	D	ate Prepar	ed: 09/11/20	18	-		Date A	nalyzed:	09/11/2018	-	4					
Lab Batch II	<b>D:</b> 3062836 <b>Sample:</b> 76620	038-1-BKS	Batcl	<b>h #:</b> 1					Matrix:	Solid							
Units:	mg/kg		BLAN	K/BLANK	SPIKE / 2	BLANK	SPIKE DUP	LICATE	RECOV	ERY STU	DY						
Anal	Chloride by EPA 300 lytes	Blank Sample Result [A]	Spike Added [B]	Blank Spike Result [C]	Blank Spike %R [D]	Spike Added [E]	Blank Spike Duplicate Result [F]	Blk. Spk Dup. %R [G]	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag					
Chloride	2	<5.00	250	254	102	250	255	102	0	90-110	20						

Relative Percent Difference RPD =  $200^{*}|(C-F)/(C+F)|$ Blank Spike Recovery [D] =  $100^{*}(C)/[B]$ Blank Spike Duplicate Recovery [G] =  $100^{*}(F)/[E]$ All results are based on MDL and Validated for QC Purposes



# Form 3 - MS / MSD Recoveries

## Project Name: GJ West Coop Unit #011



.

Work Order # :	598350						Project ID	):				
Lab Batch ID:	3062687	QC- Sample ID:	598350	-003 S	Ba	tch #:	1 Matrix	: Soil				
Date Analyzed:	09/10/2018	Date Prepared:	09/10/2	018	Ar	alyst: S	SCM					
<b>Reporting Units:</b>	mg/kg		Ν	IATRIX SPIK	E / MAT	RIX SPI	KE DUPLICA	FE REC	OVERY	STUDY		
	Chloride by EPA 300	Parent Sample Result	Spike	Spiked Sample Result	Sample	Spike	Duplicate Spiked Sample	Spiked Dup.	RPD	Control Limits	Control Limits	Flag
	Analytes	[A]	Added [B]	[C]	%R [D]	Added [E]	Result [F]	%R [G]	%	%R	%RPD	
Chloride		193	249	435	97	249	437	98	0	90-110	20	
Lab Batch ID:	3062687	QC- Sample ID:	598350	-007 S	Ba	tch #:	1 Matrix	: Soil				
Date Analyzed:	09/10/2018	Date Prepared:	09/10/2	018	Ar	alyst: S	SCM					
<b>Reporting Units:</b>	mg/kg	MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY										
	Chloride by EPA 300	Parent Sample Result	Spike	Spiked Sample Result	Sample	Spike	Duplicate Spiked Sample	Spiked Dup.	RPD	Control Limits	Control Limits	Flag
	Analytes	[A]	Added [B]	[C]	%R [D]	Added [E]	Result [F]	%R [G]	%	%R	%RPD	
Chloride		156	251	407	100	251	403	98	1	90-110	20	
Lab Batch ID:	3062836	QC- Sample ID:	598340	-001 S	Ba	tch #:	1 Matrix	: Soil				<u> </u>
Date Analyzed:	09/11/2018	Date Prepared:	09/11/2	018	Ar	alyst: S	SCM					
<b>Reporting Units:</b>	mg/kg		Ν	IATRIX SPIK	E / MAT	RIX SPI	KE DUPLICA	FE REC	OVERY	STUDY		
	Chloride by EPA 300	Parent Sample Result	Spike	Spiked Sample Result	Sample	Spike	Duplicate Spiked Sample	Spiked Dup.	RPD	Control Limits	Control Limits	Flag
	Analytes	[A]	Added [B]	[C]	%R [D]	Added [E]	Result [F]	%R [G]	%	%R	%RPD	
Chloride		322	250	558	94	250	556	94	0	90-110	20	

Matrix Spike Percent Recovery  $[D] = 100^{*}(C-A)/B$ Relative Percent Difference RPD =  $200^{*}|(C-F)/(C+F)|$  Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery  $[G] = 100^{*}(F-A)/E$ 

ND = Not Detected, J = Present Below Reporting Limit, B = Present in Blank, NR = Not Requested, I = Interference, NA = Not Applicable N = See Narrative, EQL = Estimated Quantitation Limit, NC = Non Calculable - Sample amount is > 4 times the amount spiked.

Page 9 of 13



# Form 3 - MS / MSD Recoveries

# Page 44 of 116

## Project Name: GJ West Coop Unit #011

Work Order # :	598350	Project ID:														
Lab Batch ID:	3062836	QC- Sample ID:	598350	-001 S	Ba	tch #:	1 Matrix	k: Soil								
Date Analyzed:	09/11/2018	Ate Prepared:09/11/2018Analyst:SCM														
<b>Reporting Units:</b>	mg/kg		Μ	ATRIX SPIK	E / MAT	RIX SPI	KE DUPLICA	TE REC	OVERYS	STUDY						
	Chloride by EPA 300	Parent Sample	Spike	Spiked Sample Result	Sample	Spike	Duplicate Spiked Sample	-	RPD	Control Limits	Control Limits	Flag				
	Analytes	Result [A]	Added [B]	[C]	%R [D]	Added [E]	Result [F]	%R [G]	%	%R	%RPD					
Chloride		82.5	250	330	99	250	331	99	0	90-110	20					

Matrix Spike Percent Recovery  $[D] = 100^{*}(C-A)/B$ Relative Percent Difference RPD =  $200^{*}|(C-F)/(C+F)|$  Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery [G] = 100\*(F-A)/E

ND = Not Detected, J = Present Below Reporting Limit, B = Present in Blank, NR = Not Requested, I = Interference, NA = Not Applicable N = See Narrative, EQL = Estimated Quantitation Limit, NC = Non Calculable - Sample amount is > 4 times the amount spiked.

Page 10 of 13

.

Received by	OCD:	4/7/2023	9:35:52 AM
-------------	------	----------	------------

ceiv	ed by	<b>OCD</b>	: 4/7	7/2	<i>02</i> .	3 9:	35:5	2 A	M																				<b>.</b>			P	age	45 of 116
Invoice, rounde, organizer or into accounterin and reimpassimiterin or samples constantees and guardinate order infinitement company to Aerico, its attitutes and subcontractors, it assigns stand losses or expenses incurred by the Client if such loses are due to circumstances beyond the control of Xenco. A minimum charge of \$75 will be applied to each project. Xencos liability will be be enforced unless reviously necotiated under a fully executed client contract.	Relinquished by: 5 Malaon Malaon Characterize of this document and calibration for a state of a state of the	Relinquished by (UU)	And	SAMPLE CUST	TAT Starts Day received by Lab, if received by 5:00 pm	3 Day EMERGENCY	2 Day EMERGENCY X Contract TAT	Next Day EMERGENCY	Same Day TAT 5 Day TAT	Turnaround Time ( Business days)	10 DT-1 FL-20 6	DITIFL-IQ 6	· 57.1 WS W- 20 11/2'	1 1-1 12510-3!	1ESW-30	5 DT-1 ESW-203'	4 DT-1 ESVS-103	3 br-15512-30 3'	2 br-1 55w-203'	1 b7-1 55W-1@31	No. Field ID / Point of Collection	Samplers's Name: BECKU-GR, FF, M	Project Contact: Joel Lowry	<u>100WIY @ IICSOTUUIONS.COIN</u> 432-466-4450	mentatione nom	10 Desta Dr. Suite 150E Midland, TX 79705	TRC Environmental Corporation Company Address:	Company Name / Branch:	Client / Reporting Information			Dallas Tayas (214-902-0300)	Setting the Standard since 1990 Stafford Texas (281-240-4200)	LABORATORIES
s beyond the	Date Time:	Date Time:	Date Time: 9-6-48	ODY MUST	:00 pm						655	& FT	1.5.57	WR	1.57	5 F 7	3 8-1	U Y	SFT	385	Sample Depth													
e control of Xenco. A m	me: A		-18 3:32 II	SAMPLE CUSTODY MUST BE DOCUMENTED BELOW EACH TIME SAMPLES CHANGE POSSESSION, INCLUDING CO							9-5-1	- 2-6	9.5.9	9.5.18	9.5.10	-9.5-189:3s	- 9-5-18 9:30	59.5.09	9.5.18	9.5.8	Date	Collection	Invoice: C		Invoice To:	M 22	Profit Location:	Project Name/Number:			manna, rona	Midland Tayas (432-704-5251)	San Antonio 1	
hinimum cha	Received By: 5	Received By: 3	Repélved	ELOW EAC			Level				8 10:30	8 10.20	0.01	16:00		1	1	4:22	9:10	9:0 8	Time		Drecking to serve		9			nber:	Proiect		- 1-10-10	e (A23-70.	Fovac (91	
rge of \$75 w		÷.	S.	H TIME SAI		TRRP Checklist	Level 3 (CLP Forms)	Level III Std QC+ Forms	Level II Std QC	Data	5	2	5	h	5	-	2	N -	n		Matrix bottles		ATT			Ő			Informati		MWW	4-5354)	0.500.33	Page
ill be applie			XX	MPLES CH.		-	orms)	+ Forms		Data Deliverable Information											F 역 HCI		Ř	, D		) Z	400,	7	3		www.xanco.com	140		- O
id to each p	-			ANGE POS				П		Informatic					 			ļ	ļ		NaOH/Zn Acetate	Number	o K	)		/	44				m			
ontractors. roject. Xent	Custo	ReWno 4	Real	SESSION,			UST		Leve	A				1 <u>.</u> 							HNO3 H2SO4	Number of preserved bottles	in the second se	9 9			-1							CUSTOI
it assigns : co's liability	Custody Seal #	ReVinquished By:	Romania hed By:	INCLUDIN			UST / RG -411	TRRP Level IV	Level IV (Full Data												NaOH NaHSO4	ved bott	-HACK	•			ģ	r r						TC
r will be lin		3y:																				les	SYELL											D
nited to the		(		URIER DELIVERY					Pkg /raw data)												ТРН ТХ1	005	1					 ::\			Xenco (	Priver		
condition: a cost of s	Preserv	9	1	ERY					ata)		×	*	x	4	5	ĸ	×	K	×	×	Chloride	E 300	)						- 1	_	Xenco Quote #	Prioenix, Arizona (480-355-0900)		
s of servi samples.	Preserved where applicable		Date Time:																		NORM RCI								Milaly	Analyt		zona (4		
ce, Xenco Any samp	re appli		2	-	FED	7	bcoo	zcon	ilown					- -							TCLP Be	nzen	Э							inal Info		80-300-		
vill be liz vles receiv	cable		8	<b>1</b>	EX/UPS		oer@trc	der@trc	/@trcso	Notes:											TCLP RC	CRA 8	Met	als					Tinauon	-	×	(0060		
tble only f red by Xe		Received	Received		FED-EX / UPS: Tracking #	ŝ	bcooper@trcsolutions.com	zconder@trcsolutions.com	lowry@trcsolutions.com					 							Chloride TPH 801	5 M E	xt (N	IM)							Xenco Job #			
for the cos Inco but n	On Ice	٩. ٩.	R		ing #	>	IS.com	IS.COM	com					- -																	**			
st of samp ot analyze		K	g																		 									(	办			
oles and s ed will be			$\left( \right)$	ł	5																									_[	衣			
hall not as nvoiced a	- Cimp	\$	4											1							Fie									Ĺ	泯			
t \$5 per s	Them		7	-þ L		)   															Field Comments	WW= W A = Air	WI = Wipe	SL = Sludge OW =Ocean/	SW = 9	DW = Drinki	S = So	W = Water	Matri		7			
ample. Th	Q Corr. I		9	0	Ŷ	>															nents	WW= Waste Water A = Air	/lpe	ludge )cean/S	SW = Surface water	DW = Drinking Water	S = Soll/Sed/Solid	ater	Matrix Codes	20040	-			
dard terms and conditions of service. Xenco will be liable only for the cost of samples and shall not assume any responsibility for any be imited to the cost of samples. Any samples received by Xenco but not analyzed will be involced at \$5 per sample. These terms will	$\frac{1}{2}$		811C		-																	Vater		SL = Sludge OW =Ocean/Sea Water	water	y Water	olid		Ű	'   				
will		5																					1	¥										

Setting the Standard since 1990	LABORATORIES	XENCO

CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Project Contact: Joel Lowry Samplers's Name: Email: 10 Desta Dr. Suite 150E Company Address: Company Name / Branch: TRC Environmental Corporation Notice: Notice: Signature of this document and relinquishment of samples constitutes a valid purchase order from client company to Xenco, its affiliates and subcontractors. It assigns standard terms and conditions of service. Xenco will be liable only for the cost of samples and shall not assume any responsibility for any losses or expenses incurred by the Client if such loses are due to circumstances beyond the control of Xenco. A minimum charge of \$75 will be applied to each project. Xenco's liability will be limited to the cost of samples. Any samples received by Xenco but not analyzed will be invoiced at \$5 per sample. These terms will be enforced unless previously negolitated under a fully executed client contract. <u>N</u>o. Midland, TX 79705 4 თ сл ω N 5 ശ œ Þ Dallas Texas (214-902-0300) 2 Day EMERGENCY **Relinquished by:** 3 Day EMERGENCY Relinquished **Relinguished by Sau** 7 ilowry@trcsolutions.com **Client / Reporting Information** Next Day EMERGENCY TAT Starts Day received by Lab, if received by 5:00 pm Same Day TAT Turnaround Time ( Business days) E N Field ID / Point of Collection 22 0 X Contract TAT 7 Day TAT 5 Day TAT • Phone No: 432-466-4450 SAMPLE CUSTODY MUST 9 - 6 - 18 Date Time: Sample Date Time: Date Time: Depth -8-5-18 LOG OPELATINGS/BECKY HASKED Midland, Texas (432-704-5251) DOCUMENTED Collection Epty Co, Sr Date ļ, V BELOW EACH TIME SAMPLES CHANGE POSS Received By: 10:61 SEST COOP LINIT Received By Time Project Information **TRRP** Checklist Level 3 (CLP Forms) Level III Std QC+ Forms Level II Std QC Matrix angon www.xenco.com Data Deliverable Information bottles # of HCI NaOH/Zn Number of preserved bottles cetate -INO3 Relinquished By: UST / RG -411 12504 Custody Seal # Relinquished By: SION, INCLUDING COURIER DELIVERY TRRP Level IV Level IV (Fuli Data Pkg /raw data) man iaOH 110 # laHSO4 **IEOH** NONE Xenco Quote # TPH TX1005 Preserved where applicable Chloride E 300 81/0/18 Date Time: Date Time: NORM Analytical Information RCI 4:23 FED-EX/UPS: Tracking # bcooper@trcsolutions.com ilowry@trcsolutions.com zconder@trcsolutions.com TCLP Benzene Notes: TCLP RCRA 8 Metals Xenco Job # Chloride TPH 8015 M Ext (NM) On Ice ł Cooler Ĉ 'emp Field Comments S = Soil/Sed/Soiid GW =Ground Water DW = Drinking Water P = Product SL = Sludge OW =Ocean/Sea Water SW = Surface water A = Air0 = 01 WI = Wipe W = Water WW= Waste Water perme Corr. Factor Matrix Codes è S 0

#### Received by OCD: 4/7/2023 9:35:52 AM

Final 1.000

## Page 46 of 116

Stafford, Texas (281-240-4200)

San Antonio, Texas (210-509-3334)

Phoenix, Arizona (480-355-0900)

Received by OCD: 4/7/2023 9:35:52 AM



# **XENCO** Laboratories



Prelogin/Nonconformance Report- Sample Log-In

Client: TRC Solutions, Inc Acceptable Temperature Range: 0 - 6 degC Air and Metal samples Acceptable Range: Ambient Date/ Time Received: 09/07/2018 01:15:00 PM Temperature Measuring device used : R8 Work Order #: 598350 Comments Sample Receipt Checklist -.4 #1 \*Temperature of cooler(s)? #2 \*Shipping container in good condition? Yes #3 \*Samples received on ice? Yes #4 \*Custody Seals intact on shipping container/ cooler? N/A #5 Custody Seals intact on sample bottles? N/A #6\*Custody Seals Signed and dated? N/A #7 \*Chain of Custody present? Yes #8 Any missing/extra samples? No #9 Chain of Custody signed when relinquished/ received? Yes #10 Chain of Custody agrees with sample labels/matrix? Yes #11 Container label(s) legible and intact? Yes #12 Samples in proper container/ bottle? Yes #13 Samples properly preserved? Yes #14 Sample container(s) intact? Yes #15 Sufficient sample amount for indicated test(s)? Yes #16 All samples received within hold time? Yes

#17 Subcontract of sample(s)?

#18 Water VOC samples have zero headspace?

## \* Must be completed for after-hours delivery of samples prior to placing in the refrigerator

Analyst:

PH Device/Lot#:

Date: 09/07/2018

N/A

N/A

Checklist completed by: Bianna Teel Checklist reviewed by: Markoath Kelsey Brooks

Date: 09/07/2018

# Analytical Report 598987

for TRC Solutions, Inc

**Project Manager: Joel Lowry** 

GJ West Coop Unit 011

## 19-SEP-18

Collected By: Client





1211 W. Florida Ave, Midland TX 79701

Xenco-Houston (EPA Lab Code: TX00122): Texas (T104704215-18-27), Arizona (AZ0765), Florida (E871002-24), Louisiana (03054) Oklahoma (2017-142)

> Xenco-Dallas (EPA Lab Code: TX01468): Texas (T104704295-18-17), Arizona (AZ0809), Arkansas (17-063-0)

Xenco-El Paso (EPA Lab Code: TX00127): Texas (T104704221-18-13) Xenco-Lubbock (EPA Lab Code: TX00139): Texas (T104704219-18-17) Xenco-Midland (EPA Lab Code: TX00158): Texas (T104704400-18-16) Xenco-San Antonio (EPA Lab Code: TNI02385): Texas (T104704534-18-4) Xenco Phoenix (EPA Lab Code: AZ00901): Arizona (AZ0757) Xenco-Phoenix Mobile (EPA Lab Code: AZ00901): Arizona (AZM757) Xenco-Atlanta (LELAP Lab ID #04176) Xenco-Tampa: Florida (E87429) Xenco-Lakeland: Florida (E84098)



19-SEP-18

Project Manager: **Joel Lowry TRC Solutions, Inc** 2057 Commerce Midland, TX 79703

Reference: XENCO Report No(s): **598987 GJ West Coop Unit 011** Project Address: Eddy Co, NM

## Joel Lowry:

We are reporting to you the results of the analyses performed on the samples received under the project name referenced above and identified with the XENCO Report Number(s) 598987. All results being reported under this Report Number apply to the samples analyzed and properly identified with a Laboratory ID number. Subcontracted analyses are identified in this report with either the NELAC certification number of the subcontract lab in the analyst ID field, or the complete subcontracted report attached to this report.

Unless otherwise noted in a Case Narrative, all data reported in this Analytical Report are in compliance with NELAC standards. The uncertainty of measurement associated with the results of analysis reported is available upon request. Should insufficient sample be provided to the laboratory to meet the method and NELAC Matrix Duplicate and Matrix Spike requirements, then the data will be analyzed, evaluated and reported using all other available quality control measures.

The validity and integrity of this report will remain intact as long as it is accompanied by this letter and reproduced in full, unless written approval is granted by XENCO Laboratories. This report will be filed for at least 5 years in our archives after which time it will be destroyed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. The samples received, and described as recorded in Report No. 598987 will be filed for 45 days, and after that time they will be properly disposed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. We reserve the right to return to you any unused samples, extracts or solutions related to them if we consider so necessary (e.g., samples identified as hazardous waste, sample sizes exceeding analytical standard practices, controlled substances under regulated protocols, etc).

We thank you for selecting XENCO Laboratories to serve your analytical needs. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact us at any time.

Respectfully,

Huns hoah

Kelsey Brooks Project Manager

Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994. Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies. A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - Midland - San Antonio - Phoenix - Oklahoma - Latin America





## Sample Id

DT-3 FL @3'
DT-3-WSW @1.5'
DT-3 ESW-1 @1.5'
DT-3 ESW-2 @ 1.5'
DT-2 SSW-1 @1'
DT-2 SSW-2 @1'
DT-2 WSW @1'
DT-2 FL-1 @2'
DT-2 FL-2 @2'

# Sample Cross Reference 598987



GJ West Coop Unit 011

Matrix	Date Collected	Sample Depth	Lab Sample Id
S	09-12-18 09:00	3 ft	598987-001
S	09-12-18 09:10	1.5 ft	598987-002
S	09-12-18 09:20	1.5 ft	598987-003
S	09-12-18 09:30	1.5 ft	598987-004
S	09-12-18 09:40	1 ft	598987-005
S	09-12-18 09:50	1 ft	598987-006
S	09-12-18 10:00	1 ft	598987-007
S	09-12-18 10:10	2 ft	598987-008
S	09-12-18 10:20	2 ft	598987-009

**Page 50 of 116** 



Page 51 of 116

Client Name: TRC Solutions, Inc Project Name: GJ West Coop Unit 011

Project ID: Work Order Number(s): 598987 Report Date: 19-SEP-18 Date Received: 09/13/2018

## Sample receipt non conformances and comments:

None

Sample receipt non conformances and comments per sample:

None



Project Id:Contact:Joel LowryProject Location:Eddy Co, NM

# Certificate of Analysis Summary 598987

TRC Solutions, Inc, Midland, TX Project Name: GJ West Coop Unit 011



Date Received in Lab:Thu Sep-13-18 12:51 pmReport Date:19-SEP-18Project Manager:Kelsey Brooks

	Lab Id:	598987-0	01	598987-0	02	598987-0	03	598987-0	04	598987-0	05	598987-0	06
Analysis Requested	Field Id:	DT-3 FL	@3'	DT-3-WSW	@1.5'	DT-3 ESW-1	@1.5'	DT-3 ESW-2	@ 1.5'	DT-2 SSW-	1 @1'	DT-2 SSW-2	2 @1'
Analysis Kequestea	Depth:	3- ft		1.5- ft		1.5- ft		1.5- ft		1- ft		1- ft	
	Matrix:	SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		SOIL	
	Sampled:	Sep-12-18 (	09:00	Sep-12-18 (	9:10	Sep-12-18 0	9:20	Sep-12-18 0	9:30	Sep-12-18 (	)9:40	Sep-12-18 0	9:50
Chloride by EPA 300	Extracted:	Sep-17-18 16:45		Sep-17-18 16:45		Sep-17-18 16:45		Sep-17-18 16:45		Sep-17-18 16:45		Sep-17-18 16:45	
	Analyzed:	Sep-17-18 19:07		Sep-17-18 19:17		Sep-17-18 19:27		Sep-17-18 19:38		Sep-18-18 09:09		Sep-18-18 09:19	
	Units/RL:	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL
Chloride		20.2	4.98	30.7	4.96	319	4.98	96.5	4.97	36.4	4.95	30.5	4.99

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use. The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best judgment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data hereby presented. Our liability is limited to the amount invoiced for this work order unless otherwise agreed to in writing.

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Atlanta - Tampa - Boca Raton - Latin America - Odessa - Corpus Christi

Kurshoah

Kelsey Brooks Project Manager

Page 5 of 11



Project Id:Contact:Joel LowryProject Location:Eddy Co, NM

# Certificate of Analysis Summary 598987

TRC Solutions, Inc, Midland, TX Project Name: GJ West Coop Unit 011



Date Received in Lab:Thu Sep-13-18 12:51 pmReport Date:19-SEP-18Project Manager:Kelsey Brooks

	Lab Id:	598987-0	07	598987-0	08	598987-0	09			
Analysis Requested	Field Id:	DT-2 WSW	@1'	DT-2 FL-1	@2'	DT-2 FL-2	@2'			
Anulysis Kequesleu	Depth:	1- ft		2- ft		2- ft				
	Matrix:	SOIL		SOIL		SOIL				
	Sampled:	Sep-12-18 1	0:00	Sep-12-18	0:10	Sep-12-18 1	0:20			
Chloride by EPA 300	Extracted:	Sep-17-18 1	Sep-17-18 16:45		Sep-17-18 16:45		6:45			
	Analyzed:	Sep-18-18 (	Sep-18-18 09:50		Sep-18-18 10:00		0:11			
	Units/RL:	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL			
Chloride		156	4.96	71.5	4.98	33.5	4.97			

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use. The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best judgment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data hereby presented. Our liability is limited to the amount invoiced for this work order unless otherwise agreed to in writing.

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Atlanta - Tampa - Boca Raton - Latin America - Odessa - Corpus Christi

Kurshoah

Kelsey Brooks Project Manager



# **Flagging Criteria**



Page 54 of 116

- X In our quality control review of the data a QC deficiency was observed and flagged as noted. MS/MSD recoveries were found to be outside of the laboratory control limits due to possible matrix /chemical interference, or a concentration of target analyte high enough to affect the recovery of the spike concentration. This condition could also affect the relative percent difference in the MS/MSD.
- **B** A target analyte or common laboratory contaminant was identified in the method blank. Its presence indicates possible field or laboratory contamination.
- **D** The sample(s) were diluted due to targets detected over the highest point of the calibration curve, or due to matrix interference. Dilution factors are included in the final results. The result is from a diluted sample.
- **E** The data exceeds the upper calibration limit; therefore, the concentration is reported as estimated.
- **F** RPD exceeded lab control limits.
- J The target analyte was positively identified below the quantitation limit and above the detection limit.
- U Analyte was not detected.
- L The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported below the laboratory control limits for this analyte. The department supervisor and QA Director reviewed data. The samples were either reanalyzed or flagged as estimated concentrations.
- **H** The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported above the laboratory control limits. Supporting QC Data were reviewed by the Department Supervisor and QA Director. Data were determined to be valid for reporting.
- **K** Sample analyzed outside of recommended hold time.
- **JN** A combination of the "N" and the "J" qualifier. The analysis indicates that the analyte is "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value may not be consistent with the amount actually present in the environmental sample.
- \*\* Surrogate recovered outside laboratory control limit.
- **BRL** Below Reporting Limit.
- RL Reporting Limit
- MDL Method Detection Limit SDL Sample Detection Limit LOD Limit of Detection
- PQL Practical Quantitation Limit MQL Method Quantitation Limit LOQ Limit of Quantitation
- DL Method Detection Limit
- NC Non-Calculable

SMP Clie	ent Sample	BLK	Method Blank	
BKS/LCS	S Blank Spike/Laboratory Control Sample	BKSD/LCSD	Blank Spike Duplicate/Labo	ratory Control Sample Duplicate
MD/SD	Method Duplicate/Sample Duplicate	MS	Matrix Spike	MSD: Matrix Spike Duplicate

- + NELAC certification not offered for this compound.
- \* (Next to analyte name or method description) = Outside XENCO's scope of NELAC accreditation



# **BS / BSD Recoveries**



## Project Name: GJ West Coop Unit 011

Work Order	r #: 598987								Proj	ject ID:			
Analyst:	SCM		D	ate Prepar	red: 09/17/201	8			Date A	nalyzed: (	9/17/2018		
Lab Batch ID	: 3063649	Sample: 7662457-1-	BKS	Bate	<b>h #:</b> 1					Matrix: S	Solid		
Units:	mg/kg			BLAN	K /BLANK S	SPIKE / H	BLANK S	SPIKE DUPI	LICATE	RECOVI	ERY STUD	Y	
	Chloride by EPA		Blank Sample Result [A]	Spike Added	Blank Spike Result	Blank Spike %R	Spike Added	Blank Spike Duplicate	Blk. Spk Dup. %R	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Analy	ytes			[B]	[C]	[D]	[E]	Result [F]	[G]				
Chloride			<4.99	250	249	100	250	248	99	0	90-110	20	

Relative Percent Difference RPD =  $200^{*}|(C-F)/(C+F)|$ Blank Spike Recovery [D] =  $100^{*}(C)/[B]$ Blank Spike Duplicate Recovery [G] =  $100^{*}(F)/[E]$ All results are based on MDL and Validated for QC Purposes



# Form 3 - MS / MSD Recoveries

# SUP ACCREONED

Page 56 of 116

.

## Project Name: GJ West Coop Unit 011

Work Order # :	598987						Project II	):				
Lab Batch ID:	3063649	QC- Sample ID:	598987	-004 S	Ba	tch #:	1 Matrix	<b>x:</b> Soil				
Date Analyzed:	09/18/2018	Date Prepared:	09/17/2	018	An	alyst: S	SCM					
<b>Reporting Units:</b>	mg/kg		N	IATRIX SPIK	E / MAT	RIX SPI	KE DUPLICA	TE REC	OVERY	STUDY		
	Chloride by EPA 300	Parent Sample Result	Spike	Spiked Sample Result	Spiked Sample %R	Spike	Duplicate Spiked Sample	Spiked Dup. %R	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
	Analytes	[A]	Added [B]	[C]	%K [D]	Added [E]	Result [F]	%K [G]	70	% <b>K</b>	%KPD	
Chloride		96.5	249	336	96	249	333	95	1	90-110	20	
Lab Batch ID:	3063649	QC- Sample ID:	599223	-028 S	Ba	tch #:	1 Matrix	<b>x:</b> Soil				
Date Analyzed:	09/17/2018	Date Prepared:	09/17/2	018	An	alyst: S	SCM					
<b>Reporting Units:</b>	mg/kg		Ν	IATRIX SPIK	E / MAT	RIX SPI	KE DUPLICA	TE REC	OVERY	STUDY		
	Chloride by EPA 300	Parent Sample Result	Spike	Spiked Sample Result	Spiked Sample %R	Spike	Duplicate Spiked Sample	-	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits	Flag
	Analytes	[A]	Added [B]	[C]	%K [D]	Added [E]	Result [F]	%R [G]	-70	-⁄0K	%RPD	
Chloride		76.8	249	351	110	249	352	111	0	90-110	20	Х

Matrix Spike Percent Recovery  $[D] = 100^{*}(C-A)/B$ Relative Percent Difference RPD =  $200^{*}|(C-F)/(C+F)|$  Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery [G] = 100\*(F-A)/E

ND = Not Detected, J = Present Below Reporting Limit, B = Present in Blank, NR = Not Requested, I = Interference, NA = Not Applicable N = See Narrative, EQL = Estimated Quantitation Limit, NC = Non Calculable - Sample amount is > 4 times the amount spiked.

Page 9 of 11

Page 57 of 116

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Page 1 Of

----

WIUIAIIO,	16X83 (432-704-5251)	. 4			L'UQUU
	<u>WWW.Xerico.com</u>			Xenco Job #	10.01
Project Nam	roject Information				W = Water
E Carling		1			S = Soil/Sed/Soild GW =Ground Water DW = Drinking Water
Invoice To:	0				SW = Surface water SL = Sludge
Coc	+ OPELATING &Z	-cky HASKE	<u></u>		OW =Ocean/Sea Water WI = Wipe
	6	0	300	RA 8 I	O = Oll WW= Waste Water
Collectio		per of preserved bottles	de E	RCF de	A = Air
	Matrix bottles +CI +CI NaOH/Zn	H2SO4 NaOH NaHSO4 MEOH	Chloric NORM RCI	TCLP Chlorid	Field
1	1 5 20:6				
+	1 5 al:6		×		
1.567	9:205 1		×		
INSFIT (	4:30 S 1		X		
	1 5 94:4		×		
	1 5 95:4		X		
287 1	. 1		× >		
215			X		
	Level II Std QC	Level IV (Full Da		Notes: DWry@trcsolutions.com	
	Level III Std QC+ Forms	TRAP Level IV	22	conder@trcsolutions.com	
	Level 3 (CLP Forms)	UST / RG -411	<u>p</u>	cooper@trcsolutions.com	
	TRRP Checklist		-	HASKELLO	and HO. COM
if received by 5:00 pm		1 1		ED-EX / UPS: Tracking #	dozan
Date Time:	Received By:	~		3.00 Received BY: K	nul alialia
Date Time:	Received By:	Relinquished By:	Date Time:		
Date Time:	Received By: 5	Custody Seal #	Preserved where an	oplicable On Ice	Cooler Temp. Thermo. Corr. Factor
	Alte Time:	Project NameNumber: Project NameNumber: Project Location: Project Location: Project Location: Project Location: Project Location: Project Location: Project NameNumber: Project NameNumber: Project NameNumber: Project NameNumber: Project Information: Project Information: Pr	Note: Information           Project Information           Project Information           Project Information           Project Information           Colspan="2">Number 2           Number 2           Colspan="2"           Number 2           Colspan="2"           Number 2           Colspan="2"           Number 2           Colspan="2"           Colspan="2"           Colspan="2"           Number 2           Colspan="2"           Number 2           Number 2           Colspan="2"           Number 2           Number 2	Name and your your your your your your your your	Image: Second

Final 1.000

Received by OCD: 4/7/2023 9:35:52 AM



# **XENCO** Laboratories



Prelogin/Nonconformance Report- Sample Log-In

Client: TRC Solutions, Inc Acceptable Temperature Range: 0 - 6 degC Air and Metal samples Acceptable Range: Ambient Date/ Time Received: 09/13/2018 12:51:00 PM Temperature Measuring device used : R8 Work Order #: 598987 Comments Sample Receipt Checklist 1.4 #1 \*Temperature of cooler(s)? #2 \*Shipping container in good condition? Yes #3 \*Samples received on ice? Yes #4 \*Custody Seals intact on shipping container/ cooler? N/A #5 Custody Seals intact on sample bottles? N/A #6\*Custody Seals Signed and dated? N/A #7 \*Chain of Custody present? Yes #8 Any missing/extra samples? No #9 Chain of Custody signed when relinquished/ received? Yes #10 Chain of Custody agrees with sample labels/matrix? Yes #11 Container label(s) legible and intact? Yes #12 Samples in proper container/ bottle? Yes #13 Samples properly preserved? Yes #14 Sample container(s) intact? Yes #15 Sufficient sample amount for indicated test(s)? Yes #16 All samples received within hold time? Yes #17 Subcontract of sample(s)? N/A

#18 Water VOC samples have zero headspace?

## \* Must be completed for after-hours delivery of samples prior to placing in the refrigerator

Analyst:

PH Device/Lot#:

Date: 09/13/2018

N/A

Checklist completed by: Bianna Teel Checklist reviewed by: Markoath Kelsey Brooks

Date: 09/14/2018

# Analytical Report 599392

for TRC Solutions, Inc

**Project Manager: Joel Lowry** 

GJ West Coop Unit #011

## 25-SEP-18

Collected By: Client





1211 W. Florida Ave, Midland TX 79701

Xenco-Houston (EPA Lab Code: TX00122): Texas (T104704215-18-27), Arizona (AZ0765), Florida (E871002-24), Louisiana (03054) Oklahoma (2017-142)

> Xenco-Dallas (EPA Lab Code: TX01468): Texas (T104704295-18-17), Arizona (AZ0809), Arkansas (17-063-0)

Xenco-El Paso (EPA Lab Code: TX00127): Texas (T104704221-18-13) Xenco-Lubbock (EPA Lab Code: TX00139): Texas (T104704219-18-17) Xenco-Midland (EPA Lab Code: TX00158): Texas (T104704400-18-16) Xenco-San Antonio (EPA Lab Code: TNI02385): Texas (T104704534-18-4) Xenco Phoenix (EPA Lab Code: AZ00901): Arizona (AZ0757) Xenco-Phoenix Mobile (EPA Lab Code: AZ00901): Arizona (AZM757) Xenco-Atlanta (LELAP Lab ID #04176) Xenco-Tampa: Florida (E87429) Xenco-Lakeland: Florida (E84098)



25-SEP-18

Project Manager: **Joel Lowry TRC Solutions, Inc** 2057 Commerce Midland, TX 79703

Reference: XENCO Report No(s): **599392** GJ West Coop Unit #011 Project Address:

## Joel Lowry:

We are reporting to you the results of the analyses performed on the samples received under the project name referenced above and identified with the XENCO Report Number(s) 599392. All results being reported under this Report Number apply to the samples analyzed and properly identified with a Laboratory ID number. Subcontracted analyses are identified in this report with either the NELAC certification number of the subcontract lab in the analyst ID field, or the complete subcontracted report attached to this report.

Unless otherwise noted in a Case Narrative, all data reported in this Analytical Report are in compliance with NELAC standards. The uncertainty of measurement associated with the results of analysis reported is available upon request. Should insufficient sample be provided to the laboratory to meet the method and NELAC Matrix Duplicate and Matrix Spike requirements, then the data will be analyzed, evaluated and reported using all other available quality control measures.

The validity and integrity of this report will remain intact as long as it is accompanied by this letter and reproduced in full, unless written approval is granted by XENCO Laboratories. This report will be filed for at least 5 years in our archives after which time it will be destroyed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. The samples received, and described as recorded in Report No. 599392 will be filed for 45 days, and after that time they will be properly disposed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. We reserve the right to return to you any unused samples, extracts or solutions related to them if we consider so necessary (e.g., samples identified as hazardous waste, sample sizes exceeding analytical standard practices, controlled substances under regulated protocols, etc).

We thank you for selecting XENCO Laboratories to serve your analytical needs. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact us at any time.

Respectfully,

Huns hoah

Kelsey Brooks Project Manager

Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994. Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies. A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - Midland - San Antonio - Phoenix - Oklahoma - Latin America





## Sample Id

DT-2 FL-3 @2'
DT-2 NSW- 1@1'
DT-2 NSW-2 @1'
DT-2 WSW-2 @1'
DT-2 ESW-1 @1'
DT-2 ESW-2@1'
DT-2 SSW @1'
DT-3 FL-2 @3'
DT-3 NSW- @1.5
DT-3 WSW-2 @1.5

# Sample Cross Reference 599392



## TRC Solutions, Inc, Midland, TX

GJ West Coop Unit #011

Matrix	Date Collected	Sample Depth	Lab Sample Id
S	09-17-18 08:00	2 ft	599392-001
S	09-17-18 08:10	1 ft	599392-002
S	09-17-18 08:20	1 ft	599392-003
S	09-17-18 08:30	1 ft	599392-004
S	09-17-18 08:40	1 ft	599392-005
S	09-17-18 08:50	1 ft	599392-006
S	09-17-18 09:00	1 ft	599392-007
S	09-17-18 10:00	1 ft	599392-008
S	09-17-18 10:10	1.5 ft	599392-009
S	09-17-18 10:20	1.5 ft	599392-010



# CASE NARRATIVE

Client Name: TRC Solutions, Inc Project Name: GJ West Coop Unit #011

Project ID: Work Order Number(s): 599392 Report Date:25-SEP-18Date Received:09/18/2018

## Sample receipt non conformances and comments:

None

Sample receipt non conformances and comments per sample:

None



Project Id: Contact: Joel Lowry Project Location:

# Certificate of Analysis Summary 599392

TRC Solutions, Inc, Midland, TX Project Name: GJ West Coop Unit #011



Date Received in Lab:Tue Sep-18-18 09:47 amReport Date:25-SEP-18Project Manager:Kelsey Brooks

	Lab Id:	599392-0	01	599392-0	02	599392-0	03	599392-0	04	599392-0	005	599392-0	06
Analysis Requested	Field Id:	DT-2 FL-3	@2'	DT-2 NSW-	1@1'	DT-2 NSW-2	2@1'	DT-2 WSW-	2 @1'	DT-2 ESW-	1 @1'	DT-2 ESW-	2@1'
Analysis Requested	Depth:	2- ft		1- ft		1- ft		1- ft		1- ft		1- ft	
	Matrix:	SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		SOIL	
	Sampled:	Sep-17-18 (	08:00	Sep-17-18 (	08:10	Sep-17-18 0	8:20	Sep-17-18 (	8:30	Sep-17-18 (	08:40	Sep-17-18 0	08:50
Chloride by EPA 300	Extracted:	Sep-21-18 10:50		Sep-21-18 10:50		Sep-21-18 10:50		Sep-21-18 10:50		Sep-21-18 10:50		Sep-21-18 10:50	
	Analyzed:	Sep-21-18 16:34		Sep-21-18 16:51		Sep-21-18 16:56		Sep-21-18 16:00		Sep-21-18 17:22		Sep-21-18 17:28	
	Units/RL:	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL
Chloride		59.9	4.95	290	4.95	<4.97	4.97	58.3	4.97	234	5.00	264	5.00

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use. The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best judgment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data hereby presented. Our liability is limited to the amount invoiced for this work order unless otherwise agreed to in writing.

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Atlanta - Tampa - Boca Raton - Latin America - Odessa - Corpus Christi

Kms Boah

Kelsey Brooks Project Manager

Page 5 of 12



Project Id: Contact: Joel Lowry Project Location:

# Certificate of Analysis Summary 599392

TRC Solutions, Inc, Midland, TX Project Name: GJ West Coop Unit #011



Date Received in Lab:Tue Sep-18-18 09:47 amReport Date:25-SEP-18Project Manager:Kelsey Brooks

	Lab Id:	599392-0	07	599392-0	08	599392-0	09	599392-0	10		
Analysis Requested	Field Id:	DT-2 SSW	@1'	DT-3 FL-2	@3'	DT-3 NSW-	@1.5	DT-3 WSW-2	@1.5		
Analysis Kequesieu	Depth:	1- ft		1- ft		1.5- ft		1.5- ft			
	Matrix:	SOIL	SOIL			SOIL		SOIL			
	Sampled:	Sep-17-18 0	9:00	Sep-17-18 1	0:00	Sep-17-18 1	0:10	Sep-17-18 1	0:20		
Chloride by EPA 300	Extracted:	ed: Sep-21-18 10:50		Sep-21-18 1	0:50	Sep-21-18 1	0:50	Sep-21-18 1	0:50		
	Analyzed:	Sep-21-18 17:33		Sep-21-18 17:56		Sep-21-18 18:02		Sep-21-18 18:19			
	Units/RL:	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL		
Chloride		406	4.95	<4.99	4.99	51.8	4.95	< 5.00	5.00		

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use. The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best judgment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data hereby presented. Our liability is limited to the amount invoiced for this work order unless otherwise agreed to in writing.

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Atlanta - Tampa - Boca Raton - Latin America - Odessa - Corpus Christi

Kms Boah

Kelsey Brooks Project Manager

Page 6 of 12



# **Flagging Criteria**



Page 65 of 116

- X In our quality control review of the data a QC deficiency was observed and flagged as noted. MS/MSD recoveries were found to be outside of the laboratory control limits due to possible matrix /chemical interference, or a concentration of target analyte high enough to affect the recovery of the spike concentration. This condition could also affect the relative percent difference in the MS/MSD.
- **B** A target analyte or common laboratory contaminant was identified in the method blank. Its presence indicates possible field or laboratory contamination.
- **D** The sample(s) were diluted due to targets detected over the highest point of the calibration curve, or due to matrix interference. Dilution factors are included in the final results. The result is from a diluted sample.
- **E** The data exceeds the upper calibration limit; therefore, the concentration is reported as estimated.
- **F** RPD exceeded lab control limits.
- J The target analyte was positively identified below the quantitation limit and above the detection limit.
- U Analyte was not detected.
- L The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported below the laboratory control limits for this analyte. The department supervisor and QA Director reviewed data. The samples were either reanalyzed or flagged as estimated concentrations.
- **H** The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported above the laboratory control limits. Supporting QC Data were reviewed by the Department Supervisor and QA Director. Data were determined to be valid for reporting.
- **K** Sample analyzed outside of recommended hold time.
- **JN** A combination of the "N" and the "J" qualifier. The analysis indicates that the analyte is "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value may not be consistent with the amount actually present in the environmental sample.
- \*\* Surrogate recovered outside laboratory control limit.
- **BRL** Below Reporting Limit.
- RL Reporting Limit
- MDL Method Detection LimitSDLSample Detection LimitLOD Limit of Detection
- PQL Practical Quantitation Limit MQL Method Quantitation Limit LOQ Limit of Quantitation
- DL Method Detection Limit
- NC Non-Calculable

SMP Clie	ent Sample	BLK	Method Blank	
BKS/LCS	S Blank Spike/Laboratory Control Sample	BKSD/LCSD	Blank Spike Duplicate/Labo	ratory Control Sample Duplicate
MD/SD	Method Duplicate/Sample Duplicate	MS	Matrix Spike	MSD: Matrix Spike Duplicate

- + NELAC certification not offered for this compound.
- \* (Next to analyte name or method description) = Outside XENCO's scope of NELAC accreditation



# **BS / BSD Recoveries**



## Project Name: GJ West Coop Unit #011

Work Order	#: 599392								Proj	ect ID:					
Analyst:	SCM		D	ate Prepa	red: 09/21/201	8	<b>Date Analyzed:</b> 09/21/2018								
Lab Batch ID	: 3064137	Sample: 7662774-1-	BKS	Bate	<b>h #:</b> 1		Matrix: Solid								
Units:	mg/kg			BLAN	K /BLANK S	SPIKE / I	BLANK S	SPIKE DUP	LICATE	RECOVI	ERY STUI	ΟY			
	Chloride by EPA		Blank Sample Result [A]	Spike Added	Blank Spike Result	Blank Spike %R	Spike Added	Blank Spike Duplicate	Blk. Spk Dup. %R	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag		
Analy	vtes			[B]	[C]	[D]	[E]	Result [F]	[G]						
Chloride			<5.00	250	250	100	250	252	101	1	90-110	20			

Relative Percent Difference RPD =  $200^{*}|(C-F)/(C+F)|$ Blank Spike Recovery [D] =  $100^{*}(C)/[B]$ Blank Spike Duplicate Recovery [G] =  $100^{*}(F)/[E]$ All results are based on MDL and Validated for QC Purposes



# Form 3 - MS / MSD Recoveries

## TNI REGRATORY

Page 67 of 116

.

## Project Name: GJ West Coop Unit #011

Work Order # :	599392						Project II	):								
Lab Batch ID:	3064137	QC- Sample ID:	599392	-004 S	Ba	tch #:	1 Matrix	<b>x:</b> Soil								
Date Analyzed:	09/21/2018	Date Prepared:	09/21/2	018	An	alyst: S	SCM									
<b>Reporting Units:</b>	mg/kg	MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY														
	Chloride by EPA 300	Parent Sample Result	Spike Added	Spiked Sample Result	Spiked Sample %R	Spike Added	Duplicate Spiked Sample	Spiked Dup. %R	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag				
	Analytes	[A]	[B]	[C]	<sup>7</sup> 6K [D]	[E]	Result [F]	%K [G]	70	70K	70KFD					
Chloride		58.3	249	325	107	249	326	108	0	90-110	20					
Lab Batch ID:	3064137	QC- Sample ID:	599508	-001 S	Ba	tch #:	1 Matrix	<b>x:</b> Soil								
Date Analyzed:	09/21/2018	Date Prepared:09/21/2018Analyst:SCM														
<b>Reporting Units:</b>	mg/kg	MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY														
	Chloride by EPA 300	Parent Sample Result	Spike	Spiked Sample Result	Sample	Spike	Duplicate Spiked Sample	Spiked Dup.	RPD	Control Limits	Control Limits	Flag				
	Analytes	[A]	Added [B]	[C]	%R [D]	Added [E]	Result [F]	%R [G]	%	%R	%RPD					
Chloride		674	248	896	90	248	898	90	0	90-110	20					

Matrix Spike Percent Recovery  $[D] = 100^{*}(C-A)/B$ Relative Percent Difference RPD =  $200^{*}|(C-F)/(C+F)|$  Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery [G] = 100\*(F-A)/E

ND = Not Detected, J = Present Below Reporting Limit, B = Present in Blank, NR = Not Requested, I = Interference, NA = Not Applicable N = See Narrative, EQL = Estimated Quantitation Limit, NC = Non Calculable - Sample amount is > 4 times the amount spiked.

Page 9 of 12

Phone     Lab Only:       Image: Container State     Its syncally 57 Working Days for ten this syncand ten	Image: Sector	Matrix: Air (A), Product (P), Solid (S), Water (W), Liquid (L) Committed to Excellence in S Notice: Signature of this document and relinquishment of these samples constitutes a valid ourchase order	Preservatives: Various (V), HCl pH<2 (H), H2SO4 pH<2 (S), HNO3 pH<2 (N), Asbc Acid&NaOH (A), Z Cont. Size: 4oz (4), 8oz (8), 32oz (32), 40ml VOA (40), 1L (1), 500ml (5), Tedlar Bag (B), Various (N			1 Mar Day Mar BI-FI-D	nquished by (Initials and Sign) Date & Time	3145-21-21-18	11-18	3513 1	DT-255W@11 9-17-18 9:00 1FT5 11 7	1' 9-17-18 8:50 1875 1	DT-2 ESW-18/ 9-17-18 8:40 1875 11 F	57-2 WSW-20149-17-188:30 1873 1 I	DT-2 WSW-20119-17-18 8:20 1575 1 J	DT-2 1550-10119-17-18 8:10 1575 11 I	1-2FC3@219-17-18 8:00 2MS 1 I	Sample D Sample D Date Signature C Date Signature C Depth ft' In" m Matrix Composite Grab # Container Size Container Type Preservatives VOA: Full-List BTEX-	Company-City       Phone       Phone       Hone       Lab o         Project Name-Location       EPreviously done at XENCO       Project ID       TAT:         Q T LVEST Cool LANT 401       Proj. Manager (PM)       It is type         Proj. State: TX, AL, FL, GA, LA, MS, NC,       Proj. Manager (PM)       It is type         NJ, PA, SC, TN, UT Other       Scoop LANT 60       Fax No:         E-mail Results too       Caccounting Inc. Invoice with Final Report Invoice must have a P.O.       Fax No:         Coucte/Pricing:       P.O. No:       It is type         Bill to: Coop CPELATING STECKS Hinds Let U       Outer:       Call for P.O.         Outer/Pricing:       P.O. No:       Ic Call for P.O.       Call for P.O.         QAPP       Per-Contract       CLP       AGCEE       NAVY       DOE       DOD       USACE OTHER:         Special DLs       GW DW QAPP       MDLs       RLs See Lab PM       Included Call PM)       MTBE
	for level II for level II for level II of days affiliation of the affi	D Excellence in Service and a valid nurchase order from client or	ZnAc&NaOH (Z), (Cool, <4C) (C) V), Other	LNDO	0-10-10-10-	a di-ri a	Date & Time											TX-1005 DRO GRC SVOCs: Full-List DW OC Pesticides PCBs Metals: RCRA-8 RCR. SPLP - TCLP (Metals	8270     BAREPH MA VPH     57       MA EPH MA VPH     57       BN&AE TCLP PP Appdx-2 CALL     Vorking Day       Herbicides OP Pesticides     00       A-4 Pb 13PP 23TAL Appdx 1 Appdx2     00       VOCs SVOCs Pest, Herb, PCBs)     57

Received by OCD: 4/7/2023 9:35:52 AM

Page 68 of 116

ANALTOID KERVEDI & CHAIN OF CUDIODY RECORD



Released to Imaging: 5/2/2023 2:21:34 PM

Received by OCD: 4/7/2023 9:35:52 AM



# **XENCO** Laboratories



Prelogin/Nonconformance Report- Sample Log-In

Client: TRC Solutions, Inc Acceptable Temperature Range: 0 - 6 degC Air and Metal samples Acceptable Range: Ambient Date/ Time Received: 09/18/2018 09:47:00 AM Temperature Measuring device used : R8 Work Order #: 599392 Comments Sample Receipt Checklist .2 #1 \*Temperature of cooler(s)? #2 \*Shipping container in good condition? Yes #3 \*Samples received on ice? Yes #4 \*Custody Seals intact on shipping container/ cooler? N/A #5 Custody Seals intact on sample bottles? N/A #6\*Custody Seals Signed and dated? N/A #7 \*Chain of Custody present? Yes #8 Any missing/extra samples? No #9 Chain of Custody signed when relinquished/ received? Yes #10 Chain of Custody agrees with sample labels/matrix? Yes #11 Container label(s) legible and intact? Yes #12 Samples in proper container/ bottle? Yes #13 Samples properly preserved? Yes #14 Sample container(s) intact? Yes #15 Sufficient sample amount for indicated test(s)? Yes #16 All samples received within hold time? Yes #17 Subcontract of sample(s)? N/A

#18 Water VOC samples have zero headspace?

## \* Must be completed for after-hours delivery of samples prior to placing in the refrigerator

Analyst:

PH Device/Lot#:

Date: 09/18/2018

N/A

Checklist completed by: Bianna Teel Checklist reviewed by: Markoath Kelsey Brooks

Date: 09/19/2018



Project Id:GJ West #108Contact:Joel LowryProject Location:Loco Hiss, NM

# Certificate of Analysis Summary 600459

TRC Solutions, Inc, Midland, TX Project Name: GJ West #108

Date Received in Lab:Thu Sep-27-18 03:05 pmReport Date:03-OCT-18Project Manager:Kelsey Brooks

	Lab Id:	600459-0	01	600459-0	02	600459-0	03	600459-0	04	600459-0	005	600459-0	06
Analysis Requested	Field Id:	108 - SSV	108 - SSW #1		108 - SSW #3		108 - SSW #4		108 - NSW #1		V #2	108 - NSW	/ #3
Anulysis Kequesieu	Depth:												
	Matrix:	SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		SOIL	
	Sampled:	Sep-25-18 (	)8:45	Sep-25-18 09:00		Sep-25-18 (	9:05	Sep-25-18 (	09:10	Sep-25-18 09:15		Sep-25-18 0	9:20
Chloride by EPA 300	Extracted:	Oct-01-18	1:00	Oct-01-18 1	1:00	Oct-01-18 1	1:00	Oct-01-18 1	1:00	Oct-01-18	1:00	Oct-01-18 1	1:00
	Analyzed:	Oct-01-18	4:34	Oct-01-18 1	5:11	Oct-01-18 1	5:23	Oct-01-18 1	5:36	Oct-01-18	15:48	Oct-01-18 1	6:00
	Units/RL:	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL
Chloride	Chloride			35.9	25.0	47.8	25.0	199	25.0	287	25.0	<25.0	25.0

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use. The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best judgment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data hereby presented. Our liability is limited to the amount invoiced for this work order unless otherwise agreed to in writing.

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Atlanta - Tampa - Boca Raton - Latin America - Odessa - Corpus Christi

Version: 1.%

fession kramer

Jessica Kramer Project Assistant

Page 71 of 116



Project Id:GJ West #108Contact:Joel LowryProject Location:Loco Hiss, NM

# Certificate of Analysis Summary 600459

TRC Solutions, Inc, Midland, TX Project Name: GJ West #108

Date Received in Lab:Thu Sep-27-18 03:05 pmReport Date:03-OCT-18Project Manager:Kelsey Brooks

	Lab Id:	600459-0	07	600459-0	08	600459-0	09	600459-0	10		
Analysis Requested	Field Id:	108 - ESW	108 - ESW #1		108 - SSW #2		108 - SSW #5		V #2		
Analysis Kequeslea	Depth:										
	Matrix:	SOIL	SOIL			SOIL		SOIL			
	Sampled:	Sep-25-18 0	9:25	Sep-25-18 0	9:30	Sep-25-18 0	)9:35	Sep-25-18 (	9:40		
Chloride by EPA 300	Extracted:	Oct-01-18 1	1:00	Oct-01-18 1	1:00	Oct-01-18 1	1:00	Oct-01-18 1	1:00		
	Analyzed:	Oct-01-18 1	6:13	Oct-01-18 1	6:25	Oct-01-18 1	6:38	Oct-01-18 1	6:50		
	Units/RL:	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL		
Chloride		224	25.0	<25.0	25.0	312	25.0	361	25.0		

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use. The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best judgment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data hereby presented. Our liability is limited to the amount invoiced for this work order unless otherwise agreed to in writing.

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Atlanta - Tampa - Boca Raton - Latin America - Odessa - Corpus Christi

Version: 1.%

fession kramer

Jessica Kramer Project Assistant

Final 1.000
# **Analytical Report 600459**

for TRC Solutions, Inc

**Project Manager: Joel Lowry** 

GJ West #108

GJ West #108

#### 03-OCT-18

Collected By: Client



#### 6701 Aberdeen, Suite 9 Lubbock, TX 79424

Xenco-Houston (EPA Lab Code: TX00122): Texas (T104704215-18-27), Arizona (AZ0765), Florida (E871002-24), Louisiana (03054) Oklahoma (2017-142)

> Xenco-Dallas (EPA Lab Code: TX01468): Texas (T104704295-18-17), Arizona (AZ0809), Arkansas (17-063-0)

Xenco-El Paso (EPA Lab Code: TX00127): Texas (T104704221-18-13) Xenco-Lubbock (EPA Lab Code: TX00139): Texas (T104704219-18-17) Xenco-Midland (EPA Lab Code: TX00158): Texas (T104704400-18-16) Xenco-San Antonio (EPA Lab Code: TNI02385): Texas (T104704534-18-4) Xenco Phoenix (EPA Lab Code: AZ00901): Arizona (AZ0757) Xenco-Phoenix Mobile (EPA Lab Code: AZ00901): Arizona (AZM757) Xenco-Atlanta (LELAP Lab ID #04176) Xenco-Tampa: Florida (E87429) Xenco-Lakeland: Florida (E84098)





03-OCT-18

Project Manager: Joel Lowry **TRC Solutions, Inc** 2057 Commerce Midland, TX 79703

Reference: XENCO Report No(s): 600459 **GJ West #108** Project Address: Loco Hiss, NM

#### Joel Lowry:

We are reporting to you the results of the analyses performed on the samples received under the project name referenced above and identified with the XENCO Report Number(s) 600459. All results being reported under this Report Number apply to the samples analyzed and properly identified with a Laboratory ID number. Subcontracted analyses are identified in this report with either the NELAC certification number of the subcontract lab in the analyst ID field, or the complete subcontracted report attached to this report.

Unless otherwise noted in a Case Narrative, all data reported in this Analytical Report are in compliance with NELAC standards. The uncertainty of measurement associated with the results of analysis reported is available upon request. Should insufficient sample be provided to the laboratory to meet the method and NELAC Matrix Duplicate and Matrix Spike requirements, then the data will be analyzed, evaluated and reported using all other available quality control measures.

The validity and integrity of this report will remain intact as long as it is accompanied by this letter and reproduced in full, unless written approval is granted by XENCO Laboratories. This report will be filed for at least 5 years in our archives after which time it will be destroyed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. The samples received, and described as recorded in Report No. 600459 will be filed for 45 days, and after that time they will be properly disposed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. We reserve the right to return to you any unused samples, extracts or solutions related to them if we consider so necessary (e.g., samples identified as hazardous waste, sample sizes exceeding analytical standard practices, controlled substances under regulated protocols, etc).

We thank you for selecting XENCO Laboratories to serve your analytical needs. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact us at any time.

Respectfully,

Jessica Veramer

Jessica Kramer **Project Assistant** 

Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994. Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies. A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - Midland - San Antonio - Phoenix - Oklahoma - Latin America



# Sample Cross Reference 600459

## TRC Solutions, Inc, Midland, TX

GJ West #108

Matrix	Date Collected	Sample Depth	Lab Sample Id
S	09-25-18 08:45		600459-001
S	09-25-18 09:00		600459-002
S	09-25-18 09:05		600459-003
S	09-25-18 09:10		600459-004
S	09-25-18 09:15		600459-005
S	09-25-18 09:20		600459-006
S	09-25-18 09:25		600459-007
S	09-25-18 09:30		600459-008
S	09-25-18 09:35		600459-009
S	09-25-18 09:40		600459-010

108 -	SSW #1
108 -	SSW #3
108 -	SSW #4
108 -	NSW #1
108 -	NSW #2
108 -	NSW #3
108 -	ESW #1
108 -	SSW #2
108 -	SSW #5
108 -	ESW #2

Version: 1.%

.



## CASE NARRATIVE

Client Name: TRC Solutions, Inc Project Name: GJ West #108

Project ID: *GJ West #108* Work Order Number(s): 600459

ATORIES

 Report Date:
 03-OCT-18

 Date Received:
 09/27/2018

#### Sample receipt non conformances and comments:

None

Sample receipt non conformances and comments per sample:

None



## TRC Solutions, Inc, Midland, TX

GJ West #108

Parameter		Cas Number	Result	RL	Units	Analysis D	ate F	lag Dil	
Seq Number:	3064981								
Analyst:	RNL		Date Prep:	10.01.18 11.00		Basis:	Wet We	eight	
Tech:	RNL					% Moisture:			
Analytical Me	ethod: Chloride by EPA 3	300				Prep Method:	E300P		
Lab Sample I	d: 600459-001		Date Collec	cted: 09.25.18 08.45					
Sample Id:	108 - SSW #1		Matrix:	Soil		Date Received	d:09.27.1	8 15.05	

16887-00-6 26.2

25.0

10.01.18 14.34

mg/kg

1



## TRC Solutions, Inc, Midland, TX

GJ West #108

Sample Id:	108 - SSW #3		Matrix:	Soil	Ι	Date Received:	09.27.18 15.05	5
Lab Sample I	d: 600459-002		Date Colle	cted: 09.25.18 09.00				
Analytical Me	ethod: Chloride by EPA 3	300			I	Prep Method:	E300P	
Tech:	RNL				0	% Moisture:		
Analyst:	RNL		Date Prep:	10.01.18 11.00	I	Basis:	Wet Weight	
Seq Number:	3064981							
Parameter		Cas Number	Result	RL	Units	Analysis Dat	te Flag	Dil

16887-00-6 35.9

25.0

10.01.18 15.11 mg/kg

1



## TRC Solutions, Inc, Midland, TX

GJ West #108

Parameter		Cas Number	Result	RL	Units	Analysis Da	ate Flag	Dil
Seq Number:	3064981							
Analyst:	RNL		Date Prep:	10.01.18 11.00	1	Basis:	Wet Weight	
Tech:	RNL				Ģ	% Moisture:		
Analytical M	ethod: Chloride by EPA 3	800			I	Prep Method:	E300P	
Lab Sample I	d: 600459-003		Date Collec	cted: 09.25.18 09.05				
Sample Id:	108 - SSW #4		Matrix:	Soil	l	Date Received	1:09.27.18 15.05	5

16887-00-6 47.8

25.0

10.01.18 15.23

mg/kg

1



## TRC Solutions, Inc, Midland, TX

GJ West #108

Sample Id:	108 - NSW #1		Matrix:	Soil		Date Received:09.27.18 15.05		
Lab Sample I	d: 600459-004		Date Colle	cted: 09.25.18 09.10				
Analytical M	ethod: Chloride by EPA	. 300				Prep Method: E30	)0P	
Tech:	RNL					% Moisture:		
Analyst:	RNL		Date Prep:	10.01.18 11.00		Basis: We	t Weight	
Seq Number:	3064981							
Parameter		Cas Number	Result	RL	Units	Analysis Date	Flag	Dil
Chloride		16887-00-6	199	25.0	mg/kg	10.01.18 15.36		1

.



## TRC Solutions, Inc, Midland, TX

GJ West #108

Parameter		Cas Number	Result	RL	Units	Analysis D	ate Flag	Dil
Seq Number:	3064981							
Analyst:	RNL		Date Prep:	10.01.18 11.00		Basis:	Wet Weight	t
Tech:	RNL					% Moisture:		
Analytical Me	ethod: Chloride by EPA 3	300				Prep Method:	E300P	
Lab Sample I	d: 600459-005		Date Collec	cted: 09.25.18 09.15				
Sample Id:	108 - NSW #2		Matrix:	Soil		Date Received	d:09.27.18 15	.05

287

16887-00-6

25.0

10.01.18 15.48 mg/kg

1

Released to Imaging: 5/2/2023 2:21:34 PM

Page 11 of 20

Final 1.000



## TRC Solutions, Inc, Midland, TX

GJ West #108

Sample Id: <b>108 - NSW</b> # Lab Sample Id: 600459-006	3	Matrix: Date Collecte	Soil d: 09.25.18 09.20	]	Date Received:09.27.18 15.05		
Analytical Method: Chloride	by EPA 300	Date Concete	d. 07.25.10 07.20	]	Prep Method:	E300P	
Tech: RNL				Q	% Moisture:		
Analyst: RNL		Date Prep:	10.01.18 11.00	]	Basis:	Wet Weight	
Seq Number: 3064981							
Parameter	Cas Number	Result R	RL .	Units	Analysis Dat	te Flag	Dil

16887-00-6

<25.0 25.0

mg/kg

10.01.18 16.00

U

1



### TRC Solutions, Inc, Midland, TX

GJ West #108

Sample Id:	108 - ESW #1		Matrix:	Soil		Date Received	d:09.27.18 15.0	5
Lab Sample I	d: 600459-007		Date Collec	eted: 09.25.18 09.25				
Analytical Me	ethod: Chloride by EPA	300				Prep Method:	E300P	
Tech:	RNL					% Moisture:		
Analyst:	RNL		Date Prep:	10.01.18 11.00		Basis:	Wet Weight	
Seq Number:	3064981							
Parameter		Cas Number	Result	RL	Units	Analysis D	ate Flag	Dil

16887-00-6 224

25.0

10.01.18 16.13

mg/kg

1



## TRC Solutions, Inc, Midland, TX

GJ West #108

Sample Id: Lab Sample Id	<b>108 - SSW #2</b> : 600459-008		Matrix: Date Collect	Soil ed: 09.25.18 09.30		Date Received:09.27.18 15.05		
Analytical Mer Tech:	thod: Chloride by EPA 3 RNL	00				Prep Method: % Moisture:	E300P	
Analyst: Seq Number:	RNL 3064981		Date Prep:	10.01.18 11.00		Basis:	Wet Weight	
Parameter		Cas Number	Result	RL	Units	Analysis D	ate Flag	Dil

16887-00-6

<25.0 25.0

mg/kg

10.01.18 16.25

U

1



## TRC Solutions, Inc, Midland, TX

GJ West #108

Parameter		Cas Number	Result	RL	Units	Analysis D	ate Flag	Dil
Seq Number:	3064981							
Analyst:	RNL		Date Prep:	10.01.18 11.00		Basis:	Wet Weight	
Tech:	RNL					% Moisture:		
Analytical Me	ethod: Chloride by EPA 3	300				Prep Method:	E300P	
Lab Sample I	d: 600459-009		Date Collec	cted: 09.25.18 09.35				
Sample Id:	108 - SSW #5		Matrix:	Soil		Date Received	1:09.27.18 15.0	5

16887-00-6 312

25.0

10.01.18 16.38

mg/kg

1



## TRC Solutions, Inc, Midland, TX

GJ West #108

Parameter		Cas Number	Result	RL	Units	Analysis D	ate Flag	Dil
Seq Number:	3064981							
Analyst:	RNL		Date Prep:	10.01.18 11.00		Basis:	Wet Weight	
Tech:	RNL					% Moisture:		
Analytical Me	ethod: Chloride by EPA 3	300				Prep Method:	E300P	
Lab Sample I	d: 600459-010		Date Collec	eted: 09.25.18 09.40				
Sample Id:	108 - ESW #2		Matrix:	Soil		Date Received	1:09.27.18 15.0	5

Chloride

16887-00-6 361

25.0

10.01.18 16.50 mg/kg



# **Flagging Criteria**

- X In our quality control review of the data a QC deficiency was observed and flagged as noted. MS/MSD recoveries were found to be outside of the laboratory control limits due to possible matrix /chemical interference, or a concentration of target analyte high enough to affect the recovery of the spike concentration. This condition could also affect the relative percent difference in the MS/MSD.
- **B** A target analyte or common laboratory contaminant was identified in the method blank. Its presence indicates possible field or laboratory contamination.
- **D** The sample(s) were diluted due to targets detected over the highest point of the calibration curve, or due to matrix interference. Dilution factors are included in the final results. The result is from a diluted sample.
- E The data exceeds the upper calibration limit; therefore, the concentration is reported as estimated.
- **F** RPD exceeded lab control limits.
- J The target analyte was positively identified below the quantitation limit and above the detection limit.
- U Analyte was not detected.
- L The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported below the laboratory control limits for this analyte. The department supervisor and QA Director reviewed data. The samples were either reanalyzed or flagged as estimated concentrations.
- **H** The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported above the laboratory control limits. Supporting QC Data were reviewed by the Department Supervisor and QA Director. Data were determined to be valid for reporting.
- **K** Sample analyzed outside of recommended hold time.
- **JN** A combination of the "N" and the "J" qualifier. The analysis indicates that the analyte is "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value may not be consistent with the amount actually present in the environmental sample.
- \*\* Surrogate recovered outside laboratory control limit.
- **BRL** Below Reporting Limit.
- RL Reporting Limit
- MDL Method Detection LimitSDLSample Detection LimitLOD Limit of Detection
- PQL Practical Quantitation Limit MQL Method Quantitation Limit LOQ Limit of Quantitation
- DL Method Detection Limit
- NC Non-Calculable

SMP Clie	ent Sample	BLK	Method Blank	
BKS/LCS	S Blank Spike/Laboratory Control Sample	BKSD/LCSD	Blank Spike Duplicate/Labo	ratory Control Sample Duplicate
MD/SD	Method Duplicate/Sample Duplicate	MS	Matrix Spike	MSD: Matrix Spike Duplicate

+ NELAC certification not offered for this compound.

\* (Next to analyte name or method description) = Outside XENCO's scope of NELAC accreditation



ORATORIES



# TRC Solutions, Inc

GJ West #108

Analytical Method:	Chloride by EPA 3	00						P	rep Metho	d: E30	0P	
Seq Number:	3064981			Matrix:	Solid				Date Pre	p: 10.0	1.18	
MB Sample Id:	7663353-1-BLK		LCS Sar	nple Id:	7663353-	1-BKS		LCS	D Sample	Id: 7663	3353-1-BSD	
Parameter	MB Result	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCS %Rec	LCSD Result	LCSD %Rec	Limits	%RPD	RPD Limit	Units	Analysis Date	Flag
Chloride	1.18	250	257	103	251	100	90-110	2	20	mg/kg	10.01.18 14:09	

Analytical Method:	Chloride by EPA 3	00						Pr	ep Metho	od: E30	0P	
Seq Number:	3064981			Matrix:	Soil				Date Pre	ep: 10.0	1.18	
Parent Sample Id:	600459-001		MS San	nple Id:	600459-00	01 S		MSI	D Sample	Id: 6004	459-001 SD	
Parameter	Parent Result	Spike Amount	MS Result	MS %Rec	MSD Result	MSD %Rec	Limits	%RPD	RPD Limi	it Units	Analysis Date	Flag
Chloride	26.2	250	277	100	277	100	80-120	0	20	mg/kg	10.01.18 14:46	

MS/MSD Percent Recovery Relative Percent Difference LCS/LCSD Recovery Log Difference [D] = 100\*(C-A) / B RPD = 200\* | (C-E) / (C+E) | [D] = 100 \* (C) / [B] Log Diff. = Log(Sample Duplicate) - Log(Original Sample)

LCS = Laboratory Control Sample A = Parent Result C = MS/LCS Result E = MSD/LCSD Result MS = Matrix Spike B = Spike Added D = MSD/LCSD % Rec

.

Page 18 of 20

Receiv			600459	Matrix Codes		W = Water		DW = Drinking Water P = Product		iter	0 = 0il	WW= Waste Water A = Air			6	bre	2	2	~	R	J.	0	Ŷ									Cooler Temp. Thermo. Cofr. Faction da	any responsibility for any sample These terms will
	Phoenix, Arizona (480-355-0900)		Xenco Job #	Analytical Information							N 8	ARC	PH 801 CLP Rd CLP Bd CLP Bd	-										Notes:		hconner@trcsolutions.com		FED-EX / UPS: Tracking #				Preserved where applicable On Los	Add bonditions of service. Xenco will be liable only for the cast of samples and shalf not assume to the cost of samples. Any samples received by Xenco but not analyzed will be involved at \$5 or
	Phoenix, Arizo		Xenco Quote #	and the second se								preserved bottles	иови Супотіде ивноч ивон изон изон изон	x x	×	×	×	×	×	×	*			T and IV (Full Data Dira from data)	Villens in the second s	UST / RG -411			CHANGE POSSESSION, INCLUDING COURIER DELIVERY Relinquished By: Date Time:		Relinquished By: Date Time:	$( \langle \langle \rangle$	terms
CHAIN OF O	334)	Midland, Texas (432-704-5251)	www.xenco.com	Les the second when we want	Project Information	he/Number: #108	ation: vM		Invoice To: COG Onerstina O/O Booka Hadroll	Tauriy, O'O DOUN LIASADI		Number of	Junes Poetise Matrix Do # Matrix	I 	900 s 1	905 s 1	910 s 1	915 s 1	920 s 1	925 s 1	930 5 1	935 5 1	990 5		Level III Std QC+ Forms	Level 3, CLP Forms)	TRRPChecklist	A			Received By:	Received By: 5 50 m client commany in Yaoro its additions and subsec	om client company to Xenco, its athiliates and subcor A minimum charge of \$75 will be applied to each proj
	San Anto	Midland,				Project Name/Number: GJ West #108	Project Locatic Loco Hills. NM		450		Invoice:	Collection	Sampie Depth Date	4' 9/25/2018	4' 9/25/2018	4' 9/25/2018	4' 9/25/2018	4' 9/25/2018	4' 9/25/2018	4' 9/25/2018	4, 9-25-18	•	4' 255.18	5 Day TAT	TAT	Contract TAT		ed by 5:00 pm	SAMPLE CUSTODY MUST BE DOCUMENTED BELOW EACH T	C C I O C		Date Time: Des constitutes a valid ourchase order fri	urnstances beyond the control of Xenco.
XENCO	Setting the Standard since 1990 Stafford, Texas (281-240-4200)	Dallas Texas (214-902-0300)	LCHOOD		Client / Reporting Information	Company Name / Branch: TRC Environmental Corporation	Company Address: 10 Desta Dr. Suite 150E	1, TX 79705	Email: ilowry@trcsolutions.com 432466-4	Project Contact: Joel Lowry	Samplers's Name:		No. Field ID / Point of Collection	1 108 - SSW #1	2 108 - SSW #3	3 108 - SSW #4	4 108 - NSW #1	5 108 - NSW #2	6 108 - NSW #3	7 108 - ESW #1	8 108-55W#2	9 108-550 # 6	10 108 - ビシッサ 2 Turnaround Time (Business days)		Next Day EMERGENCY	2 Day EMERGENCY X Contr	3 Day EMERGENCY	TAT Starts Day received by Lab, if received by 5:00 pm	Relinquished by Sampler:	Retification by the second s	· concentration	Relinquished by:         Date Time:         Received By:         Cusion Self #           5         5         5         5         5	as or expenses incurred by the Client if such loses are due to circl

Released to Imaging: 5/2/2023 2:21:34 PM

Final 1.000

Page 89 of 116

Received by OCD: 4/7/2023 9:35:52 AM

Received by OCD: 4/7/2023 9:35:52 AM



# **XENCO** Laboratories



Prelogin/Nonconformance Report- Sample Log-In

Client: TRC Solutions, Inc Acceptable Temperature Range: 0 - 6 degC Air and Metal samples Acceptable Range: Ambient Date/ Time Received: 09/27/2018 03:05:00 PM Temperature Measuring device used : IR-3 Work Order #: 600459 Comments Sample Receipt Checklist 4.4 #1 \*Temperature of cooler(s)? #2 \*Shipping container in good condition? Yes #3 \*Samples received on ice? Yes #4 \*Custody Seals intact on shipping container/ cooler? N/A #5 Custody Seals intact on sample bottles? N/A #6\*Custody Seals Signed and dated? N/A #7 \*Chain of Custody present? Yes #8 Any missing/extra samples? No #9 Chain of Custody signed when relinquished/ received? Yes #10 Chain of Custody agrees with sample labels/matrix? Yes #11 Container label(s) legible and intact? Yes #12 Samples in proper container/ bottle? Yes #13 Samples properly preserved? Yes #14 Sample container(s) intact? Yes #15 Sufficient sample amount for indicated test(s)? Yes #16 All samples received within hold time? Yes #17 Subcontract of sample(s)? N/A

#18 Water VOC samples have zero headspace?

#### \* Must be completed for after-hours delivery of samples prior to placing in the refrigerator

Analyst:

PH Device/Lot#:

Date: 09/27/2018

N/A

Checklist completed by: Brenda Ward Brenda Ward Checklist reviewed by: March Kelsev Brooks

Date: 09/27/2018

# Analytical Report 618678

for TRC Solutions, Inc

**Project Manager: Jared Stoffel** 

GJ West

#### 30-MAR-19

Collected By: Client





#### 1211 W. Florida Ave Midland TX 79701

Xenco-Houston (EPA Lab Code: TX00122): Texas (T104704215-18-28), Arizona (AZ0765), Florida (E871002-24), Louisiana (03054) Oklahoma (2017-142)

> Xenco-Dallas (EPA Lab Code: TX01468): Texas (T104704295-18-17), Arizona (AZ0809), Arkansas (17-063-0)

Xenco-El Paso (EPA Lab Code: TX00127): Texas (T104704221-18-14) Xenco-Lubbock (EPA Lab Code: TX00139): Texas (T104704219-18-18) Xenco-Midland (EPA Lab Code: TX00158): Texas (T104704400-18-18) Xenco-San Antonio (EPA Lab Code: TNI02385): Texas (T104704534-18-4) Xenco Phoenix (EPA Lab Code: AZ00901): Arizona (AZ0757) Xenco-Phoenix Mobile (EPA Lab Code: AZ00901): Arizona (AZM757) Xenco-Atlanta (LELAP Lab ID #04176) Xenco-Tampa: Florida (E87429), North Carolina (483) Xenco-Lakeland: Florida (E84098)





30-MAR-19

Project Manager: **Jared Stoffel TRC Solutions, Inc** 2057 Commerce Midland, TX 79703

Reference: XENCO Report No(s): 618678 GJ West Project Address: Loco Hills, NM

#### Jared Stoffel:

We are reporting to you the results of the analyses performed on the samples received under the project name referenced above and identified with the XENCO Report Number(s) 618678. All results being reported under this Report Number apply to the samples analyzed and properly identified with a Laboratory ID number. Subcontracted analyses are identified in this report with either the NELAC certification number of the subcontract lab in the analyst ID field, or the complete subcontracted report attached to this report.

Unless otherwise noted in a Case Narrative, all data reported in this Analytical Report are in compliance with NELAC standards. The uncertainty of measurement associated with the results of analysis reported is available upon request. Should insufficient sample be provided to the laboratory to meet the method and NELAC Matrix Duplicate and Matrix Spike requirements, then the data will be analyzed, evaluated and reported using all other available quality control measures.

The validity and integrity of this report will remain intact as long as it is accompanied by this letter and reproduced in full, unless written approval is granted by XENCO Laboratories. This report will be filed for at least 5 years in our archives after which time it will be destroyed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. The samples received, and described as recorded in Report No. 618678 will be filed for 45 days, and after that time they will be properly disposed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. We reserve the right to return to you any unused samples, extracts or solutions related to them if we consider so necessary (e.g., samples identified as hazardous waste, sample sizes exceeding analytical standard practices, controlled substances under regulated protocols, etc).

We thank you for selecting XENCO Laboratories to serve your analytical needs. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact us at any time.

Respectfully,

Muly K.

Mike Kimmel Client Services Manager

> Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994. Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies. A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - Midland - San Antonio - Phoenix - Oklahoma - Latin America



Released to Imaging: 5/2/2023 2:21:34 PM

Page 2 of 18



# Sample Cross Reference 618678



## TRC Solutions, Inc, Midland, TX

GJ West

Sample Id	Matrix	Date Collected	Sample Depth	Lab Sample Id
SB-1 @ 35'	S	03-21-19 10:00		618678-001
SB-1 @ 40'	S	03-21-19 10:10		618678-002
SB-2 @ 20'	S	03-21-19 13:50		618678-003
SB-2 @ 25'	S	03-21-19 14:00		618678-004
SB-2 @ 30'	S	03-21-19 14:10		618678-005
SB-2 @ 35'	S	03-21-19 14:20		618678-006

Version: 1.%

.



## CASE NARRATIVE

Client Name: TRC Solutions, Inc Project Name: GJ West

Project ID: Work Order Number(s): 618678 Report Date: 30-MAR-19 Date Received: 03/22/2019

#### Sample receipt non conformances and comments:

None

Sample receipt non conformances and comments per sample:

None

#### Analytical non conformances and comments:

Batch: LBA-3083758 BTEX by EPA 8021B

Soil samples were not received in Terracore kits and therefore were prepared by method 5030. Surrogate 4-Bromofluorobenzene recovered above QC limits. Matrix interferences is suspected. Samples affected are: 618678-003,618678-001.

Batch: LBA-3083865 BTEX by EPA 8021B

Surrogate 4-Bromofluorobenzene recovered above QC limits. Matrix interferences is suspected; data confirmed by re-analysis.

Samples affected are: 618678-006.

Soil samples were not received in Terracore kits and therefore were prepared by method 5030.



Project Id:Contact:Jared StoffelProject Location:Loco Hills, NM



TRC Solutions, Inc, Midland, TX Project Name: GJ West



Date Received in Lab:Fri Mar-22-19 04:18 pmReport Date:30-MAR-19Project Manager:Mike Kimmel

	Lab Id:	618678-	001	618678-0	002	618678-0	003	618678-	004	618678-	005	618678-	006
	Field Id:	SB-1 @	35'	SB-1 @	40'	SB-2 @	20'	SB-2 @	25'	SB-2 @	30'	SB-2 @	35'
Analysis Requested	Depth:												
	Matrix:	SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		SOII	_	SOIL	
	Sampled:	Mar-21-19	10:00	Mar-21-19	10:10	Mar-21-19	13:50	Mar-21-19	14:00	Mar-21-19	14:10	Mar-21-19	14:20
BTEX by EPA 8021B	Extracted:	Mar-27-19	17:00	Mar-27-19	17:00	Mar-27-19	17:00	Mar-28-19	13:00	Mar-28-19	13:00	Mar-28-19	13:00
	Analyzed:	Mar-28-19	14:55	Mar-28-19	15:14	Mar-28-19	15:33	Mar-28-19	19:31	Mar-28-19	19:50	Mar-28-19	20:09
	Units/RL:	mg/kg	RL										
Benzene		< 0.00202	0.00202	< 0.00199	0.00199	< 0.00200	0.00200	< 0.00200	0.00200	< 0.00201	0.00201	< 0.00199	0.00199
Toluene		0.00267	0.00202	< 0.00199	0.00199	< 0.00200	0.00200	< 0.00200	0.00200	< 0.00201	0.00201	< 0.00199	0.00199
Ethylbenzene		< 0.00202	0.00202	< 0.00199	0.00199	< 0.00200	0.00200	< 0.00200	0.00200	< 0.00201	0.00201	< 0.00199	0.00199
m,p-Xylenes		0.00513	0.00403	< 0.00398	0.00398	< 0.00400	0.00400	< 0.00401	0.00401	< 0.00402	0.00402	< 0.00398	0.00398
o-Xylene		< 0.00202	0.00202	< 0.00199	0.00199	< 0.00200	0.00200	< 0.00200	0.00200	< 0.00201	0.00201	< 0.00199	0.00199
Total Xylenes		0.00513	0.00202	< 0.00199	0.00199	< 0.002	0.002	< 0.002	0.002	< 0.00201	0.00201	< 0.00199	0.00199
Total BTEX		0.0078	0.00202	< 0.00199	0.00199	< 0.002	0.002	< 0.002	0.002	< 0.00201	0.00201	< 0.00199	0.00199
Chloride by EPA 300	Extracted:	Mar-25-19	15:20										
	Analyzed:	Mar-25-19	19:12	Mar-25-19	18:33	Mar-25-19	20:10	Mar-25-19	20:20	Mar-25-19	20:30	Mar-25-19	20:40
	Units/RL:	mg/kg	RL										
Chloride		205	5.01	103	4.97	337	4.99	142	4.98	50.3	4.95	61.6	5.00
TPH by SW8015 Mod	Extracted:	Mar-25-19	17:00										
	Analyzed:	Mar-26-19	03:47	Mar-26-19	04:06	Mar-26-19	04:25	Mar-26-19	04:44	Mar-26-19	05:03	Mar-26-19	05:22
	Units/RL:	mg/kg	RL										
Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons (GRO)		<15.0	15.0	<15.0	15.0	<15.0	15.0	<15.0	15.0	<15.0	15.0	<15.0	15.0
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)		<15.0	15.0	<15.0	15.0	<15.0	15.0	<15.0	15.0	<15.0	15.0	<15.0	15.0
Motor Oil Range Hydrocarbons (MRO)		<15.0	15.0	<15.0	15.0	<15.0	15.0	<15.0	15.0	<15.0	15.0	<15.0	15.0
Total TPH		<15	15	<15	15	<15	15	<15	15	<15	15	<15	15

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use. The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best judgment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data hereby presented. Our liability is limited to the amount invoiced for this work order unless otherwise agreed to in writing.

Houston - Dallas - Midland - Tampa - Phoenix - Lubbock - San Antonio - El Paso - Atlanta - New Mexico

Version: 1.%

Mike Kimmel Client Services Manager

Final 1.000



# **Flagging Criteria**



Page 96 of 116

- X In our quality control review of the data a QC deficiency was observed and flagged as noted. MS/MSD recoveries were found to be outside of the laboratory control limits due to possible matrix /chemical interference, or a concentration of target analyte high enough to affect the recovery of the spike concentration. This condition could also affect the relative percent difference in the MS/MSD.
- **B** A target analyte or common laboratory contaminant was identified in the method blank. Its presence indicates possible field or laboratory contamination.
- **D** The sample(s) were diluted due to targets detected over the highest point of the calibration curve, or due to matrix interference. Dilution factors are included in the final results. The result is from a diluted sample.
- E The data exceeds the upper calibration limit; therefore, the concentration is reported as estimated.
- **F** RPD exceeded lab control limits.
- J The target analyte was positively identified below the quantitation limit and above the detection limit.
- U Analyte was not detected.
- L The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported below the laboratory control limits for this analyte. The department supervisor and QA Director reviewed data. The samples were either reanalyzed or flagged as estimated concentrations.
- **H** The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported above the laboratory control limits. Supporting QC Data were reviewed by the Department Supervisor and QA Director. Data were determined to be valid for reporting.
- **K** Sample analyzed outside of recommended hold time.
- **JN** A combination of the "N" and the "J" qualifier. The analysis indicates that the analyte is "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value may not be consistent with the amount actually present in the environmental sample.
- \*\* Surrogate recovered outside laboratory control limit.
- **BRL** Below Reporting Limit.
- RL Reporting Limit
- MDL Method Detection LimitSDLSample Detection LimitLOD Limit of Detection
- PQL Practical Quantitation Limit MQL Method Quantitation Limit LOQ Limit of Quantitation
- DL Method Detection Limit
- NC Non-Calculable

SMP Clie	ent Sample	BLK	Method Blank	
BKS/LCS	S Blank Spike/Laboratory Control Sample	BKSD/LCSD	Blank Spike Duplicate/Labo	ratory Control Sample Duplicate
MD/SD	Method Duplicate/Sample Duplicate	MS	Matrix Spike	MSD: Matrix Spike Duplicate

- + NELAC certification not offered for this compound.
- \* (Next to analyte name or method description) = Outside XENCO's scope of NELAC accreditation



Project Name: GJ West

Lab Batch #: 30	)83357	Sample: 618678-001 / SMP	Bate	h: 1 Matrix	: Soil		
Units: m	g/kg	Date Analyzed: 03/26/19 03:47	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY S	STUDY	
	TPH b	y SW8015 Mod	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R	Control Limits %R	Flag
		Analytes			[D]		
1-Chlorooctane			90.9	99.7	91	70-135	
o-Terphenyl			44.7	49.9	90	70-135	
Lab Batch #: 30	)83357	Sample: 618678-002 / SMP	Batc	h: 1 Matrix	: Soil		
Units: m	g/kg	Date Analyzed: 03/26/19 04:06	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY S	STUDY	
		y SW8015 Mod	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flage
1-Chlorooctane		Analytes	02.4	00.0		70.125	
o-Terphenyl			92.4	99.9 50.0	92	70-135	
Lab Batch #: 30	183357	Sample: 618678-003 / SMP	44.8 Batcl			70-135	
	g/kg	Date Analyzed: 03/26/19 04:25					
	E/ NZ	Datt Analyzeu. 03/20/17 04.23	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERYS	STUDY	
		y SW8015 Mod	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R	Control Limits %R	Flag
		Analytes			[D]		
1-Chlorooctane			106	100	106	70-135	
o-Terphenyl			52.3	50.0	105	70-135	
Lab Batch #: 30	083357	Sample: 618678-004 / SMP	Batc	h: 1 Matrix	: Soil		
Units: m	g/kg	<b>Date Analyzed:</b> 03/26/19 04:44	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY S	STUDY	
		y SW8015 Mod Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
1-Chlorooctane			91.9	99.8	92	70-135	
o-Terphenyl			45.7	49.9	92	70-135	
Lab Batch #: 30	083357	Sample: 618678-005 / SMP	Batc				<u> </u>
Units: m	g/kg	<b>Date Analyzed:</b> 03/26/19 05:03	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	
		y SW8015 Mod	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R	Control Limits %R	Flag
		Analytes			[D]		
1-Chlorooctane			91.6	99.7	92	70-135	
o-Terphenyl			45.2	49.9	91	70-135	

\* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

\*\* Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis

\*\*\* Poor recoveries due to dilution

Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 \* A / B



Project Name: GJ West

	r <b>ders :</b> 61867 #: 3083357	8, <b>Sample:</b> 618678-006 / SMP	Batc	Project ID h: 1 Matrix			
Units:	mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 03/26/19 05:22	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	
	TPH	oy SW8015 Mod	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R	Control Limits %R	Flags
		Analytes			[D]		
1-Chlorooc	tane		92.6	99.8	93	70-135	
o-Terpheny	1		45.9	49.9	92	70-135	
Lab Batch	#: 3083758	Sample: 618678-001 / SMP	Batc	h: 1 Matrix	: Soil	1	
Units:	mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 03/28/19 14:55	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	
	втех	K by EPA 8021B	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
140.0	1	Analytes					
1,4-Difluor			0.0326	0.0300	109	70-130	
	lorobenzene		0.0407	0.0300	136	70-130	**
	#: 3083758	Sample: 618678-002 / SMP	Batc				
Units:	mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 03/28/19 15:14	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	
	ВТЕХ	K by EPA 8021B	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R	Control Limits %R	Flags
		Analytes			[D]		
1,4-Difluor	obenzene		0.0347	0.0300	116	70-130	
4-Bromoflu	orobenzene		0.0370	0.0300	123	70-130	
Lab Batch	#: 3083758	Sample: 618678-003 / SMP	Batc	h: 1 Matrix	: Soil		
Units:	mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 03/28/19 15:33	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	
	BTEX	K by EPA 8021B	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R	Control Limits %R	Flage
		Analytes			[D]		
1,4-Difluor			0.0338	0.0300	113	70-130	
	lorobenzene		0.0412	0.0300	137	70-130	**
	#: 3083865	Sample: 618678-004 / SMP	Batc				
Units:	mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 03/28/19 19:31	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	
	BTEX	K by EPA 8021B	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R	Control Limits %R	Flage
		Analytes			[D]		
1,4-Difluor	obenzene		0.0349	0.0300	116	70-130	
4-Bromoflu	orobenzene		0.0372	0.0300	124	70-130	

\*\* Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis

\*\*\* Poor recoveries due to dilution

Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 \* A / B



Project Name: GJ West

	<b>: ders :</b> 61867 #: 3083865	8, Sample: 618678-005 / SMP	Batch	Project ID n: 1 Matrix			
Units:	mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 03/28/19 19:50	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	
	ВТЕХ	X by EPA 8021B	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R	Control Limits %R	Flags
		Analytes			[D]		
1,4-Difluor			0.0347	0.0300	116	70-130	
4-Bromoflu	orobenzene		0.0368	0.0300	123	70-130	
Lab Batch	#: 3083865	Sample: 618678-006 / SMP	Batch	n: 1 Matrix	: Soil		
Units:	mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 03/28/19 20:09	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	
	BTEX	X by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1,4-Difluor	obenzene		0.0343	0.0300	114	70-130	
4-Bromoflu			0.0393	0.0300	114	70-130	**
	#: 3083357	Sample: 7674328-1-BLK / H				70-150	
Units:	mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 03/25/19 21:25		RROGATE R		STUDY	
	TPH	oy SW8015 Mod	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R	Control Limits %R	Flags
		Analytes	[A]	լոյ	[D]	70K	
1-Chlorooct	tane		106	100	106	70-135	
o-Terpheny	1		54.0	50.0	108	70-135	
Lab Batch	<b>#:</b> 3083758	Sample: 7674521-1-BLK / H	BLK Batch	n: 1 Matrix	: Solid		
Units:	mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 03/28/19 07:40	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	
	BTEX	X by EPA 8021B	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
145.0	1	Analytes					
1,4-Difluor			0.0352	0.0300	117	70-130	
4-Bromoflu	#: 3083865	<b>Sample:</b> 7674624-1-BLK / H	0.0339	0.0300	113	70-130	
		•			: Solid		
Units:	mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 03/28/19 18:54	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY S	STUDY	
	BTEX	K by EPA 8021B	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R	Control Limits %R	Flags
		Analytes			[D]		
1,4-Difluor	obenzene		0.0348	0.0300	116	70-130	
4-Bromoflu	orobenzene		0.0327	0.0300	109	70-130	

\* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

\*\* Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis

\*\*\* Poor recoveries due to dilution

Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 \* A / B



Work Orders: 618678, Lab Batch #: 3083357

mg/kg

TPH by SW8015 Mod

Analytes

Units:

1-Chlorooctane o-Terphenyl

# Form 2 - Surrogate Recoveries

**Project Name: GJ West** 

·						
		Project ID:				
Sample: 7674328-1-BKS / 1	BKS Bate	h: 1 Matrix:	Solid			
Date Analyzed: 03/25/19 21:44	SU	JRROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY		
SW8015 Mod	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R	Control Limits %R	Flags	
nalytes	[]		[D]	,		
	127	100	127	70-135		
	56.7	50.0	113	70-135		

Sample: 7674521-1-BKS / BKS Lab Batch #: 3083758 Batch: 1 Matrix: Solid

Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 03/28/19 06:07	SU	RROGATE RI	ECOVERY S	STUDY	
B	TEX by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1,4-Difluorobenzene		0.0333	0.0300	111	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene		0.0335	0.0300	112	70-130	
Lab Batch #: 3083865     Sample: 7674624-1-BKS / BKS     Batch: 1     Matrix: Solid						

Units:	mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 03/28/19 17:20	SU	RROGATE RI	ECOVERY S	STUDY	
	BTE	X by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1,4-Difluor	obenzene		0.0337	0.0300	112	70-130	
4-Bromoflu	orobenzene		0.0324	0.0300	108	70-130	
Lab Batch	#: 3083357	Sample: 7674328-1-BSD /	BSD Batcl	h: 1 Matrix:	Solid		<u> </u>

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 03/25/19 22:03

#### SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

TPH by SW8015 Mod Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1-Chlorooctane	124	100	124	70-135	
o-Terphenyl	56.3	50.0	113	70-135	
Lab Batch #: 3083758 Sample: 7674521-1-BSD /	BSD Batch	n: 1 Matrix:	Solid		I

Units: mg/kg Date Analyzed: 03/28/19 06:26

#### SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1,4-Difluorobenzene	0.0327	0.0300	109	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.0330	0.0300	110	70-130	

\* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

\*\* Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis

\*\*\* Poor recoveries due to dilution

Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 \* A / B



**Project Name: GJ West** 

Work Ord Lab Batch #:	ers: 61867 : 3083865	8, <b>Sample:</b> 7674624-1-BSD / H	BSD Batcl	Project ID: n: 1 Matrix:			
Units:	mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 03/28/19 17:39	SU	RROGATE RI	ECOVERY	STUDY	
	BTEX	X by EPA 8021B	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
140.0 1		Analytes	0.0005	0.0200		50.100	
1,4-Difluorob			0.0335	0.0300	112	70-130	
4-Bromofluor Lab Batch #		Some Los 618712 021 S / MS	0.0320	0.0300 n: 1 Matrix:	107	70-130	
		Sample: 618713-021 S / MS					
Units:	mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 03/25/19 22:41	SU	RROGATE RI	ECOVERY	STUDY	
	TPH	oy SW8015 Mod Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1-Chlorooctan	ne	-	125	100	125	70-135	
o-Terphenyl			54.0	50.0	108	70-135	
Lab Batch #	3083758	Sample: 619201-001 S / MS	Batcl	n: 1 Matrix:	Soil		
Units:	mg/kg	<b>Date Analyzed:</b> 03/28/19 06:45	SU	RROGATE RI	ECOVERY	STUDY	
	BTEX	X by EPA 8021B	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R	Control Limits %R	Flags
		Analytes			[D]		
1,4-Difluorob	enzene		0.0323	0.0300	108	70-130	
4-Bromofluor	obenzene		0.0390	0.0300	130	70-130	
Lab Batch #	: 3083865	Sample: 619284-001 S / MS	Batcl	n: 1 Matrix:	Soil		
Units:	mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 03/28/19 17:58	SU	RROGATE RI	ECOVERYS	STUDY	
	BTEX	X by EPA 8021B	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R	Control Limits %R	Flags
		Analytes			[D]		
1,4-Difluorob			0.0339	0.0300	113	70-130	
4-Bromofluor			0.0339	0.0300	113	70-130	
Lab Batch #:	3083357	Sample: 618713-021 SD / N	ISD Batch	n: 1 Matrix:	Soil		
Units:	mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 03/25/19 23:00	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERYS	STUDY	
		oy SW8015 Mod Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags

\* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

\*\* Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis

\*\*\* Poor recoveries due to dilution

Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 \* A / B

All results are based on MDL and validated for QC purposes.

1-Chlorooctane

o-Terphenyl

115

48.8

100

50.0

115

98

70-135

70-135



Project Name: GJ West

Work Ord Lab Batch #	<b>lers :</b> 618678 #: 3083758	8, Sample: 619201-001 SD / N	MSD Batcl	Project ID: h: 1 Matrix:			
Units:	mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 03/28/19 07:04	SU	RROGATE RI	ECOVERY S	STUDY	
		K by EPA 8021B	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1,4-Difluorot		Analytes	0.0332	0.0300	111	70-130	]
4-Bromofluor			0.0365	0.0300	122	70-130	
Lab Batch #: 3083865         Sample: 619284-001 SD / MSD         Batch: 1         Matrix: Soil							
Units:	mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 03/28/19 18:17	SU	RROGATE RI	ECOVERY S	STUDY	
		X by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1,4-Difluorot			0.0339	0.0300	113	70-130	
4-Bromofluor	robenzene		0.0338	0.0300	113	70-130	

\* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

\*\* Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis

\*\*\* Poor recoveries due to dilution

Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 \* A / B



## **BS / BSD Recoveries**



•

#### Project Name: GJ West

<b>Work Order #:</b> 618678							Proj	ject ID:			
Analyst: SCM	D	ate Prepar	ed: 03/27/20	19			Date A	nalyzed:	03/28/2019		
Lab Batch ID: 3083758 Sample: 7674	521-1-BKS	Batch	<b>#:</b> 1					Matrix:	Solid		
Units: mg/kg		BLAN	K /BLANK	SPIKE /	BLANK	SPIKE DUP	LICATE	RECOV	ERY STU	DY	
BTEX by EPA 8021B	Blank Sample Result [A]	Spike Added [B]	Blank Spike Result [C]	Blank Spike %R [D]	Spike Added [E]	Blank Spike Duplicate Result [F]	Blk. Spk Dup. %R [G]	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Analytes	0.00100								<b>70.100</b>		
Benzene	<0.00199	0.0996	0.122	122	0.0998	0.119	119	2	70-130	35	
Toluene	< 0.00199	0.0996	0.120	120	0.0998	0.117	117	3	70-130	35	<u> </u>
Ethylbenzene	< 0.000563	0.0996	0.102	102	0.0998	0.100	100	2	70-130	35	
m,p-Xylenes	< 0.00101	0.199	0.198	99	0.200	0.196	98	1	70-130	35	
o-Xylene	< 0.00199	0.0996	0.101	101	0.0998	0.0997	100	1	70-130	35	
Analyst: ALJ	D	ate Prepar	ed: 03/28/20	19			Date A	nalyzed:	03/28/2019		
Lab Batch ID: 3083865 Sample: 7674	624-1-BKS	Batch	<b>#:</b> 1					Matrix:	Solid		
Units: mg/kg		BLANK /BLANK SPIKE / BLANK SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY									
BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes	Blank Sample Result [A]	Spike Added [B]	Blank Spike Result [C]	Blank Spike %R [D]	Spike Added [E]	Blank Spike Duplicate Result [F]	Blk. Spk Dup. %R [G]	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Benzene	<0.00198	0.0992	0.122	123	0.0996	0.129	130	6	70-130	35	+
Toluene	<0.00198	0.0992	0.112	119	0.0996	0.125	127	7	70-130	35	-
Ethylbenzene	<0.000560	0.0992	0.101	102	0.0996	0.107	107	6	70-130	35	+
m,p-Xylenes	<0.00101	0.198	0.101	99	0.199	0.209	107	6	70-130	35	+
o-Xylene							_			35	<u> </u>
О-Лунне	<0.00198	0.0992	0.0990	100	0.0996	0.104	104	5	70-130	55	

Relative Percent Difference RPD =  $200^{*}|(C-F)/(C+F)|$ Blank Spike Recovery [D] =  $100^{*}(C)/[B]$ Blank Spike Duplicate Recovery [G] =  $100^{*}(F)/[E]$ All results are based on MDL and Validated for QC Purposes

Version: 1.%



## **BS / BSD Recoveries**



•

#### Project Name: GJ West

Work Orde	er #: 618678							Proj	ect ID:			
Analyst:	SPC	D	ate Prepar	ed: 03/25/20	19			Date A	nalyzed: (	03/25/2019		
Lab Batch ID	<b>D:</b> 3083312 <b>Sample:</b> 7674297	-1-BKS	Batch	<b>n #:</b> 1					Matrix: S	Solid		
Units:	mg/kg		BLAN	K /BLANK	SPIKE / 1	BLANK S	SPIKE DUP	LICATE	RECOVI	ERY STUI	DY	
	Chloride by EPA 300	Blank Sample Result [A]	Spike Added	Blank Spike Result	Blank Spike %R	Spike Added	Blank Spike Duplicate	Blk. Spk Dup. %R	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Anal	ytes		[B]	[C]	[D]	[E]	Result [F]	[G]				
Chloride		< 0.858	250	256	102	250	256	102	0	90-110	20	
Analyst:	ARM	D	ate Prepar	ed: 03/25/20	19			Date A	nalyzed: (	03/25/2019		
Lab Batch ID		328-1-BKS         Batch #: 1         Matrix: Solid										
Lab Daten ID	<b>D:</b> 3083357 <b>Sample:</b> 7674328	-1-BKS	Batch	n#: 1					Matrix: S	Solid		
Units:	mg/kg	-1-BKS			SPIKE / 1	BLANK S	SPIKE DUP				DY	
Units:	mg/kg TPH by SW8015 Mod	-1-BKS Blank Sample Result [A]			SPIKE / 1 Blank Spike %R [D]	BLANK S Spike Added [E]	SPIKE DUP Blank Spike Duplicate Result [F]				OY Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Units:	mg/kg TPH by SW8015 Mod	Blank Sample Result	BLAN Spike Added	K /BLANK Blank Spike Result	Blank Spike %R	Spike Added	Blank Spike Duplicate	LICATE Blk. Spk Dup. %R	RECOVI	ERY STUI Control Limits	Control Limits	Flag

Relative Percent Difference RPD =  $200^{*}|(C-F)/(C+F)|$ Blank Spike Recovery [D] =  $100^{*}(C)/[B]$ Blank Spike Duplicate Recovery [G] =  $100^{*}(F)/[E]$ All results are based on MDL and Validated for QC Purposes

Version: 1.%



## Form 3 - MS / MSD Recoveries

#### **Project Name: GJ West**



<b>Work Order # :</b> 618678						Project II	):				
Lab Batch ID: 3083758	QC- Sample ID:	619201	-001 S	Ba	tch #:	1 Matrix	<b>k:</b> Soil				
<b>Date Analyzed:</b> 03/28/2019	Date Prepared:	03/27/2	019	An	alyst: S	SCM					
Reporting Units: mg/kg		N	IATRIX SPIK	E / MAT	RIX SPI	KE DUPLICA	TE REC	OVERY	STUDY		
BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes	Parent Sample Result [A]	Spike Added [B]	Spiked Sample Result [C]	Spiked Sample %R [D]	Spike Added [E]	Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F]	Spiked Dup. %R [G]	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Benzene	0.000481	0.0992	0.0942	94	0.100	0.114	114	19	70-130	35	
Toluene	0.00906	0.0992	0.102	94	0.100	0.114	107	13	70-130	35	
Ethylbenzene	0.0786	0.0992	0.0851	7	0.100	0.0959	17	12	70-130	35	X
m,p-Xylenes	0.0665	0.198	0.188	61	0.201	0.211	72	12	70-130	35	X
o-Xylene	0.0339	0.0992	0.0971	64	0.100	0.107	73	10	70-130	35	X
Lab Batch ID: 3083865	QC- Sample ID:	619284	-001 S	Ba	tch #:	1 Matrix	k: Soil				
<b>Date Analyzed:</b> 03/28/2019	Date Prepared:	03/28/2	019	An	alyst: A	ALJ					
Reporting Units: mg/kg		N	IATRIX SPIK	E / MAT	RIX SPI	KE DUPLICA	TE REC	OVERY	STUDY		
BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes	Parent Sample Result [A]	Spike Added [B]	Spiked Sample Result [C]	Spiked Sample %R [D]	Spike Added [E]	Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F]	Spiked Dup. %R [G]	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Benzene	<0.000383	0.0996	0.102	102	0.100	0.113	113	10	70-130	35	
Toluene	< 0.000454	0.0996	0.0985	99	0.100	0.108	108	9	70-130	35	
Ethylbenzene	<0.000563	0.0996	0.0829	83	0.100	0.0914	91	10	70-130	35	
m,p-Xylenes	<0.00101	0.199	0.163	82	0.201	0.179	89	9	70-130	35	

0.000349

0.0996

0.0816

Matrix Spike Percent Recovery  $[D] = 100^{*}(C-A)/B$ Relative Percent Difference RPD =  $200^{*}|(C-F)/(C+F)|$ 

o-Xylene

Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery [G] = 100\*(F-A)/E

82

0.100

0.0896

ND = Not Detected, J = Present Below Reporting Limit, B = Present in Blank, NR = Not Requested, I = Interference, NA = Not Applicable N = See Narrative, EQL = Estimated Quantitation Limit, NC = Non Calculable - Sample amount is > 4 times the amount spiked.

Page 15 of 18

89

9

70-130

35



## Form 3 - MS / MSD Recoveries



#### **Project Name: GJ West**

Work Order # :	618678						Project II	):				
Lab Batch ID:	3083312	QC- Sample ID:	618678	-002 S	Ba	tch #:	1 Matrix	: Soil				
Date Analyzed:	03/25/2019	Date Prepared:	03/25/2	.019	An	alyst: S	SPC					
<b>Reporting Units:</b>	mg/kg		N	IATRIX SPIK	E / MAT	RIX SPI	KE DUPLICA'	TE REC	OVERY	STUDY		
	Chloride by EPA 300	Parent Sample Result	Spike Added	Spiked Sample Result [C]	Spiked Sample %R	Spike Added	Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F]	Spiked Dup. %R	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
	Analytes	[A]	[B]	[C]	<sup>7</sup> 0K [D]	E]	Kesult [F]	[G]	70	70 <b>K</b>	70KPD	
Chloride		103	249	364	105	249	364	105	0	90-110	20	
Lab Batch ID:	3083312	QC- Sample ID:	618757	-004 S	Ba	tch #:	1 Matrix	: Soil				
Date Analyzed:	03/25/2019	Date Prepared:	03/25/2	019	An	alyst: S	SPC					
<b>Reporting Units:</b>	mg/kg		N	IATRIX SPIK	E / MAT	RIX SPI	KE DUPLICA'	TE REC	OVERY	STUDY		
	Chloride by EPA 300	Parent Sample Result	Spike	Spiked Sample Result	Spiked Sample %R	Spike	Duplicate Spiked Sample	Spiked Dup. %R	RPD	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
	Analytes	[A]	Added [B]	[C]	%K [D]	Added [E]	Result [F]	%K [G]	%	%K	%KPD	
Chloride		24.8	250	283	103	250	284	104	0	90-110	20	
Lab Batch ID:	3083357	QC- Sample ID:	618713	-021 S	Ba	tch #:	1 Matrix	: Soil				
Date Analyzed:	03/25/2019	Date Prepared:	03/25/2	019	An	alyst: A	ARM					
<b>Reporting Units:</b>	mg/kg		N	IATRIX SPIK	E / MAT	RIX SPI	KE DUPLICA'	TE REC	OVERY	STUDY		
	TPH by SW8015 Mod	Parent Sample	Spike	Spiked Sample Result	Sample	Spike	Duplicate Spiked Sample	Spiked Dup.	RPD	Control Limits	Control Limits	Flag
	Analytes	Result [A]	Added [B]	[C]	%R [D]	Added [E]	Result [F]	%R [G]	%	%R	%RPD	
Gasoline Rang	e Hydrocarbons (GRO)	<8.00	1000	1160	116	1000	1020	102	13	70-135	20	
Diesel Range	Organics (DRO)	12.3	1000	1140	113	1000	1010	100	12	70-135	20	

Matrix Spike Percent Recovery  $[D] = 100^{*}(C-A)/B$ Relative Percent Difference RPD =  $200^{*}|(C-F)/(C+F)|$  Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery [G] = 100\*(F-A)/E

ND = Not Detected, J = Present Below Reporting Limit, B = Present in Blank, NR = Not Requested, I = Interference, NA = Not Applicable N = See Narrative, EQL = Estimated Quantitation Limit, NC = Non Calculable - Sample amount is > 4 times the amount spiked.

Page 16 of 18

Setting the Standard since 1990							
Stafford,Texas (281-240-4200)		•		Odess	Odessa, Texas (432-563-1800)	Lakela	Lakeland, Florida (863-646-8526)
Dallas, Texas (214-902-0300)				Norch	Norcross, Georgia (770-449-8800)		Tampą, Florida (813-620-2000)
Service Center - San Antonio, Texas (210-509-3334)	(09-3334)	WWW	www.xenco.com	Xenco Quote	#	co Job #	10/018
					Analytical Information		S L
Client / Reporting Information	New York	Project Information	tion				
Company Name / Branch: Company Mame / Branch: Million / Million		Project Name/Number:		×)			A= Air S = Soil/Sed/Solid
10 Pests Dr STE 150E		Loco Hills	NM	( вт <u>е</u>	<b>)</b> }		GW =Ground Water DW = Drinking Water P = Product
Ž.	Y Phone No:			216	TPH oride		SW = Surface water SL = Sludge WW- Water Water
Project Contact: Jick Stoffel		PO Number:		802	1 (h)		W = Wipe
Samplers's Name-Jack ud Stoff()				46-	151	6	WW= Waste Water
		Collection	Number of preserved bottles		00		
No. Field ID / Point of Collection	n Sample	Date Time Matrix	8 ** 9 9 HCI VaOH/Zn Acetate HNO3 H2SO4	NaOH NaHSO4 MEOH NONE	SW E3 Hold		Field
1 5B-1 @ 35'		3/21/1 1000 Suil			× ×		
2 SB-1 & 40		010		××	×		
3 <u>3</u> 2 <u>6</u> 20		3/21/19/350 5011		Y K	×		
20		St21/19 1400 5001		×	×		
<b>6</b> (2)		1/21/14 1410 Soil		* *	* *		
6 58 - <b>2</b> (J) 351		3/21/W 1420 5011		××			
7							
8							
9							
10							
Same Day TAT	🗙 5 Day TAT	Data 1	Deliverable Information	Level IV (Full Data Pkg /raw data)	ata) Notes:		
Next Day EMERGENCY	7 Day TAT	Level III Std QC+ Forms		TRRP Level IV			
2 Day EMERGENCY	Contract TAT	Level 3 (CLP Forms)		UST /.RG -411			
3 Day EMERGENCY		TRRP Checklist	st				
TAT Starts Day received by Lab, if received by 3:00 pm	ceived by 3:00 pm	\$				FED-EX / UPS: Tracking #	
Repringuished by Samplar.		Date Time: Redword By J	PLES CHANGE	Nossession, Including Courter Delivery	le Time:	Received By:	
Rymquished by:	Date Time:	me: Received By:	Relinc	Relinquished By:	Date Time:	Received By:	
Relinquished by:	Date Time:	me: Received By: 5	Custo	Custody Seal #	Preserved where applicable		Cooler Temp Thermo, Corr. Factor
Notice: Signature of this document and relinquishment of samples constitutes a valid purchase order from client company to XENCO Laboratories and its affiliates, subcontractors and assigns XENCO's standard terms and conditions of service un	mples constitutes a valid pure	chase order from client company to XENCC	O Laboratories and its affiliates, su	ubcontractors and assigns XE	NCO's standard terms and conditi		ess previously negiotiated under a fully executed client contract.

RATORIES O

CHAIN OF CUSTODY Page  $\Delta$  of  $\Delta$  Received by OCD: 4/7/2023 9:35:52 AM



# **XENCO** Laboratories



Prelogin/Nonconformance Report- Sample Log-In

Client: TRC Solutions, Inc Acceptable Temperature Range: 0 - 6 degC Air and Metal samples Acceptable Range: Ambient Date/ Time Received: 03/22/2019 04:18:00 PM Temperature Measuring device used : R8 Work Order #: 618678 Comments Sample Receipt Checklist -.1 #1 \*Temperature of cooler(s)? #2 \*Shipping container in good condition? Yes #3 \*Samples received on ice? Yes #4 \*Custody Seals intact on shipping container/ cooler? N/A #5 Custody Seals intact on sample bottles? N/A #6\*Custody Seals Signed and dated? N/A #7 \*Chain of Custody present? Yes #8 Any missing/extra samples? No #9 Chain of Custody signed when relinquished/ received? Yes #10 Chain of Custody agrees with sample labels/matrix? Yes #11 Container label(s) legible and intact? Yes #12 Samples in proper container/ bottle? Yes #13 Samples properly preserved? Yes #14 Sample container(s) intact? Yes #15 Sufficient sample amount for indicated test(s)? Yes #16 All samples received within hold time? Yes #17 Subcontract of sample(s)? N/A #18 Water VOC samples have zero headspace?

\* Must be completed for after-hours delivery of samples prior to placing in the refrigerator

Analyst:

PH Device/Lot#:

Checklist completed by:

Brianna Teel

Date: 03/22/2019

N/A

Checklist reviewed by:

**Vike Kimmel** 

Date: 03/27/2019

COG- GJ West #108 (2RP-4351)

Date: 4/12/2019

## **Photographic Documentation**



COG- GJ West #108 (2RP-4351) Date: 4/12/2019

Photographic Documentation



5

6

#### COG- GJ West #011 (2RP-4454) Date: 4/12/2019

# **Photographic Documentation** Photograph No. Date: 9/17/2018 Direction: North **Description:** View of excavated area. Photograph No. Si kin Date: 9/17/2018 Direction: South **Description:** View of excavated area.

COG- GJ West #011 (2RP-4454) Date: 4/12/2019

## **Photographic Documentation**



COG- GJ West #011 (2RP-4454) Date: 4/12/2019

# **Photographic Documentation**



District 1 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District 11

BII S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 <u>District III</u> 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 <u>District IV</u> 1270 S. S. F.

1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fc, NM 87505

#### **NM OIL CONSERVATION**

ARTESIA DISTRICT

Page 114 of 116

State of New Mexico	ARTES	IA D	ISTRIC
Energy Minerals and Natural Resour	ceAUG	17	7 2017

**Oil Conservation Division** 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Form C-141 Revised August 8, 2011

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC. RECEIVED

Santa Fe, NM 87505		
Notification and Compa	At A .	

#### **Release Notification and Corrective Action**

Millase Notification and Corrective Action						
NAB1723329504	OPERATOR	Initial Report	Final Report			
Name of Company: COG Operating LLC OGRID # 2	229137 Contact:	Robert McNeill				
Address: 600 West Illinois Avenue, Midland TX 797	701 Telephone No.	432-683-7443				
Facility Name: G J West Coop Unit #108	Facility Type:	Weli				
Surface Owner: State Minera	l Owner: State	API No. 30-015-2	0192			

Surface Owner: State Mineral Owner: State

#### LOCATION OF RELEASE

Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	Feet from the	North/South Line	Feet from the	East/West Line	County
E	28	175	29Ē	1980	North	660	West	Eddy

Latitude 32.8073502 Longitude -104.0862198

#### NATURE OF RELEASE

Type of Release:		
	Volume of Release:	Volume Recovered:
Produced Water	3,075 bbl.	3,055 bbi.
Source of Release:	Date and Hour of Occurrence:	Date and Hour of Discovery: August 7, 2017 12:00 pm
Plugged Weil Was Immediate Notice Given?	August 7, 2017 12:00 pm If YES, To Whom?	August 7, 2017 12:00 pm
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Required		MOCD / Ms. Groves - SLO
By Whom? Rebecca Haskell	Date and Hour: August 9, 2017 8:	
Was a Watercourse Reached?	If YES, Volume Impacting the W	alercourse.
🗌 Yes 🖾 No		
If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.*	an <b>den sense se s</b>	
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.*	ananan an	88 k. M
The release was from a well that was previously plugged in 2015. The rele	ease was discovered by air patrol and	d immediate actions were taken to regain
control of the well. The well will be re-plugged. The release is currently u	under control, if additional fluids are	lost subsequent to the filling of this Initial C-
141 a revised C-141 will be submitted with updated volumes.		a an
Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.*		
The release was on location. A liner was installed to capture produced wa freestanding fluids. Approximately 1,008 cubic yards of impacted soil wa the spill area sampled to delineate any possible impact from the release ar any significant remediation activities. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the	as excavated and taken to a NMOCD nd we will present a remediation wor	approved disposal facility. Concho will have k plan to the NMOCD for approval prior to
regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release n public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by th should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediat or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report d federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.	ne NMOCD marked as "Final Report te contamination that pose a threat to does not relieve the operator of respo	actions for releases which may endanger does not relieve the operator of liability ground water, surface water, human health nsibility for compliance with any other
public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by th should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediat or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report d	ne NMOCD marked as "Final Report te contamination that pose a threat to does not relieve the operator of respo	ctions for releases which may endanger does not relieve the operator of liability ground water, surface water, human health
public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by th should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediat or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report d federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.	ne NMOCD marked as "Final Report te contamination that pose a threat to does not relieve the operator of respo	Actions for releases which may endanger does not relieve the operator of liability ground water, surface water, human health nsibility for compliance with any other
public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by th         should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediat         or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report d         federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.         Signature:       Reference         Printed Name:       Reference	ne NMOCD marked as "Final Report te contamination that pose a threat to does not relieve the operator of respo OIL CONSER	Actions for releases which may endanger does not relieve the operator of liability ground water, surface water, human health nsibility for compliance with any other
public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by th         should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediat         or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report d         federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.         Signature: Rolling         Printed Name:       Rebecca Haskell         Title:       Senior HSE Coordinator	te NMOCD marked as "Final Report te contamination that pose a threat to does not relieve the operator of respo <u>OIL CONSER</u> Approved by Environmental Specia Approval Date: <u>81817</u>	ections for releases which may endanger does not relieve the operator of liability ground water, surface water, human health nsibility for compliance with any other <u>VATION DIVISION</u> list: <u>WAR</u> List: <u>List:</u> N/A
public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by th         should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediat         or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report d         federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.         Signature: Reference         Printed Name:       Rebecca Haskell         Title:       Senior HSE Coordinator         E-mail Address:       rhaskell@concho.com         Date: August 17, 2017       Phone:       432-683-7443	te NMOCD marked as "Final Report te contamination that pose a threat to does not relieve the operator of respo <u>OIL CONSER</u> Approved by Environmental Specia Approval Date: <u>81817</u>	ections for releases which may endanger does not relieve the operator of liability ground water, surface water, human health nsibility for compliance with any other <u>VATION DIVISION</u> list: <u>WAR</u> List: <u>List:</u> N/A

•

NM OIL CONSERVATION ARTESIA DISTRICT						
2istrict I 625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 2istrict II	Sta Energy Mir	ate of 1 nerals a	New Mexi and Natural			Form C-141 Revised April 3, 2017
11 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III			vation Div	rision	Submit I Copy (	o appropriate District Office in
000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV			St. Franc	01	ECEIVED acc	ordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.
220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505	Sa	nta Fe	, NM 875	05		
	<b>Release</b> Notific	ation	and Co	rrective A	ction	
NABI129754125			<b>OPERA</b>	OR	🛛 Initial	Report 🔲 Final Repo
Name of Company: COG Operating,			Contact:		ert McNeil	
Address: 600 West Illinois Avenue, Facility Name: G J West Coop Unit #			Felephone N Facility Typ	lo. 432- e: Injection We	583-7443	
				e. injection we		10.015.10927
Surface Owner: State	Mineral O	wher: 2	laic		API NO.	30-015-10827
			OF REI			-
	ange Feet from the 29E 1980		South Line North	Feet from the 330	East/West Line West	County Eddy
					NIA D97	
L	titude_32.8073502				NAD83	
	NAT	URE	OF RELI	EASE Release: Unknov	1.1	1 0 740 111
Type of Release: Produced Water			TBD	Kelease: Unknov	am Octobe	ecovered: 8,740 bbls as of 6:00 r 23, 2017
Source of Release: Injection Well				our of Occurrenc		lour of Discovery:
Was Immediate Notice Given?			If YES, To	, 2017 10:20 am Whom?	October 15	5, 2017 10:20 am
	es 🔲 No 🔲 Not Re	equired	,		r – NMOCD / Ms. (	Groves - SLO
By Whom? Rebecca Haskell				our: October 15,		
Was a Watercourse Reached?	íes 🛛 No		If YES, Vo	lume Impacting t	he Watercourse.	
If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe						
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedia	I Action Taken.*					
The release is from an injection well. Pro ongoing. The well will be plugged. A ber Initial C-141 will be revised with a corre Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Act The release is on location. A bern was co	m was constructed aroun cted volume once the rele ion Taken.*	nd the we ease is st produce	ell to capture opped. d water and l	the produced wat	er and is being reco I. Vacuum trucks w	vered and disposed of. The ere dispatched to remove all
freestanding fluids. Concho will have the to the NMOCD for approval prior to any	spill area sampled to de significant remediation a	uncate a activities	ny possible il	npact from the re	icase and we will pr	esent a remediation work plan
I hereby certify that the information give regulations all operators are required to r public health or the environment. The ac should their operations have failed to add or the environment. In addition, NMOC federal, state, or local laws and/or regula	n above is true and comp eport and/or file certain r ceptance of a C-141 repo- quately investigate and r D acceptance of a C-141	elease nort by the remediate	te best of my otifications a e NMOCD m e contaminati	nd perform correc arked as "Final R on that pose a thr	tive actions for rele eport" does not relie eat to ground water,	ases which may endanger eve the operator of liability , surface water, human health
Signature: Rebecca Has	kell				SERVATION	DIVISION An VILL -
Printed Name: Rebecca Hask	<u>ell</u>			Environmental S	A	anive
Title: Senior HSE C	oordinator		Annroval Da	<u>ue: 10/24/14</u>	1 Expiration	Date: NIA
E-mail Address: rhaskell@con		- F				T
E-man Address. masken(a)con	cho.com		Conditions o		roland	Attached Attached

\* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 Phone:(575) 393-6161 Fax:(575) 393-0720 District II

811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 Phone:(575) 748-1283 Fax:(575) 748-9720

District III

1000 Rio Brazos Rd., Aztec, NM 87410 Phone:(505) 334-6178 Fax:(505) 334-6170

District IV 1220 S. St Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 Phone: (505) 476-3470 Fax: (505) 476-3462

## **State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division** 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

CONDITIONS

Operator:	OGRID:
COG OPERATING LLC	229137
600 W Illinois Ave	Action Number:
Midland, TX 79701	205120
	Action Type:
	[IM-SD] Incident File Support Doc (ENV) (IM-BNF)

#### CONDITIONS

Created By		Condition Date
bhall	None	5/2/2023

Page 116 of 116

Action 205120