Page 6

Incident ID	nAPP2314526721
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Closure

The responsible party must attach information demonstrating they have complied with all applicable closure requirements and any conditions or directives of the OCD. This demonstration should be in the form of a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) including a scaled site map, sampling diagrams, relevant field notes, photographs of any excavation prior to backfilling, laboratory data including chain of custody documents of final sampling, and a narrative of the remedial activities. Refer to 19.15.29.12 NMAC.

<u>Closure Report Attachment Checklist</u>: Each of the following items must be included in the closure report.

X A scaled site and sampling diagram as described in 19.15.29.11 NMAC

Photographs of the remediated site prior to backfill or photos of the liner integrity if applicable (Note: appropriate OCD District office must be notified 2 days prior to liner inspection)

X Laboratory analyses of final sampling (Note: appropriate ODC District office must be notified 2 days prior to final sampling)

Description of remediation activities

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. The responsible party acknowledges they must substantially restore, reclaim, and re-vegetate the impacted surface area to the conditions that existed prior to the release or their final land use in accordance with 19.15.29.13 NMAC including notification to the OCD when reclamation and re-vegetation are complete.

Printed Name: Alyssa McNear (Davanzo)	Title: Operations Manager
Signature: Alyssa McNear	Date:
email:adavanzo@tascosaep.com	Telephone: _(720) 244-4417
OCD Only	
Received by: <u>Shelly Wells</u>	Date: <u>7/10/2023</u>
	of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and water, human health, or the environment nor does not relieve the responsible for regulations.
Closure Approved by: <u><i>Robert Hamlet</i></u>	Date: <u>10/18/2023</u>
Printed Name: Robert Hamlet	Title: Environmental Specialist - Advanced

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Form C-141 Revised August 24, 2018 Submit to appropriate OCD District office

)

Page 2 of 60

Incident ID	nAPP2314526721
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Release Notification

Responsible Party

Responsible Party Tascosa Energy Partners, LLC	OGRID 329748		
Contact Name Brian Kirkland	Contact Telephone 432-695-6970		
Contact email bkirkland@tascosaep.com	Incident # (assigned by OCD) nAPP2314526721		
Contact mailing address 901 W. Missouri Ave, Midland, Texas 79701			

Location of Release Source

Latitude 32.48858

Longitude ________ -104.30056 (NAD 83 in decimal degrees to 5 decimal places)

Site Name Wiser State #002	Site Type
Date Release Discovered 07/02/2022	API# (<i>if applicable</i>) 30-015-26461

Unit Letter	Section	Township Range County		County
	9	9 21S 26E E		Eddy

Surface Owner: X State Federal Tribal Private (Name:

Nature and Volume of Release

Material(s) Released (Select all that apply and attach calculations or specific justification for the volumes provided below)

Crude Oil	Volume Released (bbls) unknown	Volume Recovered (bbls) 0
X Produced Water	Volume Released (bbls) unknown	Volume Recovered (bbls) 0
	Is the concentration of dissolved chloride in the produced water >10,000 mg/l?	Yes X No
Condensate	Volume Released (bbls)	Volume Recovered (bbls)
X Natural Gas	Volume Released (Mcf) unknown	Volume Recovered (Mcf) 0
X Other (describe)	Volume/Weight Released (provide units) Crude oil - 1 BBL	Volume/Weight Recovered (provide units) 0 BBL
C (D 1		

Cause of Release

Equipment failure

eceived by OCD: 7/10/202	<i>3 2:33:31 PM</i> State of New Mexico		Page 3 of
orm C-141		Incident ID	nAPP2314526721
age 2	Oil Conservation Division	District RP	
		Facility ID	
		Application ID	
Was this a major	If YES, for what reason(s) does the responsible par	ty consider this a major release?	?
release as defined by 19.15.29.7(A) NMAC?	 - Unauthorized release of an unknown volume (TBD), excluding gases, of 25 barrels or more - Unauthorized release an unknown volume (TBD) of gases exceeding 500 Mcf 		
X Yes 🗌 No			
If YES, was immediate r	notice given to the OCD? By whom? To whom? W	hen and by what means (phone,	email, etc)?
Private citizen called in the	observation on 07/02/2022		
	Initial Respons	e	

The responsible party must undertake the following actions immediately unless they could create a safety hazard that would result in injury

X The source of the release has been stopped.

X The impacted area has been secured to protect human health and the environment.

Released materials have been contained via the use of berms or dikes, absorbent pads, or other containment devices.

X All free liquids and recoverable materials have been removed and managed appropriately.

If all the actions described above have not been undertaken, explain why:

Per 19.15.29.8 B. (4) NMAC the responsible party may commence remediation immediately after discovery of a release. If remediation has begun, please attach a narrative of actions to date. If remedial efforts have been successfully completed or if the release occurred within a lined containment area (see 19.15.29.11(A)(5)(a) NMAC), please attach all information needed for closure evaluation.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

Printed Name: Alyssa McNear (Davanzo)	Title: Operations Manager
Signature: Alyssa McNear	Date:7/10/2023
email: adavanzo@tascosaep.com	Telephone: (720) 244-4417
OCD Only	
Received by: <u>Shelly Wells</u>	Date: 7/10/2023

Received by OCD: 7/10/2023 2:33:31 PM Form C-141 State of New Mexico

Oil Conservation Division

	Page 4 of 60
Incident ID	nAPP2314526721
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Site Assessment/Characterization

This information must be provided to the appropriate district office no later than 90 days after the release discovery date.

What is the shallowest depth to groundwater beneath the area affected by the release?	(ft bgs)
Did this release impact groundwater or surface water?	🗌 Yes 🗶 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse or any other significant watercourse?	🗌 Yes 🕅 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark)?	🗌 Yes 🕅 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of an occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes?	🗌 Yes 🗶 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring?	🗌 Yes 🗶 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field?	🗌 Yes 🗶 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland?	🗌 Yes 🗶 No
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine?	🗌 Yes 🕅 No
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain?	🗌 Yes 🕅 No
Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site?	🗌 Yes 🗶 No

Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics.

Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report.

- Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells.
- Field data
- Data table of soil contaminant concentration data
- Depth to water determination
- X Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within ¹/₂-mile of the lateral extents of the release
- X Boring or excavation logs
- Photographs including date and GIS information
- X Topographic/Aerial maps
- X Laboratory data including chain of custody

If the site characterization report does not include completed efforts at remediation of the release, the report must include a proposed remediation plan. That plan must include the estimated volume of material to be remediated, the proposed remediation technique, proposed sampling plan and methods, anticipated timelines for beginning and completing the remediation. The closure criteria for a release are contained in Table 1 of 19.15.29.12 NMAC, however, use of the table is modified by site- and release-specific parameters.

Received by OCD: 7/10/2023 2:. Form C-141 Page 4	33:31 PM State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division		Incident ID District RP Facility ID Application ID	Page 5 of 60 nAPP2314526721
regulations all operators are requir public health or the environment. failed to adequately investigate an	on given above is true and complete to the bord to report and/or file certain release notific. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OC d remediate contamination that pose a threat 141 report does not relieve the operator of response to the operator operator of response to the operator operator of response to the operator o	cations and perform co CD does not relieve the t to groundwater, surfa	prective actions for rele operator of liability sho water, human health	ases which may endanger ould their operations have or the environment. In
Printed Name: Alyssa McNear (Davanzo)	Title: Operations Ma	anager	
Signature: <u>Alyssa Ma</u>	Near	Date: 7/10/23		
email:adavanzo@tascosaep.com	n,	Telephone: (720) 24	4-4417	
OCD Only				
Received by: <u>Shelly Wells</u>		Date: <u>7/10/2</u>	2023	

Received by OCD: 7/10/2023 2:33:31 PM Form C-141 State of New Mexico

Incident ID	nAPP2314526721
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Remediation Plan

Remediation Plan Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the plan.

X Scaled sitemap with GPS coordinates showing delineation points

Estimated volume of material to be remediated
 Closure criteria is to Table 1 specifications subj

Page 5

Closure criteria is to Table 1 specifications subject to 19.15.29.12(C)(4) NMAC

Proposed schedule for remediation (note if remediation plan timeline is more than 90 days OCD approval is required)

Deferral Requests Only: Each of the following items must be con	firmed as part of any request for deferral of remediation
Deterrar Requests Only. Each of the following tems must be con	ijirmed as part of any request for deferrat of remediation.
Contamination must be in areas immediately under or around pr deconstruction.	oduction equipment where remediation could cause a major facility
Extents of contamination must be fully delineated.	
Contamination does not cause an imminent risk to human health	n, the environment, or groundwater.
	e and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of
Printed Name: Alyssa McNear (Davanzo)	Title: Operations Manager
Signature: Alyssa McNear	Date: 7/10/2023
email:	Telephone: (720) 244-4417
OCD Only	
Received by: Shelly Wells	Date: <u>7/10/2023</u>
Approved Approved with Attached Conditions of	Approval Denied Deferral Approved
Signature:	Date:

Page 6

Incident ID

District RP Facility ID Application ID

Closure

The responsible party must attach information demonstrating they have complied with all applicable closure requirements and any conditions or directives of the OCD. This demonstration should be in the form of a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) including a scaled site map, sampling diagrams, relevant field notes, photographs of any excavation prior to backfilling, laboratory data including chain of custody documents of final sampling, and a narrative of the remedial activities. Refer to 19.15.29.12 NMAC.

<u>Closure Report Attachment Checklist</u>: Each of the following items must be included in the closure report.

X A scaled site and sampling diagram as described in 19.15.29.11 NMAC

Photographs of the remediated site prior to backfill or photos of the liner integrity if applicable (Note: appropriate OCD District office must be notified 2 days prior to liner inspection)

X Laboratory analyses of final sampling (Note: appropriate ODC District office must be notified 2 days prior to final sampling)

X Description of remediation activities

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. The responsible party acknowledges they must substantially restore, reclaim, and re-vegetate the impacted surface area to the conditions that existed prior to the release or their final land use in accordance with 19.15.29.13 NMAC including notification to the OCD when reclamation and re-vegetation are complete.

Printed Name: Alyssa McNear (Davanzo)	Title: Operations Manager
Signature: Alyssa McNear	Date:
email:adavanzo@tascosaep.com	Telephone: _(720) 244-4417
OCD Only	
Received by: <u>Shelly Wells</u>	Date: <u>7/10/2023</u>
	of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and water, human health, or the environment nor does not relieve the responsible or regulations.
Closure Approved by:	Date:
Printed Name:	Title:

•



May 22, 2023

Vertex Project #: 22E-02545

Spill Summary Report:	Wiser State #002 Flowline
	Section 09, Township 21 South, Range 26 East
	API: 30-015-26461
	County: Eddy
Prenared For:	Tascosa Energy Partners IIC

Prepared For: Tascosa Energy Partners, LLC 901 West Missouri Avenue Midland, Texas 79701

Tascosa Energy Partners, LLC (Tascosa) retained Vertex Resource Services Inc. (Vertex) to conduct a site investigation of a potential fluid and gas release that was reported on July 2, 2022, on the flowline leading to Wiser State #002, API 30-015-26461 (hereafter referred to as the "Wiser"). Tascosa received notification from the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) that a private citizen noticed visible staining on the surface of the pasture around the flowline. This letter provides a description of the Spill Assessment and summary report. The spill area is located at N 32.4891141, W -104.3009879.

Background

The site is located approximately 0.72 miles east of the McNew Subdivision of Carlsbad, New Mexico. The legal location for the site is Section 09, Township 21 South and Range 26 East in Eddy County, New Mexico. The release area is located on State property. An aerial photograph and site schematic are presented on Figure 1, Attachment 1.

The Geological Map of New Mexico (New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources, 2023) indicates the site's surface geology primarily comprises Pty – Tansill and Yates Formations and is characterized as sandstone, siltstone, limestone, dolomite, and anhydrite. Predominant soil texture on the site is Reagan loam. The karst geology potential for the site is critical (United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, 2018).

The location is typical of oil and gas exploration and production sites in the Permian Basin and is currently used for oil and gas production, storage, and transfer. The following sections specifically describe the area investigated by Vertex (Figure 1).

The surrounding landscape is associated with fan remnants and alluvial fans with elevations ranging between 1,100 and 4,400 feet. The climate is semiarid with average annual precipitation ranging between 7 and 14 inches. Using information from the United States Department of Agriculture, the dominant vegetation was determined to be tobosa, black grama, and blue grama. Grasses with shrubs and half-shrubs dominate the historic plant community consisting of creosotebush, tarbush, and mesquite (United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, 2023).

Incident Description

The reporting of surface staining occurred on July 2, 2022, when a private citizen witnessed staining on the surface of

vertex.ca

Tascosa Energy Partners, LLC Wiser State #002 Flowline

the ground near a Tascosa owned flowline. The lease operator installed a clamp around the flowline to address the private citizen's concern. After the issue was reported by the private citizen to a regulatory authority, NMOCD requested the location to be investigated for elevated levels of contamination. Daily Field Report (DFRs) with site photographs are included in Attachment 2.

Closure Criteria Determination

The depth to groundwater was determined using information from Oil and Gas Drilling records and the New Mexico Office of the State Engineer Depth to Water report. A 0.5-mile search radius was used to determine groundwater depth. The nearest active well to the site is a New Mexico Office of the State Engineer (NMOSE) monitoring well located approximately 0.45 miles north of the location. Data from 1974 shows the NMOSE borehole recorded a depth to groundwater of 150 feet below ground surface (bgs). Documentation used in Closure Criteria Determination research is included in Attachment 3.

There is no surface water present at the site. The nearest significant watercourse, as defined in Subsection P of 19.15.17.7 NMAC, is the Pecos River located approximately 1.86 miles northeast of the site (United States Fish and Wildlife Service, 2023).

At the site, there are no continuously flowing watercourses or significant watercourses, lakebeds, sinkholes, playa lakes or other critical water or community features as outlined in Paragraph (4) of Subsection C of 19.15.29.12 NMAC.

vertex.ca

Page 10 of 60

	Criteria Worksheet			
	ne: Wiser State #002 Flowline rdinates:	X: 32.4891141	Y: -104.3009879	
	cific Conditions	Value	Unit	
1		150	feet	
1	Depth to Groundwater	150	Teet	
2	Within 300 feet of any continuously flowing	9,843	feet	
	watercourse or any other significant watercourse			
3	Within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark)	11,455	feet	
	Within 300 feet from an occupied residence, school,			
4	hospital, institution or church	1,973	feet	
	i) Within 500 feet of a spring or a private, domestic	1 072	feet	
5	fresh water well used by less than five households for	1,973	Teet	
	domestic or stock watering purposes, or	1.072	f t	
	ii) Within 1000 feet of any fresh water well or spring	1,973	feet	
	Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a			
6	defined municipal fresh water field covered under a	No	(Y/N)	
6	municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to Section 3-27-	No		
	3 NMSA 1978 as amended, unless the municipality			
	specifically approves	0.000	f t	
7	Within 300 feet of a wetland	8,082	feet	
8	Within the area overlying a subsurface mine	No	(Y/N)	
			Critical	
9	Within an unstable area (Karst Map)	Critical	High	
			Medium	
			Low	
10	Within a 100-year Floodplain	Undetermined	year	
10		ondetermined	yeu	
44		Deserved		
11	Soil Type	Reagan loam		
12	Ecological Classification	loamy		
13	Geology	Pty		
10		,		
			<50'	
	NMAC 19.15.29.12 E (Table 1) Closure Criteria	<50'	51-100'	
			>100'	

As the Karst potential is critical for the area, the closure criteria for the incident assume the most stringent conditions (depth to groundwater <50 feet bgs) and are determined to be associated with the following constituent concentration limits as presented in Table 1.

vertex.ca

Table 1. Closure Criteria for Soils Impacted by a Release								
Minimum depth below any point within the horizontal boundary of the release to groundwater less than 10,000 mg/l TDS	Constituent	Limit						
	Chloride	600 mg/kg						
	TPH (GRO+DRO+MRO)	100 mg/kg						
< 50 feet	BTEX	50 mg/kg						
	Benzene	10 mg/kg						

¹Total dissolved solids (TDS), ²Total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) = gasoline range organics (GRO) + diesel range organics (DRO) + motor oil range organics (MRO), ³Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX)

Remedial Actions Taken

An initial site inspection of the spill area was completed on April 20, 2023, which identified the area of concern. Excavation had already been completed where the surface staining was located. Samples were collected to determine any additional remediation and field screened. The DFR associated with the site inspection is included in Attachment 2.

Two confirmatory composite samples were collected from the base of the excavation on April 20, 2023. Samples were submitted to Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory (Hall) under chain-of-custody protocols and analyzed for BTEX (EPA Method 8021B), total petroleum hydrocarbons (GRO, DRO, MRO – EPA Method 8015D) and total chlorides (EPA Method 300.0).

	Sample Description			Petroleum Hydrocarbons						Inorganic			
Sample ID	Depth (ft)	Date	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	BTEX (Total)	Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	(GRO + DRO)	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH)	Chloride Concentration
			(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	1	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	/	(mg/kg)
		0 ft 19.15.29 (2018)	10	-	-	-	50	-	-	-	-	100	600
Criteria	NMOCD - NMAC 51-1	00 ft 19.15.29 (2018)	10	-	-	-	50	-	-	-	1000	2500	10000
	NMOCD - NMAC >10	NMOCD - NMAC >100 ft 19.15.29 (2018)		-	-	-	50	-	-	-	1000	2500	20000
023 Excavation													
BS23-01	0.5	April 20, 2023	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BS23-02	0.5	April 20, 2023	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Conclusion

The area was fully remediated and backfilled with local soils. Confirmatory samples were analyzed by the laboratory and found to be below allowable concentrations as per the New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC) Closure Criteria for Soils Impacted by a Release locations "under 50 feet to groundwater". Based on these findings, Tascosa Energy Partners, LLC requests that no further action is needed.

vertex.ca

Tascosa Energy Partners, LLC Wiser State #002 Flowline

Should you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned at 575.361.9880 or mpeppin@vertex.ca.

Monica Peppin PROJECT MANAGER, REPORTING

May 22, 2023

Date

Attachments

Attachment 1. Site Schematic

Attachment 2. Daily Field Report(s) with Pictures

Attachment 3. Closure Criteria for Soils Impacted by a Release Research Determination Documentation

Attachment 4. Laboratory Data Reports and Chain of Custody Forms

vertex.ca

References

- Google Inc. (2022). *Google Earth Pro (Version 7.3.4)* [Software]. Retrieved from http://www.google.com/earth on March 1, 2022.
- New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources. (2022). *Interactive Geologic Map*. Retrieved from http://geoinfo.nmt.edu.
- New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department. (2022). *Coal Mine Resources in New Mexico*. Retrieved from http://www.emnrd.state.nm.us/MMD/gismapminedata.html
- New Mexico Office of the State Engineer, New Mexico Water Rights Reporting System. (2022a). Water Column/Average Depth to Water Report. Retrieved from http://nmwrrs.ose.state.nm.us/nmwrrs/waterColumn.html.
- New Mexico Office of the State Engineer, New Mexico Water Rights Reporting System. (2022b). *Point of Diversion Location Report*. Retrieved from http://nmwrrs.ose.state.nm.us/nmwrrs/wellSurfaceDiversion.html.
- New Mexico Office of the State Engineer, New Mexico Water Rights Reporting System. (2022c). *Well Log/Meter Information Report*. Retrieved from http://nmwrrs.ose.state.nm.us/nmwrrs/meterReport.html
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. (2022). *Web Soil Survey*. Retrieved from https://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/WebSoilSurvey.aspx.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service in Cooperation with New Mexico Agricultural Experiment Station. (1971). *Soil Survey, New Mexico*. Retrieved from http://www.wipp.energy.gov/library/Information_Repository_A/Supplemental_Information/Chugg%20et%20al%201971%20w-map.pdf
- United States Department of Homeland Security, FEMA Flood Map Service Center. (2020). *Flood Map Number* 35015C1875D. Retrieved from https://msc.fema.gov/portal/search?AddressQuery=malaga%20new%20 mexico#searchresultsanchor.
- United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management. (2018). *CFO Karst Public*. https://www.nm.blm.gov/shapeFiles/cfo/carlsbad_spatial_data.html.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. (2022). *National Wetlands Inventory*. Retrieved from https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html.

vertex.ca

Tascosa Energy Partners, LLC Wiser State #002 Flowline

Limitations

This report has been prepared for the sole benefit of Standard Safety & Supply. This document may not be used by any other person or entity, with the exception of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, without the express written consent of Vertex Resource Services Inc. (Vertex) and Standard Safety & Supply. Any use of this report by a third party, or any reliance on decisions made based on it, or damages suffered as a result of the use of this report are the sole responsibility of the user.

The information and conclusions contained in this report are based upon work undertaken by trained professional and technical staff in accordance with generally accepted scientific practices current at the time the work was performed. The conclusions and recommendations presented represent the best judgement of Vertex based on the data collected during the assessment. Due to the nature of the assessment and the data available, Vertex cannot warrant against undiscovered environmental liabilities. Conclusions and recommendations presented in this report should not be considered legal advice.

vertex.ca

ATTACHMENT 1



ATTACHMENT 2

VERTEX

Daily Site Visit Report

Client:	Tascosa Energy Partners, LLC	Inspection Date:	4/20/2023
Site Location Name:	Wiser State #2	Report Run Date:	5/4/2023 4:52 AM
Client Contact Name:	Brian Kirkland	API #:	
Client Contact Phone #:	4326956970		
Unique Project ID		Project Owner:	
Project Reference #		Project Manager:	
		Summary of	Times
Arrived at Site	4/20/2023 8:31 AM		
Departed Site	4/20/2023 10:17 AM		

Field Notes

8:31 Additional sampling and lab analysis of area previously remediated for summary report

8:49 Area has no depth to remediation that was completed. Samples were collected at 0 ft bgs

8:50 Area is distinguished by the mostly bare portion where you can tell a scrape was completed

Next Steps & Recommendations

1 Summary report

Daily Site Visit Report



Site Photos Viewing Direction: North Viewing Direction: East Remediation area Remediated area Viewing Direction: South Viewing Direction: West Remediation area Remediation area

Daily Site Visit Report



Daily Site Visit Signature

Inspector: Monica Peppin

Signature

Signature:

•

ATTACHMENT 3

Page 22 of 60

Wiser State #002 Flowline



Esri, HERE, iPC, U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management, Esri, HERE, Garmin, iPC, Maxar

Web Generated Map Map is generated by web users.

Released to Imaging: 10/18/2023 8:29:17 AM

OSE District Boundary

New Mexico State Trust Lands -

Subsurface Estate

Artificial Path

Connector

0

0

Pending

Capped

New Mexico Office of the State Engineer Point of Diversion Summary

			•	ers are 1=N						
			(quar	ters are sm	allest to	o largest)		(NAD83 UTM in meters)		
Well Tag	POD	Number	Q64	Q16 Q4	Sec	Tws	Rng	X	Y	
	C 0	1543	4	4 1	09	21S	26E	565837	565837 3595576*	
Driller Lice	ense:	46	Driller	Compa	ny:	AB	BOTT E	BROTHERS	S COMPANY	
Driller Nan	ne:	MURRELL ABE	BOTT							
Drill Start	Date:	05/07/1974	Drill F	inish Da	te:	0:	5/09/197	74 P I	ug Date:	
Log File Da	ate:	05/15/1974	PCW I	Rev Date	:			Se	ource:	Shallow
Pump Type	:		Pipe D	Pipe Discharge Size:					stimated Yield:	50 GPM
Casing Size	e:	7.00	Depth	Depth Well: 225 feet			D	epth Water:	150 feet	
Х	Wate	r Bearing Stratif	ications:	Т	op I	Bottom	Desci	ription		
		150			225 Sandston		one/Gravel/Conglomerate			
X		Casing Per	forations:	Т	op l	Bottom	l			
				1	50	225				
x										

*UTM location was derived from PLSS - see Help

The data is furnished by the NMOSE/ISC and is accepted by the recipient with the expressed understanding that the OSE/ISC make no warranties, expressed or implied, concerning the accuracy, completeness, reliability, usability, or suitability for any particular purpose of the data.

5/4/23 2:22 PM

POINT OF DIVERSION SUMMARY

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service **National Wetlands Inventory**

Wiser State #002 Flowline



Lake

Other

Riverine

Freshwater Emergent Wetland

Freshwater Pond

Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland

May 4, 2023

Wetlands

- Estuarine and Marine Deepwater
- Estuarine and Marine Wetland
- Released to Imaging: 10/18/2023 8:29:17 AM

This map is for general reference only. The US Fish and Wildlife Service is not responsible for the accuracy or currentness of the base data shown on this map. All wetlands related data should be used in accordance with the layer metadata found on the Wetlands Mapper web site.

Wiser State #002 Flowline



May 4, 2023

Wetlands

- Estuarine and Marine Deepwater
- Estuarine and Marine Wetland
- Freshwater Emergent Wetland
- Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland
- **Freshwater Pond**

Lake Other Riverine

This map is for general reference only. The US Fish and Wildlife Service is not responsible for the accuracy or currentness of the base data shown on this map. All wetlands related data should be used in accordance with the layer metadata found on the Wetlands Mapper web site.



Nearest Residence: 0.37 miles (1,973 feet)



Page 26 of 60

Wiser State #002 Flowline

Wiser State #002 Flowline

Gaag am Fran th 8/2023 8:29:17 AM



N

Received by OCD: 7/10/2023 2:33:31 PM WISEr State #002 Flowline

Nearest Town: McNew Subdivision of Carlsbad, New Mexico Distance: 0.72 miles (3,802 feet)

Acoma Rd

Legend

Page 27 of 60

Wiser State #002 Flowline

Wiser State #002 Flowline

2000 ft

PKO VEHICLE SERVICE & DETAIL ...

Painted Desert RV Park and Storage 435 Tanoa Dr

Delaware Basin Equipment Rentals

Released to Imaging: 10/18/2023 8:29:17 AM

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetlands Inventory

Wiser State #002 Flowline



Wetlands

- Estuarine and Marine Deepwater
- Estuarine and Marine Wetland

Released to Imaging: 10/18/2023 8:29:17 AM

- Freshwater Emergent Wetland Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland
- **Freshwater Pond**

Lake Other Riverine base data shown on this map. All wetlands related data should be used in accordance with the layer metadata found on the

Wetlands Mapper web site.

Wiser State #002 Flowline





New Mexico State University, Texas Parks & Wildlife, CONANP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, FAO, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, NM Coal Mine Reclamation Program, NM EMNRD, Esri, CGIAR, USGS



Received by OCD: 7/10/2023 2:33:31 PM National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



Legend

Page 31 of 60



OReleas2200 Im220ng: 10/18/202308.29:17 AM 1,500

1:6,000 2,000

Basemap: USGS National Map: Orthoimagery: Data refreshed October, 2020

regulatory purposes.

Received by OCD: 7/10/2023 2:33:31 PM



USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Released to Imaging: 10/18/2023 8:29:17 AM Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

Area of In	Area of Interest (AOI) Area of Interest (AOI)	W <	Spoil Area Stony Spot	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000.
Soils		8	Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.
	Soil Map Unit Polygons	0	Wet Spot	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause
} 1	Soil Map Unit Lines Soil Man Unit Points	\triangleleft	Other	msunderstanding or the detail or mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of
Special	Special Point Features	١	Special Line Features	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.
9	Blowout	Water Features	atures	
	Borrow Pit	{	Streams and Canals	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.
1 Ж	Clay Spot	Transportation Rai	tation Rails	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
\$	Closed Depression	1	Interstate Highways	Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate Svstem: Web Mercator (FPSG:3857)
*	Gravel Pit	1	US Routes	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator
8 <mark>0</mark>	Gravelly Spot	8	Major Roads	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts
٩	Landfill	8	Local Roads	olstance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more
R	Lava Flow	Background	Ind	accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
ŵ	Marsh or swamp	8	Aerial Photography	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below
«	Mine or Quarry			Soil Survey Area: Eddy Area New Mavico
0	Miscellaneous Water			
0	Perennial Water			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales
>	Rock Outcrop			1:50,000 or larger.
÷	Saline Spot			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Nov 12, 2022—Dec
°,°	Sandy Spot			-; The orthonhoto or other base man on which the soil lines were
Ŵ	Severely Eroded Spot			compiled and digitized probably differs from the background
\$	Sinkhole			imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.
A	Slide or Slip			
Ø	Sodic Spot			

•

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
RA	Reagan loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	0.2	100.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		0.2	100.0%



Eddy Area, New Mexico

RA—Reagan loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 1w5c Elevation: 1,100 to 4,400 feet Mean annual precipitation: 7 to 14 inches Mean annual air temperature: 60 to 70 degrees F Frost-free period: 200 to 240 days Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

Map Unit Composition

Reagan and similar soils: 98 percent Minor components: 2 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Reagan

Setting

Landform: Fan remnants, alluvial fans Landform position (three-dimensional): Rise Down-slope shape: Convex, linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Alluvium and/or eolian deposits

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 8 inches: loam *H2 - 8 to 60 inches:* loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water
 (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 2.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 40 percent
Maximum salinity: Very slightly saline to moderately saline (2.0 to 8.0 mmhos/cm)
Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 1.0
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 8.2 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 2e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e Hydrologic Soil Group: B *Ecological site:* R070BC007NM - Loamy *Hydric soil rating:* No

Minor Components

Atoka

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Ecological site: R070BC007NM - Loamy Hydric soil rating: No

Upton

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Ecological site: R070BC025NM - Shallow Hydric soil rating: No

Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: Eddy Area, New Mexico Survey Area Data: Version 18, Sep 8, 2022


USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service

Ecological site R070BC007NM Loamy

Accessed: 05/04/2023

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

Figure 1. Mapped extent

Areas shown in blue indicate the maximum mapped extent of this ecological site. Other ecological sites likely occur within the highlighted areas. It is also possible for this ecological site to occur outside of highlighted areas if detailed soil survey has not been completed or recently updated.

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	Not specified

Physiographic features

This site occurs on uplands landforms, mainly on hill slopes, ridges, plains, terraces and some fan remnants. Slopes range from 1 to 5 percent and average about 3 percent. Average annual precipitation is about 8 to 14 inches. Elevations range from 2,842 to 5,000 feet.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Plain(2) Terrace(3) Fan piedmont
Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	2,842–5,000 ft
Slope	0–5%
Aspect	E, S, W

Climatic features

The average annual precipitation ranges from 8 to 13 inches. Variations of 5 inches, more or less, are common. Over 80 percent of the precipitation falls from April through October. Most of the summer precipitation comes in the form of high intensity short duration thunderstorms.

Temperatures are characterized by distinct seasonal changes and large annual and diurnal temperature changes. The average annual temperature is 61 degrees with extremes of 25 degrees below zero in the winter to 112 degrees in the summer.

The average frost-free season is 207 to 220 days. The last killing frost is in late March or early April, and the first killing frost is in late October or early November.

Temperature and rainfall both favor warm season perennial plant growth. In years of abundant spring moisture, annual forbs and cool season grasses can make up an important component of this site. Strong winds blow from the southwest in January through June rapidly drying out the soil during a critical time for cool season plant growth.

Climate data was obtained from http://www.wrcc.sage.dri.edu/summary/climsmnm.html web site using 50% probability for freeze-free and frost-free seasons using 28.5 degrees F and 32.5 degrees F respectively.

Table 3. Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (average)	221 days
Freeze-free period (average)	240 days
Precipitation total (average)	13 in

Influencing water features

This site is not influenced by wetland or streams.

Soil features

The soils of this site are deep to moderately deep. The moderately deep soils have either a petrocalcic, petrogypsic or gypsum horizon between 30 and 40 inches.

Surface textures are loam, silt loam, very fine sandy loam, or clay loam. Substratum textures are loam, silty clay loam, clay loam, or silt loams. Subsoil textures are silt loam, clay loam silty clay loam, gravelly loam, gravelly clay loam or very gravelly loam. Permeability is moderate to slow and the available water holding capacity is high to moderate. The Atoka, Reeves, Russler, Milner soils may have highr amounts of CaC03, ranging as high as 40 percent in the subsoil. Rock fragments range fro 5 to 50 percent in the subsoil. Reeves, Rusler, Milner, Holloman soils will have 40 to 80 percent gypsum in the underlying material.

Maximum and minimum values listed below represent the characteristic soils for this site.

Characteristic Soils:

Atoka (petrocalcic) Bigetty Reagan Reakor Reeves (gypsum) Russler (gypsum) Largo Russler (gypsum) Largo Berino Tinney Midessa Ratliff Holloman (gypsum)

Table 4. Representative soil features

Surface texture	(1) Loam(2) Very fine sandy loam(3) Silt loam
Family particle size	(1) Loamy
Drainage class	Well drained to somewhat excessively drained
Permeability class	Moderate to slow
Soil depth	30–72 in

Received by OCD: 7/10/2023 2:33:31 PM

Surface fragment cover <=3"	0–5%
Surface fragment cover >3"	0%
Available water capacity (0-40in)	5–12 in
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-40in)	0–10%
Electrical conductivity (0-40in)	0–8 mmhos/cm
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-40in)	0–6
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-40in)	6.6–8.4
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	0–5%
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	0%

Ecological dynamics

Overview: The Loamy site is associated with the Gyp Upland ecological site with which it intergrades. There is a pronounced increase in alkali sacaton along this interface. The loamy site is also associated with the Gravelly and Shallow ecological sites from which it receives run-on water. The Draw site often dissects Loamy sites and is distinguished from the Loamy site by increased production or greater densities of woody species. The historic plant community has a grassland aspect, dominated by grasses with shrubs and half-shrubs sparse and evenly distributed. Tobosa, black grama and blue grama are the dominant species. Retrogression within this state is characterized by a decrease in black and blue grama and an increase in burrograss. Continuous overgrazing and drought can initiate a transition to a Burrograss- Grassland state. Continued reduction in grass cover and resulting infiltration problems may eventually effect a change to a Bare State, with very little or no remaining grass cover. Alternatively, creosotebush, tarbush or mesquite may expand or invade. Transitions back to a Grassland State from a Bare or Shrub-Dominated state are costly and may not be economically feasible. Decreased fire frequency may play a part in the transition to the Grass/Succulent Mix state with increased amounts of cholla and prickly pear.

State and transition model

Plant Communities and Transitional Pathways (diagram)



Ia. Soil drying, overgrazing, drought, soil surface sealing. Ib. Restore natural overland flow, increase infiltration, prescribed grazing.

2a. Severe reduction in cover, soil surface sealing, decreased infiltration, erosion. 2b. Restore hydrology, break up physical crust, range seeding, prescribed grazing.

3a. Lack of fire, overgrazing, hall storms or other physical disturbance, drought. 3b. Prescribed fire, brush control, prescribed grazing.

4a. Seed dispersal of shrubs, persistent loss of grass cover, competition by shrubs, lack of fire. 4b. Brush control, range seeding -dependent on amount of grass (seed bank) remaining.

5. Loss of grass cover, seed dispersal of shrubs, competition by shrubs.

6. & 7. Brush control with continued loss of grass cover, soil sealing, erosion.

State 1 Historic Climax Plant Community

Community 1.1 Historic Climax Plant Community

State Containing Historic Climax Plant Community Grassland: The historic plant community has a grassland aspect, dominated by grasses with shrubs and half-shrubs sparse and evenly distributed. Black grama, blue grama, and tobosa are the dominant grass species. There are a variety of perennial forbs and their production varies widely by season and year. Globemallow, verbena, groundsels, croton and filaree are forbs commonly found on this site. Fourwing saltbush and winterfat are two of the more palatable shrubs. The Loamy ecological site encompasses a

Received by OCD: 7/10/2023 2:33:31 PM

wide variety of soils, with surface textures ranging from sandy loams to clay loams. Soil depths range from shallow to very deep and can include sub surface features such as calcic, petrocalcic, and gypsic horizons. These variations cause differences in plant community composition and dynamics. Black grama is found at highest densities on coarser textured sandy loams, with blue grama preferring finer textured loam and silt loam, and tobosa favoring lower landscape positions and loam to clay loam surface textures. Burrograss may often be the dominant grass species on silty soils, perhaps in part due to the seedlings ability to auger into and establish on physically crusted soils. Gypsum influenced soils typically have greater amounts of tobosa, burrograss, and ephedra. There is greater representation of sideoats and vine mesquite within the tobosa-blue grama community. Retrogression under continuous heavy grazing results in a decrease of black grama, blue grama, sideoats grama, plains bristlegrass, bush muhly, cane bluestem, vine mesquite, winterfat, and fourwing saltbush. Species such as burrograss, threeawns, sand dropseed, sand muhly, and broom snakeweed increase under continuous heavy grazing or prolonged periods of drought. Under continued retrogression burrograss can completely dominate the site. Creosotebush, tarbush, and mesquite, can also dominate. Cholla and prickly pear can increase on areas that are disturbed or overgrazed. Diagnosis: Tobosa, black grama, and blue grama are the dominant species. Grass cover is uniformly distributed with few large bare areas. Shrubs are sparse and evenly distributed. Slopes range from level to gently sloping and usually display limited evidence of active rills and gully formation if plant cover remains intact. Litter movement associated with overland flow is limited to smaller size class litter and short distances. Other shrubs include: yucca, mesquite, tarbush, cholla and creosote bush. Other forbs include: desert holly, scorpionweed, bladderpod, flax, nama, fleabane, Indianwheat, Indian blanket flower, groundcherry, deerstongue, and rayless goldenrod.

Plant Type	Low (Lb/Acre)	Representative Value (Lb/Acre)	High (Lb/Acre)
Grass/Grasslike	585	833	1080
Forb	39	55	72
Shrub/Vine	26	37	48
Total	650	925	1200

Table 5. Annual production by plant type

Table 6. Ground cover

Tree foliar cover	0%
Shrub/vine/liana foliar cover	0%
Grass/grasslike foliar cover	15-30%
Forb foliar cover	0%
Non-vascular plants	0%
Biological crusts	0%
Litter	25-30%
Surface fragments >0.25" and <=3"	0%
Surface fragments >3"	0%
Bedrock	0%
Water	0%
Bare ground	40-50%

Figure 5. Plant community growth curve (percent production by month). NM2807, R042XC007NM Loamy HCPC. R042XC007NM Loamy HCPC Warm Season Plant Community..

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
0	0	0	5	10	10	25	30	15	5	0	0

Community 2.1 Burrograss-Grassland

Burrograss-Grassland: Changes in hydrology resulting in decreased available soil moisture, reduces grass cover and increases bare ground. Burrograss is the dominant grass. Tobosa cover is variable and can range from sizeable areas to small patches occupying only depressions or the lowest and wettest positions within the site. Threeawns, ear muhly, sand muhly, and fluffgrass occur at increased densities compared to the grassland state. Shrub densities may increase especially mesquite, creosotebush or tarbush. Retrogression within this state is characterized by a further decrease in grass cover and increased bare ground. Further deterioration of this site can result in the transition to a bare state or becoming shrub dominated. Diagnosis: Burrograss is the dominant species. Grass cover is no longer uniformly distributed, instead tending to be patchy with large areas of bare ground present. Physical crusts are present in bare areas reducing infiltration and suppressing seedling establishment by any grass species other than burrograss. Transition to Burrograss-Grassland (1a): Transitions from grassland to a burrograssgrassland state may occur due to changes in hydrology. Gullies, roads or obstructions that alter natural water flow patterns may cause this transition. Changes in surface hydrology may also occur due to overgrazing or drought. The reduction in grass cover promotes increased soil physical crusts and reduces infiltration. 5 Key indicators of approach to transition: ? Diversion of overland flow resulting in decreased soil moisture. ? Increase in amount of burrograss cover ? Reduction in grass cover and increase in size and frequency of bare patches. ? Formation of physical crusts-indicating reduced infiltration. ? Evidence of litter movement-indicating loss or redistribution of organic matter. Transition back to Grassland (1b) The natural hydrology of the site must be returned. Culverts, turnouts, or rerouting roads may help re-establish natural overland flow, if roads or trails have altered the hydrology. Erosion control structures or shaping and filling gullies may help regain natural flow patterns and establish vegetation if the flow has been channeled. Breaking up physical crusts by soil disturbance may promote infiltration and seedling emergence. Allow natural revegetation to take place. Prescribed grazing will help ensure proper forage utilization and reduce grass loss due to grazing.

State 3 Bare State

Community 3.1 Bare State

Bare State: Extremely low ground cover, soil degradation and erosion characterize this state. Very little vegetation remains. Burrograss is the dominant grass and cover is extremely patchy. Physical soil crusts are extensive. Erosion and resource depletion increase as site degrades. Diagnosis: Very little cover remains. Erosion is evident by soil sealing, water flow patterns, pedestals or terracettes. Rills and gullies may be present and active. Transition to Bare State (2a): Extended drought, continuous heavy grazing, or other disturbance that severely depletes grass cover can effect this transition. As grass cover decreases, sheet flow and erosion increase, and physical soil crusts form, thereby further reducing infiltration. Key indicators of approach to transition: ? Continued reduction in grass cover. ? Increased soil surface sealing. ? Increased erosion. ? Reduced aggregate stability in bare areas. Transition back to Grassland (2b) Restore the hydrology, see (1a). With the extent of grass loss range seeding may be necessary. Utilizing livestock or mechanical means to break up the physical crusts may increase infiltration and aid seedling establishment. Prescribed grazing will help ensure adequate deferment period following seeding, and proper forage utilization once the grass stand is well established. The degree to which this site is capable of recovery depends on the restoration of hydrology, extent of degradation to soil resources, and adequate rainfall necessary to establish grasses.

State 4 Grass/Succulent Mix

Community 4.1 Grass/Succulent Mix

Grass / Succulent Mix: Increased representations of succulents characterize this site. Increased densities of cholla or pricklypear is recognized as a management concern, but their impact on grass production is unclear. Light to

Received by OCD: 7/10/2023 2:33:31 PM

medium cholla or prickly pear infestation doesn't seem to greatly reduce grass production, however it limits access to palatable grasses and interferes with livestock movement and handling. Tobosa and blue grama are the dominant species on this site. Retrogression within this site is characterized by a decrease in blue grama and an increase in succulents, tobosa and burrograss. Diagnosis: Cholla or prickly pear is found at increased densities. Grass cover is variable ranging from uniformly distributed to patchy with frequent areas of bare ground present. Tobosa or blue grama is the dominant grass species. Transition to Grass/Succulent Mix (3a): If fire was historically a part of desert grassland ecosystem and played a role in suppressing seedlings of shrubs and succulents, then fire suppression may favor the increase of succulents.1 Heavy grazing by livestock or other physical disturbances may help disseminate seed and increase the establishment of succulents. Areas historically overgrazed by sheep are sometimes associated with higher densities of Succulents. Intense hailstorms can spread pricklypear by breaking off joints causing new plants to take root.3 During severe drought perennial grass cover can decline significantly, leaving resources available for use by more drought tolerant succulents. Cholla and pricklypear are both adapted to and favored by drought due to the ability of their shallow, wide spreading root systems to absorb and store water.4 Key indicators of approach to transition: ? Decrease or change in distribution of grass cover. ? Increase in amount of succulent seedlings. ? Increased cover of succulents. Transition back to Grassland (3b) Fire is an effective means of controlling cholla and prickly pear if adequate grass cover remains to carry fire.2 Cholla greater than two feet tall or pricklypear with a large amount of pads (>15-20) are harder to kill. Chemical control is effective in controlling prickly pear and cholla; apply when growth starts in May. Hand grubbing is also effective if cholla or pricklypear is severed 2-4 inches below ground and care is taken not to let broken joints or pads take root. Stacking and burning piles and grubbing during winter or drought help keeps broken joints and pads from rooting. Prescribed grazing will help ensure proper forage utilization and sustain grass cover.

State 5 Shrub Dominated

Community 5.1 Shrub Dominated

Shrub Dominated: Increased shrub cover characterizes this state. Mesquite, creosotebush, and/or tarbush are the dominant shrub species. Burrograss or tobosa is the dominant grass species. Grass cover is decreased, typically patchy with large bare areas present; however, sometimes grass cover can remain relatively high for extended periods when associated with light to moderate infestations of mesquite. Variations in soil characteristics play a part in determining which shrub species increase. Mesquite is well adapted to a wide range of soil types, but increases more often on deep soils low in carbonates, that have a sandy surface overlying finer textured soils. Tarbush prefers finer textured, calcareous soils, usually in lower positions that receive some extra water. Creosotebush is less tolerant of fine textured soils, preferring sandy, calcareous soils that have some gravel. Creosotebush also does well on soils that are shallow over caliche. Retrogression within this state is characterized by a decrease in tobosa, and an increase in burrograss. As the site continues to degrade shrub cover continues to increase and grass cover is severely reduced. Diagnosis: Mesquite, Creosotebush, and/or tarbush are the dominant shrubs. Blue grama and black grama cover is low or absent. Burrograss or tobosa are the dominant grasses. Typically grass cover is patchy with large interconnected bare areas present. Physical soil crusts are present, especially on silt loam surface soils. Transition to Shrub Dominated (4a): Wildlife and livestock consume and disperse mesquite seeds. Flood events may wash creosote or tarbush seeds off adjacent gravelly sites onto the loamy site and supply adequate moisture for germination. Persistent loss of grass cover due to overgrazing or drought can cause large bare patches, providing competition free areas for shrub seedling establishment. As shrub cover increases, competition for soil resources, especially water, becomes a major factor in further reducing grass cover. Reduction of fire, due to either fire suppression policy or loss of adequate fine fuels may increase the probability of shrub encroachment. Increased soil surface physical crusts and associated decreased infiltration, may prevent the establishment of grass seedlings. Transition to Shrub Dominated (5): The dispersal of creosotebush, tarbush or mesquite seed, combined with loss of grass cover and resource competition by shrubs may cause this transition. Key indicators of approach to transition: ? Decreased grass and litter cover. ? Increased bare patch size. ? Increased physical soil crusts. ? Increased amount of mesquite, creosotebush, or tarbush seedlings. ? Increased shrub cover. Transition back to Grassland (4b) Brush control will be necessary to remove shrubs and eliminate competition for resources necessary for grass establishment or reproduction. Seeding may be necessary on those sites where desired grass species are absent or very limited. Pitting and seeding may increase the chances of successful grass establishment. Prescribed grazing will help ensure adequate time is elapsed before grazing seeded area is allowed and proper forage utilization following seeding establishment. Transition to Bare State (6): If grass cover on the shrub-dominated state is

Received by OCD: 7/10/2023 2:33:31 PM

severely limited and shrubs are removed a bare state may result. This transition will depend on amount of grasses or seed remaining, whether site is seeded, or if seeding is successful. Transition to Bare State (7): Removal of succulents and continued overgrazing or drought may cause loss of remaining grasses and erosion. Soil surface physical crusting may also be an important factor in inhibiting grass seedling establishment

Additional community tables

 Table 7. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production (Lb/Acre)	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass	/Grasslike		•		
1	Warm Season			278–324	
	tobosagrass	PLMU3	Pleuraphis mutica	278–324	_
2	Warm Season		•	9–46	
	burrograss	SCBR2	Scleropogon brevifolius	9–46	_
3	Warm Season		·	231–278	
	black grama	BOER4	Bouteloua eriopoda	231–278	_
	blue grama	BOGR2	Bouteloua gracilis	231–278	_
4	Warm Season		•	28–46	
	sideoats grama	BOCU	Bouteloua curtipendula	28–46	_
5	Warm Season		•	46–93	
	bush muhly	MUPO2	Muhlenbergia porteri	46–93	_
	plains bristlegrass	SEVU2	Setaria vulpiseta	46–93	_
6	Warm Season		•	9–28	
	Arizona cottontop	DICA8	Digitaria californica	9–28	_
7	Warm Season		·	46–93	
	threeawn	ARIST	Aristida	46–93	_
	muhly	MUHLE	Muhlenbergia	46–93	_
	sand dropseed	SPCR	Sporobolus cryptandrus	46–93	-
8	Warm Season		•	28–46	
	Graminoid (grass or grass-like)	2GRAM	Graminoid (grass or grass-like)	28–46	_
Shrub	/Vine		•		
9	Shrub			9–28	
	fourwing saltbush	ATCA2	Atriplex canescens	9–28	_
	jointfir	EPHED	Ephedra	9–28	_
	winterfat	KRLA2	Krascheninnikovia lanata	9–28	_
	cane bluestem	BOBA3	Bothriochloa barbinodis	5–24	_
	Arizona cottontop	DICA8	Digitaria californica	5–24	_
	plains bristlegrass	SEVU2	Setaria vulpiseta	5–24	_
10	Shrub	-		9–28	
	javelina bush	COER5	Condalia ericoides	9–28	_
	broom snakeweed	GUSA2	Gutierrezia sarothrae	9–28	-
	Grass, annual	2GA	Grass, annual	5–15	_
11	Shrubs	-	·	9–28	

12	Forb			9–46	
	threadleaf ragwort	SEFLF	Senecio flaccidus var. flaccidus	9–46	_
	globemallow	SPHAE	Sphaeralcea	9–46	_
	verbena	VEPO4	Verbena polystachya	9–46	_
	broom snakeweed	GUSA2	Gutierrezia sarothrae	5–15	_
	pricklypear	OPUNT	Opuntia	5–15	_
13	Forb	9–28			
	croton	CROTO	Croton	9–28	_
	woolly groundsel	PACA15	Packera cana	9–28	_
14	Forb	9–28			
	Goodding's tansyaster	MAPIG2	Machaeranthera pinnatifida ssp. gooddingii var. gooddingii	9–28	_
	woolly paperflower	PSTA	Psilostrophe tagetina	9–28	_
15	Forb	9–28			
	redstem stork's bill	ERCI6	Erodium cicutarium	9–28	_
	Texas stork's bill	ERTE13	Erodium texanum	9–28	_
16	Forb	9–28			
	Forb (herbaceous, not grass nor grass-like)	2FORB	Forb (herbaceous, not grass nor grass- like)	9–28	_

Animal community

This site provides habitats which support a resident animal community that is characterized by pronghorn antelope, black-tailed jackrabbit, black tailed prairie dog, yellow-faced pocket gopher, banner-tailed kangaroo rat, hispid cotton rat, swift fox, burrowing owl, horned lark, mockingbird, meadowlark, mourning dove, scaled quail, Great Plains toad, plains spadefoot toad, prairie rattlesnake and western coachwhip shake.

Hydrological functions

The runoff curve numbers are determined by field investigations using hydraulic cover conditions and hydrologic soil groups.

Hydrologic Interpretations Soil Series Hydrologic Group Atoka C Bigetty B Ratliff B Reyab B Holloman B Largo B Holloman B Bigetty B Berino B Reagan B Reakor B Reeves B Russler C

Recreational uses

This site offers limited potential for hiking, horseback riding, nature observation and photography. Game bird, antelope and predator hunting are also limited.

Wood products

This site has no potential for wood products

Other products

This site is suitable for grazing by all kinds and classes of livestock, during all seasons of the year. Under retrogression, such plants as black grama, blue grama, sideoats grama, bush muhly, plains bristlegrass, Arizona cottontop, fourwing saltbush and winterfat decrease and there is an increase in burrograss, threeawns, sand dropseed, muhlys, broom snakeweed and javilinabush. Under continued retrogression, burrograss can completely dominate the site. Creosotebush, mesquite, and tarbush can also dominate. Grazing management alone will not improve the site in the above situation. This site is well suited to a system of management that rotates the season of use.

Other information

Guide to Suggested Initial Stocking Rate Acres per Animal Unit Month

Similarity Index Ac/AUM 100 - 76 3.0 - 4.2 75 - 51 4.1 - 5.5 50 - 26 5.3 - 7.0 25 - 0 7.1 +

Inventory data references

Other References:

Data collection for this site was done in conjunction with the progressive soil surveys within the Southern Desertic Basins, Plains and Mountains, Major Land Resource Areas of New Mexico. This site has been mapped and correlated with soils in the following soil surveys. Eddy County Lea County and Chavez County.

Other references

Literature References:

1. Brooks, M.L., AND D.A. Pyke. 2001. Invasive plants and fire in the deserts of North America. Pages 1–14 in K.E.M. Galley and T.P. Wilson (eds.). Proceedings of the Invasive Species Workshop: the Role of Fire in the Control and Spread of Invasive Species.

2. Bunting, S.C., H.A. Wright, and L.F. Neuenschwander. 1980. Long-term effects of fire on cactus in the Southern Mixed Prairie of Texas. J. Range. Manage. 33: 85-88.

3. Laycock, W.A. 1982. Hail as an ecological factor in the increase of prickly pear cactus. p. 359-361. In: J.A. Smith and V.W. Hays (eds.) Proc. XIV Int. Grassland Congr. Westview Press, Boulder, Colo.

4. Vallentine, J.F. 1989. Range Developments and Improvements. 3rd Edition. Academic Press. San Diego, California.

5. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2001. Soil Quality Information Sheet. Rangeland Soil Quality—Physical and Biological Soil Crusts. Rangeland Sheet 6, [Online]. Available: http://www.statlab.iastate.edu/survey/SQI/range.html

Contributors

David Trujillo Don Sylvester

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

- 1. Number and extent of rills:
- 2. Presence of water flow patterns:
- 3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:
- 4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):
- 5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:
- 6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:
- 7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):
- 8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages most sites will show a range of values):
- 9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial

distribution on infiltration and runoff:

- 11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):
- 12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

- 13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):
- 14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):
- 15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annualproduction):
- 16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:
- 17. Perennial plant reproductive capability:

Wiser State #002 Flowline



ATTACHMENT 4



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

May 03, 2023

Monica Peppin Vertex Resources Services, Inc. 3101 Boyd Drive Carlsbad, NM 88220 TEL: (505) 506-0040 FAX

RE: Wiser 2

OrderNo.: 2304961

Dear Monica Peppin:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 2 sample(s) on 4/22/2023 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results, it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifiers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0901

Sincerely,

andy

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Analytical Report Lab Order 2304961

Date Reported: 5/3/2023

4/27/2023 4:07:40 PM

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client Sample ID: BS23-01 0 **CLIENT:** Vertex Resources Services, Inc. **Project:** Wiser 2 Collection Date: 4/20/2023 8:50:00 AM Lab ID: 2304961-001 Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 4/22/2023 7:30:00 AM Result **RL** Qual Units DF **Date Analyzed** Analyses **EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS** Analyst: PRD Diesel Range Organics (DRO) ND 9.5 mg/Kg 1 4/27/2023 4:44:56 AM Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) ND 48 mg/Kg 1 4/27/2023 4:44:56 AM 69-147 Surr: DNOP 84.9 %Rec 1 4/27/2023 4:44:56 AM **EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE** Analyst: CCM Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ND 4/28/2023 3:42:00 AM 4.8 mg/Kg 1 Surr: BFB 103 37.7-212 %Rec 1 4/28/2023 3:42:00 AM **EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES** Analyst: CCM Benzene ND 0.024 mg/Kg 4/28/2023 3:42:00 AM 1 Toluene ND 0.048 mg/Kg 1 4/28/2023 3:42:00 AM Ethylbenzene ND 0.048 mg/Kg 1 4/28/2023 3:42:00 AM Xylenes, Total ND 0.097 mg/Kg 1 4/28/2023 3:42:00 AM Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene 85.7 70-130 %Rec 1 4/28/2023 3:42:00 AM Analyst: SNS **EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS**

ND

60

ma/Ka

20

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

Chloride

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit POL Practical Quanitative Limit

% Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated. S

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в

Е Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Р Sample pH Not In Range

Reporting Limit RL

Page 1 of 6

Analytical Report Lab Order 2304961

Date Reported: 5/3/2023

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client Sample ID: BS23-02 0 **CLIENT:** Vertex Resources Services, Inc. **Project:** Wiser 2 Collection Date: 4/20/2023 8:55:00 AM Lab ID: 2304961-002 Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 4/22/2023 7:30:00 AM Result **RL** Qual Units DF **Date Analyzed** Analyses **EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS** Analyst: PRD **Diesel Range Organics (DRO)** ND 9.5 mg/Kg 1 4/27/2023 4:55:33 AM Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) ND 47 mg/Kg 1 4/27/2023 4:55:33 AM 69-147 Surr: DNOP 85.0 %Rec 1 4/27/2023 4:55:33 AM **EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE** Analyst: CCM Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ND 4/28/2023 4:04:00 AM 4.8 mg/Kg 1 Surr: BFB 91.9 37.7-212 %Rec 1 4/28/2023 4:04:00 AM **EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES** Analyst: CCM Benzene ND 0.024 mg/Kg 4/28/2023 4:04:00 AM 1 Toluene ND 0.048 mg/Kg 1 4/28/2023 4:04:00 AM Ethylbenzene ND 0.048 mg/Kg 1 4/28/2023 4:04:00 AM Xylenes, Total ND 0.096 mg/Kg 1 4/28/2023 4:04:00 AM Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene 84.3 70-130 %Rec 1 4/28/2023 4:04:00 AM Analyst: SNS **EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS** Chloride ND 60 4/27/2023 4:20:04 PM ma/Ka 20

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

D Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit POL

Practical Quanitative Limit S

% Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated.

- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в
- Е Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range

Reporting Limit RL

Page 2 of 6

Client: Project:	ertex Resources Services, Inc. <i>T</i> iser 2	
Sample ID: MB-746	SampType: mblk TestCode: EPA Method 300.0	0: Anions
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 74614 RunNo: 96377	
Prep Date: 4/27/2	Analysis Date: 4/27/2023 SeqNo: 3490687 Unit	its: mg/Kg
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit Hig	ghLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Chloride	ND 1.5	
Sample ID: LCS-74	4 SampType: Ics TestCode: EPA Method 300.0	0: Anions
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 74614 RunNo: 96377	
Prep Date: 4/27/2	Analysis Date: 4/27/2023 SeqNo: 3490688 Unit	its: mg/Kg
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit Hig	ghLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Chloride	14 1.5 15.00 0 94.3 90	110

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated. S
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в
- Е
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range

2304961

03-May-23

WO#:

- Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- Reporting Limit RL

Page 3 of 6

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

	Vertex Resources Services, Inc. Wiser 2													
Sample ID: LCS-74583	SampT	SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range Orga												
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	n ID: 74	583	R	RunNo: 96315									
Prep Date: 4/26/2023	Analysis D	ate: 4/	26/2023	S	eqNo: 34	489050	Units: mg/Kg							
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	41	10	50.00	0	82.6	61.9	130							
Surr: DNOP	4.4		5.000		88.6	69	147							
Sample ID: MB-74583	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range Organics										
Client ID: PBS	Batch	n ID: 74	583	RunNo: 96315										
Prep Date: 4/26/2023	Analysis D	ate: 4/	26/2023	S	489053	Units: mg/Kg								
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10												
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	50												
Surr: DNOP	9.3		10.00		92.8	69	147							

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated.
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

2304961

03-May-23

WO#:

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

	Vertex Resources Services, Inc. Wiser 2											
Sample ID: Ics-74569	SampT	ype: LC	S	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Rang	e			
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	D: 74	569	F	lunNo: 9							
Prep Date: 4/26/2023	Analysis D	ate: 4/	27/2023	S	eqNo: 34	490359	Units: mg/Kg					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	21	5.0	25.00	0	84.2	70	130					
Surr: BFB	1900		1000		195	37.7	212					
Sample ID: mb-74569	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range								
Client ID: PBS	Batch	ID: 74	569	F								
Prep Date: 4/26/2023	Analysis D	ate: 4/	27/2023	S	eqNo: 34	490360	Units: mg/K					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0										
Surr: BFB	930		1000		92.9	37.7	212					

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated.
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 5 of 6

2304961

03-May-23

WO#:

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

	rtex Resources S ser 2	Services,	Inc.										
Sample ID: Ics-74569	Samp	Гуре: LC	S	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles					
Client ID: LCSS	Batc	h ID: 74	569	F	RunNo: 9	6355							
Prep Date: 4/26/2023	Analysis [Date: 4/2	27/2023	S	SeqNo: 34	490404	Units: mg/K	ğ					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Benzene	0.89	0.025	1.000	0	88.6	80	120						
Toluene	0.88	0.050	1.000	0	87.5	80	120						
Ethylbenzene	0.85	0.050	1.000	0	85.0	80	120						
Xylenes, Total	2.5	0.10	3.000	0	84.0	80	120						
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	e 0.87		1.000		87.5	70	130						
Sample ID: mb-74569	Samp	Гуре: МЕ	BLK	Tes									
Client ID: PBS	ent ID: PBS Batch ID: 74569					RunNo: 96355							
Prep Date: 4/26/2023	te: 4/26/2023 Analysis Date: 4/27/2023 SeqNo: 3490405					490405	Units: mg/K	ģ					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Benzene	ND	0.025											
Toluene	ND	0.050											
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050											
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10											
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	9.86		1.000		85.8	70	130						

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated.
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 6 of 6

2304961

WO#:

2. How was the sample delivered? Corr Log In 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes 9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA? Yes 10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes 14. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Yes	es □ ourier es ☑ es ☑ s ☑ s ☑ s □ s □	<i>(Jian a</i> <i>Jian a</i> <i>No</i> No No No No No No No No	Not Present	
Completed By: Juan Rojas 4/22/2023 7:44:34 AM Reviewed By: MM 4/24/23 Chain of Custody Yes 1. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes 2. How was the sample delivered? Con Log In S 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes 9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA? Yes 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes	s	No 🗹 No 🗌 No 🗍 No 🗍 No 🗍 No 🖓	Not Present	
Reviewed By: MP 4/24/23 Chain of Custody Yes 1. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes 2. How was the sample delivered? Cor Log In Yes 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes 9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA?	s	No 🗹 No 🗌 No 🗍 No 🗍 No 🗍 No 🖓	Not Present	
1. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes 2. How was the sample delivered? Con 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes 9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA?	s	No	NA 🗌	
2. How was the sample delivered? Cor Log In 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes 9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA?	s	No	NA 🗌	
Log In 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes 9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA?	es ✔ es ✔ es ✔ s ✔ s ✔ s ₽ s ₽	No	NA 🗌	
3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes 9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA?	es ▼ es ▼ s ▼ s ■ s □	No	NA 🗌	
5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes 9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA?	s ✔ s ✔ s ↓	No 🗌 No 🗍 No 🔽	NA 🗌	
6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes 9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA?	s 🗹 s 🔽 s 🗌	No 🗌 No 🗍 No 🗹	NA 🗌	
7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes 9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA?	s 🗹 s 🗌	No 🗌 No 🗹		
8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes 9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA?	s 🗌	No 🗹		
9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA?	s 🗌	_		
10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes 2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes 3. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes 4. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Yes		No 🗌	_	
11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes [2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes [3. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes [4. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Yes				
(Note discrepancies on chain of custody)[2] Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?[3] Is it clear what analyses were requested?[4] Were all holding times able to be met?(If no, notify customer for authorization.)	s 🗆	No 🗹	# of preserved	
2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes 3. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes 4. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Yes	s 🔽	No	bottles checked for pH: (<2 or >12 unless performed)	ed)
[3] Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes [4]. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Yes	s 🔽	No 🗌	Adjusted?	
(If no, notify customer for authorization.)		No 🗌		10
	s 🔽	No 🗌	Checked by: JNY 27	2/23
Special Handling (if applicable)				
15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes	es 🗌	No	NA 🗹	
Person Notified: Date	-			
· · · ·	Mail 🗌	Phone 🗌 Fa	ax 🗌 In Person	
Regarding: Client Instructions:				
16. Additional remarks:				
Client missing mailing address,phone number and email address on	n COC. J	IR 4/22/23		
17. <u>Cooler Information</u>				
Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal I	Date	Signed By		
1 0.3 Good No Morty				
Page 1 of 1				
rage 1 01 1				

Page 58 of 60

-
-
Sec.
\sim
11
\sim
00
10
C1
3
Ci.
0
~
\mathbf{n}
0
The second second
<hr/>
•••
-
1
()
-
\frown
0
- 2
0
a
- 5
0
C 2
5
ec

6
4
0
6
5
e
20
à

		ANAL ANAL			Tel 505-345-3975	Analysis	(0	۵۰ ⁴ 20 SIW2 ۵ (805 ۲ (805 ۲)	1) - 8270 - 8270 - 8270 - 4.1) - DRC - 8082 I		5D(G sticide 831(Meta Meta Mo A (AC	Container Preservative HEAL No. Type and # Type		. 402 ice _						Received by: Via: Date Time Remarks: Multiply Via: Date Time Remarks: Received by: Via: Date Time
Time Around Time.		Ì	Project Name:	Viser			^o roject Manager:		Ĕ	۲.	t of Coolers: Coolers: Cooler Temp(Including ci	Sec. 255.5						the second se	A STATE OF STATE	m
	stody kecord	Yertex		Mailing Address:		Phone #:	email or Fax#:	QA/QC Package:	creditation:			Date Time Matrix Sample Name	, 8:50 Soil 13523-01 0'	8:55 5:1 8523-02 0'						Date: Time: Relinquished by:

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 Phone: (575) 393-6161 Fax: (575) 393-0720 District II

811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 Phone:(575) 748-1283 Fax:(575) 748-9720

District III

1000 Rio Brazos Rd., Aztec, NM 87410 Phone:(505) 334-6178 Fax:(505) 334-6170

District IV 1220 S. St Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 Phone:(505) 476-3470 Fax:(505) 476-3462

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

CONDITIONS

Operator:	OGRID:
Tascosa Energy Partners, L.L.C	329748
901 W. Missouri Ave	Action Number:
Midland, TX 79701	220452
	Action Type:
	[C-141] Release Corrective Action (C-141)

CONDITIONS

Created By Condition

We have received your closure report and final C-141 for Incident #NAPP2314526721 WISER #2 FLOWLINE, thank you. This closure is approved. rhamlet 10/18/2023

CONDITIONS

Action 220452

Condition Date