

## **BACKGROUND SOIL CHLORIDE INVESTIGATION REPORT**

INEX #3 #NAPP2110635348 UNIT A, SECTION 26, TOWNSHIP 18S, RANGE 26E EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO 32.724228°, -104.346278°

**PREPARED FOR:** 

EOG RESOURCES, INC. ARTESIA DIVISION 105 S 4TH STREET ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO 88210

PREPARED BY:

RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, LLC P.O. BOX 201179 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78720

**NOVEMBER 14, 2023** 

Nones R Wordbur

James R. Woodburn, P.G. (TX) Project Geoscientist

Patrick K. Finn, P.G. (TX) Project Geoscientist

William Kierdorf, REM Project Manager

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	REPORT SUMMARY1
2.0	PROJECT BACKGROUND1
3.0	USDA NRCS SOIL DATA
4.0	BACKGROUND SOIL CHLORIDE INVESTIGATIONS
4.1	Assessment Methodologies2
4.2	Laboratory Analytical Results3
5.0	ESTIMATIONS OF BACKGROUND THRESHOLD VALUES4
5.1	Potential Outliers5
5.2	Statistical Values Used to Represent Background5
5.3	Data Distribution6
5.4	ProUCL Results – Proposed Site-Specific Background Levels
6.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## FIGURES

- Site Location Topographic Map
- Site Location Area Map
- Background Sample Location Map (Inex #3)

## TABLES

- Background Soil Sample BTEX, TPH & Chloride Analytical Data Inex #3 Pit
- Proposed Site-Specific Background Soil Chloride Concentrations

## ATTACHMENTS

- Attachment 1 Laboratory Reports and Chain-of-Custody Documentation
- Attachment 2 USDA NRCS Custom Soil Resource Report
- Attachment 3 Historic Aerial Photographs
- Attachment 4 ProUCL Software Results
- Attachment 5 Photographic Documentation



## BACKGROUND SOIL CHLORIDE INVESTIGATION REPORT INEX #3 #NAPP2110635348 UNIT A, SECTION 26, TOWNSHIP 18S, RANGE 26E EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

## 1.0 REPORT SUMMARY

This report provides the results of a background soil chloride study conducted within the vicinity of the historic Inex #3 well pad area (Site) in the Public Land Survey System (PLSS) Unit A, Section 26, Township 18 South and Range 26 East. The Site, located at GPS coordinates 32.724228, -104.346278, is associated with Incident No. nAPP2110635348. The background soil chloride study was conducted at the Site which is currently being assessed by EOG Resources, Inc. (EOG).

EOG has retained Ranger Environmental Services, LLC (Ranger) to assist in the on-going assessment and remediation efforts at the subject Site. Since the available soil assessment data from this site is suggestive of naturally occurring elevated soil chloride concentrations, EOG requested Ranger to conduct a background soil chloride study to determine if naturally occurring elevated soil chloride concentrations were present. This report has been prepared to present the findings of the background soil chloride study at the Inex #3 Site. The results of the background study has documented that elevated soil chloride concentrations are present in the soils located outside of the areas of apparent impact at the Site.

## 2.0 PROJECT BACKGROUND

The Inex #3 well pad is located in Eddy County approximately 8.7 miles southeast of Artesia, New Mexico. During plugging and abandonment of the historic well at the Site, an area of concern related to an unknown produced water impact was discovered in the vicinity of the well head location. Subsequently, Ranger has performed multiple assessments and has submitted a proposed remediation plan for the Site.

This report has been prepared to update the NMOCD with the findings of the November 2023 background soil investigation. This report also respectfully requests NMOCD to utilize the site-specific background chloride concentrations recommended from the assessment activities for the delineation and remediation of the subject Site in lieu of the 600 mg/Kg chloride concentration as presented in NMAC 19.15.29.12 Table 1 and the NMAC 19.15.29.13 NMAC Restoration, Reclamation, and Re-Vegetation Criteria.

A topographic and aerial map depicting the location of the subject site and surrounding areas are attached in the *Figures* Section.

STATE OF TEXAS PROFESSIONAL GEOSCIENTIST FIRM NO. 50140 • STATE OF TEXAS PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING FIRM NO. F-6160

P.O. BOX 201179 AUSTIN, TX 78720 OFFICE: 512/335-1785 FAX: 512/335-0527

Incident No. NAPP2110635348

## 3.0 USDA NRCS SOIL DATA

As part of the background study, Ranger reviewed the soil data available from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Web Soil Survey.

Per the USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey, the soils around the Inex #3 well pad area are comprised of the Reagan loam, saline, 0 to 1 percent slopes (Rf). These soils are reported to be slightly saline to strongly saline (4.0 to 16.0 mmhos/cm).

A copy of the USDA NRCS *Custom Soil Resource Report* prepared for this background soil chloride study is included for reference in *Attachment 2*.

## 4.0 BACKGROUND SOIL CHLORIDE INVESTIGATIONS

On November 6, 2023 and November 9, 2023, representatives of EOG and Ranger conducted the background soil chloride investigation at the Site. Below is a summary of the investigation methodologies and results.

### 4.1 Assessment Methodologies

In order to assess the background soil chloride concentrations, representatives of EOG and Ranger installed and sampled four 20-foot-deep test excavations in the vicinity of the Site on November 6, 2023. The test excavations were located on native soil outside of the areas of apparent impact from the well pad. Prior to installing the test excavations, Ranger reviewed historic aerial photographs to select tentative sampling locations which appeared to represent unaffected background areas where no obvious historic oil and gas (or other industrial) operations had occurred. A topographic map was also reviewed to assist in locating the test excavations in areas upgradient, downgradient, and cross-gradient to the Site. Attached is a *Site Location Topographic Map* in the *Figures* Section of this report. Copies of historic aerial photographs are provided in *Attachment 3*.

Upon completion of the aerial photograph and topographic map review activities, Ranger conducted field inspections at each sampling location to confirm there were no signs of historic disturbance, oil and gas operations, or any other obvious field indications of potential contaminant impacts. Each potential sampling location was observed to be in a natural condition with no observable contaminant impacts.

Subsequent to the completion of the above activities and confirmation that each sampling location appeared to be in a natural condition, EOG and Ranger personnel installed and sampled the four test excavations at the Site. The test excavations were completed using equipment capable of investigating soils to a depth of 20 feet below ground surface (bgs).

For the reasons discussed in Section 5, below, eight additional shallow background soil samples were collected on November 9, 2023. These samples were collected using a decontaminated hand auger.

During the test excavation and hand auger installation process, Ranger personnel collected soil samples at a minimum every two feet for both field screening purposes and for laboratory analysis. The field screening of the encountered soils was conducted by Ranger using an organic vapor



Background Soil Chloride Investigation Report Inex #3 Incident No. NAPP2110635348

monitor (OVM) and field chloride titration kit. While elevated field chloride readings were documented at the Site, none of the test excavations were found to contain elevated OVM readings, olfactory observations, discoloration, or other field indications of potential contaminant impacts.

As stated above, Ranger personnel collected soil samples every two feet for laboratory analysis. Since each test excavation was advanced to a depth of 20 feet bgs, a total of 10 soil samples were collected for laboratory analysis from each test excavation on November 6, 2023. During the November 9, 2023 supplemental soil sampling at the Site, Ranger personnel again collected soil samples every two feet. Since each hand auger soil boring was advanced to a depth of four feet bgs, a total of eight additional soil samples were collected for laboratory analysis during the November 9, 2023, sampling activities.

The soil samples were collected using new nitrile gloves and were containerized in sterile, laboratory-supplied containers. The sample jars were placed into multiple new Ziploc® bags and were immediately stored in a sample shuttle full of ice. The samples were managed using standard quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) and chain-of-custody procedures.

The soil samples collected for laboratory analysis were subsequently submitted to Cardinal Laboratories in Hobbs, New Mexico for chemical analysis. All samples collected during the assessment process were analyzed for chloride using Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method SM4500CI-B. Additionally, three soil samples collected from each test excavation on November 6, 2023, and one soil sample collected from each hand auger soil boring on November 9, 2023, were further analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) using EPA Method 8015 and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) using EPA Method 8021. These analyses were performed to further ensure the absence of any contaminant impacts at the test excavation locations.

A "Site Map" depicting the test excavations and hand auger locations at the Site is included in the *Figures* Section. Copies of the laboratory reports and chain of custody documentation for the background soil samples are included in *Attachment 1*. The attached *Background Soil Sample BTEX, TPH & Chloride Analytical Data* table provides a summary of the background soil sample results and is included in the *Tables* Section of this report. Photographic documentation of the completed field activities is provided in *Attachment 5*.

## 4.2 Laboratory Analytical Results

Upon review of the laboratory analytical results, elevated chloride concentrations were documented to be present in the background soils. All soil TPH and BTEX analytical results were documented to be below laboratory detection limits. As such, the results document that there are no indications of potential hydrocarbon impacts at the background soil sampling locations.

The background soil chloride analytical results for the Inex #3 site documented background soil chloride concentrations in the 0'-20' depth interval ranging from 32 to 1,500 mg/Kg. In the 0'-4' depth interval, the background soil chloride concentrations were documented to range from 32 to 1,500 mg/Kg. In the depth interval below four feet to the 20-foot test excavation termination depth, the background soil chloride concentrations were documented to range from 32 to 1,390 mg/Kg.



## 5.0 ESTIMATIONS OF BACKGROUND THRESHOLD VALUES

Soil background is an important factor to consider when assessing and remediating constituents commonly found in soil. For constituents such as chloride, regulatory closure criteria may be within the range of naturally occurring background concentrations. This appears to be the case at the subject Site since the 600 mg/Kg NMOCD regulatory closure/reclamation criteria for chloride is significantly below the upper range of background chloride concentrations documented from the investigations performed. As such, Ranger calculated site-specific representative background soil chloride concentrations (i.e., background threshold values or BTVs) for proposed usage in the development of the site delineation and remediation plans, and to help distinguish between contributions from the site-related impacts and the area background population, such that only a small portion of background concentrations exceed the threshold value. BTVs are usually used for site delineation purposes or point-by-point comparison to individual site data to identify contamination.

To calculate proposed background soil chloride concentrations at the Site, Ranger evaluated the site-specific soil chloride datasets using EPA ProUCL Version 5.1.0 statistical software. This statistical software was developed by the EPA for environmental applications for datasets both with and without non-detect observations. The ProUCL software incorporates statistical methods described in various U.S. EPA guidance documents to help make decisions at a site which are protective of human health and the environment. The software uses statistics to compute reliable estimates of specific population parameters.

To calculate the site-specific BTVs, the background soil data were first subdivided into two datasets – one for the 0'-4' soils subject to both the 19.15.29.12 NMAC Table 1 Closure Criteria and the 19.15.29.13 NMAC Reclamation Criteria, and one for the underlying soils (>4'-20') subject to the 19.15.29.12 NMAC Table 1 Closure Criteria. The ProUCL software was subsequently utilized to evaluate potential outliers, determine dataset distributions, and calculate BTVs from the datasets. Included in *Attachment 4* of this report are copies of the ProUCL calculations and box plots generated from the analytical results.

### Additional Sampling

It should be noted that following the receipt of the laboratory analytical results for the initial background soil samples collected at the Inex #3 Site on November 6, 2023, Ranger attempted to calculate a BTV for the 0'-4' depth interval using the ProUCL software. The ProUCL software indicated that there were not enough samples from the dataset to follow a discernible distribution apparently due to the high variance between the initial sample results. As such, Ranger personnel returned to the Site to collect eight additional 0'-4' background soil samples on November 9, 2023.

The chloride analytical results from the November 9, 2023 assessment were added to the initial 0'-4' dataset and run through the ProUCL software. With the inclusion of the new samples, the 16 soil samples were sufficient for the ProUCL software to calculate the site-specific BTV.

The *Background Sample Location Map* included in the *Figures* section illustrates the location of the November 9, 2023 soil boring locations.



## 5.1 <u>Potential Outliers</u>

In order to identify potential outliers, the ProUCL software was utilized to generate box plots of each dataset. As illustrated on the box plots (*Attachment 4*) in the >4'-20' depth interval, only one potential outlier was identified. The 1,390 mg/Kg soil chloride result from sample BG-3/14 was identified as a potential outlier. No potential outliers were identified from the soil dataset in the 0'-4' depth interval.

An outlier or an outlying observation refers to an observation that appears to deviate markedly in value from other measurements of the dataset in which it appears. A data point is not necessarily an outlier just because it is greatly larger or smaller in magnitude than anticipated. In practice, only outliers that are demonstrably erroneous or belonging to populations not representative of background conditions should be excluded from the background dataset. In background investigations, typical sources of error that can result in outliers include: (a) transcription error, (b) sampling error, (c) laboratory error, and (d) sampling of media not representative of background conditions.

Ranger evaluated the potential outlier and did not find any of the errors summarized above that could be used to misinterpret the background data. As discussed in Section 4.1 above, the soils which were sampled as part of the background study appear to be representative of background conditions. The test excavations were located outside of the areas of apparent impact associated with the historic pits, and no obvious historic oil and gas (or other industrial) operations appear to have occurred at the sampling locations. Each potential sampling location was observed to be in a natural condition with no obvious contaminant impacts. Since the potential outlier illustrated in the attached box plot was not found to be demonstrably erroneous or belonging to populations not representative of background conditions, the data was not excluded from the background dataset.

## 5.2 Statistical Values Used to Represent Background

Once the background datasets were established, Ranger evaluated various statistical values available for use as the BTV for site data comparison. Values commonly used to represent BTVs include the upper percentile, the upper prediction limit (UPL), the upper tolerance limit (UTL), and the upper simultaneous limit (USL). These are summarized below:

- **Upper Percentile:** An upper percentile is the value below which a specified percentage of observed background concentrations would fall. For example, the 95th percentile is the value below which 95% of observations may be found. Upper percentiles, when used for point-by-point comparison, can yield excessive false positive rates approaching 100%, which are exacerbated when dealing with small background datasets or background datasets consisting of multiple subpopulations.
- Upper Prediction Limit (UPL): The UPL is the value below which a specified number of future independent measurements (k) will fall, with a specified confidence level. For example, the 95% UPL of a single observation (k=1) is the concentration that theoretically will not be exceeded in a new or future measured background concentration with a 95% confidence level. Similar to the upper percentile, the use of UPL based on small background datasets (<50 measurements) with multiple subpopulations for point-by-point comparisons can lead to excessive false positive error rates.



- Upper Tolerance Limit (UTL): The UTL is the upper confidence limit (UCL) of an upper percentile of the observed values. A UTL is designated by its confidence and coverage. For example, a 95-95 UTL is the value below which 95% of the population will fall with 95% confidence. The 95-95 UTL has become the most common measure of BTV in practice.
- Upper Simultaneous Limit (USL): The USL represents a limit that no background concentration should exceed. The USL is specifically used to mitigate the issue of excessive false positive error rate in point-by-point comparisons. Since USLs represent an upper limit on the largest value of a dataset and can result in high false negative error rates, soil concentrations that represent actual contamination may not exceed the BTV. In other words, this could result in contaminated soils being considered as "background" soils.

Based upon the above, Ranger chose to utilize UTLs to establish the BTVs. Using UTLs is not likely to result in large false positive or false negative error rates. Ranger chose to utilize 95-95 UTLs representing the 95% upper confidence limit on the 95th percentile. As stated above, the 95-95 UTL has become the most common measure of BTV in practice.

## 5.3 Data Distribution

The ProUCL software was utilized to perform goodness of fit tests on the soil chloride datasets. ProUCL has goodness of fit tests for normal, lognormal, and gamma distributions. If data were determined to be normally distributed, then the 95-95 UTL assuming normal distribution was selected as the BTV. As summarized in the attached ProUCL spreadsheets, both datasets contained an assumed normal distribution. As such, Ranger selected these more conservative BTVs for proposed usage as the site-specific soil chloride background concentrations.

## 5.4 <u>ProUCL Results – Proposed Site-Specific Background Levels</u>

Below is a summary of the proposed site-specific background soil chloride concentrations (BTVs) calculated for the Site using the EPA ProUCL Version 5.1.0 statistical software. Copies of the ProUCL software BTV calculation spreadsheets are included in *Attachment 4*. It should be noted that in addition to the UTL values proposed for usage as the BTVs, the ProUCL spreadsheets also include (for reference) the upper percentile, UPL and USL calculations.

- Inex #3 (0'-4' Soils) This normally distributed soil chloride dataset contained no nondetects. The 95-95 UTL assuming normal distribution was calculated to be 1,751 mg/Kg.
- Inex #3 (>4'-20' Soils) This normally distributed soil chloride dataset contained no nondetects. The 95-95 UTL assuming normal distribution was calculated to be 1,242 mg/Kg.

Below is a summary of the proposed site-specific background soil chloride concentrations in table format. This table is also included in the *Tables* Section of the report.



Inex #3 Incident No. NAPP2110635348

Background Soil Chloride Investigation Report

.E   (	NGE OF SOIL CHLORIDE CENTRATIONS	ASSUMED DISTRIBUTION	PROPOSED SITE-SPECIFIC BACKGROUND SOIL CHLORIDE CONCENTRATION
	(mg/Kg) <sup>1</sup>	DISTRIBUTION	(95-95 UTL/BTV) <sup>2</sup> (mg/Kg)
3	32 – 1,500	Normal	1,751
	32 – 1,390	Normal	1,242
	'	32 – 1,390	' 32 – 1,390 Normal

#### Notes:

<sup>1</sup> Determined by the November 6, 2023 background soil chloride investigations conducted, and the additional background sampling on November 9, 2023.

<sup>2</sup> All UTL values were calculated using the assumed distribution.

## 6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- On November 6, 2023, representatives of EOG and Ranger conducted background soil chloride investigation activities at the subject Site in PLSS Township 18 South and Range 26 East. Additional background soil samples were collected on November 9, 2023.
- The USDA NRCS soil salinity data for the mapped soil unit at the Site (Reagan loam, saline, 0 to 1% slopes) indicated that saline soils were present ranging from very slightly saline (4.0 mmhos/cm) to strongly saline (16.0 mmhos/cm).
- To conduct the background soil studies, four 20-foot-deep test excavations were installed at background locations surrounding the Inex #3 well pad area, and soil samples were collected for laboratory analysis of chloride. Additional 0'-4' background soil samples were subsequently collected for laboratory analysis of chloride using a hand auger on November 9, 2023. Select soil samples from each test excavation and hand auger soil boring were further analyzed for TPH and BTEX to help ensure the absence of any contaminant impacts at the sampling locations.
- The analytical results from the background studies documented elevated background soil chloride concentrations with a maximum background chloride of 1,500 mg/Kg. All soil TPH and BTEX analytical results were documented to be below laboratory detection limits.
- Using the background soil chloride analytical data, Ranger calculated proposed sitespecific background soil chloride concentrations (BTVs) for proposed usage in the development of the site delineation and remediation plans, and to help distinguish between contributions from the site-related impacts and the natural background conditions. The proposed background soil chloride concentrations were calculated using EPA ProUCL Version 5.1.0 statistical software.
- To calculate the site-specific BTVs, the background soil data were first subdivided into two datasets one for the 0'-4' soils subject to both the 19.15.29.12 NMAC Table 1 Closure



Background Soil Chloride Investigation Report Inex #3 Incident No. NAPP2110635348

Criteria and the 19.15.29.13 NMAC Reclamation Criteria, and one for the underlying soils (>4'-20') subject to the 19.15.29.12 NMAC Table 1 Closure Criteria. The ProUCL software was subsequently utilized to evaluate potential outliers, determine dataset distributions, and calculate BTVs from the datasets.

- Various statistical values available for use as the BTV were evaluated for site data comparison. Ranger chose to utilize UTLs to establish the BTVs. Using UTLs is not likely to result in large false positive or false negative error rates. Ranger chose to utilize 95-95 UTLs representing the 95% upper confidence limit on the 95th percentile. The 95-95 UTL has become the most common measure of BTV in practice.
- The proposed site-specific background soil chloride concentrations (BTVs) are summarized in the attached *Proposed Site-Specific Background Soil Chloride Concentrations* table. These site-specific background levels are recommended to be utilized in the delineation and remediation of the subject site in lieu of the default 600 mg/Kg 19.15.29.12 NMAC Table 1 Closure Criteria and 19.15.29.13 NMAC Reclamation Criteria for chloride since the upper range of background soil chloride concentrations documented at the Site was significantly higher than this default cleanup level.



# **FIGURES**

•Site Location Topographic Map

•Site Location Area Map

Background Sample Location Map (Inex #3)

Received by OCD: 12/26/2023 11:09:12 AM



Released to Imaging: 12/26/2023 11:16:30 AM

Received by OCD: 12/26/2023 11:09:12 AM



Released to Imaging: 12/26/2023 11:16:30 AM



Released to Imaging: 12/26/2023 11:16:30 AM

## **TABLES**

## •Background Soil Sample BTEX, TPH & Chloride Analytical Data - Inex **#3**

## Proposed Site-Specific Background Soil Chloride Concentrations

### BACKGROUND SOIL SAMPLE BTEX (EPA 8021), TPH (SW 8015) & CHLORIDE (EPA 300) ANALYTICAL DATA EOG RESOURCES, INC.

INEX #3

Released to Imaging: 12/26/2023 11:16:30 AM

				All valu	ies presente	d in parts per	million (mg	g/Kg)					
SAMPLE ID	DATE	DEPTH (FT)	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL- BENZENE	TOTAL XYLENES	TOTAL BTEX	TPH GRO C6-C10	TPH DRO C10-C28	TPH MRO C28-C36	TPH (GRO+DRO)	TPH (GRO+DRO+ MRO)	CHLORIDE
Background Assessment Sa	Imples												
BG-1/2	11/6/2023	2'	<0.050	< 0.050	<0.050	<0.150	<0.300	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	608
BG-1/4	11/6/2023	4'											560
BG-1/6	11/6/2023	6'											368
BG-1/8	11/6/2023	8'											528
BG-1/10	11/6/2023	10'											592
BG-1/12	11/6/2023	12'	<0.050	< 0.050	<0.050	<0.150	<0.300	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	720
BG-1/14	11/6/2023	14'											848
BG-1/16	11/6/2023	16'	< 0.050	< 0.050	< 0.050	<0.150	<0.300	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	896
BG-1/18	11/6/2023	18'											752
BG-1/20	11/6/2023	20'											496
BG-1A/2	11/9/2023	2'	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.150	<0.300	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	672
BG-1A/4	11/9/2023	4'											800
	11/0/2020												
BG-2/2	11/6/2023	2'	< 0.050	< 0.050	<0.050	<0.150	<0.300	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	32
BG-2/4	11/6/2023	4'											32
BG-2/6	11/6/2023	6'											48
BG-2/8	11/6/2023	8'											160
BG-2/10	11/6/2023	10'	<0.050	< 0.050	<0.050	<0.150	<0.300	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	192
BG-2/12	11/6/2023	12'											368
BG-2/14	11/6/2023	14'											544
BG-2/16	11/6/2023	16'											624
BG-2/18	11/6/2023	18'											544
BG-2/20	11/6/2023	20'	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.150	<0.300	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	448
BG-2A/2	11/9/2023	2'	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.150	<0.300	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	192
BG-2A/4	11/9/2023	4'											736
002101	11/0/2020		Į	ļ	ļ	ļļ						ļ	
BG-3/2	11/6/2023	2'	< 0.050	< 0.050	<0.050	<0.150	< 0.300	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	32
BG-3/4	11/6/2023	4'											32
BG-3/6	11/6/2023	6'											32
BG-3/8	11/6/2023	8'											48
BG-3/10	11/6/2023	10'											464
BG-3/12	11/6/2023	12'	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.150	<0.300	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	1,170
BG-3/14	11/6/2023	14'											1,390
BG-3/16	11/6/2023	16'	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.150	<0.300	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	704

## BACKGROUND SOIL SAMPLE BTEX (EPA 8021), TPH (SW 8015) & CHLORIDE (EPA 300) ANALYTICAL DATA EOG RESOURCES, INC.

INEX #3

Released to Imaging: 12/26/2023 11:16:30 AM

All values presented in parts per million (mg/Kg)													
SAMPLE ID	DATE	DEPTH (FT)	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL- BENZENE	TOTAL XYLENES	TOTAL BTEX	TPH GRO C6-C10	TPH DRO C10-C28	TPH MRO C28-C36	TPH (GRO+DRO)	TPH (GRO+DRO+ MRO)	CHLORIDE
BG-3/18	11/6/2023	18'											368
BG-3/20	11/6/2023	20'											384
BG-3A/2	11/9/2023	2'	<0.050	< 0.050	< 0.050	<0.150	<0.300	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	1,020
BG-3A/4	11/9/2023	4'											800
BG-4/2	11/6/2023	2'											864
BG-4/4	11/6/2023	4'											816
BG-4/6	11/6/2023	6'	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.150	<0.300	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	1,090
BG-4/8	11/6/2023	8'											752
BG-4/10	11/6/2023	10'	< 0.050	< 0.050	<0.050	<0.150	<0.300	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	656
BG-4/12	11/6/2023	12'											496
BG-4/14	11/6/2023	14'											720
BG-4/16	11/6/2023	16'	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.150	<0.300	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	560
BG-4/18	11/6/2023	18'											528
BG-4/20	11/6/2023	20'											592
BG-4A/2	11/9/2023	2'	< 0.050	< 0.050	<0.050	<0.150	<0.300	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	1,200
BG-4A/4	11/9/2023	4'											1,500
19.15.29.12 NMAC Table 1 Impacted by a Release (GW Reclamatio	<50') & 19.15.2		10				50					100	600
Notes: I. Results exceeding the Table <sup>•</sup>	I Criteria are pr	esented in bo	ld type and ar	e highlighted	yellow.								

PI	PROPOSED SITE-SPECIFIC BACKGROUND SOIL CHLORIDE CONCENTRATIONS											
SITE	SAMPLE DEPTH (ft)	RANGE OF SOIL CHLORIDE CONCENTRATIONS (mg/Kg) <sup>1</sup>	ASSUMED DISTRIBUTION	PROPOSED SITE-SPECIFIC BACKGROUND SOIL CHLORIDE CONCENTRATION (95-95 UTL/BTV) <sup>2</sup> (mg/Kg)								
Inex #3	0'-4'	32 - 1,500	Normal	1,751								
111ex #5	6'-20'	32 - 1,390	Normal	1,242								

### Notes:

Released to Imaging: 12/26/2023 11:16:30 AM

<sup>1</sup> Determined by the November 6 - 9, 2023 background soil chloride investigations conducted at the subject site.

<sup>2</sup> All UTL values were calculated using the assumed distribution.

# ATTACHMENT 1 – LABORATORY REPORTS AND CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY DOCUMENTATION



November 08, 2023

WILL KIERDORF

RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

PO BOX 201179

AUSTIN, TX 78729

RE: INEX #3

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 11/07/23 9:09.

Cardinal Laboratories is accredited through Texas NELAP under certificate number T104704398-22-15. Accreditation applies to drinking water, non-potable water and solid and chemical materials. All accredited analytes are denoted by an asterisk (\*). For a complete list of accredited analytes and matrices visit the TCEQ website at <a href="https://www.tceq.texas.gov/field/ga/lab\_accred\_certif.html">www.tceq.texas.gov/field/ga/lab\_accred\_certif.html</a>.

Cardinal Laboratories is accreditated through the State of Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment for:

Method EPA 552.2	Haloacetic Acids (HAA-5)
Method EPA 524.2	Total Trihalomethanes (TTHM)
Method EPA 524.4	Regulated VOCs (V1, V2, V3)

Accreditation applies to public drinking water matrices.

This report meets NELAP requirements and is made up of a cover page, analytical results, and a copy of the original chain-of-custody. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Celey D. Keine

Celey D. Keene Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/07/2023	Sampling Date:	11/06/2023
Reported:	11/08/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

#### Sample ID: BG - 1/2' (H236083-01)

BTEX 8021B	mg/kg		Analyze	d By: JH/					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifie
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.16	108	2.00	8.74	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.04	102	2.00	8.71	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.19	109	2.00	8.65	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	11/07/2023	ND	6.50	108	6.00	9.10	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	11/07/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	95.3	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyzed By: AC						
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	608	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	7.69	
TPH 8015M	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND	211	105	200	2.76	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND	194	97.0	200	2.87	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	75.1	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	66.7	% 49.1-14	0						

#### Cardinal Laboratories

#### \*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including, without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claims based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the sample identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal Laboratories.

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/07/2023	Sampling Date:	11/06/2023
Reported:	11/08/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

#### Sample ID: BG - 1/4' (H236083-02)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B m		/kg Analyzed By: AC							
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	560	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	7.69	

#### Sample ID: BG - 1/6' (H236083-03)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B mg/kg			Analyzed By: AC						
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	368	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	7.69	

#### Sample ID: BG - 1/8' (H236083-04)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyzed By: AC						
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	528	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	7.69	

#### Sample ID: BG - 1/10' (H236083-05)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	592	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	7.69	

#### Cardinal Laboratories

\*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including, without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the samples identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal Lionatorites.

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/07/2023	Sampling Date:	11/06/2023
Reported:	11/08/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

#### Sample ID: BG - 1/12' (H236083-06)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: JH/					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.16	108	2.00	8.74	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.04	102	2.00	8.71	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.19	109	2.00	8.65	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	11/07/2023	ND	6.50	108	6.00	9.10	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	11/07/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	95.1	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	'kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	720	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	7.69	
TPH 8015M	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND	211	105	200	2.76	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND	194	97.0	200	2.87	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	77.8	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	69.6	% 49.1-14	8						

#### Cardinal Laboratories

#### \*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including, without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the sample identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal Laboratories.

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/07/2023	Sampling Date:	11/06/2023
Reported:	11/08/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

#### Sample ID: BG - 1/14' (H236083-07)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	848	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	7.69	

#### **Cardinal Laboratories**

\*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including, without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claims based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the sample identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal Laboratories.

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/07/2023	Sampling Date:	11/06/2023
Reported:	11/08/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

#### Sample ID: BG - 1/16' (H236083-08)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH/					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.16	108	2.00	8.74	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.04	102	2.00	8.71	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.19	109	2.00	8.65	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	11/07/2023	ND	6.50	108	6.00	9.10	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	11/07/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	95.2	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	896	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	7.69	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND	211	105	200	2.76	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND	194	97.0	200	2.87	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	80.5	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	72.1	% 49.1-14	8						

#### Cardinal Laboratories

\*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including, without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the sample identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal Laboratories.

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/07/2023	Sampling Date:	11/06/2023
Reported:	11/08/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

#### Sample ID: BG - 1/18' (H236083-09)

Chloride, SM4500CI-B mg/kg Analyte Result Reporting Limit		Analyzed By: AC							
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	752	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	7.69	

#### Sample ID: BG - 1/20' (H236083-10)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	496	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	7.69	

#### Cardinal Laboratories

\*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including, whother is subsidiaries, affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the samples identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal Laboratories.

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/07/2023	Sampling Date:	11/06/2023
Reported:	11/08/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

#### Sample ID: BG - 2/2' (H236083-11)

BTEX 8021B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH/					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.16	108	2.00	8.74	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.04	102	2.00	8.71	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.19	109	2.00	8.65	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	11/07/2023	ND	6.50	108	6.00	9.10	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	11/07/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	95.0	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyzed By: AC						
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	32.0	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	7.69	
TPH 8015M	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND	211	105	200	2.76	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND	194	97.0	200	2.87	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	86.0	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	74.2	% 49.1-14	8						

#### Cardinal Laboratories

#### \*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including, without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claims based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the sample identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal Laboratories.

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/07/2023	Sampling Date:	11/06/2023
Reported:	11/08/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

#### Sample ID: BG - 2/4' (H236083-12)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	32.0	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	7.69	

#### Sample ID: BG - 2/6' (H236083-13)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	48.0	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	7.69	

#### Sample ID: BG - 2/8' (H236083-14)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	160	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	7.69	

#### **Cardinal Laboratories**

\*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including, without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the samples identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal Laboratories.

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/07/2023	Sampling Date:	11/06/2023
Reported:	11/08/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

#### Sample ID: BG - 2/10' (H236083-15)

BTEX 8021B	mg,	kg	Analyze	d By: JH/					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.16	108	2.00	8.74	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.04	102	2.00	8.71	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.19	109	2.00	8.65	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	11/07/2023	ND	6.50	108	6.00	9.10	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	11/07/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	97.1	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	'kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	192	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	7.69	
TPH 8015M	mg,	'kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND	211	105	200	2.76	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND	194	97.0	200	2.87	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	86.4	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	77.0	% 49.1-14	8						

#### Cardinal Laboratories

\*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including, without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the sample identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal Laboratories.

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/07/2023	Sampling Date:	11/06/2023
Reported:	11/08/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

#### Sample ID: BG - 2/12' (H236083-16)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	368	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	7.69	

#### Sample ID: BG - 2/14' (H236083-17)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	544	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	3.77	

#### Sample ID: BG - 2/16' (H236083-18)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	624	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	3.77	

#### Sample ID: BG - 2/18' (H236083-19)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	544	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	3.77	

#### **Cardinal Laboratories**

\*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including, without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the samples identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal Lionatorites.

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/07/2023	Sampling Date:	11/06/2023
Reported:	11/08/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

#### Sample ID: BG - 2/20' (H236083-20)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: JH/					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.16	108	2.00	8.74	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.04	102	2.00	8.71	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.19	109	2.00	8.65	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	11/07/2023	ND	6.50	108	6.00	9.10	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	11/07/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	95.9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	'kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	448	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	3.77	
TPH 8015M	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND	211	105	200	2.76	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND	194	97.0	200	2.87	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	87.8	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	79.0	% 49.1-14	8						

#### Cardinal Laboratories

#### \*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including, without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claims based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the sample identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal Laboratories.

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/07/2023	Sampling Date:	11/06/2023
Reported:	11/08/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

#### Sample ID: BG - 3/2' (H236083-21)

BTEX 8021B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH/					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.16	108	2.00	8.74	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.04	102	2.00	8.71	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.19	109	2.00	8.65	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	11/07/2023	ND	6.50	108	6.00	9.10	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	11/07/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	97.4	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	32.0	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	3.77	
TPH 8015M	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND	211	105	200	2.76	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND	194	97.0	200	2.87	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	78.8	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	69.3	% 49.1-14	8						

#### Cardinal Laboratories

\*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including, without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the sample identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal Laboratories.

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/07/2023	Sampling Date:	11/06/2023
Reported:	11/08/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

#### Sample ID: BG - 3/4' (H236083-22)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	32.0	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	3.77	

#### Sample ID: BG - 3/6' (H236083-23)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	32.0	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	3.77	

#### Sample ID: BG - 3/8' (H236083-24)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	48.0	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	3.77	

#### Sample ID: BG - 3/10' (H236083-25)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	464	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	3.77	

#### Cardinal Laboratories

\*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including, without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the samples identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal Lionatorites.

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/07/2023	Sampling Date:	11/06/2023
Reported:	11/08/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

#### Sample ID: BG - 3/12' (H236083-26)

BTEX 8021B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH/					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.16	108	2.00	8.74	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.04	102	2.00	8.71	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.19	109	2.00	8.65	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	11/07/2023	ND	6.50	108	6.00	9.10	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	11/07/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	96.7	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	1170	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	3.77	
TPH 8015M	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND	211	105	200	2.76	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND	194	97.0	200	2.87	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	91.5	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	80.7	% 49.1-14	8						

#### Cardinal Laboratories

#### \*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including, without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the sample identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal Laboratories.

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/07/2023	Sampling Date:	11/06/2023
Reported:	11/08/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

#### Sample ID: BG - 3/14' (H236083-27)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	1390	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	3.77	

#### **Cardinal Laboratories**

\*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including, without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claims based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the sample identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal Laboratories.

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/07/2023	Sampling Date:	11/06/2023
Reported:	11/08/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

#### Sample ID: BG - 3/16' (H236083-28)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH/					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.16	108	2.00	8.74	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.04	102	2.00	8.71	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.19	109	2.00	8.65	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	11/07/2023	ND	6.50	108	6.00	9.10	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	11/07/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	97.4	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B mg/kg		′kg	Analyzed By: AC						
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	704	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	3.77	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND	211	105	200	2.76	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND	194	97.0	200	2.87	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	96.1	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	85.8	% 49.1-14	8						

#### Cardinal Laboratories

#### \*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including, without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the sample identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal Laboratories.

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager


RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/07/2023	Sampling Date:	11/06/2023
Reported:	11/08/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

### Sample ID: BG - 3/18' (H236083-29)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	368	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	3.77	

### Sample ID: BG - 3/20' (H236083-30)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	′kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	384	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	3.77	

### Sample ID: BG - 4/2' (H236083-31)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	864	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	3.77	

### Sample ID: BG - 4/4' (H236083-32)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	816	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	3.77	

### Cardinal Laboratories

\*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/07/2023	Sampling Date:	11/06/2023
Reported:	11/08/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

### Sample ID: BG - 4/6' (H236083-33)

BTEX 8021B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH/					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.16	108	2.00	8.74	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.04	102	2.00	8.71	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.19	109	2.00	8.65	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	11/07/2023	ND	6.50	108	6.00	9.10	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	11/07/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	97.8	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	1090	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	3.77	
TPH 8015M	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND	211	105	200	2.76	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND	194	97.0	200	2.87	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	86.2	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	75.8	% 49.1-14	8						

### Cardinal Laboratories

\*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/07/2023	Sampling Date:	11/06/2023
Reported:	11/08/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

### Sample ID: BG - 4/8' (H236083-34)

Chloride, SM4500CI-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	752	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	3.77	

### **Cardinal Laboratories**

\*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/07/2023	Sampling Date:	11/06/2023
Reported:	11/08/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

### Sample ID: BG - 4/10' (H236083-35)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH/					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.16	108	2.00	8.74	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.04	102	2.00	8.71	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.19	109	2.00	8.65	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	11/07/2023	ND	6.50	108	6.00	9.10	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	11/07/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	101 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	656	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	3.77	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND	211	105	200	2.76	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND	194	97.0	200	2.87	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	71.9	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	64.5	% 49.1-14	8						

### Cardinal Laboratories

\*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/07/2023	Sampling Date:	11/06/2023
Reported:	11/08/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

### Sample ID: BG - 4/12' (H236083-36)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	496	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	432	108	400	3.77	

### Sample ID: BG - 4/14' (H236083-37)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	720	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	416	104	400	0.00	

### Cardinal Laboratories

\*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/07/2023	Sampling Date:	11/06/2023
Reported:	11/08/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

### Sample ID: BG - 4/16' (H236083-38)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: JH/					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.16	108	2.00	8.74	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.04	102	2.00	8.71	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/07/2023	ND	2.19	109	2.00	8.65	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	11/07/2023	ND	6.50	108	6.00	9.10	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	11/07/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	95.7	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	'kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	560	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	416	104	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	'kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND	213	106	200	0.467	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND	198	98.9	200	2.66	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	11/07/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	89.9	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	83.3	% 49.1-14	8						

### Cardinal Laboratories

### \*=Accredited Analyte

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/07/2023	Sampling Date:	11/06/2023
Reported:	11/08/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

### Sample ID: BG - 4/18' (H236083-39)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	528	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	416	104	400	0.00	

### Sample ID: BG - 4/20' (H236083-40)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	592	16.0	11/07/2023	ND	416	104	400	0.00	

### Cardinal Laboratories

\*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



### **Notes and Definitions**

ND	Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
**	Samples not received at proper temperature of 6°C or below.
***	Insufficient time to reach temperature.
-	Chloride by SM4500Cl-B does not require samples be received at or below 6°C

Samples reported on an as received basis (wet) unless otherwise noted on report

### Cardinal Laboratories

### \*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager

### Page 45 of 185



(575) 393-2326 FAX (575) 393-2476

# CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY AND ANALYSIS REQUEST

ANALYSIS

REQUEST

Project Manager: Phone #: City: Austin Company Name: Project Name: Inex #3 Project #: Sampler Name: Project Location: Address: analyses. All claims including those for negligence and any other service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consi Ha30083 Relinquished By: Relinquished By: LEASE NOTE: Liability and FOR LAB USE ONLY Lab I.D. Delivered By: (Circle One) C SUD GIN 000 C 5375 512-497-1556 BG-1/8 BG-1/6 BG-1/4" BG-1/2 BG-1/20 BG-1/18 BG-1/16 BG-1/14 BG-1/12 BG-1/10 Will Kierdorf Ranger Environmental Services, Inc. Rural Eddy County, NM PO Box 201179 ages. Cardinal's Martinez Sample I.D. 5.72 Project Owner: Fax #: ental damages, including without limitation State: Time: Date: Uate: |1-67-23 200 sunder by ¥ shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within 30 days after completion of the apply including without limitation, busivess interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, and Alla Zip: 78720 512-335-0527 G G G G G G (G)RAB OR (C)ON G G G Received By G Received # CONTAINERS ---------<u>\_\_</u> GROUNDWATER Qool Intact ₽ WASTEWATER Sample Condition SOIL MATRIX × × × × × × ×  $\times$ × × OIL SLUDGE P.O. #: OTHER State: NM Phone #: 575-748-1471 Fax #: City: Artesia Address: 104 S. 4th Street Attn: Chase Settle Company: ACID/BASE PRESERV. ICE / COOL  $\times$  $\times$ × × × CHECKED BY: × × × × × BILL TO OTHER (Initials) Zip: 88210 EOG Resources DATE -06-23 SAMPLING ł aid by the client for the Fax Result: REMARKS: Please hold the following samples pending initial results Phone Result: 0848 0856 0852 TIME 0930 0920 0914 0910 0900 0854 0924 **TPH: 8015 EXT** × × × licable BTEX 8021B/5030 or BTEX 8260 × × × Yes × × × × × × Chloride (SM 4500) × × × × No Add'l Phone #: Add'l Fax #: dy his return HOLD

### Received by OCD: 12/26/2023 11:09:12 AM

Sampler - UPS - Bus - Other:

40

† Cardinal cannot accept verbal changes. Please fax written changes to 575-393-2476

### Laborato

R

# CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY AND ANALYSIS REQUEST

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's lability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim straing whether based in contract or tort, shall be linked to the amount paid by the client for the analyses. At claims including those for incidents and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed waked unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within 30 days after completion of the applicable analyses. At claims including those for incidental or consequential damages, hackdard writing whether based in contract or tort, shall be linked to the amount paid by the client for the amount paid by the client for the amount paid by the client in the part of the state or consequential damages. An cluding whether based withing and received by Cardinal whether such client is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise.       Relinquished By:       Date:     Date:     Received By:     Fax Result:     Fax Result:       Image:     Date:     Plane Result:     Plane Result:     Plane Result:     Exa Result:       Relinquished By:     Date:     Plate:     Plane Result:     Plane Result:     Exa Resul	PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and claim analyses. All claims including those for medigeneous and any other cau service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequ- affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of <b>Relinquished BY:</b>	PLEASE NOTE: Lability and Damages. Cardinal's lability and client analyses. All claims including those for negligence and any other can service. In no event shall Cardinal be lable for incidental or consequ affliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance : Relinquished By:	PLEASE NOTE: Lability and Damages. Cardinal's lability and client analyses. At claims including those for negligence and any other can service, in no event shall Cardinal be lable for incidental or consequ affliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance.	PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client analyses. All claims including those for regigence and any other can analyses.				0	×	BG-2/16'	2		50	14 BG-2/8'	15 BG-2/6'		BG-2/2'	Lab I.D. Sample I.D.	FOR LAB USE ONLY	Sampler Name: J. Martinez	Project Location: Rural Eddy County, NM	Project Name: Inex #3	Project #: 5375 P	Phone #: 512-497-1556 F	Austin	Address: PO Box 201179	*	Company Name: Ranger Environmental Services, Inc.	101 East Marland, Hobbs, NM 88240 (575) 393-2326 FAX (575) 393-2476
	Time:	Date	11-67-73	of services hereunder by t	se whatsoever shall be a ental damages, including	t's exclusive remedy for a															×		Project Owner:	Fax #:	State: TX Z			Services, Inc.	575) 393-2476
Ī		Rec	Rec	Cardinal,	deemed w	anv claim a		G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	(G)RAB OR (C) # CONTAINER	_					512-33	Zip: 78720				0, 0
Sample Condition		Received By:	Received by:	Cardinal, regardless of whether such c	raived unless made in writing mitation, business interruption	arising whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the		1 ×	1 X	1 ×	1 ×	1 X	1 ×	1 ×	1 ×	1 ×	1 ×	GROUNDWAT WASTEWATEI SOIL OIL SLUDGE	ER					512-335-0527	3720				
nple Condition of Intact		TU	3	daim is ba	and receits, loss of	tract or to												OTHER :		Fax #:	Pho	Stat	City	Add	Attn	Com	P.O. #		
CHEC		And	110	her such claim is based upon any of the	use, or loss of p	t, shall be limite		×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	ACID/BASE: ICE / COOL	PRESERV.	#:	Phone #: 575-748-1471	State: NM	0	ress: 104	Attn: Chase Settle	Company:	*	BILL	
(Initials)				the above stated	within 30 days at profits incurred by	d to the amount (		+		-		-		-		-	11-06-23	DATE	SAMPLING	CAMPI	-748-1471	Zip: 88210		Address: 104 S. 4th Street	ettle	EOG Resources		L TO	
		Please ho	Fax Result: REMARKS:	Phone Result:	ther completion of y client, its subsid	amount paid by the client for the		1038	1030	1026	1020	1014	1012	1010	0958	0956	0954	TIME	ING			0	,	et		Irces			
		ld the fo	Sit	esult:	the application arises,	for the		×					×				×	TPH: 8015 E	хт						_				
		ollowing	1 Yes	T Yes	able			×					×				×	BTEX 8021B	5030	or B	TEX	826	0						
		samples	INO NO					×	×	×	×	×	×	×	× ×	< ×	×	Chloride (SM	4500	)					_		_		
24 15		Please hold the following samples pending initial results:						-				-		-			-								_		_	ANALYSIS	
hrv		results:	¢#	one #:				+		+	+	+	1	+	+	+													
return								-	-																			REQUENT	DIFET
																-	-					_							
							-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+		-	HOLD		-				-	-	-	-		

2054

Received by OCD: 12/26/2023 11:09:12 AM

Page 46 of 185

### Page 47 of 185

### Laboratories

# CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY AND ANALYSIS REQUEST

5 of 4

Received by OCD: 12/26/2023 11:09:12 AM

Company Name: Project Manager: Address:	Ranger Environmental Services, Inc. Will Kierdorf PO Box 201179	, Inc.				Co P.O	P.O. #: Company:	BII	EOG Resources	6				
Address:	State:	TX Zip: 78720	8720			At	tn:	0	Settle					
Phone #: 512-4	512-497-1556 Fax #:	-	512-335-0527	27		Ad	idres	S: 104	Address: 104 S. 4th Street					
~	Project Owner:	wner:				C	City: /	Artesia					1	
ime:	Inex #3					St	State: NM	1.5	Zip: 88210			_	3260	3260
Project Location:	Rural Eddy County, NM					무	Phone #:	#: 575	575-748-1471				EX 8	EXI
Sampler Name:	c					Fa	Fax #:						or B1	or B1
Sampler Name.	D. Maturice		-	š	MATRIX	ł	PRE	PRESERV.	SAMPLING	ลิ			0 0	
Lab I.D.	Sample I.D.	(G)RAB OR (C)ON	# CONTAINERS GROUNDWATER	WASTEWATER SOIL		SLUDGE OTHER :		ICE / COOL OTHER :	DATE		TIME	TPH: 8015 EXT		TPH: 8015 EXT
200	RG-3/2'	_	_			-		×	11-06-23	1112	10	X		×
	BG-3/4'	G	-	×	^			×	-	1114	4	4	4	
ere a	BG-3/6'	G	-	×	Î			×		1116				
	BG-3/8"	G	-	×	Ê	-		×	-	1120	_			×
20	BG-3/10'	G	-	×	Î	-		×		1122			+	
e.	BG-3/12'	G	1	×	Î	-		×		1124		×	××	×
	BG-3/14"	G	-	~	×	-		×		1128			+	
20	BG-3/16'	G	-		×	-		×		1132		×	××	×
-	BG-3/18'	G	-	-	×	-	+	×	-	1136				< ×
So	BG-3/20'	G	-		×			×	)	1140				>
PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Dam analyses, All claims including those analyses in no seed shall Cardnal	ages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclus for negligence and any other cause what he liable for incidental or consequential data here and the second	live remedy for any clain soever shall be deemed mages, including without	any claim arising whether deemed waived unless m g without limitation, busine	hether bar less made business	e in writin Interrupti	g and rec ons, loss	tort, sha ceived b of use,	all be limite y Cardinal or loss of p	based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by the client for the ade in writing and received by Cardinal within 30 days after completion of the ap as interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, as interruptions.	sid by the client or completion of client, its subsid	8 4 6	for the I the applical Starles,	for the I the applicable Staries,	for the the applicable darles,
Relinguished By:	rg out of or related to the performance of services intraction of centre of the service of centre of the service of centre of the service of	-23 Rec	Received By:	By:		5	3	0	AnniniA	Phone Result: Fax Result: REMARKS:	K S C	Result: Sult: KS:	a	f
Relinquished By:	(		Received By	BY	B	AICA	-9	Ballinghan	de la	Please	nolo KK	nold the fo	nold the following	Please hold the following samples pending initial results:
Delivered By: (Circle One)	(Circle One) S72	tiun	2	Cool	Sample Condition Cool Intact	nditio	1 3	CHEC	CHECKED BY:					
Sampler - UPS - Bus -	Children.		-	ב		-			(					

### Page 48 of 185

Company Name:

(575) 393-2326 FAX (575) 393-2476 Ranger Environmental Services, Inc.

P.O. #:

BILL TO

ANALYSIS

REQUEST

### 101 East Marland, Hobbs, NM 88240 aboratories ARDIN

# CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY AND ANALYSIS REQUEST

h to h

City: Project Manager: Phone #: Sampler Name: Project Name: Inex #3 Project #: Project Location: Address: analyses. All claims including those for negligence and any other uservice. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or conse 1330 083 Relinduished By: Relinquished By: LEASE NOTE: Liability and FOR LAB USE ONLY Lab I.D. Delivered By: (Circle One) 2 Ren لا th 6 Austin 5375 512-497-1556 BG-4/6" BG-4/4" BG-4/20 BG-4/18 BG-4/16 BG-4/14 BG-4/12 BG-4/2 BG-4/10 BG-4/8' Will Kierdorf Rural Eddy County, NM PO Box 201179 . Martinez Sample I.D. 3 cause Fax #: Project Owner: Date: 109-23 puental damages, including without limitat State: POROT Time: Date: what Z shall be deemed Zip: 78720 512-335-0527 (G)RAB OR (C)ON G G G G G G G G G Received By: G Received By: # CONTAINERS WBIVED --------i. GROUNDWATER Ciness 88800 NOC. Sample Condition Cool Intact Pres Yes No No No WASTEWATER made in writing and reci MATRIX SOIL ×× × × × × × × × × OIL SLUDGE loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries State: NM Address: 104 S. 4th Street Attn: Chase Settle Company: OTHER Fax #: Phone #: 575-748-1471 City: Artesia ACID/BASE: PRESERV ved by ICE / COOL × × × × × × × × × × CHECKED BY OTHER Initials ACCER IN 10 Zip: EOG Resources within 30 days after completion of the ap 1-06-23 DATE SAMPLING 88210 ł haid by the client for Phone Result: Fax Result: REMARKS: Please hold the following samples pending initial results 1302 TIME 1316 1312 1308 1304 1334 1330 1320 1306 1342 TPH: 8015 EXT × × × Ë BTEX 8021B/5030 or BTEX 8260 × × × Yes Yes Chloride (SM 4500) × × × × × × × × × × No Add'l Phone #: Add'l Fax #:

HOLD

### Received by OCD: 12/26/2023 11:09:12 AM

Sampler - UPS - Bus - Other:

r1

#

Ch

† Cardinal cannot accept verbal changes. Please fax written changes to 575-393-2476

24 hr return

Released to Imaging: 12/26/2023 11:16:30 AM



November 10, 2023

WILL KIERDORF

RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

PO BOX 201179

AUSTIN, TX 78729

RE: INEX #3

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 11/09/23 15:43.

Cardinal Laboratories is accredited through Texas NELAP under certificate number T104704398-22-15. Accreditation applies to drinking water, non-potable water and solid and chemical materials. All accredited analytes are denoted by an asterisk (\*). For a complete list of accredited analytes and matrices visit the TCEQ website at <a href="https://www.tceq.texas.gov/field/ga/lab\_accred\_certif.html">www.tceq.texas.gov/field/ga/lab\_accred\_certif.html</a>.

Cardinal Laboratories is accreditated through the State of Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment for:

Method EPA 552.2	Haloacetic Acids (HAA-5)
Method EPA 524.2	Total Trihalomethanes (TTHM)
Method EPA 524.4	Regulated VOCs (V1, V2, V3)

Accreditation applies to public drinking water matrices.

This report meets NELAP requirements and is made up of a cover page, analytical results, and a copy of the original chain-of-custody. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Celey D. Keine

Celey D. Keene Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/09/2023	Sampling Date:	11/09/2023
Reported:	11/10/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	** (See Notes)
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

### Sample ID: BG - 4A/2' (H236164-03)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifie
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/09/2023	ND	1.87	93.5	2.00	8.87	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	11/09/2023	ND	1.97	98.4	2.00	9.01	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/09/2023	ND	1.98	99.2	2.00	9.58	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	11/09/2023	ND	6.02	100	6.00	9.78	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	11/09/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	119 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	1220	16.0	11/10/2023	ND	416	104	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	11/10/2023	ND	192	96.0	200	0.0511	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	11/10/2023	ND	193	96.3	200	0.499	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	11/10/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	117 9	48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	121 9	% 49.1-14	8						

### Cardinal Laboratories

### \*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/09/2023	Sampling Date:	11/09/2023
Reported:	11/10/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	** (See Notes)
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

### Sample ID: BG - 4A/4' (H236164-05)

BTEX 8021B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/09/2023	ND	1.87	93.5	2.00	8.87	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	11/09/2023	ND	1.97	98.4	2.00	9.01	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/09/2023	ND	1.98	99.2	2.00	9.58	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	11/09/2023	ND	6.02	100	6.00	9.78	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	11/09/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	118 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	1500	16.0	11/10/2023	ND	416	104	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	11/10/2023	ND	192	96.0	200	0.0511	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	11/10/2023	ND	193	96.3	200	0.499	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	11/10/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	127	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	130	% 49.1-14	8						

### Cardinal Laboratories

### \*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/09/2023	Sampling Date:	11/09/2023
Reported:	11/10/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	** (See Notes)
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

### Sample ID: BG - 3A/2' (H236164-08)

BTEX 8021B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/09/2023	ND	1.87	93.5	2.00	8.87	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	11/09/2023	ND	1.97	98.4	2.00	9.01	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/09/2023	ND	1.98	99.2	2.00	9.58	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	11/09/2023	ND	6.02	100	6.00	9.78	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	11/09/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	119 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	1020	16.0	11/10/2023	ND	416	104	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	11/10/2023	ND	192	96.0	200	0.0511	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	11/10/2023	ND	193	96.3	200	0.499	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	11/10/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	120	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	120	% 49.1-14	8						

### Cardinal Laboratories

\*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/09/2023	Sampling Date:	11/09/2023
Reported:	11/10/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	** (See Notes)
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

### Sample ID: BG - 3A/4' (H236164-10)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/09/2023	ND	1.87	93.5	2.00	8.87	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	11/09/2023	ND	1.97	98.4	2.00	9.01	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/09/2023	ND	1.98	99.2	2.00	9.58	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	11/09/2023	ND	6.02	100	6.00	9.78	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	11/09/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	119 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	800	16.0	11/10/2023	ND	416	104	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	11/10/2023	ND	192	96.0	200	0.0511	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	11/10/2023	ND	193	96.3	200	0.499	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	11/10/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	117 9	48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	118 9	% 49.1-14	8						

### Cardinal Laboratories

\*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/09/2023	Sampling Date:	11/09/2023
Reported:	11/10/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	** (See Notes)
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

### Sample ID: BG - 2A/2' (H236164-13)

BTEX 8021B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/09/2023	ND	1.87	93.5	2.00	8.87	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	11/09/2023	ND	1.97	98.4	2.00	9.01	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/09/2023	ND	1.98	99.2	2.00	9.58	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	11/09/2023	ND	6.02	100	6.00	9.78	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	11/09/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	118 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	192	16.0	11/10/2023	ND	416	104	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	11/10/2023	ND	192	96.0	200	0.0511	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	11/10/2023	ND	193	96.3	200	0.499	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	11/10/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	101	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	104	% 49.1-14	8						

### Cardinal Laboratories

### \*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/09/2023	Sampling Date:	11/09/2023
Reported:	11/10/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	** (See Notes)
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

### Sample ID: BG - 2A/4' (H236164-15)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/09/2023	ND	2.07	104	2.00	0.00777	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	11/09/2023	ND	2.17	109	2.00	0.204	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/09/2023	ND	2.19	110	2.00	0.139	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	11/09/2023	ND	6.67	111	6.00	1.42	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	11/09/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	119 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	'kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	736	16.0	11/10/2023	ND	416	104	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	'kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	11/10/2023	ND	192	96.0	200	0.0511	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	11/10/2023	ND	193	96.3	200	0.499	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	11/10/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	104 9	48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	106 9	% 49.1-14	8						

### Cardinal Laboratories

\*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/09/2023	Sampling Date:	11/09/2023
Reported:	11/10/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	** (See Notes)
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

### Sample ID: BG - 1A/2' (H236164-18)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/09/2023	ND	2.07	104	2.00	0.00777	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	11/09/2023	ND	2.17	109	2.00	0.204	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/09/2023	ND	2.19	110	2.00	0.139	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	11/09/2023	ND	6.67	111	6.00	1.42	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	11/09/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	118 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/kg		Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	672	16.0	11/10/2023	ND	416	104	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	'kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	11/10/2023	ND	192	96.0	200	0.0511	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	11/10/2023	ND	193	96.3	200	0.499	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	11/10/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	110 9	48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	111 9	49.1-14	8						

### Cardinal Laboratories

\*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. WILL KIERDORF PO BOX 201179 AUSTIN TX, 78729 Fax To: (512) 335-0527

Received:	11/09/2023	Sampling Date:	11/09/2023
Reported:	11/10/2023	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	INEX #3	Sampling Condition:	** (See Notes)
Project Number:	5375	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	EOG - RURAL EDDY COUNTY, NM		

### Sample ID: BG - 1A/4' (H236164-20)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	'kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/10/2023	ND	2.07	104	2.00	0.00777	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	11/10/2023	ND	2.17	109	2.00	0.204	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/10/2023	ND	2.19	110	2.00	0.139	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	11/10/2023	ND	6.67	111	6.00	1.42	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	11/10/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	117 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	'kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	800	16.0	11/10/2023	ND	416	104	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	'kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	11/10/2023	ND	192	96.0	200	0.0511	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	11/10/2023	ND	193	96.3	200	0.499	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	11/10/2023	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	108 9	48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	110 9	% 49.1-14	8						

### Cardinal Laboratories

\*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



### **Notes and Definitions**

S-04	The surrogate recovery for this sample is outside of established control limits due to a sample matrix effect.
QM-07	The spike recovery was outside acceptance limits for the MS and/or MSD. The batch was accepted based on acceptable LCS recovery.
ND	Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
**	Samples not received at proper temperature of 6°C or below.
***	Insufficient time to reach temperature.
-	Chloride by SM4500CI-B does not require samples be received at or below 6°C
	Samples reported on an as received basis (wet) unless otherwise noted on report

### **Cardinal Laboratories**

### \*=Accredited Analyte

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager

<u>م</u> Page 11 of 12

### Received by OCD: 12/26/2023 11:09:12 AM

		Relinquisked By:	A ~	affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the perfor Relinquished By:	PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Da analyses. All claims including the service. In no event shall Cardina		10	00	x	16	s S	)-	u	ve		Lab I.D.	oditipier Name:	Project Location:	Project Name:	Project #: 5375	Phone #: 512-49	City: Austin	Address:	Project Manager:	Company Name:	
Delivered By: (Circle One)			١	of or related to the performance	PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardina's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by the client for the analyses. All claims including those for negligence and any other cause whatboewer shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within 30 days after completion of the applicable service. In no event thall cardinal he labels for loader and any other cause whatboewer shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within 30 days after completion of the applicable.	111100		66-54/2	-	1-0	B6-44/4'	B6-44/3'	B6-4A/2'	BG-4A 1 1'	06-4A 0	Sample I.D.	J. Martinez	Rural Eddy County, NM	Inex #3		512-497-1556		PO Box 201179	Will Kierdorf	Ranger Environmental Services, Inc.	101 East Marland, Hobbs, NM 88240 (575) 393-2326 FAX (575) 393-2476
9.02 1	Time:	543 (	11-09-23	of services hereunder by C	It's exclusive remedy for an use whatsoever shall be de											P		NM		Project Owner:	Fax #:	State: TX			ntal Services, Inc	nd, Hobbs, NM 88240 6 FAX (575) 393-2476
Samp	Received By:	2 VLOC	500-	arrages, insuang without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by ces hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated et et	y claim arising whether b emed waived unless may	-	-	-	-	G 1	G 1	G 1	G 1	G 1	G 1	# CONTAINERS GROUNDWATER WASTEWATER					512-335-0527	Zip: 78720				76 U
Sample Condition		XILLOV	10.0	s interruptions, loss of u ether such claim is base	de in writing and receive	ł									×	SOIL OIL SLUDGE OTHER :	Fa	Ph	St	C		At	0	9		
CHECKED BY:	0	ant		se, or loss of profits incu id upon any of the above	ig whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by the client for the d unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within 30 days after completion of the ap	H									× 11.9	ACID/BASE: ICE / COOL OTHER :	Fax #:	Phone #: 575-748-1471	NM Zip	City: Artesia	Address: 104 S. 4th Street	0	Company: EOC	P.O. #:	BILL TO	
	Please hold the f	REMARK	Fax Result:	stated reasons or other	mount paid by the client f	0411	hhit	thu	1140	1135	2028	1004	1624	_	9 1030	DATE TIME		-1471	: 88210		Ith Street	ŭ	EOG Resources		70	
	the follo	ŝ	r.	vise.	or the	×		×			×		×			TPH: 8015 EXT	-			_	-		-	1		
	Following sa		U Yes			×		×			×	1	×			BTEX 8021B/5030 or	вт	EX 82	60							
	TEMP BANK: 3.2		No Add'I Phone #: No Add'I Fax #:			×		×			×		×			Chloride (SM 4500)								- 11	ANALYSIS REOL	
																									REQUEST	
	_						×		X	<	>	×	>	< >	1	HOLD										1

### aboratories 101 East Marland, Hobbs, NM 88240

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY AND ANALYSIS REQUEST

e to k

Page 12 of 12

### Received by OCD: 12/26/2023 11:09:12 AM

Company Name: Project Manager:	Ranger Environmental Services, Inc. Will Kierdorf		13		-	
Address:	PO Box 201179		Company: E(	DG Resou	EOG Resources	OG Resources
City: Austin	State:	TX Zip: 78720	Attn: Chase Settle	ottle	ttle	ttle
Phone #: 512-4	512-497-1556 Fax #:	512-335-0527	Address: 104 S. 4th Street	S. 4th Stre	S. 4th Street	S. 4th Street
Project #: 5375	Project Owner:		City: Artesia			
Project Name:	Inex #3		State: NM		Zip: 88210	Zip: 88210
Project Location:	Rural Eddy County, NM		Phone #: 575-748-1471	5-748-1471	5-748-1471	
Sampler Name:	J. Martinez		Fax #:			
FOR LAB USE ONLY		MATRIX	PRESERV.		. SAMPLING	SAMPLING
Lab I.D.	Sample I.D.					
Hyzulley	_	# CO GRO	OTHE	DATE	TIME	TIME TPH:
20	B(-24/0		×	11-09-23		
	-			+	1124 X	X X Hell
14	1	G 1			-	
ភ	-	G 1			X 8011	X X Sell
16	36-24/0	G 1				
17	86-14/1	G 1			1102	1102
~~~	-	-		-	X horr	
27		-				
20	6-1A/4		F	÷	X 8011 T	
PLEASE NOTE: Liability and I analyses. All claims including th	PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim ansing whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by the client for the analyses. All claims including those for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within 30 days after completion of the applicable	dy for any claim arising whether based in contract c all be deemed waived unless made in writing and r	r tort, shall be limited to aceived by Cardinal with	in 30 days after	the amount paid by the client for the in 30 days after completion of the appli	the amount paid by the client for the applicable in 30 days after completion of the applicable
affiliates or successors arising of	e. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequental damages, including es or successors arising out of or related to the performance of services hereunder by	cluding without limitation, business interruptions, los der by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is	ess interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated	above stated re	above stated reasons or otherwise.	above stated reasons or otherwise.
Relinquisned By:	11-69-23	Received By:	1 o ll		Phone Result: Fax Result: REMARKS:	Phone Result:
Relinquished By:	JS45 Date: Time:	Received By:	A A		Please hold the	Please hold the following samples pending initial results:
Delivered By: ( Sampler - UPS -	Sampler - UPS - Bus - Other:	Cool Intact	In CHECKED BY:	BY:	BY:	

Page 60 of 185

### ATTACHMENT 2 – USDA NRCS CUSTOM SOIL RESOURCE REPORT



USDA United States Department of Agriculture

> Natural Resources Conservation Service

A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

### **Custom Soil Resource Report for Eddy Area, New Mexico**

Inex #3 Soil Report



### Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2\_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

.

### Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	
Soil Map	
Soil Map	
Legend	
Map Unit Legend	
Map Unit Descriptions	
Eddy Area, New Mexico	13
Rf—Reagan loam, saline, 0 to 1 percent slopes	
References	

### How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

.

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

### Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.



Page 70 of 185



Released to Imaging: 12/26/2023 11:16:30 AM

•

•

### Custom Soil Resource Report

		ND	MAP INFORMATION
Area of Interest (AOI)		Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at
Area of Ir	terest (AOI)	Stony Spot	1:20,000.
Soils	(	Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.
	Unit Polygons	Wet Spot	Warning. Soli Map may not be valid at this scale.
🛹 🛛 Soil Map	Unit Lines	Other	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause
Soil Map	Unit Points	Special Line Features	misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of
Special Point Feat		Features	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.
Blowout	~		Start.
Borrow P	Trans	portation	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map
💥 Clay Spot	+++	Rails	measurements.
~	epression	Interstate Highways	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
💥 Gravel Pi	~	US Routes	Web Soil Survey URL:
. Gravelly S	Spot 📈	Major Roads	Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
🔕 Landfill	~	Local Roads	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercato
🙏 🛛 Lava Flow	Backg	round	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the
📥 🛛 Marsh or	swamp	Aerial Photography	Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more
mine or C	uarry		accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
Miscellan	eous Water		This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data
Perennial	Water		of the version date(s) listed below.
🤝 🛛 Rock Out	crop		Soil Survey Area: Eddy Area, New Mexico
🕂 🛛 Saline Sp	ot		Survey Area Data: Version 19, Sep 7, 2023
Sandy Sp	ot		Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales
	Eroded Spot		1:50,000 or larger.
Sinkhole			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Nov 12, 2022—De
🔈 Slide or S	lip		2, 2022
🦻 Sodic Spo	ot		The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

### **Map Unit Legend**

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
Rf	Reagan loam, saline, 0 to 1 percent slopes	41.8	100.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		41.8	100.0%

### **Map Unit Descriptions**

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.
An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

## Eddy Area, New Mexico

## Rf—Reagan loam, saline, 0 to 1 percent slopes

### **Map Unit Setting**

National map unit symbol: 1w5n Elevation: 2,150 to 5,300 feet Mean annual precipitation: 10 to 17 inches Mean annual air temperature: 57 to 70 degrees F Frost-free period: 200 to 235 days Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

### **Map Unit Composition**

Reagan and similar soils: 96 percent Minor components: 4 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

### **Description of Reagan**

#### Setting

Landform: Fan remnants, alluvial fans Landform position (three-dimensional): Rise Down-slope shape: Convex, linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Alluvium and/or eolian deposits

### **Typical profile**

*H1 - 0 to 8 inches:* loam *H2 - 8 to 60 inches:* loam

## **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 0 to 1 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 2.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 40 percent
Maximum salinity: Slightly saline to strongly saline (4.0 to 16.0 mmhos/cm)
Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 10.0
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 7.3 inches)

### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3s Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7s Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: R070BC007NM - Loamy Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Minor Components**

### Reagan nonsaline Percent of map unit: 1 percent

#### Custom Soil Resource Report

*Ecological site:* R070BC007NM - Loamy *Hydric soil rating:* No

### **Reagan saline**

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Ecological site: R070BC036NM - Salt Flats Hydric soil rating: No

### Gypsum land

*Percent of map unit:* 1 percent *Hydric soil rating:* No

## Reeves

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Ecological site: R070BC007NM - Loamy Hydric soil rating: No

## References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2 053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2\_053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

#### Custom Soil Resource Report

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2\_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2\_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE\_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2\_052290.pdf

# ATTACHMENT 3 – HISTORIC AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS







Received by OCD: 12/26/2023 11:09:12 AM





Received by OCD: 12/26/2023 11:09:12 AM







Received by OCD: 12/26/2023 11:09:12 AM



Received by OCD: 12/26/2023 11:09:12 AM



# ATTACHMENT 4 – PROUCL SOFTWARE RESULTS



3         Data/Time of Computation         Prouble 111/10/2023 1:40:28 PM           4         From File         WorkSheet xis           5         Full Precision         OFF           6         Confidence Coefficient         95%.           7         Occessing 95%.         2000           8         New or Future K Observations         1           9         Mumber of Bootsing Operations         2000           10         ext 28 Chloride (0*4)         2000           11         next 28 Chloride (0*4)         2000           12         Image: Statistics         2000           13         General Statistics         10         Number of Digitic Closervations         12           14         Total Number of Observations         12         Statistics         12           14         Total Number of Observations         16         Number of Digitic Closervations         12           15         Marine of anged Data         52.21         Marine of Ada         744.7           16         Coefficient of Variation         52.21         248.7         248.7           16         Coefficient of Variation         52.7         Statistics         1.47           17         Statistice Cara         50.7 <th>1</th> <th>A B C Received by OCD: 12/26/2023 11</th> <th>D E Backboold Statistics for</th> <th>F r Uncensore</th> <th>G H ed Full Data Sets</th> <th>   </th> <th>J K</th> <th></th> <th>Page 91</th>	1	A B C Received by OCD: 12/26/2023 11	D E Backboold Statistics for	F r Uncensore	G H ed Full Data Sets		J K		Page 91
3         Data/Time of Computation         Prouble 111/10/2023 1:40:28 PM           4         From File         WorkSheet xis           5         Full Precision         OFF           6         Confidence Coefficient         95%.           7         Occessing 95%.         2000           8         New or Future K Observations         1           9         Mumber of Bootsing Operations         2000           10         ext 28 Chloride (0*4)         2000           11         next 28 Chloride (0*4)         2000           12         Image: Statistics         2000           13         General Statistics         10         Number of Digitic Closervations         12           14         Total Number of Observations         12         Statistics         12           14         Total Number of Observations         16         Number of Digitic Closervations         12           15         Marine of anged Data         52.21         Marine of Ada         744.7           16         Coefficient of Variation         52.21         248.7         248.7           16         Coefficient of Variation         52.7         Statistics         1.47           17         Statistice Cara         50.7 <td>2</td> <td>User Selected Options</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	2	User Selected Options							
4         From File         WorkShort.Js           5         Full Presides         39%           7         Coverage         39%           8         New or Full Rives Observations         1           9         New or Full Rives Observations         2000           10         Rev or Full Rives Observations         16         Number of Bostelize Observations         12           10         Inserv AF Chickle (0*4)         Second Larges         120         Median           11         Rev or Full Rives Observations         16         Number of Bostelize Observations         12           10         Second Larges         120         Median         74           15         Second Larges         120         Median         74           16         Coefficient of Variation         0.725         Skewness         0.025           17         Observation         0.725         Skewness         0.025           18         Coefficient of Variation         0.725         Skewness         0.025           17         Observation         0.725         Skewness         0.025           18         Coefficient of Variation         0.725         Skewness         0.025           17	3	Date/Time of Computation	ProUCL 5.111/10/2023 1	:40:29 PM					
5         Full Presiden Confidence Coefficient 69%           6         Confidence Coefficient 69%           7         Converage 35%           8         New Of Future K Observations 1         1           9         Number of Bootstrap Operations 1         2000           10         RX 27 Chieride (0*4)*         1           11         Inex #2 Chieride (0*4)*         12           12         Control Statistics         16         Number of Distinct Observations 32         12           16         Second Largest 1200         1200         Kediation 32         First Countile 152           16         Second Largest 1200         1200         Kediation 32         12           17         Maintrum 1500         755         Skewress 0.0825         0.48.7           16         Coefficient of Variation 0.725         Skewress 0.0825         0.48.7           17         Otherance Factor K (For UTL)         2.54         d2max (for USL)         2.443           16         Coefficient of Variation 0.57         Skewress 0.0825         0.48.7         0.24 agnax (for USL)         2.443           17         Otherance Factor K (For UTL)         2.54         d2max (for USL)         2.443           18         Steprior Witk Crited Value 0.55	4	From File	WorkSheet.xls	orkSheet.xls					
0         0         0           0         Number of Bootstrap Operations         1           1         Number of Bootstrap Operations         2000           11         Inex #3 Chiordie (0*4)         2000           12         Inex #3 Chiordie (0*4)         12           13         General Statistics         16           14         Total Number of Observations         12           15         Second Largest         1200         Median           16         Coefficient V Ariation         32         First Quartifie         52           16         Coefficient V Ariation         0.725         Skewrass         0.0825           16         Coefficient V Ariation         0.725         Skewrass         0.0825           17         Chical Alaes for Background Threshold Values (BTVe)         2.443         7           18         Coefficient V Ariation         0.725         Skewrass         0.0825           18         Coefficient V Mariation         0.725         Skewrass         0.0825           19         Chical Alaes for Background Threshold Values (BTVe)         2.443         7           12         Chical Alaes for Background Statistic         0.917         Shapior Wilk GOF Test         1	5	Full Precision	OFF						
New or Future K Observations         1           Number of Bootstrap Operations         2000           Inex #3 Chioride (0'-4')         2000           General Statistics         6           General Statistics         16           Number of Dotatinct Observations         12           General Statistics         16           General Statistics         150           General Statistics         150           General Statistics         150           General Statistics         1500           Third Quartile         48.7           Maximum         1500           Third Quartile         628           General Statistics         Social Largest           10         Coefficient Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)           2         Chitcal Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)           2         Chitcal Value Statistic           3         Operations Test Statistic           4         Statistics Assuming Normal GOF Test           5         Shapiro Wilk Chitcal Value         0.81           5         Shapiro Wilk Chitcal Value         0.81           6         Shapiro Wilk Chitcal Value         0.213           7         Data apper Nomal Sty Significance Level	6	Confidence Coefficient	95%						
Number of Bootstrap Operations         2000           Insex #3 Schoolde (0'-4')	7	9	95%						
0         General Statistics         Image AS Chloride (0*4')           10         General Statistics         Number of Distinct Observations         12           14         Total Number of Observations         12         Number of Distinct Observations         12           15         General Statistics         16         Number of Distinct Observations         12           16         Second Largest         1200         Median         704           17         Maximum         1500         Third Quartle         828           18         Coefficient of Variation         0.725         Skewness         0.0825           10         Coefficient of Variation         0.725         Skewness         0.0825           10         Coefficient of Variation         0.725         Skewness         0.0825           11         Coefficient of Variation         0.725         Skewness         0.0825           10         Coefficient of Variation         0.725         Skewness         0.0825           11         Coefficient of Variation         0.725         Skewness         0.0825           11         Totarace Factork (For UTL)         2.524         d2max (for USL)         2.443           12         Skewnifor Mik GOF Test         Lil	8	New or Future K Observations	1						
Inex #3 Chlorde (0'-4)         Image: Second Largest 1	9	Number of Bootstrap Operations	2000						
Image: Control Statistics         Total Number of Observations         16         Number of Distinct Observations         12           Id         Total Number of Observations         16         Number of Distinct Observations         12           Id         Second Largest         120         Median         704           Id         Maximum         1500         Trict Quantile         828           Id         Mean         618.5         SD         448.7           Id         Mean of logged Data         5.821         SD of logged Data         0.822           Id         Octitical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)         2.443         1.147           Id         Ottical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)         2.443         2.443           Id         Ottical Value         0.917         Shapiro Wilk GOF Test         1.477           Id         SS         Ottical Value         0.887         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level           Id         Ottical Value         0.887         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level         1.193           Id         Ottical Value         0.154         Lillefors GoF Test         1.193           Id         Ottical Value         0.751         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level </td <td>10</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	10								
3         General Statistics         ICatal Number of Observations         12           44         ICatal Number of Observations         12           15         Minimum         32         First Quartile         152           16         Second Largest         120         Median         764           17         Maximum         150         Third Quartile         828           18         Coefficient of Variation         0.725         Skewness         0.0825           19         Coefficient of Variation         0.725         Skewness         0.0825           10         Mean of logged Data         5.821         SD of logged Data         1.477           12         Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)         2.443         2.443           12         Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)         2.443         2.443           12         Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic         0.917         Shapiro Wilk GOT Fest         1.52           12         Shapiro Wilk Critical Value         0.213         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level         1.52           13         Obsta appes         Significance Level         1.135         1.135           14         Obsta appes         Significance Level	11	Inex #3 Chloride (0'-4')							
10         Total Number of Observations         16         Number of Distinct Observations         12           15         Minimum         32         First Quartile         152           16         Second Largest         1200         Median         704           17         Maximum         1500         Third Quartile         328           18         Coefficient of Variation         0.725         Skewness         0.0825           19         Coefficient of Variation         0.725         Skewness         0.0825           20         Mean of logged Data         5.821         SD of logged Data         1.47           21         Coefficient of Variation         0.725         Skewness         0.0825           23         Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)         2.524         d2max (for USL)         2.443           24         Vermal GOF Test         Skipin Wilk GOF Test         154         Lilliefors GOF Test           28         Lilliefors Tritical Value         0.213         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level         195           29         S% Shiptro Wilk Critical Value         0.213         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level         1103           31         95% UTL with 95% Coverage         1751         90% Percentile (2)<	12								
n         Minimum         32         First Quartile         152           15         Median         704         704         704           16         Second Largest         1200         Median         704           17         Maximum         1500         Third Quartile         828           18         Coefficient of Variation         0.725         Skewness         0.0825           19         Coefficient of Variation         0.725         Skewness         0.0825           20         Mean of logged Data         5.821         SD of logged Data         1.47           21         Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)         2.443         2.443           23         Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)         2.524         d2max (for USL)         2.443           24          Shapiro Wilk GOF Test         2.443           25         Shapiro Wilk Cofficiant Value         0.887         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level           26         Shapiro Wilk Cortical Value         0.213         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level           26         Shapiro Wilk Cortical Value         0.213         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level           27         Background Statistice Assuming Normal Distributed at	13				Γ				
10         Second Largest         1200         Media         704           17         Maximum         1500         Third Quaritie         828           18         Mean         618.5         SD         448.7           19         Coefficient of Variation         0.725         Skewmess         0.0225           10         Mean of logged Data         5.821         SD of logged Data         1.47           12         Coefficient of Variation         0.725         Skewmess         0.0225           12         Coefficient Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)         2.443         2.443           12         Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)         2.443         2.443           12         Critical Value         0.877         Shapiro Wilk GOF Test         2.443           12         Shapiro Wilk Critical Value         0.887         Data appear Normal at 5%, Significance Level         1.141           12         Shapiro Wilk Critical Value         0.887         Data appear Normal at 5%, Significance Level         1.113           13         Stapper Normal at 5%, Significance Level         1.113         1.113         1.113           14         Stapper Normal at 5%, Significance Level         1.162         1.114         1.114 <td>14</td> <td>Total</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Number</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	14	Total				Number			
Maximum         1500         Third Quantile         828           13         Mean         618.5         SD         448.7           13         Coefficient of Variation         0.725         Skewness         0.0825           14         Coefficient of Variation         0.725         Skewness         0.0825           16         Mean of logged Data         5.821         SD of logged Data         1.725           17         Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)         2.443         2.443           18         Otlerance Factor K (For UTL)         2.524         d2max (for USL)         2.443           16         Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic         0.917         Shapiro Wilk GOF Test         2.443           17         Shapiro Wilk Critical Value         0.887         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level         1.1116fors GOF Test           18         Luliefors Test Statistic         0.154         Lilliefors GOF Test         1.1135           18         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level         1.1135         1.1135           18         Signif Scance Level         1.1135         1.1135           19         Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution         1.1356           13         Significance Level	15		-						
Ale         Mean         618.5         SD         448.7           9         Coefficient of Variation         0.725         Skewness         0.0225           00         Mean of logged Data         5 821         SD of logged Data         1.47           2         Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)         2.443         2.443           2         Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)         2.443         2.443           4         Variation (for UL)         2.524         d2max (for UL)         2.443           4         Variation (GOT Test         2.443         2.443           5         Shapiro Wilk Critical Value         0.917         Shapiro Wilk GOT Test         2.443           6         Shapiro Wilk Critical Value         0.887         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level         1.147           7         S% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value         0.213         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level         1.147           8         Lillefors CorF Test         Significance Level         1.153         1.153         1.155           95% UPL (i)         1429         95%, Percentile (2)         1.156         1.1662           14         Gamma CoF Test         Gamma CoF Test         1.662         1.162 <td>6</td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td>	6		_						-
o         O         Coefficient of Variation         0.725         Skewness         0.0825           00         Mean of logged Data         5.821         SD of logged Data         1.47           22         Critical Values for Backgrourd Threshold Values (BTVs)         2.443         2.443           23         Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)         2.524         d2max (for USL)         2.443           24         Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic         0.917         Shapiro Wilk GOF Test         2.443           25         Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic         0.917         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level         2.443           28         Lilliefors Test Statistic         0.154         Lilliefors GOF Test         3.023           29         S% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value         0.887         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level         3.023           20         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level         1.175         1.175         1.175           20         Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution         1.62         1.135         1.135           21         Bardago S% UPL (vill 1429         95% Percentile (z)         1.135         1.145           23         S% A-D Critical Value         0.765         Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level	17						Third Qua		
19         Mean of logged Data         5.821         SD of logged Data         1.47           21         Critical Values for Backgrour Threshold Values (BTVs)         2.43           22         Critical Values for Backgrour Threshold Values (BTVs)         2.443           23         Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)         2.524         d2max (for USL)         2.443           24         Shapiro Wilk Critical Value         0.887         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level            26         Shapiro Wilk Critical Value         0.887         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level            28         Lilliefors Test Statistic         0.514         Lilliefor Set Statistic         1.147           29         S% Lilliefors Critical Value         0.213         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level            31         Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution         1133         1356         1356           32         Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution         1662         1662           33         95% UTL with 95% Coverage         1751         90% Percentile (2)         1832           34         So fritical Value         0.227         Anderson-Daring Gamma GOF Test         1662           36         Carrrrea Statistic	18							-	
22         Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)         2.443           22         Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)         2.443           24	19								
22         Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)         2.43           23         Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)         2.524         d2max (for USL)         2.443           24	20		iviean of logged Data	5.821			SD of logged L	Data	1.47
22         Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)         2.524         d2max (for USL)         2.443           24	21		0-iii			<b>T</b> (-)			
Second Statistic         Normal GOF Test           25         Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic         0.917         Shapiro Wilk GOF Test           26         Shapiro Wilk Critical Value         0.887         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level           28         Litiliefors Test Statistic         0.154         Litiliefors GOF Test           29         S% Litiliefors Critical Value         0.213         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level           30         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level         Itiliefors GOF Test           31         Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution         1356           32         Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution         1366           33         95% UTL with 95% Coverage         1715         90% Percentile (z)         1193           34         95% USL         1715         99% Percentile (z)         1662           36	22	Tala		-	na Threshola Values (Bl	ivs)	dOmenu (feur l		2 4 4 2
Background         Normal GOF Test           26         Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic         0.917         Shapiro Wilk GOF Test           27         5% Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic         0.917         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level           28         Lilliefors GOF Test         Lilliefors GOF Test         Lilliefors GOF Test           29         5% Lilliefors Critical Value         0.213         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level           30         Otata appear Normal at 5% Significance Level         1193           31         95% UTL with 95% Coverage         1751         90% Percentile (z)         1193           32         95% UTL with 95% Coverage         1751         99% Percentile (z)         1356           33         95% UTL with 95% Coverage         1751         99% Percentile (z)         1356           34         95% USL         1715         99% Percentile (z)         1356           35         Cortical Value         0.287         Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test         162           39         Chritical Value         0.287         Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test         142           39         Chritical Value         0.287         Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test         142           31         Cortical Value         0.2	23	I Olei	ance Factor K (For UTL)	2.524			dzmax (for C	JSL)	2.443
25         Shapiro Wilk Cest Statistic         0.917         Shapiro Wilk GOF Test           27         5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value         0.887         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level           28         Lilliefors Test Statistic         0.154         Lilliefors GOF Test           29         5% Lilliefors Critical Value         0.213         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level           30         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level         1           31         Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution         1193           32         95% UTL with 95% Coverage         1751         90% Percentile (z)         1193           33         95% UTL with 95% Coverage         1715         99% Percentile (z)         1662           34         95% USL         1715         99% Percentile (z)         1662           35         95% USL         1715         99% Percentile (z)         1662           36         A-D Test Statistic         1.472         Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test         1662           36         A-D Test Statistic         0.287         Kolmogoro-Smirnor Gamma GOF Test         141           37         K-S Critical Value         0.287         Kolmogoro-Smirnor Gamma GOF Test         142           38         A-D Tes	24			Normal	OF Test				
20         5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value         0.887         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level           27         5% Lilliefors Test Statistic         0.154         Lilliefors QOF Test           29         5% Lilliefors Critical Value         0.213         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level           30         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level         1           31	25		haniro Wilk Tost Statiatia			Shaniro W/i	k GOF Teet		
Zz         Lilliefors Test Statistic         0.154         Lilliefors GOF Test           28         Lilliefors Critical Value         0.213         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level           30         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level         1           31					Data appo	•			
68         0.213         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level           00         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level           01         Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution           03         95% UTL with 95% Coverage         1751         90% Percentile (z)         1193           04         95% UDL with 95% Coverage         1751         90% Percentile (z)         1356           05         95% UDL (t)         1429         95% Percentile (z)         1356           05         95% UDL (t)         1429         95% Percentile (z)         1662           05         95% UDL (t)         1429         95% Percentile (z)         1662           05         95% UDL (t)         1429         99% Percentile (z)         1662           06         056         Data Not Gamma GOF Test         1662           07         Gamma GOF Test         1472         Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test         1662           08         A-D Test Statistic         0.287         Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test         141           05% K-S Critical Value         0.287         Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test         142           041         Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level         0.819         155         0.819		570 51	•				-	vei	
Basel         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level           30         Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level           31         31           32         Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution           33         95% UTL with 95% Coverage         1751         90% Percentile (z)         1193           34         95% UPL (t)         1429         95% Percentile (z)         1356           35         95% USL         1715         99% Percentile (z)         1662           36         95% USL         1715         99% Percentile (z)         1662           36         95% USL         1715         99% Percentile (z)         1662           36         95% A-D Test Statistic         1.472         Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test           38         A-D Test Statistic         0.287         Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test           39         5% A-D Critical Value         0.287         Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test           41         5% K-S Critical Value         0.222         Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level           34         0         0420         0420         0420           34         Gamma Statistics         0.819         0.819           35         MLE Mat (MLE)		5			Data anne			vel	
Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution           33         95% UTL with 95% Coverage         1751         90% Percentile (z)         1193           34         95% UPL (t)         1429         95% Percentile (z)         1356           35         95% USL         1715         99% Percentile (z)         1662           36         95% USL         1715         99% Percentile (z)         1662           36         95% USL         1715         99% Percentile (z)         1662           37         Gamma GOF Test         5         5         1662           38         A-D Test Statistic         1.472         Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test         5           38         A-D Test Statistic         0.265         Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level         4           40         K-S Test Statistic         0.222         Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level         4           41         5% K-S Critical Value         0.222         Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level         4           42         Data Not Gamma Statistics         4         5         5         0.819         1           43         MLE Math (MLE)         0.957         k star (bias corrected MLE)         0.819         1		•							
Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution         1193           33         95% UTL with 95% Coverage 95% UPL (t)         1429         90% Percentile (z)         1356           34         95% UPL (t)         1429         95% Percentile (z)         1356           35         95% UPL (t)         1429         95% Percentile (z)         1662           36         95% UPL (t)         1472         Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test         1662           37         Camma GOF Test         5% A-D Critical Value         0.765         Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level         1472           39         5% A-D Critical Value         0.287         Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test         141           1         5% K-S Critical Value         0.222         Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level         141           1         5% K-S Critical Value         0.222         Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level         142           1         Data Not Gamma Statistics         141         0.819         155         0.819           141         S% K-S Critical Value         0.957         K star (bias corrected MLE)         0.819           142         Data Not Gamma Statistics         145         0.819         155           143 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>									
22         95% UTL with         95% Coverage         1751         90% Percentile (z)         1193           33         95% UTL with         95% Coverage         1751         90% Percentile (z)         1356           34         95% USL         1715         99% Percentile (z)         1356           35         95% USL         1715         99% Percentile (z)         1662           36         95% USL         1715         99% Percentile (z)         1662           37         Gamma GOF Test         1472         Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test         1662           39         5% A-D Critical Value         0.765         Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level         141           40         K-S Test Statistic         0.287         Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test         141           5% K-S Critical Value         0.222         Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level         142           44         Gamma Statistics         143         144         144         144           44         Gamma Statistics         145         145         146         145         146         145         145         146         145         145         145         146         145         145         145         145			Background S	tatistics Ass	uming Normal Distribution	on			
33         95% UPL (t)         1429         95% Percentile (z)         1356           34         95% USL         1715         99% Percentile (z)         1662           36         95% USL         1715         99% Percentile (z)         1662           36		95% L					90% Percentile	e (z)	1193
174         95% USL         1715         99% Percentile (z)         1662           36			-					. ,	1356
33       33         36       Gamma GOF Test         37       A-D Test Statistic       1.472       Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test         38       A-D Test Statistic       1.472       Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test         39       5% A-D Critical Value       0.765       Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level         40       K-S Test Statistic       0.287       Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test         41       5% K-S Critical Value       0.222       Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level         42       Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level       0.222       Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level         43       Gamma Statistics       0.222       Data Not Gamma Statistics       0.819         44       Gamma Statistics       0.819       0.819       0.819         45       k hat (MLE)       0.957       k star (bias corrected MLE)       0.819         46       Theta hat (MLE)       30.62       nu star (bias corrected)       26.22         48       MLE Mean (bias corrected)       618.5       MLE Sd (bias corrected)       683.3         49       Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution       90% Percentile       1495         49       Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution       90%								. ,	
Gamma GOF Test         37       A-D Test Statistic       1.472       Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test         38       A-D Test Statistic       0.765       Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level         39       K-S Test Statistic       0.287       Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test         40       K-S Test Statistic       0.287       Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test         41       5% K-S Critical Value       0.222       Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level         42       Data Not Gamma Statistics       Use Statistics       Use Statistics         43       Gamma Statistics       0.819         44       Theta hat (MLE)       0.957       k star (bias corrected MLE)       0.819         45       K hat (MLE)       0.957       k star (bias corrected MLE)       755         46       Theta hat (MLE)       30.62       nu star (bias corrected MLE)       26.22         48       MLE Mean (bias corrected)       618.5       MLE Sd (bias corrected)       683.3         49       Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution       1495       1495         51       95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL       2172       90% Percentile       1495									
A-D Test Statistic       1.472       Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test         39       5% A-D Critical Value       0.765       Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level         40       K-S Test Statistic       0.287       Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test         41       5% K-S Critical Value       0.222       Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level         42       Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level          43				Gamma (	GOF Test				
39       5% A-D Critical Value       0.765       Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level         40       K-S Test Statistic       0.287       Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test         41       5% K-S Critical Value       0.222       Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level         42       Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level       0.222         43       Gamma Statistics         44       Gamma Statistics         45       M that (MLE)       0.957         46       Theta hat (MLE)       646.3         47       nu hat (MLE)       30.62         48       MLE Mean (bias corrected)       618.5         49       Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution       683.3         49       0.95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL       2172       90% Percentile       1495			A-D Test Statistic	1.472	Ander	rson-Darling	Gamma GOF Test		
40       K-S Test Statistic       0.287       Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test         41       5% K-S Critical Value       0.222       Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level         42       Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level         43       Gamma Statistics         44       Gamma Statistics         45       K hat (MLE)       0.957       k star (bias corrected MLE)       0.819         46       Theta hat (MLE)       646.3       Theta star (bias corrected MLE)       0.819         47       Con u hat (MLE)       30.62       nu star (bias corrected)       26.22         48       MLE Mean (bias corrected)       618.5       MLE Sd (bias corrected)       683.3         49       Gamma Distribution         50       Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution         51       95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL       2172       90% Percentile       1495         51       95% Wilson Hilferty UWH Defender Colspan="2">QEFW Defender UDL       2450			5% A-D Critical Value	0.765	Data Not Gam	ma Distribute	ed at 5% Significance	e Leve	el
41       5% K-S Critical Value       0.222       Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level         42       Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level         43       Gamma Statistics         44       Camma Statistics         45       K hat (MLE)       0.957         46       Theta hat (MLE)       646.3         47       nu hat (MLE)       30.62         48       MLE Mean (bias corrected)       618.5         49       Gamma Distribution       683.3         49       Gamma Distribution       683.3         49       Gamma Distribution       683.3         40       Gamma Distribution       683.3         41       Gamma Distribution       683.3         42       Gamma Distribution       683.3			K-S Test Statistic	0.287	Kolmog	jorov-Smirno	v Gamma GOF Tes	t	
42       Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level         43       Gamma Statistics         44       Gamma Statistics         45       k hat (MLE)       0.957         46       Theta hat (MLE)       646.3         47       nu hat (MLE)       30.62         48       MLE Mean (bias corrected)       26.22         48       MLE Mean (bias corrected)       618.5         49       MLE Sd (bias corrected)       683.3         49       Solution Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL       2172       90% Percentile       1495         50       Data Not Gamma UPL       2172       90% Percentile       1495			5% K-S Critical Value	0.222	Data Not Gam	nma Distribute	ed at 5% Significance	e Leve	əl
43       Gamma Statistics         44       Gamma Statistics         45       k hat (MLE)       0.957       k star (bias corrected MLE)       0.819         46       Theta hat (MLE)       646.3       Theta star (bias corrected MLE)       755         47       nu hat (MLE)       30.62       nu star (bias corrected)       26.22         48       MLE Mean (bias corrected)       618.5       MLE Sd (bias corrected)       683.3         49       Solo       Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution       90% Percentile       1495         50       95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL       2172       90% Percentile       1495			Data Not Gamr	na Distribute	ed at 5% Significance Le	evel			
Image: Gamma Statistics	43								
45k hat (MLE)0.957k star (bias corrected MLE)0.81946Theta hat (MLE)646.3Theta star (bias corrected MLE)75547nu hat (MLE)30.62nu star (bias corrected)26.2248MLE Mean (bias corrected)618.5MLE Sd (bias corrected)683.34950Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution5195% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL217290% Percentile149551Salescond Gamma UPL217290% Percentile1495	44			Gamma	Statistics				
46       Theta hat (MLE)       646.3       Theta star (bias corrected MLE)       755         47       nu hat (MLE)       30.62       nu star (bias corrected)       26.22         48       MLE Mean (bias corrected)       618.5       MLE Sd (bias corrected)       683.3         49              50       Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution       90% Percentile       1495         51       95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL       2172       90% Percentile       1495	45		k hat (MLE)	0.957		k s	tar (bias corrected M	/ILE)	0.819
17       nu hat (MLE)       30.62       nu star (bias corrected)       26.22         18       MLE Mean (bias corrected)       618.5       MLE Sd (bias corrected)       683.3         19       Image: Start Stripping Start Star	46		Theta hat (MLE)	646.3		Theta s	tar (bias corrected M	/LE)	755
MLE Mean (bias corrected)     618.5     MLE Sd (bias corrected)     683.3       49     Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution       50     95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL     2172     90% Percentile     1495       51     95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL     2172     90% Percentile     1495	47		nu hat (MLE)	30.62			nu star (bias correc	cted)	26.22
49         Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution         50       90% Percentile       1495         51       95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL       2172       90% Percentile       1495         51       95% Opercentile       1495       1080	48	MI	E Mean (bias corrected)	618.5			MLE Sd (bias correc	cted)	683.3
Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution           50         Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution           51         95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL         2172         90% Percentile         1495           51         Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution         90% Percentile         1495	49				1				
95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL     2172     90% Percentile     1495       91     90% Percentile     1495	50		Background St	atistics Ass	uming Gamma Distributi	ion			
Delage d to (EV-blow distance) (0.00 Minute and the line of the li	51	95% Wilson Hilferty (W	'H) Approx. Gamma UPL	2172			90% Perce	ntile	1495
		Released to Thragiangkints2126/43028	When the second s	2459			95% Perce	ntile	1989

	A B C D E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
53	Received 95% ONCHDApp2626624723721 0.509418 ABM/s Coverag					99	9% Percentile	31 <b>Page 9</b> 2 of 18
54	95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverag							
55	95% WH US	L 3251				9	95% HW USL	3945
56								
57		-	al GOF Test					
58	Shapiro Wilk Test Statisti				piro Wilk Log			
59	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Valu				Lognormal at	•		
60	Lilliefors Test Statisti				liefors Logno			
61	5% Lilliefors Critical Valu				Lognormal at	t 5% Signifi	cance Level	
62	Data Not	Lognormal a	nt 5% Signific	ance Level				
63								
64	Background S		uming Lognor	mal Distribu	ution			
65	95% UTL with 95% Coverag						Percentile (z)	2219
66	95% UPL (	,					Percentile (z)	3785
67	95% US	L 12242				99%	Percentile (z)	10308
68								
69	Nonparametri		•		stics			
70	Data app	ear Normal a	at 5% Signific	ance Level				
71								
72	Nonparametric U	oper Limits fo	or Backgroun	d Threshold	Values			
73	Order of Statistic,						5% Coverage	1500
74	Approx, f used to compute achieved Co	0.842	Approxima	te Actual Co	onfidence Coe	efficient ach	ieved by UTL	0.56
75			Approxin	nate Sample	Size needed	to achieve	specified CC	59
76	95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverag	e 1500		95% BC	A Bootstrap L	JTL with 9	5% Coverage	1500
77	95% UP	L 1500				90	0% Percentile	1110
78	90% Chebyshev UP					9	5% Percentile	1275
79	95% Chebyshev UP					99	9% Percentile	1455
80	95% US	L 1500						
81								
82			•	•			-	
83	Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BT	V only when t	the data set re	presents a	background d	lata set fre	e of outliers	
84	and consists of obser	vations collec	ted from clea	n unimpacte	d locations.			
85	The use of USL tends to provide a bala	ance betweer	n false positive	es and false	negatives pro	ovided the o	data	
86	represents a background data set and	when many o	nsite observa	tions need t	o be compare	ed with the l	BTV.	
87								

1 4	A B C Received by OCD: 12/26/2023 11	D E Backboold Statistics for	F or Uncensor	G H I J K ed Full Data Sets	L Page S
2	User Selected Options				
3	Date/Time of Computation	ProUCL 5.111/8/2023 1:4	16:57 PM		
4	From File	WorkSheet.xls			
5	Full Precision	OFF			
6	Confidence Coefficient	95%			
7	Coverage	95%			
8	New or Future K Observations	1			
9	Number of Bootstrap Operations	2000			
0					
1	Inex #3 Chlroide (6'-20')				
2					
3	General Statistics				
4	Total	Number of Observations	32	Number of Distinct Observations	23
5		Minimum	32	First Quartile	380
6		Second Largest		Median	544
7		Maximum	1390	Third Quartile	720
8		Mean	565.1	SD	309.6
9		Coefficient of Variation	0.548	Skewness	0.501
0		Mean of logged Data	6.088	SD of logged Data	0.89
1					
22				nd Threshold Values (BTVs)	
3	Toler	rance Factor K (For UTL)	2.186	d2max (for USL)	2.773
4					
25				GOF Test	
6		hapiro Wilk Test Statistic	0.957	Shapiro Wilk GOF Test	
7	5% Sł	napiro Wilk Critical Value	0.93	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level	
8		Lilliefors Test Statistic	0.117	Lilliefors GOF Test	
9	5	% Lilliefors Critical Value	0.154	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level	
80		Data appe	ar Normal at	t 5% Significance Level	
31					
2				suming Normal Distribution	
3	95% נ	JTL with 95% Coverage	1242	90% Percentile (z)	961.8
4		95% UPL (t)	1098	95% Percentile (z)	1074
5		95% USL	1424	99% Percentile (z)	1285
6					
37					
8		A-D Test Statistic	1.433	Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test	
9		5% A-D Critical Value	0.758	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Lev	el
0		K-S Test Statistic	0.206	Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test	
1		5% K-S Critical Value	0.157	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Lev	el
2		Data Not Gamr	na Distribut	ed at 5% Significance Level	
13			0	Otatiatica	
4		I. I /s.al == \		Statistics	1 001
15		k hat (MLE)	2.163	k star (bias corrected MLE)	1.981
6		Theta hat (MLE)	261.3	Theta star (bias corrected MLE)	285.3
17		nu hat (MLE)	138.4	nu star (bias corrected)	126.8
18	ML	E Mean (bias corrected)	565.1	MLE Sd (bias corrected)	401.5
49		D			
50				uming Gamma Distribution	1101
51		(H) Approx. Gamma UPL	1370	90% Percentile	1101
52	Released to Thaglangkints2/26/2002B	Wy Rapport Gamma UPL	1464	95% Percentile	1344

	A B C D	E	F	G	Н		J	K	L
53	Received 5%00CHDApp2626624h2Aad UTU9wh2 ABS	Ũ	1717					99% Percentile	18 <b>Bage 94 o</b>
54	95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95%	-	1888						
55	95	% WH USL	2234					95% HW USL	2548
56									
57	Lognormal GOF Test								
58	Shapiro Wilk To		0.787		-	piro Wilk Lo	-		
59	5% Shapiro Wilk Ci		0.93			•	-	nificance Level	
60		est Statistic	0.264			lliefors Logr			
61	5% Lilliefors Ci		0.154			Lognormal a	at 5% Sig	nificance Level	
62		Data Not L	ognormal at	t 5% Significa	ance Level				
63									
64		-		ming Lognor	mal Distribu	ution			
65	95% UTL with 95%	Ũ	3082					0% Percentile (z)	1378
66	g	95% UPL (t)	2039					5% Percentile (z)	1904
67		95% USL	5196				99	9% Percentile (z)	3491
68									
69	Nor	•		Free Backg		stics			
70		Data appea	ar Normal at	t 5% Significa	ance Level				
71									
72				r Background	d Threshold				
73		of Statistic, r	32					95% Coverage	1390
74	Approx, f used to compute a	chieved CC	1.684					achieved by UTL	0.806
75				Approxim				eve specified CC	59
76	95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95%	-	1390		95% BC/	A Bootstrap	UTL with	95% Coverage	1390
77		95% UPL	1247					90% Percentile	891.2
78		byshev UPL	1508					95% Percentile	1126
79	95% Cheb	oyshev UPL	1935					99% Percentile	1322
80		95% USL	1390						
81									
82					,			5	
83	Therefore, one may use USL to estin	mate a BTV	only when th	ne data set re	presents a l	background	data set	free of outliers	
84				ed from clear	-				
85	The use of USL tends to pro	ovide a balar	nce between	false positive	es and false	negatives p	orovided th	ne data	
	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.								
86	represents a background dat	ta set and wi	nen many or	isite observa	tions need to	o be compa	red with ti	ne BIV.	
86 87	represents a background dat	ta set and wi	nen many or	ISITE ODSERVA	tions need to	o be compa	red with ti	ne BIV.	

# ATTACHMENT 5 – PHOTOGRAPHIC DOCUMENTATION

EOG Resources, Inc.

Background Soil Chloride Investigation Report Inex #3 Incident No. NAPP2110635348



PHOTOGRAPH NO. 1 – A general view of the Site during background soil investigation on November 6, 2023.



PHOTOGRAPH NO. 2 – A photograph documenting one of the excavations to 20 feet below ground surface.

EOG Resources, Inc.

Background Soil Chloride Investigation Report Inex #3 Incident No. NAPP2110635348



PHOTOGRAPH NO. 3 – A view of the personnel collecting a soil sample during the November 6, 2023 assessment.



PHOTOGRAPH NO. 4 – A view of personnel installing a soil boring using a decontaminated hand auger to four feet bgs on November 9, 2023.



## SITE ASSESSMENT AND REMEDIATION PLAN UPDATE

INEX #3 #NAPP2110635348 UNIT A, SECTION 26, TOWNSHIP 18S, RANGE 26E EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO 32.724228, -104.346278 RANGER REFERENCE NO. 5375

**PREPARED FOR:** 

EOG RESOURCES, INC. ARTESIA DIVISION 105 S 4TH STREET ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO 88210

PREPARED BY:

RANGER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, LLC P.O. BOX 201179 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78720

NOVEMBER 17, 2022

Patrick K. Finn, P.G. (TX) Project Geologist

William Kierdorf, REM Project Manager

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	SITE LOCATION AND BACKGROUND1	
2.0	VERTICAL DELINEATION UPDATE	
2.1	Vertical Delineation Soil Borings2	
2.2	Additional Test Excavations4	
3.0	REMEDIATION PLAN	

## FORM C-141

## FIGURES

- Topographic Map
- Area Map
- Additional Vertical Delineation Assessment Location Map

## TABLES

• Site Assessment Soil Sample BTEX, TPH & Chloride Analytical Data

## ATTACHMENTS

- Attachment 1 Photographic Documentation
- Attachment 2 Laboratory Analytical Report
- Attachment 3 NMOCD Correspondence
- Attachment 4 Soil Boring Logs



### SITE ASSESSMENT AND REMEDIATION PLAN UPDATE INEX #3 #NAPP2110635348 UNIT A, SECTION 26, TOWNSHIP 18S, RANGE 26E EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO 32.724228, -104.346278 RANGER REFERENCE NO. 5375

## 1.0 SITE LOCATION AND BACKGROUND

The Inex #3 well pad (Site) is located approximately 8.7 miles southeast of Artesia within Eddy County, New Mexico. The facility is situated in Unit A, Section 26, T18S-R26E at GPS coordinates 32.724228, -104.346278. During plugging and abandonment of the well at the Site, an area of concern related to an apparent unknown historic produced water spill was discovered in the vicinity of the well head location. To address the impacted soils, an area measuring approximately 85 feet by 60 feet was reportedly excavated to a depth of approximately three feet below ground surface (bgs) and then backfilled.

EOG Resources, Inc. (EOG) subsequently engaged Ranger Environmental Services, LLC (Ranger) to assist in the assessment and remediation of the site conditions. A "*Proposed Site Assessment Plan*" was developed, submitted, and received preliminary approval by the NMOCD on June 9, 2021. Following the completion of these proposed assessment activities, further assessment was deemed necessary, and a "*Project Update and Proposed Additional Assessment*" plan (dated July 14, 2021) was prepared to further assess the impacts at the Site.

On July 21 and 22, 2021, the additional assessment activities proposed in the July 14, 2021 plan were conducted at the site. The results of the July 2021 assessment activities were presented in the "*Site Assessment Update and Work Plan*" report (dated September 13, 2021). This report also contained a work plan for proposed additional horizontal and vertical delineation activities. The proposed activities were approved by the NMOCD on December 16, 2021. The NMOCD approval contained several conditions of approval, including the altering of the proposed background soil boring location. The approved work plan activities were subsequently completed in January-February 2022. The results of the January-February 2022 assessment activities were presented in the March 9, 2022 "*Site Assessment Update*" report.

In April 2022, a "*Proposed Remediation Plan*", dated April 26, 2022, (*Remediation Plan*) was prepared and submitted to the NMOCD. The *Remediation Plan* summarized the completed assessment efforts and detailed a proposed remedial strategy to address the conditions documented at the Site. Due to the extensive soil impacts at the Site, the proposed plan requested a variance to NMAC 19.15.29.12 to allow for limited soil removal operations and the installation of a 20 mil synthetic liner. On June 13, 2022, the NMOCD denied the remediation plan for reasons primarily concerning depth-to-groundwater in the area and requested the performance of additional vertical delineation activities to document the vertical extent of the site soil impacts.

STATE OF TEXAS PROFESSIONAL GEOSCIENTIST FIRM NO. 50140 • STATE OF TEXAS PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING FIRM NO. F-6160

P.O. BOX 201179 AUSTIN, TX 78720 OFFICE: 512/335-1785 FAX: 512/335-0527

In June and July 2022, Ranger personnel and representatives of EOG conducted additional vertical soil delineation activities at the Site. The results of these activities were summarized in Ranger's August 26, 2022 "*Site Update and Additional Assessment Plan.*" As summarized in the report, further vertical delineation activities were determined to be necessary in order to delineate the site soil chloride concentrations to the 600 mg/Kg target concentration. As such, the report included a work plan for the installation of four additional test excavations and two additional soil borings at the Site. These proposed activities were completed at the site during September-October, 2022.

This report has been prepared to update the NMOCD with the findings of the September-October, 2022 vertical delineation activities. In addition, this report also respectfully requests NMOCD reconsideration of the usage of limited soil removal operations and the installation of a 20 mil synthetic liner for the remediation of the subject site since the vertical extent of the soil impacts at the site have now been delineated to 600 mg/Kg chloride prior to reaching groundwater.

A "*Topographic Map*" and "*Area Map*" are attached which illustrate the location of the subject site and surrounding areas. The attached "*Additional Vertical Delineation Assessment Location Map*" depicts the locations of the recent vertical delineation locations as well as the prior site sampling locations.

## 2.0 VERTICAL DELINEATION UPDATE

## 2.1 Vertical Delineation Soil Borings

Ranger's August 26, 2022 "*Site Update and Additional Assessment Plan*" included provisions to install two vertical delineation soil borings in the immediate vicinity of the "E-1.A(A)", "SE-2A(A)", and "SE-2-B(A)" test excavations which were completed during the June 30 and July 1, 2022 assessment activities. As detailed in the "*Site Update and Additional Assessment Plan*", dated August 26, 2022, the proposed soil borings were to be completed as groundwater monitoring wells if the vertical extent of the soil chloride impacts was not delineated prior to reaching groundwater.

On September 28, 2022, Ranger personnel and representatives for HCI Drilling arrived on-site to install the proposed soil borings ("SB-3/TW-1" and "SB-4/TW-2"). The attached "Additional Vertical Delineation Assessment Location Map" depicts the locations of the two soil borings. The drilling and sampling was conducted using an air rotary rig with a split spoon sampler.

Soil samples were continuously collected and monitored during the drilling process via soil cuttings and split spoon sampler. The generated soils were inspected and described by the onsite Ranger field geologist. Soil samples were collected via split spoon at approximate five-foot intervals and from the terminal depth of each boring for field screening and laboratory sampling purposes. The soils were screened utilizing an OVM and field chloride titration kit. The field readings were utilized to determine the appropriate depth of investigation, as well as to assist in the selection of soil samples for laboratory analysis.

During the drilling of soil boring "SB-3/TW-1", elevated field chloride readings were encountered from the surface to a depth of approximately 25 feet bgs where a field chloride reading of 600 mg/Kg was obtained. No elevated field chloride readings (in excess of 600 ppm) were encountered between 25 feet bgs and the terminal depth of the soil boring (32 feet bgs). In



addition, no significantly elevated field OVM readings were encountered during the "SB-3/TW-1" soil boring installation process.

While drilling soil boring "SB-4/TW-2" on September 28, 2022, elevated field chloride readings were encountered from the surface to a depth of approximately 32 feet bgs where the field readings indicated that the 600 mg/Kg delineation goal had nearly been attained. The drilling was halted, however, since a damp interval was noted in the soil boring just above an underlying caliche layer present at 32 feet. Due to the possibility that a perched water-bearing zone had been encountered overlying the caliche bed, the soil boring was converted to a temporary monitoring well, as was soil boring "SB-03/TW-1", to confirm whether or not groundwater had been encountered. The temporary monitor wells were constructed using 2"-diameter Schedule 40 PVC with 20 feet of screen and riser pipe, and were allowed to equilibrate for one week. The wells were gauged with an electronic interface probe on September 30, 2022, October 3, 2022 and October 5, 2022 and were found to be dry thus confirming the absence of a perched water-bearing zone.

On October 5, 2022, after confirming the absence of shallow groundwater in the temporary monitoring wells, soil boring "SB-4" was re-entered after removing the temporary well casing in order to complete the vertical delineation of the soil chloride impacts. Upon reaching a depth of 33 feet bgs, the field chloride readings indicated that the 600 mg/Kg delineation goal had been achieved. As such, both soil borings/temporary wells were properly plugged and abandoned by HCI Drilling.

In order to confirm the field screening results and the attainment of the 600 mg/Kg vertical delineation goal, soil samples were collected from both soil borings for laboratory analysis. At the soil boring "SB-3/TW-1" location, samples for laboratory analysis were collected at depths of approximately 15 feet, 30 feet, and 32 feet bgs. At the soil boring "SB-4/TW-2" location, samples for laboratory analysis were collected at depths of approximately 20 feet, 30 feet, 32 feet, and 33 feet bgs.

Ranger personnel wore new latex or nitrile gloves while handling each soil sample in order to prevent cross-contamination of samples. The soil samples were containerized in sterile, laboratory-supplied containers, and were subsequently sealed in one or more zip lock bags and stored in a sample shuttle containing ice until arrival at the laboratory for chemical analysis. All sample containers were labeled with the project name, sample identification, date of sample collection, samplers' initials, and the time the sample was collected. The samples were managed using standard QA/QC and chain-of-custody procedures.

Upon collection, the soil samples were submitted to Hall Environmental Laboratory in Albuquerque, New Mexico for analysis of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) using EPA Method 8015; benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes (BTEX) using EPA Method 8021; and, total chloride using EPA Method 300. The analytical results for the soil testing are summarized in the attached analytical data table. Copies of the signed analytical reports, quality control documentation and chain-of-custody documentation for the soil samples are also attached.

The soil analytical results confirmed that the vertical extent of the soil chloride impacts in the two soil borings had been delineated to 600 mg/Kg prior to reaching groundwater. Both the 30'-deep and 32'-deep samples collected from soil boring "SB-3/TW-1" were found to contain chloride concentrations below 600 mg/Kg. In soil boring "SB-4/TW-2", the 32'-deep soil sample was found to contain 670 mg/Kg chloride, which was just above the 600 mg/Kg delineation goal. However,



the sample collected from "SB-4/TW-2" at a depth of 33' bgs was found to contain 400 mg/Kg chloride which was well below the 600 mg/Kg delineation goal.

In summary, the soil boring investigation activities documented that the 600 mg/Kg vertical delineation goal had been achieved at depths of approximately 30'-33' bgs prior to encountering any groundwater.

All soil cuttings generated during the soil boring installation process were containerized in sealed and labeled 55-gallon metal drums and were stored on-site pending disposal in conjunction with the planned site remediation activities. Copies of the soil boring logs and photographic documentation for the installed soil borings are attached.

## 2.2 Additional Test Excavations

Ranger's August 26, 2022 "*Site Update and Additional Assessment Plan*" also included provisions to install and sample four additional test excavations to complete the vertical delineation of the soil chloride impacts in the area of prior test excavations "NE-3.B(A)", "E-1.D(A)", "ESE-1(A)", and "ESE-2.A." These test excavations had been documented to contain relatively minor exceedances of the 600 mg/Kg chloride target concentration at their terminal depths which ranged from 6'-12' bgs. The vertical delineation activities at these locations thus appeared to be achievable with earth moving equipment.

On October 24, 2022, Ranger personnel and representatives for EOG installed and sampled the four additional vertical delineation test trenches. The sampling locations are illustrated on the attached "Additional Vertical Delineation Assessment Location Map."

During the installation of the vertical delineation test excavations, Ranger personnel screened the soils with an organic vapor monitor (OVM) and a field chloride titration kit at one-foot intervals beginning at the depth at which the prior test excavations "NE-3.B(A)", "E-1.D(A)", "ESE-1(A)", and "ESE-2.A" had been halted. As summarized above, the terminal depth samples collected from these prior test excavations had documented that the vertical extent of the chloride impacts at those locations had not been delineated to 600 mg/Kg.

The October 24, 2022 vertical delineation test excavations were subsequently completed to depths (approximately 10'-14' bgs) where the field readings indicated that soil chloride concentrations were below 600 mg/Kg. Upon completion of the field screening process at each test excavation location, a minimum of two discrete grab soil samples were collected from each test excavation for laboratory analysis, including one from the terminal depth of each test excavation.

Ranger personnel wore new latex or nitrile gloves while handling each soil sample in order to prevent cross-contamination of samples. The soil samples were containerized in sterile, laboratory-supplied containers, and were subsequently sealed in one or more zip lock bags and stored in a sample shuttle containing ice until arrival at the laboratory for chemical analysis. All sample containers were labeled with the project name, sample identification, date of sample collection, samplers' initials, and the time the sample was collected.

Upon collection, the soil samples were submitted to Hall Environmental Laboratory in Albuquerque, New Mexico for analysis of TPH, BTEX and chlorides using Methods 8015, 8021 and 300, respectively. The samples were managed using standard QA/QC and chain-of-custody procedures. The analytical results for the soil testing are summarized in the attached analytical



data table. Copies of the signed analytical report, quality control documentation and chain-ofcustody documentation for the soil samples are also attached.

The results of the soil testing documented that the 600 mg/Kg vertical delineation goal had been achieved at depths ranging from approximately 8'-14' bgs in test excavations "ESE-1(B)", "E-1-D(B)" and "ESE-2-A(A)". The vertical extent of the chloride impacts in test excavation "NE-3-B(B)" was not delineated to 600 mg/Kg chloride. The 13' bgs termination depth sample collected from this test excavation was documented to contain 760 mg/Kg chloride. It should be noted that the field chloride readings in this test excavation indicated that the 600 mg/Kg vertical delineation goal had been achieved at a depth of 11' bgs. As such, Ranger suspects that slough from the upper portions of this test excavation may have inadvertently been incorporated into the terminal depth soil sample.

Rather than proposing additional vertical delineation activities to delineate the vertical extent of the chloride impact in test excavation "NE-3-B(B)", Ranger believes that the cumulative site data is sufficient to reasonably assume that the relatively minor exceedance of the 600 mg/Kg chloride delineation goal in test excavation NE-3-B(B) at a depth of 13' bgs does not pose any threat to the underlying groundwater. Other site locations with much higher chloride concentrations than that documented to be present in test excavation "NE-3-B(B)" have now been vertically delineated to below 600 mg/Kg prior to encountering any groundwater. If for any reason, however, the NMOCD feels differently, then per NMOCD request the vertical extent of impact at this location will be delineated in conjunction with the proposed site remediation activities.

## 3.0 REMEDIATION PLAN

In April 2022, a "*Proposed Remediation Plan*" report (dated April 26, 2022) was prepared and submitted to the NMOCD. The plan detailed a proposed remedial strategy to address the conditions documented at the Site. Due to the extensive soil impacts at the Site, the proposed plan requested a variance to NMAC 19.15.29.12 to allow for limited soil removal operations and the installation of a 20 mil synthetic liner. On June 13, 2022, the NMOCD denied the remediation plan for reasons primarily concerning depth-to-groundwater in the area and requested the performance of additional vertical delineation activities to document the vertical extent of the site soil impacts.

Since the site soil impacts have now been vertically delineated and shown to decrease to below 600 mg/Kg prior to reaching groundwater, Ranger respectfully requests NMOCD reconsideration of the April 2022 "*Proposed Remediation Plan*" and approval of the usage of limited soil removal operations and the installation of a 20 mil synthetic liner for the remediation of the subject site.



## **FORM C-141**

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Page 106 of 185

Form C-141 Revised August 24, 2018 Submit to appropriate OCD District office

)

Incident ID	nAPP2110635348
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

## **Release Notification**

## **Responsible Party**

Responsible Party EOG Resources, Inc.	OGRID 7377		
Contact Name Chase Settle	Contact Telephone 575-748-1471		
Contact email Chase_Settle@eogresources.com Incident # (assigned by OCD)			
Contact mailing address 104 S. 4th Street, Artesia, NM 88210			

## **Location of Release Source**

Latitude 32.72415

Longitude	-104.34635

(NAD 83 in decimal degrees to 5 decimal places)

Site Name Inex #3	Site Type Oil Well
Date Release Discovered 09/17/2019	API# (if applicable) <b>30-015-25916</b>

Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	County
A	26	18S	26E	Eddy

Surface Owner: State Federal Tribal Private (Name: EOG Resources, Inc.

## Nature and Volume of Release

Material(s) Released (Select all that apply and attach calculations or specific justification for the volumes provided below)

Crude Oil	Volume Released (bbls)	Volume Recovered (bbls)
Produced Water	Volume Released (bbls) Unknown	Volume Recovered (bbls) 0
	Is the concentration of dissolved chloride in the produced water >10,000 mg/l?	Ves No
Condensate	Volume Released (bbls)	Volume Recovered (bbls)
Natural Gas	Volume Released (Mcf)	Volume Recovered (Mcf)
Other (describe)	Volume/Weight Released (provide units)	Volume/Weight Recovered (provide units)
Cause of Release Histo	rical impacts discovered during the P&A of the	well. Release volume and date are unknown.

## Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	nAPP2110635348
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Was this a major release as defined by 19.15.29.7(A) NMAC?	If YES, for what reason(s) does the responsible party consider this a major release?	
🗌 Yes 📈 No		
If YES, was immediate notice given to the OCD? By whom? To whom? When and by what means (phone, email, etc)?		

## **Initial Response**

The responsible party must undertake the following actions immediately unless they could create a safety hazard that would result in injury

 $\checkmark$  The source of the release has been stopped.

 $\checkmark$  The impacted area has been secured to protect human health and the environment.

Released materials have been contained via the use of berms or dikes, absorbent pads, or other containment devices.

All free liquids and recoverable materials have been removed and managed appropriately.

If all the actions described above have not been undertaken, explain why:

Per 19.15.29.8 B. (4) NMAC the responsible party may commence remediation immediately after discovery of a release. If remediation has begun, please attach a narrative of actions to date. If remedial efforts have been successfully completed or if the release occurred within a lined containment area (see 19.15.29.11(A)(5)(a) NMAC), please attach all information needed for closure evaluation.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

Printed Name	Chase	Settle
Printed Name	Undoc	OCUIC

Signature: Chan Settle

Title:	Rep	Safety	&	Environmental Sr

email: Chase\_Settle@eogresources.com

Date: 04/16/2021

Telephone: 575-748-1471

OCD Only

Received by: Ramona Marcus

Date: 5/7/2021

Page 2

Received by OCD: 12/26/2023 11:09:12/AM Form C-141 State of New Mexico

Page 3

Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

## Site Assessment/Characterization

This information must be provided to the appropriate district office no later than 90 days after the release discovery date.

What is the shallowest depth to groundwater beneath the area affected by the release?		
Did this release impact groundwater or surface water?		
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse or any other significant watercourse?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
Are the lateral extents of the release within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark)?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of an occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
Are the lateral extents of the release within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes?		
Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
Are the lateral extents of the release within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
Did the release impact areas <b>not</b> on an exploration, development, production, or storage site?		

Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics.

#### Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report.

Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells.
Field data
Data table of soil contaminant concentration data
Depth to water determination
Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within 1/2-mile of the lateral extents of the release
Boring or excavation logs
Photographs including date and GIS information
Tonographic/Aerial mans

Laboratory data including chain of custody

If the site characterization report does not include completed efforts at remediation of the release, the report must include a proposed remediation plan. That plan must include the estimated volume of material to be remediated, the proposed remediation technique, proposed sampling plan and methods, anticipated timelines for beginning and completing the remediation. The closure criteria for a release are contained in Table 1 of 19.15.29.12 NMAC, however, use of the table is modified by site- and release-specific parameters.
Received by OCD: 12/26/	2023 11:09:12 AM State of New Mexico	Page 109 of 185
		Incident ID
Page 4	Oil Conservation Division	District RP
		Facility ID
		Application ID
regulations all operators ar public health or the environ failed to adequately investi addition, OCD acceptance and/or regulations. Printed Name: Signature:	re required to report and/or file certain release notific nment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCI igate and remediate contamination that pose a threat of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of res T	st of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and ations and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger D does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In ponsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws "itle:
OCD Only		
Received by:		Date:

Received by OCD: 12/26/2023 11:09:12/AM

Oil Conservation Division

<u>Remediation Plan Checklist</u>: Each of the following items must be included in the plan.

Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

## **Remediation Plan**

Detailed description of proposed remediation technique Scaled sitemap with GPS coordinates showing delineation points Estimated volume of material to be remediated Closure criteria is to Table 1 specifications subject to 19.15.29.12(C)(4) NMAC Proposed schedule for remediation (note if remediation plan timeline is more than 90 days OCD approval is required) Deferral Requests Only: Each of the following items must be confirmed as part of any request for deferral of remediation. Contamination must be in areas immediately under or around production equipment where remediation could cause a major facility deconstruction. Extents of contamination must be fully delineated. Contamination does not cause an imminent risk to human health, the environment, or groundwater. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. Printed Name: Title: Signature: Date: Telephone: \_\_\_\_\_ email: OCD Only Received by: Date: Approved Approved with Attached Conditions of Approval Denied Deferral Approved Signature: Date:

Page 5

Received by OCD: 12/26/2023 11:09:12/AM State of New Mexico

Page 6

Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

## Closure

The responsible party must attach information demonstrating they have complied with all applicable closure requirements and any conditions or directives of the OCD. This demonstration should be in the form of a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) including a scaled site map, sampling diagrams, relevant field notes, photographs of any excavation prior to backfilling, laboratory data including chain of custody documents of final sampling, and a narrative of the remedial activities. Refer to 19.15.29.12 NMAC.

Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Each of the following	items must be included in the closure report.	
A scaled site and sampling diagram as described in 19.15.29.11 NMAC		
Photographs of the remediated site prior to backfill or photos of the liner integrity if applicable (Note: appropriate OCD District office must be notified 2 days prior to liner inspection)		
Laboratory analyses of final sampling (Note: appropriate OD	C District office must be notified 2 days prior to final sampling)	
Description of remediation activities		
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. The responsible party acknowledges they must substantially restore, reclaim, and re-vegetate the impacted surface area to the conditions that existed prior to the release or their final land use in accordance with 19.15.29.13 NMAC including notification to the OCD when reclamation and re-vegetation are complete. Printed Name: Title: Signature: Date: email: Telephone:		
OCD Only		
Received by:	Date:	
	of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and water, human health, or the environment nor does not relieve the responsible	

Closure Approved by:	_ Date:
Printed Name:	Title:

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240

District II

District IV

Phone:(575) 393-6161 Fax:(575) 393-0720

811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 Phone:(575) 748-1283 Fax:(575) 748-9720

Phone:(505) 334-6178 Fax:(505) 334-6170

1220 S. St Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 Phone:(505) 476-3470 Fax:(505) 476-3462

District III 1000 Rio Brazos Rd., Aztec, NM 87410

CONDITI	ONS

Action 24325

## State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

### CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Operator:				OGRID:	Action Number:	Action Type:
EOG F	RESOURCES INC	P.O. Box 2267	Midland, TX79702	7377	24325	C-141
OCD Reviewer	Condition					
rmarcus	When submitting future reports	regarding this release.	lease submit the calculations used or specific justification for	or the volumes reported o	n the initial C-141	

Received by OCD: 12/26/2023 11:09:12 AM State of New Mexico

Oil Conservation Division

	Page get 3 (of 12	83
Incident ID	nAPP2110635348	
District RP		
Facility ID		
Application ID		

## Site Assessment/Characterization

This information must be provided to the appropriate district office no later than 90 days after the release discovery date.

What is the shallowest depth to groundwater beneath the area affected by the release?	<u>&lt;50'</u> (ft bgs)
Did this release impact groundwater or surface water?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse or any other significant watercourse?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark)?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of an occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Did the release impact areas <b>not</b> on an exploration, development, production, or storage site?	🖂 Yes 🗌 No

Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics.

### Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report.

- Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells.
- Field data
- Data table of soil contaminant concentration data
- $\boxtimes$  Depth to water determination
- Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-mile of the lateral extents of the release
- Boring or excavation logs
- Photographs including date and GIS information
- Topographic/Aerial maps
- Laboratory data including chain of custody

If the site characterization report does not include completed efforts at remediation of the release, the report must include a proposed remediation plan. That plan must include the estimated volume of material to be remediated, the proposed remediation technique, proposed sampling plan and methods, anticipated timelines for beginning and completing the remediation. The closure criteria for a release are contained in Table 1 of 19.15.29.12 NMAC, however, use of the table is modified by site- and release-specific parameters.

Received by O	CD: 12/26/2023 11:09:12[AM State of New Mexico		Page 114 70 f 185
		Incident ID	nAPP2110635348
Page 4	Oil Conservation Division	District RP	
		Facility ID	
		Application ID	
regulations all public health failed to adequ addition, OCI and/or regulat	e: <u>Chase Settle</u> Title: <u>Re</u> <u>Chase Settle</u> Date:	s and perform corrective actions for rele es not relieve the operator of liability sho oundwater, surface water, human health	ases which may endanger ould their operations have or the environment. In
OCD Only Received by	:Jocelyn Harimon	Date: 01/06/2023	

Received by OCD: 12/26/2023 11:09:12/AM Form C-141 State of New Mexico

Page 5

Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	nAPP2110635348
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

## **Remediation Plan**

<u>Remediation Plan Checklist</u> : Each of the following items must be included in the plan.				
<ul> <li>Detailed description of proposed remediation technique</li> <li>Scaled sitemap with GPS coordinates showing delineation points</li> <li>Estimated volume of material to be remediated</li> <li>Closure criteria is to Table 1 specifications subject to 19.15.29.12(C)(4) NMAC</li> <li>Proposed schedule for remediation (note if remediation plan timeline is more than 90 days OCD approval is required)</li> </ul>				
Deferral Requests Only. Each of the following items must be con	nfirmed as part of any request for deferral of remediation			
Deferral Requests Only: Each of the following items must be confirmed as part of any request for deferral of remediation.            Contamination must be in areas immediately under or around production equipment where remediation could cause a major facility deconstruction.             Extents of contamination must be fully delineated.				
Contamination does not cause an imminent risk to human health	n, the environment, or groundwater.			
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.				
Printed Name: Chase Settle	Title: Rep Safety & Environmental Sr			
Signature: Settle	Date: 11/17/2022			
email: Chase_Settle@eogresources.com	Telephone: <u>575-748-1471</u>			
OCD Only				
Received by: Jocelyn Harimon	Date:01/06/2023			
Approved Approved with Attached Conditions of	Approval Denied Deferral Approved			
Signature:	Date:			

## FIGURES

Topographic Map Area Map Additional Vertical Delineation Assessment Location Map Received by OCD: 12/26/2023 10:09:42/AM



Released to Imaging: 12/26/2028 11:16:30 AM

Received by OCD: 12/26/2023 11:09:12/AM



Released to Imaging: 12/26/2028 11:16:30 AM

## Received by OCD: 12/26/2023 10:09:12/AM

Page\_11920f\_185



Released to Imaging: 12/26/2028 11:16:30 AM

# TABLES

Site Assessment Soil Sample BTEX, TPH & Chloride Analytical Data

INEX #3

EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

				All valu	les presente	d in parts pe	r million (mg	g/Kg)					
SAMPLE ID	DATE	DEPTH (FT)	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL- BENZENE	TOTAL XYLENES	TOTAL BTEX	TPH GRO C6-C10	TPH DRO C10-C28	TPH MRO C28-C36	TPH (GRO+DRO)	TPH (GRO+DRO+ MRO)	CHLORIDE
Initial Site Assessment Grid San	mple Locations (Cor	nposite) : Ju	ly 16 & 17, 20	021									
A-1/0'	6/17/2021	0'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	<5.0	<9.5	<47	<14.5	<61.5	450
A-1/1'	6/17/2021	1'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.219	<4.9	<9.3	<46	<14.2	<60.2	190
A-1/2'	6/17/2021	2'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.217	<4.8	<9.6	<48	<14.4	<62.4	120
A-1/3'	6/17/2021	3'	<0.023	<0.047	<0.047	<0.093	<0.21	<4.7	<9.9	<50	<14.6	<64.6	<60
A-1/4'	6/17/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.216	<4.8	<9.6	<48	<14.4	<62.4	<61
A-2/0'	6/17/2021	0'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.224	<5.0	<9.2	<46	<14.2	<60.2	780
A-2/1'	6/17/2021	1'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.221	<4.9	<9.5	<47	<14.4	<61.4	410
A-2/2'	6/17/2021	2'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.216	<4.8	<9.6	<48	<14.4	<62.4	380
A-2/3'	6/17/2021	3'	<0.023	<0.047	<0.047	<0.093	<0.21	<4.7	<9.8	<49	<14.5	<63.5	310
A-2/4'	6/17/2021	4'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.221	<4.9	<9.7	<49	<14.6	<63.6	71
	·										•		
A-3/0'	6/17/2021	0'	<0.024	<0.047	<0.047	<0.095	<0.213	<4.7	<9.8	<49	<14.5	<63.5	340
A-3/1'	6/17/2021	1'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.216	<4.8	<9.4	<47	<14.2	<61.2	430
A-3/2'	6/17/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.222	<4.9	<9.9	<50	<14.8	<64.8	230
A-3/3'	6/17/2021	3'	<0.023	<0.046	<0.046	<0.093	<0.208	<4.6	<10	<50	<14.6	<64.6	74
A-3/4'	6/17/2021	4'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.222	<4.9	<9.8	<49	<14.7	<63.7	<60
	·										•		
A-4/0'	6/17/2021	0'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.222	<4.9	<9.3	<46	<14.2	<60.2	420
A-4/1'	6/17/2021	1'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.221	<4.9	<9	<45	<13.9	<58.9	700
A-4/2'	6/17/2021	2'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.22	<4.9	<9.4	<47	<14.3	<61.3	260
A-4/3'	6/17/2021	3'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.217	<4.8	<9.5	<47	<14.3	<61.3	<59
A-4/4'	6/17/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.216	<4.8	<9.9	<50	<14.7	<64.7	<59
B-1/0'	6/17/2021	0'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.224	<5.0	<9.7	<49	<14.7	<63.7	460
B-1/1'	6/17/2021	1'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.222	<4.9	<9.6	<48	<14.5	<62.5	260
B-1/2'	6/17/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.224	<5.0	<9.4	<47	<14.4	<61.4	69
B-1/3'	6/17/2021	3'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.222	<4.9	<9.6	<48	<14.5	<62.5	<60
B-1/4'	6/17/2021	4'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.224	<5.0	<9.4	<47	<14.4	<61.4	<60
B-2/0'	6/17/2021	0'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.224	<5.0	<9.4	<47	<14.4	<61.4	240
B-2/1'	6/17/2021	1'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.219	<4.9	<9.7	<49	<14.6	<63.6	370
B-2/2'	6/17/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	<5.0	62	110	62	172	610

TPH = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons mg/Kg = Milligrams per Kilogram

INEX #3

				All valu	les presente	d in parts per	million (mg	/Kg)					
SAMPLE ID	DATE	DEPTH (FT)	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL- BENZENE	TOTAL XYLENES	TOTAL BTEX	TPH GRO C6-C10	TPH DRO C10-C28	TPH MRO C28-C36	TPH (GRO+DRO)	TPH (GRO+DRO+ MRO)	CHLORIDE
B-2/3'	6/17/2021	3'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.219	<4.9	<9.6	<48	<14.5	<62.5	71
B-2/4'	6/17/2021	4'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.224	<5.0	<9.7	<49	<14.7	<63.7	<60
B-3/0'	6/17/2021	0'	<0.025	<0.05	<0.05	<0.099	<0.224	<5.0	<9.7	<49	<14.7	<63.7	1,800
B-3/1'	6/17/2021	1'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.222	<4.9	<9.7	<49	<14.6	<63.6	1,700
B-3/2'	6/17/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	<5.0	<9.5	<47	<14.5	<61.5	2,200
B-3/3'	6/17/2021	3'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	<5.0	<9.5	<48	<14.5	<62.5	2,400
B-3/4'	6/17/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.22	<4.9	<9.6	<48	<14.5	<62.5	2,600
B-4/0'	6/17/2021	0'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	<5.0	<9.3	<46	<14.3	<60.3	140
B-4/1'	6/17/2021	1'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.222	<4.9	<9.5	<48	<14.4	<62.4	640
B-4/2'	6/17/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.222	<4.9	<9.7	<48	<14.6	<62.6	660
B-4/3'	6/17/2021	3'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.222	<4.9	<9.7	<49	<14.6	<63.6	770
B-4/4'	6/17/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.219	<4.9	<9.4	<47	<14.3	<61.3	1,300
C-1/0'	6/17/2021	0'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.222	<4.9	<9.7	<48	<14.6	<62.6	110
C-1/1'	6/17/2021	1'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.222	<4.9	<9.8	<49	<14.7	<63.7	1,300
C-1/2'	6/17/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.222	<4.9	<9.7	<48	<14.6	<62.6	2,300
C-1/3'	6/17/2021	3'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.222	<4.9	<10	<50	<14.9	<64.9	1,500
C-1/4'	6/17/2021	4'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.222	<4.9	<10	<50	<14.9	<64.9	1,200
C-2/0'	6/17/2021	0'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.219	<4.9	<9.7	<48	<14.6	<62.6	140
C-2/1'	6/17/2021	1'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.222	<4.9	100	130	100	230	1,300
C-2/2'	6/17/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.222	<4.9	54	120	54	174	660
C-2/3'	6/17/2021	3'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.224	<5	56	120	56	176	1,000
C-2/4'	6/17/2021	4'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.222	<4.9	130	230	130	360	1,400
C-3/0'	6/17/2021	0'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	<50	54	230	54	284	850
C-3/1'	6/17/2021	1'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.217	<4.8	<9.6	<48	<14.4	<62.4	1,000
C-3/2'	6/17/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.224	<5.0	<9.8	<49	<14.8	<63.8	1,600
C-3/3'	6/17/2021	3'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.224	<5.0	<9.5	<47	<14.5	<61.5	2,000
C-3/4'	6/17/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.22	<4.9	<9	<45	<13.9	<58.9	2,200
C-4/0'	6/17/2021	0'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.224	<5.0	<9.8	<49	<14.8	<63.8	130

INEX #3

	All values presented in parts per million (mg/Kg)												
SAMPLE ID	DATE	DEPTH (FT)	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL- BENZENE	TOTAL XYLENES	TOTAL BTEX	TPH GRO C6-C10	TPH DRO C10-C28	TPH MRO C28-C36	TPH (GRO+DRO)	TPH (GRO+DRO+ MRO)	CHLORIDE
C-4/1'	6/17/2021	1'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	<5.0	<9.8	<49	<14.8	<63.8	740
C-4/2'	6/17/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.222	<4.9	<9.4	<47	<14.3	<61.3	810
C-4/3'	6/17/2021	3'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.221	<4.9	<9.8	<49	<14.7	<63.7	460
C-4/4'	6/17/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.217	<4.8	<9.9	<49	<14.7	<63.7	420
D-1/0'	6/16/2021	0'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	<5.0	<9.5	<47	<14.5	<61.5	770
D-1/1'	6/16/2021	1'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.221	<4.9	<9.3	<47	<14.2	<61.2	1,400
D-1/2'	6/16/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.221	<4.9	<9.8	<49	<14.7	<63.7	1,100
D-1/3'	6/16/2021	3'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	<5.0	<9.9	<50	<14.9	<64.9	1,100
D-1/4'	6/16/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.220	<4.9	<9.0	<45	<13.9	<58.9	820
D-2/0'	6/16/2021	0'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.220	<4.9	<9.8	<49	<14.7	<63.7	550
D-2/1'	6/16/2021	1'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.224	<5.0	<9.7	<48	<13.7	<62.7	350
D-2/2'	6/16/2021	2'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.219	<4.9	<9.7	<48	<14.6	<62.6	200
D-2/3'	6/16/2021	3'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.222	<4.9	<9.5	<47	<14.4	<61.4	<60
D-2/4'	6/16/2021	4'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.222	<4.9	<9.3	<47	<14.2	<61.2	<60
D-3/0'	6/16/2021	0'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.224	<5.0	<9.3	<47	<14.3	<61.3	710
D-3/1'	6/16/2021	1'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.219	<4.9	<10	<50	<14.9	<64.9	790
D-3/2'	6/16/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	<5.0	<9.6	<48	<14.6	<62.6	810
D-3/3'	6/16/2021	3'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	<5.0	<9.3	<46	<13.3	<60.3	900
D-3/4'	6/16/2021	4'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	<5.0	<9.7	<48	<13.7	<62.7	850
D-4/0'	6/16/2021	0'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.222	<4.9	<8.8	<44	<13.7	<57.7	74
D-4/1'	6/16/2021	1'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	<5.0	<9.5	<48	<14.5	<62.5	1,000
D-4/2'	6/16/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.221	<4.9	<9.3	<46	<14.2	<60.2	1,400
D-4/3'	6/16/2021	3'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	<5.0	<9.7	<49	<14.7	<63.7	1,600
D-4/4'	6/16/2021	4'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.222	<4.9	<9.7	<49	<14.6	<63.6	1,500
E-1/0'	6/16/2021	0'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	<5.0	<9.7	<49	<14.7	<63.7	170
E-1/1'	6/16/2021	1'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	<5.0	<9.3	<47	<14.3	<61.3	2,200
E-1/2'	6/16/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.221	<4.9	<9.6	<48	<14.5	<62.5	76
E-1/3'	6/16/2021	3'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.221	<4.9	<8.9	<44	<13.8	<57.8	140
E-1/4'	6/16/2021	4'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.222	<4.9	<9.7	<48	<14.6	<62.6	180

INEX #3

#### EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

				All valu	les presented	d in parts per	million (mg	/Kg)				
SAMPLE ID	DATE	DEPTH (FT)	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL- BENZENE	TOTAL XYLENES	TOTAL BTEX	TPH GRO C6-C10	TPH DRO C10-C28	TPH MRO C28-C36	TPH (GRO+DRO)	TPH (GRO+DRO+ MRO)
		T	T	T	n	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	n	1		
E-2/0'	6/16/2021	0'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.222	<4.9	<8.8	<44	<13.7	<57.7
E-2/1'	6/16/2021	1'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.221	<4.9	<10	<50	<14.9	<64.9
E-2/2'	6/16/2021	2'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.217	<4.8	<9.1	<46	<13.9	<59.9
E-2/3'	6/16/2021	3'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.222	<4.9	<9.3	<47	<14.2	<61.2
E-2/4'	6/16/2021	4'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	<5.0	<9.7	<49	<14.7	<63.7
E-3/0'	6/16/2021	0'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.222	<4.9	<9.4	<47	<14.3	<61.3
E-3/1'	6/16/2021	1'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.220	<4.9	<9.9	<49	<14.8	<63.8
E-3/2'	6/16/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.222	<4.9	<9.5	<47	<14.4	<61.4
E-3/3'	6/16/2021	3'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.217	<4.8	<9.6	<48	<14.4	<62.4
E-3/4'	6/16/2021	4'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.221	<4.9	<9.6	<48	<14.5	<62.5
	•	1		1								•
E-4/0'	6/16/2021	0'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	<5	<9.5	<48	<14.5	<62.5
E-4/1'	6/16/2021	1'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.219	<4.9	<9.5	<47	<14.4	<61.4
E-4/2'	6/16/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.224	<5.0	<9.7	<48	<14.7	<62.7
E-4/3'	6/16/2021	3'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.219	<4.9	<8.5	<43	<13.4	<56.4
E-4/4'	6/16/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.220	<4.9	<9.4	<47	<14.3	<61.3
												11
F-1/0'	6/16/2021	0'	<0.023	<0.046	<0.046	<0.091	<0.203	<4.6	<9.6	<48	<14.2	<62.2
F-1/1'	6/16/2021	1'	<0.023	<0.046	<0.046	<0.091	<0.203	<4.6	<9.8	<49	<14.4	<63.4
F-1/2'	6/16/2021	2'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.216	<4.8	<8.9	<45	<13.7	<58.7
F-1/3'	6/16/2021	3'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.216	<4.8	<9.3	<47	<14.1	<61.1
F-1/4'	6/16/2021	4'	<0.023	<0.047	<0.047	<0.093	<0.210	<4.7	<9.5	<48	<14.2	<62.2
										•		
		1	1	1				1				1

6/16/2021

6/16/2021

6/16/2021

6/16/2021

6/16/2021

6/16/2021

6/16/2021

6/16/2021

0'

1'

2'

3'

4'

0'

1'

2'

<0.023

< 0.023

<0.023

< 0.023

< 0.024

< 0.024

< 0.023

<0.024

<0.047

<0.046

< 0.046

< 0.047

<0.048

< 0.047

< 0.047

<0.048

< 0.047

< 0.046

< 0.046

< 0.047

<0.048

< 0.047

< 0.047

<0.048

F-2/0'

F-2/1'

F-2/2'

F-2/3'

F-2/4'

F-3/0'

F-3/1'

F-3/2'

< 0.093

< 0.093

< 0.092

< 0.093

< 0.095

< 0.095

< 0.093

< 0.095

<0.210

<0.208

< 0.207

<0.210

<0.215

<0.213

<0.210

<0.215

<4.7

<4.6

<4.6

<4.7

<4.8

<4.7

<4.7

<4.8

<9.6

<9.5

<9.2

<9.0

<8.7

<9.8

<9.5

<9.8

<48

<47

<46

<45

<43

<49

<47

<49

<14.3

<14.1

<13.8

<13.7

<13.5

<14.5

<14.2

<14.6

<62.3

<61.1

<59.8

<58.7

<56.5

<63.5

<61.2

<63.6

CHLORIDE

580 3,900 4,500 5,000 5,100

300 3,100 4,400 4,900 4,700

270 2,900 3,600 3,200 4,200

150 1,100 3,500 2,900 4,200

120

1,500

1,100

3,100

2,500

290

720

690

INEX #3

SAMPLE ID         DATE           F-3/3'         6/16/202           F-3/4'         6/16/202           F-4/0'         6/16/202           F-4/0'         6/16/202           F-4/1'         6/16/202           F-4/2'         6/16/202           F-4/3'         6/16/202           F-4/4'         6/16/202	4' 0' 1' 2' 3' 4'	BENZENE <0.024 <0.025 <0.023 <0.024 <0.024 <0.024 <0.024 <0.025	COLUENE           <0.048           <0.050           <0.046           <0.048           <0.047           <0.050	ETHYL- BENZENE <0.048 <0.050 <0.046 <0.048 <0.047 <0.047 <0.047	TOTAL XYLENES           <0.095           <0.099           <0.093           <0.096           <0.095           <0.095	TOTAL BTEX           <0.215           <0.224           <0.208           <0.216           <0.213	TPH GRO C6-C10 <4.8 <5.0 <4.6 <4.8 <4.7	<b>TPH DRO</b> <b>C10-C28</b> 84 <9.7 <9.8 <10	<b>TPH MRO</b> <b>C28-C36</b> 350 55	TPH (GRO+DRO) 84 <14.7 <14.4 <14.8	TPH (GRO+DRO+ MRO) 434 55 <63.4 <64.8	CHLORIDE 1,400 820 210 3,100	
F-3/4'         6/16/202           F-4/0'         6/16/202           F-4/1'         6/16/202           F-4/2'         6/16/202           F-4/3'         6/16/202	4' 0' 1' 2' 3' 4'	<0.025 <0.023 <0.024 <0.024 <0.024 <0.024 <0.025	<0.050 <0.046 <0.048 <0.047 <0.047	<0.050 <0.046 <0.048 <0.047 <0.047	<0.099 <0.093 <0.096 <0.095 <0.095	<0.224 <0.208 <0.216 <0.213	<5.0 <4.6 <4.8	<9.7 <9.8 <10	55 <49	<14.7 <14.4	55 <63.4	820 210	
F-4/0'         6/16/202           F-4/1'         6/16/202           F-4/2'         6/16/202           F-4/3'         6/16/202	0' 1' 2' 3' 4'	<0.023 <0.024 <0.024 <0.024 <0.024 <0.025	<0.046 <0.048 <0.047 <0.047	<0.046 <0.048 <0.047 <0.047	<0.093 <0.096 <0.095 <0.095	<0.208 <0.216 <0.213	<4.6 <4.8	<9.8 <10	<49	<14.4	<63.4	210	
F-4/1'         6/16/202           F-4/2'         6/16/202           F-4/3'         6/16/202	1' 2' 3' 4'	<0.024 <0.024 <0.024 <0.025	<0.048 <0.047 <0.047	<0.048 <0.047 <0.047	<0.096 <0.095 <0.095	<0.216 <0.213	<4.8	<10					
F-4/1'         6/16/202           F-4/2'         6/16/202           F-4/3'         6/16/202	1' 2' 3' 4'	<0.024 <0.024 <0.024 <0.025	<0.048 <0.047 <0.047	<0.048 <0.047 <0.047	<0.096 <0.095 <0.095	<0.216 <0.213	<4.8	<10					
F-4/2'         6/16/202           F-4/3'         6/16/202	2' 3' 4'	<0.024 <0.024 <0.025	<0.047 <0.047	<0.047 <0.047	<0.095 <0.095	<0.213			<50	<14.8	<64.8	3,100	
F-4/3' 6/16/202	3' 4' 0'	<0.024 <0.025	<0.047	<0.047	<0.095		<4.7						
	4' 0'	<0.025				.0.010		22	51	22	73	5,400	
F-4/4' 6/16/202	0'	T	<0.050	<0.050	0.000	<0.213	<4.7	130	200	130	330	6,000	
		<0.024			<0.099	<0.224	<5.0	<9.6	<48	<14.6	<62.6	6,100	
		<0.024											
G-1/0' 6/16/202	1'		<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.219	<4.9	<9.5	<47	<14.4	<61.4	170	
G-1/1' 6/16/202		<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.219	<4.9	<9.9	<49	<14.8	<63.8	4,000	
G-1/2' 6/16/202	2'	<0.023	<0.046	<0.046	<0.093	<.208	<4.6	<10	<50	<14.6	<64.6	5,100	
G-1/3' 6/16/202	3'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.224	<5.0	<9.8	<49	<14.8	<63.8	4,400	
G-1/4' 6/16/202	4'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.216	<4.8	<9.7	<49	<14.5	<63.5	4,700	
G-2/0' 6/16/202	0'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.095	<0.215	<4.8	<9.6	<48	<14.4	<62.4	1,000	
G-2/1' 6/16/202	1'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.219	<4.9	<9.5	<48	<14.4	<62.4	850	
G-2/2' 6/16/202	2'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.216	<4.8	<9.9	<49	<14.7	<63.7	4,300	
G-2/3' 6/16/202	3'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.217	<4.8	<10	<50	<14.8	<64.8	5,400	
G-2/4' 6/16/202	4'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.217	<4.8	<9.8	<49	<14.6	<63.6	5,100	
Initial Site Assessment Grab sample locations :	luly 16, 2021								-	-			
W-1/0' 6/16/202	0'	<0.023	<0.046	<0.046	<0.092	<0.207	<4.6	10	65	10	76	61	
W-1/1' 6/16/202	1'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.221	<4.9	<9.9	<50	<14.8	<64.8	<60	
W-1/2' 6/16/202	2'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.224	<5.0	<9.9	<50	<14.9	<64.9	160	
W-1/3' 6/16/202	3'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.216	<4.8	<9.0	<45	<13.8	<58.8	330	
W-1/4' 6/16/202	4'	<0.023	<0.047	<0.047	<0.093	<0.210	<4.7	<10	<50	<14.7	<64.7	580	
											•		
NW-1/0' 6/16/202	0'	<0.023	<0.046	<0.046	<0.092	<0.207	<4.6	<9.1	<45	<13.7	<58.7	170	
NW-1/1' 6/16/202	1'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.217	<4.8	<9.6	<48	<14.4	<62.4	130	
NW-1/2' 6/16/202	2'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.216	<4.8	<10	<50	<14.8	<64.8	<60	
NW-1/3' 6/16/202	3'	<0.023	<0.046	<0.046	<0.092	<0.207	<4.6	<9.9	<49	<14.5	<63.5	<59	
NW-1/4' 6/16/202	4'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	<5.0	<9.8	<49	<14.8	<63.8	99	

INEX #3

EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

				All valu	ies presented	d in parts per	million (mg	/Kg)					
SAMPLE ID	DATE	DEPTH (FT)	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL- BENZENE	TOTAL XYLENES	TOTAL BTEX	TPH GRO C6-C10	TPH DRO C10-C28	TPH MRO C28-C36	TPH (GRO+DRO)	TPH (GRO+DRO+ MRO)	CHLORIDE
NW-2/0'	6/16/2021	0'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.220	<4.9	<9.3	<47	<14.2	<61.2	93
NW-2/1'	6/16/2021	1'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.221	<4.9	<9.3	<47	<14.2	<61.2	250
NW-2/2'	6/16/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.222	<4.9	<9.9	<49	<14.8	<63.8	<60
NW-2/3'	6/16/2021	3'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.220	<4.9	<9.2	<46	<14.1	<60.1	<60
NW-2/4'	6/16/2021	4'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	<5.0	<8.6	<43	<13.6	<56.6	65
	-			-	-								
N-1/0'	6/16/2021	0'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.219	<4.9	<9.6	<48	<14.5	<62.5	99
N-1/1'	6/16/2021	1'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.216	<4.8	<8.7	<43	<13.5	<56.5	130
N-1/2'	6/16/2021	2'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.219	<4.9	<9.4	<47	<14.3	<61.3	440
N-1/3'	6/16/2021	3'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.10	<0.223	<5.0	<9.5	<48	<14.5	<62.5	500
N-1/4'	6/16/2021	4'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.221	<4.9	<9.5	<48	<14.4	<62.4	720
NE-1/0'	6/16/2021	0'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.216	<4.8	<8.4	<42	<13.2	<55.2	<60
NE-1/1'	6/16/2021	1'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.221	<4.9	<10	<50	<14.9	<64.9	390
NE-1/2'	6/16/2021	2'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.219	<4.9	<9.7	<48	<14.6	<62.6	770
NE-1/3'	6/16/2021	3'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.224	<5.0	<9.2	<46	<14.2	<60.2	220
NE-1/4'	6/16/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.217	<4.8	<9.5	<48	<14.3	<62.3	180
NE-2/0'	6/16/2021	0'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	5.0	10	50	<15.0	<65.0	150
NE-2/1'	6/16/2021	1'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.216	<4.8	<9.2	<46	<14.0	<60.0	730
NE-2/2'	6/16/2021	2'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.216	<4.8	<10	<51	<14.8	<65.8	500
NE-2/3'	6/16/2021	3'	<0.024	<0.047	<0.047	<0.094	<0.212	<4.7	<9.9	<50	<14.6	<64.6	240
NE-2/4'	6/16/2021	4'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.224	<5.0	<9.5	<47	<14.5	<61.5	130
					r								
NE-3/0'	6/16/2021	0'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.221	<4.9	<9.4	<47	<14.3	<61.3	330
NE-3/1'	6/16/2021	1'	<0.023	<0.047	<0.047	<0.093	<0.210	<4.7	<9.9	<49	<14.6	<63.6	1,600
NE-3/2'	6/16/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.221	<4.9	<9.3	<47	<14.2	<61.2	890
NE-3/3'	6/16/2021	3'	<0.023	<0.047	<0.047	<0.093	<0.210	<4.7	<9.6	<48	<14.3	<62.3	1,400
NE-3/4'	6/16/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.220	<4.9	<9.6	<48	<14.5	<62.5	2,100
				r	r								
E-1/0'	6/16/2021	0'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.216	<4.8	<9.8	90	<14.6	90	<59
E-1/1'	6/16/2021	1'	<0.023	<0.047	<0.047	<0.094	<0.211	<4.7	<9.8	<49	<14.5	<63.5	2,900
E-1/2'	6/16/2021	2'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.216	<4.8	<9.7	<49	<14.5	<58.7	5,000
E-1/3'	6/16/2021	3'	<0.023	<0.046	<0.046	<0.091	<0.206	<4.6	9.7	<48	9.7	9.7	4,800

Page 126906/185

INEX #3

EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

				All valu	es presente	d in parts per	million (mg	/Kg)					
SAMPLE ID	DATE	DEPTH (FT)	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL- BENZENE	TOTAL XYLENES	TOTAL BTEX	TPH GRO C6-C10	TPH DRO C10-C28	TPH MRO C28-C36	TPH (GRO+DRO)	TPH (GRO+DRO+ MRO)	CHLORIDE
E-1/4'	6/16/2021	4'	<0.023	<0.046	<0.046	<0.092	<0.207	<4.6	29	57	29	86	10,000
· · · ·								•				•	
SE-2/0'	6/16/2021	0'	<0.023	<0.046	<0.046	<0.092	<0.207	<4.6	<10	<50	<14.6	<64.6	<60
SE-2/1'	6/16/2021	1'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.095	<0.215	<4.8	<9.8	<49	<14.6	<63.6	5,300
SE-2/2'	6/16/2021	2'	<0.023	<0.047	<0.047	<0.094	<0.211	<4.7	<9.4	<47	<14.1	<61.1	9,100
SE-2/3'	6/16/2021	3'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.217	<4.8	<9.8	<49	<14.6	<63.6	9,600
SE-2/4'	6/16/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.047	<0.047	<0.094	<0.212	<4.7	<9.3	<46	<14	<60	9,900
SE-1/0'	6/16/2021	0'	<0.023	<0.046	<0.046	<0.091	<0.206	<4.6	<9.3	<47	<13.9	<60.9	98
SE-1/1'	6/16/2021	1'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.220	<4.9	<10	<50	<14.9	<64.9	6,100
SE-1/2'	6/16/2021	2'	<0.023	<0.046	<0.046	<0.093	<0.208	<4.6	<10	<50	<14.6	<64.6	7,000
SE-1/3'	6/16/2021	3'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.221	<4.9	<9.9	<49	<14.8	<63.8	7,100
SE-1/4'	6/16/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.219	<4.9	<9.6	<48	<15	<63	7,400
S-1/0'	6/16/2021	0'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.219	<4.9	<9.2	<46	<14.1	<60.1	78
S-1/1'	6/16/2021	1'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.224	<5.0	<9.9	<49	<14.9	<63.9	320
S-1/2'	6/16/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.100	<0.225	<5.0	<9.6	<48	<14.6	<62.6	200
S-1/3'	6/16/2021	3'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.095	<0.215	<4.8	<9.3	<47	<14.1	<61.1	<60
S-1/4'	6/16/2021	4'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.222	<4.9	<9.5	<47	<14.4	<61.4	63
SW-3/0'	6/16/2021	0'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.219	<4.9	<9.0	<45	<13.9	<58.9	<60
SW-3/1'	6/16/2021	1'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.216	<4.8	<8.7	<44	<13.5	<57.5	440
SW-3/2'	6/16/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.222	<4.9	<9.7	<48	<14.6	<62.6	630
SW-3/3'	6/16/2021	3'	<0.023	<0.047	<0.047	<0.093	<0.210	<4.7	<9.5	<48	<14.2	<62.2	250
SW-3/4'	6/16/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.219	<4.9	<8.7	<43	<13.6	<56.6	250
SW-2/0'	6/16/2021	0'	<0.023	<0.046	<0.046	<0.093	<0.208	<4.6	<8.6	<43	<13.2	<56.2	<59
SW-2/1'	6/16/2021	1'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.217	<4.8	<8.7	<44	<13.5	<57.5	<60
SW-2/2'	6/16/2021	2'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.220	<4.9	<9.3	<46	<14.2	<60.2	<60
SW-2/3'	6/16/2021	3'	<0.023	<0.046	<0.046	<0.092	<0.207	<4.6	<8.7	<44	<13.3	<57.3	<60
SW-2/4'	6/16/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.047	<0.047	<0.094	<0.212	<4.7	<9.8	<49	<14.5	<63.5	240
SW-1/0'	6/16/2021	0'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.221	<4.9	12	48	12	60	3,100
SW-1/1'	6/16/2021	1'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.219	<4.9	<9.4	<47	<14.3	<61.3	110

Page 27/06/185

INEX #3

EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

				All valu	les presente	d in parts per	million (mg	/Kg)					
SAMPLE ID	DATE	DEPTH (FT)	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL- BENZENE	TOTAL XYLENES	TOTAL BTEX	TPH GRO C6-C10	TPH DRO C10-C28	TPH MRO C28-C36	TPH (GRO+DRO)	TPH (GRO+DRO+ MRO)	CHLORIDE
SW-1/2'	6/16/2021	2'	<0.024	<0.047	<0.047	<0.095	<0.213	<4.7	<9.8	<49	<14.5	<63.5	100
SW-1/3'	6/16/2021	3'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.221	<4.9	<9.9	<50	<14.8	<64.8	<60
SW-1/4'	6/16/2021	4'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	<5.0	<9.5	<47	<14.5	<61.5	<60
Secondary Site Assessment Gra	b sample locations	; Julv 21. 20	021										
C-2.1/13'	7/21/2021	13'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.10	<5.0	<9.8	<49	<9.8	<49	3,100
C-2.1/20'	7/21/2021	20'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<9.8	<49	<9.8	<49	1,200
E-2.1/10'	7/21/2021	10'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	<9.5	<47	<9.5	<47	5,600
E-2.1/20'	7/21/2021	20'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.030	<0.099	<0.10	< 4.9	<9.5	<47	<9.5	<47 <48	5,600
E-2.1/20	1/21/2021	20	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.5	< 9.7	<40	<9.1	<40	5,000
F-4.1/10'	7/21/2021	10'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.10	<5.0	<9.5	<48	<9.5	<48	8,100
F-4.1/20'	7/21/2021	20'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	<9.6	<48	<9.6	<48	12,000
	•	1	1	I	1	1		1	1	1		, ,	
SE-2.1/10'	7/21/2021	10'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.10	<4.9	<9.8	<49	<9.8	<49	8,800
SE-2.1/20'	7/21/2021	20'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.10	<4.9	<9.8	<49	<9.8	<49	6,600
E-1.1/10'	7/21/2021	10'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<9.4	<47	<9.4	<47	4,200
E-1.1/20'	7/21/2021	20'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	<9.7	<48	<9.7	<48	7,900
N-1.1/5'	7/21/2021	5'	0.025	0.050	<0.050	<0.099	-0.10	.5.0	.0.0	<46	.0.2	.40	410
N-1.1/5	7/21/2021	5 6'	<0.025 <0.025	<0.050 <0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10 <0.10	<5.0 <5.0	<9.3 <9.3	<46	<9.3 <9.3	<46 <46	410
IN-1.1/0	1/21/2021	0	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	<9.5	<40	<9.5	<40	400
N-1.N/0'	7/21/2021	0'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<9.8	<49	<9.8	<49	410
N-1.N/2'	7/21/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.10	<5.0	<9.4	<47	<9.4	<47	69
N-1.N/4'	7/21/2021	4'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.10	<4.9	<9.5	<47	<9.5	<47	190
	7/21/2021	0'	.0.025	0.040	.0.040	.0.000	-0.10	.4.0	2.0.0	.40	.0.0	.40	.01
NE-1.A/0' NE-1.A/2'	7/21/2021	0' 2'	<0.025 <0.024	<0.049 <0.049	<0.049 <0.049	<0.098 <0.097	<0.10 <0.10	<4.9 <4.9	<9.6 <9.8	<48 <49	<9.6 <9.8	<48 <49	<61 470
NE-1.A/2 NE-1.A/4'	7/21/2021	2 4'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.10	<4.9 <5.0	<9.8 <9.8	<49 <49	<9.8 <9.8	<49 <49	360
	1/21/2021	4	<0.020	<0.000	<0.000	<0.099	<0.10	<0.0	<3.0	<u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u>	<9.0	<b>54</b> 3	300
NE-2.A/0'	7/21/2021	0'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.10	<4.8	<9.7	<48	<9.7	<48	<60
NE-2.A/2'	7/21/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<9.4	<47	<9.4	<47	100
NE-2.A/4'	7/21/2021	4'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<9.4	<47	<9.4	<47	150

Page 228 10f 185

INEX #3

### EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

				All valu	es presente	d in parts per	million (mg	/Kg)					
SAMPLE ID	DATE	DEPTH (FT)	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL- BENZENE	TOTAL XYLENES	TOTAL BTEX	TPH GRO C6-C10	TPH DRO C10-C28	TPH MRO C28-C36	TPH (GRO+DRO)	TPH (GRO+DRO+ MRO)	CHLORIDE
SW-3.A/0'	7/21/2021	0'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.10	<4.9	<9.5	<47	<9.5	<47	<59
SW-3.A/2'	7/21/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	<9.8	<49	<9.8	<49	<60
SW-3.A/4'	7/21/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<9.7	<49	<9.7	<49	240
SW-1.A/0'	7/21/2021	0'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<9.7	<48	<9.7	<48	<60
SW-1.A/2'	7/21/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.10	<5.0	<9.8	<49	<9.8	<49	<60
SW-1.A/4'	7/21/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.10	<4.8	<9.2	<46	<9.2	<46	180
				-					-				
SE-1.A/2'	7/21/2021	2'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<9.8	<49	<9.8	<49	11,000
SE-1.A/4'	7/21/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.095	<0.10	<4.8	<9.7	<48	<9.7	<48	9,200
SE-2.A/2'	7/21/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.10	<5.0	<9.6	<48	<9.6	<48	11,000
SE-2.A/4'	7/21/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.10	<4.8	<9.6	<48	<9.6	<48	12,000
E-1.A/2'	7/21/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	<9.5	<48	<9.5	<48	14,000
E-1.A/4'	7/21/2021	4'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<9.5	<47	<9.5	<47	13,000
NE-3.A/3'	7/21/2021	3'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.10	<4.8	<9.7	<48	<9.7	<48	2,200
NE-3.A/4'	7/21/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.10	<4.9	<9.9	<49	<9.9	<49	2,100
N-1.E/2'	7/21/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.10	<4.9	<9.2	<46	<9.2	<46	950
N-1.E/4'	7/21/2021	4'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.10	<4.9	<9.5	<47	<9.5	<47	670
	-			-					-				
N-1.E.A/2'	7/22/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	<9.4	<47	<9.4	<47	880
N-1.E.A/4'	7/22/2021	4'	<0.023	<0.047	<0.047	<0.094	<0.09	<4.7	<9.3	<46	<9.3	<46	790
N-1.E.B/0'	7/22/2021	0'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.10	<4.8	<9.7	<48	<9.7	<48	<60
N-1.E.B/2'	7/22/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.10	<5.0	<10	<50	<10	<50	310
N-1.E.B/4'	7/22/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.10	<4.8	<9.6	<48	<9.6	<48	510
N-1.NE/0'	7/22/2021	0'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.095	<0.10	<4.8	<9.3	<46	<9.3	<46	<60
N-1.NE/2'	7/22/2021	2'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.10	<4.8	<9.9	<49	<9.9	<49	200
N-1.NE/4'	7/22/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.095	<0.10	<4.8	<9.5	<47	<9.5	<47	140

TPH = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons mg/Kg = Milligrams per Kilogram

	EDA 9260) TOU (EDA	9015) 8 CHI ORIDE (ERA 200	
SITE ASSESSMENT SOIL SAMPLE BTEX (E	EFA 0200), IFH (EFA	(CILORIDE (EFA 30)	JANALTHCAL DATA

INEX #3

EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

				All valu	ies presente	d in parts per	million (mg	/Kg)					
SAMPLE ID	DATE	DEPTH (FT)	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL- BENZENE	TOTAL XYLENES	TOTAL BTEX	TPH GRO C6-C10	TPH DRO C10-C28	TPH MRO C28-C36	TPH (GRO+DRO)	TPH (GRO+DRO+ MRO)	CHLORIDE
NE-3.B/2'	7/22/2021	2'	<0.024	<0.047	<0.047	<0.095	<0.09	<4.7	<9.7	<48	<9.7	<48	4,900
NE-3.B/4'	7/22/2021	4'	<0.023	<0.046	<0.046	<0.093	<0.09	<4.6	<9.6	<48	<9.6	<48	5,200
NE-3.C/2'	7/22/2021	2'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.095	<0.10	<4.8	<9.1	<46	<9.1	<46	1,200
NE-3.C/4'	7/22/2021	4'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	<9.0	<45	<9.0	<45	1,100
NE-3.D/2'	7/22/2021	2'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.10	<4.8	<9.9	<49	<9.9	<49	2,000
NE-3.D/4'	7/22/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.047	<0.047	<0.095	<0.09	<4.7	<9.9	<50	<9.9	<50	1,200
	•										-	•	
NE-3.E/2'	7/22/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.10	<5.0	<9.4	<47	<9.4	<47	1,700
NE-3.E/4'	7/22/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.047	<0.047	<0.094	<0.09	<4.7	<9.3	<47	<9.3	<47	1,800
NE-3.F/2'	7/22/2021	2'	<0.023	<0.046	<0.046	<0.093	<0.09	<4.6	<9.7	<48	<9.7	<48	1,500
NE-3.F/4'	7/22/2021	4'	<0.023	<0.046	<0.046	<0.092	<0.09	<4.6	<9.6	<48	<9.6	<48	1,300
NE-3.G/0'	7/22/2021	0'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.10	<4.8	<9.8	<49	<9.8	<49	<60
NE-3.G/2'	7/22/2021	2'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<10	<50	<10	<50	<60
NE-3.G/4'	7/22/2021	4'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<9.8	<49	<9.8	<49	190
E-1.B/2'	7/22/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	<9.8	<49	<9.8	<49	11,000
E-1.B/4'	7/22/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.10	<4.8	<9.7	<49	<9.7	<49	12,000
		•	•	•	•			•	•			•	
E-1.C/2'	7/22/2021	2'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.10	<4.9	<9.1	<46	<9.1	<46	1,200
E-1.C/4'	7/22/2021	4'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	<9.7	<48	<9.7	<48	1,300
E-1.D/2'	7/22/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<9.4	<47	<9.4	<47	1,100
E-1.D/4'	7/22/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.10	<4.8	<9.7	<49	<9.7	<49	1,000
	•	•	•	•	•			•	•		-	· •	
E-1.E/0'	7/22/2021	0'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.10	<4.9	<9.9	<49	<9.9	<49	<60
E-1.E/2'	7/22/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	<9.5	<47	<9.5	<47	<60
E-1.E/4'	7/22/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.10	<4.9	<9.8	<49	<9.8	<49	210
	•			•	•			•	•		•	· ·	
SE-2.B/2'	7/22/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.10	<5.0	<9.8	<49	<9.8	<49	8,300

INEX #3

				All valu	es presente	d in parts per	million (mg	ı/Kg)					
SAMPLE ID	DATE	DEPTH (FT)	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL- BENZENE	TOTAL XYLENES	TOTAL BTEX	TPH GRO C6-C10	TPH DRO C10-C28	TPH MRO C28-C36	TPH (GRO+DRO)	TPH (GRO+DRO+ MRO)	CHLORIDE
SE-2.B/4	7/22/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.10	<4.8	<9.4	<47	<9.4	<47	8,500
SE-2.C/0'	7/22/2021	0'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	<9.9	<49	<9.9	<49	<60
SE-2.C/2'	7/22/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.10	<4.9	<9.5	<47	<9.5	<47	160
SE-2.C/4'	7/22/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.10	<4.8	<9.8	<49	<9.8	<49	560
					-								
SE-1.B/2'	7/22/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.10	<5.0	<9.3	<47	<9.3	<47	1,600
SE-1.B/4'	7/22/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.10	<4.8	<9.5	<47	<9.5	<47	680
	-	T			r							,	
SE-1.C/0'	7/22/2021	0'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	<9.9	<49	<9.9	<49	<60
SE-1.C/2'	7/22/2021	2'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	<9.5	<48	<9.5	<48	970
SE-1.C/4'	7/22/2021	4'	<0.024	<0.047	<0.047	<0.094	<0.09	<4.7	<9.7	<49	<9.7	<49	520
Additional Site Assessment Grab sa	ample locations	: January 12	2, 2022	1						1		, ,	
NNE-1/2'	1/12/2022	2'	<0.023	<0.046	<0.046	<0.092	<0.09	<4.6	<9.3	<46	<9.3	<46	1,200
NNE-1/4'	1/12/2022	4'	<0.023	<0.046	<0.046	<0.092	<0.09	<4.6	<9.0	<45	<9.0	<45	990
	•			1		1			1	1		, ,	
NNE-1.A/1'	1/12/2022	1'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<9.8	<49	<9.8	<49	<61
NNE-1.A/4'	1/12/2022	4'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.10	<5.0	<9.4	<47	<9.4	<47	640
	1	1	1	1	1				1	1		,	
NNE-2/2'	1/12/2022	2'	<0.023	<0.047	<0.047	<0.093	<0.09	<4.7	<9.5	<48	<9.5	<48	1,400
NNE-2/4'	1/12/2022	4'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.095	<0.10	<4.8	<9.8	<49	<9.8	<49	1,500
	1	1	1	1	1			1	1	1		,	
NNE-2.A/2'	1/12/2022	2'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<9.8	<49	<9.8	<49	1,300
NNE-2.A/4'	1/12/2022	4'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.10	<4.8	<9.7	<48	<9.7	<48	830
	•			1		1			1	1		, ,	
NNE-2.B/1'	1/12/2022	1'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	<9.7	<49	<9.7	<49	<59
NNE-2.B/4'	1/12/2022	4'	<0.023	<0.047	<0.047	<0.094	<0.09	<4.7	<9.4	<47	<9.4	<47	500
	•			1		1			1	1		, , ,	
ESE-1/2'	1/12/2022	2	<0.023	<0.047	<0.047	<0.093	<0.09	<4.7	<10	<50	<10	<50	1,700
ESE-1/4'	1/12/2022	4'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	<9.9	<49	<9.9	<49	1,900
	•	1	1	ſ	1			1	I	I			
ESE-1.N/1'	1/12/2022	1'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.10	<4.8	<9.7	<49	<9.7	<49	1,100
ESE-1.N/4'	1/12/2022	4'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<9.9	<49	<9.9	<49	620

INEX #3

	All values presented in parts per million (mg/Kg)												
SAMPLE ID	DATE	DEPTH (FT)	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL- BENZENE	TOTAL XYLENES	TOTAL BTEX	TPH GRO C6-C10	TPH DRO C10-C28	TPH MRO C28-C36	TPH (GRO+DRO)	TPH (GRO+DRO+ MRO)	CHLORIDE
	_												
ESE-1-N.1/2'	1/12/2022	2'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.095	<0.10	<4.8	<9.5	<47	<9.5	<47	1,400
ESE-1-N.1/4'	1/12/2022	4'	<0.024	<0.047	<0.047	<0.095	<0.09	<4.7	<10	<50	<10	<50	1,300
ESE-1-N.2/2'	1/12/2022	2'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.095	<0.10	<4.8	<9.4	<47	<9.4	<47	<60
ESE-1-N.2/4'	1/12/2022	4'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.10	<4.8	<9.4	<47	<9.4	<47	<60
	-												
ESE-1-S/2'	1/12/2022	2'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.10	<4.9	<9.7	<49	<9.7	<49	2,000
ESE-1-S/4'	1/12/2022	4'	<0.023	<0.047	<0.047	<0.094	<0.09	<4.7	<9.6	<48	<9.6	<48	1,500
		1		1	1	1			1	•			
ESE-1-S.1/1'	1/12/2022	1'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.10	<4.9	<9.6	<48	<9.6	<48	<60
ESE-1-S.1/4'	1/12/2022	4'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.10	<5.0	<9.5	<48	<9.5	<48	89
	1	•		1	1				1	1		,	
ESE-2/3'	1/12/2022	3'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<10	<50	<10	<50	1,000
ESE-2/4'	1/12/2022	4'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.10	<5.0	<9.7	<49	<9.7	<49	770
		1		1	1	1			1	1		,	
ESE-2.A/2'	1/12/2022	2'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.10	<4.8	<9.4	<47	<9.4	<47	110
ESE-2.A/4'	1/12/2022	4'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.10	<4.9	<10	<50	<10	<50	780
		1		1	1	1			1			,	
ESE-2.B/2'	1/12/2022	4'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.10	<4.8	<9.4	<47	<9.4	<47	<60
ESE-2.B/4'	1/12/2022	3'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.10	<4.8	<10	<50	<10	<50	280
	1											1	
ESE-2.C/1'	1/12/2022	4'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.10	<4.9	<9.6	<48	<9.6	<48	120
ESE-2.C/4'	1/12/2022	2'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.10	<5.0	<10	<50	<10	<50	110
	1	r	r	1	1	1		r	1	1	[	<u>,                                    </u>	
SSW-1/1'	1/12/2022	1'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<10	<50	<10	<50	<60
SSW-1/4'	1/12/2022	4'	<0.024	<0.047	<0.047	<0.095	<0.09	<4.7	<10	<50	<10	<50	<60
		1	T	1	1	,		T	1	1	[	, ı	
SSW-2/1'	1/12/2022	2'	<0.023	<0.047	<0.047	<0.093	<0.09	<4.7	<9.9	<50	<9.9	<50	<60
SSW-2/4'	1/12/2022	4'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	<9.8	<49	<9.8	<49	<60
SSE-1/3'	1/12/2022	3'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.10	<4.9	<9.6	<48	<9.6	<48	830
SSE-1/4'	1/12/2022	4'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.10	<4.9	<9.8	<49	<9.8	<49	680

INEX #3

All values presented in parts per million (mg/Kg)													
SAMPLE ID	DATE	DEPTH (FT)	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL- BENZENE	TOTAL XYLENES	TOTAL BTEX	TPH GRO C6-C10	TPH DRO C10-C28	TPH MRO C28-C36	TPH (GRO+DRO)	TPH (GRO+DRO+ MRO)	CHLORIDE
SSE-1.A/1'	1/12/2022	1'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.10	<4.9	<9.8	<49	<9.8	<49	250
SSE-1.A/4'	1/12/2022	4'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<9.6	<48	<9.6	<48	280
SSE-2/1'	1/12/2022	1'	<0.024	<0.047	<0.047	<0.094	<0.09	<4.7	<10	<50	<10	<50	170
SSE-2/4'	1/12/2022	4'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	<9.4	<47	<9.4	<47	450
Soil Boring Assessment Soil Samp	les : February 23	3, 2022		-	-				-	-			
BG-1/2'	2/23/2022	2'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.10	<4.9	<10	<50	<10	<50	<60
BG-1/22'	2/23/2022	22'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	21	<47	21	21	77
BG-1/42'	2/23/2022	42'	<0.023	<0.047	<0.047	<0.093	<0.09	<4.7	<9.2	<46	<9.2	<46	<60
SB-1/20'	2/23/2022	20'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.10	<4.9	<9.9	<49	<9.9	<49	6,200
SB-1/40'	2/23/2022	40'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<9.9	<50	<9.9	<50	270
SB-1/41'	2/23/2022	41'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<9.3	<46	<9.3	<46	170
SB-1/42'	2/23/2022	42'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.095	<0.10	<4.8	<9.2	<46	<9.2	<46	190
	-		1	1	1			1	1	1		1	
SB-2/25'	2/23/2022	25'	<0.024	<0.047	<0.047	<0.095	<0.09	<4.7	14	<48	14	14	1,400
SB-2/35'	2/23/2022	35'	<0.023	<0.046	<0.046	<0.093	<0.09	<4.6	<9.1	<46	<9.1	<46	490
SB-2/40'	2/23/2022	40'	0.038	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	0.04	<5.0	<10	<50	<10	<50	330
SB-2/41'	2/23/2022	41'	<0.023	<0.046	<0.046	<0.092	<0.09	<4.6	<9.5	<48	<9.5	<48	320
SB-2/42'	2/23/2022	42'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.10	<4.8	<9.3	<47	<9.3	<47	370
Additional Vertical Assessment Soi	-		1			1		1				r	
N-1.E.A(A) 1	6/30/2022	1'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.10	<5.0	<15	<50	<15	<50	1,100
N-1.E.A(A) 4	6/30/2022	4'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.10	<4.9	<14	<47	<14	<47	<60
	0/00/0000												
NE-3.B(A) 3	6/30/2022	3'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	<14	<47	<14	<47	<60
NE-3.B(A) 6	6/30/2022	6'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.10	<5.0	<15	<50	<15	<50	720
	6/20/2022	47	.0.004	.0.040	.0.040	.0.007	.0.40	.4.0		.40		.40	20.000
E-1.A(A) 17	6/30/2022	17'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.10	<4.8	<14	<48	<14	<48	20,000
E-1.A(A) 20	6/30/2022	20'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<14	<48	<14	<48	17,000
SE-2A(A) 17	6/30/2022	17'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<15	<50	<15	<50	21,000
SE-2A(A) 17 SE-2A(A) 20	6/30/2022	20'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9 <4.9	<15	<50 <49	<15	<50 <49	17,000
3E-2A(A) 20	0/30/2022	20	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.090	<0.10	<4.9	<10	<49	<10	<49	17,000

INEX #3

All values presented in parts per million (mg/Kg)													
SAMPLE ID	DATE	DEPTH (FT)	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL- BENZENE	TOTAL XYLENES	TOTAL BTEX	TPH GRO C6-C10	TPH DRO C10-C28	TPH MRO C28-C36	TPH (GRO+DRO)	TPH (GRO+DRO+ MRO)	CHLORIDE
SE-2-B(A) 17	6/30/2022	17'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<15	<49	<15	<49	10,000
SE-2-B(A) 20	6/30/2022	20'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.10	<5.0	<15	<50	<15	<50	4,400
E-1.C(A) 4	6/30/2022	4'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	<15	<49	<15	<49	1,300
E-1.C(A) 12	6/30/2022	12'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.10	<5.0	<15	<49	<15	<49	300
E-1.D(A) 4	7/1/2022	4'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.097	<0.10	<4.8	<15	<49	<15	<49	1,000
E-1.D(A) 8	7/1/2022	8'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.10	<4.9	<14	<47	<14	<47	700
ESE-1(A) 4	7/1/2022	4'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.10	<5.0	<15	<49	<15	<49	1,100
ESE-1(A) 10	7/1/2022	10'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.10	<5.0	<15	<49	<15	<49	420
ESE-1(A) 12	7/1/2022	12'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.10	<5.0	<15	<48	<15	<48	720
ESE-1.N.1(A) 5	7/1/2022	5'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.10	<5.0	<15	<49	<15	<49	1,300
ESE-1.N.1(A) 9	7/1/2022	9'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	<15	<49	<15	<49	570
ESE-1.N.1(A) 10	7/1/2022	10'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	<14	<47	<14	<47	570
ESE-2.A(A) 4	7/1/2022	4'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<15	<50	<15	<50	1,600
ESE-2.A(A) 7	7/1/2022	7'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	<15	<49	<15	<49	620
ESE-2.A(A) 10	7/1/2022	10'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	<14	<47	<14	<47	670
NE-3.E(A) 2	7/1/2022	2'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.10	<5.0	<15	<49	<15	<49	1,500
NE-3.E(A) 8	7/1/2022	8'	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.10	<4.9	<15	<50	<15	<50	560
NE-3.E(A) 10	7/1/2022	10'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.10	<4.9	<15	<49	<15	<49	550
NNE-2(A) 4	7/1/2022	4'	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.10	<5.0	<15	<50	<15	<50	990
NNE-2(A) 12	7/1/2022	12'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<15	<49	<15	<49	310
Soil Boring Assessment Soil Sample	es : September	& October, 2	022										
SB-3/15	9/28/2022	15'	<0.020	<0.040	<0.040	<0.081	<0.08	<4.0	<15	<50	<15	<50	18,000
SB-3/30	9/28/2022	30'	<0.016	<0.031	<0.031	<0.063	<0.06	<3.1	<15	<49	<15	<49	550
SB-3/32	9/28/2022	32'	<0.028	<0.056	<0.056	<0.11	<0.11	<5.6	<15	<49	<15	<49	420
	-	•	•	•							-		

INEX #3

EDDY COU	JNTY, NEW MEXICO
----------	------------------

	All values presented in parts per million (mg/Kg)												
SAMPLE ID	DATE	DEPTH (FT)	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL- BENZENE	TOTAL XYLENES	TOTAL BTEX	TPH GRO C6-C10	TPH DRO C10-C28	TPH MRO C28-C36	TPH (GRO+DRO)	TPH (GRO+DRO+ MRO)	CHLORIDE
SB-4/20	9/28/2022	20'	<0.018	<0.036	<0.036	<0.073	<0.07	<3.6	<15	<49	<15	<49	16,000
SB-4/30	9/28/2022	30'	<0.019	<0.038	<0.038	<0.076	<0.08	<3.8	<14	<46	<14	<46	1,200
SB-4/32	9/28/2022	32'	<0.023	<0.047	<0.047	<0.093	<0.09	<4.7	<15	<49	<15	<49	670
SB-4/33'	10/5/2022	33'	<0.017	<0.035	<0.035	<0.070	<0.07	<3.5	<14	<48	<14	<48	400
	•	•	•	•		•		•	•	•	•		
Vertical Assessment Soil Samples	: October 24, 202	22											
NE-3-B(B) @ 6	10/24/2022	6'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<14	<48	<14	<48	1,000
NE-3-B(B) @ 13	10/24/2022	13'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.10	<4.8	<14	<48	<14	<48	760
ESE-1(B) @ 12	10/24/2022	12'	<0.023	<0.047	<0.047	<0.093	<0.09	<4.7	<14	<48	<14	<48	700
ESE-1(B) @ 14	10/24/2022	14'	<0.024	<0.047	<0.047	<0.095	<0.09	<4.7	<15	<50	<15	<50	300
											•		
E-1-D(B) @ 8	10/24/2022	8'	<0.023	<0.046	<0.046	<0.093	<0.09	<4.6	<15	<49	<15	<49	530
E-1-D(B) @ 10	10/24/2022	10'	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.10	<4.9	<15	<50	<15	<50	470
ESE-2-A(A) @ 10	10/24/2022	10'	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.10	<4.8	<14	<46	<14	<46	740
ESE-2-A(A) @ 13	10/24/2022	13'	<0.024	<0.047	<0.047	<0.094	<0.09	<4.7	<15	<50	<15	<50	360
19.15.29.12 NMAC Table 1 Closur by a Release (		s Impacted	10				50					100	600
19.15.29.13 NMAC Rec (0'-4' Soils)													600
Notes:													
1. Results exceeding the target closu	re criteria are pres	ented in bold	, red type and	are highlighte	ed yellow.								

# **ATTACHMENT 1**

# PHOTOGRAPHIC DOCUMENTATION



PHOTOGRAPH NO. 1 – A typical view of the site drilling activities on September 28, 2022.



PHOTOGRAPH NO. 2 – A typical view of the installed temporary monitor wells.



PHOTOGRAPH NO. 3 – A typical view of the gauging of the temporary monitor wells.



PHOTOGRAPH NO. 4 – A typical view of the vertical delineation test trench sampling activities conducted on October 24, 2022.

# **ATTACHMENT 2**

# Laboratory Analytical Reports



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

October 07, 2022

Will Kierdorf EOG 105 South Fourth Street Artesia, NM 88210 TEL: FAX:

OrderNo.: 2209H01

Dear Will Kierdorf:

RE: Inex 3

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 6 sample(s) on 9/30/2022 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results, it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifiers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0901

Sincerely,

ander

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Lab Order 2209H01

Date Reported: 10/7/2022

CLIENT	EOG	Client Sample ID: SB-3/15
<b>Project:</b>	Inex 3	Collection Date: 9/28/2022 8:33:00 AM
Lab ID:	2209H01-001	<b>Matrix:</b> MEOH (SOIL) <b>Received Date:</b> 9/30/2022 7:30:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analys	t: NAI
Chloride	18000	600	mg/Kg	200	) 10/4/2022 10:18:39 PM	70524
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE OF				Analys	t: DGH	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	15	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 11:08:37 AM	70508
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	50	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 11:08:37 AM	70508
Surr: DNOP	86.8	21-129	%Rec	1	9/30/2022 11:08:37 AM	70508
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE					Analys	t: BRM
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.0	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 10:35:00 AM	C91437
Surr: BFB	108	37.7-212	%Rec	1	9/30/2022 10:35:00 AM	C91437
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analys	t: BRM
Benzene	ND	0.020	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 10:35:00 AM	D91437
Toluene	ND	0.040	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 10:35:00 AM	D91437
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.040	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 10:35:00 AM	D91437
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.081	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 10:35:00 AM	D91437
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	102	70-130	%Rec	1	9/30/2022 10:35:00 AM	D91437

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

\* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. **Qualifiers:** 

- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix interference
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Estimated value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 1 of 10

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Lab Order **2209H01** Date Reported: **10/7/2022** 

CLIENT: EOG	Client Sample ID: SB-3/30
<b>Project:</b> Inex 3	Collection Date: 9/28/2022 9:05:00 AM
Lab ID: 2209H01-002	Matrix: MEOH (SOIL) Received Date: 9/30/2022 7:30:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL (	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	t: JMT
Chloride	550	60	mg/Kg	20	9/30/2022 6:17:21 PM	70524
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE OR				Analyst	t: DGH	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	15	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 11:19:16 AM	70508
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	49	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 11:19:16 AM	70508
Surr: DNOP	86.7	21-129	%Rec	1	9/30/2022 11:19:16 AM	70508
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE					Analyst	t: BRM
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	3.1	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 10:55:00 AM	C91437
Surr: BFB	105	37.7-212	%Rec	1	9/30/2022 10:55:00 AM	C91437
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	t: BRM
Benzene	ND	0.016	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 10:55:00 AM	D91437
Toluene	ND	0.031	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 10:55:00 AM	D91437
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.031	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 10:55:00 AM	D91437
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.063	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 10:55:00 AM	D91437
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	95.3	70-130	%Rec	1	9/30/2022 10:55:00 AM	D91437

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix interference
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Estimated value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 2 of 10

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Lab Order 2209H01

Date Reported: 10/7/2022

CLIENT	EOG	Client Sample ID: SB-3/32
<b>Project:</b>	Inex 3	Collection Date: 9/28/2022 9:10:00 AM
Lab ID:	2209H01-003	Matrix: MEOH (SOIL) Received Date: 9/30/2022 7:30:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	: JMT
Chloride	420	60	mg/Kg	20	9/30/2022 6:29:41 PM	70524
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE OR				Analyst	: DGH	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	15	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 11:29:55 AM	70508
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	49	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 11:29:55 AM	70508
Surr: DNOP	87.0	21-129	%Rec	1	9/30/2022 11:29:55 AM	70508
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE					Analyst	BRM
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.6	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 11:14:00 AM	C91437
Surr: BFB	106	37.7-212	%Rec	1	9/30/2022 11:14:00 AM	C91437
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	BRM
Benzene	ND	0.028	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 11:14:00 AM	D91437
Toluene	ND	0.056	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 11:14:00 AM	D91437
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.056	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 11:14:00 AM	D91437
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.11	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 11:14:00 AM	D91437
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	95.5	70-130	%Rec	1	9/30/2022 11:14:00 AM	D91437

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

**Qualifiers:** 

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix interference
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Е Estimated value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 3 of 10

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Lab Order 2209H01

Date Reported: 10/7/2022

CLIENT: EOG		Client Sample ID: SB-4/20				
<b>Project:</b>	Inex 3	Collection Date: 9/28/2022 10:25:00 AM				
Lab ID:	2209H01-004	<b>Matrix:</b> MEOH (SOIL) <b>Received Date:</b> 9/30/2022 7:30:00 AM				

Analyses	Result	RL (	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analys	t: JTT
Chloride	16000	600	mg/Kg	200	10/3/2022 8:48:27 AM	70524
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE OF				Analys	t: DGH	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	15	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 11:40:36 AM	70508
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	49	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 11:40:36 AM	70508
Surr: DNOP	87.6	21-129	%Rec	1	9/30/2022 11:40:36 AM	70508
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE					Analys	t: BRM
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	3.6	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 11:34:00 AM	C91437
Surr: BFB	102	37.7-212	%Rec	1	9/30/2022 11:34:00 AM	C91437
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analys	t: BRM
Benzene	ND	0.018	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 11:34:00 AM	D91437
Toluene	ND	0.036	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 11:34:00 AM	D91437
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.036	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 11:34:00 AM	D91437
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.073	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 11:34:00 AM	D91437
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	95.0	70-130	%Rec	1	9/30/2022 11:34:00 AM	D91437

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

\* **Qualifiers:** 

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix interference
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Estimated value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 4 of 10
**Analytical Report** 

### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Lab Order 2209H01

Date Reported: 10/7/2022

CLIENT	: EOG	Client Sample ID: SB-4/30
Project:	Inex 3	Collection Date: 9/28/2022 10:34:00 AM
Lab ID:	2209H01-005	Matrix: MEOH (SOIL) Received Date: 9/30/2022 7:30:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analys	t: JMT
Chloride	1200	61	mg/Kg	20	9/30/2022 7:19:04 PM	70524
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE O	RGANICS				Analys	t: DGH
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	14	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 11:51:16 AM	70508
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	46	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 11:51:16 AM	70508
Surr: DNOP	88.1	21-129	%Rec	1	9/30/2022 11:51:16 AM	70508
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE					Analys	t: BRM
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	3.8	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 11:54:00 AM	C91437
Surr: BFB	107	37.7-212	%Rec	1	9/30/2022 11:54:00 AM	C91437
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analys	t: BRM
Benzene	ND	0.019	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 11:54:00 AM	D91437
Toluene	ND	0.038	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 11:54:00 AM	D91437
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.038	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 11:54:00 AM	D91437
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.076	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 11:54:00 AM	D91437
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	96.7	70-130	%Rec	1	9/30/2022 11:54:00 AM	D91437

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

\* **Qualifiers:** 

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix interference
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Estimated value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 5 of 10

**Analytical Report** 

### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Lab Order 2209H01

Date Reported: 10/7/2022

CLIENT	EOG	Client Sample ID: SB-4/32
<b>Project:</b>	Inex 3	Collection Date: 9/28/2022 10:35:00 AM
Lab ID:	2209H01-006	Matrix: MEOH (SOIL) Received Date: 9/30/2022 7:30:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL (	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	: JMT
Chloride	670	60	mg/Kg	20	9/30/2022 7:31:25 PM	70524
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORG	SANICS				Analyst	: DGH
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	15	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 12:01:58 PM	70508
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	49	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 12:01:58 PM	70508
Surr: DNOP	88.4	21-129	%Rec	1	9/30/2022 12:01:58 PM	70508
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE					Analyst	BRM
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.7	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 3:00:00 PM	C91437
Surr: BFB	106	37.7-212	%Rec	1	9/30/2022 3:00:00 PM	C91437
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	BRM
Benzene	ND	0.023	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 3:00:00 PM	D91437
Toluene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 3:00:00 PM	D91437
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 3:00:00 PM	D91437
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.093	mg/Kg	1	9/30/2022 3:00:00 PM	D91437
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	99.6	70-130	%Rec	1	9/30/2022 3:00:00 PM	D91437

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

**Qualifiers:** 

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix interference
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Е Estimated value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 6 of 10

	WO#:	2209H01
vironmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.		07-Oct-22

Client: Project:	EOG Inex 3										
Sample ID:	MB-70524	SampT	ype: mb	lk	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	300.0: Anions	;		
Client ID:	PBS	Batch	n ID: <b>70</b>	524	F	RunNo: <b>91</b>	1446				
Prep Date:	9/30/2022	Analysis D	)ate: <b>9</b> /3	30/2022	5	SeqNo: 32	275330	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		ND	1.5								
Sample ID:	LCS-70524	SampT	ype: Ics		Tes	tCode: EF	A Method	300.0: Anions	;		
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch	n ID: <b>70</b>	524	F	RunNo: <b>91</b>	1446				
Prep Date:	9/30/2022	Analysis D	)ate: <b>9</b> /	30/2022	S	SeqNo: 32	275331	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		15	1.5	15.00	0	99.0	90	110			

**Qualifiers:** 

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. \*
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix interference S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Estimated value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 7 of 10

EOG

**Client:** 

% Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix interference

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

Practical Quanitative Limit

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

**Qualifiers:** 

\* D

Н

ND

PQL

S

<b>.1</b>	WO#:	2209H01
Laboratory, Inc.		07-Oct-22

Project: Inex 3										
Sample ID: LCS-70508	SampT	ype: LC	s	Tes	stCode: EF	PA Method	8015M/D: Die	sel Range	Organics	
Client ID: LCSS	Batcl	Batch ID: 70508 RunNo: 91439								
Prep Date: 9/30/2022	Analysis E	)ate: <b>9</b> /3	30/2022	5	SeqNo: 32	274444	Units: <b>mg/K</b>	g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	34	15	50.00	0	67.1	64.4	127			
O DUOD	~ -					<b>-</b> ·				
Surr: DNOP	3.7		5.000		73.5	21	129			
Surr: DNOP Sample ID: MB-70508	-	ype: ME		Tes			129 8015M/D: Die	sel Range	Organics	
	SampT	ype: <b>ME</b>	BLK			PA Method	-	sel Range	Organics	
Sample ID: MB-70508	SampT	n ID: 705	BLK	F	stCode: EF	PA Method 1439	-	C	Organics	
Sample ID: MB-70508 Client ID: PBS	Samp1 Batcl	n ID: 705	BLK 508	F	stCode: EF	PA Method 1439	8015M/D: Die	C	Organics RPDLimit	Qual
Sample ID:         MB-70508           Client ID:         PBS           Prep Date:         9/30/2022	SampT Batcl Analysis [	n ID: <b>70</b> 5 Date: <b>9</b> /3	BLK 508 30/2022	F	stCode: EF RunNo: 9 SeqNo: 32	PA Method 1439 274447	8015M/D: Die Units: mg/K	g	-	Qual
Sample ID: MB-70508 Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 9/30/2022 Analyte	Sampī Batcl Analysis I Result	n ID: <b>70</b> ; Date: <b>9</b> /; PQL	BLK 508 30/2022	F	stCode: EF RunNo: 9 SeqNo: 32	PA Method 1439 274447	8015M/D: Die Units: mg/K	g	-	Qual

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

E Estimated value

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

P Sample pH Not In Range

RL Reporting Limit

Page 8 of 10

EOG

Inex 3

**Client:** 

**Project:** 

Analyte

Surr: BFB

Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)

Result

ND

1100

PQL

5.0

2209H01	WO#:
07-Oct-22	

RPDLimit

%RPD

Sample ID: 2.5ug gro Ics	SampT	ype: LC	S	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Range	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	Batch ID: C91437 RunNo: 91437				RunNo: <b>91437</b>			
Prep Date:	Analysis D	)ate: <b>9</b> /3	30/2022	Ś	SeqNo: 3	275446	Units: mg/K	٤g	
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	24	5.0	25.00	0	94.8	72.3	137		
Surr: BFB	2100		1000		213	37.7	212		
Sample ID: <b>mb</b>	SampT	уре: МЕ	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Range	
Client ID: PBS	Batch	n ID: <b>C9</b>	1437	F	RunNo: 9	1437			
Prep Date:	Analysis D	)ate: <b>9</b> /3	30/2022	Ś	SeqNo: 3	275447	Units: mg/K	ζg	

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC

LowLimit

37.7

112

HighLimit

212

1000

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. \*
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix interference S
- в Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Estimated value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 9 of 10

Qual

S

Qual

### QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level
-----------------------------------------

- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

**Qualifiers:** 

\*

- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix interference
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank Estimated value
- E Estimated valueJ Analyte detected below quantitation limits

В

- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 10 of 10

.

Sample ID: 100ng btex Ics	Samp	Гуре: <b>LC</b>	S	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8021B: Volati	les	
Client ID: LCSS	Batc	h ID: <b>D9</b> '	1437	F	RunNo: 91	1437			
Prep Date:	Analysis [	Date: <b>9</b> /3	30/2022	SeqNo: 3275476			Units: <b>mg/K</b>	g	
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit
Benzene	0.92	0.025	1.000	0	92.4	80	120		
Toluene	0.93	0.050	1.000	0	93.4	80	120		
					- · -				

Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.95 2.8 0.97	0.050 0.10	1.000 3.000 1.000	0 0	94.7 92.9 97.4	80 80 70	120 120 130				
Sample ID: <b>mb</b>	Samp	Гуре: МЕ	BLK	Tes	stCode: EF						
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: <b>D91437</b>			F	RunNo: 91	1437					
Prep Date:	Analysis Date: 9/30/2022			S	SeqNo: 32	275477	Units: <b>mg/K</b>	Units: <b>mg/Kg</b>			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Benzene	ND	0.025									
Toluene	ND	0.050									
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050									
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10									
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.99		1.000		98.6	70	130				

# Client: EOG

Inex 3

**Project:** 

WO#:	2209H01
	07_Oct_22

Qual

11. Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes         (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)       Yes         [2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes         [3. Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes         [4. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes         (If no, notify customer for authorization.)       Yes	No    No    No    No    No    No    No    No	RcptNo:       1         Not Present
Completed By:       Cheyenne Cason       9/30/2022 8:00:22 AM         Reviewed By:       9-30+72         Chain of Custody       9-30+72         Chain of Custody       Yes         1. Is Chain of Custody complete?       Yes         2. How was the sample delivered?       Courier         Log In       Courier         3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes         4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C       Yes         5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?       Yes         6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes         7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes         8. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes         9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA?       Yes         10. Were any sample containers received broken?       Yes         11. Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes         (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)       Yes         12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes         13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes         14. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes         Yes       Yes	No	Not Present  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA
Reviewed By:       9-30-72         Chain of Custody       Yes         1. Is Chain of Custody complete?       Yes         2. How was the sample delivered?       Courier         Log In       Courier         3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes         4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C       Yes         5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?       Yes         6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes         7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes         8. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes         9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA?       Yes         10. Were any sample containers received broken?       Yes         11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)       Yes         12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes         13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes       Yes         14. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)       Yes       Yes	No	Not Present  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA
1. Is Chain of Custody complete?       Yes       ✓         2. How was the sample delivered?       Courier         Log In       Courier         3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       ✓         4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C       Yes       ✓         5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?       Yes       ✓         6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes       ✓         7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes       ✓         8. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes       ✓         9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA?	No 🗌 No 🗍 No 🗍 No 🗍	NA 🗌 NA 🗍
1. Is Chain of Custody complete?       Yes       ✓         2. How was the sample delivered?       Courier         Log In       Courier         3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       ✓         4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C       Yes       ✓         5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?       Yes       ✓         6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes       ✓         7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes       ✓         8. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes       ✓         9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA?	No 🗌 No 🗍 No 🗍 No 🗍	NA 🗌 NA 🗍
Log In         3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes         4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C       Yes         5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?       Yes         6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes         7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes         8. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes         9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA?	No 🗌 No 🗍 No 🗍 No 🗍	NA 🗌
3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       ✓         4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C       Yes       ✓         5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?       Yes       ✓         6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes       ✓         7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes       ✓         8. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes       ✓         9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA?	No 🗌 No 🗍 No 🗍 No 🗍	NA 🗌
3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       ✓         4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C       Yes       ✓         5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?       Yes       ✓         6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes       ✓         7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes       ✓         8. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes       ✓         9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA?	No 🗌 No 🗍 No 🗍 No 🗍	NA 🗌
5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?       Yes         6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes         7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes         8. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes         9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA?	No 🗌 No 🗍 No 🗍	NA 🗌
6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes         7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes         8. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes         9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA?	No 🗌 No 🗍	
7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes         8. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes         9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA?	No 🗌	
7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes         8. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes         9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA?	No 🗌	
<ul> <li>8. Was preservative added to bottles?</li> <li>9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace &lt;1/4" for AQ VOA?</li> <li>10. Were any sample containers received broken?</li> <li>11. Does paperwork match bottle labels?</li> <li>(Note discrepancies on chain of custody)</li> <li>12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?</li> <li>13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?</li> <li>14. Were all holding times able to be met?</li> <li>(If no, notify customer for authorization.)</li> </ul>		
10. Were any sample containers received broken?       Yes         11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)       Yes         12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes         13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes         14. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)       Yes		
10. Were any sample containers received broken?       Yes         11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)       Yes         12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes         13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes         14. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)       Yes	No 🗌	NA V
11. Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes         (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)       Yes         12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes         13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes         14. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes         (If no, notify customer for authorization.)       Yes	No 🔽	
(Note discrepancies on chain of custody)         12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?         13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?         Yes         14. Were all holding times able to be met?         Yes         (If no, notify customer for authorization.)		# of preserved bottles checked
12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes         13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes         14. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes         (If no, notify customer for authorization.)       Yes	No 🗌	for pH:
13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes         14. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes         (If no, notify customer for authorization.)       Yes		(<2 or >12 unless noted) Adjusted?
14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes Ves (If no, notify customer for authorization.)	No 🗌	
		Checked by: JA 9 30 22
Special Handling (if applicable)		· · · ·
15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes	No 🗌	NA 🗹
Person Notified: Date:		
By Whom: Via: eMail	Phone 🗌 Fax	In Person
Regarding:		
Client Instructions:		
16. Additional remarks:		
17. <u>Cooler Information</u> <u>Cooler No</u> Temp <sup>o</sup> C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date 1 2.5 Good Not Present		

Page 1 of 1

Chair	D-Jo-1	Chain-of-Custody Record	Turn-Around Time:	Time:				
Client: EOG-Artesia / Ranger Env.	rtesia / Ra	anger Env.	□ Standard		W Rush 24 hr		HALL ENVIRONMENTAL	
			Project Name:	1			ANALTSIS LABORATORY	
Mailing Address.	E0G - 10	Mailing Address: EOG - 105 S 4th St, Artesia NM, 88210	LAUK	17 17			www.hallenvironmental.com	
Ranger: PO Box 201179, Austin TX 78720	201179, /	Austin TX 78720		75		1 102+ 1 101	Tai FOR 246 2075 First For 245 2075	
Phone #: 521-335-1785	35-1785						Augustation Fax 303-343-410/ Analysis Reguest	
email or Fax#: Will@RangerEnv.com	Will@Rar	ngerEnv.com	Project Manager: W. Kierdorf	ger: W. Kier	dorf	-		
QA/QC Package:	200			)		(OA		-
Standard		Level 4 (Full Validation)				IM / (		
Accreditation:	□ Az Col	□ Az Compliance □ Other	Sampler: W	ILenned	N LI			
EDD (Type)	Excel		# of Coolers:			оя		
			Cooler Temp(including CF):	(including CF): 7	340.2 22.5	D)09		
Date Time	Matrix	Sample Name	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	HEAL No.	8) XJTE PH:801 Phoride		
9/2/22 08 33	Sol	58-3/15	1 + 42 Th	THE	001			1
1 0925	-	50-3/30		)	200	11		T
020		SB-3132			CN3			1
105		58-4/20			had			T
1034		58-4/30			500			T
20/	$\rightarrow$	SB-4132	J	1	22	171		T
			7					TI
								1
								T T
Date: Time:	Relinquished by	Y	Received by:	Via:	Date Time A 1.0 1.1 8.26	Remarks: Bil	Remarks: Bill to EOG Artesia	1
Date: Time: 9/19/22 1900	Relinquished by:	222	Received by:	Via: VI COUNTE	Via: VI Date Time	0		
If necessary	samples sub	mitted to Hall Environmental may be subcc	ontracted to other ac	credited laboratori	es. This serves as notice of th	r nis possibility. Any s	If necessary, samples submitted to Hall Environmental may be subcontracted to other accredited laboratories. This serves as notice of this possibility. Any sub-contracted data will be clearly notated on the analytical repol	-

Received by OCD: 12/26/2023 11:09:12[AM

Released to Imaging: 12/26/2028 11:16:30/AM



October 17, 2022

Will Kierdorf EOG 105 South Fourth Street Artesia, NM 88210 TEL: FAX: Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

OrderNo.: 2210375

RE: Inex 3

Dear Will Kierdorf:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 1 sample(s) on 10/7/2022 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results, it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifiers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0901

Sincerely,

ander

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Analytical Report

### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Lab Order 2210375 Date Reported: 10/17/2022

CLIENT:	EOG	Client Sample ID: SB-4/33
Project:	Inex 3	Collection Date: 10/5/2022 9:57:00 AM
Lab ID:	2210375-001	Matrix: MEOH (SOIL) Received Date: 10/7/2022 7:10:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analys	t: JTT
Chloride	400	60	mg/Kg	20	10/8/2022 4:50:27 PM	70686
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORC	GANICS				Analys	t: DGH
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	14	mg/Kg	1	10/8/2022 12:19:57 AM	70684
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	48	mg/Kg	1	10/8/2022 12:19:57 AM	70684
Surr: DNOP	84.3	21-129	%Rec	1	10/8/2022 12:19:57 AM	70684
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE					Analys	t: BRM
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	3.5	mg/Kg	1	10/7/2022 10:27:00 AM	C91644
Surr: BFB	92.2	37.7-212	%Rec	1	10/7/2022 10:27:00 AM	C91644
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analys	t: BRM
Benzene	ND	0.017	mg/Kg	1	10/7/2022 10:27:00 AM	D91644
Toluene	ND	0.035	mg/Kg	1	10/7/2022 10:27:00 AM	D91644
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.035	mg/Kg	1	10/7/2022 10:27:00 AM	D91644
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.070	mg/Kg	1	10/7/2022 10:27:00 AM	D91644
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	92.5	70-130	%Rec	1	10/7/2022 10:27:00 AM	D91644

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix interference
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Estimated value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 1 of 5

EOG

**Client:** 

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.17-Oct-22		WO#:	2210375
	Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.		17-Oct-22

Project:	Inex 3										
Sample ID: N	/B-70686	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	300.0: Anions	6		
Client ID: P	PBS	Batch	n ID: 70	686	F	RunNo: <b>9</b> 1	1659				
Prep Date:	10/7/2022	Analysis D	ate: 10	/8/2022	S	SeqNo: 32	284244	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		ND	1.5								
Sample ID: L	.CS-70686	SampT	ype: LC	S	TestCode: EPA Method 3			300.0: Anions	6		
Client ID:	CSS	Batch	n ID: 70	686	F	RunNo: <b>9</b> 1	1659				
Prep Date:	10/7/2022	Analysis D	ate: 10	/8/2022	5	SeqNo: 32	284245	Units: <b>mg/K</b>	g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		14	1.5	15.00	0	95.0	90	110			

**Qualifiers:** 

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. \*
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix interference S
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Е Estimated value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 2 of 5

Released to Imaging: 12/26/2023 11:16:30[AM

EOG

**Client:** 

### WO#: 2210375 17-Oct-22

Project: Inex 3											
Sample ID: LCS-70684	SampT	ype: LC	S	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8015M/D: Die	sel Range	Organics		
Client ID: LCSS	Batcl	n ID: 706	684	F	RunNo: 9	c 91633					
Prep Date: 10/7/2022	Analysis E	Date: <b>10</b>	/8/2022	5	SeqNo: 32	284495	Units: <b>mg/K</b>	g			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	34	15	50.00	0	67.8	64.4	127				
Surr: DNOP	3.4		5.000		67.4	21	129				
Sample ID: MB-70684	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	Tes	stCode: EF	PA Method	8015M/D: Die	sel Range	Organics		
		ype: <b>ME</b> n ID: <b>706</b>			stCode: <b>EF</b> RunNo: <b>9</b> ′		8015M/D: Die	esel Range	Organics		
Client ID: PBS		n ID: 706		F		1633	8015M/D: Die Units: mg/K	C	Organics		
Client ID: <b>PBS</b> Prep Date: <b>10/7/2022</b>	Batcl	n ID: 706	684	F	RunNo: <b>9</b> ′	1633		C	Organics RPDLimit	Qual	
Client ID: <b>PBS</b> Prep Date: <b>10/7/2022</b> Analyte	Batcl Analysis [	n ID: <b>706</b> Date: <b>10</b>	684 0/7/2022	F	RunNo: <b>9</b> ′ SeqNo: <b>3</b> 2	1633 284497	Units: <b>mg/K</b>	g	-	Qual	
-	Batcl Analysis I Result	n ID: <b>706</b> Date: <b>10</b> PQL	684 0/7/2022	F	RunNo: <b>9</b> ′ SeqNo: <b>3</b> 2	1633 284497	Units: <b>mg/K</b>	g	-	Qual	

**Qualifiers:** 

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix interference S
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Е Estimated value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 3 of 5

Released to Imaging: 12/26/2023 11:16:30[AM

KEPUKI (Construction)	WO#:	2210375	
Analysis Laboratory, Inc.		17-Oct-22	

Client: Project:	EOG Inex 3										
Sample ID: Client ID:	2.5ug gro lcs LCSS		ype: LC	-				8015D: Gasoli	ne Range		
Prep Date:	LC35	Analysis D				RunNo: 9 <sup>,</sup> SeqNo: 32		Units: <b>mg/K</b> g	3		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Rang Surr: BFB	ge Organics (GRO)	25 2200	5.0	25.00 1000	0	98.8 218	72.3 37.7	137 212			S
Sample ID:	mb	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8015D: Gasoli	ne Range		
Client ID:	PBS	Batch	ID: C9	1644	F	RunNo: <b>9</b> ′	1644				
Prep Date:		Analysis D	ate: 10	/7/2022	S	SeqNo: 32	283580	Units: mg/Kg	3		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Rang Surr: BFB	ge Organics (GRO)	ND 1000	5.0	1000		102	37.7	212			
Sample ID:	lcs-70659	SampT	ype: LC	S	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8015D: Gasoli	ne Range		
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch	ID: 70	659	F	RunNo: <b>9</b> ′	1644				
Prep Date:	10/6/2022	Analysis D	ate: 10	/7/2022	S	SeqNo: 32	283584	Units: %Rec			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: BFB		2000		1000		200	37.7	212			
Sample ID:	mb-70659	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8015D: Gasoli	ne Range		
Client ID:	PBS	Batch	ID: 70	659	F	RunNo: <b>9</b> ′	1644				
Prep Date:	10/6/2022	Analysis D	ate: 10	/7/2022	Ś	SeqNo: 32	283585	Units: %Rec			
Analyte Surr: BFB		Result 960	PQL	SPK value 1000	SPK Ref Val	%REC 96.1	LowLimit 37.7	HighLimit 212	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
		900		1000		90.1	51.1	212			

Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix interference
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Estimated value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 4 of 5

### QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:	2210375
	17-Oct-22

Client: EOG Project: Inex										
Sample ID: 100ng btex Ics	s Samp	Type: LC	S	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8021B: Volati	les		
Client ID: LCSS	Batc	h ID: <b>D9</b>	1644	F	RunNo: 9'	1644				
Prep Date:	Analysis I	Date: <b>10</b>	/7/2022	Ş	SeqNo: 3	283599	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	0.99	0.025	1.000	0	99.4	80	120	, or a <b>B</b>		<b>Q HH</b>
Toluene	0.99	0.050	1.000	0	98.8	80	120			
Ethylbenzene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	99.6	80	120			
Xylenes, Total	2.9	0.10	3.000	0	97.3	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		102	70	130			
Sample ID: <b>mb</b>	Samp	Туре: <b>МЕ</b>	BLK	Tes	stCode: EF	PA Method	8021B: Volati	les		
Client ID: PBS	Batc	h ID: <b>D9</b>	1644	F	RunNo: 9'	1644				
Prep Date:	Analysis I	Date: 10	/7/2022	5	SeqNo: 32	283600	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.025								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		100	70	130			
Sample ID: Ics-70659	Samp	Type: LC	S	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8021B: Volati	les		
Client ID: LCSS	Batc	h ID: 70	659	F	RunNo: 9	1644				
Prep Date: 10/6/2022	Analysis I	Date: 10	/7/2022	S	SeqNo: 32	283604	Units: %Rec	;		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.92		1.000		92.0	70	130			
Sample ID: mb-70659	Samp	Туре: <b>МЕ</b>	BLK	Tes	stCode: EF	PA Method	8021B: Volati	les		
Client ID: PBS	Batc	h ID: 70	659	F	RunNo: <b>9</b> ′	1644				
Prep Date: 10/6/2022	Analysis I	Date: 10	/7/2022	Ş	SeqNo: 32	283605	Units: %Rec	;		

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

0.92

1.000

Qualifiers:

\* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix interference
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

92.4

70

130

E Estimated value

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

P Sample pH Not In Range

RL Reporting Limit

Page 5 of 5

-

	ANAL	ONMENT		TE	all Environm EL: 505-345- Website: ww	490 Albuquerq 3975 FAX:	1 Hawki ue, NM 505-345	ns NE 87109 -4107	San	Pages	1094
C	lient Name:	EOG		Work	< Order Nur	mber: 221	375		_	RcptNo: 1	-
Re	eceived By:	Juan Roj	as	10/7/20	022 7:10:00	) AM		year Chene	ag .		
	ompleted By: eviewed By:	Cheyenn D 10-	e Cason - 7-72	10/7/20	022 7:34:08	B AM		Chene	L		
Cł	nain of Cus	todv									
	Is Chain of C		lete?			Yes		No		Not Present	
	How was the					Clier		110			
L	og In										
3.	Was an atterr	pt made to	cool the sam	ples?		Yes		No			
4.	Were all samp	oles received	l at a temper	ature of >0° C	to 6.0°C	Yes		No			
5.	Sample(s) in j	oroper conta	iner(s)?			Yes		No			
6.	Sufficient sam	ple volume f	or indicated I	test(s)?		Yes	~	No			
7.	Are samples (	except VOA	and ONG) pr	roperly preserve	ed?	Yes	~	No			
8.	Was preservat	tive added to	bottles?			Yes		No	✓	NA 🗌	
9. 1	Received at le	ast 1 vial wit	h headspace	<1/4" for AQ \	/OA?	Yes		No			
10.	Were any san	nple containe	ers received l	broken?		Yes		No		# of preserved bottles checked	1
	Does paperwo (Note discrepa			y)		Yes		No		for pH: (<2 or >12 unless noted)	-
12./	Are matrices c	orrectly iden	tified on Cha	in of Custody?		Yes	~	No		Adjusted?	
13.1	ls it clear what	analyses we	ere requested	17		Yes	V	No			
	Were all holdir (If no, notify cu			)		Yes	<b>V</b>	No		Checked by: JN 10 72	2
Spe	cial Handli	ing (if app	licable)								
15.	Was client not	tified of all di	screpancies	with this order?	?	Yes		No			
	Person	Notified:			Date				-		
	By Who	m:			Via:	eMa		hone	Fax	In Person	
	Regardi Client In	ng: structions:					-				
16.	Additional ren	narks:									
17	Cooler Inform	nation									
	Cooler No 1	Temp °C 1.9	Condition Good	Seal Intact Not Present	Seal No	Seal Da	te	Signed E	By		

Page 1 of 1

Chain-of-Custody Record	Turn-Around Time:		
Client: EOG-Artesia / Ranger Env.	D Standard D Rush 24 hr	hr	
			ANALYSIS LABORATORY
Mailing Address: EOG - 105 S 4th St, Artesia NM, 88210	Iner #3		www.hallenvironmental.com
Ranger: PO Box 201179, Austin TX 78720			A
Phone #: 521-335-1785			Tel: 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107 Analvsis Reminest
email or Fax#: Will@RangerEnv.com	Project Manager: W. Kierdorf		
QA/QC Package: Standard  Cevel 4 (Full Validation)			(OSM /
:uc	Sampler: W. Kennewy		
-	4		
EDD (Type) Excel	# of Coolers: \	_	
	Cooler Temp(including CF): 1.9.0.21.	(1201	
Date Time Matrix Sample Name	Container Preservative 1 Type and # Type 77.1/	HEAL No. X (8	9binoldC
10/5/21 0957 Soil 201 58-4/33	1 & YOZIN ICE		1 1
3			
17 1430	T. Markine, Via: Date	Time [ 4] 30	Remarks: Bill to EOG Artesia
Date: Time: Relinquished by:	by: Via: Date		
	Minner (Minner)	COR 12.	
0/1/12/1900 actument international may be such	contracted to other accredited laboratories. This serves as notice of this property of the providence of this property of the providence o	serves as notice of this possibility $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ $\mathcal{T}$ $\mathcal{T}^{I}$ $\mathcal{U}$	190 how how the formation into the supportation of the supportation of the support of the support of the possibility. Any sub-contracted data will be clearly notated on the analytical report 190 how



November 02, 2022

Will Kierdorf EOG 105 South Fourth Street Artesia, NM 88210 TEL: FAX: Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

RE: Inex 3

OrderNo.: 2210C52

Dear Will Kierdorf:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 8 sample(s) on 10/26/2022 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results, it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifiers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0901

Sincerely,

ander

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

**CLIENT: EOG** 

Project: Inex 3

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

**Analytical Report** 

Date Reported: 11/2/2022

10/28/2022 7:43:00 PM 71084

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.
----------------------------------------------

Lab Order 2210C52

Client Sample ID: NE-3-B(B) @ 6 Collection Date: 10/24/2022 11:30:00 AM

Lab ID: 2210C52-001	Matrix: SOIL		<b>Received Dat</b>	<b>e:</b> 10	/26/2022 7:10:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	: NAI
Chloride	1000	60	mg/Kg	20	10/31/2022 8:18:54 PM	71186
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE	ORGANICS				Analyst	DGH
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	14	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 8:08:46 PM	71099
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	48	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 8:08:46 PM	71099
Surr: DNOP	81.8	21-129	%Rec	1	10/28/2022 8:08:46 PM	71099
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANG	E				Analyst	: CCM
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.9	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 7:43:00 PM	71084
Surr: BFB	103	37.7-212	%Rec	1	10/28/2022 7:43:00 PM	71084
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: ССМ
Benzene	ND	0.024	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 7:43:00 PM	71084
Toluene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 7:43:00 PM	71084
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 7:43:00 PM	71084
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.098	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 7:43:00 PM	71084

115

70-130

%Rec

1

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

**Qualifiers:** 

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated. S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Sample pH Not In Range
- Р Reporting Limit
- RL

Page 1 of 12

2210C52-002

**CLIENT: EOG** 

Project:

Lab ID:

**Analytical Report** 

Hall	Environmental	Analysis	Laboratory,	Inc.

Lab Order 2210C52 Date Reported: 11/2/2022

Client Sample ID: NE-3-B(B) @ 13 Collection Date: 10/24/2022 12:14:00 PM Received Date: 10/26/2022 7:10:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	: NAI
Chloride	760	59	mg/Kg	20	10/31/2022 8:31:18 PM	71186
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RAN	GE ORGANICS				Analyst	DGH
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	14	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 8:19:21 PM	71099
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	48	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 8:19:21 PM	71099
Surr: DNOP	86.2	21-129	%Rec	1	10/28/2022 8:19:21 PM	71099
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RAI	NGE				Analyst	: CCM
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.8	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 8:02:00 PM	71084
Surr: BFB	100	37.7-212	%Rec	1	10/28/2022 8:02:00 PM	71084
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	CCM
Benzene	ND	0.024	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 8:02:00 PM	71084
Toluene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 8:02:00 PM	71084
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 8:02:00 PM	71084
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.096	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 8:02:00 PM	71084
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	116	70-130	%Rec	1	10/28/2022 8:02:00 PM	71084

Matrix: SOIL

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

**Qualifiers:** 

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated. S
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в
- Е Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Sample pH Not In Range
- Р Reporting Limit
- RL

Page 2 of 12

2210C52-003

**CLIENT: EOG** 

Project:

Lab ID:

**Analytical Report** 

Date Reported: 11/2/2022

Hall E	nvironmental	Analysis	Laboratory	, Inc.

Lab Order 2210C52

Client Sample ID: ESE-1(B) @ 12 Collection Date: 10/24/2022 2:00:00 PM Received Date: 10/26/2022 7:10:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analys	t: NAI
Chloride	700	60	mg/Kg	20	10/31/2022 8:43:43 PM	71186
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE	ORGANICS				Analys	t: DGH
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	14	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 8:29:56 PM	71099
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	48	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 8:29:56 PM	71099
Surr: DNOP	89.4	21-129	%Rec	1	10/28/2022 8:29:56 PM	71099
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANG	E				Analys	t: CCM
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.7	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 8:22:00 PM	71084
Surr: BFB	101	37.7-212	%Rec	1	10/28/2022 8:22:00 PM	71084
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analys	t: CCM
Benzene	ND	0.023	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 8:22:00 PM	71084
Toluene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 8:22:00 PM	71084
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 8:22:00 PM	71084
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.093	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 8:22:00 PM	71084
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	118	70-130	%Rec	1	10/28/2022 8:22:00 PM	71084

Matrix: SOIL

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

\* **Qualifiers:** 

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated. S
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в
- Е Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range Reporting Limit
- RL

Page 3 of 12

**CLIENT: EOG** 

Project:

Analytical Report

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.
----------------------------------------------

Lab Order 2210C52

Date Reported: 11/2/2022

Client Sample ID: ESE-1(B) @ 14 Collection Date: 10/24/2022 2:16:00 PM Received Date: 10/26/2022 7:10:00 AM

Lab ID: 2210C52-004	Matrix: SOIL		<b>Received Date:</b> 10/26/2022 7:10:00 AM							
Analyses	Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch				
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	: NAI				
Chloride	300	60	mg/Kg	20	10/31/2022 9:20:56 PM	71186				
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE	ORGANICS				Analyst	DGH				
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	15	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 8:40:31 PM	71099				
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	50	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 8:40:31 PM	71099				
Surr: DNOP	99.9	21-129	%Rec	1	10/28/2022 8:40:31 PM	71099				
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANG	E				Analyst	CCM				
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.7	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 8:42:00 PM	71084				
Surr: BFB	98.2	37.7-212	%Rec	1	10/28/2022 8:42:00 PM	71084				
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	CCM				
Benzene	ND	0.024	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 8:42:00 PM	71084				
Toluene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 8:42:00 PM	71084				
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 8:42:00 PM	71084				
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 8:42:00 PM	71084				
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	117	70-130	%Rec	1	10/28/2022 8:42:00 PM	71084				

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated.
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 4 of 12

**CLIENT: EOG** 

Project:

**Analytical Report** 

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.
----------------------------------------------

Lab Order 2210C52

Date Reported: 11/2/2022

Client Sample ID: E-1-D(B) @ 8 Collection Date: 10/24/2022 2:40:00 PM Received Date: 10/26/2022 7:10:00 AM

Lab ID: 2210C52-005	Matrix: SOIL		<b>Received Dat</b>	<b>e:</b> 10	ceived Date: 10/26/2022 7:10:00 AM					
Analyses	Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch				
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	: NAI				
Chloride	530	60	mg/Kg	20	10/31/2022 9:33:20 PM	71186				
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANG	<b>SE ORGANICS</b>				Analyst	DGH				
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	15	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 8:51:03 PM	71099				
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	49	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 8:51:03 PM	71099				
Surr: DNOP	100	21-129	%Rec	1	10/28/2022 8:51:03 PM	71099				
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RAN	GE				Analyst	ССМ				
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.6	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 9:01:00 PM	71084				
Surr: BFB	101	37.7-212	%Rec	1	10/28/2022 9:01:00 PM	71084				
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	CCM				
Benzene	ND	0.023	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 9:01:00 PM	71084				
Toluene	ND	0.046	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 9:01:00 PM	71084				
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.046	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 9:01:00 PM	71084				
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.093	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 9:01:00 PM	71084				
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	118	70-130	%Rec	1	10/28/2022 9:01:00 PM	71084				

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

\* **Qualifiers:** 

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated. S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 5 of 12

2210C52-006

**CLIENT: EOG** 

Project:

Lab ID:

**Analytical Report** 

### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Lab Order 2210C52

Date Reported: 11/2/2022

Client Sample ID: E-1-D(B) @ 10 Collection Date: 10/24/2022 2:48:00 PM Received Date: 10/26/2022 7:10:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch			
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	: NAI			
Chloride	470	60	mg/Kg	20	10/31/2022 9:45:45 PM	71186			
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE	ORGANICS				Analyst	DGH			
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	15	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 9:01:39 PM	71099			
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	50	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 9:01:39 PM	71099			
Surr: DNOP	86.1	21-129	%Rec	1	10/28/2022 9:01:39 PM	71099			
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANG	E				Analyst	CCM			
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.9	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 9:21:00 PM	71084			
Surr: BFB	99.1	37.7-212	%Rec	1	10/28/2022 9:21:00 PM	71084			
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	CCM			
Benzene	ND	0.024	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 9:21:00 PM	71084			
Toluene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 9:21:00 PM	71084			
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 9:21:00 PM	71084			
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.098	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 9:21:00 PM	71084			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	119	70-130	%Rec	1	10/28/2022 9:21:00 PM	71084			

Matrix: SOIL

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

**Qualifiers:** 

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated. S
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в
- Е Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Sample pH Not In Range
- Р RL Reporting Limit

Page 6 of 12

**CLIENT: EOG** 

Project:

Analytical Report

Hall	Environmental	Analysis	Laboratory.	Inc.

Lab Order 2210C52

Date Reported: 11/2/2022

Client Sample ID: ESE-2-A(A) @ 10 Collection Date: 10/24/2022 3:02:00 PM Received Date: 10/26/2022 7:10:00 AM

Lab ID: 2210C52-007	Matrix: SOIL		<b>Received Dat</b>	<b>e:</b> 10	26/2022 7:10:00 AM		
Analyses	Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch	
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	: NAI	
Chloride	740	60	mg/Kg	20	10/31/2022 9:58:09 PM	71186	
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANG	E ORGANICS				Analyst	DGH	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	14	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 9:12:14 PM	71099	
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	46	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 9:12:14 PM	71099	
Surr: DNOP	88.0	21-129	%Rec	1	10/28/2022 9:12:14 PM	71099	
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANG	GE				Analyst	: CCM	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.8	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 9:41:00 PM	71084	
Surr: BFB	102	37.7-212	%Rec	1	10/28/2022 9:41:00 PM	71084	
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	CCM	
Benzene	ND	0.024	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 9:41:00 PM	71084	
Toluene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 9:41:00 PM	71084	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 9:41:00 PM	71084	
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.096	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 9:41:00 PM	71084	
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	118	70-130	%Rec	1	10/28/2022 9:41:00 PM	71084	

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated.
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- JAnalyte detected below quantitation limitsPSample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 7 of 12

2210C52-008

**CLIENT: EOG** 

Project:

Lab ID:

Analytical Report

Lab Order **2210C52** Date Reported: **11/2/2022** 

Client Sample ID: ESE-2-A(A) @ 13 Collection Date: 10/24/2022 3:30:00 PM Received Date: 10/26/2022 7:10:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	NAI
Chloride	360	60	mg/Kg	20	10/31/2022 10:10:34 PN	I 71186
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE O	RGANICS				Analyst	DGH
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	15	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 9:22:49 PM	71099
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	50	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 9:22:49 PM	71099
Surr: DNOP	81.7	21-129	%Rec	1	10/28/2022 9:22:49 PM	71099
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE					Analyst	ССМ
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.7	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 10:00:00 PM	71084
Surr: BFB	103	37.7-212	%Rec	1	10/28/2022 10:00:00 PM	I 71084
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	ССМ
Benzene	ND	0.024	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 10:00:00 PM	71084
Toluene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 10:00:00 PN	I 71084
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 10:00:00 PM	I 71084
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.094	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2022 10:00:00 PM	I 71084
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	118	70-130	%Rec	1	10/28/2022 10:00:00 PM	71084

Matrix: SOIL

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers: \*

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated.
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- JAnalyte detected below quantitation limitsPSample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 8 of 12

2210C52

02-Nov-22

WO#:

## QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client: Project:	EOG Inex 3										
Sample ID: L	CS-71186	Samp	Гуре: Ics		Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	300.0: Anions	\$		
Client ID: L	CSS	Batc	h ID: <b>71</b> 1	186	F	RunNo: 92	2227				
Prep Date:	10/31/2022	Analysis [	Date: <b>10</b>	/31/2022	S	SeqNo: 3	312379	Units: <b>mg/K</b>	g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		14	1.5	15.00	0	96.5	90	110			

#### Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated.
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 9 of 12

EOG

**Client:** 

### WO#: 2210C52 02-Nov-22

Project: Inex 3										
Sample ID: LCS-71099	SampT	Type: LC	S	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8015M/D: Die	esel Range	Organics	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	h ID: 710	099	F	RunNo: 92	2135				
Prep Date: 10/26/2022	Analysis D	Date: 10	/27/2022	S	SeqNo: 3	307451	Units: mg/K	íg		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	45	15	50.00	0	90.6	64.4	127			
Surr: DNOP	4.9		5.000		98.8	21	129			
Surr: DNOP Sample ID: MB-71099		Гуре: МЕ		Tes			129 8015M/D: Die	esel Range	Organics	
	SampT	Гуре: <b>МЕ</b> h ID: <b>71(</b>	BLK			PA Method		esel Range	Organics	
Sample ID: MB-71099	SampT	h ID: 710	BLK	F	tCode: EF	PA Method 2135		Ū	Organics	
Sample ID: MB-71099 Client ID: PBS	SampT Batch	h ID: 710	3LK 099	F	tCode: El	PA Method 2135	8015M/D: Die	Ū	Organics RPDLimit	Qual
Sample ID:         MB-71099           Client ID:         PBS           Prep Date:         10/26/2022	SampT Batch Analysis D	h ID: 710 Date: 10	BLK 099 0/27/2022	F	atCode: EF RunNo: 92 SeqNo: 33	PA Method 2135 307453	8015M/D: Die Units: mg/K	(g	-	Qual
Sample ID: MB-71099 Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 10/26/2022 Analyte	SampT Batch Analysis D Result	h ID: <b>71(</b> Date: <b>10</b> PQL	BLK 099 0/27/2022	F	atCode: EF RunNo: 92 SeqNo: 33	PA Method 2135 307453	8015M/D: Die Units: mg/K	(g	-	Qual

Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated.
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 10 of 12

## QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:	2210C52
	02-Nov-22

Client:	EOG									
Project:	Inex 3									
Sample ID:	lcs-71084	SampType: L	cs	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8015D: Gasoli	ne Range	1	
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch ID: 7	1084	F	RunNo: <b>92</b>	2196				
Prep Date:	10/26/2022	Analysis Date:	0/28/2022	S	SeqNo: 33	810373	Units: mg/Kg	)		
Analyte		Result PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
-	ge Organics (GRO)	26 5.0		0	102	72.3	137			
Surr: BFB		2200	1000		216	37.7	212			S
Sample ID:	mb-71084	SampType: <b>M</b>	BLK	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8015D: Gasoli	ne Range		
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID: 7	1084	F	RunNo: <b>92</b>	2196				
Prep Date:	10/26/2022	Analysis Date:	0/28/2022	S	SeqNo: 33	310374	Units: mg/Kg	9		
Analyte		Result PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
	ge Organics (GRO)	ND 5.0								
Surr: BFB		970	1000		97.5	37.7	212			
Sample ID:	lcs-71125	SampType: L	cs	Tes	tCode: EF	A Method	8015D: Gasoli	ne Range		
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch ID: 7	1125	F	RunNo: <b>92</b>	2196				
Prep Date:	10/27/2022	Analysis Date:	0/29/2022	Ş	SeqNo: 33	810421	Units: %Rec			
Analyte		Result PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: BFB		2200	1000		221	37.7	212			S
Sample ID:	mb-71125	SampType: <b>M</b>	BLK	Tes	tCode: EF	A Method	8015D: Gasoli	ne Range		
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID: 7	1125	F	RunNo: <b>92</b>	2196				
Prep Date:	10/27/2022	Analysis Date:	0/29/2022	5	SeqNo: 33	310422	Units: %Rec			
Analyte		Result PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: BFB		1000	1000		102	37.7	212			

#### Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated.
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 11 of 12

### QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:	2210C52
	02 Nov 22

02-Nov-22

Client: Project:	EOG Inex 3												
Sample ID:		Samp	Type: LC	s	Tes	tCode: FF	A Method	8021B: Volatil	es				
Client ID:	LCSS		h ID: 710			RunNo: 92			00				
-													
Prep Date:	10/26/2022	Analysis I	Date: 10	/28/2022	5	SeqNo: 33	310523	Units: mg/Kg	9				
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Benzene		1.2	0.025	1.000	0	118	80	120					
Toluene		1.2	0.050	1.000	0	118	80	120					
Ethylbenzene		1.2	0.050	1.000	0	116	80	120					
Xylenes, Total		3.5	0.10	3.000	0	116	80	120					
Surr: 4-Brom	ofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		113	70	130					
Sample ID:	mb-71084	Samp	Туре: <b>МЕ</b>	BLK	Tes	tCode: EF	A Method	8021B: Volatil	es				
Client ID:	Client ID: PBS Batch ID: 71084				F	RunNo: <b>92196</b>							
Prep Date:	10/26/2022	Analysis I	Date: <b>10</b>	/28/2022	S	SeqNo: 33	310524	Units: mg/Kg	9				
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Benzene		ND	0.025										
Toluene		ND	0.050										
Ethylbenzene		ND	0.050										
Xylenes, Total		ND	0.10										
Surr: 4-Brom	ofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		112	70	130					
Sample ID:	lcs-71125	Samp	Type: LC	S	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles								
Client ID:	LCSS	Batc	h ID: <b>71</b> 1	125	F	RunNo: 92	2196						
Prep Date:	10/27/2022	Analysis I	Date: <b>10</b>	/29/2022	5	SeqNo: 33	310571	Units: %Rec					
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Surr: 4-Brom	ofluorobenzene	1.2		1.000		121	70	130					
Sample ID:	mb-71125	Samp	Туре: <b>МЕ</b>	BLK	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8021B: Volatil	es				
Client ID:	PBS	Batc	h ID: <b>71</b> 1	125	RunNo: <b>92196</b>								
Prep Date:	10/27/2022	Analysis I	Date: <b>10</b>	/29/2022	S	SeqNo: 33	310572	Units: %Rec					
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
	ofluorobenzene	1.2		1.000		120	70	130					

Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated.
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 12 of 12

ANALYSIS LABORATORY	TEL: 505-345-	ental Analysis L 4901 Ha Albuquerque, 1 3975 FAX: 505- w.hallenvironm	wkins NE VM 87109 345-4107	Sample Log-In Check List			
Client Name: EOG	Work Order Nun	nber: 2210C52	2		RcptNo: 1		
Received By: Juan Rojas	10/26/2022 7:10:0	0 AM	que	unay			
Completed By: Sean Livingston	10/26/2022 7:50:0	6 AM	<	- /	in the		
Reviewed By: Jn 10/26/22			_		130,		
Chain of Custody							
1. Is Chain of Custody complete?		Yes 🔽	N	lo 🗌	Not Present		
2. How was the sample delivered?		Courier					
Log In 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples	2	Yes 🔽	N	•			
4. Were all samples received at a temperature	of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes 🔽	N	• 🗆			
5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?		Yes 🔽	N	• 🗆			
6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(	5)?	Yes 🔽	N	• 🗆			
7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) prope	ly preserved?	Yes 🗹	N	□ o			
8. Was preservative added to bottles?		Yes 🗌	N				
9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/	4" for AQ VOA?	Yes 🗌	N		NA 🗹		
10. Were any sample containers received brok	en?	Yes	N	• 🔽			
					# of preserved bottles checked		
11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)		Yes 🗹	N	•	for pH: (<2 or >12 unless noted)		
2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of	Custody?	Yes 🔽	N		Adjusted?		
13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?	oublody.	Yes 🗹	N	-			
14. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)		Yes 🗹	N		Checked by: KPG 10-262		
Special Handling (if applicable)							
15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with	this order?	Yes 🗌	N	•	NA 🔽		
Person Notified:	Date	×1					
By Whom:	Via:		Phone	Fav	In Person		
Regarding:	714.						
Client Instructions:							
16. Additional remarks:							
17. <u>Cooler Information</u>							
the second se	eal Intact Seal No	Seal Date	Signe	d Bv	E.		
1 3.3 Good			2.9.0				

Page 1 of 1

of-Cu Oh F Oh F	Relinquishee	Turn-Around Time: FOC, FOAVTAT		Project Name:	87109	Tel 505-345-3075 Eav 505-345-4107	Analysis Request	((	WRC B's MRC	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	352(0 <sup>2,</sup>	Z Yes □ No 1/ T (201/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2	-AC 403 110 103 110 103 110 103 110 103 110 103 110 103	astic estic by 83 b Me 3r, 1 d AO (AO)	Type and # Type 2210CSC BTEX / BTEX / B260 (V 8270 (S C) 1791 1011 1011 1011 1011 1011 1011 1011	1x402)ar ICE OOI X X X	[ ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] [ ] ] [ ] ] [ ] [ ] [	12.	(B)@ // () (S)	)@ &	0/	(4) 10	13 1 4		Received by: Via: Date Time Remarks:	Date Time
of-Custody Record Sta Ealo / Janyer Ent Oh E. 1.4 I Level 4 (Full Validation) Az Compliance Date (Full Validation) Az Compliance Soit NE-3-8 (B) (B) (B) E SE-2-3 (B) (B) (B) E SE-2-3 (A) (D) E SE-2-3 (A)	Hain-of-Custody Record         Artes ra $Each$ $Langer       Enl         Address:       Dh Fid< Enl         Address:       Dh Fid Enl         Address:       Dh Fid Enl         Address:       Dh Fid Enl         Address:       Dh Fid Enl         Package:       ard ard ard ard         Dackage:       ard ard ard ard         Dackage:       ard ard ard ard         Dackage:       ard ard ard ard         Inter       Adres       ard ard ard         Inter       Arc ard ard ard         Inter       Ne<-3-8(e) e_i r^2 ard ard         Inter       Ne<-3-8(e) e_i r^2 ard ard ard         Inter       Ne<-3-2-6(e) e_i r^2 ard ard ard ard         Inter       rander       rander       rander       ard ard ard $	urn-Around Time: 500		1	井		F	roiect Manager:	revolor		ampler:			1									+ 1		ceived by: Via:	ceived by: Via:
-of-Cu -of-Cu -ss fa E Dh - of-Cu - af-Cu -	Hain-of-Cu       Aryles ra       Package:       Inder       Aryles ra       Inder       Aryles ra       Inder       Aryles ra       Inder       Aryles ra       Inder       Inder       Inder       Inder       Inder       Aryles ra       Inder       Aryles ra       Inder       Inder <td< td=""><td></td><td>1</td><td>and the first</td><td>21.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Level 4 (Full Validation)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td>E.I.D(B)@ 8</td><td></td><td>(4)</td><td></td><td></td><td>Sanita</td><td></td></td<>		1	and the first	21.5					Level 4 (Full Validation)						1	1			E.I.D(B)@ 8		(4)			Sanita	
	Time:     Time:	-of-Cu	esta S.		Qh						□ Az Cor	□ Other			Matrix	1:05	5						+		Relinquishe	Relinquishe

Released to Imaging: 12/26/2023 11:16:30/AM

# **ATTACHMENT 3**

# **NMOCD Correspondence**



Will Kierdorf «will@rangerenv.com»

Sep 23, 2022, 4:15 PM (12 days ago) 🏠 🔶 🚦

Mr. Bratcher.

On behalf of EOG Resources, Inc., please let this email serve as notification that site assessment soil sampling activities are to be conducted at the subject site beginning on Wednesday September 28, 2022 at 7 AM.

Inex #3 nAPP2110635348 A-26-T18S-R26E Eddy County, NM

If you have any questions please feel free to contact me.

to Mike, Adam, JesseK. Tremaine, Eric,, Katie, Chase, Carolyn, Patrick 💌

Thank you,

Will Kierdorf, REM Project Manager Ranger Environmental Services, Inc. P.O. Box 201179 Austin, TX 78720 Phone: 512-335-1785 Fax: 512-335-0527

2	-	
	-	A
	в	9
1		7

Bratcher, Michael, EMNRD <mike.bratcher@emnrd.nm.gov> to Jesse, me, Adam, Eric,, Katie, Chase, Carolyn, Patrick \* Will.

Fri, Sep 23, 4:32 PM (12 days ago) 🏠 숙 🚦

Notification received. Please include a copy of all notifications in the closure report to insure inclusion in the project file. Please proceed on your schedule.

Thank you,

Mike Bratcher 

Incident Supervisor
Environmental Bureau
EMNRD - Oil Conservation Division
506 W. Texas Ave | Artesia, NM 88210
(575) 626-0857 | mike.bratcher@emnrd.nm.gov NOTE NEW EMAIL ADDRESS
http://www.emnrd.state.nm.us/OCD/





Will Kierdorf «will@rangerenv.com» to Michael, Adam, Jesse, Eric, Katie, Chase, Carolyn, Patrick + Mr. Bratcher, Tue, Oct 4, 4:34 PM (15 hours ago) 🏠 🦌 🗄

As you are aware, assessment soil sampling activities were completed at the Inex #3 (nAPP2110635348) site this past Wednesday (September 28, 2022). Due to a concentration of a soil sample collected at depth in one of the completed assessment boring locations, additional assessment is potentially necessary to adequately delineate elevated concentrations at the location. Upon discussion with the drilling contractor, the task can be completed tomorrow morning as they have last minute availability. Samples collected during the activities will be utilized as assessment/delineation information for the subject incident.

Please let this email serve as notice that the activities will be completed starting tomorrow October 5th at 10 AM.

Inex #3 nAPP2110635348 A-26-T18S-R26E Eddy County, NM

If you have any questions or would like any additional information please do not hesitate to contact me.

Thank you,

\*\*\*

Will,



#### Bratcher, Michael, EMNRD

to me, Adam, Jesse, Eric,, Katie, Chase, Carolyn, Patrick 👻

Oct 4, 2022, 4:40 PM (15 hours ago) 🏠 😽 🗄

Thank you for the notice. Please proceed on your schedule.

Thank you,



•

	Sampling Notification - Inex #3 (nAPP2110635348)	xternal 🔰 Inbox ×	×	0	Z
	Will Kierdorf <will@rangerenv.com></will@rangerenv.com>	Wed, Oct 19, 3:23 PM (22 hours ago)	\$	5	÷
v,	to Michael,, Adam, Carolyn, Chase, Eric,, Jesse,, Katle, Patrick 🔻				
	Mr. Bratcher,				
	On behalf of EOG Resources, Inc., please let this email serve as notification that site asse subject site beginning on Monday October 24, 2022 at 7 AM.	ssment soil sampling activities are to be cond	lucted a	t the	
	Inex #3				
	nAPP2110635348				
	A-26-T18S-R26E				
	Eddy County, NM				
	If you have any questions please feel free to contact me.				
	Thank you,				
	-				
	Will Kierdorf, REM				
	Project Manager				
	Ranger Environmental Services, Inc.				
	P.O. Box 201179				
	Austin, TX 78720				
	Phone: 512-335-1785				
	Fax: 512-335-0527				
3	Bratcher, Michael, EMNRD to me, Adam, Carolyn, Chase, Eric., Jesse, Katie, Patrick +	2:18 PM (0 minutes ago)	☆	5	I
-	Mr. Kierdorf,				
	Thank you for the notification. Please proceed on your schedule.				
	Mike Bratcher - Incident Supervisor				
	Environmental Bureau				
	EMNRD - Oil Conservation Division				
	506 W. Texas Ave   Artesia, NM 88210				
	(575) 626-0857   mike.bratcher@emnrd.nm.gov NOTE NEW EMAIL ADDRESS				
	http://www.emnrd.state.nm/us/OCD/				
	(IIII)				

# **ATTACHMENT 4**

# SOIL BORING LOGS

- * "Ā* &'ÄÄ'(	*Ā(1) *-&*"; !Ā.(	\$"& <u>5375</u> # <u>9/28/22</u> * & * &	HCI	_ ,) (	) <b>Ä"*"#</b> <u>9/28/22</u>		Mexico	
&'ÀÀ'( .!!" #	!Ā)"* #Ā\$% <u> </u> &#' (	+	otary and 72404°, -1	_ , <b>+"</b> , 04.3459	." # <b>Ā\$</b> % Patrick Finn 40°	- * A*) "A 5A#&'AA'(! Dry -5 * "&##&'ÄÀ'(! Dry \$ * , Ā&\$789 Ā'9; Ā <ā,=>?@ ! \$ Ā&!B=CĀ/=D; 87 !" Ā6Ā 79E7FGÆ D; 87</th><th></th><th></th></tr><tr><th>(#)</th><th>SOIL SAMPLE ANALYSIS</th><th>FIELD CHLORIDE READING (In ppm)</th><th>PID (In ppm)</th><th>GRAPHIC LOG</th><th></th><th>TERIAL DESCRIPTION</th><th>v</th><th>VELL DIAGRAM pe: 2" Diameter PVC</th></tr><tr><th></th><th></th><th>2,475</th><th>1</th><th></th><th>(ML) Silt, buff to tan, r</th><th>ninor caliche inclusions</th><th></th><th></th></tr><tr><td>- 5 - -</td><td></td><td>3,750</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>— Riser</td></tr><tr><td> 0 </td><td></td><td>>3,750</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>5</td><td>GB</td><td>>3,750</td><td>0</td><td></td><td>Minor evaporites at 15</td><td>5'-17'</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td></td><td>3,750</td><td>0</td><td></td><td>20.0 (CL) Clay, light brown from 20'-22'</td><td>, blocky, firm, damp, friable, poor recovery</td><td></td><td>— Temporary Well Screen</td></tr><tr><td>5</td><td></td><td>600</td><td>0</td><td></td><td>Minor hard caliche inc</td><td>clusions at 26'-26.5" & 27'-27.7'</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>GB</td><td>600</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td><u>¬_G</u>₿_</td><td>275</td><td>0</td><td></td><td>31.5 32.0 Caliche, buff, hard, dr</td><td>y/ m of borehole at 32.0 feet.</td><td>-</td><td></td></tr></tbody></table>		

#- *	"(* <u>EOG</u> L", *ā(1) "ā * - &* "	Resources,         \$"& 5375         # 9/28/22         *&-, *         * + # _Air F         Keith Copel         - *"/ _32."	, Inc.	services, ,) (	P.O. Box 20117 Austin, Texas 76 Phone: (512)335 Fax: (512)335-0	3720 5-1785 527	nty, New Mex	(ico			
O DEPTH	SOIL SAMPLE ANALYSIS	FIELD CHLORIDE READING (In ppm)	PID (In ppm)	GRAPHIC LOG	MA	ATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Ca		VELL DIAGRAM		
-	_	>3,750	0		(ML) Silt, light brown	, minor buff caliche inclusions comm	on				
- - 5 - -	-	>3,750	0					-	— Riser		
- <u>10</u>  	-	>3,750	0		Minor evaporites fror						
9. 15 20 20	-	>3,750	0 0		20.0						
LOGS/5375 - INE	-	2,400	0		23.0 (CL) Clay, gray, plas 25.0	-			- Temporary Well Screen		
	- - - - - -	1,650	0		(CL) Clay, red, silty, minor buff caliche inclusions						
R:\DRAI	-		0	V/////	31.0 32.0 (CL) Clay, light brown, firm, damp						
15:22 - 1	- GB - GB	750 300	0		33.0 Caliche, white, firm, o	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
ENVIRONMENTAL BH - GINT STD US.GDT - 11/8/22 15:22 - R:DRAFTING FILES/GINT LOGS/5375 - INEX #3 - BORING LOGS.GPJ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					Note: Well was gaug 10/05/2022 and was and abandoned on 1	red on three occasions between 09 found to be dry. As such, the well 10/05/2022.	9/30/2022 aı was plugge	nd ed			

Received by OCD: 12/26/2023 11:09:12/AM Form C-141 State of New Mexico

Page 5

Oil Conservation Division

	Page 183 (of 185
Incident ID	nAPP2110635348
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

# **Remediation Plan**

Remediation Plan Checklist: Each of the following items must be	included in the plan.							
<ul> <li>Detailed description of proposed remediation technique</li> <li>Scaled sitemap with GPS coordinates showing delineation points</li> <li>Estimated volume of material to be remediated</li> <li>Closure criteria is to Table 1 specifications subject to 19.15.29.12(C)(4) NMAC</li> <li>Proposed schedule for remediation (note if remediation plan timeline is more than 90 days OCD approval is required)</li> </ul>								
<b>Deferral Requests Only:</b> Each of the following items must be confirmed as part of any request for deferral of remediation.								
Contamination must be in areas immediately under or around production equipment where remediation could cause a major facility deconstruction.								
Extents of contamination must be fully delineated.								
Contamination does not cause an imminent risk to human health.	, the environment, or groundwater.							
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.								
Printed Name: Chase Settle	Title:Rep Safety & Environmental Sr							
Signature: Chase Settle	Date: 11/17/2022							
email: Chase_Settle@eogresources.com Telephone: 575-748-1471								
OCD Only								
Received by: Jocelyn Harimon Date:01/06/2023								
Approved X Approved with Attached Conditions of A	Approval Denied Deferral Approved							
<u>Signature:</u> <u>Robert Hamlet</u> <u>Date:</u> <u>5/9/2023</u>								

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 Phone:(575) 393-6161 Fax:(575) 393-0720 District II

811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 Phone:(575) 748-1283 Fax:(575) 748-9720

District III

1000 Rio Brazos Rd., Aztec, NM 87410 Phone:(505) 334-6178 Fax:(505) 334-6170

District IV 1220 S. St Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

Phone: (505) 476-3470 Fax: (505) 476-3462

### **State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division** 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

CONDITIONS

Page 18470f 185

Action 173347

CONDITIONS

Operator:		OGRID:								
E	EOG RESOURCES INC	7377								
F	P.O. Box 2267	Action Number:								
I	/idland, TX 79702	173347								
		Action Type: [C-141] Release Corrective Action (C-141)								
CONDITION	CONDITIONS									
Created By	Condition		Condition Date							
rhamlet	et The Remediation Plan is Conditionally Approved. The variance for limited soil removal is denied. A liner is approved with the stipulation that as much of the 5/9/20									

contaminated soil is safely removed as possible. After contaminated soil is removed, back fill excavation to 6' below ground surface with clean material, install liner, backfill to surface with clean material. All samples must be analyzed for all constituents listed in Table I of 19.15.29.12 NMAC. Floor confirmation samples should be delineated/excavated to meet closure criteria standards for site assessment/characterization/proven depth to water determination. Sidewall samples should be delineated/excavated to 600 mg/kg for chlorides and 100 mg/kg for TPH to define the edge of the release. Confirmation samples should be collected every 200 ft2. All off pad areas must meet reclamation standards set forth in the OCD Spill Rule. The work will need to occur in 90 days after the work plan has been reviewed.

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 Phone:(575) 393-6161 Fax:(575) 393-0720 District II

811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 Phone:(575) 748-1283 Fax:(575) 748-9720

District III

1000 Rio Brazos Rd., Aztec, NM 87410 Phone:(505) 334-6178 Fax:(505) 334-6170

District IV

1220 S. St Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 Phone: (505) 476-3470 Fax: (505) 476-3462

### **State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division** 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

CONDITIONS

Operator:	OGRID:
EOG RESOURCES INC	7377
P.O. Box 2267	Action Number:
Midland, TX 79702	297524
	Action Type:
	[IM-SD] Incident File Support Doc (ENV) (IM-BNF)

CONDITIONS		
Created By	Condition	Condition Date
rhamlet	The Remediation Plan is Conditionally Approved. The majority of the BTVs (background threshold values) are less than 600 mg/Kg for chlorides. Also, it appears some of the background soil sample locations were taken in or around a pipeline ROW. The variance for background chloride numbers of 1,751 mg/Kg in the (0'-4' Soils) is not approved. A large portion of the chloride impacted soils are in the (>4'-20' Soils) interval. A variance for background chloride numbers equal to or less than 1,242 mg/Kg in the (>4'-20' Soils) interval is approved. All samples must be analyzed for all constituents listed in Table I of 19.15.29.12 NMAC. Confirmation samples will need to be taken every 200 ft2. Sidewall/Edge samples should be delineated/excavated to 600 mg/kg for chlorides and 100 mg/kg for TPH to define the edge of the release. All sidewall samples should be taken from the sidewall of the excavation. Please make sure that the edge of the release extent is accurately defined.	12/26/2023
rhamlet	All areas no longer reasonably needed for production or drilling must meet reclamation standards set forth in the OCD Spill Rule. The work will need to occur in 90 days after the report has been reviewed.	12/26/2023

CONDITIONS

Action 297524

Page 185 of 185