

Stogner, Michael

From: Pinkerton, J. Denise (leakejd) [leakejd@chevrontexaco.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2004 8:30 AM
To: Stogner, Michael
Subject: RE: L. Van Etten #15

Michael,
Thanks so much for all your help.
Denise

-----Original Message-----

From: Stogner, Michael [mailto:MSTOGNER@state.nm.us]
Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2004 9:22 AM
To: Pinkerton, J. Denise (leakejd)
Cc: William F. Carr (E-mail)
Subject: RE: L. Van Etten #15

I received your voice mail message from this morning (7:36 a.m.). It is my understanding that the #2 well has been P&A'ed and the #1 well is to be P&A'ed in the near future. I will treat this application not as a Simultaneous Dedication (SD) filing, but as an amendment to an existing NSP (Non-Standard Proration Unit) Order for a replacement well located at a standard Eumont gas well location. Notification will not be necessary in this instance.

For future applications (SD, NSL, and NSP) in the Eumont/Jalmat area I suggest to all operators to file a land plat that: (i) shows all existing Eumont/Jalmat spacing and proration units; (ii) shows all active Eumont/Jalmat wells within those units; (iii) lists the Division Order No. approving all non-standard units; and (iv) indicates the who the current operator is for those offsetting units. This information is necessary to assure that proper notification is being provided and communication between operators is indeed occurring. Most operators now supply this information, otherwise I am forced to look this data up and prepare a plat myself; which is not a problem, it just delays your and everyone else's applications somewhat when I do the necessary leg-work to prepare an order and assure adequate notification.

Thank you for the information on the #1 and #2 wells and the explanation about the mis-statement concerning offset operations. I will have an amended order out today and will e-mail it to you when finished.

-----Original Message-----

From: Pinkerton, J. Denise (leakejd) [mailto:leakejd@chevrontexaco.com]
Sent: Monday, March 29, 2004 1:21 PM
To: Stogner, Michael
Subject: RE: L. Van Etten #15

Thank you so much for your time.
Denise

-----Original Message-----

From: Stogner, Michael [mailto:MSTOGNER@state.nm.us]
Sent: Monday, March 29, 2004 2:18 PM
To: Pinkerton, J. Denise (leakejd)
Cc: Williams, Chris
Subject: RE: L. Van Etten #15

I have received both your e-mails concerning this SD application. Because of other commitments I have been unable to review your application.

I have it on my desk now and have started reviewing it. Since there are no references concerning

3/30/2004

the previous orders related to the unit and the existing wells, I will be pulling that data out of the Division's public files. Further, you state in your application that "Chevron is the only operator offsetting these wells". This of course will need be verified. If all is correct and my supervisors do not assign me something else, I should have an order out this afternoon. If Chevron is not the operator of all offsetting Eumont properties, this application will either be delayed, denied or set for hearing. In any case I should have something back to you by tomorrow morning at the latest.

Thank you.

-----Original Message-----

From: Pinkerton, J. Denise (leakejd) [mailto:leakejd@chevrontexaco.com]
Sent: Monday, March 29, 2004 12:09 PM
To: mstogner@state.nm.us
Subject: FW: L. Van Etten #15

Michael,
I just got an approved C-103 for the plugging of the L. Van Etten #2. It was P&A on 2-26-04.
Will this make a difference in our application for SD?

-----Original Message-----

From: Pinkerton, J. Denise (leakejd)
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2004 2:30 PM
To: 'MSTOGNER@state.nm.us'
Cc: 'nmorgan@state.nm.us'; 'awheeler@state.nm.us'; Duncan, Vicki (VEDU)
Subject: L. Van Etten #15

Michael,
I sent you an application to simultaneous dedicate the L. Van Etten #15 with #1, & #2, on February 12, 2004.
If you remember, the first application was rejected because the plats didn't show 160 acres. A new application with corrected plats was turned in.

Because this is an on-going error on the C-115 report, the entire report for January was rejected - RE: The error was never corrected.

I need to know the status of the application and if we can get it approved.

Please advise,

Denise Pinkerton
ChevronTexaco
Regulatory Specialist

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.
For more information please visit <http://www.messagelabs.com/email>

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail, including all attachments is for the sole use of the

3/30/2004

intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited unless specifically provided under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message. -- This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.
For more information please visit <http://www.messagelabs.com/email>

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail, including all attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient (s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited unless specifically provided under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message. -- This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.
For more information please visit <http://www.messagelabs.com/email>

Stogner, Michael

From: Stogner, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2004 8:22 AM
To: 'Pinkerton, J. Denise (leakejd)'
Cc: William F. Carr (E-mail)
Subject: RE: L. Van Etten #15

I received your voice mail message from this morning (7:36 a.m.). It is my understanding that the #2 well has been P&A'ed and the #1 well is to be P&A'ed in the near future. I will treat this application not as a Simultaneous Dedication (SD) filing, but as an amendment to an existing NSP (Non-Standard Proration Unit) Order for a replacement well located at a standard Eumont gas well location. Notification will not be necessary in this instance.

For future applications (SD, NSL, and NSP) in the Eumont/Jalmat area I suggest to all operators to file a land plat that: (i) shows all existing Eumont/Jalmat spacing and proration units; (ii) shows all active Eumont/Jalmat wells within those units; (iii) lists the Division Order No. approving all non-standard units; and (iv) indicates the who the current operator is for those offsetting units. This information is necessary to assure that proper notification is being provided and communication between operators is indeed occurring. Most operators now supply this information, otherwise I am forced to look this data up and prepare a plat myself; which is not a problem, it just delays your and everyone else's applications somewhat when I do the necessary leg-work to prepare an order and assure adequate notification.

Thank you for the information on the #1 and #2 wells and the explanation about the mis-statement concerning offset operations. I will have an amended order out today and will e-mail it to you when finished.

-----Original Message-----

From: Pinkerton, J. Denise (leakejd) [mailto:leakejd@chevrontexaco.com]
Sent: Monday, March 29, 2004 1:21 PM
To: Stogner, Michael
Subject: RE: L. Van Etten #15

Thank you so much for your time.
Denise

-----Original Message-----

From: Stogner, Michael [mailto:MSTOGNER@state.nm.us]
Sent: Monday, March 29, 2004 2:18 PM
To: Pinkerton, J. Denise (leakejd)
Cc: Williams, Chris
Subject: RE: L. Van Etten #15

I have received both your e-mails concerning this SD application. Because of other commitments I have been unable to review your application.

I have it on my desk now and have started reviewing it. Since there are no references concerning the previous orders related to the unit and the existing wells, I will be pulling that data out of the Division's public files. Further, you state in your application that "Chevron is the only operator offsetting these wells". This of course will need be verified. If all is correct and my supervisors do not assign me something else, I should have an order out this afternoon. If Chevron is not the operator of all offsetting Eumont properties, this application will either be delayed, denied or set for hearing. In any case I should have something back to you by tomorrow morning at the latest.

Thank you.

3/30/2004

-----Original Message-----

From: Pinkerton, J. Denise (leakejd) [mailto:leakejd@chevrontexaco.com]

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2004 12:09 PM

To: mstogner@state.nm.us

Subject: FW: L. Van Etten #15

Michael,

I just got an approved C-103 for the plugging of the L. Van Etten #2. It was P&A on 2-26-04.

Will this make a difference in our application for SD?

-----Original Message-----

From: Pinkerton, J. Denise (leakejd)

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2004 2:30 PM

To: 'MSTOGNER@state.nm.us'

Cc: 'nmorgan@state.nm.us'; 'awheeler@state.nm.us'; Duncan, Vicki (VEDU)

Subject: L. Van Etten #15

Michael,

I sent you an application to simultaneously dedicate the L. Van Etten #15 with #1, & #2, on February 12, 2004.

If you remember, the first application was rejected because the plats didn't show 160 acres. A new application with corrected plats was turned in.

Because this is an on-going error on the C-115 report, the entire report for January was rejected - RE: The error was never corrected.

I need to know the status of the application and if we can get it approved.

Please advise,

Denise Pinkerton
ChevronTexaco
Regulatory Specialist

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.
For more information please visit <http://www.messagelabs.com/email>

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail, including all attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited unless specifically provided under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message. -- This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.
For more information please visit <http://www.messagelabs.com/email>

7:36 AM
March 30, 2004

Voice Mail Message
From Ms. Pinkerton

#1 well in P&Hed

#2 well will be P&Hed in
the very near future

#15 well will be the
only active comments
well in the
160-acre GPU

432-687-7375

Stogner, Michael

From: Pinkerton, J. Denise (leakejd) [leakejd@chevrontexaco.com]
Sent: Monday, March 29, 2004 1:21 PM
To: Stogner, Michael
Subject: RE: L. Van Etten #15

Thank you so much for your time.
Denise

-----Original Message-----

From: Stogner, Michael [mailto:MSTOGNER@state.nm.us]
Sent: Monday, March 29, 2004 2:18 PM
To: Pinkerton, J. Denise (leakejd)
Cc: Williams, Chris
Subject: RE: L. Van Etten #15

I have received both your e-mails concerning this SD application. Because of other commitments I have been unable to review your application.

I have it on my desk now and have started reviewing it. Since there are no references concerning the previous orders related to the unit and the existing wells, I will be pulling that data out of the Division's public files. Further, you state in your application that "Chevron is the only operator offsetting these wells". This of course will need be verified. If all is correct and my supervisors do not assign me something else, I should have an order out this afternoon. If Chevron is not the operator of all offsetting Eumont properties, this application will either be delayed, denied or set for hearing. In any case I should have something back to you by tomorrow morning at the latest.

Thank you.

-----Original Message-----

From: Pinkerton, J. Denise (leakejd) [mailto:leakejd@chevrontexaco.com]
Sent: Monday, March 29, 2004 12:09 PM
To: mstogner@state.nm.us
Subject: FW: L. Van Etten #15

Michael,

I just got an approved C-103 for the plugging of the L. Van Etten #2. It was P&A on 2-26-04.
Will this make a difference in our application for SD?

-----Original Message-----

From: Pinkerton, J. Denise (leakejd)
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2004 2:30 PM
To: 'MSTOGNER@state.nm.us'
Cc: 'nmorgan@state.nm.us'; 'awheeler@state.nm.us'; Duncan, Vicki (VEDU)
Subject: L. Van Etten #15

Michael,

I sent you an application to simultaneously dedicate the L. Van Etten #15 with #1, & #2, on February 12, 2004.

If you remember, the first application was rejected because the plats didn't show 160 acres. A new application with corrected plats was turned in.

Because this is an on-going error on the C-115 report, the entire report for January was rejected -

*Monday @ ~3:15ish pm
3-29-2004
left a voice mail message
for Denise Pinkerton to
call me and to (i) status of
#1 well and (ii) other
offsetting properties
of other Chevron
properties surrounding
the #2 well.
the Sec. 9.*

3/29/2004

RE: The error was never corrected.

I need to know the status of the application and if we can get it approved.

Please advise,

Denise Pinkerton
ChevronTexaco
Regulatory Specialist

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.
For more information please visit <http://www.messagelabs.com/email>

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail, including all attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient (s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited unless specifically provided under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message. -- This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.
For more information please visit <http://www.messagelabs.com/email>

Stogner, Michael

From: Stogner, Michael
Sent: Monday, March 29, 2004 1:18 PM
To: 'Pinkerton, J. Denise (leakejd)'
Cc: Williams, Chris
Subject: RE: L. Van Etten #15

I have received both your e-mails concerning this SD application. Because of other commitments I have been unable to review your application.

I have it on my desk now and have started reviewing it. Since there are no references concerning the previous orders related to the unit and the existing wells, I will be pulling that data out of the Division's public files. Further, you state in your application that "Chevron is the only operator offsetting these wells". This of course will need be verified. If all is correct and my supervisors do not assign me something else, I should have an order out this afternoon. If Chevron is not the operator of all offsetting Eumont properties, this application will either be delayed, denied or set for hearing. In any case I should have something back to you by tomorrow morning at the latest.

Thank you.

-----Original Message-----

From: Pinkerton, J. Denise (leakejd) [mailto:leakejd@chevrontexaco.com]
Sent: Monday, March 29, 2004 12:09 PM
To: mstogner@state.nm.us
Subject: FW: L. Van Etten #15

Michael,
I just got an approved C-103 for the plugging of the L. Van Etten #2. It was P&A on 2-26-04.
Will this make a difference in our application for SD?

-----Original Message-----

From: Pinkerton, J. Denise (leakejd)
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2004 2:30 PM
To: 'MSTOGNER@state.nm.us'
Cc: 'nmorgan@state.nm.us'; 'awheeler@state.nm.us'; Duncan, Vicki (VEDU)
Subject: L. Van Etten #15

Michael,
I sent you an application to simultaneously dedicate the L. Van Etten #15 with #1, & #2, on February 12, 2004.
If you remember, the first application was rejected because the plats didn't show 160 acres. A new application with corrected plats was turned in.

Because this is an on-going error on the C-115 report, the entire report for January was rejected -
RE: The error was never corrected.

I need to know the status of the application and if we can get it approved.

Please advise,

3/29/2004

Denise Pinkerton
ChevronTexaco
Regulatory Specialist

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.
For more information please visit <http://www.messagelabs.com/email>

Stogner, Michael

From: Pinkerton, J. Denise (leakejd) [leakejd@chevrontexaco.com]
Sent: Monday, March 29, 2004 12:09 PM
To: mstogner@state.nm.us
Subject: FW: L. Van Etten #15

Michael,

I just got an approved C-103 for the plugging of the L. Van Etten #2. It was P&A on 2-26-04. Will this make a difference in our application for SD?

-----Original Message-----

From: Pinkerton, J. Denise (leakejd)
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2004 2:30 PM
To: 'MSTOGNER@state.nm.us'
Cc: 'nmorgan@state.nm.us'; 'awheeler@state.nm.us'; Duncan, Vicki (VEDU)
Subject: L. Van Etten #15

Michael,

I sent you an application to simultaneously dedicate the L. Van Etten #15 with #1, & #2, on February 12, 2004. If you remember, the first application was rejected because the plats didn't show 160 acres. A new application with corrected plats was turned in.

Because this is an on-going error on the C-115 report, the entire report for January was rejected - RE: The error was never corrected.

I need to know the status of the application and if we can get it approved.

Please advise,

Denise Pinkerton
ChevronTexaco
Regulatory Specialist

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.
For more information please visit <http://www.messagelabs.com/email>

3/29/2004

Stogner, Michael

From: Pinkerton, J. Denise (leakejd) [leakejd@chevrontexaco.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2004 1:30 PM
To: MSTOGNER@state.nm.us
Cc: nmorgan@state.nm.us; awheeler@state.nm.us; Duncan, Vicki (VEDU)
Subject: L. Van Etten #15

Michael,

I sent you an application to simultaneously dedicate the L. Van Etten #15 with #1, & #2, on February 12, 2004. If you remember, the first application was rejected because the plats didn't show 160 acres. A new application with corrected plats was turned in.

Because this is an on-going error on the C-115 report, the entire report for January was rejected - RE: The error was never corrected.

I need to know the status of the application and if we can get it approved.

Please advise,

Denise Pinkerton
ChevronTexaco
Regulatory Specialist

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.
For more information please visit <http://www.messagelabs.com/email>

3/25/2004