1R-427-177

WORKPLANS

6- Date 12



RECEIVED OCD

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT NO. 7006 0100 0001 2434 3726

June 28, 2012

Mr. Ed Hansen New Mexico Energy, Minerals, & Natural Resources Dept. Oil Conservation Division, Environmental Bureau 1220 S. St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

RE: UPDATE REPORT AND PROPOSED GROUNDWATER CHLORIDE REMEDIATION RICE OPERATING COMPANY, A-2-1 JUNCTION BOX, EME SWD SYSTEM UNIT "A", SEC. 2, T20S, R36E LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO NMOCD CASE # 1R0427-177

Mr. Hansen:

Tetra Tech Inc. (Tetra Tech) submits the following Update Report and Proposed Groundwater Chloride Remediation Plan for the RICE Operating Company (ROC), Jct. A-2-1, located in the Eunice Monument Eumont (EME) SWD System. ROC is the service provider (agent) for the EME SWD System and has no ownership of any portion of the pipeline, well or facility. The EME SWD system is owned by a consortium of oil producers, System Parties, who provide all operating capital on a percentage ownership/usage basis.

BACKGROUND & PREVIOUS WORK

As part of the ROC Junction Box Upgrade Work plan, starting on February 26, 2004, the junction box was moved 85 feet to the west. See Figure 1 for site location. The former junction box site was investigated vertically and horizontally with a backhoe to form a 20 ft. x 20 ft. x 12 ft. deep excavation and soil samples were screened at regular intervals for both hydrocarbons and chlorides. From the excavation, the four wall composite, the bottom composite and the backfill were taken to a commercial laboratory for analysis. Laboratory tests of the four-wall composite showed a chloride reading of 915 mg/kg, a gasoline range organics (GRO) concentration of 677 mg/kg, and a diesel range organics (DRO) concentration of 2,540 mg/kg. The bottom composite showed a chloride laboratory reading of 656 mg/kg, a GRO of 1,550 mg/kg, and a DRO of 4,030 mg/kg.

The excavated soils were blended onsite and backfilled into the excavation to a depth of 6 feet bgs. At 6 feet bgs, a compacted clay barrier was installed to inhibit further



hydrocarbon and chloride migration. The remaining soils were backfilled on top of the clay barrier and contoured to the surrounding surface. Laboratory analysis of the blended backfill showed a chloride reading of 436 mg/kg, a GRO of 639 mg/kg, and a DRO of 3,250 mg/kg. On June 2, 2004, a hollow-stem auger unit was utilized to conduct one soil boring at the former junction box site. The soil boring was advanced to a total depth of 30 feet bgs. A soil bore sample (shown as 35 feet bgs) was collected from the borehole and exhibited a TPH concentration of 242.5 mg/kg and a chloride concentration of 688 mg/kg. The site was disclosed to the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) as a potential groundwater impact site on June 29, 2005. Additionally, ROC submitted a Junction Box Disclosure Report to the NMOCD dated July 1, 2005.

On September 29, 2006, ROC submitted the ICP to the NMOCD for review. NMOCD granted approval of the ICP in a letter dated October 4, 2006.

Between October 11 and October 13, 2006, Tetra Tech personnel were onsite to oversee the installation of five additional soil borings (SB-2 through SB-6) within, up, and down gradient of the release area. The affected area measured approximately 45 feet by 75 feet. Soil samples were collected every 5 feet utilizing a split spoon sampler, and field screened for chlorides. In addition, collected soil samples were placed within laboratory supplied containers and delivered to Cardinal Labs of Hobbs, New Mexico under proper chain-of-custody control for chloride analysis by EPA method 4500CL-B, along with select samples for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX) and TPH utilizing EPA method 8021B and 8015M, respectively. With the exception of SB-2, residual chloride impact to subsurface soils were less than 1,000 mg/kg, except near the saturated zone where chloride concentrations increase to near or slightly greater than 1,000 mg/kg. The soil boring logs and analysis were previously submitted in the CAP submitted on May 22, 2007 and approved on July 18, 2007.

As part of implementation of the CAP, ROC extended the existing clay liner to dimensions of 45 feet by 75 feet. Between October 16 and October 31, 2007, an area measuring 25 feet by 25 feet by 7 feet deep was excavated with approximately 84 cubic yards of soil transported offsite for disposal at a NMOCD approved facility. The remaining excavated soils were blended with clean soil and tested for chlorides. The laboratory sample results indicated that chloride levels were 336 mg/kg and a DRO of 56.9 mg/kg. Prior to backfilling of the excavation, a one foot thick clay layer was placed in the bottom of the excavation and compacted around the former clay liner. The density of the compacted clay measured 90.2%. Upon completion of the compaction, the blended soils were placed back within the excavation to ground surface. On November 6, 2007, the entire disturbed area was reseeded with a blend of native vegetation. See Figure 2 for clay liner dimensions and Appendix A for photo documentation of clay liner installation. With the installation and extension of the clay liner, the impacted soils which remain in the soils from the original release area are not likely to allow further vertical migration of the remaining chlorides within the soils and should be protective of the groundwater.

TETRA TECH

MONITOR WELL INSTALLATION

Between October 11 and October 13, 2005, Tetra Tech personnel were onsite to oversee the installation of 3 monitor wells (MW-1 through MW-3). Groundwater was encountered at approximately 43 feet bgs. Initial gauging, development and sampling of the wells began in November 2006. Since November 2006, the wells have been sampled on a quarterly basis with samples submitted to Cardinal Labs in Hobbs, NM for analysis of chlorides by EPA Method 4500 CL-B, and BTEX by EPA Method 8021B. The results of the groundwater gauging/sampling events are presented in Appendix B. The most recent sampling occurred on February 16th, 2012. MW-1, the near-source monitor well, had a chloride reading of 6,100 mg/L. MW-2, the down gradient monitor well, had a chloride reading of 5,800 mg/L. See Figure 3 for most recent analytical results.

Referring to the groundwater tables in Appendix B, benzene has been detected at levels above the New Mexico Quality Control Commission Standard (NMQCC) of 0.005 mg/L in monitor well MW-1 periodically since November 2006. Since August 2010, the benzene level has decreased exponentially at the site with the most recent quarter (February 16th, 2012) being below detection limits. In addition, the chlorides at the site have steadily declined since the installation of the monitor wells in November 2006 with an average decrease of approximately 2,100 mg/L in all three wells (average of 8,370 mg/L in November 2006 to 6,267 mg/L in February 2012).

In comparing the chloride concentration analysis data from EME Jct. A-2-1 with other water quality in the area, specifically the ROC EME D-1 and A-2, it appears the chloride concentrations at the site are consistent with regional impaired groundwater in the area. The EME D-1 data indicates Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) ranging from 7,910 mg/L to 12,900 mg/L in areas located outside the initial release area. As such, the regional groundwater appears to have been historically impaired.

PROPOSED GROUNDWATER REMEDIATION

Since the soils have already been previously addressed with the installation and extension of a clay liner at the site, ROC proposes to remediate the groundwater based on a set volume located within the original soils excavation. In addition, since the groundwater is regionally impacted, ROC proposes to remove the calculated mass volume of groundwater from monitor well MW-2R located at the EME L-6 located approximately 1.2 miles east south east of the EME Jct. A-2-1. See Figure 4 for location of sites.

The footprint of the original soils excavation at the former EME Jct. A-2-1 junction box encompasses an area of approximately $3,375 \text{ ft}^2$. If we assume the aquifer thickness is 15 feet (NMOCD approved estimation) and the porosity of the underlying formation (fine grain sand) is 0.25, then the volume of impacted groundwater underlying the site is calculated as follows:



 $3,375 \text{ ft}^2 \text{ x} 15 \text{ ft} \text{ x} 0.25 = 12,656.25 \text{ ft}^3$

Assuming there is 28.3168466 liters of water per cubic feet, we end up with the following amount to be removed from the EME L-6 MW-2R:

12,656.25 ft³ x 28.3168466 liters/ft³ = 358,385.09 liters

Taking the average difference between monitor well MW-2 (down gradient, highest concentration well) and subtract from monitor well MW-3 (up gradient monitor well) yields the following:

7,831 mg/L (MW-2) - 6,522 mg/L (MW-3) = 1,309 mg/L

This is the average calculated amount of chloride concentration from the original source.

To determine the Total Chloride Mass, the volume of the impacted groundwater below the site (358,385.09 L) is multiplied by chloride concentration calculated from the original source (1,309 mg/L):

358,385.09 L x 1,309 mg/L = 469,126,082.8 mg. and converting to kg yields 469 kg of Total Chloride Mass to be removed from the site.

Monitor well MW-2R from site EME L-6 will be utilized for extraction purposes. This well has a chloride concentration of 10,000 mg/L and will be pumped at a constant rate of 1 gal/min. See Appendix C for most recent laboratory analysis. Converting from mg/L to kg/gal yields a conversion factor of 0.03785441 kg/gal. Multiplying the pumping rate (1 gal/min) by the groundwater concentration (0.03785441) in kg/gal yields an extraction rate of 0.03785441 kg/min. Converting this from kg/min to kg/day gives us a result of 22.7126472 kg/day based on pumping for 10 hours per day.

The estimated removal time for the 469 kg of impacted groundwater is approximately 21 days. See Appendix D for Chloride Mass Calculation sheet.

Vegetation has rebounded at the site (Figure 5, dated April 13th, 2012) so no revegetation efforts are needed. Upon OCD approval of this groundwater chloride remediation plan, ROC proposes to plug and abandon monitor wells MW-1 through MW-3 at the EME A-2-1 using a cement grout with 1 to 3% bentonite to within 3 feet of the surface, and then complete with a concrete cap to the surface. Upon plugging of the monitoring wells and

4



completion of the proposed groundwater extraction at the EME L-6, ROC will submit a Termination Request and Monitor Well Plugging Report to the NMOCD.

Should you have any questions, please contact Hack Conder at (575) 393-9174. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Tetra Tech, Inc.

Jeffrey Kindley, P.G. Senior Environmental Geologist

cc: ROC – Hack Conder



.

.

.

FIGURES

. .

.







Relation of Site to EME L-6



EME Jct. A-2-1 (1R427-177) Unit Letter A, Section 2, T20S, R36E



Facing north

4/13/12



Figure 5 EME Jct. A-2-1 Site Photodocumentation

Facing east

4/13/12







APPENDIX A PHOTOGRAPHS OF INSTALLATION OF CLAY LINER

EME Jct. A-2-1 (1R427-177) Unit Letter A, Section 2, T20S, R36E



Site prior to excavation

10/18/07



Locating existing 20' x 20' clay layer at 7' bgs 10/18/07



Importing peanut hay

10/18/07



Excavating site

10/25/07



Importing clay



Blending backfill

10/26/07



Installed clay layer to extended 75' x 45' area at 6' bgs 10/29/07



Backfilling excavation up to 1' bgs 10/30/07



Compacting existing clay layer and installed clay layer edges 10/30/07



Excavation backfilled to ground surface with clean soil and amendments 10/31/07



Site completed

11/6/07



Seeding site

11/06/07

APPENDIX B GROUNDWATER SAMPLING TABLES

								Tal	ole 1				
							Rie	ce Operat	ing Com	pany			
								EME J	ct. A-2-1				
		_					Le	a County,	New Me	xico			
MW	Depth to	Total	Well	Volume	Sample	CI	TDS	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl Benzene	Total Xylenes	Sulfate	Comments
	Water	Depth	Volume	Purged	Date	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	
1	38.13	53.76	2.50	10	11/06/06	8,460	22,800	0.00331	0.00158	0.00337	0.003418	6,780	hydrocar. odr
1	38.30	53.76	2.50	8	02/13/07	10,100	17,900	0.0692	0.00526	0.0313	0.0404	8,190	hydrocar. odr
1	38.50	53.76	2.40	8	06/08/07	8,500	23,900	0.0220	0.00147	0.00799	0.00768	6,760	hydrocar. odr
1	38.71	53.76	2.40	8	08/21/07	8,197	23,775	0.0340	0.004	0.012	0.022	6,611	hydrocar. odr
1	38.47	53.76	2.40	8	12/04/07	8,800	23,481	0.0880	<0.001	0.021	0.01	5,870	hydrocar. odr
1	39.32	53.78	2.30	8	02/13/08	8,500	22,900	0.0340	<0.002	0.017	<0.006	4,710	hydrocar. odr
1	39.50	53.78	2.30	8	05/23/08	8,000	22,400	0.0040	<0.002	<0.002	<0.006	6,340	hydrocar. odr
1	39.85	53.78	2.20	8	08/22/08	7,000	20,200	0.0590	<0.001	0.011	0.008	6,260	hydrocar. odr
1	40.05	53.78	2.20	8	11/21/08	6,600	21,800	0.0110	0.001	0.002	0.004	6,230	hydrocar. odr
1	40.22	53.81	2.20	8	02/19/09	7,000	20,800	0.0020	< 0.001	0.001	< 0.003	5,900	Clear, light sheen, strong hydrocar. odor
1	40.44	53.81	2.10	8	06/03/09	6,700	20,500	0.0150	<0.001	0.002	0.003	5,530	Clear, light sheen, strong hydrocar. odor
1	40.59	53.81	2.10	8	09/02/09	6,200	18,700	0.0770	< 0.001	0.015	0.011	5,130	Clear, light sheen, strong hydrocar. odor
1	40.79	53.81	2.10	8	11/13/09	6,000	17,900	0.0140	<0.001	0.003	0.016	4,380	Clear, light sheen, strong hydrocar. odor
1	41.01	53.81	2.00	8	03/02/10	6,000	18,300	0.1320	0.002	0.019	0.021	6,010	Clear, light sheen, strong hydrocar. odor
1	41.12	53.81	2.00	8	05/27/10	6,000	18,700	0.0640	<0.001	0.014	0.008	5,250	Clear, light sheen, strong hydrocar. odor
1	41.10	53.81	2.00	8	08/19/10	6,600	19,700	0.0210	< 0.001	0.004	0.003	5,410	Clear, light sheen, strong hydrocar. odor
1	40.91	53.81	2.10	8	11/15/10	6,700	19,400	0.0010	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	7,380	Clear, light sheen, strong hydrocar. odor
1	40.80	53.82	2.10	8	03/03/11	6,500	19,500	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	5,470	Clear, light sheen, strong hydrocar. odor
1	41.00	53.82	2.10	8	05/26/11	6,100	18,100	<0.001	< 0.001	<0.001	< 0.003	5,090	Clear, light sheen, strong hydrocar. odor
1	41.29	53.82	2.00	8	08/25/11	6,700	19,200	0.0060	< 0.001	<0.001	< 0.003	5,920	Clear, light sheen, strong hydrocar. odor
1	41.56	53.82	2.00	8	11/23/11	6,600	19,700	0.0030	< 0.001	<0.001	<0.003	5,650	Clear, light sheen, strong hydrocar. odor
1	41.77	53.82	1.90	8	02/16/12	6,100	19,400	<0.001	0.001	<0.001	<0.003	5,260	Clear, light sheen, strong hydrocar. odor

Graph 1 Rice Operating Company MW-1 EME Jct A-2-1 Lea County, New Mexico



								Tabl	e 2				
							Rie	ce Operatir	ng Compa	any			
								EME Jct	t. A-2-1				
							Le	a County,	New Mex	ico			
MW	Depth to	Total	Well	Volume	Sample	CI	TDS	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl Benzene	Total Xylenes	Sulfate	Comments
	Water	Depth	Volume	Purged	Date	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	
2	36.45	48.65	2.00	8	11/06/06	8,680	23,600	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	6,960	Silt/sand to clear, no odor
2	36.62	48.65	1.90	8	02/13/07	10,100	20,300	< 0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	7,990	Silt/sand to clear, no odor
2	36.83	48.65	1.90	8	06/08/07	9,300	25,000	< 0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	7,280	Silt/sand to clear, no odor
2	37.04	48.65	1.90	8	08/21/07	8,797	26,155	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.012	7,005	Silt/sand to clear, no odor
2	36.79	48.65	1.90	8	12/04/07	8,800	25,329	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.003	6,570	Silt/sand to clear, no odor
2	37.59	48.65	1.80	8	02/13/08	8,500	24,700	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.006	6,940	Silt/sand to clear, no odor
2	37.81	48.65	1.70	8	05/23/08	8,400	24,200	<0.002	<0.002	< 0.002	<0.006	6,990	Silt/sand to clear, no odor
2	38.15	48.65	1.70	8	08/22/08	8,000	23,900	<0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	7,250	Silt/sand to clear, no odor
2	38.41	48.65	1.60	6	11/21/08	7,300	23,600	< 0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	6,970	Silt/sand to clear, no odor
2	38.55	48.69	1.60	6	02/19/09	7,800	23,300	< 0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	6,760	Silt/sand to clear, no odor
2	38.79	48.69	1.60	6	06/03/09	7,500	22,600	< 0.001	<0.001	<0.001	< 0.003	6,360	Silt/sand to clear, no odor
2	39.12	48.69	1.50	6	09/02/09	5,800	18,300	<0.001	<0.001	<0.0001	0.005	4,980	Silt/sand to clear, no odor
2	39.13	48.69	1.50	6	11/13/09	7,500	21,200	<0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	4,490	Silt/sand to clear, no odor
2	39.38	48.65	1.50	6	03/02/10	7,300	22,200	< 0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.003	6,520	Silt/sand to clear, no odor
2	39.52	48.65	1.50	6	05/27/10	7,300	21,900	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	< 0.003	5,690	Silt/sand to clear, no odor
2	39.50	48.65	1.50	6	08/19/10	7,600	21,800	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	< 0.003	5,850	Silt/sand to clear, no odor
2	39.27	48.65	1.50	6	11/15/10	7,300	21,000	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	< 0.003	7,960	Silt/sand to clear, no odor
2	39.15	48.68	1.50	6	03/03/11	7,200	21,100	< 0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.003	6,000	Silt/sand to clear, no odor
2	39.34	48.68	1.50	6	05/26/11	7,400	21,100	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.003	6,070	Silt/sand to clear, no odor
2	39.66	48.68	1.40	6	08/25/11	7,400	20,900	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	< 0.003	6,380	Silt/sand to clear, no odor
2	39.94	48.68	1.40	6	11/23/11	7,400	21,400	<0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	5,480	Silt/sand to clear, no odor
2	40.12	48.68	1.40	6	02/16/12	6,900	21,200	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	< 0.003	5,030	Silt/sand to clear, no odor

Graph 2 Rice Operating Company MW-2 EME Jct. A-2-1 Lea County, New Mexico



						1	Table	3	-				
						Rice (Operating	g Company	1				
						E	EME Jct.	A-2-1					
						Lea C	ounty, N	lew Mexico					
MW	Depth to	Total	Well	Volume	Sample	CI	TDS	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl Benzene	Total Xylenes	Sulfate	Comments
	Water	Depth	Volume	Purged	Date	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	
3	37.12	47.38	1.60	6	11/06/06	7,970	20,400	< 0.001	< 0.001	<0.001	<0.001	5,950	Clear no odor
3	37.29	47.38	1.60	6	02/13/07	9,820	23,600	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	6,050	Clear no odor
3	37.50	47.38	1.60	6	06/08/07	8,300	21,400	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	5,350	Clear no odor
3	37.64	47.38	1.60	6	08/21/07	7,798	21,200	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.012	7,381	Clear no odor
3	37.39	47.38	1.60	6	12/04/07	8,600	24,814	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	6,480	Clear no odor
3	38.22	47.38	1.50	6	02/13/08	6,800	20,200	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.006	5,560	Clear no odor
3	38.44	47.38	1.40	6	05/20/08	6,600	19,500	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.006	5,720	Clear no odor
3	38.80	47.38	1.40	6	08/22/08	6,200	19,100	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	5,860	Clear no odor
3	39.06	47.38	1.30	6	11/21/08	6,000	18,100	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	5,860	Clear no odor
3	39.23	47.41	1.30	6	02/19/09	6,000	18,200	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	5,270	Clear no odor
3	39.33	47.41	1.30	6	06/03/09	5,900	17,900	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	5,150	Clear no odor
3	39.64	47.41	1.20	6	09/02/09	4,700	14,300	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	3,720	Clear no odor
3	39.79	47.41	1.20	6	11/13/09	5,900	16,900	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	3,800	Clear no odor
3	40.06	47.39	1.20	6	03/02/10	5,700	18,000	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	5,770	Clear no odor
3	40.18	47.39	1.20	6	05/27/10	5,800	17,700	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	4,900	Clear no odor
3	40.15	47.39	1.20	6	08/19/10	6,100	17,900	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	4,630	Clear no odor
3	39.94	47.39	1.20	6	11/15/10	5,800	17,500	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	6,620	Clear no odor
3	39.86	47.40	1.20	6	03/03/11	5,700	17,300	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	4,690	Clear no odor
3	40.06	47.40	1.20	6	05/26/11	5,900	17,400	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	4,780	Clear no odor
3	40.36	47.40	1.10	6	08/25/11	6,000	17,500	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	4,910	Clear no odor
3	40.63	47.40	1.10	6	11/23/11	6,100	18,000	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	4,800	Clear no odor
3	40.82	47.40	1.10	6	02/16/12	5,800	17,600	< 0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.003	5,090	Clear no odor
	100 million								11/5				

Graph 3 Rice Operating Company MW-3 EME Jct. A-2-1 Lea County, New Mexico



13.

a sa

APPENDIX C ANALYSIS OF EME L-6 MW-2R

.



April 17, 2012

Hack Conder Rice Operating Company 112 W. Taylor Hobbs, NM 88240

RE: EME L-6

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 04/16/12 16:20.

Cardinal Laboratories is accredited through Texas NELAP under certificate number T104704398-11-3. Accreditation applies to drinking water, non-potable water and solid and chemical materials. All accredited analytes are denoted by an asterisk (*). For a complete list of accredited analytes and matrices visit the TCEQ website at <u>www.tceq.texas.qov/field/qa/lab_accred_certif.html</u>.

Cardinal Laboratories is accreditated through the State of Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment for:

Method EPA 552.2	Haloacetic Acids (HAA-5)
Method EPA 524.2	Total Trihalomethanes (TTHM)
Method EPA 524.4	Regulated VOCs (V1, V2, V3)

Accreditation applies to public drinking water matrices.

This report meets NELAP requirements and is made up of a cover page, analytical results, and a copy of the original chain-of-custody. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene Lab Director/Quality Manager



Analytical Results For:

Rice Operating Company Hack Conder 112 W. Taylor Hobbs NM, 88240 Fax To: (575) 397-1471

Received:	04/16/2012	Sampling Date:	04/16/2012
Reported:	04/17/2012	Sampling Type:	Water
Project Name:	EME L-6	Sampling Condition:	** (See Notes)
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Jodi Henson
Project Location:	EME L-6		

Sample ID: MW - 2R SAMPLE (H200876-01)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/L	Analyze						
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifler
Chloride*	10000	4.00	04/17/2012	ND	100	100	100	0.00	

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardina's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any data arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All daims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed valved unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no erent shall be fable for incidential or consequential damages, including, without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successors erising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, negandless of whether auch daim is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the samples identified above. This report shall not be reproduced eccept in full with written approval of Cardinal Laboratories.

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager

Page 2 of 4



Notes and Definitions

ND	Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
**	Samples not received at proper temperature of 6°C or below.
***	Insufficient time to reach temperature.
-	Chloride by SM4500Cl-B does not require samples be received at or below 6°C
	Samples reported on an as received basis (wet) unless otherwise noted on report

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Candinar's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount path by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligenos and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed walved unless made in writing and received by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or competion of the applicable service. In no event shall Candinat be liable for indefendat or consequential damages, including, without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profils incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or accessions arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Candinal, regardless of whether such claims based upon any of the above statier researces or otherwise.

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY AND ANALYSIS REQUEST

101 East Mariand, Hobbs, NM 88240 2111 Beechwood, Abilene, TX 79603

(505) 393-2326 FAX (505) 393-2476 (325) 673-7001 FAX (325)673-7020

Company Name: Rice						Т	BILL TO ANALYSIS REQUEST														
Project Manager	Hack Conder					P.0	0. #:														
Address:					·····	Co	mpany:								S						
City: Hobbs	الاست والمراجعة المراجعة الم	State: NM	Zip	: 88	240	At	tn:								ō						
Phone #:	f	Fax #:				Ad	Address:								, N						
Project #:	Project #: Project Owner:					Cit	ty:					Σ		Т	s//						
Project Name:	Project Name:					Sta	ate:	Zip:			ĕ	15	×	<u>a</u> _	S						
Project Location	: EME L-6					Ph	one #:			•	·2	ò	μ	s]	ati	SC					
Sampler Name:	Kyle Norman					Fa	x #:			·	읟	$\frac{\omega}{\tau}$	E	xa	Ö	F					
FOR LAB USE ONLY				Π	MATRIX		PRESER	V. SAM	PLING		さ	古		e	Ð						
Lab I.D. 1100876	Sample I.D).	(G)RAB OR (C)OM	# CONTAINERS	GROUNDWATER WASTEWATER SOIL OIL	SLUDGE OTHER :	ACID/BASE: ICE / COOL	DAT	E TIM	16				•	Comple						
-1	MW 2-R		G	1	66			4-16-12	10:20	0	1										
			 			i															
							÷														
			·																		
						<u> </u>															
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	+	$\left - \right $			┠╼┼╼┽										<u> </u>				
			·					-									• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	₩31 ₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·												
		··· ···· ·····························							·					i							
PLEASE NOTE: Lisbility an	d Damages. Cardinal's liabely and clemits	s exclusive remedy for a	m, clai	m eris n	g wiether bases in con	ract or to	rt, shell be lim	ed to the amount	t paid by the cli	ient for the	e l						<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
service, in no event shall California and the service of the servi	in cut of or related to the performance of s	ental damages, including services bereunder by (g withou Caroinal	ut firm tal L'regaio	ion, business interruption fiese of whether such of	ns, loss o Im is bas	if use, or loss i led upon any c	I profits 'mourie The above stat	i by client, its su i dreasons or at	ubsidiaries Inervise.	5	.10									
Relinquished By		Date:	Re	çeiv	ed By:	1.1			Phone	e Resu	ilt:	U Ye	s Z	No	Add'l	Phone	#:				
K.L.N.		Time: A		V	di 1	No 1	NAD	IR .	REMA	RKS:		L Te	<u>s </u>	NO	Addi	rax #:					
Relinquished By: Date: Received By:								em	ail re	esu	lts: z	zcon	der@	Drice	e-ecs	s.col	m				
Time:								Kno	orma	an@	Drice	ec:	s.cor	n; lp	ena	@ric	esw	d.co	m		
Delivered Ry	(Circle One)				Sample Con	dition	CHF	KED BY	Kio	nes(@ri	ces	vd.c	om;	Bba	ker@) Drice	e-ecs	s.cor	n;	
Sampler - UPS	- Bus - Other:				Cool Intac Yes I	Yes No	es (hitas) hcono			hconder@rice-ecs.com; Lweinheimer@rice-ecs.com											

† Cardinal cannot accept verbal changes. Please fax written changes to 505-393-2476

#26

APPENDIX D CHLORIDE MASS CALCULATION

EME Jct. A-2-1

Chloride Mass Removal Calculation

Parameter	Unit	Value	Description
Impact area	ft ²	3,375	Estimated Area of Impact
Aquifer Thickness	ft	15	NMOCD Approved Estimation
Porosity	%	0.25	Professional Estimate for Water Saturated Pore Volume
Volume of Impacted Groundwater Below Site	ft ³	12,656.25	Impact Area x Aquifer Thickness x Porosity
Volume of Impacted Groundwater Below Site	L	358,385.09	Conversion from ft ³ to Liters
Chloride Concentration from Source	mg/L	1,309	Difference between Concentrations in Monitor Wells (MW-2 = 7,831 mg/L and MW-3 = 6,522 mg/L)
TOTAL CHLORIDE MASS	kg	469	Volume of Impacted Groundwater Below Site x Chloride Concentration Added to Soil from Source

Estimate of Chloride Mass in Groundwater

Estimated Groundwater Recovery System Removal at the EME L-6

Parameter	Unit	Value	Description					
Groundwater								
Concentration	mg/L	10,000	Groundwater Concentration from MW-2R					
Groundwater								
Concentration	kg/gal	0.03785441	Conversion from mg/L to kg/gal					
Pumping Rate	gals/min	1	Given					
Extraction Rate	kg/min	0.03785441	Pumping rate x Groundwater Concentration (kg/gal)					
Extraction Rate	kg/day	22.7126472	Conversion from kg/min to kg/day					
Representative Total								
Chloride Mass	kg	469	From above					
			Pumping rate x Estimated Removal Time x 60 min/hour x 10					
Volume Removal gals 12,393			hr/day					
Volume Removal bbls 295			Conversion from gals to bbls					
ESTIMATED REMOVAL								
TIME	day	21	Representative Total Chloride Mass/Extraction Rate					