

370 17th Street, Suite 2500 Denver, Colorado 80202 303-605-1893 – main 303-605-1957 − fax

RECEIVED OCD

January 21, 2013

2013 JAN 23 A 11: 38

Mr. Leonard Lowe Environmental Bureau Chief New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

RE: Second 2012 Semi Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report DCP Linam Ranch Gas Plant (GW-015) Unit B, Section 6, Township 19 South, Range 37 East

Dear Mr. Lowe:

DCP Midstream, LP (DCP) is pleased to submit for your review one copy of the Second 2012 Semi Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report for the DCP Linam Ranch Gas Plant located in Lea County, New Mexico (Unit B Section 6, Township 19 South, Range 37 East).

The groundwater sampling was completed on September 4, 2012. The data indicate that the groundwater conditions remain stable. The next monitoring event is scheduled for the second half of 2012.

If you have any questions regarding the report, please call at 303-605-1695 or e-mail me <u>CECole@dcpmidstream.com</u>.

Sincerely,

DCP Midstream, LP

undler E. Col.e

Chandler E Cole Senior Environmental Specialist

Enclosure

cc: Larry Johnson – OCD District Office, Hobbs Environmental Files

Second Half 2012 Semi-Annual Groundwater Monitoring Summary Report

Linam Ranch Natural Gas Plant Lea County, New Mexico GW-015

Prepared for:



370 17th St., Suite 2500 Denver, CO 80202

Prepared by:



6899 Pecos Street, Unit C Denver, CO 80221

November 15, 2012



Table of Contents

| 1. | Inti | roduction | 1 | | | | | | |
|----|-----------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2. | Site | Site Location and Background1 | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Gro | oundwater Monitoring | 1 | | | | | | |
| | 3.1 | Groundwater and LNAPL Elevation Monitoring | 2 | | | | | | |
| | 3.2 | Groundwater Quality Monitoring | 2 | | | | | | |
| | 3.3 | Data Quality Assurance / Quality Control | 3 | | | | | | |
| 4. | Rer | mediation Activities | 4 | | | | | | |
| 5. | Conclusions4 | | | | | | | | |
| 6. | Recommendations | | | | | | | | |

Tables

| 1 | Second Half 2012 Semi-Annual Summary of Groundwater Elevation Data |
|---|--|
| 2 | Second Half 2012/Semi-Annual Summary of BTEX Concentrations in Groundwater |

Figures

- 2 Site Map With Monitoring Well Locations
- 3 Second Half 2012 Semi-Annual Groundwater Elevation Contour Map September 4, 2012
- 4 Analytical Results Map

Appendices

- A Laboratory Analytical Results
- B Historical Analytical Results



1. Introduction

This report summarizes the groundwater monitoring activities conducted during the second half 2012 at the Linam Ranch Natural Gas Plant (Site) in Lea County, New Mexico (Figure 1). Tasman Geosciences, LLC (Tasman) conducted these activities on behalf of DCP Midstream, LP (DCP). Monitoring activities were conducted on September 4, 2012 with the purpose of: a) determining the presence of light non-aqueous phase liquid (LNAPL) hydrocarbons; b) measuring groundwater levels; c) obtaining groundwater samples for chemical analysis; and d) evaluate and present groundwater flow and quality conditions. Current Site conditions were evaluated from field data and analytical laboratory results collected during the reporting period.

2. Site Location and Background

The Site is located in New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (OCD) designated Unit B, Section 6, Township 19 South, Range 37 East (Figure 1). The facility coordinates are 32.6965 degrees north and 103.2883 degrees west. This facility is active and includes an office complex and storage areas in addition to the main plant.

The Site has thirteen groundwater monitoring wells (MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-4, MW-5, MW-6, MW-7, MW-8, MW-9, MW-10, MW-10D, MW-11, MW-13), which were installed between 1991 and 1995 (Figure 2). In February 1994, hydrocarbon-impacted groundwater was detected during subsurface investigations performed at two areas within the plant. A follow-up subsurface investigation was performed in May 1994 to delineate the horizontal extent of hydrocarbon-impacted soils and groundwater. The OCD subsequently requested a work plan to completely define the extent of groundwater contamination at the plant. In October 1995, the OCD approved a quarterly sampling and monitoring program for the Site, which was reduced to semi-annual frequency in 1997 after the recommendations of a 1996 report submitted by Geoscience Consultants Ltd. (GCL).

3. Groundwater Monitoring

This section describes the field groundwater monitoring activities as well as the laboratory analyses performed during the second half 2012 semi-annual monitoring event. Monitoring activities included Site-wide groundwater gauging, LNAPL measurements, groundwater purging and sampling, and packaging and shipping of the samples to the laboratory for chemical analyses. Figure 2 illustrates the groundwater monitoring network utilized to perform these activities at the Site. Monitoring well MW-13 was not sampled during the reporting period as it was found to be damaged, preventing groundwater sample collection. Historically MW-13 has exhibited non-detect concentrations since its construction in April of 2008. Given its location up gradient of MW-3, also historically non-detect, Tasman proposes this well be removed from the sampling program and abandoned in place.



3.1 Groundwater and LNAPL Elevation Monitoring

During the second half 2012 semi-annual monitoring event, groundwater levels and LNAPL thickness, where present, were measured at twelve of the thirteen Site monitoring well locations. MW-13 was found to be destroyed and could not be measured. Depth to groundwater and LNAPL were measured in order to evaluate hydraulic characteristics and provide information regarding fluctuations in groundwater and LNAPL elevations at the Site. Monitoring wells that did not have LNAPL present were measured for total depth and a sampling purge volume calculated.

Groundwater levels were measured on the north side of the well casing to the nearest 0.01-foot using an oil-water interface probe (IP). Groundwater levels were subsequently converted to elevations (feet above mean sea level [AMSL]). Measured groundwater level data and elevations collected during the second half 2012 semi-annual monitoring event in addition to historical elevations are presented in Table 1. A contour map of second half 2012 semi-annual groundwater elevations is presented in Figure 3. Groundwater elevations ranged from 3,673.62 feet AMSL at monitoring well MW-5 to 3,666.33 feet AMSL at monitoring well MW-3. As illustrated on Figure 3, groundwater flow at the Site generally trends to the southeast with a gradient of approximately 0.0033 foot per foot between monitoring wells MW-5 and MW-3. Monitoring well MW-7, located at the northwest corner of the Site, was not used to calculate the groundwater gradient as it exhibited anomalous results.

LNAPL was detected at MW-4 with a thickness of 0.43 feet and MW-6 with a thickness of 3.98 feet.

3.2 Groundwater Quality Monitoring

Prior to collecting groundwater samples, groundwater levels, the presence of LNAPL, and total depth (in wells without LNAPL) were measured within Site monitoring wells as described above. A minimum of three well casing volumes of groundwater (calculated from total depth of the well and groundwater level measurements) was then purged using dedicated polyethylene bailers from the subject well prior to collecting groundwater samples. Purge water was collected and transported to a waste water sump onsite. Groundwater samples were collected using the same dedicated polyethylene bailers, placed in clean laboratory supplied containers for the selected analytical methods, packed in an ice-filled cooler and maintained at approximately 4 degrees Celsius (⁰C) for transportation. Groundwater samples were then shipped under chain-of-custody procedures to ALS Environmental (ALS) laboratory in Houston, Texas, for analysis.

Water quality samples were collected from ten of thirteen wells. Monitoring wells MW-4 and MW-6 were not sampled due to the presence of measurable LNAPL detected in the wells and monitoring well MW-13 was damaged at the time of the sampling event. Water quality samples were submitted to ALS for analysis of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX) by United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 8260B.

2



Linam Ranch Natural Gas Plant Second Half 2012 Semi-Annual GW Monitoring Summary Report

Table 2 summarizes BTEX concentrations in groundwater samples collected during the September 2012 event. Analytical results are summarized on Figure 4 and the laboratory analytical report is provided in Appendix A.

Analytical results for monitoring wells sampled are as follows:

- <u>MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-7, MW-8, MW-9, and MW-11</u>: BTEX were non-detect (below laboratory reporting limits) in these wells;
- <u>MW-5, MW-10, MW-10D</u>: Benzene was detected in all three wells at concentrations of 0.170 mg/L, 2.7 mg/L, and 0.023 mg/L, respectively, which exceed the New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (NMWQCC) Groundwater Standard of 0.01 mg/L. Ethylbenzene was detected in monitoring wells MW-5 and MW-10, but only the sample from MW-5 (1.0 mg/L) exceeded the NMWQCC Groundwater Standard (0.75mg/L). Toluene was detected in MW-10 and MW-10D, but was below the NMWQCC standard (0.75 mg/L). Total xylenes were detected in MW-5 and MW-10 but were both below the NMWQCC standard (0.62 mg/L).

Water quality parameters were collected during the monitoring event. The Site monitoring wells did not require collection of more than three purge volumes to achieve parameter stabilization. As such, the analytical data are considered to be representative of Site conditions in that a minimum of 3 purge volumes were evacuated from all sampled monitoring wells during the 2012 second half semi-annual event.

3.3 Data Quality Assurance / Quality Control

The data were reviewed for compliance with the analytical method and the associated quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures. All samples were analyzed using the correct analytical methods and within the correct holding times. Chain of custody forms were in order and properly executed and indicate that samples were received at the proper temperature with no headspace. All data were reported using the correct method number and reporting units. A trip blank, matrix spike or matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) and field duplicate sample from well MW-5 were collected during the sampling event.

The trip blank was fully in control, having no detections of targets.

The duplicate sample collected from MW-5 was in compliance with QA/QC standards. MW-5 and duplicate sample both returned results for benzene of 0.17 mg/L.

The overall QA/QC assessment of the data, based on the data review, indicate that both field precision and overall data precision and accuracy are acceptable.

Tasman Geosciences

4. **Remediation Activities**

Natural attenuation continues to provide effective control and passive remediation of the dissolvedphase groundwater plume as well as LNAPL on Site. Monitoring wells MW-2 and MW-3 act as "point of compliance" wells along the down-gradient facility boundary, and continue to report non-detect concentrations for dissolved-phase BTEX concentrations in groundwater. Based on the historic and recent data, it appears that natural attenuation provides effective remediation of residual impacts at the Site.

5. Conclusions

Measurable LNAPL persists at monitoring wells MW-4 and MW-6, located down gradient of the former oil water separator. Considering the apparent minimal subsurface lateral extent of LNAPL and minimal extent of dissolved-phase hydrocarbons at the Site, the residual source material does not appear significant in terms of emplaced volume.

The persistence of LNAPL in the vicinity of MW-4 and MW-6 and absence of down-gradient free phase hydrocarbons and dissolved-phase impact in groundwater indicates that the residual constituents of concern are not mobile in the subsurface and natural attenuation continues to persist at the site.

Elevated dissolved-phase benzene concentrations were detected at monitoring wells MW-10 and MW-10D in the central portion of the Site, suggesting the possibility of a secondary contaminant source area. However, concentrations in MW-9, located immediately down gradient, remain below laboratory detection limits for all BTEX constituents.

A key factor that may be affecting mobility of product at the Site likely includes the transmissivity of the subsurface formation and the hydraulic gradient across the Site. There appears to be minimal hydraulic gradient potential at the Site, so even though the subsurface may be transmissive, the overall plume velocity is slow and therefore, does not influence LNAPL mobility. Biodegradation of source material over distance and time from the point of release are likely occurring because dissolved-phase BTEX constituents in groundwater are minimal near the residual LNAPL. Analytical results in point-of-compliance wells along the down gradient property boundary continue to be below laboratory detection limits for all BTEX constituents.

Ongoing semi-annual groundwater sampling activities will provide for continued monitoring of dissolved-phase BTEX concentrations and LNAPL trends.

6. **Recommendations**

Based on evaluation of data collected during the reporting period and historical Site observations and monitoring results, the following recommendation has been developed for future activities:



- Continue semi-annual groundwater monitoring and sampling of the monitoring locations illustrated on Figure 2.
- Installation of a Spill Buster LNAPL recovery unit in MW-6 to mitigate LNAPL at the site.

Tables

۲,

.

TABLE 1 SECOND HALF 2012 SEMI-ANNUAL SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER ELEVATION DATA LINAM RANCH LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

| Location | Date | Depth to Groundwater (1) (feet) | Depth to Product (1) (feet) | Free Phase Hydrocarbon Thickness (feet) | Total Depth (2) (feet) | TOC Elevation (feet amsl) | Groundwater Elevation (feet amsl) | Change in Groundwater Elevation Since Previous Event (3) (feet) |
|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| MW-1 | 9/28/2010 | | | | 54.20 | 3718.29 | 3676 13 | 2.16 |
| MW-1 | 4/28/2011 | 45.75 | | | 54.20 | 3718.29 | 3674 43 | -1 70 |
| MW-1 | 9/13/2011 | 46.05 | | | 54.31 | 3718.29 | 3672.24 | -2.19 |
| MW-1 | 3/5/2012 | 46.43 | | | 54.31 | 3718.29 | 3671.86 | -0.38 |
| MW-1 | 9/4/2012 | 46.91 | | | 54.31 | 3718.29 | 3671.38 | -0.48 |
| MUL2 | 0/28/2010 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | eria a statisti | an aird ar ann an | 50.50 | 2714.90 | 2675 64 | 25A |
| MW-2 | 4/28/2010 | 40.5 | | | 50.50 | 3714.80 | 3676 74 | 1.10 |
| | 9/12/2011 | 40.5 | | <u></u> | 50.50 | 3714.80 | 3660 33 | -7.41 |
| MW-2 | 3/5/2012 | 45.95 | | | 50.50 | 3714.80 | 3668.85 | -0.48 |
| MW-2 | 9/4/2012 | 46.35 | | | 50.50 | 3714.80 | 3668.45 | -0.40 |
| 1, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, | - Latter allege and a part of a | 101.00 | مې د موجي ^د موجي ^د | Polyment and in the second of a | | | 100 15 20 10 10 10 100 A | Realized and a second second second |
| MW-3 | 9/28/2010 | | | | 55.30 | 3715.50 | 3669.90 | 0.35 |
| MW-3 | 4/28/2011 | 48.33 | | | 55.30 | 3715.50 | 3669.37 | -0.53 |
| <u>MW-3</u> | 9/12/2011 | 48.55 | | | 55.44 | 3715.50 | 3666.95 | -2.42 |
| MW-3 | 3/5/2012 | 48.82 | | | 55.44 | 3715.50 | 3666.68 | -0.27 |
| MW-3 | 9/4/2012 | 49.17 | and an early is abreadly | 274 - 14 - 14 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 1 | 55.44 | 3715.50 | 3666.33 | -0.35 |
| MW-4* | 9/28/2010 | | | | 54.13 | 3720.46 | 3675.55 | 0.60 |
| MW-4* | 4/28/2011 | 46.91 | 46.68 | 0,23 | 54.13 | 3720.46 | 3673.72 | -1.83 |
| MW-4* | 9/13/2011 | 47.29 | 47.01 | 0.28 | NM | 3720.46 | 3673.38 | -0.34 |
| MW-4* | 3/5/2012 | 47.44 | 47.10 | 0.34 | NM | 3720.46 | 3673.28 | -0.11 |
| MW-4* | 9/4/2012 | 48.00 | 47.57 | 0.43 | NM | 3720.46 | 3672.78 | -0.49 |
| MW-5 | 9/28/2010 | an an an anna anna annan annan an annan an | Annala in an airte i Anitina an | And the second of the second o | 55.20 | 3721 53 | 3676 50 | 0.79 |
| MW-5 | 4/28/2011 | 46 59 | | | 55.20 | 3721.53 | 3677.01 | 0.51 |
| MW-5 | 9/13/2011 | 47.36 | | | 56.35 | 3721.53 | 3674.17 | -2 84 |
| MW-5 | 3/5/2012 | 47.18 | | | 56.35 | 3721.53 | 3674.35 | 0.18 |
| MW-5 | 9/4/2012 | 47.91 | | | 56.35 | 3721.53 | 3673.62 | -0.73 |
| 1.4.4.65° 1.5° 1.6° 1.8° 1.8° 1.8° 1.8° 1.8° 1.8° 1.8° 1.8 | H STRUCTURE STRUCT | W. And CARBERT | and the to the states t | alter terre in a statistic or a | www.ueb | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | an an in the State and Sold and | attent i stransvetsketer |
| <u>MW-6*</u> | 9/28/2010 | | | | 54.10 | 3720.99 | 3676.17 | 2.47 |
| <u>MW-6</u> * | 4/28/2011 | 49.91 | 47.10 | 2.81 | 54.10 | 3720.99 | 3673.19 | -2.98 |
| <u>MW-6*</u> | 9/13/2011 | 50.75 | 47.42 | 3.33 | NM | 3720.99 | 3672.74 | -0.45 |
| <u>MW-6*</u> | 3/5/2012 | 50.84 | 47.74 | 3.1 | NM | 3720.99 | 3672.48 | -0.26 |
| MW-0* | 9/4/2012 | 32.00 | 48.08 | 3.98 | I NM | 3720.99 | 30/1.92 | -U.30 |
| MW-7 | 9/28/2010 | DRY | | | 62.50 | 3728.57 | DRY | |
| MW-7 | 4/28/2011 | , DRY | | | 62,50 | 3728.57 | DRY | |
| MW-7 | 9/13/2011 | DRY | | | NM | 3728.57 | DRY | |
| MW-7 | 3/5/2012 | | l | | 62.56 | 3728.57 | | NDA |
| IVI W - / | 9/4/2012 | 02.11 | | ACT OF STREET, ST. ST. | 02.30 | 3728.57 | 3000.40 | |
| MW-8 | 9/28/2010 | | | | 58.30 | 3714.18 | 3673.72 | 2.41 |
| MW-8 | 4/28/2011 | 44.35 | | | 58.30 | 3714.18 | 3671.83 | -1.89 |
| MW-8 | 9/12/2011 | 44.78 | | • | 58.00 | 3714.18 | 3669.40 | -2.43 |
| MW-8 | 3/5/2012 | 45.20 | | | 58.00 | 3714.18 | 3668.98 | -0.42 |
| MW-8 | 9/4/2012 | 45.71 | محمد دید. دیده ۲۰۰۰ مرحد د | Some at the set of the features | 58.00 | 3714.18 | 3668.47 | -0.51 |
| MW-9 | 9/28/2010 | | | | 59.10 | 3720.48 | 3671.51 | 0.48 |
| MW-9 | 4/28/2011 | 51.42 | | | 59.10 | 3720.48 | 3671.06 | -0.45 |
| MW-9 | 9/12/2011 | 51.46 | | | 59.30 | 3720.48 | 3669.02 | -2.04 |
| MW-9 | 3/5/2012 | 51.81 | | | 59.30 | 3720,48 | 3668.67 | -0.35 |
| MW-9 | 9/4/2012 | 52.12 | | | 59.30 | 3720.48 | 3668.36 | -0.31 |

TABLE 1 SECOND HALF 2012 SEMI-ANNUAL SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER ELEVATION DATA LINAM RANCH LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

| Location | Date | Depth to Groundwater (1) (feet) | Depth to Product (1) (feet) | Free Phase Hydrocarbon Thickness (feet) | Total Depth (2) (feet) | TOC Elevation (feet amsl) | Groundwater Elevation (feet amsl) | Change in Groundwater Elevation Since Previous Event (3) (feet) |
|--------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|------------------------------|--|---|---|
| MW-10 | 9/28/2010 | | | | 65.00 | 3720.76 | 3672.20 | 0.77 |
| MW-10 | 4/28/2011 | 51.34 | | | 65.00 | 3720.76 | 3671.56 | -0.64 |
| MW-10 | 9/12/2011 | 51.35 | | | 65.15 | 3720.76 | 3669.41 | -2.15 |
| MW-10 | 3/5/2012 | 51.78 | | | 65.15 | 3720.76 | 3668.98 | -0.43 |
| MW-10 | 9/4/2012 | 52.40 | | | 65.15 | 3720.76 | 3668.36 | -0.62 |
| MW 10D | 0/29/2010 | ************************************** | 1100 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C | ೆಷ್ಟೇಗ್ರಾ ನಿ. ಇನ್ ಕನ್ನಾರ ಬ್ರಾಮ | 70.00 | 2730.05 | 2671 62 | 0.25 |
| MW 10D | 4/28/2011 | 52.4 | | ····· | 79.00 | 3720.85 | 3671.03 | 0.35 |
| MW 10D | 9/12/2011 | 52.4 | | | 79.00 | 3720.85 | 2669 51 | 2.62 |
| MW 10D | 2/5/2012 | 57.85 | | | 79.00 | 3720.85 | 3668.00 | -2.03 |
| MW-10D | 0/4/2012 | 53 21 | | | 79.00 | 3720.85 | 3667.64 | -0.31 |
| IVI W-IUD | 9/4/2012 | JJ.21 | , an 27 62 5 m 4 .9 1 ^{- 2} 00m | action with a section of a section | 77.00 | 5720.85 | 3007.04 | •0.J0 |
| MW-11 | 9/28/2010 | | | | 62.80 | 3722.02 | 3673.04 | 1.11 |
| MW-11 | 4/28/2011 | 52.05 | | | 62.80 | 3722.02 | 3672.48 | -0.56 |
| MW-11 | 9/12/2011 | 52.05 | | | 62.95 | 3722.02 | 3669.97 | -2.51 |
| MW-11 | 3/5/2012 | 52.57 | | | 62.95 | 3722.02 | 3669.45 | -0.52 |
| MW-11 | 9/4/2012 | 53.04 | | | 62.95 | 3722.02 | 3668.98 | -0.47 |
| · CORRESPONDENCE PLUM OF | 0.000.001.0 | in the state of the second | and states and the second states | 1992年、1993年 · 1997年 · 1993年第3月 | 100 mar 3.00 miles - 100 | and the second | WIND REPORT OF THE A | A Les |
| MW-13 | 9/28/2010 | | | | 63.00 | 3721.63 | 3671.59 | 0.68 |
| MW-13 | 4/28/2011 | 53.03 | | | 63.00 | 3721.63 | 3670.96 | -0.63 |
| MW-13 | 9/12/2011 | 53.2 | | | 62.95 | 3721.63 | 3668.43 | -2.53 |
| MW-13 | 3/5/2012 | 53.56 | | | 62.95 | 3721.63 | 3668.07 | -0.36 |
| MW-13 (4) | 9/4/2012 | NM | | | 62.95 | 3721.63 | NM | NM |
| | | | Averag | e change in ground | water elevation : | since the previous | monitoring event | -0.48 |

Notes:

1- Depths measured from the north edge of the well casing.

2- Total depths were collected and recorded during the second half 2012 semi-annual monitoring event. Total depths were not collected in wells that had LNAPL.

3- Changes in groundwater elevation calculated by subtracting the measurement collected during the previous monitoring even from the measurement collected during the most recent monitoring event.

4- MW-13 was damaged and could not be sampled during the second half semi-annual event.

Data presented for all well locations includes previous four sampling events, when available. Historic groundwater analytical results for these locations may be found in Appendix B. Sample locations are shown on Figure 2 and a groundwater elevation contour map is shown on Figure 3.

amsi - feet above mean sea level.

TOC - top of casing

NM - not measured

* Groundwater elevation was corrected for product thickness using the following calculation:

Groundwater elevation = (TOC Elevation - Measured Depth to Water) + (LNAPL Thickness in Well * LNAPL Density) LNAPL density was assumed to be approximately 0.75 grams per cubic centimeter

TABLE 2 SECOND HALF 2012 SEMI-ANNUAL SUMMARY OF BTEX CONCENTRATIONS IN GROUNDWATER LINAM RANCH LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

| | | | | | Total | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|---------|-----------------|--------------|---------|---|
| Location | Gammela Data | Benzene | Toluene | Ethylbenzene | Xylenes | Comments |
| Identification | Sample Date | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | Comments |
| Control Commission | | 0.01 | 0.75 | 0.75 | ~ 0.62 | |
| Groundwater, Standards (mg/L) | | | | | | |
| MW-1 | 9/28/2010 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.004 | |
| MW-1 | 4/28/2011 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | |
| MW-1 | 9/13/2011 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.004 | |
| MW-1 | 3/5/2012 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.015 | |
| MW-1 | 9/4/2012 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.015 | |
| MW-2 | 9/28/2010 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.004 | |
| MW-2 | 4/28/2011 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | |
| MW-2 | 9/12/2011 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.004 | |
| MW-2 | 3/5/2012 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | <0.015 | |
| MW-2 | 9/4/2012 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | <0.005 | < 0.015 | |
| MW-3 | 9/28/2010 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | <0.002 | < 0.004 | in and a parting prive at reasons beyond (in ref or applicable). |
| MW-3 | 4/28/2011 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | |
| MW-3 | 9/12/2011 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.004 | |
| MW-3 | 3/5/2012 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.015 | |
| MW-3 | 9/4/2012 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.015 | |
| MW-4 | 9/28/2010 | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | ೆ ಹಿಂದಿ ಅವರು ಕೊಡಿಸಿದ ನಿರ್ದೇಶ ಸಂಶ್ ಕೊಡಿಸಿದ್ದ ಕೊಡಿಸಿದ್ದ ಕೊಡಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸಂಶ್ಲೇಶ ಸಂಶ್ಲೇಶ ಕೊಡಿಸಿದ್ದ ಕೊಡಿಸಿದ್ದ ಕೊಡಿಸಿದ್ದ ಕ ಕೊಡಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಿಸಿದ್ದ ಕೊಡಿಸಿ |
| MW-4 | 4/28/2011 | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | |
| MW-4 | 9/13/2011 | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | |
| MW-4 | 3/5/2012 | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | |
| MW-4 | 9/4/2012 | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | |
| MW-5 | 9/28/2010 | 0.0095 | < 0.004 | 0.188 | <0.008 | n na |
| MW-5 | 4/28/2011 | 0.1490 | < 0.004 | 0.776 | < 0.004 | |
| MW-5 | 9/13/2011 | 0.1300 | <0.010 | 0.860 | <0.020 | |
| MW-5 | 3/5/2012 | 0.240 | < 0.025 | 2.000 | < 0.075 | |
| <u>MW-5</u> | 9/4/2012 | 0.170 | < 0.005 | 1.000 | 0.038 | Duplicate Sample Collected |
| MW-6 | 9/28/2010 | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | A CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR OF A CO |
| | 4/28/2011 | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | |
| MW-6 | 9/13/2011 | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | |
| MW-6 | 3/5/2012 | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | |
| MW-6 | 9/4/2012 | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | |
| MW-7 | 9/28/2010 | NS | NS | NS | NS | |
| MW-7 | 4/28/2011 | NS | NS | NS | NS | |
| MW-7 | 9/13/2011 | NS | NS | NS | NS | |
| MW-7 | 3/5/2012 | NS | NS | NS | NS | |
| <u>MW-7</u> | 9/4/2012 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | < 0.015 | |
| MW-8 | 9/28/2010 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.004 | |
| MW-8 | 4/28/2011 | <0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | |
| MW-8 | 9/12/2011 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | <0.015 | |
| <u>MW-8</u> | 3/5/2012 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | <0.015 | |
| MW-8 | 9/4/2012 | <0.005 | <0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.015 | A HERMONY HERMONY THAT ALL AND THE WARDS AND THAT IS A THAT AND A REAL PROVIDED |
| MW-9 | 9/28/2010 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.004 | |
| MW-9 | 4/28/2011 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | |
| MW-9 | 9/12/2011 | <0.001 | < 0.002 | <0.002 | < 0.004 | |
| MW-9 | 3/5/2012 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.015 | |
| MW-9 | 9/4/2012 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | <0.005 | < 0.015 | |

ī

TABLE 2 SECOND HALF 2012 SEMI-ANNUAL SUMMARY OF BTEX CONCENTRATIONS IN GROUNDWATER LINAM RANCH LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

| · · · | | | | | Total | |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|---|--|
| Location | | Benzene | Toluene | Ethylbenzene | Xylenes | |
| Identification | Sample Date | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | Comments |
| New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission | | 0.01 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.62 | |
| · MW-10 | 9/28/2010 | 1.900 | 0.055 | 0.240 | 0.104 | |
| | 4/28/2011 | 2.005 | 0.243 | 0.215 | 0.141 | |
| MW-10 | 9/12/2011 | 1.970 | 0.104 | 0.249 | 0.145 | Duplicate Sample Collected |
| MW-10 | 3/5/2012 | 2.200 | 0.110 | 0.230 | 0.130 | |
| MW-10 | 9/4/2012 | 2.700 | 0.0083 | 0.280 | 0.120 | |
| MW-10D | 9/28/2010 | 0.0402 | 0.0358 | 0.006 | 0.0077 | and a the and the second second second the second |
| | 4/28/2011 | 0.0512 | 0.0373 | 0.000 | 0.00113 | |
| MW-10D | 9/12/2011 | 0.0278 | 0.0131 | 0.0032 | 0.0060 | |
| | 3/5/2012 | 0.0240 | 0.0081 | < 0.005 | < 0.015 | Duplicate Sample Collected |
| | 9/4/2012 | 0.0230 | 0.0057 | < 0.005 | < 0.015 | · · · · · · · |
| TALE PROFESSIONS STREET, STREE | and the state of Salarian States in a | 0 0" 0 "0" ". ". ". ". ". ". ". ". ". ". ". ". ". | 7 87.18718 6 A 9877 | TRANSFORMENTS W ATZ | the first the second states of the second | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| MW-11 | 9/28/2010 | 0.0036 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | 0.004 | |
| MW-11 | 4/28/2011 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | |
| MW-11 | 9/12/2001 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.004 | |
| MW-11 | 3/5/2012 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.015 | |
| MW-11 | 9/4/2012 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.015 | |
| MW-13 | 0/28/2010 | <0.001 | <0.002 | <0.002 | | |
| MW/ 12 | 3/20/2010 | <0.001 | <0.002 | <0.002 | <0.004 | |
| NAW 12 | 4/20/2011 | <0.001 | <0.002 | <0.002 | <0.002 | |
| MW-13 | 9/12/2011 | <0.001 | <0.002 | <0.002 | <0.004 | |
| MW-13 | 3/5/2012 | < 0.005 | <0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.015 | |
| MW-13 (3) | 9/4/2012 | NS | NS | NS | NS | |

Notes:

1.) The environmental cleanup standards for water that are applicable to the Linam Ranch site are the New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (NMWQCC) Groundwater Standards.

2.) Data presented for the well locations includes previous four sampling events, when available. Historic groundwater analytical results may be found in Appendix B.

3.) MW-13 was damaged and could not be sampled during the second half semi-annual event.

Bold red values indicate an exceedance of the NMWQCC groundwater standards for the Site.

Sample locations are shown on Figure 2 and analytical results are illustrated on Figure 4.

LNAPL = Light Non-Aqueous Phase Liquid

NS = Not Sampled.

mg/L = milligrams per liter.

Figures

,

ł

ł







| | | | 7 |
|---|-----------------|--|---|
| | Legend | 1 | |
| | | nitoring Moll | |
| | Moi Group | undwater Elevation Contour | |
| | Line | e (feet AMSL) | - |
| | 3,710.33 Mea | asured Groundwater Elevation at AMSL) | |
| | Gr | oundwater Flow Direction | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 1. A. | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| and the second | | | |
| | | | |
| a prise | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| M. Salar | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Notes: | | |
| 1.1.1 | MW-7 elevation | was excluded from potentiometric | |
| | contours due to | anomolous results. | |
| 1. | AMSL - Above M | lean Sea Level | |
| | | | |
| | | 0 175 350 | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| WATER EL | EVATION | FIGURE | |
| FMRER 4 | 2012) | 3 | |
| | 2012) | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



Appendix A

1

ļ

ł

Laboratory Analytical Report

Appendix B

ł

.

Historical Analytical Results

| | | | | | <u>l</u> otal | |
|------------------------------|--|-----------|-----------|--------------|---------------|----------|
| Location | Sample Date | Benzene | Toluene | Ethylbenzene | Xylenes | Comments |
| Identification | | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | |
| New Mexico Water Quality | د مصریه با مصریح و معرف و معرف می اسم اسم (رهبه معرف ماهمان و از مصریح می ماند. (رهبه معرف ماهمان و از مصریح می ماند. | | | | | |
| Control Commission | اید این موجعه موجعه و مربع بود و م محمد این محمد موجعه مو محمد موجعه موج | 0.01 | 0.75 | 0.75 | . 0.62 | |
| Groundwater Standards (mg/L) | 05/02/09 | <0.00046 | <0.00049 | <0.00046 | <0.0014 | |
| MW-1 | 03/02/08 | <0.00046 | <0.00048 | <0.00045 | <0.0014 | |
| MW-1 | 03/12/09 | <0.00046 | <0.00048 | <0.00045 | <0.0014 | · |
| <u>MW-1</u> | 09/24/09 | <0.002 | < 0.002 | <0.002 | <0.006 | |
| MW-1 | 09/24/09 | <0.00050 | <0.00043 | <0.00055 | <0.0017 | |
| <u>MW-1</u> | 03/24/10 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | <0.002 | < 0.006 | |
| MW-1 | 03/24/10 | <0.00050 | <0.00043 | < 0.00055 | <0.0017 | |
| MW-1 | 09/28/10 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.004 | |
| MW-1 | 09/29/10 | 0.00039 | <0.0010 | < 0.00030 | - | |
| MW-1 | 04/28/11 | 0.00054 | <0.0010 | <0.00030 | <0.00060 | |
| MW-1 | 04/28/11 | <0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | · |
| MW-1 | 09/13/11 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.004 | |
| MW-1 | 03/05/12 | < 0.005 | <0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.015 | - |
| MW-1 | 09/04/12 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.015 | |
| MW-2 | 05/02/08 | < 0.00046 | < 0.00048 | < 0.00045 | < 0.0014 | |
| MW-2 | 03/12/09 | < 0.00046 | < 0.00048 | < 0.00045 | < 0.0014 | |
| MW-2 | 09/24/09 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.006 | |
| MW-2 | 09/24/09 | < 0.00050 | < 0.00043 | < 0.00055 | < 0.0017 | |
| MW-2 | 03/24/10 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.006 | |
| MW-2 | 03/24/10 | < 0.00050 | < 0.00043 | < 0.00055 | < 0.0017 | |
| MW-2 | 09/28/10 | <0.001 | <0.002 | <0.002 | < 0.004 | |
| MW-2 | 09/29/10 | <0.00030 | <0.0010 | <0.00030 | | |
| MW-2 | 04/28/11 | <0.00030 | <0.0010 | < 0.00030 | <0.00060 | |
| MW-2 | 04/28/11 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | |
| MW-2 | 09/12/11 | <0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.004 | |
| MW-2 | 03/05/12 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.015 | |
| MW-2 | 09/04/12 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.015 | |
| MW-3 | 05/02/08 | < 0.00046 | < 0.00048 | <0.00045 | < 0.0014 | |
| MW-3 | 03/12/09 | < 0.00046 | < 0.00048 | < 0.00045 | < 0.0014 | |
| MW-3 | 09/24/09 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.006 | |
| MW-3 | 09/24/09 | < 0.00050 | < 0.00043 | < 0.00055 | <0.0017 | |
| MW-3 | 03/24/10 | <0.002 | <0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.006 | |
| MW-3 | 03/24/10 | < 0.00050 | < 0.00043 | <0.00055 | <0.0017 | |
| MW-3 | 09/28/10 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.004 | |
| MW-3 | 09/29/10 | < 0.00030 | <0.0010 | <0.00030 | - | |
| MW-3 | 04/28/11 | < 0.00030 | < 0.0010 | <0.00030 | <0.00060 | |
| MW-3 | 04/28/11 | < 0.001 | <0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | |
| MW-3 | 09/12/11 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.004 | |
| MW-3 | 03/05/12 | <0.005 | <0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.015 | |
| MW-3 | 09/04/12 | <0.005 | < 0.005 | <0.005 | < 0.015 | |

| | | | | | Total | |
|---|-------------|----------|------------|--------------------------|----------|--|
| Location | Sample Date | Benzene | Toluene | Ethylbenzene | Xylenes | Comments |
| Identification | _ | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | |
| New Mexico Water Quality | | | | | | |
| Control Commission | | 0.01 | 0.75 | | 0.62 | |
| Groundwater Standards (mg/L) | | | 2020200000 | | | |
| <u>MW-4</u> | 09/24/09 | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| <u>MW-4</u> | 03/24/10 | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | |
| MW-4 | 09/28/10 | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | |
| MW-4 | 04/28/11 | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | · |
| <u>MW-4</u> | 09/13/11 | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | |
| <u>MW-4</u> | 03/05/12 | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | ······································ |
| MW-4 | 09/04/12 | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | annan ar staanar 2 am talaac ay kan sees ar ann an |
| MW-5 | 05/02/08 | 0.0108 | < 0.00048 | 0.184 | 0.0039 | |
| | 03/12/09 | 0.0092 | < 0.00048 | 0.102 | < 0.0014 | |
| MW-5 | 09/24/09 | 0.0272 | < 0.002 | 0.227 | < 0.006 | |
| MW-5 | 09/24/09 | 0.0272 | < 0.00043 | 0.227 | < 0.0017 | |
| MW-5 | 03/24/10 | 0.1300 | < 0.002 | 0.482 | 0.460 | |
| MW-5 | 03/24/10 | 0.119 | < 0.0022 | 0.702 | 0.916 | |
| MW-5 | 09/28/10 | 0.0095 | < 0.004 | 0.188 | <0.008 | · · · |
| MW-5 | 09/29/10 | 0.0095 | < 0.0020 | 0.188 | - | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| MW-5 | 04/28/11 | 0.149 | < 0.0020 | 0.776 | < 0.0012 | |
| MW-5 | 04/28/11 | 0.1490 | < 0.004 | 0.776 | < 0.004 | |
| MW-5 | 09/13/11 | 0.1300 | <0.010 | 0.860 | < 0.020 | |
| MW-5 | 03/05/12 | 0.240 | < 0.025 | 2.000 | < 0.075 | |
| MW-5 | 09/04/12 | 0.170 | < 0.005 | 1.000 | 0.038 | Duplicate Sample Collected |
| MW-6 | 09/24/09 | ΙΝΔΡΙ | ΙΝΔΡΙ | ΙΝΔΡΙ | ΙΝΔΡΙ | n a sharan nangar waxaan ka shiran na sanaya na kanaka a kanaka iyo kanaka na shiran kanaka ka shiran ka sana Na sana |
| MW-6 | 03/24/10 | INAPL | INAPL | I NAPI | INAPI | |
| MW-6 | 09/24/10 | LNAPL | INAPL | I NAPL | LINAPI. | |
| | 04/28/11 | LNAPL | INAPL | I NAPI | INAPI | |
| MW-6 | 09/13/11 | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | I NAPL | ······································ |
| MW-6 | 03/05/12 | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | |
| | 09/04/12 | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | LNAPL | |
| alterio Supr. Jau a 2000 kalendar Supr. Japan Supr. | 0.000 | | | Nonament and an and made | | Langer Menzella Canada Sanada Sana Sanada Sanada |
| <u>MW-7</u> | 05/02/08 | <0.00046 | <0.00048 | <0.00045 | <0.0014 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| MW-7 | 09/24/09 | NS | | NS | NS | ······································ |
| <u>MW-7</u> | 03/24/10 | NS | NS | NS | NS | |
| MW-7 | 09/28/10 | NS | NS | NS | NS | |
| <u>MW-7</u> | 04/28/11 | NS | NS | NS | NS | |
| <u>MW-7</u> | 09/13/11 | NS | NS | NS | NS | ······································ |
| <u>MW-7</u> | 03/05/12 | NS | NS | NS | NS | |
| MW-7 | 09/04/12 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | <0.005 | <0.015 | |

.

| | | , | | · | Total | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|--|
| Location | Sample Date | Benzene | Toluene | Ethylbenzene | Xylenes | Comments |
| Identification | | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | |
| New Mexico Water Quality | | | | | all starting of | |
| Control Commission | | 0.01 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.62 | |
| Groundwater-Standards-(mg/L/%) | 05/02/08 | ~0.00046 | ~0.00048 | | ~0.0014 | |
| MW-0 | 03/02/08 | <0.00040 | <0.00040 | <0.00045 | <0.0014 | |
| MW-8 | 03/12/09 | <0.00040 | <0.00048 | <0.00045 | <0.0014 | |
| iVI W-0 | 09/24/09 | <0.002 | <0.002 | <0.002 | <0.000 | |
| <u>MW-8</u> | 09/24/09 | <0.00050 | <0.00045 | <0.00055 | <0.001/ | |
| <u>MW-8</u> | 03/24/10 | <0.002 | <0.002 | <0.002 | <0.000 | |
| <u>MW-8</u> | 03/24/10 | <0.00050 | <0.00045 | <0.00055 | <0.0017 | |
| MW-8 | 09/28/10 | <0.001 | <0.002 | <0.002 | <0.004 | |
| MW-8 | 09/29/10 | <0.00030 | <0.0010 | <0.00030 | - | |
| MW-8 | 04/28/11 | <0.00030 | <0.0010 | <0.00030 | <0.00060 | · |
| <u>MW-8</u> | 04/28/11 | <0.001 | <0.002 | <0.002 | < 0.002 | |
| MW-8 | 09/12/11 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.015 | |
| MW-8 | 03/05/12 | <0.005 | <0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.015 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| MW-8 | 09/04/12 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | < 0.015 | |
| MW-9 | 04/30/08 | < 0.00046 | <0.00048 | <0.00045 | < 0.0014 | |
| MW-9 | 04/29/09 | < 0.00046 | < 0.00048 | <0.00045 | < 0.0014 | |
| MW-9 | 09/24/09 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.006 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| MW-9 | 09/24/09 | < 0.00050 | < 0.00043 | < 0.00055 | < 0.0017 | |
| MW-9 | 03/24/10 | < 0.002 | <0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.006 | |
| MW-9 | 03/24/10 | < 0.00050 | < 0.00043 | < 0.00055 | < 0.0017 | |
| MW-9 | 09/28/10 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.004 | |
| MW-9 | 09/28/10 | < 0.00030 | < 0.0010 | <0.00030 | - | |
| MW-9 | 04/28/11 | < 0.00030 | < 0.0010 | < 0.00030 | < 0.00060 | |
| MW-9 | 04/28/11 | < 0.001 | <0.002 | <0.002 | < 0.002 | |
| | 09/12/11 | <0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.004 | |
| MW-9 | 03/05/12 | < 0.005 | <0.005 | < 0.005 | <0.015 | |
| MW-9 | 09/04/12 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.015 | |
| NUT 10 | 04/20/08 | 0.760 | 0.0457 | 0.0951 | <u>A AS</u> | an an ing sa tangka sa na sa na sa |
| MW-10 | 04/30/08 | 0.709 | 0.0457 | 0.0851 | 0.03 | |
| MW-10 | 04/29/09 | 0.000 | 0.23 | 0.149 | 0.0759 | |
| MW-IU MW 10 | 09/24/09 | 1.07 | 0.126 | 0.148 | 0.154 | |
| MW-10 | 09/24/09 | 1.0/ | 0.126 | 0.148 | 0.154 | |
| MW-IU | 03/24/10 | 1.640 | 0.175 | 0.240 | 0.156 | ······ |
| MW-10 | 03/24/10 | 1.04 | 0.1/5 | 0.240 | 0.150 | |
| <u>MW-10</u> | 09/28/10 | 1.900 | 0.055 | 0.240 | 0.104 | |
| <u>MW-10</u> | 09/28/10 | 1.900 | 0.0547 | 0.24 | | |
| <u>MW-10</u> | 04/28/11 | 1.72 | 0.228 | 0.195 | 0.126 | |
| MW-10 | 04/28/11 | 2.005 | 0.243 | 0.215 | 0.141 | |
| <u>MW-10</u> | 09/12/11 | 1.970 | 0.104 | 0.249 | 0.145 | Duplicate Sample Collected |
| MW-10 | 03/05/12 | 2.200 | 0.110 | 0.230 | 0.130 | |
| <u>MW-10</u> | 09/04/12 | 2.700 | 0.0083 | 0.280 | 0.120 | |

| [| | | | | lotal | |
|------------------------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|--------------|------------|---|
| Location | Sample Date | Benzene | Toluene | Ethylbenzene | Xylenes | Comments |
| Identification | | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | |
| New Mexico Water Quality | | | | | (Y., 1974) | |
| Control Commission | | 0.01 | ∼` 0.75 | - 0.75 | 0.62 | |
| Groundwater Standards (mg/L) | | | | | | |
| MW-10D | 04/30/08 | 0.195 | 0.0677 | 0.0144 | 0.0221 | |
| MW-10D | 04/29/09 | 0.179 | 0.0772 | 0.0203 | 0.0296 | |
| MW-10D | 09/24/09 | 0.103 | 0.0496 | 0.0127 | 0.0261 | |
| MW-10D | 09/24/09 | 0.103 | 0.0496 | 0.0127 | 0.0261 | |
| MW-10D | 03/24/10 | 0.196 | 0.0703 | 0.0129 | 0.0202 | |
| MW-10D | 03/24/10 | 0.196 | 0.0703 | 0.0129 | 0.0202 | |
| MW-10D | 09/28/10 | 0.0402 | 0.0358 | 0.006 | 0.0077 | |
| MW-10D | 09/28/10 | 0.0402 | 0.0358 | 0.006 | - | · |
| MW-10D | 04/28/11 | 0.0512 | 0.0373 | 0.0063 | 0.0113 | |
| MW-10D | 04/28/11 | 0.0512 | 0.0373 | 0.0063 | 0.0113 | |
| MW-10D | 09/12/11 | 0.0278 | 0.0131 | 0.0032 | 0.0060 | |
| MW-10D | 03/05/12 | 0.0240 | 0.0081 | <0.005 | < 0.015 | Duplicate Sample Collected |
| MW-10D | 09/04/12 | 0.0230 | 0.0057 | <0.005 | < 0.015 | |
| MW-11 | 04/30/08 | < 0.00046 | < 0.00048 | <0.00045 | < 0.0014 | nen an |
| MW-11 | 04/29/09 | < 0.00046 | < 0.00048 | < 0.00045 | < 0.0014 | · · |
| MW-11 | 09/24/09 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | <0.002 | < 0.006 | |
| MW-11 | 09/24/09 | < 0.00050 | < 0.00043 | <0.00055 | < 0.0017 | |
| MW-11 | 03/24/10 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.006 | |
| MW-11 | 03/24/10 | < 0.00050 | < 0.00043 | < 0.00055 | <0.0017 | |
| MW-11 | 09/28/10 | 0.0036 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | 0.004 | |
| MW-11 | 09/28/10 | 0.0036 | < 0.0010 | < 0.00030 | - | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| MW-11 | 04/28/11 | < 0.00030 | < 0.0010 | < 0.00030 | < 0.00060 | |
| MW-11 | 04/28/11 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | <0.002 | < 0.002 | |
| MW-11 | 09/12/01 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.004 | |
| MW-11 | 03/05/12 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.015 | |
| MW-11 | 09/04/12 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.015 | |
| MW-13 | 04/30/08 | < 0.00046 | <0.00048 | < 0.00045 | < 0.0014 | SSSE FRANKENS NOT STOLEN. DE LANGE FRANK DUIT STOLEN AA SUBBLIK DUIT AA BARKADE. S |
| MW-13 | 04/29/09 | < 0.00046 | < 0.00048 | < 0.00045 | < 0.0014 | |
| MW-13 | 09/24/09 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.006 | |
| MW-13 | 09/24/09 | < 0.00050 | < 0.00043 | <0.00055 | < 0.0017 | |
| MW-13 | 03/24/10 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.006 | |
| MW-13 | 03/24/10 | < 0.00050 | < 0.00043 | < 0.00055 | < 0.0017 | |
| MW-13 | 09/28/10 | < 0.001 | <0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.004 | |
| MW-13 | 09/28/10 | < 0.00030 | < 0.0010 | <0.00030 | - | |
| MW-13 | 04/28/11 | < 0.00030 | <0.0010 | < 0.00030 | < 0.00060 | |
| MW-13 | 04/28/11 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | |
| MW-13 | 09/12/11 | < 0.001 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.004 | |
| MW-13 | 03/05/12 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | <0.015 | |
| MW-13 ⁽⁴⁾ | 09/04/12 | NS | NS | NS | NS | |

Notes:

1.) The environmental cleanup standards for water that are applicable to the Linam Ranch site are the New Mexico Water Quality Control

3.) Data presented for the well locations includes previous five sampling events, when available. Historic groundwater analytical results for these **Bold** red values indicate an exceedance of the NMWQCC groundwater standards for the Site.

Sample locations are shown on Figure 2 and analytical results are illustrated on Figure 4.

LNAPL = Light Non-Aqueous Phase Liquid

NS = Not Sampled.

mg/L = milligrams per liter.