District 1 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 Rele				State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 ase Notification and Corrective A			JUN 01 2017 Form C-141 Revised April 3, 2017 SEPECETORED appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.					
(Nearburg) NAB 17/5735749 OPERATOR Initial Report Final Report												
		erating, LLC	10/40	15742	Ţ		rad Grandstaff			anteport		
		200 Fort Wo	orth TX 7	Telephone No. – 972-977-9221								
Neptune 31						Facility Type – Pumping Well						
Surface Ow	nor R	M		Mineral		BLM API No.30-015-30340						
Surface Ow		./~{							AFINO	.30-013-3	0340	
p			r	T		N OF RE	LEASE					
Unit Letter	Section 31	Township T18S	Range R29E	Feet from the 1650'	North FSL	/South Line	Feet from the 767'	East/V FWL	West Line	County Eddy		
٤	1	I	Latitud	le 32,70/	90051	ongitude –	104.1/94-22	9 NAD	83	1		
						OF REL						
Type of Rele	ase Water						Release 200 BB	LS	Volume I	Recovered	50 BBI	.s
Source of Re			c of Pump	ing Unit			@ 3:00 pm		5/26/201	7 @ 3:00 pi	n	
Was Immedi	ate Notice (Yes [] No 🔲 Not F	equired	If YES, To BLM Carls		D in Art	esia			
By Whom? 1	New Course											
Was a Water			·/	/		5/26/2017 @ 5 PM If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.						
			Yes 🔽	No			1 0					
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* Mewbourne Oil Co was stimulating their Pavo Macho 31 B2L1 Fed 1H well and was on their 2 nd to last stage when they connected up with the Neptune 31 Federal 1 well. Water began leaking from the stuffing box of the pumping unit. Mewbourne contacted Chisholm immediately and we made arrangements to shut in the well that evening. Mewbourne finished their frac. Cleanup on location began that day and was finished the following day. There was some water that made if off of the location.												
	vater was co face was b	ontained by th aded and clea	e berms.	A Vac truck was			luid and as much de it off location					
regulations a public health should their o or the enviro	II operators or the envi operations h nment. In a	are required t ronment. The nave failed to	to report and acceptance adequately OCD accept	nd/or file certain ce of a C-141 rep investigate and	release i oort by th remedia	notifications a ne NMOCD m te contamination	knowledge and nd perform corre parked as "Final F ion that pose a th ve the operator of	ctive act Report" (reat to g	tions for rel does not rel round wate	eases which ieve the op- r, surface w	h may ei erator of ater, hu	ndanger f liability man health
		//	//				OIL CON	SERV	ATION	DIVISI	ON	······
Signature:		E C	Ç		1			<u>_</u> 41	A	/		
Printed Name: BRIND GTRIANIDSTAFF							Signed B Environmental S	speciatis	<u>1/4 /0</u>	KARCULS	<u> </u>	
							10/5/r	11	Expiration		A	
V		1		11		Approval Da					μ	<u></u>
E-mail Address: <u>b-prevolstorte chich-lon coongy-co</u> -Conditions of Approval: Geo attached Attached Attached Mattached Attached Mattached												
Date:	11/17		Phone	772-77	7 - 74	<u>~</u>					100	

JRP.	Uð	39
	- 101	- 1

NM OIL CONSERVATION ARTESIA DISTRICT

Operator/Responsible Party,

It is the Division's obligation under both the Oil & Gas Act and Water Quality Act to provide for the protection of public health and the environment. Our regulations (19.15.29.11 NMAC) state the following,

The responsible person shall complete <u>division-approved corrective action</u> for releases that endanger public health or the environment. The responsible person shall address releases in accordance with a remediation plan submitted to and approved by the division or with an abatement plan submitted in accordance with 19.15.30 NMAC. [emphasis added]

Release characterization is the first phase of corrective action unless the release is ongoing or is of limited volume and all impacts can be immediately addressed. Proper and cost-effective remediation typically cannot occur without adequate characterization of the impacts of any release. Furthermore, the Division has the ability to impose reasonable conditions upon the efforts it oversees. As such, the Division is requiring a workplan for the characterization of impacts associated with this release be submitted to the OCD District <u>2</u> office in <u>ARTESIA</u> on or before <u>7/1/2017</u>. If and when the release characterization workplan is approved, there will be an associated deadline for submittal of the resultant investigation report. Modest extensions of time to these deadlines may be granted, but only with acceptable justification.

The goals of a characterization effort are: 1) determination of the lateral and vertical extents along with the magnitude of soil contamination. 2) determine if groundwater or surface waters have been impacted. 3) If groundwater or surface waters have been impacted, what are the extents and magnitude of that impact. 4) The characterization of any other adverse impacts that may have occurred (examples: impacts on vegetation, impacts on wildlife, air quality, loss of use of property, etc.). To meet these goals as quickly as possible, the following items must, at a minimum, be addressed in the release characterization workplan and subsequent reporting:

• Horizontal delineation of soil impacts in each of the four cardinal compass directions. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. This is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants. Analyzed parameters should be modified based on the nature of the released substance(s). Soil sampling must be both within the impacted area and beyond.

• Vertical delineation of soil impacts. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. As above, this is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants and can be modified. Vertical characterization samples should be taken at depth intervals no greater than five feet apart. Lithologic description of encountered soils must also be provided. At least ten vertical feet of soils with contaminant concentrations at or below these values must be demonstrated as existing above the water table.

• Nominal detection limits for field and laboratory analyses must be provided.

• Composite sampling is not generally allowed.

• Field screening and assessment techniques are acceptable (headspace, titration, EC [include algorithm for validation purposes], EM, etc.), but the sampling and assay procedures must be clearly defined. Copies of field notes are highly desirable. A statistically significant set of split samples must be submitted for confirmatory laboratory analysis, including the laterally farthest and vertically deepest sets of soil samples. Make sure there are at least two soil samples submitted

for laboratory analysis from each borehole or test pit (highest observed contamination and deepest depth investigated). Copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

•Probable depth to shallowest protectable groundwater and lateral distance to nearest surface water. If there is an estimate of groundwater depth, the information used to arrive at that estimate must be provided. If there is a reasonable assumption that the depth to protectable water is 50 feet or less, the responsible party should anticipate the need for at least one groundwater monitoring well to be installed in the area of likely maximum contamination.

• If groundwater contamination is encountered, an additional investigation workplan may be required to determine the extents of that contamination. Groundwater and/or surface water samples, if any, must be analyzed by a competent laboratory for volatile organic hydrocarbons (typically Method 8260 full list), total dissolved solids, pH, major anions and cations including chloride and sulfate, dissolved iron, and dissolved manganese. The investigation workplan must provide the groundwater sampling method(s) and sample handling protocols. To the fullest extent possible, aqueous analyses must be undertaken using nominal method detection limits. As with the soil analyses, copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

• Accurately scaled and well-drafted site maps must be provided providing the location of borings, test pits, monitoring wells, potentially impacted areas, and significant surface features including roads and site infrastructure that might limit either the release characterization or remedial efforts. Field sketches may be included in subsequent reporting, but should not be considered stand-alone documentation of the site's layout. Digital photographic documentation of the location and fieldwork is recommended, especially if unusual circumstances are encountered.

Nothing herein should be interpreted to preclude emergency response actions or to imply immediate remediation by removal cannot proceed as warranted. Nonetheless, characterization of impacts and confirmation of the effectiveness of remedial efforts must still be provided to the OCD before any release incident will be closed.

Jim Griswold OCD Environmental Bureau Chief 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 505-476-3465 jim.griswold@state.nm.us

Bratcher, Mike, EMNRD

From:	Brad Grandstaff <bgrandstaff@chisholmenergy.com></bgrandstaff@chisholmenergy.com>
Sent:	Thursday, June 1, 2017 1:49 PM
То:	Tim Green; tgregsto@blm.gov; stucker@blm.gov; Bratcher, Mike, EMNRD
Cc:	Paul Martinez; Bettie Watson
Subject:	RE: Attached Image
Attachments:	Neptune 31 Federal #1 C 141.pdf

I greatly apologize, but please disregard my last email. I had a mistake in the well name and API. This attachment is correct.

Thanks

Brad Grandstaff Office: 817-953-3150 Cell: 972-977-9221

Chisholm Energy Holdings, LLC



CHISHOLM ENERGY

801 Cherry St Suite 1200 Unit 20 Fort Worth TX, 76102

From: Tim Green [mailto:tgreen@nearburg.com]
Sent: Friday, May 26, 2017 5:44 PM
To: tgregsto@blm.gov; stucker@blm.gov; mike.bratcher@state.nm.us
Cc: Brad Grandstaff

cgrandstaff@chisholmenergy.com>; Paul Martinez <pmartinez@chisholmenergy.com>
Subject: FW: Attached Image

Attached is a C-102 and 3160-4 for the Neptune 31 Fed # 1 well that I called in the spill for. My understanding is Mewbourne is fracking a well in the area that caused our leak at the Neptune battery as I explained over the phone. We are in the process of cleaning up the spill per our phone conversation. I will call the OCD in Artesia to report the spill. When I get the details we will file the C-141 and supply you a copy. I believe the Mewbourne well is named Pavo Macho 31. Not sure which well number. Thanks Tim Green 432-413-9747

PS: Mike I called Ray Padony and he told me to add you to the e-mail. Thanks Tim Green

From: Canon ImageRunner Sent: Friday, May 26, 2017 5:17 PM To: Tim Green Subject: Attached Image