



NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS and NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

BILL RICHARDSON

Governor

Joanna Prukop

Cabinet Secretary

April 18, 2006

Mark E. Fesmire, P.E.

Director

Oil Conservation Division

Chevron U.S.A., Inc.

North America Upstream/Midcontinent Business Unit

11,111 S. Wilcrest – Room S-1052

Houston, Texas 77099

Attention: George F. Pritchard
Project Manager/Geologist
gpritchard@chevron.com

Administrative Order NSL-5364 (SD)

Dear Mr. Pritchard:

Reference is made to the following: (i) your application (*administrative application reference No. pTDS0-608653262*) submitted on behalf of the operator, Chevron U.S.A., Inc. ("Chevron"), to the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division ("Division") in Santa Fe, New Mexico on March 27, 2006; (ii) your telephone conversation with Mr. Michael E. Stogner, Engineer with the Division in Santa Fe, on Thursday morning, April 13, 2006; and (iii) the Division's records: all concerning Chevron's request for an unorthodox "infill" oil well location within an existing standard 40-acre oil spacing and proration unit comprising the NW/4 NW/4 (Unit D) of Section 28, Township 21 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Penrose Skelly (Grayburg) Pool (**50350**), Lea County, New Mexico.

Your application has been duly filed under the provisions of Division Rules 104.F and 1210.A (2) [formerly Division Rule 1207.A (2), see Division Order No. R-12327-A, issued by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission in Case No. 13482 on September 15, 2005].

This 40-acre Grayburg unit is currently dedicated to Chevron's Eunice King Well No. 2 (**API No. 30-025-06838**) located at a standard oil well location 660 feet from the North and West lines of Section 28.

It is the Division's understanding that the N/2 of Section 28 comprises a single fee lease (Eunice King lease) with common mineral interest in which Chevron is the leasehold operator; therefore, there are no adversely effected offsets to the subject 40-acre tract within the Grayburg interval.

It is further understood that such unorthodox location is necessitated for geologic and engineering reasons in that this location is approximately equidistance to other offsetting Penrose Skelly (Grayburg) oil wells within the Eunice King fee lease. The proposed infill oil well location will enable Chevron to further develop and deplete the Grayburg reserves within this lease that might not otherwise be recovered

By the authority granted me under the provisions of Division Rule 104.F (2), the following described well to be drilled at an unorthodox "infill" oil well location within the NW/4 NW/4 (Unit D) of Section 28 is hereby approved:

Chevron U.S.A., Inc.

April 18, 2006


Page 2

Division Administrative Order NSL-5364 (SD)

**Eunice King Well No. 30
330' FNL & 1310' FWL.**

Further, both the existing Eunice King Well No. 2 and the proposed Eunice King Well No. 30 are to be simultaneously dedicated to the subject 40-acre unit.

Sincerely,



Mark E. Fesmire, P. E.
Director

MEF/ms

cc: New Mexico Oil Conservation Division – Hobbs
