

Catanach, David

From: Stogner, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2003 5:03 PM
To: Catanach, David
Subject: FW: Federal 29 #1-E (30-045-26999)

-----Original Message-----

From: Hayden, Steven
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2003 2:48 PM
To: Stogner, Michael
Subject: RE: Federal 29 #1-E (30-045-26999)

I haven't seen any paperwork on this yet, but checking our records, there is a well:

Rudman Federal #1, 30-045-13020, UL E, Sec 27, T25N, R9W, that is dedicated to this pool and has been on line since 12-26-1974. I don't know why the pool was not extended in the past, but I will include it in a nomenclature hearing I am working on now.

I guess what I am leaning towards is including it in the White Wash Pool. It is just a little over a mile from where the pool should have been all these years.

Steve

-----Original Message-----

From: Stogner, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2003 2:03 PM
To: Hayden, Steven
Cc: Catanach, David
Subject: Federal 29 #1-E (30-045-26999)

Steve,

Merrion just filed an application for: (i) down-hole commingling of Basin-Dakota (Gas) and White Wash Gallup/Mancos Oil Pool production (perfs 5348' to 5624'); and (ii) an unorthodox oil well location for this oil production, from their Federal 29 #1-E, located 1850' FSL & 1555' FEL (J) Sec. 29-T25N-R9W.

They show that Aztec was sent a copy of this application.

There of course is no White Wash Gallup/Mancos Oil Pool. The White Wash Mancos-Dakota Oil Pool is a little over 2 miles away. The Bisti-Lower Gallup Oil Pool is within 2 miles of the 40-acre unit comprising the NW/4 SE/4 (Unit J) of Sec. 29.

What pool, if any will this production correspond, or will it be wildcat upper Gallup or wildcat Mancos?

Thanks.