San Juan County,	
waship 25 North Range 10 West	Township 25 North Range 9 West
ownship 24 North Range 10 West	Township 24 North Range 9 West
Form 104—(Four	
5 4 3 2	6-5-4-3-2-1-0
6 9 10 11 12	7-1-8-1-9-1-1-1-1-2-
8 17 16 15 14 13	18 17 16 15 14 13
8 17 16 15 14 13	18 17 16 15 14 13
9 20 21 22 23 24	19 20 21 22 23 24
0 29 25 25	30 29 28 27 26 25
1 32 33 34 35 36	-31 - 32 - 33 - 34 - 35 - 36
30 30 30	
3 3 2 1 1	6 5 4 3 2
7	7 8 9 10 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12
	Wash
8 17 16 15 14 13	
8 17 16 15 14 13	
	Bit Oil
9 20 21 22 23 24	196/20 21 22 23 24
	Lower
0 29 28 27 26 25	-30C70//4/3 28 27 26 25
┟┈┊┈╏┈┊┈┇┈┊┈╏┈┋┈┋	
1 32 33 34 35 36	31 32 33 34 35 36 36

Stogner, Michael

From:

Stogner, Michael

Sent:

Tuesday, July 22, 2003 2:03 PM

To:

Hayden, Steven Catanach, David

Cc: Subject:

Federal 29 #1-E (30-045-26999)

Steve,

Merrion just filed an application for: (i) down-hole commingling of Basin-Dakota (Gas) and White Wash Gallup/Mancos Oil Pool production (perfs 5348' to 5624'); and (ii) an unorthodox oil well location for this oil production, from their Federal 29 #1-E, located 1850' FSL & 1555' FEL (J) Sec. 29-T25N-R9W.

They show that Aztec was sent a copy of this application.

There of course is no White Wash Gallup/Mancos Oil Pool. The White Wash Mancos-Dakota Oil Pool is a little over 2 miles away. The Bisti-Lower Gallup Oil Pool is within 2 miles of the 40-acre unit comprising the NW/4 SE/4 (Unit J) of Sec. 29.

What pool, if any will this production correspond, or will it be wildcat upper Gallup or wildcat Mancos?

Thanks.

Stogner, Michael

From:

Hayden, Steven

Sent:

Tuesday, July 22, 2003 2:48 PM

To:

Stogner, Michael

Subject:

RE: Federal 29 #1-E (30-045-26999)

I haven't seen any paperwork on this yet, but checking our records, there is a well:

Rudman Federal #1, 30-045-13020, UL E, Sec 27, T25N, R9W, that is dedicated to this pool and has been on line since 12-26-1974. I don't know why the pool was not extended in the past, but I will include it in a nomenclature hearing I am working on now.

I guess what I am leaning towards is including it in the White Wash Pool. It is just a little over a mile from where the pool should have been all these years.

Steve

----Original Message-----

From:

Stogner, Michael

Sent:

Tuesday, July 22, 2003 2:03 PM

To:

Hayden, Steven

Cc:

Catanach, David

Subject:

Federal 29 #1-E (30-045-26999)

Steve,

Merrion just filed an application for: (i) down-hole commingling of Basin-Dakota (Gas) and White Wash Gallup/Mancos Oil Pool production (perfs 5348' to 5624'); and (ii) an unorthodox oil well location for this oil production, from their Federal 29 #1-E, located 1850' FSL & 1555' FEL (J) Sec. 29-T25N-R9W.

They show that Aztec was sent a copy of this application.

There of course is no White Wash Gallup/Mancos Oil Pool. The White Wash Mancos-Dakota Oil Pool is a little over 2 miles away. The Bisti-Lower Gallup Oil Pool is within 2 miles of the 40-acre unit comprising the NW/4 SE/4 (Unit J) of Sec. 29.

What pool, if any will this production correspond, or will it be wildcat upper Gallup or wildcat Mancos?

Thanks.



State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission

From

MICHAEL E, STOGNER

Memo

Jo Note to File

PMESO-320350903

Talked of Steve Hazolen
this therwoon, Confermed this
will be attributed to
will be attributed to
the White Wash Mancos Dakora
Oil Pool.

P.O. BOX 2088 Land Office Building Banta fe, New Mexico 87501 505-827-5811